Determination of Aroma Characteristics of Commercial Garlic Powders Distributed in Korea via Instrumental and Descriptive Sensory Analyses

In-Seo Hwang and Mina K. Kim

Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, K-Food Research Center, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonbuk 54896, Korea

ABSTRACT: Garlic (*Allium sativum*), a species in the onion Genus, plays an important role in Korean cuisine. However, because garlic is perishable, garlic powder is often used instead. Garlic powder is prepared by freeze-drying, spray-freeze drying, and/or microwave-vacuum drying. The aim of this study was to determine the aroma and sensory characteristics of commercial garlic powders using instrumental and descriptive analyses. A sensory lexicon describing 13 aroma characteristics of dried garlic powder was developed, and 35 volatile compounds were identified. This study confirmed several key compounds related to fresh-garlic aroma, including dimethyl disulfide and allyl methyl trisulfide, and identified allyl dimethyl trisulfide as a compound related to roasted-garlic aroma, with non-sulfur-containing compounds involved. The findings of this study can improve the understanding of organosulfur compounds that develop in dried garlic products during processing.

Keywords: aroma, garlic, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, sulfides

INTRODUCTION

Garlic (*Allium sativum*) is a species in the onion Genus that shares aroma characteristics with onions, shallots, leeks, and chives. These plants all have a distinctive, pungent odor (Yang et al., 2019). Garlic plays an important role in Korean cuisine by adding versatile flavors to various recipes (Jin et al., 2001). The functional properties of garlic have previously been reported, including therapeutic effects, such as immunomodulatory, anti-cancer, hypolipidemic, antihypertensive, hepatoprotective, and antioxidant properties (Banerjee et al., 2003; Rahman, 2007; Jang et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2012).

Although raw garlic has many beneficial effects, it has a short shelf-life; therefore, dried garlic powder, prepared by various drying technologies, is distributed in the market instead. Hot-air drying is a typical, traditional drying method for various foods due to its convenience and low cost; however, thermal treatment confers the possibility of losing bioactive properties, including antioxidative ability (Chang and Kim, 2011). To overcome this problem, indirect or non-thermal drying methods have been developed, including freeze-drying, spray-drying, sprayfreeze drying, and/or microwave-vacuum drying technologies. These processing methods differ in the presence of heat during treatment and in granulation methods (Chung and Choi, 1990; Li et al., 2007; Poojitha et al., 2020). Poojitha et al. (2020) studied spray-dried and freeze-dried garlic powder with ultrasound-assisted extraction and reported that spray-drying with maltodextrin as a carrier material could result in spherical-shaped powder formation with increased shelf-life, including physicochemical properties. Chung and Choi (1990) compared the flavor differences between hot-air-dried and freeze-dried garlic powder by comparing volatile compounds. Their study revealed that diallyl disulfide was a key compound of garlic, and that garlic powder freezedried at -30° C had the highest content of this volatile aromatic compound. However, all the dried garlic powder samples in this study had lower diallyl disulfide contents compared to raw garlic.

Research into flavor has been studied in various forms of garlic, including fresh garlic, black garlic, garlic extract, and garlic powder. In a study investigating the volatile compounds of fresh garlic and black garlic, fresh garlic had more diverse sulfur-containing compounds, including

Received 10 August, 2022; Revised 19 September, 2022; Accepted 27 September, 2022; Published online 31 December 2022

Correspondence to Mina K. Kim, E-mail: minakim@jbnu.ac.kr

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc c}}$ 2022 The Korean Society of Food Science and Nutrition.

Author information: In-Seo Hwang (Graduate Student), Mina K. Kim (Professor)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

allyl methyl trisulfide, and black garlic had more non-sulfur-containing volatile compounds (Molina-Calle et al., 2017). Brodnitz et al. (1971) studied the volatile compounds in garlic extract and revealed that six sulfur-containing compounds (namely, allyl alcohol, methyl allyl disulfide, diallyl disulfide, dimethyl trisulfide, methyl allyl trisulfide, and diallyl trisulfide) were present in the garlic extracts. Li et al. (2021) reported that 49 volatile compounds (mainly heterocyclic, sulfur-containing compounds) were identified in five different garlic seasoning powders. The same study applied quantitative descriptive analysis and identified toasted, pungent, cooked garlic, burnt, and herbal attributes as the main sensory attributes of garlic seasoning powders (Li et al., 2021). Although the sensory analysis results of aged garlic extract revealed higher intensities of pungent and seasoning characteristics (Abe et al., 2020), most garlic seasonings are distributed in powder form due to its convenience of storage compared to the extract form.

The manufacturing process for garlic powder differs from product to product. However, due to the high contents of nitrogen-free solids in garlic, a slicing procedure is generally used for the removal of water from garlic in normal methods of drying (Chang and Kim, 2011). Although heat treatment during the food manufacturing process can change the aroma characteristics of garlic, research into the aroma characteristics of commonly consumed dried garlic powder products in Korea remains limited.

In this study, eight dried garlic powders that are distributed in the Korean market were analyzed using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) as well as descriptive sensory analysis using a highly trained descriptive sensory panel to characterize the flavor characteristics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples included in this study

The samples included in this study were selected based on market share and the availability of garlic powder samples (GPs) in the market. All samples were purchased through the online market, except for GP 1, which was purchased through direct contact with the supplier. The physiochemical characteristics, including pH, moisture content, and color, were analyzed using the standard methods of analysis described in the Korean Food Standard Codex (Ministry of Food and Drug Safety, 2012). In brief, pH was analyzed using 10% (w/v) garlic powder diluted with distilled water using a pH meter (Lab 850, SCHOTT Instruments, Deutschland, Germany). Moisture content was measured using a moisture analyzer (WBA-110M, Daihan Scientific Co., Wonju, Korea), and color was measured using a color analyzer (CR-10 Plus, Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan). Values for lightness (L^*), redness (a^*), and yellowness (b^*) were recorded.

Descriptive analysis

Descriptive sensory analysis was conducted for the garlic powder samples using a highly trained sensory panel that consisted of six panelists, aged 22 to 39 years. Each panelist had more than 400 h of prior experience in the evaluation of various food products using the SpectrumTM method (Murray et al., 2001). Each sample was diluted to 10% (w/v) with deodorized water, and 20 mL of each sample was served in 56 mL plastic cups with plastic lids (Yeongdong Packaging, Seoul, Korea) that were labeled with random three-digit numbers. All samples were prepared 1 h before evaluation and maintained at room temperature.

Before evaluation, a 40-h calibration and training session was conducted to calibrate the use of the 15-point universal scale in the SpectrumTM method using a basic taste solution, as described by Kim et al. (2016). Only orthonasal sensory attributes were evaluated. Samples were served in a randomized order (Latin square design), and panelists rested for at least 5 min between samples to obviate fatigue and minimize carry-over effects during evaluation. Sensory lexicons were developed during the training session, and sensory references were selected for each sensory attribute. The 15-point universal scale was used to measure the aroma intensity of the lexicons. Paper ballots were used for data collection, and all intensity evaluations were conducted in triplicate.

Ethics statement

This study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Jeonbuk National University (IRB no. JBNU-2022-03-019-001).

Instrumental aroma analysis

Volatile aroma analysis of garlic powder samples was conducted using an automated purge and trap (P&T) sampler (JTD-505III, Japan Analytical Industry, Tokyo, Japan), followed by GC-MS (QP2010 Plus, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Two grams of garlic powder was prepared without dilution, and 500 mg/kg of benzyl alcohol was used as an internal standard. The AQ-200 liquid sampler (Japan Analytical Industry) was applied before the P&T sampling to capture the volatile aromatic compounds. The garlic powder samples underwent a bubbling process in the liquid sampler at a rate of 50 mL/min for 30 min at a temperature of 60°C. The volatilized compounds were absorbed in a Tenax GR system (Japan Analytical Industry), and the Tenax GR was transferred to the P&T sampler. The desorption temperature in the P&T sampler was set at 280°C for 30 min at a rate of 50 mL/min; then the cold-trap process was conducted at a temperature of -40° C. The cold-trap process was followed by pyrolysis at 280°C. The temperatures of the transfer line and needle heater were also set at 280°C. The pressure of the head press for the P&T sampler was set at 86 MPa, and the column flow rate was 1.0 mL/min with a 1/100 split ratio.

