Research Article

A Noninvasive Prediction Nomogram for Lymph Node Metastasis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Based on Serum Long Noncoding RNAs

Jie Ma,¹ Li Zhang,¹ Hai-Rong Bian,² Zheng-Guo Lu,² Lian Zhu,² Ping Yang,¹ Zhao-Chong Zeng,¹ and Zuo-Lin Xiang,²

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China ²Department of Radiation Oncology, Shanghai East Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhao-Chong Zeng; zeng.zhaochong@zs-hospital.sh.cn and Zuo-Lin Xiang; xiangzuolinmd@hotmail.com

Received 12 April 2019; Revised 17 May 2019; Accepted 23 May 2019; Published 1 July 2019

Guest Editor: Bin Duan

Copyright © 2019 Jie Ma et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background and Objectives. Lymph node metastasis (LNM) is common in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). In order to intervene HCC LNM in advance, we developed a prediction nomogram based on serum long noncoding RNA (lncRNA). *Methods.* Serum samples from 242 HCC patients were gathered and randomly enrolled into the training and validation cohorts. LncRNAs screened out from microarray were quantified with qRT-PCR. Univariate and multivariate analyses were applied for screening independent risk factors. A prediction nomogram was ultimately developed for HCC LNM. The nomogram was estimated by discrimination and calibration tests in the validation cohort. The effects of the candidate lncRNA on the malignant phenotypes of HCC cells were further explored by wound healing assay and colony formation assay. *Results.* ENST00000418803, lnc-ZNF35-4:1, lnc-EPS15L1-2:1, BCLC stage, and vascular invasion were selected as components of the nomogram according to the adjusted multivariate analysis. The nomogram effectively predicted the HCC LNM risk among the cohorts with suitable calibration fittings and displayed high discrimination with C-index of 0.89 and 0.85. Moreover, the abnormally high expression of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 in HCC cell lines showed significant carcinogenic effects. *Conclusions.* The noninvasive nomogram may provide more diagnostic basis for treatments of HCC. The biomarkers identified can bring new clues to basic researches.

1. Introduction

Liver cancer is the second leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide, with approximately 850, 000 new cases occurring each year [1]. Among all the cases of liver cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for about 90% [2]. Due to the poor prognosis of HCC, its mortality ranks third among all cancer types and shows an upward trend on a global scale [3, 4]. Compared with other prognostic factors, metastasis is one of the main reasons for cancer-related death [5]. Thereinto, lymph node metastasis (LNM) is common within extrahepatic metastases, second only to lung metastasis [6]. It was reported that the intraoperative detection rate of HCC LNM was 0.75%–7.5%, while the detection rate during autopsy was as high as 30.3% [6]. In the previous follow-up, we have found that around 10.3% of HCC

patients after hepatectomy will develop LNM [7]. Although external beam radiotherapy is an effective means of treating HCC LNM, the prognosis of patients with LNM is worse than that of patients without LNM [8, 9].

Until now, the mechanisms underlying the development of LNM in HCC are poorly understood. Screening for biomarkers associated with HCC LNM can effectively identify high-risk patients, thereby taking steps to prevent disease progression after curative resection. In the previous studies, we have identified multiple biomarkers that are closely related to HCC LNM, such as hypoxia inducible factor- 1α (HIF- 1α), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2)[7]. At this stage, we urgently hope to find out noninvasive and specific diagnostic markers to prevent the occurrence of HCC LNM.

Forward primer	Reverse primer	Product length	Tm (°C)
5'-CTGAGCACCAAAAGTCTGGC-3'	5'-GCACTCCCATTGTTCGGGAT-3'	90	60
5'- GCATAGGCATAAATTAGGATCTGGAGA-3'	5'-TGGAAGGGAGGGGAGTGGAT-3'	128	60
5'-GAGTGAGTTTGTGGACCCAGATTTC- 3'	5'-GGTCATGTTATATGCTTGCCTTCAG-3'	111	60
5'-TCCCCAACTTTCTGAGACTGT-3'	5'-AAGGAAGTGTGATCCGAGAGGT-3'	133	60
5'-GGATGCCCTAAAGGACTCCG-3'	5'-CCTCCAGATGGCCGTTTTCT-3'	94	60
	Forward primer 5'-CTGAGCACCAAAAGTCTGGC-3' 5'- GCATAGGCATAAATTAGGATCTGGAGA-3' 5'-GAGTGAGTTTGTGGACCCAGATTTC- 3' 5'-TCCCCAACTTTCTGAGACTGT-3' 5'-GGATGCCCTAAAGGACTCCG-3'	Forward primerReverse primer5'-CTGAGCACCAAAAGTCTGGC-3'5'-GCACTCCCATTGTTCGGGAT-3'5'-GAGTGAGCATAAATTAGGATCTGGAGAA-3'5'-TGGAAGGGAGGGGAGTGGAT-3'5'-GAGTGAGTTTGTGGACCCAGATTC-3'5'-GGTCATGTTATAGCTTGCCTTCAG-3'5'-TCCCCAACTTTCTGAGACTGT-3'5'-AAGGAAGTGTGATCCGAGAGGT-3'5'-GGATGCCCTAAAGGACTCCG-3'5'-CCTCCAGATGGCCGTTTTCT-3'	Forward primerReverse primerProduct length5'-CTGAGCACCAAAAGTCTGGC-3'5'-GCACTCCCATTGTTCGGGAT-3'905'-GCATAGGCATAAATTAGGATCTGGAGA-3'5'-TGGAAGGGAGGGGAGTGGAT-3'1285'-GAGTGAGTTGTGGACCCAGATTC- 3'5'-GGTCATGTTATATGCTTGCCTTCAG-3'1115'-TCCCCAACTTTCTGAGACTGT-3'5'-AAGGAAGTGTGATCCGAGAGGT-3'1335'-GGATGCCCTAAAGGACTCCG-3'5'-CCTCCAGATGGCCGTTTTCT-3'94

TABLE 1: Primer sequences designed for qRT-PCR.

Researches have found that lncRNAs are involved in multiple steps of cancer development, and they can be used as sensitive biomarkers to predict cancer metastasis [10, 11]. Moreover, lncRNAs have been identified in body fluids [12–14] and are emerging as novel biomarkers for disease and as targets for disease intervention [15, 16]. Based on the expression profile of serum lncRNAs in HCC LNM [17], we quantitatively analyzed the candidate lncRNAs in the serum samples of 242 patients and evaluated the correlation between the lncRNAs and HCC LNM. The biomarkers with potential predictive efficacy were finally screened out, and a prediction nomogram for HCC LNM based on serum lncRNAs was constructed for the first time in combination with clinicopathological parameters.

