



Citation: Mhlongo G, Mnisi CM, Mlambo V (2021) Cultivating oyster mushrooms on red grape pomace waste enhances potential nutritional value of the spent substrate for ruminants. PLoS ONE 16(2): e0246992. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246992

Editor: Juan J. Loor, University of Illinois, UNITED STATES

Received: November 8, 2020
Accepted: January 30, 2021
Published: February 16, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Mhlongo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript.

Funding: The financial support received from the National Research Foundation for the first author (NRF grant number: 117384) is hereby acknowledged. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cultivating oyster mushrooms on red grape pomace waste enhances potential nutritional value of the spent substrate for ruminants

Godfrey Mhlongo¹, Caven Mguvane Mnisi₀, 1,2*, Victor Mlambo³

- 1 Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Science, North-West University, Mafikeng, South Africa, 2 Food Security and Safety Niche area, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Science, North-West University, Mafikeng, South Africa, 3 School of Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Sciences, University of Mpumalanga, Nelspruit, South Africa
- * mnisiecm@gmail.com

Abstract

The use of red grape pomace (GP; Vitis vinifera L. var. Shiraz) as a source of beneficial bioactive compounds in ruminant diets is limited by high levels of indigestible compounds in the grape skin matrix. This problem demands innovative, inexpensive, and easy-to-use strategies that improve the digestibility of GP. The bioconversion of GP using edible oyster mushrooms (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) is one such strategy that has not been previously explored. Therefore, this study evaluated the effect of cultivating oyster mushrooms on GP on chemical composition and in vitro ruminal fermentation parameters of the spent mushroom substrate. The GP was inoculated with oyster mushroom spawns at 0, 200, 300, 400, or 500 g/kg, and incubated for 4 weeks. Organic matter, acid detergent lignin, sodium, manganese, cobalt, and copper linearly declined (P < 0.05) as spawn rates increased. A quadratic trend was observed for crude protein, neutral detergent fibre, acid detergent fibre, magnesium, phosphorus, and calcium content in response to increasing spawn rates. Higher spawning rates (20–50%) had a positive effect (P < 0.05) on gas production from the immediately fermentable fraction (a), rate of gas production from the slowly fermentable fraction (c) and effective gas production. However, gas production from the slowly fermentable fraction (b) and potential gas production linearly declined in response to increasing spawning rates. There was a linear increase (P < 0.05) in the immediately degradable fraction (a), while quadratic effects were observed for partition factors, effective degradability, and in vitro organic matter degradability at 48 h in response to spawning rates. It can be concluded that inoculating GP with oyster mushroom spawn reduced fibre content while increasing crude protein content and in vitro ruminal fermentation efficiency of red grape pomace. Based on the quadratic responses of partition factors at 48 hours post-inoculation, the optimum spawning rate for maximum ruminal fermentation efficiency of GP was determined to be 300 g/kg.

Introduction

To ensure that ruminant production complements other food production systems, it is important that they are reared on feed resources that have no direct food value for humans. This can be achieved by using non-conventional and low-cost feedstuffs such as red grape pomace (GP). In South Africa, the largest proportion of grapes is pressed to produce grape juice and wine, generating large quantities of GP that is discarded as a waste product [1]. The disposal of GP using traditional methods such as incineration and deposition on landfills has detrimental effects on the environment [2]. The disposal of GP into landfills might cause plant growth interference and water body contamination through the eutrophication process (the build-up of chemicals in water streams). Furthermore, Bustamante et al. [3] reported that red GP contains a large amount of biodegradable organic matter that might cause environmental hazards and pollution if not discarded properly. Consequently, it is important to find alternative uses of red GP that can improve its value while reducing the negative impact it has on the environment. Thus, it is important to identify alternative uses of GP to enhance environmental sustainability of the grape juice and wine-producing industries. Red grape pomace consists of a wide range of phenolic compounds, natural cellulose, minerals, polyunsaturated fatty acids, and phytochemicals that can be exploited to improve the performance and health status of ruminants [4]. Indeed, Teixeira et al. [5] observed that the compounds in GP have nutritional, anthelmintic, antioxidant, antimethanogenic and antimicrobial properties. However, Dwyer et al. [2] reported that about 3% of GP is reused as animal feed globally, possibly due to nutritional limitations imposed by antinutritional components such as condensed tannins and non-digestible fibre in GP. Condensed tannins are known to negatively affect nutrient digestibility because they inhibit cellulolytic and proteolytic enzymes as well as the growth of rumen bacteria [6]. The GP cell wall contains high amounts of insoluble proanthocyanidins, lignin, pectin substances and phenols that pose a problem for ruminant digestion processes. Thus, the use of GP as a ruminant feed is limited by high levels of lignified cell wall fraction and tannin content [7], which can adversely affect nutrient utilization when fed at high levels. Indeed, Baumgartel et al. [8] determined that OM and NDF digestibility of red grape pomace in sheep was rather low at 32 and 15%, respectively. The poor digestibility of GP was also corroborated by Guerra-Rivas et al. [9] in sacco using rumen cannulated sheep. Phenols and other potentially beneficial bioactive compounds in GP are covalently bound to the complex polysaccharides in the cell walls [10]. Consequently, poor digestibility of GP in ruminants reduces the bioavailability of bioactive compounds to the ruminant. Therefore, there is a need to identify and evaluate lignin degradation methods such as the use of fungi like white rot or mushrooms that can improve the digestibility of GP.