The QP2010 Plus system was used for GC-MS quantification and qualification of volatile flavor analysis, and a DB-624 column (30 m×0.251 mm×1.40 mm; Agilent Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) was used. The temperature in the GC-MS oven was programmed at 40°C for a 3 min hold, and was then increased at a rate of 10°C/ min up to 260°C, and was finally held at 260°C for 5 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive electron impact ionization mode with 70 eV of electron energy and in scan mode with the scan range set between 45 and 500 m/z for the full scan setting. The volatile compounds were identified by their mass spectra in the Wiley mass spectral databases (Wiley and NIST 08). Volatile aroma analysis was conducted at the Center for University-Wide Research Facilities at Jeonbuk National University. Quantification of the volatile compounds was conducted using the calculation of peak area ratio of volatile compound to internal standard, as shown in the following equation:

Concentration $(\mu g/kg) =$

GC peak area (%)×Concentration of internal standard GC peak area (%) of internal standard

Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance was conducted with Fisher's least significant difference test to determine the significance of sample differences at a level of α =0.05. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to identify the cor-

relation between sensory and odor-active compounds in the instrumental flavor analysis results from eight different garlic powder samples. XLSTAT (Addinsoft, Paris, France) was used for all data analyses in this study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physiochemical quality characteristics of garlic powders

Table 1 lists the information for eight commercially available garlic powder samples, including ingredients from the package nutrition panels. All samples, except GP 6 and GP 7, were made with 100% garlic, harvested in Korea. GP 6 contained 70% garlic from China and 30% corn flour from South America, and GP 7 had only 33.4% garlic as well as ingredients such as bread powder and corn flour. Significant differences were observed in the pH values of the garlic powder samples (P < 0.05). The pH of the garlic powder products ranged from 5.86 to 6.85. GP 1 had the highest pH value of all samples, and GP 5 had the lowest pH value (P < 0.05). The addition of other ingredients in the garlic powder samples did not seem to influence the pH value. The moisture content ranged from 10.33% to 13.69%, but was not significantly different between samples (P>0.05). The color measurement results revealed significant differences between samples (P < 0.05): GP 6 and GP 7, which had other ingredients besides garlic, had lower a^* values, indicating less intense red colors compared to the other samples (P < 0.05). GP 7 had the lowest b^* value of all samples, indicating that it had a less intense yellow color than the other samples (P < 0.05). The presence of starchy materials, such as corn flour and bread powder, in GP 6 and GP 7 may have influenced their color differences. The drying methods of the garlic powder samples included in this study were unknown.

Table	1.	Garlic	powder	samples	included	in	this	study
-------	----	--------	--------	---------	----------	----	------	-------

Comple		Ingradiant listed	۶U	Moisture		Color	
Sample		Ingredient listed	μμ	content	L*	a*	b*
GP 1	Garlic 100%	(Korea)	6.85±0.01 ^ª	10.33±0.45 ^{ns}	64.37±1.68 ^{de}	7.13±0.74 ^d	24.53±1.31 ^ª
GP 2	Garlic 100%	(Korea)	5.84±0.00 ^d	13.07±0.16	67.17±1.65 ^{cd}	7.60±0.29 ^{cd}	21.57±0.90 ^b
GP 3	Garlic 100%	(Korea)	5.82±0.01 ^c	11.80±0.35	68.8±1.28 ^{bc}	9.23±0.48 ^ª	24.80±1.69 ^ª
GP 4	Garlic 100%	(Korea)	5.78±0.00 ^e	12.73±0.62	71.53±0.48 ^b	8.20±0.08 ^{bc}	21.4±0.14 ^b
GP 5	Garlic 100%	(Korea)	5.86±0.00 ^e	12.00±0.13	61.8±1.04 ^e	7.53±0.12 ^{cd}	18.83±0.29 ^c
GP 6	Garlic 70% (America)	China), corn flour 30% (South	6.03±0.00 ^c	10.80±0.05	76.13±0.46 ^a	4.57±0.05 ^e	16.93±0.17 ^{cd}
GP 7	Garlic 33.4% (wheat flour refined salt	(China), bread powder 33.3% r, yeast, glucose, vegetable oil, .), corn flour 33.3% (imported)	6.31±0.01 ^b	13.69±2.24	67.60±0.82 ^c	4.10±0.08 ^e	12.40±0.22 ^e
GP 8	Garlic 100%	(Korea)	6.30±0.02 ^b	12.42±0.49	51.53±2.70 ^f	9.00±0.70 ^{ab}	15.77±2.26 ^d

Values are presented as mean±SD.

Numbers in a column that do not share the same letter (a-f) represent significant differences at α =0.05. GP, garlic powder sample; ns, not significant.

Descriptive sensory analysis

The descriptive sensory analysis results of eight commercially available garlic powder samples, including the sensory lexicon, with definitions and references for each term that describes the aroma characteristics, are listed in Table 2. The lexicon identified a total of 13 descriptors for the sensory characteristics of dried garlic powder: fresh garlic, roasted garlic, steamed garlic, menthol, sweet aromatics, green onion, lemon peel, brothy, soy sauce, pasta water, oxidized oil, beef extract powder, and plastic aromatic attributes. Several previous studies, identified from current literature reviews, have conducted aroma profile evaluations. One previous study compared the aroma-active compounds in fresh and black garlic and reported that fresh garlic had intense sulfur-like and gasoline-like aromatics, whereas black garlic was characterized as having a sulfur-like odor and soy-sauce-like, sour, roasted, and sweet aromatics (Yang et al., 2019). The previous study reported that black garlic had a milder flavor than fresh garlic because black garlic had more diverse aromatics, which reduced the pungent characteristics. Abe et al. (2020) reported the sensory differences between aged garlic extract and fresh garlic with eight sensory attributes: acid, fatty, metallic, caramel, seasoning, pungent, cooked potato, and cabbage. Fresh garlic had a distinctively high intensity of pungent attributes, while aged garlic extract had a relatively high intensity of seasoning attributes, with low pungent odor. The limitation of this previous study was the use of semi-trained panelists for the evaluation of the aroma characteristics, because the focus of the work was defining the key aroma-active compounds in black garlic and fresh garlic using instrumental flavor analysis (GC-olfactometry-MS and GC-time-of-flight MS).