By applying prediction nomogram for noninvasive risk assessment, HCC patients at high risk for LNM could receive prophylactic radiotherapy towards regional lymph nodes, which could effectively reduce the incidence of LNM and prolong the overall survival of HCC patients [18–20]. Moreover, the abnormal expression and function of the HCC LNM-related serum lncRNA at cellular level may provide a basis for the mechanism study.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients and Serum Samples. The enrolled patients received treatments at Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, from 2012 to 2016 and were pathologically diagnosed after surgery. The blood samples from the enrolled patients were collected at the time of surgery and the serums were subsequently extracted with centrifugation $(1000 \times g, 10)$ min, 4°C). The serum samples were than stored at -80°C immediately. All the enrolled patients had complete medical history and clinicopathological data with follow-up and regular clinical examinations and were randomly assigned to the training and validation cohorts in a ratio of 2:1. The grouping and exclusion of the enrolled patients are shown in Figure 1. Among the included clinical indicators, the grade of tumor differentiation was determined using the Edmondson grading system and tumor size was measured depending on the maximum diameter of the tumor specimen. The vascular invasion was evaluated with microscopic examination of resected specimens.

All the procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee. 2.2. Follow-Up and Postoperative Treatments. All the enrolled patients received routine follow-up every 3 months after surgery, which contains clinical examinations and medical history collection. Clinical examinations are composed of abdominal ultrasound examination, liver function test, and blood routine measurement, and all the examinations were performed by doctors who were blind to this study. When HCC LNM was suspected, CT scanning or MRI was carried out immediately for diagnosis. Once diagnosed with HCC LNM, patients were treated with radiotherapy for regional lymph nodes.

2.3. RNA Isolation and qRT-PCR. In order to relatively quantify the target lncRNAs, cel-mir-39-3p and GAPDH were used as the external and inner reference, respectively. Total RNAs were isolated from the serum samples using TRIzol LS reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions. Specifically, 0.75 ml TRIzol LS reagent (Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA, US) was added into 0.25 ml serum sample, and 50 fmol of cel-miR-39 was then added for normalization. Cell-derived RNAs were isolated using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions. After assessing the purity of the extracted total RNA, the process of reverse transcription was performed using Prime Script RT reagent Kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan). The primer sequences were listed in Table 1. QRT-PCR analyses were conducted using SYBR® Premix Ex Taq[™] (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) in the 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The relative expression levels of the candidate lncRNAs were normalized against reference standards by utilizing $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method.

2.4. Cell Culture. Human hepatocyte cell line QSG-7701 and human HCC cell lines SMMC-7721, HuH-7, HepG2, MHCC-97H, MHCC-97L, and HCC-LM3 were cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum in a constant temperature incubator at 5% CO₂, 37°C.

2.5. Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization. The fixed cells were infiltrated with citrate buffer at room temperature and placed in the cell punching solution for 10 minutes. The cells were immersed in prehybridized working solution at 42°C in the dark and incubated for 2 hours in a wet box. The sample was washed with 0.2×sodium citrate buffer and the hybridization working fluid containing lncRNA probe was then added for overnight rest. After removing the cover glass,

	Training cohort				Validation cohort			
Variable	All	NLNM	LNM	LNM <i>P</i> (n=20)	All	NLNM	LNM	Р
	(n=157)	(n=137)	(n=20)		(n=85)	(n=76)	(n=9)	
Age								
≤ 51	69	58	11	0.000	39	33	6	0.000
> 51	88	79	9	0.339	46	43	3	0.290
Gender								
male	131	112	19	0.001	65	58	7	
female	26	25	1	0.201	20	18	2	1
HBsAg								
negative	12	9	3	0.100	6	6	0	
positive	145	128	17	0.183	79	70	9	1
HCV-Ab								
negative	154	134	20		81	75	6	
positive	3	3	0	1	4	1	3	0.003*
AFP, ng/mL								
≤ 20	44	37	7	0.400	27	25	2	
> 20	113	100	13	0.438	58	51	7	0.712
Tumor differentiation								
I-II	118	104	14		68	61	7	
III-IV	39	33	6	0.584	17	15	2	1
Child-Pugh score			-				_	
A	152	132	20		83	75	8	
В	5	5	0	1	2	1	1	0.202
Intrahepatic metastasis	U U	C C	0		_	-	-	
no	114	101	13		66	61	5	
ves	43	36	7	0.428	19	15	4	0.108
Tumor size, cm	10	00	,			10	-	
< 5	89	79	10		55	48	7	0.483
> 5	68	58	10	0.630	30	28	2	
Vascular invasion	00	00	10		00	20	-	
10	117	107	10		59	54	5	
ves	40	30	10	0.012*	26	22	4	0.446
BCLC stage	10	00	10		20		-	
0-A	129	116	13		74	69	5	
B-C	28	21	7	0.054	11	7	4	0.014*
ALT. U/L			-			·	-	
< 40	74	63	11		41	36	5	
> 40	83	74	9	0.481	44	40	4	0.733
v-GT. U/L		, -	-				-	
< 50	35	30	5		18	16	2	
> 50	122	107	15	0.776	67	60	7	1
Liver cirrhosis		107	10		0,	00		
no	18	16	2		9	9	0	0.588
ves	139	121	18	1	76	67	9	
Tumor number							-	
single	108	93	15		64	60	4	
multiple	49	44	5	0.613	21	16	5	0.037*
Distant metastasis		* *	-				2	
no	97	84	13		55	53	2	
ves	60	53	7	0.810	30	23	7	0.008*
ENST00000418803						-		

TABLE 2: Clinicopathological characteristics of the study cohorts.

		Training cohort				Validation cohort			
Variable	All	NLNM	LNM	D	All	All NLNM LN (n=85) (n=76) (n=	LNM	D	
(n=157	(n=157)	(n=137)	(n=20)	1	(n=85)		(n=9))	
low expression	51	37	14	< 0.001	30	24	6	0.061	
high expression	106	100	6	< 0.001*	55	52	3		
Lnc-EPS15L1-2:1									
low expression	89	86	3	< 0.001*	52	49	3	0.084	
high expression	68	51	17		33	27	6		
Lnc-ZNF35-4:1									
low expression	55	41	14	< 0.001*	27	20	7	0.004*	
high expression	102	96	6	< 0.001*	58	56	2		

TABLE 2: Continued.

HBsAg: hepatitis B surface antigen; HCV-Ab: hepatitis C virus antibody; AFP: a-fetoprotein; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; γ -GT: γ -glutamyl transferase; BCLC stage: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer stage; *: significance values.



FIGURE 1: Patients' grouping and exclusion.

the sample was washed at 37°C with 2×sodium citrate buffer, 0.2×sodium citrate buffer, and PBS-T solution. The nuclei were stained with DAPI for 10 minutes at room temperature and then encapsulated. Finally, the cells were observed and photographed by confocal laser scanning microscopy in a field in which the cell division phase was observed.

