

Article



Decreased Bioimpedance Phase Angle in Patients with Diabetic Chronic Kidney Disease Stage 5

Byoung-Geun Han^D, Jun Young Lee^D, Jae-Seok Kim and Jae-Won Yang *^D

Department of Nephrology, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Kang-won 26426, Korea; neptune@yonsei.ac.kr (B.-G.H.); junning@naver.com (J.Y.L.); ripplesong@hanmail.net (J.-S.K.)

* Correspondence: kidney74@yonsei.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-33-741-1242

Received: 16 October 2019; Accepted: 22 November 2019; Published: 25 November 2019



Abstract: Early detection and regular monitoring of the nutritional status of patients with diabetic chronic kidney disease (DMCKD) with reliable tools are necessary. We aimed to determine the clinical significance of the phase angle (PhA) in patients with DMCKD stage 5 not undergoing dialysis. A total of 219 patients (non-diabetic CKD stage 5 [nDMCKD5], n = 84; diabetic CKD stage 5 [DMCKD5], n = 135) were analyzed. The nDMCKD5 group had a significantly higher PhA (p = 0.001), intracellular water/body weight (p = 0.001), and albumin level (p = 0.010) than the DMCKD5 group. The DMCKD5 group experienced significantly more overhydration (p < 0.001). The PhA was positively associated with the lean tissue index (LTI) (r = 0.332; p < 0.001), hemoglobin level (r = 0.223; p = 0.010), albumin level (r = 0.524; p < 0.001), and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR; r = 0.204; p = 0.018) in the DMCKD5 group. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed the eGFR (odds ratio [OR]: 0.824, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.698–0.974); p = 0.023, LTI (OR: 0.771, 95% CI: 0.642–0.926; p = 0.005), and albumin level ($PA < 4.17^{\circ}$) in the DMCKD5 group. Our observations suggest that the PhA could be used as a marker to reflect the nutritional status in patients with DMCKD5.

Keywords: albumin; chronic kidney disease; diabetes type 2; impedance; inflammation; nutrition

1. Introduction

Diabetes or chronic kidney disease (CKD) could be contributing to a poor prognosis related to nutritional status even though the two diseases are common, heterogeneous, and multifactorial. In fact, the prognosis for patients with diabetic chronic kidney disease (DMCKD) is considered to be worse than that for either disease individually. Reduced nutritional supply due to dietary restrictions, loss of appetite, nausea, and anorexia is one of the major contributors leading to undernutrition and waste of protein energy as uremia worsens in patients with DMCKD. However, from a nutritional point of view, there are no established recommendations as to when and how to diagnose nutritional deficiencies and how to initiate nutritional interventions for patients with DMCKD before renal replacement therapy.

Many nutritional assessment tools have been introduced. However, their use in CKD may be limited. The phase angle (PhA) is known as an indicator of cell integrity and a predictor of body cell mass, and has been suggested to be a prognostic, health, functional, and nutritional indicator of several diseases [1–4]. Of course, studies on the PhA have also been published in the area of kidney disease [5–7]. The PhA is a strictly objective rather than subjective measure. Previous PhA studies only included patients undergoing hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis. Pre-dialysis CKD studies have also been performed on an extensive group of patients in all disease stages. However, in order to narrow down our focus group, we only analyzed the PhA in patients with CKD stage 5 (CKD5). In fact, reports that have evaluated and compared the nutritional status and body composition between non-diabetic CKD stage 5 (nDMCKD5) and diabetic CKD stage 5 (DMCKD5) patients at the same time are rare.

The main objectives of this research were to investigate the clinical differences in patients with diabetic and non-diabetic CKD5 not on renal replacement therapy and to evaluate the clinical significance of the PhA in patients with DMCKD5.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients and Data Collection

Since 2014, we have consecutively registered patients with CKD5 to a bioimpedance cohort. Therefore, the current study was a retrospective observational analysis of a prospective cohort database. Patients registered to the cohort underwent bioimpedance spectroscopy (BIS), echocardiography, and laboratory evaluation at the time of enrollment. Because dialysis treatment or kidney transplantation could affect the findings of the above-mentioned assessments, all patients were assessed just before the start of their first renal replacement therapy. Of the 227 total cohort patients, we excluded eight based on the following exclusion criteria: Renal cell carcinoma (n = 1), squamous cell carcinoma (lung, n = 1), hepatocellular carcinoma (n = 2), multiple myeloma (n = 1), type 1 diabetes (n = 1), and liver cirrhosis (n = 2).

