Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jinyoung Youn, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, South Korea

REVIEWED BY Ana Vives-Rodriguez, Yale University, United States Josefine Waldthaler, University of Marburg, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Xinyi Li xinyili2003@aliyun.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Dementia and Neurodegenerative Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

RECEIVED 16 May 2022 ACCEPTED 03 August 2022 PUBLISHED 26 August 2022

CITATION

Yu Y, Yan W, Xu X, Zhang K, Si L, Liu X, Wang J, Song J, Sun H and Li X (2022) Response times for reflexive saccades correlate with cognition in parkinson's disease, not disease severity or duration. *Front. Neurol.* 13:945201. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.945201

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Yu, Yan, Xu, Zhang, Si, Liu, Wang, Song, Sun and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Response times for reflexive saccades correlate with cognition in parkinson's disease, not disease severity or duration

Yaqin Yu^{1,2}, Weihong Yan^{1,2}, Xin Xu³, Kaili Zhang^{1,2}, Lihong Si^{1,2}, Xiaolei Liu^{1,2}, Jinyu Wang^{1,2}, Junling Song^{1,2}, Huanxin Sun^{1,2} and Xinyi Li^{1,2}*

¹Department of Neurology, Third Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Shanxi Bethune Hospital, Shanxi Academy of Medical Sciences, Tongji Shanxi Hospital, Taiyuan, China, ²Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, ³Department of Neurosurgery, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China

Objective: Dementia is a common and serious non-motor symptom in Parkinson's disease (PD). We aimed to investigate the reflexive saccade in PD patients and explore its potential role as a biomarker for cognitive decline.

Methods: Using an infrared video-based eye tracker, we investigated reflexive saccades in 94 PD patients and 115 healthy controls (HCs). Saccadic parameters were compared between PD patients and HCs, and also among PD subgroups. The correlation of saccadic performance with disease duration, severity and cognition were further investigated.

Results: Compared with healthy controls, PD patients had prolonged and hypometric reflexive saccades even in early disease stage. Univariate and multivariate analysis showed that there was significant inverse relation between prolonged latency and MMSE in PD patients (P < 0.05); tremor dominant PD patients were more likely to have decreased velocity than non-tremordominant PD patients (P < 0.05); saccadic accuracy was found to have no significant relation with disease duration, H&Y staging or MMSE.

Conclusion: Reflexive saccadic performance was abnormal in PD and worsened with cognitive decline. The negative correlation between prolonged latency and MMSE scores may make the reflexive saccade a potential predictor for cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease.

KEYWORDS

Parkinson's disease, cognition, reflexive saccades, dementia, MMSE

Introduction

Parkinson's disease dementia (PDD) and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) are the two most common cognitive syndromes in patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) (1, 2). According to the MDS Task Force, MCI, as the harbinger of dementia, is common in non-demented PD patients (mean prevalence 27%), but is often ignored by both family members and clinicians. Cumulatively 80% may develop dementia among PD patients with 20-years survival (3, 4). PDD patients have a faster motor progression and worse prognosis due to cognitive impairment. Early identification of cognitive decline may allow timely intervention to slow or prevent PDD (5). Therefore, biomarkers that can help identify cognitive decline as early as possible in PD patients are of great value in the clinic. Unfortunately, no biomarker has as yet been validated.

Recently, eye tracking technology has attracted much attention for its potentiality in identifying cognitive decline in neurological disorders. Saccades have been found to be associated with cognitive impairment in PD (6–9). Samuel Stuart found that pro-saccades may predict cognitive decline in several domains and be a useful non-invasive biomarker for long-term PD cognitive decline in early disease stage (10).

However, studies on saccades of PD patients have yielded paradoxical results. Voluntary saccades have been found to be markedly impaired in PD (11). Whereas, reflexive saccades are found to be relatively spared in some studies, while in others, are mildly abnormal (12, 13). Reflexive saccadic abnormality and its relevance to cognitive state in PD should be further clarified to see whether reflexive saccades can become a biomarker for the cognitive dysfunction in PD.

Participants and methods

Standard protocol approvals

This was a retrospective observational study which followed the Declaration of Helsinki Principles. The study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shanxi Bethune Hospital in Shanxi, China. Informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in the study.

Participants

94 PD patients and 115 healthy controls (HCs) were included in the study. PD patients were all recruited from the Neurology Clinic at Shanxi Bethune Hospital and were diagnosed either clinically established or clinically probable PD according to the 2015 MDS Clinical Diagnostic Criteria for Parkinson's Disease by the experienced movement disorders expert (14). There was no history of any known ophthalmological disorders in either group of participants and no known neurological abnormalities in the control group or any other neurological or neuropsychiatric disorders in the PD group.