2.6. Lentivirus Transfection. An appropriate amount of lentivirus suspension (moi=30) was added at a cell density of 2×10^5 /well and cultured at 5% CO₂, 37°C. After 48 hours, the expression of fluorescent protein TurboGFP was detected under the microscope and the transfection efficiency was confirmed. The stable transfectant was screened by using 2 μ g/ml concentration of puromycin.

2.7. Wound Healing Assay. The back side of the 6-well plate was marked with a horizontal line and uniformly plated at a cell density of 5×10^5 per well. After cellular fusion, the vertical lines perpendicular to the marking lines were made with pipette tip in the cell distribution area. The 6-well plate

was then washed three times with PBS buffer to ensure the complete removal of exfoliated cells, and the medium with low concentration of serum (2%) was also added. The treated 6-well plate was cultured in a constant temperature incubator at 5% CO_2 and 37°C and photographed under a microscope at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hours.

2.8. Colony Formation Assay. Four hundred and eight hundred cells were laid in a 6 cm culture dish and cultured in a constant temperature incubator at 5% CO_2 and 37°C. Two weeks later, visible clones were formed in the culture dish and more than 50 cells were found in most single clonal colonies. After removing the culture medium, PBS was gently washed along the medial wall of the dish three times, and 4% polyformaldehyde was used to fix the cells for 30 minutes. After one hour of continuous dyeing with crystal violet dye solution, rinse was performed along the side wall of the dish until clear dark blue colony spots appeared. The colonies containing more than 50 cells were counted three times in each dish, and the average value was taken as the final clone number. Quantitative analysis was carried out by GraghPad

TABLE 3: Univariate	e and multivariate	e analyses of factor	s associated with	n lymph node	metastasis of l	hepatocellular c	carcinoma a	among the
training cohort.								