In all patients, the BIS was performed using the BCMTM (Body Composition MonitorTM, Fresenius Medical Care AG & Co., Bad Homburg vor der Höhe, Germany), which takes measurements at 50 different frequencies in the range of 5 to 1000 kHz. The PhAs were used as an indicator of the nutritional status. The PhA is an angle value of the time delay between the voltage waveform at 50 kHz and the current waveform. To determine the clinical significance of the PhA in patients with diabetes, the patients were classified into PhA \geq 4.17° and PhA < 4.17° groups based on the median of the PhA in patients with DMCKD5. We evaluated the association of the PhA with standard objective nutritional indices such as the geriatric nutritional risk index (GNRI) and the prognostic nutritional index (PNI) rather than subjective indices. The GNRI is one of the evaluation of the elderly, the PNI with relatively fewer age limitations was compared at the same time [9,10].

The patients were examined for structural and functional cardiac abnormalities such as coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, valvular heart disease, left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, and heart failure with a reduced ejection fraction. To assess the cardiac function and structure, the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography were used for the evaluation [11]. Echocardiography was performed by cardiologists who were completely blinded to the patient information using a 3-MHz transducer and commercial ultrasound system (GE Vivid-7; GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA).

All laboratory studies were performed before the first application of dialysis. The high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) level was measured using a Cobas 8000 modular analyzer (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The normal range of the hs-CRP is below 0.3 g/dL (3 g/L). eGFR calculated using the MDRD formula was based on serum creatinine.

The study was carried out in accordance with the latest revision of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Yonsei University Wonju Severance Christian Hospital. We received written informed consent from all participant patients prior to entering the study.

2.2. Statistical Analysis

The study population characteristics are described as the mean \pm standard deviation or percentage (%). The Student's *t*-test and chi-squared test were used to determine the significance of differences in clinical variables between the groups of patients who were non-diabetic and diabetic. Pearson's correlation coefficients were used to compare the PhA and the other potential explanatory variables between the groups of patients with and without diabetes as well as with the entire study population.

Multivariate logistic regression models were used to determine independent variables associated with undernutrition ($PhA < 4.17^{\circ}$) in patients with DMCKD5. These variables were chosen considering

collinearity among the factors that showed a significant correlation with the PhA. Odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI), and *p*-values were reported. The concordance statistic (c-statistic) was utilized to test the predictive accuracy of the logistic regression model. Goodness of fit for the model was assessed using the Hosmer–Lemeshow test, whereby we considered a value of p < 0.05 to indicate that the model had a poor fit. The level of significance was defined as p < 0.05. All analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 23.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Patient Characteristics

The final number of patients included was 219 (including 135 patients with diabetes, 61.6%) and their mean age was 60.32 ± 13.49 years. There were no significant differences in age and sex distribution between the patients with and without diabetes (Table 1). In the patients with diabetes, cardiac comorbidity was more common than with patients who did not have diabetes (p = 0.007). In addition, more diuretics and lipid lowering agents were given to the patients with diabetes. The primary renal disease in patients without diabetes is summarized in Table 1.

		nDMCKD5	DMCKD5	<i>p</i> -Value
Variables	Total	$\frac{(N-84)}{(N-84)}$	(N - 125)	
		(N = 64)	(N = 155)	
Age, years				
<65	134 (61.2%)	48 (57.1%)	86 (63.7%)	0.333
≥65	85 (38.8%)	36 (42.9%)	49 (36.3%)	
Sex				
Males	125 (57.1%)	46 (54.8%)	79 (58.5%)	0.585
Females	94 (42.9%)	38 (45.2%)	56 (41.5%)	
Cardiac abnormalities				
Yes	129 (58.9%)	40 (47.6%)	89 (65.9%)	0.007
No	90 (41.1%)	44 (52.4%)	46 (34.1%)	
Diuretics				
Yes	142 (64.8%)	46 (54.8%)	96 (71.1%)	0.014
No	77 (35.2%)	38 (45.2%)	39 (28.9%)	
Anticoagulants				
Yes	99 (45.2%)	36 (42.9%)	63 (46.7%)	0.582
No	120 (54.8%)	48 (57.1%)	72 (53.3%)	
Lipid lowering agents				
Yes	121 (55.3%)	39 (46.4%)	82 (60.7%)	0.038
No	98 (44.7%)	45 (53.6%)	53 (39.3%)	
Primary renal disease				
Hypertension	18		-	
CGN	22		-	
FSGS	4		-	
IgAN	11		-	
MGN	1		-	
Hereditary/congenital disease	6		-	
Other	9		-	
Unknown	13		-	

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study patients.

CGN, chronic glomerulonephritis; DMCKD5, diabetic chronic kidney disease stage 5; nDMCKD5, non-diabetic chronic kidney disease stage 5; FSGS, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; IgAN, immunoglobulin A nephropathy; MGN, membranous glomerulonephritis.

