www.nature.com/bcj

LETTER TO THE EDITOR Nonengraftment donor lymphocyte infusions for refractory acute myeloid leukemia

Blood Cancer Journal (2015) **5,** e371; doi:10.1038/bcj.2015.100; published online 4 December 2015

Allogeneic stem cell transplantation (allo-SCT) is an effective treatment modality for acute myeloid leukemia (AML) that exerts its therapeutic benefit via a graft versus leukemia effect.¹ Its applicability is limited by toxicity from preconditioning chemoradiation in addition to the threat of graft versus host disease, which precludes many patients with AML from receiving this definitive consolidative therapy.² Previously, we have shown an ability to generate responses, some of which were complete, in patients with relapsed/refractory AML using haploidentical donor lymphocyte infusions in the absence of engraftment.³ We present data from our FDA-approved Phase II clinical trial (BrUOG H-273, IND 015008, NCT01685606) in which haploidentical allogeneic cells are infused. Unlike our previous trial these cellular infusions occur without granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) mobilization or preconditioning low-dose total body irradiation (TBI). The goal is to generate a robust allogeneic response that breaks host tumor tolerance without the toxicity profile seen with allo-SCT.

This trial was approved by the Rhode Island Hospital Institutional Review Board. Eligible patients had relapsed or refractory AML without curative options. Family members who were human leukocyte antigen (HLA) haploidentical donors at the A/B/DR loci were identified. Both patients and donors were consented. Donors then underwent leukapheresis without stem cell mobilization. In all, $1-2 \times 10^8$ CD3+ cells/kg were infused unprocessed immediately following collection. Peripheral blood samples were collected on days 2, 7 and 14 post-cellular infusion for short tandem repeat chimerism analysis. Additional peripheral blood samples were collected pre-infusion as well as at 0-4, 8-24, 34-48, 72-96 and 168-192 h post infusion to evaluate recipient effector cells and cytokine release profiles. Following centrifugation to obtain plasma, peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMNC) were obtained using Ficoll-Hypaque discontinuous centrifugation. Recipient versus donor cells in the PBMNC were identified by the use of donor-specific anti-HLA antibodies that had previously been used to detect microchimerism during pregnancy. Recipient PBMNC T-cell subpopulations were characterized for activation markers, cytolytic markers and checkpoint inhibitors. In addition, CD273 (PD-L2) and CD274 (PD-L1) expression on leukemic blasts was determined. Cytokine (IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, IFNy) levels present in the plasma were determined using the CD8 Milliplex assay (EMD Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to determine statistical significance using STATA /SE 12.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Five patients (age 52–77 years, 1–4 previous therapies) were infused with haploidentical donor cells. Four developed hyperpyrexia post infusion that lasted 24–48 h (median temperature maximum (T_{max}) 0–4 h post infusion 98.6 °F, median T_{max} 8–192 h post infusion 101.9 °F, P = 0.009). Recipient CD8 T cells demonstrated decreased perforin expression post infusion compared with pre-infusion with no changes in granzyme A/B, LAMP-1 or FasL expression. Recipient CD4 T cells showed increased LAMP-1 expression post infusion compared with pre-infusion with no changes in granzyme A/B or FasL expression. Rapid upregulation of PD-1 on host CD8 T cells was present (Table 1). The greatest difference in PD-1 expression was pre-infusion compared with 60–96 h post infusion. Non-statistically significant upregulation of surface PD-1 ligands occurred on CD33+ leukemic blasts from 0–4 (median 6.9%) to 8–24 h post infusion (median 26.9%) for PD-L1, and 0–4 (median 11%) and 72–96 h post infusion (median 28.6%) for PD-L2. No change was seen in PD-1 expression of recipient CD4 T cells. Likewise, no changes in CTLA-4, OX40, 4–1BB and CD40L expression on recipient CD8 and CD4 T cells pre- or post infusion were observed.

A statistically significant increase in IFN γ immediately post infusion and IL-2 at the onset of fever development (8–24 h post infusion) was seen (Table 2). Two patients developed grade 4 neutropenia whereas one patient developed grade 4 lymphocytosis. There were no signs of graft versus host disease. No dose-limiting toxicities or durable chimerism was seen as all patients had < 2% chimerism by day 7. One of the five patients demonstrated a decrease in marrow blast counts post therapy (43% pre- to 21% 8 weeks post infusion).