Li et al. (2021) studied the differences in the sensory characteristics between garlic powders with different drying times. Five sensory lexicons were developed in this study using quantitative descriptive analysis: toasted, pungent, cooked garlic, burnt, and herbal. Similar to the current study, roasted, cooked garlic, burnt, and pungent attributes (which can be provided by fresh garlic) were used for the garlic powders. The burnt attribute was found to be significantly increased as drying time increased, while the toasted and cooked garlic attributes were high-

Table 2. Descriptive sensory analysis results of garlic powder samples

No	Attribute	Definition and references	GP 1	GP 2	GP 3	GP 4	GP 5	GP 6	GP 7	GP 8
1	Fresh garlic	Aromatics associated with freshly minced garlic (Ref: freshly minced garlic; intensity: 6.0)	1.67ª	0.00 ^c	0.30 ^{bc}	0.68 ^b	0.60 ^b	0.13 ^c	0.00 ^c	1.80ª
2	Roasted garlic	Aromatics associated with roasted garlic (Ref: roast- ing minced garlic in 30 min at 200°C using convection oven; intensity: 2.0)	0.00 ^c	2.20ª	1.77 ^b	1.73 ^b	1.60 ^b	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c
3	Steamed garlic	Aromatics associated with steamed garlic (Ref: steaming whole garlic using steamer for 20 min; intensity: 1.5)	0.13 ^{cd}	0.40 ^{bc}	0.27 ^{bcd}	0.03 ^d	0.10 ^{cd}	0.80 ^a	0.48 ^{ab}	0.00 ^d
4	Menthol	Aromatics associated with menthol (Ref: peppermint candy; intensity: 4.0)	0.77ª	0.00 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.23 ^b	0.13 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.80ª
5	Sweet aromatics	Aromatics associated with corn syrup (Ref: oligosac- charides (CJ Cheil-jedang); intensity: 3.0)	0.40 ^c	1.57ª	1.35ª	0.90 ^b	1.27 ^{ab}	0.10 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c
6	Green onion	Aromatics associated with green onion (Ref: chopped green onion root (white portion); intensity: 6.0)	0.40 ^{ab}	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.20 ^{bc}	0.23 ^{bc}	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.53ª
7	Lemon peel	Aromatics associated with fresh lemon peel (Ref: freshly peeled lemon peel; intensity: 4.0)	0.37 ^{bc}	0.00 ^d	0.00 ^{cd}	0.37 ^{bc}	0.43 ^b	0.00 ^d	0.00 ^d	0.93ª
8	Brothy	Aromatics associated with broth (Ref: buckwheat broth (Myunsarang); intensity: 3.0)	0.00 ^c	1.07ª	1.13ª	0.57 ^b	0.63 ^b	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c
9	Soy sauce	Aromatics associated with Japanese soy sauce (Ref: Japanese soy sauce (MizKan); intensity: 4.0)	0.00 ^b	0.67ª	0.60ª	0.87 ^a	0.63ª	0.00 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.00 ^b
10	Pasta water	Aromatics associated with pasta water (Ref: drained water after boiling spaghetti noodles; intensity: 2.0)	0.10 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	1.53 ^b	1.97 ^a	0.20 ^c
11	Oxidized oil	Aromatics associated with oxidized fats and oil (Ref: oil put out in the air for 48 h in room temperature; intensity: 3.0)	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^c	1.17 ^b	2.17ª	0.00 ^c
12	Beef extract powder	Aromatics associated with beef-flavored flavor en- hancer [Ref: Dasida (CJ Cheiljedang); intensity: 2.5]	1.08 ^{ab}	0.37 ^{cd}	0.20 ^d	0.00 ^d	0.30 ^d	1.47ª	0.77 ^{bc}	0.20 ^d
13	Plastic	Aromatics associated with plastic packaging materials (Ref: Zip-Loc sandwich bag; intensity: 2.0)	0.23 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.00 ^b	1.43ª

Numbers represents mean values of triplicate analyses of each sensory attribute by six highly trained panelists using the 15-pt universal scale in the SpectrumTM method.

Numbers in a row that do not share the same alphabetical letter represent significant differences at α =0.05.

All *P*-values <0.001.

GP, garlic powder sample.

est in the middle of the drying time, and decreased thereafter.

In the current study, the intensity of each aroma attribute was relatively low due to the decrease in aroma intensity caused by sample dilution (10% w/v with deodorized water), which was performed to realize the aroma characteristics of the samples in water-soluble form. Since the 15-point universal scale used in the Spectrum method does not increase in an exactly proportional manner (Dus et al., 2018), the intensities of the samples were diluted. In a previous study, some aroma characteristics of orange juice also showed low intensities (Kim et al., 2016). Differences in the intensities of all aroma attributes were observed among the samples (P < 0.05). The fresh garlic attribute was present in GP 1 and GP 8, and these samples were characterized as having fresh garlic, green onion, and lemon peel aromatics. More specifically, GP 1 and GP 8 had scores of 1.67 and 1.80 for fresh-garlic aromatics, and scores of 0.40 and 0.53 for green onion aromatics; these results were significantly higher than those for the other samples (P < 0.05). In contrast, the roasted garlic attribute was significantly higher in GPs $2 \sim 5$ compared to the other samples, with intensity scores of 2.20, 1.77, 1.73, and 1.60, respectively (P< 0.05). Similarly, GPs $2 \sim 5$ had higher aroma intensities for the sweet, brothy, and soy sauce aromatics compared with other samples (P < 0.05). Steamed garlic aromatics were present in GP 6 (score: 0.80) and GP 7 (score: 0.48), while steamed garlic aromatics were absent in the other samples. GP 6 and GP 7 also shared similar sensory attributes, including pasta water, oxidized oil, and beef extract powder, and these were present at higher intensities compared with other samples (P < 0.05). Notably, GP 6 and GP 7 were the garlic powder samples that included corn flour and bread flour, and the presence of flour as part of the garlic powder may have influenced the aromatics of pasta water and oxidized oil in these samples. Pasta water aromatics were previously reported as aromatics associated with a lipid oxidation flavor in whey protein concentrate and whey protein isolate flavors, and other aromatics noted were cardboard, wet paper, cucumber, and doughy/fatty aromatics (Carunchia Whetstine et al., 2005).

Instrumental flavor analysis results

The instrumental flavor analysis results for the eight dried garlic powder samples are presented in Table 3 (Ruth, 1986; Cai et al., 2006; Chung et al., 2007; Niu et al., 2011; Dong et al., 2013; Moreira et al., 2013; Rong et al., 2018; Abe et al., 2020; Jing, 2020). Overall, 35 volatile compounds were identified in eight garlic powder samples, comprising five alcohols, two aldehydes, one ester, one ether, five hydrocarbons, one ketone, and 20 sulfur-containing compounds, including mono-, di-, and

polysulfide compounds. Nine compounds (carbon oxide sulfide, methanethiol, ethanol, 2-propenal, carbon disulfide, thiophene, diallyl sulfide, 2-vinylthiophene, and diallyl disulfide) were detected in all samples, and were mostly sulfur-containing compounds. These compounds are found at high levels in heat-treated garlic compared to raw garlic (Tamaki et al., 2008). In addition, 2-propen-1-ol, allyl methyl disulfide, phenol, acetophenone, and 3-vinyl-1,2-dithiacyclohex-4-ene were detected in most (all except one or two) samples.

GP 4 showed the most diverse flavor profile on instrumental flavor analysis, and was shown to contain 31 compounds. Most of the compounds were identified at significantly high concentrations (P<0.05). The next most diverse flavor profile was that of GP 5, with 27 compounds, all of which showed relatively high concentrations. As described above, diallyl sulfide and diallyl disulfide were identified in every sample, and the concentrations of these compounds were significantly high in GP 4 and GP 5 (P<0.05). Methanethiol was also present at a significantly high concentration in GP 4 and GP 5 (P<0.05). Allyl methyl trisulfide was detected in only GPs 1, 4, 5, and 8. These sulfur-containing compounds are derived from allicin, which is present in high concentrations in allium species, and is known as the primary thiosulfinate compound that drives the olfactory sensation of fresh garlic (Cavallito and Bailey, 1944). Allicin is produced by alliin, an odorless compound derived from L-cysteine, by an interaction with allinase when fresh garlic cloves are crushed (Lanzotti, 2006). Thiosulfinates are highly unstable; consequently, they act as precursors of several sulfurcontaining compounds formed by nonenzymatic degradation (Wang et al., 2008), including dimethyl disulfide, diallyl disulfide, diallyl sulfide, and allyl methyl trisulfide (Ariga and Seki, 2006; Lanzotti, 2006; Martins et al., 2016). These sulfides can also be precursors of other compounds; for example, diallyl disulfide can become allyl mercaptan by reduction (Trio et al., 2014), and the presence of methanethiol occurs via the demethiolation of methionine by methionine γ -lyase (Landaud et al., 2008).