The PhA, intracellular water/body weight (ICW/BW), and albumin levels were significantly lower in patients with diabetes than in those without diabetes. The body mass index (BMI) and the variables reflecting volume status (overhydration (OH), overhydration/extracellular water (OH/ECW)) were significantly higher in the diabetic group. However, the hemoglobin, total cholesterol, triglyceride, calcium, phosphorus, and intact parathyroid hormone levels were similar between the two groups. Among the nutritional risk indices, only the PNI showed a significant difference between the two groups (Table 2).

V/asiahlar	Tatal	nDMCKD5	DMCKD5	n-Value	
variables	Iotal	(N = 84)	(N = 135)	- p-value	
Age, years	60.32 ± 13.49	61.64 ± 15.81	59.50 ± 11.81	0.286	
Phase angle, °	4.31 ± 1.22	4.66 ± 1.28	4.10 ± 1.13	0.001	
SBP, mmHg	141.16 ± 19.88	137.11 ± 19.90	143.79 ± 19.38	0.015	
DBP, mmHg	79.53 ± 11.08	79.33 ± 12.39	79.70 ± 10.18	0.820	
BMI, kg/m^2	24.76 ± 4.04	23.50 ± 3.83	25.55 ± 3.99	< 0.001	
BCM, kg	18.63 ± 6.56	18.87 ± 6.57	18.44 ± 6.56	0.673	
ICW/BW, L/kg	0.26 ± 0.05	0.27 ± 0.06	0.25 ± 0.04	0.001	
LTI, kg/m ²	12.95 ± 3.16	13.11 ± 3.15	12.85 ± 3.17	0.548	
FTI, kg/m ²	11.15 ± 9.87	11.08 ± 14.87	11.19 ± 4.65	0.938	
GNRI	92.22 ± 8.72	93.57 ± 8.89	91.38 ± 8.55	0.070	
PNI	34.64 ± 5.69	35.91 ± 5.51	33.83 ± 5.67	0.009	
Hemoglobin, g/dL	9.13 ± 1.26	9.12 ± 1.35	9.14 ± 1.20	0.879	
Total Protein, g/dL	6.14 ± 0.76	6.17 ± 0.69	6.13 ± 0.80	0.661	
Albumin, g/dL	3.47 ± 0.57	3.59 ± 0.55	3.39 ± 0.56	0.010	
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	148.18 ± 47.01	149.88 ± 46.03	147.13 ± 47.75	0.674	
Triglyceride, mg/dL	129.93 ± 74.28	134.35 ± 96.17	127.81 ± 56.88	0.593	
Glucose, mg/dL	144.54 ± 68.93	123.96 ± 41.20	157.35 ± 79.04	< 0.001	
Ccalcium, mg/dL	7.67 ± 1.06	7.72 ± 1.15	7.64 ± 1.00	0.597	
P, mg/dL	5.94 ± 1.60	5.99 ± 1.67	5.90 ± 1.56	0.677	
Magnesium, mg/dL	2.32 ± 0.48	2.27 ± 0.40	2.35 ± 0.53	0.274	
Uric acid, mg/dL	8.08 ± 2.40	7.75 ± 2.09	8.28 ± 2.57	0.121	
iPTH, pg/mL	303.76 ± 206.06	331.71 ±	$286.18 \pm$	0.150	
·····	200.00	253.08	168.73		
hs-CRP, mg/dL	1.38 ± 2.87	1.27 ± 2.46	1.45 ± 3.11	0.656	
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	7.04 ± 2.71	6.75 ± 2.61	7.21 ± 2.77	0.225	
OH, liter	2.86 ± 3.57	1.77 ± 2.66	3.54 ± 3.90	< 0.001	
OH/ECW, %	14.61 ± 14.63	9.99 ± 14.34	17.53 ± 14.12	< 0.001	

Table 2. Parameters compared between the non-diabetic and diabetic groups.

BCM, body cell mass; BMI, body mass index; BW, body weight; FTI, fat tissue index; DBPDBS, diastolic blood pressure; DMCKD, diabetic chronic kidney disease; nDMCKD, non-diabetic chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; ICW, intracellular water; LTI, lean tissue index; PNI, prognostic nutritional index; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone; SBPSBS, systolic blood pressure.

3.2. Univariate Correlation Analysis

The PhA was positively correlated with the body cell mass (BCM), ICW/BW, and lean tissue index (LTI) in all patient groups. The PhA was also positively correlated with serum protein and albumin levels in patients both with and without diabetes. Similarly, the PhA showed a strong positive correlation with GNRI and PNI in all patient groups. The hs-CRP and magnesium levels showed a significant negative correlation with the PhA only in the patients with diabetes patients. In particular, the BMI showed a positive correlation with the PhA only in the patients without diabetes but not in patients with diabetes (Table 3).