Overall survival post donor lymphocyte infusion ranged from 21.5 to 46 weeks. One patient was excluded from survival analysis as the patient died before a protocol amendment to collect survival data. One patient is still alive with AML at 46 weeks post infusion. This patient had stable disease following cellular infusion.

Haploidentical cellular infusions are well tolerated and demonstrate biological activity in relapsed AML. Notably, we saw less fever development as well as a decrease in clinical responses with this clinical trial compared with the prior trial. Herein, 1/5 patients had a transient response whereas previously 10/13 patients with AML had a transient response.

Laboratory correlative studies performed during the trial offer some insight into why a decrease in response was witnessed and provide a rationale by which leukemic blasts evade the host immune system. In this study, cytokine release profiles post infusion were consistent with an immune inhibitory profile with

Table 1. Median recipient T-cell activation, cytolytic and immune

	Pre-infusion	Post-infusion	P-value	
CD8				
Perforin	77.6	61	0.03	
Granzyme A	78.1	73.6	0.15	
Granzyme B	60.8	66.3	0.90	
LAMP-1	15.6	32.7	0.13	
FasL	9.5	14.3	0.34	
PD-1	5.6	49.7	0.005	
CD4				
Perforin	13.0	5.3	0.23	
Granzyme A	74.5	63.1	0.41	
Granzyme B	3.7	10.1	0.41	
LAMP-1	3.3	8.6	0.03	
FasL	13.6	6.3	0.10	
PD-1	13.0	18.4	0.41	

Time from infusion	IFNγ	P-value	IL-2	P-value	IL-6	P-value	IL-10	P-value
Pre-infusion	0.001		4.5		12.65		81.25	
Post infusion								
0–4 h	0.001	0.37	2.83	0.10	4.66	0.81	73	0.62
8–24 h	7	0.03	56.3	0.02	25.8	0.46	171	0.8
34–48 h	10.25	0.13	34.93	0.08	22.17	0.15	172.45	0.25
72–96 h	6.4	0.13	5.4	0.46	12.59	1.00	259.9	0.22
168–192 h	1.0	0.13	1.35	0.05	9.5	1.00	170.6	0.56

high IL-10 expression and low IFN_Y, IL-2 and IL-6 levels. In our previous study, post-infusion median IL-10 levels were similar, however, the inflammatory cytokines IFN_Y and IL-6 were much more pronounced (median 30.9 pg/ml and median 3757 pg/ml). We therefore hypothesize that fever development with resultant cytokine release, especially IL-6, at least correlates with anti-leukemia response. Given the paucity of responses seen here, we conclude that either donor G-CSF mobilization, TBI or both are necessary for fever and subsequent cytokine release. Whether or not the fever and IL-6 directly cause response remains to be seen.

Interestingly, lab correlative studies provided insight into recipient T-cell interactions with leukemic blasts as a potential rationale for lack of response. In the present study, non-mobilized donor lymphocyte infusions initially generated a fever response and degranulation of recipient T cells as evident by the decrease in perforin expression pre- compared to post-cellular infusion. Any significant leukemia cell killing appears to be silenced through upregulation of PD-1 on recipient T cells and PD-1 ligands on leukemic blasts. Upregulation of the PD-1/PD-L1 axis has been previously shown as a mechanism of immune escape⁶ with PD-1 cytotoxic T-cell suppression further enhanced through regulatory T cells in murine models of AML.⁷ Furthermore, increase in minor histocompatibility-specific memory CD8 T-cell expression of PD-1 with simultaneous increase in PD-L1 leukemic blast production has been described as a mechanism of immune evasion in allo-SCT patients who relapse⁸ and that IFNy production can upregulate PD-L1 expression on leukemic blasts in AML patient samples.^{8,9} Here, in addition to increased PD-L1 we also show an increase, albeit not statistically significant, in PD-L2 within leukemic blasts. Although the upregulation of PD-L2 on dendritic cells (DCs)¹⁰ and the ability of leukemic blasts to differentiate into DCs¹¹ have both been well described, the presence of PD-L2 on leukemic blasts has, to our knowledge, not been reported. The coexpression of PD-1 ligands at different time points may reflect an initial upregulation of PD-L1 followed by a later increase in PD-L2 reflective of the relative increase in IFNy thereby underscoring the complex immune evasive ability of AML.