Solid-state fermentation with fungi is generally regarded as an economically and environmentally sustainable strategy for the bioconversion of lignin-rich substrates [11]. Indeed, Saratale et al. [12] reported that the use of white-rot fungi is cost-effective, requires low energy requirements and mild environmental conditions while efficiently degrading cellulose and lignin content of plant cell walls. Consequently, the use of fungi such as white rot and mushrooms to breakdown the fibre matrix has the potential to valorise GP as a ruminant feed ingredient. However, this approach has not been evaluated for GP. Enhancing the digestibility of GP can produce meat with human-health-promoting properties while mitigating environmental challenges that result from the disposal of GP and enteric methane emissions. In addition, edible mushrooms can also be a direct source of food thus their use in enhancing ruminant feeds is easier to sell to small scale farmers. No studies have investigated the use of oyster mushroom spawn to enhance the nutritive value of red GP for ruminants. Therefore, this preliminary study was designed to determine the effect of different oyster mushroom

spawn rates on chemical composition and *in vitro* ruminal fermentation parameters of red grape pomace. We hypothesized that using red grape pomace as an oyster mushroom substrate will reduce the lignin content of the spent substrate and thus improve its ruminal fermentation compared to untreated substrates.

Materials and methods

Study area and resources

The study was carried out between July and August of 2019 at Molelwane Research Farm (25° 86′00″S, 25°64′52″E) of the North-West University (North West province, South Africa). Fresh red grape pomace was acquired from Blaauwklipan Wine Estate and processed as described by Kumanda et al. [1]. The oyster mushroom spawn (*P. ostreatus*) was supplied by Eco-Agro Enterprise (PTY) LTD (Mbombela, South Africa).

Substrate preparation and inoculation

The GP substrate was prepared according to the modified method of Shah et al. [13]. The GP substrate was sterilized in an autoclave (121°C for 1 hour at 100 kPa) and cooled at room temperature as described by Tuyen et al. [14]. Red grape pomace substrate (500 g per pot) was weighed into a total of 50 pots (223 cm³), which were designated as experimental units. Subsequently, the pots were randomly inoculated with the oyster mushroom spawn at 0, 200, 300, 400 or 500 g/kg of red GP. The pots were covered with black plastic bags (to conserve the moisture which is required by the mushroom spores to reproduce) and then kept at room temperature (20–25°C) and 70–80% relative humidity for the duration (4 weeks) of the experiment. Relative humidity was measured every other day using a multi-meter (HTC-1, Xuzhou Sanhe Automatic Control Equipment Co. Ltd, China). The inoculated GP substrates were sprinkled with 1.5 litres of water on an interval of 2 days to keep the substrate moist and humid. Red grape pomace substrate in pots that received no oyster mushroom spawn was similarly watered and incubated for 28 days.

Experimental design and preparation of spent mushroom substrates (SMS)

The experiment was carried out in a completely randomized design in which each of the five spawning rates under investigation were replicated 10 times. About 125 g of the spent mushroom substrate (SMS) was sampled from each replicate pot at end of the incubation period (day 28). Mycelia were removed by hand and the SMS was oven-dried at 60°C until constant weight. The oven-dried SMS was milled to pass through a 1 mm sieve (Polymix PX-MFC 90 D, Switzerland) and stored in labelled sample bottles pending chemical analysis and *in vitro* ruminal fermentation.

Chemical analyses

The Official Analytical Chemists International methods [15] were used to analyse the SMS for dry matter (method no. 930.15), organic matter (method no. 942.05) and crude protein (method no. 984.13). Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) were determined by refluxing milled SMS samples (0.45–0.5 g) with the neutral detergent and acid detergent solutions for 60 min and 75 min, respectively, using the ANKOM 2000 fibre analyser (ANKOM Technology, New York) according to the method described by Van Soest et al. [16]. The NDF was determined using a heat-stable α -amylase but without sodium sulphite. Acid detergent lignin (ADL) content was determined by difference after treating ADF residues in ANKOM F57 bags with 72% sulfuric acid to dissolve cellulose. The fibre components were

expressed in g/kg DM with the inclusive of residual ash. Mineral content (Na, Mg, P, S, Ca, Mn, Co, Cu and Zn) was determined following the guidelines provided by Agri-Laboratory Association of Southern Africa [17].

In vitro ruminal gas production

The in vitro ruminal gas production parameters were determined using the Reading Pressure Technique (RPT) developed by Mauricio et al. [18]. Rumen inoculum was collected in the morning from a rumen fistulated Bonsmara donor cow (~600 kg live-weight) that was cared for according to procedures approved (NWU-00126-13-A9) by the Animal Production Research Ethics Committee of the North-West University, South Africa. The rumen inoculum was collected and processed as described by Mnisi & Mlambo [19]. Milled SMS were weighed $(1 \pm 0.001 \text{ g})$ and placed into 125 ml glass serum bottles to which 90 ml of the ANKOM buffer solution was added to each of the bottles. The serum bottles were purged with CO₂ gas before being sealed with rubber stoppers and transferred into an incubator set at 39°C overnight. In the morning, serum bottle contents were each inoculated with 10 ml of rumen fluid through the rubber stoppers using a syringe and incubated for 48 h. Headspace gas pressure was measured using a pressure transducer (model PX4200-015GI, Omega Engineering, Inc., Laval, QC, Canada) at 12, 24, 36 and 48 h after inoculation. The gas pressure readings (psi) were then converted to the gas volume (ml) using the following site-specific equation: $y = 0.034x^2 +$ 6.2325x+1.8143, where y = gas volume (ml) and x = measured gas pressure (psi). Cumulative gas production data were fitted into the Ørskov & McDonald [20] non-linear model: Y = a + b $(1-e^{-c(t)})$, to determine a (gas production from the immediately fermentable fraction, b (the gas production from the slowly fermentable fraction), c (the gas production rate constant for the insoluble fraction, b). Potential gas production (PGas) was calculated as the summation of fractions a and b, whereas the effective gas production (EGas) was calculated using the following formula: $EGas = a + \frac{bc}{k+r}$, where *k* is the rumen outflow rate assumed to be 2% per hour.