Variables	Phase Angle, All Patients		Phase Angle, Non-Diabetic		Phase Angle, Diabetic	
variables -	Correlation Coefficient	<i>p</i> -Value	Correlation Coefficient	<i>p</i> -Value	Correlation Coefficient	<i>p</i> -Value
Age, years	-0.223	0.001	-0.512	< 0.001	0.000	0.999
SBP, mmHg	-0.195	0.004	-0.234	0.032	-0.129	0.137
DBP, mmHg	-0.017	0.804	0.021	0.853	-0.069	0.427
BMI, kg/m ²	0.074	0.274	0.291	0.007	0.039	0.655
BCM, kg	0.446	< 0.001	0.639	< 0.001	0.328	< 0.001
ICW/BW, L/kg	0.440	< 0.001	0.433	< 0.001	0.391	< 0.001
LTI, kg/m ²	0.459	< 0.001	0.659	< 0.001	0.332	< 0.001
FTI, kg/m ²	0.049	0.470	0.074	0.506	0.021	0.810
GNRI	0.526	< 0.001	0.492	< 0.001	0.533	< 0.001
PNI	0.518	< 0.001	0.461	< 0.001	0.524	< 0.001
Hemoglobin, g/dL	0.168	0.013	0.115	0.299	0.223	0.010
Total Protein, g/dL	0.401	< 0.001	0.303	0.005	0.472	< 0.001
Albumin, g/dL	0.517	< 0.001	0.461	< 0.001	0.524	< 0.001
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	-0.041	0.546	0.017	0.878	-0.096	0.272
Triglyceride, mg/dL	0.181	0.007	0.193	0.079	0.164	0.058
Glucose, mg/dL	0.033	0.627	0.016	0.887	0.127	0.142
Ccalcium, mg/dL	0.004	0.958	-0.111	0.316	0.081	0.354
P, mg/dL	-0.094	0.167	-0.092	0.405	-0.110	0.205
Magnesium, mg/dL	-0.137	0.049	-0.027	0.815	-0.182	0.039
Uric acid, mg/dL	-0.043	0.540	-0.200	0.076	0.082	0.358
iPTH, pg/mL	0.092	0.182	0.128	0.249	0.003	0.972
hs-CRP, mg/dL	-0.208	0.003	-0.042	0.712	-0.307	0.001
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	0.137	0.043	0.095	0.390	0.204	0.018
HbA1C, %	-	-	-	-	0.088	0.359

Table 3. Correlations between variables.

BCM, body cell mass; BMI, body mass index; BW, body weight; FTI, fat tissue index; DBPS, diastolic blood pressure; DMCKD, diabetic chronic kidney disease; nDMCKD, non-diabetic chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; HbA1C, hemoglobin A1C; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; ICW, intracellular water; LTI, lean tissue index; PNI, prognostic nutritional index; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone; SBPS, systolic blood pressure.

3.3. Differences According to the Median PhA in Patients with DMCKD5

Among the BIS parameters, BCM, ICW/BW, and LTI were significantly greater in the well-nourished group (PhA \geq 4.17°). The undernourished group (PhA < 4.17°) presented with lower scores of nutritional risk indices in both the GNRI and the PNI and with lower levels of hemoglobin, albumin, and eGFR. In contrast, indices reflecting the fluid balance (OH, OH/ECW) were significantly higher. The hs-CRP was also significantly higher in this patient group (Table 4).

3.4. Multivariate Analysis Using Logistic Regression in Patients with DMCKD5

Although the hs-CRP was correlated with the PhA in an unadjusted model, there was no significant relationship between the variables in the multivariate analysis. Among the significantly different variables between the well-nourished and undernourished groups, the eGFR, albumin level, and LTI remained in the multivariate analysis with statistical significance. These variables were chosen considering collinearity among the factors. The Hosmer–Lemeshow tests showed that the goodness of fit was significant in the multivariate analysis (p = 0.612). The c-statistic was 0.850 (95% CI 0.782–0.918; p < 0.001) in the multivariate analysis (Table 5).