Exclusion criteria for all participants were age < 30 years, being educated < 6 years (to rule out the influence of the education level on cognitive evaluation), dementia (significant cognitive decline causing interference in occupational, domestic, or social functioning) (15), suspicion of a neurodegenerative disorder (other than PD), use of antipsychotic, anti-epileptic, antidepressant medication or sedative drugs within 72 h (these drugs may influence eye movement parameters) (16), a visual acuity < 0.4, blurry vision, diplopia, and visual field disturbances. An additional exclusion criterion for PD patients was severe tremor or dyskinesia, which might influence the accuracy of the test.

To prevent the impact of dopaminergic medication on eye movements, PD patients held their dopaminergic medication overnight before the test (17). All patients were assessed by a trained movement disorder specialist and recorded on video for assessment of MDS-UPDRS part -III score.

Equipment

Eye movements were recorded using a headmounted infrared video-based eye tracker at 174 Hz (InteracousticsVO425, DENMARK). All stimuli were presented on the display with a black background and data were collected by Interacoustics Video Nystagmography OtoAccessTMV.1.5 software. Participants were seated approximately 85 cm in front of the display on a chair with head keeping still by themselves or in the support of one family member in a quiet darkened room. The examiner and the family member (if needed) were outside the participants' field of view. The total duration of the eye movement tasks (including reflexive saccades, smooth pursuits and optokinetic nystagmus) was no more than 20 min. Before each test, the examiner instructed the participant verbally and performed a practice demonstration to ensure that the participant understood the oral instructions correctly and participants learned and tried once or twice before the final trial. Alertness was maintained by frequent verbal encouragement.

Procedures

Clinical assessment

Participants' demographics including age, sex and level of education were recorded. PD duration was recorded in years since the first motor symptom appeared. Motor severity was assessed using the International Parkinson's and Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS) part-III, and Hoehn and Yahr Staging (H&Y) (18). Levodopa equivalent daily dose (LEDD) was calculated (19). Global cognition was measured using the Chinese version of the Mini mental State Examination (MMSE), which is the best-known and the most commonly used screening tool for the measurement of global cognitive impairment in clinics and research. The common threshold (scores \leq 26) was used to divide PD patients into PD with normal cognition (PD-NC) subgroup and PD with impaired cognition (PD-IC) subgroup (20).

10.3389/fneur.2022.945201

PD patients were also classified into tremor-dominant (TD), postural instability/gait difficulty (PIGD) and mixed subtypes clinically according to the ratio of mean tremor score to the mean postural instability/gait difficulty score (ratio ≥ 1.15 for TD, ≤ 0.90 for PIGD, 0.90-1.15 for mixed) (21). PIGD and mixed subtypes were non-tremor-dominant (NTD) subtype.

Eye tracking procedure

A calibration sequence was performed at the beginning of the test by having the participant to look to five dots presented on the screen in a random sequence. Calibration was repeated during the tasks when the eye tracker slipped off the head or when participants needed a break from the eye tracker. In the reflexive saccadic task, participants were instructed to continuously fixate on a "jumping target" as accurately and quickly as possible for 30 s to 45 s. The target started in a central position, then disappeared and immediately reappeared at a random location in a horizontal plane with an interval of 200 ms and a variable distance from the previous location $(2-30^\circ)$, resulting in a total number of 15–20 trials.

Outcome measures and statistical analysis

Primary outcome measures were mean gain (defined as primary saccade amplitude divided by target amplitude), mean peak velocity and mean latency or response time (defined as the time interval between target appearance and the start of a saccade). Mean latency \geq 300 ms is defined as prolonged latency and mean velocity $\leq 520^{\circ}/s$ is deemed as decreased velocity (The cutoffs are calculated from data collected from more than 600 healthy people aged over 30 years old examined by our equipment). Inaccurate saccades included hypometria (gain < 0.8) and hypermetria (gain > 1.1) (22). Data were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median (inter-quartile range) for continuous variables, and as frequency or percentage for categorical variables. In posthoc analysis, PD patients were categorized by disease severity (H&Y staging), cognition and clinical subtypes respectively. Statistical analysis was conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 22.0) and the statistical software packages R (http://www.R-project.org, The R Foundation) and Free Statistics software versions 1.3. Data were examined for normality of distribution with Kolmogorov-Smirnov's test. Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney test or one-way ANOVA was used to compare continuous variables. Differences between categorical variables were calculated using Pearson's chi-square test. Univariate logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CI for the abnormal saccades (including prolonged latency, decreased velocity and inaccuracy). Multivariate logistic regression was used to analyze

TABLE 1 Characteristics and reflexive saccadic parameters of participants.