In vitro ruminal dry matter degradability

The in vitro ruminal dry matter degradability (DMD) of SMS was determined using the Daisy^{II} incubator according to the ANKOM method for the in vitro true digestibility (ANKOM Technology, 2001). The rumen fluid used for this step was collected and processed as already described for the in vitro ruminal gas production investigation above. Exactly 400 ml of the processed rumen fluid was used to inoculate each of the four Daisy^{II} incubator jars containing the ANKOM F57 filter bags with SMS samples (0.45-0.5 g) and 1600 ml pre-warmed buffer solution (39°C). The jars were continuously purged with CO₂ to maintain anaerobic conditions before they were closed and placed in the incubation chamber (39°C). The ANKOM F57 filter bags were incubated for a maximum period of 72 h, punctuated by periodic (2, 4, 8, 12, 24, 36, 48 and 72 h) withdrawals of bags to determine dry matter degradability. The withdrawn bags were washed with cold water for 20 minutes before being dried at a temperature of 105°C for 12 h. The 0-h samples were washed and oven-dried in the same manner as the incubated samples. The DMD data was fitted into the Ørskov & McDonald [20] non-linear model using the: $Y = a + b(1 - e^{-c(t)})$, to determine a (the immediately degradable fraction), b (the slowly degradable fraction), c (rate of degradation of the slowly degradable fraction, b). Potential degradability (*PDeg*) was calculated as the summation of fractions a and b, whereas the effective degradability (*EDeg*) was calculated using the following formula: $EDeg = a + \frac{bc}{k+c}$, where k is the rumen outflow rate assumed to be 2% per hour. Potential OM degradability (PDeg) and effective OM degradability (EDeg) were calculated as described for PGas and EGas above. The in vitro ruminal organic matter degradability (ivOMD) was determined through the

incineration of the ash-free ANKOM F57 filter bags with residues placed on pre-weighed crucibles using a muffle furnace set at 600°C for 12 h. Partition factors (ml/g OM), a measure of fermentation efficiency, were calculated as a ratio of the cumulative gas production to *iv*OMD at 12, 24, 36 and 48 h post-incubation.

Data analysis

Response surface regression analysis (Proc RSREG; SAS [21]) was employed to describe linear and quadratic trends of GP's chemical components and *in vitro* ruminal fermentation parameters in response to spawning rates as well as to determine the optimum spawning rate of the oyster mushroom. A one-way ANOVA (PROC GLM; SAS [21]) was used to analyse the chemical composition, *in vitro* ruminal fermentation data in a completely randomised design, where oyster mushroom spawn rate was the only factor. For all the statistical tests, significance was declared at P < 0.05. The least-squares means were compared using the probability of difference option in SAS.

Results

Chemical composition

Table 1 shows the chemical composition of red grape pomace spent oyster mushroom substrate. There were significant linear effects for ADL [$y = 574.0 \ (\pm 22.59) - 4.63 \ (\pm 1.78)x$; $R^2 = 0.20$; P = 0.019] while quadratic trends were observed for NDF [$y = 0.06 \ (\pm 0.012)x^2 - 3.9 \ (\pm 0.65)x + 688.1 \ (\pm 8.25)$; $R^2 = 0.53$; P = 0.0001], ADF [$y = 0.05 \ (\pm 0.011)x^2 - 3.9 \ (\pm 0.590)x + 627.0 \ (\pm 7.49)$; $R^2 = 0.65$; P = 0.0001] and CP [$y = 108.1 \ (\pm 2.21) + 1.04 \ (\pm 0.191)x - 0.01$

Table 1. Red grape pomace spent mushroom substrate chemical composition (g/kg DM, unless stated otherwise) in response to graded levels of oyster mushroom spawn.

				P value				
Parameters	GP0	GP20	GP30	GP40	GP50	² SEM	Linear	Quadratic
Dry matter (g/kg)	936.5	937.2	941.2	938.1	938.1	1.23	0.283	0.121
Organic matter	859.7 ^{ab}	857.7ª	863.5 ^{ab}	861.6 ab	864.4 ^b	1.50	0.006	0.328
Neutral detergent fibre	692.0°	615.4 ^a	629.5 ^{ab}	638.5 ^b	633.7 ^{ab}	5.74	0.000	0.000
Acid detergent fibre	632.9 ^b	551.1ª	559.9 ^a	564.5ª	552.0 ^a	5.15	0.000	0.000
Acid detergent lignin	595.0 ^b	522.2 ^{ab}	487.7ª	501.8 ^a	508.8 ^a	21.13	0.019	0.059
Crude protein	107.3 ^a	126.9 ^b	124.1 ^b	125.4 ^b	126.4 ^b	2.16	0.000	0.000
Sodium	4.24 ^{bc}	4.38°	3.12 ^{ab}	3.79 ^{abc}	2.98 ^a	0.29	0.018	0.388
Magnesium	2.31 ^{bc}	2.81 ^c	1.99 ^b	2.32 ^{bc}	1.72ª	0.20	0.644	0.014
Phosphorus	1.83 ^{ab}	2.21 ^b	1.54 ^a	1.83 ^{ab}	1.54 ^a	0.11	0.610	0.027
Sulphur	1.98	2.49	1.93	2.05	1.50	1.25	0.788	0.487
Calcium	30.9ª	39.7 ^b	30.2ª	35.7 ^{ab}	31.3ª	2.01	0.161	0.037
Manganese (mg/kg DM)	30.0 ^b	20.0ª	20.0 ^a	19.0ª	19.0ª	0.001	0.008	0.946
Cobalt (mg/kg DM)	0.40	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.000	0.018	0.227
Copper (mg/kg DM)	13.0°	12.0 ^{bc}	8.0 ^{ab}	8.0 ^{ab}	7.0ª	0.001	0.004	0.872
Zinc (mg/kg DM)	30.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.002	0.261	0.759

 $^{^{\}mathrm{a,b,c}}$ In a row, different superscripts denote significant differences (P < 0.05) between spawning rate means.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246992.t001

¹Substrates: GP0 = uninoculated red grape pomace; GP20 = red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 200 g/kg; red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 300 g/kg; GP40 = red grape pomace inoculated oyster mushroom spawn at 400 g/kg; GP50 = red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 500 g/kg.