Mariah laa	Phase Angle < 4.17 $^{\circ}$	hase Angle < 4.17° Phase Angle \geq 4.17°		
variables	(N = 66)	(N = 69)	p-value	
Age, years	59.49 ± 13.45	59.30 ± 10.09	0.928	
Phase angle, °	3.09 ± 0.58	5.03 ± 0.59	< 0.001	
SBP, mmHg	147.38 ± 20.15	140.88 ± 18.55	0.053	
DBP, mmHg	80.71 ± 11.47	79.17 ± 9.45	0.396	
BMI, kg/m ²	25.22 ± 4.21	25.83 ± 3.74	0.377	
BCM, kg	16.34 ± 6.70	20.45 ± 5.79	< 0.001	
ICW/BW, L/kg	0.24 ± 0.04	0.27 ± 0.04	< 0.001	
LTI, kg/m ²	11.81 ± 3.36	13.81 ± 2.65	< 0.001	
FTI, kg/m ²	11.10 ± 4.69	11.29 ± 4.62	0.814	
GNRI	87.44 ± 8.22	95.08 ± 7.11	< 0.001	
PNI	31.23 ± 5.28	36.16 ± 4.83	< 0.001	
Hemoglobin, g/dL	8.90 ± 1.06	9.37 ± 1.29	0.024	
Total Protein, g/dL	5.80 ± 0.74	6.42 ± 0.74	< 0.001	
Albumin, g/dL	3.13 ± 0.52	3.62 ± 0.48	< 0.001	
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	146.42 ± 54.81	147.13 ± 40.44	0.931	
Triglycerides, mg/dL	113.63 ± 49.63	139.70 ± 59.76	0.007	
Glucose, mg/dL	148.72 ± 66.42	166.07 ± 89.43	0.199	
Calcium, mg/dL	7.54 ± 0.86	7.73 ± 1.11	0.283	
P, mg/dL	6.17 ± 1.55	5.66 ± 1.53	0.061	
Magnesium, mg/dL	2.43 ± 0.55	2.26 ± 0.49	0.065	
Uric acid, mg/dL	8.27 ± 2.54	8.25 ± 2.60	0.967	
iPTH, pg/mL	294.89 ± 174.00	282.37 ± 163.93	0.671	
hs-CRP, mg/dL	2.11 ± 3.90	0.84 ± 1.94	0.027	
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	6.47 ± 2.62	7.84 ± 2.70	0.003	
OH, liter	5.57 ± 4.46	1.59 ± 1.70	< 0.001	
OH/ECW, %	27.07 ± 12.30	8.46 ± 8.49	< 0.001	
HbA1C, %	6.78 ± 1.41	7.23 ± 1.88	0.157	

Table 4. Compared parameters according to the median of the phase angle in patients with DMCKD5.

BCM, body cell mass; BMI, body mass index; BW, body weight; FTI, fat tissue index; DBPS, diastolic blood pressure; DMCKD, diabetic chronic kidney disease; ECW, extracellular water; nDMCKD, non-diabetic chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; ICW, intracellular water; LTI, lean tissue index; PNI, prognostic nutritional index; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone; OH, overhydration; SBPS, systolic blood pressure.

Table 5. Factors independently associated with $PhA \ge 4.17^{\circ}$ in patients with DMCKD5.

Variables	Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis-Adjusted		
variables	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Age, years	1.001 (0.973–1.031)	0.926	0.998 (0.959–1.038)	0.920	
Sex, males	2.743 (1.355-5.554)	0.005	1.284 (0.458-3.602)	0.634	
Diuretics use, yes	1.191 (0.564–2.515)	0.646	1.955 (0.652-5.862)	0.232	
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	0.810 (0.699–0.939)	0.005	0.824 (0.698-0.974)	0.023	
Albumin, g/dL	0.138 (0.060-0.319)	< 0.001	0.131 (0.051-0.338)	< 0.001	
LTI, kg/m ²	0.792 (0.694-0.903)	< 0.001	0.771 (0.642-0.926)	0.005	
hs-CRP, mg/dL	0.182 (1.003-1.392)	0.046	1.096 (0.914–1.315)	0.323	

CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; LTI, lean tissue index; OR, odds ratio.

4. Discussion

In general, reduced protein intake, limited sodium and potassium intake, and reduced phosphorus intake in patients with CKD are emphasized. However, there is still controversy as to the relative advantages and disadvantages of limiting protein intake to prevent the progression of CKD without causing protein energy wasting [12]. Nutritional therapy, which can prevent the deterioration of kidney

function in patients with DMCKD, and even better control of blood sugar, blood pressure, and lipid profile, are also very important [13].

Diabetologists, nephrologists, and nutritionists should be involved in treating patients with DMCKD who are getting worse, because the exact assessments of the nutritional status of those patients and the proper nutritional interventions for those patients are never easy and simple [14]. Most importantly, dietary advice should be tailored to the individual and the stage of DMCKD. In clinical practice, there is a need for a noninvasive, objective, fast, and reproducible method to assess the nutritional status of patients with diabetes and/or CKD for a team approach.