Because raw garlic is perishable, garlic is often consumed in a processed form. Most drying methods involve heat treatment, which can easily induce many chemical interactions, including the Maillard reaction. Thiophene is produced from L-cysteine and sugar via reaction with mercaptoaldehyde (Yang et al., 2012), and thiazole is produced by the reaction between glucose and alliin (Wang et al., 2008). Fig. 1 displays the possible mechanisms of organosulfur compound formation. In addition to dried garlic powder, steamed garlic, aged garlic extract, and black garlic are other widely consumed forms of garlic. The key compounds in these products have been examined to determine their common volatile compounds. Block (1985) used the extraction method to identify dif-

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Io./ 22.1 / 23.7 / 10.2 30.2^{de} 52.4^{cde} 87.9^{bcd} 112.1^{bc} 54.7^{de} 187.8^{bcd} 20.3^{de} 487.2^{a} 11.0^{a} 11.1^{a} 10.3^{a} 9.9^{a} 11.0^{a} 11.1^{a} 10.3^{a} 9.9^{a} 11.1^{b} 202.9^{abc} 222.5^{ab} 261.7^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 23.0^{a} 32.2^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 23.0^{a} 32.2^{a} 14.8^{a} 12.5^{a} 13.0^{a} 14.2^{a} 19.2^{abc} 15.4^{bcd} 8.4^{cd} 34.0^{ab} 19.2^{abc} 15.4^{bcd} 8.4^{cd} 34.0^{ab} 133.7^{ab} 17.6^{d} 11.5^{d} 156.7^{a} 133.7^{ab} 17.6^{d} 11.5^{d} 156.7^{a}	10.7 $2.2.7$ $2.3.7$ 10.2 5.7^{a} 7.6^{a} 87.9^{bcd} 112.1^{bc} 54.7^{de} 187.8^{bcd} 87.9^{bcd} 112.1^{bc} 11.0^{a} 11.1^{a} 10.3^{a} 9.9^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 261.7^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 23.0^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 23.0^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 23.0^{a} 11.2^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 23.0^{a} 11.3^{a} 11.2^{b} 12.6^{a} 261.7^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 23.0^{a} 11.3^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 23.0^{a} 11.3^{a} 11.3^{a} 11.1^{b} 12.5^{b} 23.0^{a} 11.3^{a} 11.3^{a} 12.5^{a} 13.0^{a} 11.3^{a} 11.3^{a} 11.3^{a} 11.3^{a} 12.5^{a} 13.0^{a} 12.5^{b} 12.2^{b} 12.5^{b} 12.5^{a} 12.2^{b} 12.5^{b} 12.2^{b} 12.5^{b} 12.5^{b}	Io.7 $2.2.7$ $2.3.7$ 10.2 6.7^a 5.76^a 7.6^a 112.1^{bc} 54.7^{de} 187.8^{bcd} 87.2^a 9.9^a 11.0^a 11.1^a 10.3^a 9.9^a 11.10^a 11.1^a 10.3^a 9.9^a 11.10^a 11.1^a 10.3^a 9.9^a 11.10^a 11.1^a 10.3^a 9.9^a 11.10^a 11.1^a 10.3^a 9.9^a 11.11^a 10.3^a 261.7^a 11.3^a 11.11^b 12.5^a 13.0^a 11.3^a 12.8^a 12.5^a 13.0^a 14.2^a 19.2^{abc} 15.4^{bcd} 8.4^{cd} 34.0^{ab} 133.7^{ab} 17.6^d 11.5^d 162.7^a 87.1^{ab} 38.5^c 19.3^c 162.7^a 87.1^a 38.5^c 19.3^c 163.1^a 87.1^a 38.5^c 19.3^c 163.1^a 87.1^a 38.5^c 19.3^c 163.1^a 51.2^{ab} $51.$	Io./ $22./$ $23./$ 10.2 6.7^a 7.6^a 7.6^a 112.1^{bc} 54.7^{de} 187.8^{bcd} 87.9^{bcd} 112.1^{bc} 54.7^{de} 187.8^{bcd} 87.9^{bcd} 112.1^{bc} 11.0^a 11.1^a 10.3^a 9.9^a 11.10^a 12.5^a 13.0^a 14.2^a 12.2^{abc} 15.4^{bcd} 8.4^{cd} 34.0^{ab} 133.7^{ab} 17.6^d 11.5^d 162.7^a 133.7^{ab} 17.6^d 11.5^d 162.7^a 87.1^{ab} 38.5^c 19.3^c 16.1^a 87.1^a 38.5^c 19.3^c 163.1^a 87.1^a 38.5^c 19.3^c 161.1^a 77.2^{b	10.7 $L.7$ $L.11.0^3$ 11.1^3 10.3^3 9.9^3 11.10^3 11.1^3 11.1^3 11.1^3 12.5^5 23.0^3 11.1^3 11.1^3 12.5^5 23.0^3 11.1^3 11.1^3 12.5^3 11.1^3 11.3^3 11.1^3 12.5^3 11.1^3 11.3^3 11.2^3 11.2^3 11.3^3 $11.$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
1 30.2 ^{de} 52.4 ^{de} 87.5 4 54.7 ^{de} 187.8 ^{bcd} 87.5 4 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 10.5 3 11.6 ^{ocd} 202.9 ^{abc} 222.1 7 11.1 ^b 12.5	1 30.2^{de} 52.4^{cde} 87.5^{1} 4 54.7^{de} 187.8^{bcd} 87.5^{1} 4 11.0^{a} 11.1^{a} 10.5^{a} 3 $11.6.9^{cd}$ 202.9^{abc} 222.1^{a} 3 11.1^{b} 12.5^{a} 12.1^{a} 14.8^{a} 12.5^{a} 13.1	30.2 ^{de} 52.4 ^{cde} 87.5 54.7 ^{de} 187.8 ^{bcd} 10.3 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 10.3 116.9 ^{cd} 202.9 ^{abc} 222.1 11.1 ^b 12.1 14.8 ^a 12.5 ^a 13.0 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 8.4	30.2 ^{de} 52.4 ^{cde} 87.5 54.7 ^{de} 11.1 ^a 10.5 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 10.5 11.6.9 ^{cd} 202.9 ^{abc} 222.1 11.1 ^b 12.5 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 8.4 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6 ^d 11.1	$\begin{array}{rclccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30.2 ^{de} 52.4 ^{de} 87.5 54.7 ^{de} 187.8 ^{bcd} 87.5 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 10.5 11.6.9 ^{cd} 202.9 ^{abc} 222.1 11.1 ^b 12.1 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 8.4 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6 ^d 11.1 51.2 ^{ab} 38.5 ^c 19.5 51.2 ^{ab} 51.9 ^{cd} 33.4	30.2 ^{de} 52.4 ^{de} 87.5 54.7 ^{de} 11.1 ^a 10.5 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 10.5 11.6.9 ^{dd} 202.9 ^{abc} 222.1 11.1 ^b 12.1 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 8.4 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6 ^d 11.1 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6 ^d 11.1 87.1 ^{ab} 38.5 ^c 19.5 51.2 ^{ab} 23.8 ^{bcd} 11.1	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 30.2^{de} & 52.4^{de} & 87.5 \\ 4 & 54.7^{de} & 187.8^{bcd} & 10.5 \\ 4 & 11.0^{a} & 11.1^{a} & 10.5 \\ 3 & 11.1^{b} & 12.5 \\ 7 & 11.1^{b} & 12.5^{a} & 13.6 \\ 4 & 19.2^{abc} & 15.4^{bcd} & 8.4 \\ 4 & 19.2^{abc} & 15.4^{bcd} & 8.4 \\ 5 & 33.7^{ab} & 17.6^{d} & 11.1 \\ 4 & 887.1^{ab} & 38.5^{c} & 19.5 \\ 5 & 33.7^{ab} & 23.8^{bcd} & 11.1 \\ 2 & 6 & 45.3^{a} & 23.8^{bcd} & 11.1 \\ 2 & 6 & 45.3^{a} & 101.6^{cd} & 55.4 \\ 8 & 76.6^{b} & 18.3^{c} & 101.6^{cd} & 55.4 \\ \end{array} $
4 54.7 ^{ve} 187.8 ^{vcd} 4 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 4 116.9 ^{cd} 202.9 ^{abc} 3 11.1 ^b 7	4 54.7 ^{ue} 187.8 ^{uu} 4 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 3 116.9 ^{cd} 202.9 ^{abc} 7 11.1 ^b 14.8 ^a 12.5 ^a	54.7 ^{ve} 187.8 ^{vcd} 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 11.1 ^b 11.1 ^b 14.8 ^a 12.5 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd}	54.7 ^{ae} 187.8 ^{acd} 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 11.1 ^b 14.8 ^a 12.5 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6 ^d	54.7 ^{ve} 187.8 ^{vud} 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 11.1 ^b 11.1 ^b 14.8 ^a 12.5 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6 ^d 87.1 ^{ab} 38.5 ^c 51.2 ^{ab} - 17.6 ^d	54.7 ⁴⁶ 187.8 ⁴⁰⁴ 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 11.1 ^b 11.1 ^b 11.1 ^b 12.5 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6 ^d 87.1 ^{ab} 38.5 ^c 51.2 ^{ab} 51.9 ^{cd} 77.2 ^{bc} 51.9 ^{cd}	54.7 ^{ve} 187.8 ^{vcd} 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 11.1 ^b 11.1 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bcd} 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6 ^d 87.1 ^{ab} 38.5 ^c 51.2 ^{ab} 51.9 ^{cd} 77.2 ^{bc} 51.9 ^{cd}	54.7 ^{ve} 187.8 ^{ved} 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 11.1. ^a 11.1 ^a 11.1 ^b 11.1 ^b 11.2 ^{abc} 15.4 ^{bed} 133.7 ^{ab} 12.5 ^a 87.1 ^{ab} 38.5 ^c 51.2 ^{ab} 51.9 ^{cd} 77.2 ^{bc} 51.9 ^{cd} 38.8 ^b 23.8 ^{bed} 45.3 ^a	54.7 ^{ve} 187.8 ^{vud} 11.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 11.1.0 ^a 11.1 ^a 11.1.0 ^a 11.1 ^b 11.1 ^b	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