²SEM = standard error of the mean.

 $(\pm 0.004)x^2$; $R^2 = 0.52$; P = 0.0004] in response to the increasing oyster mushroom spawning rates. For minerals, there were negative linear trends (P < 0.05) for sodium [$R^2 = 0.43$; P = 0.018], manganese [$R^2 = 0.49$; P = 0.008], cobalt [$R^2 = 0.46$; P = 0.018] and copper [$R^2 = 0.55$; P = 0.004] in response to spawning rates of oyster mushroom. However, positive quadratic trends were observed for magnesium [$R^2 = 0.45$; P = 0.014], phosphorus [$R^2 = 0.38$; P = 0.027] and calcium [$R^2 = 0.42$; P = 0.037] content in response to increasing spawning rates of oyster mushroom. There were no DM differences across mushroom spawning rate treatments. Uninoculated pomace (GP0) had a similar OM concentration as substrates GP20, GP30 GP40 and GP50. Substrate GP0 had a higher ADF content (632.9 g/kg DM) than substrates GP20 (551.1 g/kg DM), GP30 (559.9 g/kg DM), GP40 (564.5 g/kg DM) and GP50 (552.0 g/kg DM). Substrate GP0 had a higher ADL content (595.0 g/kg DM) than substrate GP30 (487.7 g/kg DM), GP40 (501.8 g/kg DM) and GP50 (508.8 g/kg DM). Substrate GP20 had a higher CP content (126.9 g/kg DM) compared to substrates GP0 (107.3 g/kg DM).

In vitro ruminal gas production

Table 2 shows that increasing spawning rates resulted in linear increases in fractions a [$y = 0.04 (\pm 0.037)x + 4.4 (\pm 0.44)$; $R^2 = 0.40$; P = 0.000], c [$y = 0.0007 (\pm 0.00023)x + 0.02 (\pm 0.003)$; $R^2 = 0.21$; P = 0.018], and EGas [$y = 0.13 (\pm 0.05)x + 12.0 (\pm 0.54)$; $R^2 = 0.21$; P = 0.009], but linearly decreased fractions b [$y = 18.1 (\pm 1.05) - 0.12 (\pm 0.089)x$; $R^2 = 0.32$; P = 0.000] and PGas [$y = 22.5 (\pm 1.12) - 0.09 (\pm 0.095)x$; $R^2 = 0.094$; P = 0.037]. Positive quadratic trends (P < 0.05) were observed for fractions c and EGas in response to the increasing oyster mushroom spawning rates.

In vitro ruminal dry matter degradability

Table 3 shows that the immediately degradable DM fraction a [y = 3.6 (±1.69)x + 13.9 (±23.13); R^2 = 0.59; P = 0.042] linearly increased while EDeg [y = 3.2 (±1.05)x—0.05 (±0.018) x^2 + 87.4 (±14.42); R^2 = 0.65; P = 0.048] responded quadratically to increasing spawning levels. Neither linear nor quadratic trends (P > 0.05) were observed for the slowly degradable DM fraction (b), rate constant (c), as well as PDeg as oyster mushroom spawn levels increased. Table 4 shows quadratic trends for OMD36 [y = 0.095 (±0.036) x^2 - 6.800 (±2.070)x + 878.54 (±28.388); R^2 = 0.69; P = 0.040], OMD48 [y = 0.046 (±0.011) x^2 +2.800 (±0.621)x

Table 2	In vitro ruminal	gas producti	on narameters of red	d grane nomace	spent ovster i	nushroom substrate.
I abic 2.	In viii o i uiiiiiiai	gas producti	on parameters of rec	a grape poinace	speni oysici i	musmiodin substrate.

			1Substrates		Significance			
² Parameters	GP0	GP20	GP30	GP40	GP50	³ SEM	Linear	Quadratic
a	4.27 ^a	6.02 ^b	5.44 ^{ab}	6.43 ^{bc}	7.80°	0.425	0.000	0.468
b	18.11 ^b	15.56 ^{ab}	14.54 ^{ab}	13.18 ^a	12.26 ^a	1.035	0.000	0.922
c (%/h)	0.016 ^a	0.024 ^{ab}	0.029 ^b	0.028 ^{ab}	0.026 ^{ab}	0.003	0.018	0.036
PGas	22.38	21.58	19.98	19.62	19.03	1.103	0.037	0.848
EGas	11.85 ^a	14.43 ^b	13.91 ^{ab}	13.71 ^{ab}	13.64 ^{ab}	0.562	0.009	0.477

 $^{^{\}rm a,b,c}$ In a row, different superscripts denote significant differences (P < 0.05) between spawning rates.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246992.t002

¹Substrates: GP0 = uninoculated red grape pomace; GP20 = red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 200 g/kg; red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 300 g/kg; GP40 = red grape pomace inoculated oyster mushroom spawn at 400 g/kg; GP50 = red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 500 g/kg.

²Parameters: a = the immediate fermentation fraction; b = the slowly fermentable fraction; c = fermentation rate of fraction (b); PGas = potential gas production; EGas = effective gas production.

³SEM = standard error of the mean.

Table 3. In vitro ruminal dry matter degradability (g/kg DM) of red grape pomace spent oyster mushroom substrate.