The PhA is the angle of the vector formed by the body's reactance and resistance. The PhA is specifically correlated with muscle mass, muscle strength, and frailty scales. In many diseases, the PhA is known to be linked to nutritional status and to predict morbidity and mortality for certain diseases [1–3]. The PhA can also reflect the nutritional status of patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD) undergoing maintenance hemodialysis or not on dialysis [5–7]. The PhA has been used to study other diseases, but few studies have been conducted exclusively on patients with DMCKD stage 5. Generally, a low PhA may suggest deterioration of the cell membrane and cellular dysfunction. A low PhA is associated with frailty [15–17] as well as being associated with sarcopenia [18–20]. In this study, there was a significant association between the PhA and OH/ECW, but we excluded OH/ECW from the multivariate analysis. The PhA was significantly associated with LTI and BCM when statistical analysis was performed separately for the whole patient group and DMCKD patient group (Table 3). On the other hand, OH/ECW was not associated with LTI (p = 0.200) and BCM (p = 0.069) in patients with DMCKD. Therefore, we thought that the PhA could better reflect nutritional status clinically.

The loss of muscle mass was also significantly related to the glomerular filtration rate decline [18]. Skeletal muscle wasting is associated with mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events in patients with ESRD [21]. Furthermore, undernutrition is a key contributor to the development of sarcopenia and frailty in those with CKD. Decreased muscle mass, strength, and function are also associated with diabetes and lead to frailty and disability, eventually [22]. Therefore, early detection of undernutrition and an appropriate nutritional approach across the stages of DMCKD is not only essential to obtain optimal healthy lifestyle, but also to reduce the risk of frailty and cardiovascular events. In the diabetic group of our study, the LTI was associated with the PhA, suggesting that the PhA could be a marker to predict sarcopenia and frailty.

The BMI was higher in the diabetic group compared to the non-diabetic group, but the LTI and fat tissue index (FTI) were not different between the two groups, which may be due to other factors. Considering that more patients in the diabetic group were taking diuretics and BMI did not reflect the volume status of the patients, this may be related to fluid overload. In our study, fluid overload was observed in the diabetic group.

Patient risk stratification based on nutritional status can provide useful prognostic information and identify those at high risk. The GNRI is one of the most commonly used nutritional indices [8]. The PNI has been reported to be associated with cardiovascular mortality in patients with incident peritoneal dialysis [23]. In this study, we used Onodera's modified PNI calculated using serum albumin levels and peripheral lymphocyte counts [9]. The lymphocyte count may reflect the degree of inflammation or immune index. The lymphocyte count is one of the principal biohumoral parameters of undernutrition. Therefore, the PNI could be a marker predicting the risk of undernutrition. Both the GNRI and PNI were highly associated with the PhA. However, since albumin levels are implied in the GNRI and PNI values, GNRI and PNI were eventually excluded from the multivariate analysis in this study. Our findings suggest that the PhA could not only be a single marker to assess nutritional status, but potentially a member of a new combined risk indicator in the future.

Serum albumin level alone could not be used as a pure marker of the nutritional status in patients on dialysis because low albumin levels are often associated with chronic systemic inflammation and other factors that are not nutrition-related. Analysis of serum albumin levels for predicting mortality risk in ESRD should always be combined with the measurement of hs-CRP levels [24]. In our study, albumin levels showed an independent association with the PhA, but hs-CRP levels did not (Table 5). Therefore, our results suggest that it may be necessary to use a combination of the PhA and hs-CRP levels when testing models that predict sarcopenia, frailty, and/or mortality. Chronic inflammatory conditions, which are common in patients with DMCKD, have been recognized as a major contributor to cardiovascular disease, protein energy wasting, osteoporosis, sarcopenia, and frailty [25–27].

This study includes several limitations. First, this was a single-center study that included a relatively small number of patients and the design was a retrospective observational study. Second, not all patients with DMCKD had a kidney biopsy performed to confirm their diagnosis. Third, there was no assessment of the duration of diabetes, potentially leading to bias. Fourth, this study cannot be generalized to the other stage CKD populations. Fifth, serial changes in the PhA in relation to the removal of uremic toxins by renal replacement therapy were not assessable over time. Lastly, even though all patients received renal nutritional recommendations such as low protein diet, restriction of sodium, potassium, phosphate, and water intake, the amount of protein intake was not controlled, and the dietary intake was not assessed at the time of enrollment. In fact, patients immediately prior to dialysis requirement may be very heterogeneous with respect to fluid balance, food consumption, potential anorexia, taste changes, etc. However, we attempted to analyze a patient population (CKD stage 5) that is relatively homogenous in terms of eGFR. There was no significant difference in eGFR between the diabetic and non-diabetic groups in our study. We also objectively assessed the nutritional status of patients using the PhA. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the clinical significance of the PhA in patients with DMCKD5.