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Univariate			Multivariate (Adjusted)			
Age SI L0 0.286 . . . SI 0.6 0.3-15 0.286 . . . Gender Gender Gender male 10 0.3-28.9 0.87 positiv 0.5 0.1-17 0.248 negative 10 0.997 positive 0.0 0.0-16 0.997 S20 0.7 0.339 AFP, ng/mL LIU 1.0 0.0 0.0-16	Clinicopathological factors	Hazard ratio	95% CI	Р	Hazard ratio	95% CI	Р	
\$1100.286 <td>Age</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Age							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≤ 51	1.0		0.286	-	-		
Gendermale1.00.8-28.90.867fernale3.90.5-28.90.867megative1.00.248positive0.50.1-1.70.248megative0.50.1-1.70.248positive0.00.0-Inf0.997positive0.00.0-Inf0.997ARP nymLs 201.00.3-1.90.539Tumor differentiation1.111.00.5-3.30.6111.111.00.998	> 51	0.6	0.3~1.5	0.280	-	-	-	
male female1.0 3.90.528.90.187fegative1.0 positive0.248	Gender							
female 3.9 0.5-28.9 0.10 - - - HBsAg 0 0.248 - - - positive 0.5 0.1-17 0.248 - - mogative 0.0 0.0-lnf 0.997 - - - positive 0.0 0.0-lnf 0.997 - - - APR ngmL - - - - - - - S20 10 0.539 -	male	1.0		0 187	-	-		
Hskgnegative1.00.248positive0.00.0-InfHCV Abmegative0.00.0-Infpositive0.00.0-InfAFP. agr/mLS 200.70.3-1.90.359<	female	3.9	0.5~28.9	0.107	-	-	-	
negative positive1.00.248positive0.50.1-1.70.248 <td>HBsAg</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	HBsAg							
positive 0.5 0.1-17 0.010 - - - - HCV-Ab - <td>negative</td> <td>1.0</td> <td></td> <td>0.248</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	negative	1.0		0.248	-	-		
HCV-Abnegative100.997opsitive0.00.0-InfAPP, ng/nL≤ 201.00.3-1.90.539> 200.70.3-1.90.611Tumor differentiation1-171.00.5-3.30.611B0.00.0-Inf0.998B0.00.0-Inf0.998Intrahegatic metastasis01.50.6-3.80.65751.30.6-3.20.0192.51.00.055yes3.21.3-7.60.0192.51.0-6.50.057Vacular invasionno1.00.0-1.70.0231.00.07*0.07*yes3.21.3-7.60.0192.51.0-6.50.07*BCL Catageyef1.00.3-1.70.456yef1.00.3-2.50.75yef1.00.3-2.50.756intumor numberyef1.00.3-2.6 <td>positive</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.1~1.7</td> <td>0.240</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	positive	0.5	0.1~1.7	0.240	-	-		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HCV-Ab							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	negative	1.0		0.997	-	-		
AFP, ng/mL≤ 20100,539111>200,70,3-1.90,539111Tumor differentiation110111-111.00,611111111-1V1.30,5-3.30,611111Child-Pugh score111111111A1.00,99811No1.00,99811yes1.00,0-1nf0,99811mahapatic metastasis1	positive	0.0	0.0~Inf	0.777	-	-	-	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AFP, ng/mL							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } > 20 & 0.7 & 0.3-19 & 0.39 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	≤ 20	1.0		0.520	-	-		
Tumor differentiation1-111.00.61111-1V1.30.5-3.30.611Child-Pugh score	> 20	0.7	0.3~1.9	0.559	-	-	-	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tumor differentiation							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } & 1.3 & 0.5 & 0.61 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	I-II	1.0		0 (11	-	-		
Child-Pugh score A 10 0.0~In $P.998$ $ -$	III-IV	1.3	0.5~3.3	0.611	-	-	-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Child-Pugh score							
B 0.0 $0.0 - \ln f$ 0.098 $ -$ Intrahepatic metastasis no 1.0 0.365 $-$ yes 1.5 $0.6 - 3.8$ 0.365 $ \leq 5$ 1.0 0.699 $ \leq 5$ 1.0 0.699 $-$ Vascular invasion No 1.0	A	1.0		0.000	-	-		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } Intrahepatic metastasis \\ \hline no & 1.0 & 0.63.8 & 0.365 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	В	0.0	0.0~Inf	0.998	-	-	-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Intrahepatic metastasis							
yes1.50.6~3.80.365Tumor size, cm \leq 51.00.6~3.20.509 \leq 51.00.6~3.20.509> 51.30.6~3.20.509Vascular invasionno1.00.010*1.00.055BCLC stage0.010*1.00.007*0.007*0.007*B-C2.91.2~7.30.023*1.00.007*ALT, U/L2.91.2~7.30.456 \leq 401.00.3~1.7> 400.70.3~1.7> 501.00.878> 500.90.3~2.50.878Liver cirrhosisno1.00.3~5.40.585yes0.80.3~2.10.585Distant metastasisno1.00.3~2.20.752Pistant metastasisno1.00.3~2.20.752Distant metastasisno1.00.3~2.20.752Distant metastasis <td< td=""><td>no</td><td>1.0</td><td></td><td>0.075</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td></td<>	no	1.0		0.075	-	-		
Timor size, cm ≤ 5 1.00.509> 51.30.6~3.2Vascular invasionno1.00.010*1.00.0550.055yes3.21.3~7.60.010*1.00.055BCLC stage0-A1.00.023*1.00.007*B-C2.91.2~7.30.023*1.00.007*ALT, U/L ≤ 40 1.00.3~1.70.456> 400.70.3~1.70.456> 500.90.3~2.50.878> 500.90.3~2.5Liver cirrhosisno1.00.756multiple0.80.3~2.10.585Distant metastasisno1.00.3~2.20.752Distant metastasisno1.00.3~2.20.752Liver (Marcellan Control Contr	ves	1.5	0.6~3.8	0.365	-	-	-	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tumor size, cm							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≤5	1.0		0.500	-	-		
Vascular invasion 1.0 0.010* 1.0 0.055 no 1.0 0.010* 1.0 0.055 BCLC stage 0.023* 1.0 0.007* B-C 2.9 1.2~7.3 0.023* 1.0 0.007* ALT, U/L 4.2 1.5~12.0 0.007* 440 1.0 0.3~1.7 0.456 - - - > 40 0.7 0.3~1.7 0.456 - - - - γ -GT, U/L $=$ $=$ - -	> 5	1.3	0.6~3.2	0.509	-	-	-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vascular invasion							
yes3.2 $1.3 - 7.6$ $0.010*$ 2.5 $1.0 - 6.5$ BCLC stage0.41.0 $0.023*$ 1.0 $0.007*$ B-C2.9 $1.2 - 7.3$ $0.023*$ 4.2 $1.5 - 12.0$ $0.007*$ ALT, U/L ≤ 40 1.0 0.456 $ \leq 40$ 0.7 $0.3 - 1.7$ $ \gamma$ -GT, U/L ≤ 50 1.0 $0.3 - 2.5$ $ \leq 50$ 1.0 $0.3 - 2.5$ $ \gamma$ -GT, U/L $ \leq 50$ 1.0 $0.3 - 2.5$ 0.878 $ \gamma$ -GT, U/L $ \leq 50$ 1.0 $0.3 - 2.5$ 0.878 $ \sim$ 1.3 $0.3 - 5.4$ 0.576 $ -$ Liver cirrhosis $ rumor number 0.585 -Usingle0.80.3 - 2.10.585 -Distant metastasis ryes0.90.3 - 2.20.752 ryes0.90.3 - 2.2 ryes0.90.3 - 2.2 ryes$	no	1.0			1.0			
BCLC stageI.00.023*I.00.007*B-C2.91.2~7.30.023*4.21.5~12.00.007*ALT, U/L ≤ 40 1.00.456 ≤ 40 0.70.3~1.7 γ -GT, U/L ≤ 50 0.90.3~2.5 ≤ 50 0.90.3~2.5 > 50 0.90.3~2.5Liver cirrhosis $ no$ 1.00.756Tumor number $-$ 0.585single1.00.585Distant metastasis $ no$ 1.00.752 yes 0.90.3~2.20.752ENST00000418803	ves	3.2	1.3~7.6	0.010*	2.5	1.0~6.5	0.055	
0-A1.0 $0.023*$ 1.0 $0.007*$ B-C2.91.2~7.3 $0.023*$ 4.2 $1.5~12.0$ $0.007*$ ALT, U/L 4.2 $1.5~12.0$ $0.007*$ ≤ 40 1.0 0.456 > 40 0.7 $0.3~1.7$ 0.456 γ -GT, U/L ≤ 50 1.0 0.878 > 50 0.9 $0.3~2.5$ 0.878 Liver cirrhosisno1.0 0.756 Tumor numbersingle1.0 0.585 Distant metastasisno1.0 0.752 yes0.9 $0.3~2.2$ 0.752ENST00000418803	BCLC stage							
B-C2.9 $1.2 - 7.3$ $0.023*$ 1.1 $0.007*$ ALT, U/L ≤ 40 1.0 4.2 $1.5 - 12.0$ > 400.70.3 - 1.7 4.2 $1.5 - 12.0$ γ -GT, U/L $ \leq 50$ 0.70.3 - 1.7 $ -$ > 500.90.3 - 2.5 $ -$ Liver cirrhosis $ -$ no1.0 $0.3 - 2.5$ $ -$ Tumor number $ -$ single1.0 $0.3 - 5.4$ $ -$ Distant metastasis $ -$ no1.0 0.585 $ -$ Distant metastasis $ -$ No1.0 0.752 $ -$ New Solution of the second secon	0-A	1.0			1.0			
ALT, U/L - - - - ≤ 40 1.0 0.7 0.3~1.7 - - - > 40 0.7 0.3~1.7 - - - - y-GT, U/L - <t< td=""><td>B-C</td><td>2.9</td><td>1.2~7.3</td><td>0.023*</td><td>4.2</td><td>1.5~12.0</td><td>0.007*</td></t<>	B-C	2.9	1.2~7.3	0.023*	4.2	1.5~12.0	0.007*	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ALT. U/L		/					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	< 40	1.0			-	_		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	> 40	0.7	0.3~1.7	0.456	-	-	-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	v-GT. U/L	017						
-00 0.0 0.3~2.5 - - - > 50 0.9 0.3~2.5 - - - Liver cirrhosis - 1.0 0.756 - - yes 1.3 0.3~5.4 - - - Tumor number - - - - single 1.0 0.3~2.1 0.585 - - Distant metastasis - - - - no 1.0 0.3~2.2 - - - Distant metastasis - - - - pes 0.9 0.3~2.2 - - -	< 50	1.0			-	-		
Liver cirrhosis no 1.0 0.756	> 50	0.9	0.3~2.5	0.878	-	-	-	
no 1.0 0.756 -<	Liver cirrhosis	017	010 110					
1.0 0.756 - - yes 1.3 0.3~5.4 - - Tumor number - - - single 1.0 0.585 - - multiple 0.8 0.3~2.1 - - Distant metastasis - - - no 1.0 0.752 - - yes 0.9 0.3~2.2 - -	no	1.0			-	_		
Tumor number - - - single 1.0 0.585 - - multiple 0.8 0.3~2.1 - - Distant metastasis - - - no 1.0 0.752 - - yes 0.9 0.3~2.2 - -	ves	13	0.3~5.4	0.756	_	_	-	
single 1.0 0.585 - - - multiple 0.8 0.3~2.1 - - - Distant metastasis - - - - yes 0.9 0.3~2.2 - - - ENST00000418803 - - - -	Tumor number	1.0	0.5 5.1					
ingre 1.0 0.585 - - multiple 0.8 0.3~2.1 - - Distant metastasis - - - no 1.0 0.752 - - yes 0.9 0.3~2.2 - -	single	1.0			_	_		
Initial presentation 0.0 0.0 0.0 10 0.752 - pistant metastasis no 1.0 0.752 - - yes 0.9 0.3~2.2 - - -	multiple	0.8	0.3~2.1	0.585	_	_	-	
no 1.0 0.752	Distant metastasis	0.0	0.5'~2.1		-	-		
10 0.752 yes 0.9 ENST00000418803	no	1.0			_	_		
ENST00000418803	Ves	0.9	0.3~2.2	0.752	-	_	-	
	ENST00000418803	0.2	5.5 2.2					