			1Substrates		P-value			
² Parameters	GP0	GP20	GP30	GP40	GP50	³ SEM	Linear	Quadratic
а	23.98 ^a	49.89 ^{ab}	90.58 ^{bc}	92.47 ^{bc}	101.32 ^c	0.587	0.042	0.214
b	71.19 ^a	85.76 ^a	87.49 ^a	277.8 ^b	71.06 ^a	0.129	0.422	0.717
c (%/h)	0.980 ^b	0.536 ^b	0.029 ^b	0.004 ^a	0.022 ^b	0.413	0.093	0.650
PDeg	86.01 ^a	135.65 ^a	178.1ª	364.7 ^b	172.4ª	0.514	0.065	0.301
EDeg	92.38 ^a	125.58 ^b	147.5 ^b	133.5 ^b	138.8 ^b	0.648	0.068	0.048

 $^{^{}a,b,c}$ In a row, different superscripts denote significant differences (P < 0.05) between spawning rates.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246992.t003

+ 771.9 (± 8.522); R² = 0.76; *P* = 0.006], and PF48 [y = 0.00009 (± 0.00002) x^2 +0.005 (± 0.000097)x + 0.171 (± 0.013); R² = 0.77; P = 0.006] in response to incremental levels of the oyster mushroom spawn. There were no significant differences in terms of OMD12, OMD24 and OMD48 of the substrates. Uninoculated substrate (GP0) had lower PF48 compared to the oyster mushroom spawn inoculated substrates, whose own PF values did not differ (P > 0.05).

Discussion

Chemical composition

The use of fungal treatments has been reported to be effective in reducing fibre constituents of substrates [22, 23]. Indeed, inoculation with oyster mushroom spawn reduced fibre content

Table 4. Organic matter degradability (g/kg OM) and partition factors (ml/mg OM) of red grape pomace spent oyster mushroom substrate harvested at four weeks post-inoculation.

			1Substrates		P value			
² Parameters	GP0	GP20	GP30	GP40	GP50	³ SEM	Linear	Quadratic
OMD12	804.9	766.5	775.0	764.9	773.9	0.149	0.531	0.466
OMD24	804.5	751.1	761.6	772.3	515.7	0.377	0.194	0.268
OMD36	859.8 ^b	777.4ª	772.7 ^a	793.2ª	763.4 ^a	0.689	0.044	0.040
OMD48	775.1	773.8	729.4	745.3	727.2	0.773	0.133	0.006
PF12	0.094 ^a	0.167 ^b	0.163 ^{ab}	0.123 ^{ab}	0.156 ^{ab}	0.261	0.573	0.232
PF24	0.100 ^a	0.208 ^b	0.189 ^{ab}	0.162 ^{ab}	0.170 ^{ab}	0.383	0.505	0.123
PF36	0.059 ^a	0.136 ^{ab}	0.168 ^b	0.111 ^{ab}	0.169 ^b	0.278	0.450	0.245
PF48	0.161 ^a	0.215 ^b	0.242 ^b	0.221 ^b	0.218 ^b	0.824	0.452	0.002

 $^{^{}a,b}$ In a row, different superscripts denote significant differences (P < 0.05) between spawning rates.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246992.t004

¹Substrates: GP0 = uninoculated red grape pomace; GP20 = red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 200 g/kg; red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 300 g/kg; GP40 = red grape pomace inoculated oyster mushroom spawn at 400 g/kg; GP50 = red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 500 g/kg.

²Parameters: a = the immediately degradable fraction; b = the slowly degradable fraction; c = degradation rate of fraction b; PDeg = potential degradability; EDeg = effective degradability.

³SEM = standard error of the mean.

¹Substrates: GP0 = uninoculated red grape pomace; GP20 = red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 200 g/kg; red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 300 g/kg; GP40 = red grape pomace inoculated oyster mushroom spawn at 400 g/kg; GP50 = red grape pomace inoculated with oyster mushroom spawn at 500 g/kg.

²Parameters: OMD12 = *in vitro* organic matter degradability at 12 h after inoculation; OMD36 = *in vitro* organic matter degradability at 36 h after inoculation; OMD48 = *in vitro* organic matter degradability at 48 h after inoculation; PF12 = partition factors at 12 h post-incubation; PF24 = partition factors at 24 h post-incubation; PF36 = partition factors at 36 h post-incubation; PF48 = partition factors at 48 h post-incubation.

³SEM = standard error of the mean.

(NDF, ADF and ADL) of GP in the current study as expected. Increasing spawning rate linearly decreased the DM and OM content of GP substrates in this study. This suggests that when cultivated on GP, *P. ostreatus* is a non-selective fungus that breaks down both lignin and polysaccharides resulting in the loss of total dry matter of substrate. This was also in line with the results reported by Nasehi et al. [24] in pea straw subjected to solid-state fermentation after inoculation with *Pleurotus florida*. The loss of DM and OM from the GP substrate is inevitable because the mushrooms use it up as a nutrient source. This loss would be magnified where the mushrooms are harvested for human consumption while the spent pomace is used as an animal feed ingredient. However, where the mushrooms remain part of the spent substrate used for animal feeding, this loss will be marginal. Nevertheless, the DM and OM losses do not diminish the utility of this ingenious valorisation strategy because GP substrate is a waste product that is produced in very large quantities and disposed in ways that pose an environmental challenge hence the small biomass losses are an acceptable trade-off.

An increase in CP content with an increase in spawning level was observed in the present study. Previous studies [25, 26] also reported an increase in protein when agricultural by-products were treated with different strains of white-rot fungi. The increase in CP content of inoculated substrate can be explained by the hydrolysis of carbohydrates and their subsequent use as a carbon source to synthesize fungal biomass that is rich in protein [27]. Furthermore, Sallam et al. [28] reported that the increase in CP content might be due to mycelia enzymes that are secreted into the substrate during the fermentation process. In this study, inoculation with oyster mushroom spawn significantly reduced the high amount of lignin content in the GP, which hinders its effective utilization by rumen microbes. Indeed, GP fibre content (NDF, ADF and ADL) was lower in inoculated substrates relative to the control GP substrate, which agreed with the findings of Azim et al. [29] and Akinfemi et al. [30]. Yilka [31] suggested that the reduced NDF, ADF and ADL contents on the residues might be caused fungi's ability to solubilise the plant cell walls and use them as carbon sources thereby changing the ratio of insoluble to soluble carbohydrates.