5. Conclusions

A biomarker that is not affected by hydration status, stage of renal function, and blood glucose levels in patients with DMCKD is ideal and necessary. Our observations suggest that the PhA, although influenced by hydration status, could be a marker that reflects nutritional status in patients with DMCKD. However, larger prospective studies are needed to determine the significance of the PhA to populations in other stages of DMCKD and the responsiveness of the PhA to interventions aimed at improving nutritional status.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.-G.H.; methodology, B.-G.H. and J.Y.L.; software, B.-G.H. and J.Y.L.; validation, B.-G.H. and J.-W.Y.; formal analysis, B.-G.H. and J.-W.Y.; investigation, B.-G.H. and J.-W.Y.; resources, B.-G.H., J.-S.K. and J.-W.Y.; data curation, B.-G.H. and J.Y.L.; writing—original draft preparation, B.-G.H.; writing—review and editing, B.-G.H. and J.-W.Y.; visualization, B.-G.H. and J.Y.L.; supervision, J.-W.Y.; project administration, B.-G.H. and J.-W.Y.; funding acquisition, B.-G.H. and J.-W.Y.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.co.kr) for English language editing.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Ruiz-Margáin, A.; Macías-Rodríguez, R.U.; Duarte-Rojo, A.; Ríos-Torres, S.L.; Espinosa-Cuevas, Á.; Torre, A. Malnutrition assessed through phase angle and its relation to prognosis in patients with compensated liver cirrhosis: A prospective cohort study. *Dig. Liver Dis.* 2015, 47, 309–314. [CrossRef]
- Grundmann, O.; Yoon, S.L.; Williams, J.J. The value of bioelectrical impedance analysis and phase angle in the evaluation of malnutrition and quality of life in cancer patients—A comprehensive review. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2015, 69, 1290–1297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Alves, F.D.; Souza, G.C.; Clausell, N.; Biolo, A. Prognostic role of phase angle in hospitalized patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Clin. Nutr.* **2016**, *35*, 1530–1534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shin, J.H.; Kim, C.R.; Park, K.H.; Hwang, J.H.; Kim, S.H. Predicting clinical outcomes using phase angle as assessed by bioelectrical impedance analysis in maintenance hemodialysis patients. *Nutrition* 2017, 41, 7–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Rimsevicius, L.; Gincaite, A.; Vicka, V.; Sukackiene, D.; Pavinic, J.; Miglinas, M. Malnutrition assessment in hemodialysis patients: Role of bioelectrical impedance analysis phase angle. *J. Ren. Nutr.* 2016, 26, 391–395. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Han, B.G.; Lee, J.Y.; Kim, J.S.; Yang, J.W. Clinical significance of phase angle in non-dialysis CKD stage 5 and peritoneal dialysis patients. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 1331. [CrossRef]
- Arias-Guillén, M.; Perez, E.; Herrera, P.; Romano, B.; Ojeda, R.; Vera, M.; Ríos, J.; Fontseré, N.; Maduell, F. Bioimpedance spectroscopy as a practical tool for the early detection and prevention of protein-energy wasting in hemodialysis patients. *J. Ren. Nutr.* 2018, *28*, 324–332. [CrossRef]
- Bouillanne, O.; Morineau, G.; Dupont, C.; Coulombel, I.; Vincent, J.P.; Nicolis, I.; Benazeth, S.; Cynober, L.; Aussel, C. Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index: A new index for evaluating at-risk elderly medical patients. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2005, *82*, 777–783. [CrossRef]
- 9. Onodera, T.; Goseki, N.; Kosaki, G. Prognostic nutritional index in gastrointestinal surgery of malnourished cancer patients. *Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi* **1984**, *85*, 1001–1005.
- 10. Sun, K.; Chen, S.; Xu, J.; Li, G.; He, Y. The prognostic significance of the prognostic nutritional index in cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* **2014**, *140*, 1537–1549. [CrossRef]
- Mitchell, C.; Rahko, P.S.; Blauwet, L.A.; Canaday, B.; Finstuen, J.A.; Foster, M.C.; Horton, K.; Ogunyankin, K.O.; Palma, R.A.; Velazquez, E.J. Guidelines for performing a comprehensive transthoracic echocardiographic examination in adults: Recommendations from the American Society of Echocardiography. J. Am. Soc. Echocardiogr. 2019, 32, 1–64. [CrossRef]