	HC	PD	<i>p</i> -value	
	(n = 115)	(n = 94)		
Male (%)	48.7	59.6	0.153	
Age (y)*	67.3 ± 9.4	65.2 ± 9.3	0.111	
MMSE**	29 (29.0, 30.0)	27 (25.0, 28.0)	< 0.001	
LEDD**	NA	337.5 (0.0, 543.8)		
MDS-UPDRSIII**	NA	39.0 (29.0, 51.8)		
Duration (y) **	NA	4.0 (2.0, 7.8)		
H&Y I (%)	NA	15.9		
H&Y II (%)	NA	57.5		
H&Y III (%)	NA	23.4		
H&Y IV (%)	NA	3.1		
Mean gain**	0.96 (0.89, 1.00)	0.90 (0.84, 0.96)	< 0.001	
Mean latency (ms) **	304.5 (285.2,323.2)	322.8 (286.5,347.1)	0.005	
Mean velocity (°/s) *	612.1 ± 72.4	585.7 ± 100.0	0.055	

*represented as mean \pm standard deviation; **represented as median (IQR); PD, Parkinson's disease, HC, healthy controls; MMSE, minimal mental state examination; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily dose; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society unified Parkinson's disease rating scale (version 3.0); NA, not applicable. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

all the related factors. A p value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

General characteristics

PD patients and healthy controls were well-balanced in age and sex (p > 0.05). The median PD duration was 4.0(2.0, 7.8) years with varying levels of PD severity as measured by H&Y staging (stage I, 16%; stage II, 57%; stage III, 23%; stage IV, 3%; stage V 0%). The average MDS-UPDRS part-III score of PD patients in off-medication state was 39(29.0, 51.8). 42 PD patients had cognitive decline and 52 had normal cognition. PD patients had significant lower MMSE score than healthy controls (p < 0.001) (Table 1).

Visually guided saccades

Comparison between PD group and HC group

Compared to healthy controls, PD patients had significantly prolonged mean latency (HC: 304.5 ms, PD:326.5 ms, p < 0.01), reduced mean gain (HC: 0.96, PD: 0.90, p < 0.01), and a non-significant trend toward lower mean peak velocity (HC: 612.1 °/s, PD: 585.7°/s, p = 0.055), shown by Figures 1A–C. *Post-hoc* evaluation of reflexive saccadic accuracy showed that in PD patients, 24.3% of saccades were inaccurate, of which



TABLE 2 Characteristics and reflexive saccadic parameters of PD cognitive subgroups.

	Normal cognition $n = 52$	Impaired cognition n = 42	<i>p</i> -value	
Male (%)	63.5	54.8	0.52	
Age(y) *	63.3 ± 9.3	67.6 ± 8.7	0.026	
Duration (y) **	4.0 (1.5, 6.2)	3.5 (2.0, 8.0)	0.292	
LEDD**	337.5 (0.0, 500.0)	345.0 (150.0, 656.2)	0.341	
MDS-UPDRSIII*	35.3 ± 15.5	46.0 ± 16.9	0.002	
MMSE**	28.0 (27.0, 29.0)	25.0 (22.0, 25.0)	< 0.001	
Mean gain*	0.89 ± 0.10	0.89 ± 0.11	0.953	
Mean velocity (°/s) **	594.8 (545.2, 658.9)	564.2 (533.4, 639.5)	0.44	
Mean latency (ms) *	312.2 ± 35.6	332.3 ± 42.9	0.015	

*represented as mean \pm standard deviations, **represented as median (IQR). PD, Parkinson's disease; MMSE, minimal mental state examination; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily dose; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society unified Parkinson's disease rating scale (version 3.0). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

80.6 % were hypometric. In HCs, only 2.7% of saccades were inaccurate: 67% hypometric and 31% hypermetric ($\chi^2 = 20.2$, p < 0.001).

Comparison between PD cognitive subgroups

PD patients with impaired cognition were much older in age (p = 0.026) and at higher H&Y stages (p = 0.023), and had higher MDS-UPDRS part-III score (p = 0.002) and lower MMSE score than PD patients with normal cognition (p < 0.001) (Table 2). There was no significant difference for mean disease duration or LEDD between the two PD cognitive subgroups (p = 0.292 and p = 0.341, resp.). PD-IC subgroup had significantly longer mean latency than PD-NC subgroup (PD-IC 332 ms, PD-NC: 312 ms, p < 0.05) (Figure 2). Mean gain and mean velocity



did not differ significantly between the two subgroups (p = 0.9 and p = 0.4, resp.).