In summary, haploidentical lymphocyte infusions provide a strong initial immune stimulus. It is unclear why there was no leukemia control in the absence of G-CSF mobilization and TBI. Conclusions are limited as the earlier protocol with G-CSF and TBI did not examine changes in the PD1/PDL1/2 axis. Much further work is needed to identify the mechanisms and develop what may be a promising low-intensity treatment strategy for AML.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

JL Reagan¹, LD Fast¹, M Nevola¹, K Mantripragada¹, A Mulder², FHJ Claas², K Rosati³, A Schumacher⁴, H Safran¹, CT Young⁵, MI Quesenberry¹, ES Winer¹, JN Butera¹ and PJ Quesenberry¹ ¹Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, Rhode Island Hospital, The Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI, USA;

²Department of Immunohematology and Blood Transfusion, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ³Brown University Oncology Group, The Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI, USA;

⁴Lifespan Office of Clinical Research, Rhode Island Hospital/The

Miriam Hospital, Providence, RI, USA and

⁵Rhode Island Blood Center, The Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Department of Pathology, Providence, USA E-mail: john_reagan@brown.edu

REFERENCES

- 1 Gale RP, Champlin RE. How does bone-marrow transplantation cure leukaemia? Lancet 1984; 2: 28–30.
- 2 Koreth J, Schlenk R, Kopecky KJ, Honda S, Sierra J, Djulbegovic BJ *et al.* Allogeneic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission: systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective clinical trials. *JAMA* 2009; **301**: 2349–2361.
- 3 Colvin GA, Berz D, Ramanathan M, Winer ES, Fast L, Elfenbein GJ et al. Nonengraftment haploidentical cellular immunotherapy for refractory malignancies: tumor responses without chimerism. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2009; 15: 421–431.
- 4 Drabbels JJ, van de Keur C, Kemps BM, Mulder A, Scherjon SA, Claas FH et al. HLA-targeted flow cytometric sorting of blood cells allows separation of pure and viable microchimeric cell populations. Blood 2011; **118**: e149–e155.
- 5 Mulder A, Kardol MJ, Arn JS, Eijsink C, Franke ME, Schreuder GM et al. Human monoclonal HLA antibodies reveal interspecies crossreactive swine MHC class I epitopes relevant for xenotransplantation. *Mol Immunol* 2010; 47: 809–815.
- 6 Zhang L, Gajewski TF, Kline J. PD-1/PD-L1 interactions inhibit antitumor immune responses in a murine acute myeloid leukemia model. *Blood* 2009; **114**: 1545–1552.
- 7 Zhou Q, Munger ME, Highfill SL, Tolar J, Weigel BJ, Riddle M *et al.* Program death-1 signaling and regulatory T cells collaborate to resist the function of adoptively transferred cytotoxic T lymphocytes in advanced acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2010; **116**: 2484–2493.
- 8 Norde WJ, Maas F, Hobo W, Korman A, Quigley M, Kester MG et al. PD-1/PD-L1 interactions contribute to functional T-cell impairment in patients who relapse with cancer after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Cancer Res 2011; 71: 5111–5122.
- 9 Kronig H, Kremmler L, Haller B, Englert C, Peschel C, Andreesen R et al. Interferoninduced programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1/B7-H1) expression increases on human acute myeloid leukemia blast cells during treatment. Eur J Haematol 2014; 92: 195–203.
- 10 Yamazaki T, Akiba H, Iwai H, Matsuda H, Aoki M, Tanno Y et al. Expression of programmed death 1 ligands by murine T cells and APC. J Immunol 2002; 169: 5538–5545.
- 11 Kremser A, Dressig J, Grabrucker C, Liepert A, Kroell T, Scholl N et al. Dendritic cells (DCs) can be successfully generated from leukemic blasts in individual patients with AML or MDS: an evaluation of different methods. J Immunother 2010; 33: 185–199.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/