- 12. Kiuchi, A.; Ohashi, Y.; Tai, R.; Aoki, T.; Mizuiri, S.; Ogura, T.; Aikawa, A.; Sakai, K. Association between low dietary protein intake and geriatric nutrition risk index in patients with chronic kidney disease: A retrospective single-center cohort study. *Nutrients* **2016**, *8*, 662. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Kitada, M.; Ogura, Y.; Monno, I.; Koya, D. A low-protein diet for diabetic kidney disease: Its effect and molecular mechanism, an approach from animal studies. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 544. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bataille, S.; Landrier, J.F.; Astier, J.; Cado, S.; Sallette, J.; Giaime, P.; Sampol, J.; Sichez, H.; Ollier, J.; Gugliotta, J.; et al. Haemodialysis patients with diabetes eat less than those without: A plea for a permissive diet. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 2017, 22, 712–719. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Wilhelm-Leen, E.R.; Hall, Y.N.; Horwitz, R.I.; Chertow, G.M. Phase angle, frailty and mortality in older adults. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* **2014**, *29*, 147–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mullie, L.; Obrand, A.; Bendayan, M.; Trnkus, A.; Ouimet, M.C.; Moss, E.; Chen-Tournoux, A.; Rudski, L.G.; Afilalo, J. Phase angle as a biomarker for frailty and postoperative mortality: The BICS Study. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 2018, 7, e008721. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tanaka, S.; Ando, K.; Kobayashi, K.; Seki, T.; Hamada, T.; Machino, M.; Ota, K.; Morozumi, M.; Kanbara, S.; Ito, S.; et al. Low bioelectrical impedance phase angle is a significant risk factor for frailty. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2019, 2019, 7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, Y.; Hellberg, M.; Svensson, P.; Höglund, P.; Clyne, N. Sarcopenia and relationships between muscle mass, measured glomerular filtration rate and physical function in patients with chronic kidney disease stages 3–5. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2017, 33, 342–348. [CrossRef]
- Basile, C.; Della-Morte, D.; Cacciatore, F.; Gargiulo, G.; Galizia, G.; Roselli, M.; Curcio, F.; Bonaduce, D.; Abete, P. Phase angle as bioelectrical marker to identify elderly patients at risk of sarcopenia. *Exp. Gerontol.* 2014, 58, 43–46. [CrossRef]
- Kilic, M.K.; Kizilarslanoglu, M.C.; Arik, G.; Bolayir, B.; Kara, O.; Dogan Varan, H.; Sumer, F.; Kuyumcu, M.E.; Halil, M.; Ulger, Z. Association of bioelectrical impedance analysis–derived phase angle and sarcopenia in older adults. *Nutr. Clin. Pract.* 2017, 32, 103–109. [CrossRef]
- Gollie, J.M.; Harris-Love, M.O.; Patel, S.S.; Argani, S. Chronic kidney disease: Considerations for monitoring skeletal muscle health and prescribing resistance exercise. *Clin. Kidney J.* 2018, *11*, 822–831. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Sinclair, A.J.; Abdelhafiz, A.H.; Rodriguez-Mañas, L. Frailty and Sarcopenia—Newly emerging and high impact complications of diabetes. *J. Diabetes Complicat.* **2017**, *31*, 1465–1473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Peng, F.; Chen, W.; Zhou, W.; Li, P.; Niu, H.; Chen, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Long, H. Low prognostic nutritional index associated with cardiovascular disease mortality in incident peritoneal dialysis patients. *Int. Urol. Nephrol.* 2017, 49, 1095–1101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Mukai, H.; Villafuerte, H.; Qureshi, A.R.; Lindholm, B.; Stenvinkel, P. Serum albumin, inflammation, and nutrition in end-stage renal disease: C-reactive protein is needed for optimal assessment. *Semin. Dial.* 2018, *31*, 435–439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Lee, M.R.; Jung, S.M.; Bang, H.; Kim, H.S.; Kim, Y.B. Association between muscle strength and type 2 diabetes mellitus in adults in Korea: Data from the Korea national health and nutrition examination survey (KNHANES) VI. *Medicine* **2018**, *97*, e10984. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Nixon, A.C.; Bampouras, T.M.; Pendleton, N.; Woywodt, A.; Mitra, S.; Dhaygude, A. Frailty and chronic kidney disease: Current evidence and continuing uncertainties. *Clin. Kidney J.* **2018**, *11*, 236–245. [CrossRef]
- 27. Cobo, G.; Lindholm, B.; Stenvinkel, P. Chronic inflammation in end-stage renal disease and dialysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* **2018**, *33* (Suppl. 3), iii35–iii40. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).