A slight decrease in macro-mineral content (except for Ca) was observed when higher levels of the oyster mushroom spawn were used to inoculate GP substrates. Sales-Campos et al. [32] also reported a slight decrease in the P and K content in sawdust, crushed sugarcane bagasse, Ochroma piramidale and crushed peach palm substrates when treated with P. ostreatus. This reduction in mineral content of spent substrate could be attributed to the action of basidiomata selectively removing nutrients for the process of fruiting body formation. However, incremental spawning rates showed positive quadratic effects on magnesium, phosphorus, and calcium content. These changes in macro-mineral content of SMS were minor and both inoculated and uninoculated substrates were within the required dietary mineral range for ruminants as recommended by NRC [33]. Except for selenium, micro-mineral content (iron, manganese, zinc, cobalt, and copper) declined in response to incremental levels of oyster mushroom spawns. These findings corroborate those reported by Lee et al. [34] for Mn, Co, Cu, Zn, and Se. The micro-minerals were below the required range for ruminants as reported by NRC [33], except for Cu for small ruminants and Fe for both small and large ruminants. This implies that ruminants are likely to suffer from micro-mineral deficiencies when fed spent GP substrates, thus trace minerals supplementation either in a form of lick blocks or as feed additives would be necessary.

In vitro ruminal gas production

Gas production from the slowly degradable fraction (*b*) of spent GP quadratically responded to increasing spawning rates of oyster mushroom. Akinfemi [35] also reported a higher *b*

fraction for the fungal-treated substrates compared to untreated ones, which might be associated with the reduction in lignin content making it possible for the rumen microbes to readily ferment cellulose and hemicellulose in treated substrates. Cone et al. [36] reported that in the initial stages of ruminal fermentation, the soluble components have the highest contribution towards total gas production while the insoluble but degradable components mainly contribute to the gas production of the second phase of fermentation. Oyster mushroom-inoculated substrates had a higher fermentation rate (c) than the uninoculated substrates because the lignolytic activity of oyster mushroom spawn increases the accessibility of cellulose and hemicellulose to rumen microbes [37, 38] resulting in a higher rate of fermentation. The use of oyster mushroom spawn to breakdown lignin in GP is an innovative strategy to valorise this waste by-product. Indeed, increasing spawning rate improved total gas production and rate of fermentation of GP substrate. However, while the higher amount and rate gas production indicate increased rumen microbial activity as more cellulose and hemicellulose became readily available for fermentation, these parameters on their own are not valid indicators of improved nutritive value. This is because fermentation gases such as methane and carbon dioxide are waste products that represent a loss of dietary energy thus higher gas production would be undesirable unless it is accompanied by higher levels of dry matter degradability. As a result, an index named partition factor (PF), which is calculated as a ratio of cumulative gas production to dry matter degradability in a given incubation period, was used in this study to evaluate the effect of fungal inoculation on in vitro ruminal fermentation efficiency of GP.

In vitro ruminal dry matter degradability

Over the years, physical and chemical treatments have been shown to improve the degradability and intake of certain fibrous agricultural by-products in ruminants. However, concerns regarding safety, cost, and environmental impact of these traditional approaches tend to limit their application [39]. This has led to increased interest in the use of white-rot fungi to improve the nutritive value and *in vitro* dry matter degradability of certain agricultural by-products [40]. Results from this study show that increasing the spawning rate of the fungus caused a linear improvement in the immediately degradable fraction (*a*) and a quadratic effect on effective degradability (*EDeg*) as well as organic matter degradability at 36 hours of incubation (*iv*OMD36). These responses can be explained by the reduction in the concentration of cell wall components (NDF, ADF and ADL) upon oyster mushroom treatment of GP substrates. In particular, the reduction in lignin content allows rumen microbes easy access to GP components while reducing the inhibitory effect of lignin on microbial activity. This is supported by a study by Khattab et al. [41], where fungal treatment of rice straws reduced the fibre content thereby increasing nutrient bioavailability for rumen microbes.

Higher *a, b, PDeg* and *EDeg* fractions were observed in inoculated GP substrates than the control. This suggests that the reduction in lignin content of GP by the oyster mushroom reported above resulted in improved degradation of the spent substrate by the rumen microbes. The current results are supported by Valmaseda et al. [42] and Gutierrez et al. [43] who both noted a reduction in cell wall contents and increased soluble fractions of carbohydrates when wheat straws were fermented with *Pleurotus* fungi. For the same reasons, increasing spawn rates had a quadratic response on OMD36, OMD48 and fermentation efficiency (PF48). These results suggest that the oyster mushroom spawn was able to degrade structural carbohydrates resulting in efficient ruminal fermentation as observed by Colombatto et al. [44]. Indeed, Mlambo et al. [45] confirm that higher PF values indicate higher fermentation efficiency in the rumen. Thus, higher PF values at 36 and 48 h post-incubation of the treated substrates suggest that the fermentation of inoculated substrates resulted in more organic

matter being degraded per unit change in gas produced. Based on the quadratic responses of partition factors at 48 hours post-inoculation, the optimum spawning rate for maximum ruminal fermentation efficiency of spent GP was determined to be 300 g/kg. It is envisaged that the spent GP substrate, together with the mushroom, could be incorporated into finishing diets of ruminants as a source of fibre, protein, and bioactive compounds. The spent GP substrate can be used directly after fungal fermentation or can be sun-dried before being added as part of total mixed rations for finishing animals. The amount of this valorised product that could be included in diets of ruminants will depend on factors such as type of animal, desired weight gain, other dietary ingredients, and age of animal. The chemical composition of the spent GP shows that it may not be used as a sole diet for any ruminant but as a fortified fibre source in combination with other feed ingredients.