Clinicopathological factors	Univariate			Multivariate (Adjusted)			
	Hazard ratio	95% CI	Р	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P	
low expression	1.0		< 0.001*	1.0		< 0.001*	
high expression	0.2	0.1~0.5	< 0.001*	0.2	0.1~0.5		
Lnc-EPS15L1-2:1							
low expression	1.0		< 0.001	1.0		0.001*	
high expression	9	2.6~30.7	< 0.001*	8.7	2.5~30.6	0.001*	
Lnc-ZNF35-4:1							
low expression	1.0		0.001*	1.0		0.025*	
high expression	0.2	0.1~0.5	0.001*	0.3	0.1~0.9	0.023*	

TABLE 3: Continued.

HBsAg: hepatitis B surface antigen; HCV-Ab: hepatitis C virus antibody; AFP: a-fetoprotein; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; γ -GT: γ -glutamyl transferase; BCLC stage: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer stage; CI, confidence interval; *: significance values.

Prism software, and the clone formation rate was equal to the number of colonies/inoculated cells.

2.9. Statistical Analysis. By using SPSS 22.0 software (Chicago, IL, USA), GraphPad Prism 6.01 (La Jolla, CA, USA), Image J (National Institutes of Health, NIH), MedCalc Statistical Software 18.2.1 (Ostend, Belgium), and R software (version 3.2.3), all the statistical data were shown from at least three separate experiments. Specifically, the correlations between clinicopathological features and HCC LNM were analyzed by Pearson's correlation test and Fisher's exact test. Univariate analysis and multivariate Cox regression analysis (confounding variables that affect the regression coefficient by more than 10% were adjusted) were performed to determine independent risk factors of HCC LNM. The model was finally determined for nomogram with a backward step-down selection process. Estimates of the cutoff value and the model discriminatory ability were measured using time-depended ROC curves. Moreover, calibration curves originating from Hosmer-Lemeshow (H-L) test were applied for evaluation of the nomogram. The P < 0.05 were normally considered statistically significant. Vascular invasion was also included as an independent risk factor with slightly higher P value (P = 0.055) and appropriate hazard ratio.

3. Results

3.1. Patients' Background Data. Characteristics of all the enrolled HCC patients (157 in the training cohort and 85 in the validation cohort) used to establish a prediction model are summarized in Table 2. Patients of the training cohort were observed until October 2016, and the median follow-up time was 55 months (range, 9-76 months). For the validation cohort, observation lasted until September 2016 and the median follow-up time was 45 months (range, 10-76 months). During the follow-up time, 20 patients (12.7%) in the training cohort and 9 patients (10.6%) in the validation cohort developed LNM.

3.2. Screening of Serum Biomarkers with Microarray. Through previous microarray analyses on serum samples, we have

identified 235 lncRNAs that were differentially expressed among LNM group and non-LNM group as reported [17]. Among all the significant differential lncRNAs, five had fold change > 2 and FDR values < 0.05 with high expression abundance in serum samples. Lnc-GALR2-1:1 was downregulated with a FDR value of 0.034; ENST00000418803 was downregulated with a FDR value of 0.037; lnc-ZNF35-4:1 was downregulated with a FDR of 0.042; lnc-CAMKK2-3:2 was upregulated with a FDR of 0.037; and lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 was upregulated with a FDR of 0.037. We therefore conducted qRT-PCR to examine the expression of the candidate lncRNAs in serum samples from the training cohort and further evaluated the ability of these potential biomarkers for predicting HCC LNM.

3.3. Analysis of Serum Candidate lncRNAs Expression. With cel-mir-39-3p as an external reference, qRT-PCR was carried out to quantify the relative expression of the candidate lncR-NAs in the serum samples. We first assessed the relevance between the serum lncRNA expression and diagnosis of HCC LNM based on the ROC curve and determined the cutoff value for judging lncRNA expression level simultaneously. Relative expressions of lnc-GALR2-1:1 and lnc-CAMKK2-3:2 in serum samples from the enrolled patients were verified to have no statistical significance for predicting HCC LNM. Besides, the remaining three lncRNAs were defined as highor low-expression level based on the maximum value of Youden's index in the ROC analysis [21]. As showed in Figure 2(a), the AUC of ENST00000418803, lnc-EPS15L1-2:1, and lnc-ZNF35-4:1 were 0.753 (95% CI: 0.678-0.819), 0.721 (95% CI: 0.644-0.790), and 0.766 (95% CI: 0.692-0.830), respectively, which indicated a considerable distinguishing power to HCC LNM. To further determine the diagnostic relevance between the above three lncRNAs and HCC LNM, Kaplan-Meier and Log-rank tests were performed among all patients from the cohorts as Figures 2(b), 2(c), and 2(d) show. The distinctions between non-LNM and LNM groups in the training cohort divided by the optimum cutoff values were displayed in Figure 3. The low expression of ENST00000418803 and Inc-ZNF35-4:1 and the high expression of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 showed significant correlations with the occurrence of LNM.



FIGURE 2: Screening and analyses of potential serum lncRNAs as biomarkers. (a) Comparative ROC analysis of the five candidate lncRNAs screened by microarray analyses. (b) Kaplan-Meier and Log-rank analyses on difference in LNM cumulative incidence of patients with low or high expression of ENST00000418803. (c) Kaplan-Meier and Log-rank analyses on difference in LNM cumulative incidence of patients with low or high expression of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1. (d) Kaplan-Meier and Log-rank analyses on difference in LNM cumulative incidence of patients with low or high expression of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1. (d) Kaplan-Meier and Log-rank analyses on difference in LNM cumulative incidence of patients with low or high expression of lnc-ZNF35-4:1.

In the training cohort of 157 patients, low ENST00000418803 expression was found in 51 of 157 patients (32.5%), high lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 expression in 68 (43.3%), and low lnc-ZNF35-4:1 expression in 55 (35.0%). In the validation cohort, low ENST00000418803 expression was found in 30 of 85 patients (35.3%), high lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 expression in 33 (38.8%), and low lnc-ZNF35-4:1 expression in 27 (31.8%).