4 116.9 ^{cd} 202.9 3 11.1 ¹		11.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1. 11.1.1.1. 11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	116.9 ^{cd} 202.9 ¹ 11.1 ¹ 14.8 ^a 12.5 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6	11.16.9 ^{cd} 202.9 ^{cd} 11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.	116.9 ^{cd} 202.9 ¹ 11.1 ¹ 14.8 ^a 12.5 19.2 ^{abc} 15.4 133.7 ^{ab} 17.6 87.1 ^{ab} 38.5 51.2 ^{ab} 38.5 77.2 ^{bc} 51.9	111.1.9 ^{cd} 202.9 ¹ 111.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	11.11 $(1.6.9^{cd} - 202.9^{cd} - 11.11$ 11.11 $(1.1.1)^{-1}$ 11.12 $(1.1.1)^{-1}$ 11.14 $(1.1.1)^{-1}$ 11.14 $(1.1.1)^{-1}$ 11.12	11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
4 116.9 ^{cd} 7	4 116.9 ^{cd} 3 116.9 ^{cd} 7 114.8 ^a	116.9 ^{cd} 14.8 ^a 19.2 ^{abc}	116.9 ^{cd} 14.8 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 133.7 ^{ab}	116.9 ^{cd} 14.8 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 133.7 ^{ab} 51.2 ^{ab}	116.9 ^{cd} 14.8 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 133.7 ^{ab} 51.2 ^{ab} 51.2 ^{ab}	116.9 ^{cd} 14.8 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 133.7 ^{ab} 51.2 ^{ab} 77.2 ^{bc}	1116.9 ^{cd} 114.8 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 133.7 ^{ab} 51.2 ^{ab} 77.2 ^{bc} 77.2 ^{bc} 77.2 ^{bc}	116.9 ^{cd} 114.8 ^a 19.2 ^{abc} 77.2 ^{bc} 77.2 ^{bc} 77.2 ^{bc} 133.3 ^{bc}	 4 116.9^{cd} 7 4 114.8^a 4 19.2^{abc} 8 87.1^{ab} 51.2^{ab} 6 45.3^a 8 75.6^b 8 75.6^b 8 75.6^b 8 133.3^{bc}
e r	7 3								α α ά το
		7 4	4, 2 4 7 8	Р 4 04 0 8	8 42 4 1 8	A 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		→ → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →	
Fresh, fruity - -	Fresh, fruity - -	Fresh, fruity 7 Sweet, almond 4	Fresh, fruity 7 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Fresh, fruity 7 Fresh, fruity 7 Sweet, almond 4 Decay vegetable 2 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt 4,	Fresh, fruity 7 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Fresh, fruity - 7 	Fresh, fruity 7 	Fresh, fruity 7	Fresh, fruity - - - - - - - - - - - - -
	~~~~~	3 3 8 8 7 Sweet, almond	<ul> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Decay vegetabl</li> <li>Garlic-like, sulf</li> </ul>	3 3 3 3 3 3 5 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3	<ul> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Barlic-like, sulf</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Barlic-like, sulf</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> <li>Anisarreaabla</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Decay vegetabl</li> <li>Garlic-like, sulf</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> <li>Fried garlic, to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Decay vegetabl</li> <li>Garlic-like, sulf</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Sweet, almond</li> <li>Decay vegetabl</li> <li>Garlic-like, sulf</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> <li>Pungent, fresh</li> </ul>
1.999 6.553 9.827 11.088	1.999 6.553 9.827 11.088 23.618	1.999 6.553 9.827 11.088 23.618 23.618 17.067 Swe	1.999 6.553 9.827 11.088 23.618 17.067 23.600 25.060 2.422 5.383 Garl	1.999 6.553 9.827 9.827 11.088 23.618 17.067 23.618 23.618 25.060 2.422 5.383 6arl 7.984 7.984	1.999 6.553 9.827 11.088 23.618 23.618 23.618 23.600 5.383 5.383 6arl 1.774 7.984 7.984 12.015 Pun	1.999 6.553 9.827 9.827 11.088 23.618 17.067 23.600 25.060 2.422 5.383 6arl 1.774 7.984 1.774 1.774 1.774 7.984 1.774 3.916 Dec	1.999 6.553 9.827 11.088 23.618 17.067 Swe 17.067 Swe 2.422 Dec 5.383 Garl 7.984 1.774 1.934 12.015 Pun 12.015 Pun 3.916 Dise 9.414 Frie	1.999 6.553 9.827 11.088 23.618 23.618 23.618 23.618 2.422 5.383 6arl 1.774 7.984 1.774 7.984 1.774 7.984 1.934 12.015 Pun 12.015 Pun 12.015 Pun	1.999 6.553 9.827 11.088 23.618 17.067 5.383 5.383 5.383 6arl 1.774 1.934 1.934 1.934 1.934 12.015 Pun 12.015 Pun 13.512 Free 16.869 Pun
bhexene 1	iene ne cyclohexene 1 oxytoluene 2	diene tene ylcyclohexene troxytoluene e	diene ene vlcyclohexene 1 roxytoluene 2 e 1 2 inol (allyl mercaptan)	idiene ene ylcyclohexene froxytoluene e e hiol (allyl mercaptan) i sulfide (COS) hio ⁻¹ -propene	adiene xene hylcyclohexene 1 droxytoluene 2 ne 2 r r r r thiol (allyl mercaptan) e sulfide (COS) lthio-1-propene 1 e	xadiene exene itylcyclohexene ydroxytoluene ne ir 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	kadiene xene hylcyclohexene ydroxytoluene r r he l thiol (allyl mercaptan) thio-1-propene de de sulfide sulfide	exadiene hexene ethylcyclohexene hydroxytoluene one arr 1-thiol (allyl mercaptan) iol 1-thiol (allyl mercaptan) ide sulfide (COS) ivylthio-1-propene itide itide isulfide isulfide isulfide isulfide i 1 ulfide i 1	clohexadiene I-1-hexene rimethylcyclohexene ted hydroxytoluene ether henone ther acther ben-1-thiol (allyl mercaptan) tide noxide sulfide (COS) methylthio-1-propene sulfide dioxide dioxide dioxide hethyl disulfide hethyl disulfide hethyl disulfide hethyl disulfide hethyl disulfide
7.82/ 11.088 –	9.82/ – 11.088 – 23.618 –	7.82/ 11.088 – 23.618 – 17.067 Sweet, almond 4	7.82/       -         11.088       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         17.067       Sweet, almond       4         25.060       -       2         23.33       Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt       4, 8	7.82/ 11.088       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         17.067       Sweet, almond       4         25.060       -       2         25.060       -       4         25.060       -       4         25.060       -       4         27.02       Decay vegetable       2         1.774       -       4, 8         1.774       -       4, 8         7.984       -       -	7.82/ 11.088       -         11.088       -         23.618       -         17.067       Sweet, almond       4         25.060       -       -         25.060       -       4         25.060       -       -         25.060       -       4         25.060       -       -         25.060       -       -         25.051       -       -         25.052       Decay vegetable       2         1.774       -       4, 8         1.774       -       -         7.984       -       -         12.015       Pungent, fresh garlic       8	7.82/ 11.088       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         2.422       Decay vegetable         2.422       Decay vegetable         2.333       Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt       4, 8         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.934       -         1.934       -         3.915       Pungent, fresh garlic         3.916       Sweet	7.82/ 11.088       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.04       -         25.05       -         25.060       -         25.080       -         27.984       -         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.774       -         1.774       -         1.774       -         1.774       -         1.774       -         