Conclusion

Inoculating red grape pomace with *P. ostreatus* spawn improved the crude protein and reduced the fibre content of the spent substrates. The results show that the oyster mushroom degraded lignin in red grape pomace and improved fermentation efficiency of the spent substrate. *In vitro* ruminal fermentation efficiency of red grape pomace was maximized when inoculated with mushroom spawn at a rate of 300 g/kg. It was concluded that cultivating *P. ostreatus* on red grape pomace is an effective strategy to improve the potential nutraceutical value of red grape pomace waste for ruminants.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Miss Zuziwe Nkosi for assisting with the inoculation of the spawn and Dr L. E. Motsei for assisting with mineral analyses.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Godfrey Mhlongo, Caven Mguvane Mnisi, Victor Mlambo.

Data curation: Godfrey Mhlongo.

Formal analysis: Caven Mguvane Mnisi.

Investigation: Godfrey Mhlongo, Caven Mguvane Mnisi. **Methodology:** Godfrey Mhlongo, Caven Mguvane Mnisi.

Project administration: Caven Mguvane Mnisi.

Resources: Caven Mguvane Mnisi. **Software:** Caven Mguvane Mnisi.

Supervision: Caven Mguvane Mnisi, Victor Mlambo.

Validation: Godfrey Mhlongo, Caven Mguvane Mnisi, Victor Mlambo.

Visualization: Godfrey Mhlongo, Caven Mguvane Mnisi, Victor Mlambo.

Writing - original draft: Godfrey Mhlongo, Caven Mguvane Mnisi, Victor Mlambo.

Writing - review & editing: Godfrey Mhlongo, Caven Mguvane Mnisi, Victor Mlambo.

References

 Kumanda C, Mlambo V, Mnisi CM. From landfills to dinner table: Red grape pomace waste as a nutraceutical for broiler chickens. Sustainability. 2019; 22(7):1931.

- 2. Dwyer K, Hosseinian F, Rod M. The market potential of grape waste alternatives. Journal of Food Research. 2014; 3(2):91-106.
- Bustamante MA, Moral R, Paredes C, Perez-Espinoza A, Moreno-Caselles J, Perez-Murcia MD. Agrochemical characterization of the solid by-products and residues from the winery and distillery industry. Waste Manage. 2008; 28(2):372-380.
- Jianmei Y, Mohammed A. Functional components of grape pomace: their composition, biological properties and potential applications. International Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2013; 48 (2):221-443.
- Teixeira A, Baenas N, Dominguez-Perles R, Barros A, Rosa E, Moreno DA, et al. Natural bioactive compounds from winery by-products as health promoters: A review. International Journal of Molecular Science. 2014; 15:15638-15678. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms150915638 PMID: 25192288
- Fontana AR. Grape pomace as a sustainable source of bioactive compounds: Extraction, characterization, and biotechnological applications of phenolic. Journal of Agricultural and Food chemistry. 2013; 61:8987-9003. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf402586f PMID: 24004377
- Alipour D, Rouzbehan Y. Effects of ensiling grape pomace and addition of polyethylene glycol on in vitro gas production and microbial biomass yield. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2007; 137:138–149.
- Baumgartel T, Kluth H, Epperlein K, Rodehutscord M. A note on digestibility and energy value for sheep of different grape pomace. Small Ruminant Research. 2007; 67:302-306.
- Guerra-Rivas C, Gallardo B, Mantecón ÁR, Del Álamo-Sanza M, Manso T. Evaluation of grape pomace from red wine by-product as feed for sheep. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2017; 97 (6):1885-1893. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7991 PMID: 27508943
- Beres C, Costa GN, Cabezudo I, da Silva-James NK, Teles AS, Cruz AP, et al. Towards integral utiliza-10. tion of grape pomace from winemaking process: A review. Waste Management. 2017; 68:581-594. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.07.017 PMID: 28734610
- Machado AD, Ferraz A. Biological pretreatment of sugarcane bagasse with basidiomycetes producing varied patterns of biodegradation. Bioresource Technology, 2016; 225:17-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2016.11.053 PMID: 27875764
- Saratale GD, Chen SD, Lo YC, Saratale RG, Chang JS. Outlook of bio-hydrogen production from lingocellulosic feedstock using dark fermentation-a review. Journal of Science and Industrial Research.
- Shah ZA, Ashraf M, Ishtiag M. Comparative study on cultivation and yield performance of oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus) on different substrates (wheat straw, leaves, sawdust). Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2004; 3:158-160.
- Tuyen VD, Cone JW, Baars JJ, Sonnenberg AS, Hendriks WH. Fungal strain and incubation period affect the chemical composition and nutrient availability of wheat straw for rumen fermentation. Bioresource Technology. 2012; 111:336-342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.02.001 PMID:
- 15. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists International, 20th edition, Rockville, Maryland, USA. 2016.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. Methods for dietary fibre, neutral detergent fibre and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. Journal of Dairy Science. 1991; 74:3583–3597. https:// doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2 PMID: 1660498
- Agri-Laboratory Association of Southern Africa. Feed and plant analysis methods. AgriLASA, Pretoria, South Africa, 1998.
- Mauricio RM, Mould FL, Dhanoa MS, Owen E, Chana KS, Theodorou MK. A semi-automated in vitro gas production technique for ruminant feedstuff evaluation. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 1999; 79:321-330.
- Mnisi CM, Mlambo V. Influence of harvesting site on chemical composition and potential value of Acacia erioloba, A. nilotica and Ziziphus mucronata leaves for ruminants. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. 2017; 101(5):994-1003. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12535 PMID: 27439886
- Ørskov ER, McDonald I. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. The Journal of Agricultural Science. 1979; 92:499-503.
- 21. SAS. SAS Users Guide: Statistics, Version 9.3 SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA. 2010.
- Lynch JP, O'Kiely P, Murphy R, Doyle M. Changes in chemical composition and digestibility of three maize Stover components digested by white-rot fungi. Journal of Animal Physiology. 2014; 98:731-738. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12131 PMID: 24112093
- Shrivastava B, Jain KK, Kalra A, Kuhad RC. Bioprocessing of wheat straw into nutritionally rich and digested cattle feed. Scientific Reports. 2014; 4:1-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep06360 PMID: 25269679