3.4. Significant Predictors of Lymph Node Metastasis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. For the training cohort, 19 clinicopathological features consisted of age, gender, HCV-Ab, HBsAg, a-fetoprotein, tumor differentiation, Child-Pugh score, intrahepatic metastasis, tumor size, vascular invasion, BCLC staging, ALT, γ -GT, liver cirrhosis, tumor number, and distant metastasis and the expression of the serum lncRNAs mentioned above was considered for the univariate analysis



FIGURE 3: *The optimum cutoff value of the candidate lncRNAs for discrimination of expression levels among the training cohort.* (a) Relative expression of ENST00000418803 was distinguished by cutoff value 0.00216 as low or high expression. (b) Relative expression of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 was distinguished by cutoff value 0.00246 as low or high expression. (c) Relative expression of lnc-ZNF35-4:1 was distinguished by cutoff value 0.00223 as low or high expression.

with Cox proportional hazards regression. The associations of the included variables with HCC LNM in the training cohort are summarized in Table 3. Through univariate analysis, vascular invasion (P = 0.010), BCLC stage (P = 0.023), ENST00000418803 (*P* < 0.001), lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 (*P* < 0.001), and lnc-ZNF35-4:1 (P = 0.001) were selected to be significantly associated with LNM in HCC patients, whereas age (P = 0.286), gender (P = 0.187), HBsAg (P = 0.248), HCV-Ab (P = 0.997), a-fetoprotein (P = 0.539), tumor differentiation (P = 0.611), Child-Pugh score (P = 0.998), intrahepatic metastasis (P = 0.365), tumor size (P = 0.509), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (P = 0.456), γ -glutamyltransferase $(\gamma$ -GT) (P = 0.878), liver cirrhosis (P = 0.756), tumor number (P = 0.585), and distant metastasis (P = 0.752) displayed no significant association with LNM. Statistically significant variables were further adopted for multivariate analysis. By adjusted multivariate analysis, the following five variables were found to be independent risk factors for LNM in HCC: vascular invasion (P = 0.055, HR: 2.5, 95% CI: 1.0 ~ 6.5), BCLC stage (P = 0.007, HR: 4.2, 95% CI: 1.5 ~ 12.0), ENST00000418803 (P < 0.001, HR: 0.2, 95% CI: 0.1 ~ 0.5), lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 (P = 0.001, HR: 8.7, 95% CI: 2.5 ~ 30.6), and lnc-ZNF35-4:1 (P = 0.025, HR: 0.3, 95% CI: 0.1 ~ 0.9).

3.5. Construction of Prediction Nomogram for LNM in HCC. As shown in Figure 4, the following five independent risk variables from multivariate cox regression analyses were selected into the visible nomogram to predict the risk of LNM: high lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 expression has the highest score of 100; low ENST00000418803 has the score of 73; low lnc-ZNF35-4:1 has the score of 51; BCLC stage; and vascular invasion was scored as 54 and 34, respectively. Considering the time distribution of LNM occurrence and the timedependent AUC in the both cohorts, we determined the 29 months' time (75% quartile) as an observation point, in which the nomogram has the best prediction performance and application value. The sum of score from all the included risk factors can further correspond to the risk assessment of LNM occurring within 29 months. The prediction nomogram demonstrated a good accuracy with stable and favourable time-dependent AUC among the training cohorts (Figure 5(a)). Calibration curves revealed a suitable calibration between the predictive LNM risk and the observed LNM risk as well (Figure 5(c)). Harrell's C-index of the stepwise selected model for LNM prediction was 0.89, which indicated a sound discrimination ability.

3.6. Validation for the Predictive Value of the lncRNA-Based Nomogram. As Figure 5(b) shows, the trend of AUC was stable and satisfied in the interval of 15 to 31 months' observation time point, which revealed a good prediction performance for LNM within the optimum time (29 months' time). The calibration curve noted that the nomogram was well calibrated with a favorable fitting between the observed and predicted risk (Figure 5(d)). Furthermore, Harrell's Cindex 0.85 of the model demonstrated good discrimination in the validation step.

BioMed Research International



FIGURE 4: The visible nomogram based on lncRNAs and clinical indexes for predicting LNM in postoperative HCC patients.

3.7. Role of Lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 in Lymph Node Metastasis of HCC Cells. The aforementioned analyses showed that the high expression of serum lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 had a strong correlation with the occurrence of lymph node metastasis in HCC patients. However, the source of free lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 and the biological functions associated with HCC LNM remain unknown.

We performed qRT-PCR on the expression of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 in human hepatocyte line QSG-7701 and human HCC cell lines SMMC-7721, Huh-7, HepG2, MHCC-97H, MHCC-97L, and HCC-LM3. Among these, SMMC-7721, HuH-7, MHCC-97H, and HCC-LM3 cell lines have high invasion and metastasis characteristics, which are highly malignant. On the contrary, HepG2 and MHCC-97L cell lines show low malignancy in invasion and metastasis. As shown in Figure 6, the expression of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 in HCC cells was higher than that in normal hepatocytes and was significantly elevated in highly malignant cell lines. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) further confirmed the subcellular distribution of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 which was mainly cytoplasmic (Figure 7).

The formation of tumor metastases depends on both high invasion and migration potential and strong clone-forming ability. Therefore, we performed wound healing assay and colony formation assay after the overexpression of SMMC-7721 cell line by lentiviral transfection. As shown in Figures 8 and 9, lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 significantly enhanced the migration and clonality of HCC cells.

4. Discussion

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common malignant tumors worldwide. The incidence of LNM in extrahepatic metastases of HCC is approximately 33.8%, and the overall survival of untreated HCC patients with LNM is only about three months [6, 22]. Lymph node metastasis is a clear prognostic factor in treatments of cancer and has a significant impact on long-term survival of patients [23].



FIGURE 5: *Time-dependent AUC and calibration tests of the nomogram among the training and validation cohorts.* (a) Time-dependent AUC of the nomogram among the training cohort. (b) Time-dependent AUC of the nomogram among the validation cohort. (c) Calibration curve of the nomogram among the training cohort. (d) Calibration curve of the nomogram among the validation cohort.

However, imaging technique is still not sensitive to the initial diagnosis of lymph node micrometastasis. It is necessary to build a specific model that predicts LNM risk in HCC patients for preventive intervention.

In general, the free nucleic acid in the circulation of tumor patients is mainly derived from the frequent apoptosis and necrosis of cells [24, 25]. Therefore, the abnormal expression of some cell-free RNA can partly reflect the expression profile of cancer cells, which is significantly related to the malignant process of cancer [26]. Aberrant expressions of lncRNAs have been reported to participate in diverse biological processes in cancer, including LNM [27–29]. Furthermore, lncRNAs are becoming a type of potential biomarkers for disease prediction [12, 30]. In the current study, we therefore sought to identify sensitive serum lncRNAs as noninvasive biomarkers to predict LNM in HCC.