1.793       Pungent, fresh garlic         1.934       -         1.934       -         2.916       Disagreeable, sweet         9.414       Fried garlic, towngas, sulfuny         2.46       -	7.82/       -         11.088       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         2.422       Decay vegetable         2.422       Decay vegetable         2.422       Decay vegetable         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.934       -         1.934       -         1.934       -         1.934       -         1.934       -         1.934       -         3.916       Disagreeable, sweet         9.414       Fried garlic, towngas, sulfurry         9.414       Fried garlic         13.512       Fresh garlic         16.869       Pungent, fresh garlic <tr< td=""><td>7.82/ 11.088       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         17.067       Sweet, almond         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         2.422       Decay vegetable         tan)       5.383       Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt         1.774       -         7.984       -         7.984       -         7.984       -         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.934       Disagreeable, sweet         9.414       Fried garlic, towngas, sulfury         13.512       Fresh garlic         16.869       Pungent, fresh garlic         16.869       Pungent, fresh garlic</td></tr<>	7.82/ 11.088       -         23.618       -         23.618       -         17.067       Sweet, almond         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         25.060       -         2.422       Decay vegetable         tan)       5.383       Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt         1.774       -         7.984       -         7.984       -         7.984       -         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.774       -         7.984       -         1.934       Disagreeable, sweet         9.414       Fried garlic, towngas, sulfury         13.512       Fresh garlic         16.869       Pungent, fresh garlic         16.869       Pungent, fresh garlic
	ne 23.618 –	ne 23.618 – 17.067 Sweet, almond 4	ne 23.618 – 2 17.067 Sweet, almond 4 25.060 – 2 2.422 Decay vegetable 2 mercaptan) 5.383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt 4,	ne 23.618 – 23.618 – 17.067 Sweet, almond 4 25.060 – 25.060 – 25.060 – 2.422 Decay vegetable 2 mercaptan) 5.383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt 4, cOS) 1.774 – 6 pene 7.984	ne 23.618 – 4 17.067 Sweet, almond 4 25.060 – 2 25.060 – 2 mercaptan) 5.383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt 4, COS) 1.774 – 4, pene 7.984 – 1 pene 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic 8	ne 23.618 – 23.618 – 4 17.067 Sweet, almond 4 25.060 – 25.060 – 2 mercaptan) 5.383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt 4, COS) 1.774 – 4, Pene 7.984 – 1.774 – 1.934 – 3.916 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 3.916 Disanceable sweet 4	ne 23.618 – 23.618 – 17.067 Sweet, almond 4 25.060 – 25.060 – 25.060 – 2.422 Decay vegetable 2 2.422 Decay vegetable 4, 4, 4.000 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 2.7383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt 4, 2, 9.414 Fried garlic, towngas, sulfury 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,	ne 23.618 – 23.618 – 17.067 Sweet, almond 4 25.060 – 25.060 – 25.060 – 22.422 Decay vegetable 2 mercaptan) 5.383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt 4, cOS) 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 3.916 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 1.938 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 16.869 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 16.869 Pungent, fresh garlic 8	ne 23.618 – 17.067 Sweet, almond 17.067 Sweet, almond 25.060 – 25.060 – 2.422 Decay vegetable mercaptan) 5.383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt COS) 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 3.916 Pungent, fresh garlic 1.934 1.3.512 Fresh garlic towngas, sulfury 13.512 Fresh garlic 1.181 – 1.016 Pungent, fresh garlic 1.181 – 1.016 Pungent, fresh garlic towngas, sulfury 13.512 Fresh garlic towngas, sulfury 13.513 Fresh garlic towngas, sulfury 13.512 Fresh garlic towngas, sulfury 13.513 Fresh garlic towngas, sulfury 13.512 Fresh garlic towngas, sulfury 13.513 Fresh garlic towngas, sul
17.067 Sweet, almond 25.060 –	- 25.060			sulfide (COS) 1.774 – hio-1-propene 7.984 –	e sulfide (COS) 1.774 – thio-1-propene 7.984 – e 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic 8	e sulfide (COS) 1.774 – thio-1-propene 7.984 – e 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 de 1.934 – tride 3.916 Disanreeable sweet 4	e sulfide (COS) 1.774 – thio-1-propene 7.984 – e 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 de 1.934 – fide 3.916 Disagreeable, sweet 4 sulfide 9.414 Fried garlic, towngas, sulfury 2, 6	e sulfide (COS) 1.774 – thio-1-propene 7.984 – e 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 de 1.934 – Ifide 3.916 Disagreeable, sweet 4 aulfide 9.414 Fried garlic, towngas, sulfury 2, <i>é</i> disulfide 13.512 Fresh garlic 8 ide 16.869 Pungent, fresh garlic 8	e sulfide (COS) 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.774 – 1.7984 – 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic fiele 3.916 Disagreeable, sweet 3.916 Disagreeable, sweet 9.414 Fried garlic, towngas, sulfury disulfide 13.512 Fresh garlic ide 16.869 Pungent, fresh garlic - 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 – 1.0181 –
17.067 Sweet, almond 25.060 – 11/1 mercaptan) 5.383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt be (COS) 1.774 –	25.060 – 2.422 Decay vegetable ol (allyl mercaptan) 5.383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt sulfide (COS) 1.774 –	2.422 Decay vegetable 2 hiol (allyl mercaptan) 5.383 Garlic-like, sulfury, burnt 4 sulfide (COS) 1.774 –			e 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic 8	e 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 de 1.934 - Afride 3.916 Disarreadule sweet 4	e 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 de 1.934 – Ifide 3.916 Disagreeable, sweet 4 sulfide 9.414 Fried garlic, towngas, sulfury 2, 6	e 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic 8 le 1.934 – Ifide 3.916 Disagreeable, sweet 4 sulfide 9.414 Fried garlic, towngas, sulfury 2, 6 disulfide 13.512 Fresh garlic 8 ide 16.869 Pungent, fresh garlic 8	e 12.015 Pungent, fresh garlic le 1.934 – Ifide 3.916 Disagreeable, sweet sulfide 9.414 Fried garlic, towngas, sulfury disulfide 13.512 Fresh garlic ide 16.869 Pungent, fresh garlic vyl 2-propenyl disulfide 17.181 –

Table 3. Volatile flavor analysis results of garlic powder samples

Aroma Characteristics of Commercial Garlic Powders

469

	RT	Odor docorintion	ارا الم				Concentrati	on (mg/kg)			
	(min)			GP 1	GP 2	GP 3	GP 4	GP 5	GP 6	GP 7	GP 8
Cyclic sulfide											
Thiophene	7.377	Aromatic	4	16.5 ^{cd}	18.7 ^{cd}	11.1 ^d	$48.8^{a}$	32.3 ^b	21.2 ^c	17.2 ^{cd}	19.1 ^{cd}
Thiazole	9.239	Foul smell	വ				$10.3^{a}$			9.2 ^a	9.1 ^a