- Nasehi M. Torbatineiada NM. Zerehdaranb S. Safaiec AS. Effect of solid-state fermentation by oyster mushroom (Pleurotus florida) on nutritive value of some agro by-products. Journal of Applied Animal Research. 2017; 45(1):221-226.
- Bhuvnesh S, Shilpi T, Khasa YP, Akshaya G, Puniya AK, Kuhad RC. White-rot fungal conversion of wheat straw to energy-rich cattle feed. Biodegradation. 2011; 22(4):823-831. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10532-010-9408-2 PMID: 20734121
- El-Rahman HH, Abedo AA, El-Nomeary YAA, Abdel-Magid SS, Mohamed MI. Effect of biological treatments of rice straw on growth performance, digestion and economic efficiency for growing calves. Global Veterinaria. 2014; 13(1):47-54.
- Akinfemi A, Adu OA, Doherty F. Conversion of sorghum stover into the animal. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2010; 9(11):709-712.
- Sallam SM, Nasser ME, El-Waziry AM, Bueno FC, Abdallah AL. Use of yam in vitro rumen gas production technique to evaluate some ruminant feedstuffs. Journal of Applied Sciences Research. 2007; 3:34-41.
- Azim SN, Ahmed MA, Donia FA, Soliman H. Evaluation of Fungal treatment of some agricultural resi-29. dues. Egyptian Journal of Sheep and Goat Sciences. 2011; 6(2):1-10.
- Akinfemi A, Ogunwole OA. Chemical composition and in vitro digestibility of rice straw treated with Pleurotus ostreatus, Pleurotus pulmonarius and Pleurotus tuber-regium. Slovak Journal of Animal Science. 2012: 45:14-20.
- 31. Yilka T. Role of white rot fungi as a biological treatment of low quality animal feeds: Review. Scholarly Journal of Agricultural Science. 2015; 5(7):247-255.
- Sales-Campos C, Ferreira da Eira A, Almeida M, Marli TD, De Andrade N, Meire C. Mineral composition 32. of raw material, substrate and fruiting bodies of Pleurotus ostreatus in culture. Interciencia. 2009; 34 (6):432-436
- NRC. Mineral tolerance of animals (2nd Rev. Ed.). The National Academies Press, Washington D.C., 33. USA. 2005. p. 13.
- Lee CY, Park JE, Kim BB, Kim SM, Ro HS, Determination of mineral components in the cultivation substrates of edible mushrooms and their uptake into fruiting bodies. Mycobiology. 2009; 37(2):109-113. https://doi.org/10.4489/MYCO.2009.37.2.109 PMID: 23983518
- Akinfemi A. Nutritive value and in vitro gas production of fungal treated maize cobs. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. 2010; 10(8):1-10.
- Cone JW, van Gelder AH, Visscher GJ, Oudshoorn L. Influence of rumen fluid and substrate concentration on fermentation kinetics measured with a fully automated time-related gas production apparatus. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 1996; 61:113-128.
- Barnes RF, Nelson CJ, Moore KJ, Collins M. The science of grassland agriculture. 6th ed. V.2. Chicago, USA. 2007. p. 467-499.
- Valizadeh R, Sobhanirad S. The potential of agro-industrial by-products as feed sources for livestock in Khorasan Razavi province of Iran, Journal Animal and Veterinary Advances, 2009; 8(11):2375–2379.
- Raghuwanshi S, Misra S, Saxena RK. Treatment of wheat straw using tannase and white-rot fungus to improve feed utilization by ruminants. Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology. 2014; 5:13–21. https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-1891-5-13 PMID: 24555694
- Samsudin AA. Masori MF. Ibrahim A. The effects of effective microorganisms on the nutritive values of fungal-treated rice straw. Malaysian Journal of Animal Production. 2013; 16:97-105.
- Khattab HM, Gado HM, Salem AZ, Camacho LM, El-Sayed MM. Chemical composition and in vitro digestibility of Pleurotus ostreatus spent rice straw. Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology. 2013; 13:507-516
- Valmaseda M, Almendros G, Martinez AT. Chemical transformation of wheat straw constituents after solid-state fermentation with selected lignocellulose degrading fungi. Biomass and Bioenergy. 1991; 1 (5):261-266
- Gutierrez A, Prieto A, Martinez AT. Structural characterization of extracellular polysaccharides produced by fungi from the genus Pleurotus. Carbohydrate Research. 1996; 281:143–154. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0008-6215(95)00342-8 PMID: 8839181
- Colombatto D, Mould FL, Bhat MK, Owen E. Use of fibrolytic enzymes to improve the nutritive value of ruminant diets-A biochemical and in vitro rumen degradation assessment. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2003; 107:201-209.
- Mlambo V, Mould FL, Sikosana JLN, Smith T, Owen E, Mueller-Harvey I. Chemical composition and in vitro fermentation of tannin-rich tree fruits. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2008; 140:402-417.