We constructed and then validated a novel prediction nomogram based on three lncRNAs to predict LNM for HCC patients after hepatectomy. Our lncRNA-based nomogram incorporates the following five independent prognostic factors: BCLC stage, vascular invasion, low expression of ENST00000418803 and Inc-ZNF35-4:1, and high expression of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1. Using a linear predictor of 1.7 as the optimum cutoff value, 18.5% (n = 29) patients in the training cohort are identified as high-risk group, and 48.3% (n = 14) patients in the high-risk group developed LNM within the follow-up period. When applying the nomogram to the verification step, 14.1% (n = 12) patients in the validation group were allocated to the highrisk group, and 41.7% (n = 5) of whom were diagnosed with LNM. To our knowledge, this is the first report of serum lncRNA-based prediction nomogram in HCC,



FIGURE 6: Detection and analysis of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 expression at the cellular level. Lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 expression was abnormally elevated in HCC cells and was associated with high malignancy of HCC cells (*: P < 0.05; * * * *: P < 0.0001).



FIGURE 7: Determination of subcellular distribution of Inc-EPS15L1-2:1 using FISH. Confocal images showed that most of Inc-EPS15L1-2:1 are distributed in the cytoplasm of HCC cells.



FIGURE 8: *Wound healing assay for SMMC-7721 cell line.* Comparison of migration degrees of HCC cells in the overexpression group (OE) and the control group (NC) at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hours (*: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001).



FIGURE 9: *Colony formation assay for SMMC-7721 cell line.* Comparison of clonogenicity degrees of HCC cells in the overexpression group (OE) and the control group (NC) at 400 and 800 inoculation densities (* * *: P < 0.001).

especially with respect to LNM. Utilizing this nomogram, posthepatectomy HCC patients can be accurately classified into groups with low and high risk of LNM at the early stage. The nomogram could serve as a valuable criterion for determining optimal treatment strategies for HCC patients.

We have two conjectures about the source of the lncR-NAs that have potential predictive effects on HCC LNM. One possibility is that the abnormal cell-free lncRNAs may originate from the transformation process of premetastatic niches mediated by circulating tumor cells or tumor exosomes. NONCODE database displayed the notion that Inc-EPS15L1-2:1 are significantly highly expressed in lymph node compared with other tissues [31]. Another possibility is that changes in the expression profile of lncRNAs in tumor cells promote metastatic propensity. Cis analyses of protein-coding genes adjacent to the lncRNAs loci uncovered their possible roles in tumor malignant phenotype. Specifically, Kruppel-like factor 2 (KLF2), associated gene of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 from Cis and Trans analyses, was reported as a terminal component of tumor proliferation and metastasis related pathway axis [32-34]. And ENST00000418803 associated gene Sad1 and UNC84 domain containing 2 (SUN2) act as novel suppressors in cancer [35, 36].

There is a strong correlation between the high expression of serum lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 and the occurrence of HCC LNM. Our further experiments confirmed that lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 is highly expressed in HCC cells compared with normal hepatocytes and is associated with the malignancy of HCC cells. Moreover, lnc-EPS15L1-2:1, mainly distributed in the cytoplasm, significantly promotes the migration and clonality of HCC cells. We are about to investigate the specific role of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 in HCC LNM in the next stage of research.

Some limitations should also be acknowledged as follows. As it was a retrospective cohort study, and because of the limited quantity of patients involved, the results need to be further validated in a large scale of prospective study.

In conclusion, the nomogram based on serum ENST00000418803, lnc-ZNF35-4:1, lnc-EPS15L1-2:1, BCLC stage, and vascular invasion has good predictive performance for HCC LNM, and HCC patients at high risk of LNM may benefit from this. Moreover, as an independent risk factor, overexpression of lnc-EPS15L1-2:1 may mediate the occurrence of HCC LNM at the cellular level, which needs further verification. This study will provide new ideas for the clinical diagnosis and mechanism research of HCC LNM.

Abbreviations

HCC:	Hepatocellular carcinoma
LNM:	Lymph node metastasis
lncRNA:	Long noncoding RNA
qRT-PCR:	Quantitative reverse transcription
	polymerase chain reaction
HIF-1α:	Hypoxia inducible factor-1 α
VEGF:	Vascular endothelial growth factor
MMP2:	Matrix metalloproteinase-2
BCLC:	Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer
AFP:	Alpha-fetoprotein
ALT:	Alanine aminotransferase
γ-GT:	γ-glutamyltransferase
ROC curve:	Receiver operating characteristic curve
AUC:	Area under the curve.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Authors' Contributions

Zhao-Chong Zeng and Zuo-Lin Xiang contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

This study is supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (Grant no. 17ZR1405300), Science and Technology supporting project of Shanghai (Grant no. 17411962600), Shanghai Municipal Human Resources and Social Security Bureau (Grant no. Q2016-019), and Pudong New Area Science and Technology Development Fund (Grant no. PKJ2018-Y02).

References

- J. M. Llovet, J. Zucman-Rossi, E. Pikarsky et al., "Hepatocellular carcinoma," *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, vol. 2, Article ID 16018, 2016.
- [2] M. Ringelhan, D. Pfister, T. O'Connor, E. Pikarsky, and M. Heikenwalder, "In Contrast To Most Other Malignancies HCHW. The immunology of hepatocellular carcinoma," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 19, pp. 222–232, 2018.
- [3] P. Bertuccio, F. Turati, G. Carioli et al., "Global trends and predictions in hepatocellular carcinoma mortality," *Journal of Hepatology*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 302–309, 2017.
- [4] R. L. Siegel, K. D. Miller, and A. Jemal, "Cancer statistics, 2019," CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 69, pp. 7–34, 2019.
- [5] W. Huang, Z. Chen, X. Shang et al., "Sox12, a direct target of FoxQ1, promotes hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis through up-regulating Twist1 and FGFBP1," *Hepatology*, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 1920–1933, 2015.
- [6] T. Pan, Q. Xie, N. Lv et al., "Percutaneous CT-guided radiofrequency ablation for lymph node oligometastases from hepatocellular carcinoma: a propensity score-matching analysis," *Radiology*, vol. 282, no. 1, pp. 259–270, 2017.
- [7] Z. L. Xiang, Z. C. Zeng, J. Fan, Z. Tang, H. Zeng, and D. Gao, "Gene expression profiling of fixed tissues identified hypoxiainducible Factor-1α, VEGF, and matrix metalloproteinase-2 as biomarkers of lymph node metastasis in hepatocellular carcinoma," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 17, no. 16, pp. 5463– 5472, 2011.
- [8] N. Amini, A. Ejaz, G. Spolverato, S. K. Maithel, Y. Kim, and T. M. Pawlik, "Management of lymph nodes during resection of hepatocellular carcinoma and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a systematic review," *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 2136–2148, 2014.
- [9] Z. Zeng, Z. Tang, J. Fan et al., "Consideration of role of radiotherapy for lymph node metastases in patients with HCC:

- [10] J. T. Mendell, "Targeting a long noncoding RNA in breast cancer," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 374, no. 23, pp. 2287–2289, 2016.
- [11] M. Varon, T. Levy, G. Mazor et al., "The long non-coding RNA TP73-AS1 promotes tumorigenicity of medulloblastoma cells," *International Journal of Cancer*, 2019.
- [12] R. Kumarswamy, C. Bauters, I. Volkmann et al., "Circulating long noncoding RNA, LIPCAR, predicts survival in patients with heart failure," *Circulation Research*, vol. 114, no. 10, pp. 1569–1575, 2014.
- [13] J.-L. Huang, L. Zheng, Y.-W. Hu, and Q. Wang, "Characteristics of long non-coding RNA and its relation to hepatocellular carcinoma," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 507–514, 2014.
- [14] A. Habieb, M. Matboli, H. El-Tayeb, and F. El-Asmar, "Correction to: Potential role of lncRNA-TSIX, miR-548-a-3p, and SOGA1 mRNA in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma," *Molecular Biology Reports*, 2019.
- [15] C.-F. Lai, Y.-T. Chen, J. Gu, J. M. Nerbonne, C.-H. Lin, and K.-C. Yang, "Circulating long noncoding RNA DKFZP434I0714 predicts adverse cardiovascular outcomes in patients with endstage renal disease," *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 277, pp. 212–219, 2019.
- [16] J. Wang, H. Zhao, Z. Fan et al., "Long noncoding RNA H19 promotes neuroinflammation in ischemic stroke by driving histone deacetylase 1-dependent M1 microglial polarization," *Stroke*, vol. 48, no. 8, pp. 2211–2221, 2017.
- [17] J. Ma, L. Zhang, P. Yang, Z. Zeng, and Z. Xiang, "Integrated analysis of long noncoding RNA expression profiles in lymph node metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma," *Gene*, vol. 676, pp. 47–55, 2018.
- [18] Y. Chen, Z. Zeng, J. Fan et al., "Defining prognostic factors of survival after external beam radiotherapy treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with lymph node metastases," *Clinical and Translational Oncology*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 732–740, 2013.
- [19] N. P. Nguyen, J. Vock, V. Vinh-Hung et al., "Effectiveness of prophylactic retropharyngeal lymph node irradiation in patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer," *BMC Cancer*, vol. 12, p. 253, 2012.
- [20] Y. J. Park, D. H. Lim, S. W. Paik et al., "Radiation therapy for abdominal lymph node metastasis from hepatocellular carcinoma," *Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 41, no. 11, pp. 1099– 1106, 2006.
- [21] L. Maggino, G. Malleo, C. Bassi et al., "Identification of an optimal cut-off for drain fluid amylase on postoperative day 1 for predicting clinically relevant fistula after distal pancreatectomy: a multi-institutional analysis and external validation," *Annals of Surgery*, vol. 269, no. 2, pp. 337–343, 2019.
- [22] M. Natsuizaka, T. Omura, T. Akaike et al., "Clinical features of hepatocellular carcinoma with extrahepatic metastases," *Journal* of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 1781–1787, 2005.
- [23] S. Xiaohong, L. Huikai, W. Feng, Z. Ti, C. Yunlong, and L. Qiang, "Clinical significance of lymph node metastasis in patients undergoing partial hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma," *World Journal of Surgery*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1028– 1033, 2010.
- [24] A. Esposito, A. Bardelli, C. Criscitiello et al., "Monitoring tumor-derived cell-free DNA in patients with solid tumors:

Clinical perspectives and research opportunities," *Cancer Treatment Reviews*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 648–655, 2014.

- [25] L. Benesova, B. Belsanova, S. Suchanek et al., "Mutation-based detection and monitoring of cell-free tumor DNA in peripheral blood of cancer patients," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 433, no. 2, pp. 227–234, 2013.
- [26] X. Chen and A. Wang, "Clinical significance of miR-195 in hepatocellular carcinoma and its biological function in tumor progression," *OncoTargets and Therapy*, vol. 12, pp. 527–534, 2019.
- [27] C. Shang, W. Wang, Y. Liao et al., "LNMICC promotes nodal metastasis of cervical cancer by reprogramming fatty acid metabolism," *Cancer Research*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 877–890, 2018.
- [28] W. He, G. Zhong, N. Jiang et al., "Long noncoding RNA BLA-CAT2 promotes bladder cancer–associated lymphangiogenesis and lymphatic metastasis," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 128, no. 2, pp. 861–875, 2018.
- [29] J. R. Prensner, S. Zhao, N. Erho et al., "RNA biomarkers associated with metastatic progression in prostate cancer: A multi-institutional high-throughput analysis of SChLAP1," *The Lancet Oncology*, vol. 15, no. 13, pp. 1469–1480, 2014.
- [30] H. Yu, Q. Xu, F. Liu, X. Ye, J. Wang, and X. Meng, "Identification and validation of long noncoding RNA biomarkers in human non-small-cell lung carcinomas," *Journal of Thoracic Oncology*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 645–654, 2015.
- [31] S. Fang, L. Zhang, J. Guo et al., "NONCODEV5: a comprehensive annotation database for long non-coding RNAs," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 46, no. D1, pp. D308–D314, 2018.
- [32] Y. Lian, C. Yan, H. Xu et al., "A novel lncRNA, LINC00460, affects cell proliferation and apoptosis by regulating KLF2 and CUL4A expression in colorectal cancer," *Molecular Therapy -Nucleic Acids*, vol. 12, pp. 684–697, 2018.
- [33] E. Xia, A. Bhandari, Y. Shen, X. Zhou, and O. Wang, "LncRNA LINC00673 induces proliferation, metastasis and epithelialmesenchymal transition in thyroid carcinoma via Kruppel-like factor 2," *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1927–1938, 2018.
- [34] Z. Ma, P. Peng, J. Zhou et al., "Long non-coding RNA SH3PXD2A-AS1 promotes cell progression partly through epigenetic silencing P57 and KLF2 in colorectal cancer," *Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 2197–2214, 2018.
- [35] X. Lv, L. Liu, C. Cheng et al., "SUN2 exerts tumor suppressor functions by suppressing the Warburg effect in lung cancer," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 5, Article ID 17940, 2015.
- [36] T. Hsieh, C. Chien, Y. Lee et al., "Downregulation of SUN2, a novel tumor suppressor, mediates miR-221/222-induced malignancy in central nervous system embryonal tumors," *Carcino*genesis, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 2164–2174, 2014.