3-Methyl thiophene	10.184	Sulfury, skunky	9					5.1 ^a			
2-Vinylthiophene	12.905	Ι		41.1 ^b	28.0 ^b	13.2 ^b	123.4 ^ª	107.5 ^a	31.1 ^b	37.5 ^b	23.2 ^b
Cyclobutanone ethylene dithioketal	18.683	Ι					13.9 ^a	8.4 ^b			
3-Vinyl-1,2-dithiacyclohex-4-ene	19.269	Spicy	6	30.0 ^c			$318.5^{a}$	197.9 ^b	38.6 ^c	25.7 ^c	19.8 ^c
Thieno[3,4-b]thiophene	19.597	I		38.5 ^{bc}	18.9 ^d		63.0 ^a	44.4 ^{ab}	20.0 ^{cd}	22.7 ^{cd}	16.8 ^{de}
¹⁾ Reference information for odor des	cription: (	(1) Dong et al., 2013; (2) Chui	ng et al., 20	07; (3) Mor	eira et al.,	2013; (4) Rı	uth, 1986; (5	) Rong et al.	., 2018; (6) (	Cai et al., 20	06; (7) Niu

Table 3. Continued

et al., 2011; (8) Abe et al., 2020; (9) Jing, 2020.

Numbers in a row that do not share the same letter (a-e) represent significant differences at  $\alpha$ =0.05. RT, retention time: GP, garlic powder sample.

ferent sulfur compounds in fresh garlic and found that the formation of diallyl disulfide occurred with steam treatment at 100°C, whereas allicin developed at 25°C with ethyl alcohol and water. Allyl methyl disulfide, diallyl disulfide, diallyl sulfide, dimethyl disulfide, allyl mercaptan, and allyl methyl trisulfide have been identified as the key aroma-active compounds of aged garlic extract (Abe et al., 2020). In a study of black garlic, allyl methyl trisulfide, diallyl sulfide, and diallyl disulfide were identified as the key aroma-active compounds (Yang et al., 2019). These three compounds are commonly present in several garlic products and could be important contributors to the garlic-specific aroma. In line with these findings, our results showed that GP 4 and GP 5 showed the highest concentrations of diallyl sulfide and diallyl disulfide, as well as the most intense garlic-specific aromas.

In contrast, GPs 2, 3, 6, and 7 had the lowest number of sulfur-containing compounds (six compounds in the volatile compounds profile). These samples had low garlic-related sensory descriptive analysis results, consistent with the absence of allyl mercaptan, thiazole, dimethyl disulfide, 3-methyl thiophene, dimethyl trisulfide, and allyl methyl trisulfide, compounds considered to be key to aroma. GP 6 and GP 7 contained other starchy ingredients, such as corn flour and/or bread powder, and these two samples had low or absent concentrations of sulfurcontaining compounds, such as methanethiol and allyl methyl disulfide, compared with other samples. Reflecting the descriptive sensory analysis results, which identified stronger garlic-related attributes in GP 6 than in GP 7, the instrumental flavor analysis showed that GP 6 had higher concentrations of most sulfur-containing compounds (e.g., diallyl sulfide) compared to GP 7. Both samples, but especially GP 7, had low concentrations of odoractive compounds. GP 2 and GP 3 both contained six odor-active sulfur-containing compounds, but these samples had the roasted-garlic attribute (Table 2). These results suggest that allyl methyl disulfide could be a significant contributor to the roasted-garlic aroma.

### Correlations between the instrumental flavor analysis and descriptive sensory analysis results

A PCA biplot of the instrumental flavor analysis results and the descriptive analysis results of the eight garlic powders is presented in Fig. 2. Only odor-active compounds that had odor descriptions in previous studies were included as part of the PCA biplot for a comparison of the sensory characteristics. This PCA biplot explained 58.52% of the total variability of the dataset; F1, representing the primary axis, explained 35.72%, and F2, representing the secondary axis, explained 22.80% of the total variability.

The positions of the garlic-related sensory attributes were separated: roasted garlic and steamed garlic were positioned in the positive F2 axis, while fresh garlic was



Fig. 1. Possible mechanisms of organosulfur compound formation in eight commercial dried garlic powders distributed in Korea.

positioned in the negative F2 axis. The roasted garlic attribute showed higher correlation with non-sulfur-containing compounds, such as propanal, 1-butanol, phenol, and 2-propenal, rather than with sulfur-containing compounds, and correlated with sweet aromatics, soy sauce, and brothy attributes. These results could be due to the direct heat treatment during the drying procedure; Molina-Calle et al. (2017) identified that garlic treated with a longer heating time had more roasted and sweet aromatics, with non-sulfur-containing odor-active volatile compounds. Roasted-like appearance can also be correlated with high  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  values. In the case of the steamed garlic attribute, it rarely correlated with odor-active compounds, except phenol, even when it also had heat-treated characteristics. A high correlation with moisture content could therefore affect the aroma attributes. The fresh garlic attribute was positioned in the F2 negative axis, together with most of the odor-active sulfur-containing compounds. Most of the fresh-related and strong aroma characteristics were also closely correlated with the fresh garlic attributes, such as green onion, menthol, plastic, and lemon peel. These results indicate that differences in the drying procedure, especially the presence of thermal treatment for GPs 1, 4, 5, and 8, could have triggered the



**Fig. 2.** Principal component analysis biplot of sensory and odor-active instrumental volatile flavor compounds analysis on eight commercial dried garlic powder products. GP, garlic powder sample.

differences between the two groups, even though these samples were all composed of 100% garlic.

In this study, instrumental flavor analysis using GC-MS and descriptive sensory analysis was conducted to identify the aroma characteristics of eight commercially available dried garlic powders. Six organosulfur compounds from dried garlic powder (allyl mercaptan, thiazole, dimethyl disulfide, 3-methyl thiophene, dimethyl trisulfide, and allyl methyl trisulfide) were considered notable contributors to the fresh-garlic aroma, while allyl methyl disulfide and non-sulfur-containing compounds, including propanal and 2-propenal, were identified as key contributors to the roasted-garlic aroma. Samples containing starchy materials showed oxidized, lipid-related aromas due to lower quantities of sulfur-containing compounds. These findings can provide a better understanding of the sensory characteristics of garlic powder by defining the formation of organosulfur compounds in dried garlic products during processing to produce consumer-attractive products.

# FUNDING

This research was funded by the Korea National Food Cluster R&D Support Program (Project no. 1901000626). Further, this research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (grant no. NRF-2020R1C1C10 11279).

# AUTHOR DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Concept and design: MKK. Analysis and interpretation: ISH. Data collection: ISH. Writing the article: ISH. Critical revision of the article: MKK. Final approval of the article: all authors. Statistical analysis: ISH. Obtained funding: MKK. Overall responsibility: all authors.

# REFERENCES

- Abe K, Hori Y, Myoda T. Characterization of key aroma compounds in aged garlic extract. Food Chem. 2020. 312:126081. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.126081
- Ariga T, Seki T. Antithrombotic and anticancer effects of garlicderived sulfur compounds: a review. Biofactors. 2006. 26:93-103.

- Banerjee SK, Mukherjee PK, Maulik SK. Garlic as an antioxidant: the good, the bad and the ugly. Phytother Res. 2003. 17:97-106.
- Block E. The chemistry of garlic and onions. Sci Am. 1985. 252: 114-119.
- Brodnitz MH, Pascale JV, Van Derslice L. Flavor components of garlic extract. J Agric Food Chem. 1971. 19:273-275.
- Cai L, Koziel JA, Davis J, Lo YC, Xin H. Characterization of volatile organic compounds and odors by *in-vivo* sampling of beef cattle rumen gas, by solid-phase microextraction, and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry-olfactometry. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2006. 386:1791-1802.
- Carunchia Whetstine ME, Croissant AE, Drake MA. Characterization of dried whey protein concentrate and isolate flavor. J Dairy Sci. 2005. 88:3826-3839.
- Cavallito CJ, Bailey JH. Allicin, the antibacterial principle of *Allium sativum*. I. Isolation, physical properties and antibacterial action. J Am Chem Soc. 1944. 66:1950-1951.
- Chang YE, Kim JS. Effects of pretreatment and drying methods on the quality and physiological activities of garlic powders. J Korean Soc Food Sci Nutr. 2011. 40:1680-1687.
- Chung HY, Yeung CW, Kim JS, Chen F. Static headspace analysisolfactometry (SHA-O) of odor impact components in salteddried white herring (*Ilisha elongata*). Food Chem. 2007. 104: 842-851.
- Chung SK, Choi JU. The effects of drying methods on the quality of the garlic powder. Korean J Food Sci Technol. 1990. 22:44-49.
- Dong L, Piao Y, Zhang X, Zhao C, Hou Y, Shi Z. Analysis of volatile compounds from a malting process using headspace solid-phase micro-extraction and GC-MS. Food Res Int. 2013. 51:783-789.
- Dus C, Stapleton L, Trail A, Krogmann AR, Civille GV. SpectrumTM Method. In: Kemp SE, Hort J, Hollowood T, editors. Descriptive Analysis in Sensory Evaluation. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA. 2018. p 319-353.
- Jang EK, Seo JH, Lee SP. Physiological activity and antioxidative effects of aged black garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) extract. Korean J Food Sci Technol. 2008. 40:443-448.
- Jin Y, Kwon O, Sung N, Shin J, Kang M. Effect of garlic on quality of low salted anchovy – 1. Changes of general composition, titrable acidity and sensory evaluation – . Culin Sci Hosp Res. 2001. 7:49-70.
- Jing H. Black garlic: processing, composition change, and bioactivity. eFood. 2020. 1:242-246.
- Kim JH, Nam SH, Rico CW, Kang MY. A comparative study on the antioxidative and anti-allergic activities of fresh and aged black garlic extracts. Int J Food Sci Technol. 2012. 47:1176-1182.
- Kim MH, Kim MJ, Lee JH, Han JI, Kim JH, Sok DE, et al. Hepatoprotective effect of aged black garlic on chronic alcohol-induced liver injury in rats. J Med Food. 2011. 14:732-738.
- Kim MK, Kim MY, Lee KG. Determination of furan levels in commercial orange juice products and its correlation to the sensory and quality characteristics. Food Chem. 2016. 211:654-660.
- Landaud S, Helinck S, Bonnarme P. Formation of volatile sulfur compounds and metabolism of methionine and other sulfur compounds in fermented food. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2008. 77:1191-1205.
- Lanzotti V. The analysis of onion and garlic. J Chromatogr A. 2006. 1112:3-22.
- Li K, Zhan P, Tian H, Wang P, Ji Y. Effects of drying time on the aroma of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) seasoning powder. Flavour Fragr J. 2021. 36:99-110.
- Li Y, Xu SY, Sun DW. Preparation of garlic powder with high allicin content by using combined microwave – vacuum and vacuum drying as well as microencapsulation. J Food Eng. 2007. 83:76-83.
- Martins N, Petropoulos S, Ferreira ICFR. Chemical composition and bioactive compounds of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) as affected

by pre- and post-harvest conditions: A review. Food Chem. 2016. 211:41-50.

- Ministry of Food and Drug Safety. Korean Food Standard Codex. 2012 [cited 2022 Aug 10]. Available from: https://various. foodsafetykorea.go.kr/fsd/#/ext/Document/FC
- Molina-Calle M, Priego-Capote F, Luque de Castro MD. Headspace—GC-MS volatile profile of black garlic vs fresh garlic: Evolution along fermentation and behavior under heating. LWT. 2017. 80:98-105.
- Moreira N, Meireles S, Brandão T, de Pinho PG. Optimization of the HS-SPME-GC-IT/MS method using a central composite design for volatile carbonyl compounds determination in beers. Talanta. 2013. 117:523-531.
- Murray JM, Delahunty CM, Baxter IA. Descriptive sensory analysis: past, present and future. Food Res Int. 2001. 34:461-471.
- Niu Y, Zhang X, Xiao Z, Song S, Eric K, Jia C, et al. Characterization of odor-active compounds of various cherry wines by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, gas chromatography-olfactometry and their correlation with sensory attributes. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2011. 879: 2287-2293.
- Poojitha P, Gimbun J, Yeop A, Athmaselvi KA. Ultrasound-assisted extraction for enhanced retention of physicochemical properties of garlic powder obtained by spray-drying. J Appl Pharm Sci. 2020. 10:127-134.
- Rahman MS. Allicin and other functional active components in garlic: health benefits and bioavailability. Int J Food Prop. 2007.

10:245-268.

- Rong C, Liu D, Li Y, Yang K, Han X, Yu J, et al. Source water odor in one reservoir in hot and humid areas of southern China: occurrence, diagnosis and possible mitigation measures. Environ Sci Eur. 2018. 30:45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-018-0175-8
- Ruth JH. Odor thresholds and irritation levels of several chemical substances: a review. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 1986. 47:A142-A151.
- Tamaki K, Sonoki S, Tamaki T, Ehara K. Measurement of odour after *in vitro* or *in vivo* ingestion of raw or heated garlic, using electronic nose, gas chromatography and sensory analysis. Int J Food Sci Technol. 2008. 43:130-139.
- Trio PZ, You S, He X, He J, Sakao K, Hou DX. Chemopreventive functions and molecular mechanisms of garlic organosulfur compounds. Food Funct. 2014. 5:833-844.
- Wang Y, Raghavan S, Ho CT. Process flavors of Allium vegetables. In: Brückner B, Wyllie SG, editors. Fruit and Vegetable Flavour: Recent Advances and Future Prospects. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, UK. 2008. p 200-226.
- Yang C, Song HL, Chen F. Response surface methodology for meat-like odorants from Maillard reaction with glutathione I: the optimization analysis and the general pathway exploration. J Food Sci. 2012. 77:C966-C974.
- Yang P, Song H, Wang L, Jing H. Characterization of key aroma-active compounds in black garlic by sensory-directed flavor analysis. J Agric Food Chem. 2019. 67:7926-7934.