Comparison between PD clinical subgroups

Thirty-four PD patients were TD subtype and 60 were NTD subtype (including 51 PIGD and nine mixed subtype). TD and NTD patients were balanced in age, sex, duration, MDS-UPDRS part-III score and MMSE score (p > 0.05 for all). Higher LEDD and more patients at H&Y III and IV stages were found in non-tremor patients (P = 0.014 and P = 0.027, resp.). There was no significant difference for gain or latency between the two subgroups (p > 0.05 for both). NTD patients had higher velocity than TD patients (p = 0.025) (Table 3).

	TD	NTD	<i>p</i> -value	
	<i>n</i> = 34	n = 60		
Male (%)	67.6	55	0.326	
Age (y) *	65.9 ± 8.7	64.8 ± 9.6	0.59	
Duration (y) **	3.0 (2.0, 6.0)	5.0 (2.0, 8.0)	0.372	
LEDD**	150.0 (0.0, 446.9)	375.0 (200.0, 681.2)	0.014	
MDS-UPDRSIII*	39.3 ± 15.6	40.5 ± 17.7	0.738	
MMSE**	27.0 (25.0, 28.0)	26.5 (25.0, 28.2)	0.623	
H&Y (%)			0.027	
Ι	20.6	13.3		
II	70.6	50		
III	8.8	31.7		
IV	0	5		
Mean gain*	0.9 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	0.485	
Mean velocity (°/s) **	553.0 (515.6, 617.4)	591.5 (550.0, 661.5)	0.025	
Mean latency (ms) *	317.9 ± 32.3	323.1 ± 44.1	0.556	

TABLE 3 Characteristics and reflexive saccadic parameters of PD clinical subtypes.

*represented as mean \pm standard deviations, **represented as median (IQR). PD, Parkinson's disease; TD, tremor-dominant subtype; NTD, non-tremor-dominant subtype; MMSE, minimal mental state examination; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily dose; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society unified Parkinson's disease rating scale (version 3.0). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Comparison among PD patients at different H&Y stages

Significant differences were found in age, disease duration, MDS-UPDRS part-III score and MMSE score among H&Y stages I-IV (p = 0.014, p < 0.001, p < 0.001, and p = 0.003, resp.). However, there was no significant difference in mean gain, mean velocity or mean latency of reflexive saccades among different H&Y stages.

Correlation between latency and MMSE in PD patients

Sixty-one PD patients had prolonged latency and 33 had normal latency. There was significant difference in MMSE between PD patients with prolonged latency and PD patients with normal latency (p < 0.001). However, for age, sex, disease duration, H&Y staging or MDS-UPDRS part-III score, no significant differences were found between them (Table 4). In univariate logistic analysis there was significant inverse relation between prolonged latency and MMSE (Table 5). PD patients with higher MMSE scores were less likely to show prolonged reflexive saccadic latency (OR = 0.71; 95% CI 0.57–0.88; p = 0.002). Age (p = 0.142), sex (p = 0.243), MDS-UPDRS part-III scores (p = 0.994), disease duration (p = 0.497), and H&Y staging (p = 0.221 for stage II, p = 0.729 for stage III and p = 0.674 for stage IV) were not found to have TABLE 4 Characteristics of PD patients with normal latency and prolonged latency.

	PD with normal	PD with prolonged	<i>p</i> -value	
	latency	latency		
	<i>n</i> = 33	n = 61		
Male (%)	51.5	63.9	0.342	
Age (y) *	63.3 ± 9.0	66.3 ± 9.3	0.14	
Duration (y) **	5.0 (3.0, 7.0)	3.0 (1.5, 8.0)	0.247	
LEDD**	337.5 (0.0, 500.0)	345.0 (150.0, 656.2)	0.341	
MDS-UPDRSIII*	40.1 ± 17.9	40.1 ± 16.5	0.995	
MMSE**	28.0 (26.0, 29.0)	26.0 (24.0, 27.0)	< 0.001	
H&Y (%)			0.528	
Ι	21.2	13.1		
II	48.5	62.3		
III	27.3	21.3		
IV	3	3.3		

*represented as mean \pm standard deviations, **represented as median (IQR). PD, Parkinson's disease; MMSE, minimal mental state examination; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily dose; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society unified Parkinson's disease rating scale (version 3.0). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

significant relations with prolonged latency in PD patients. In multivariate logistic analysis, similar results were obtained (Table 5).

Correlation between velocity and PD clinical subtypes

Fifteen PD patients had decreased reflexive saccadic velocity and 79 had normal velocity. There were no significant differences in age, sex, disease duration, MMSE, H&Y staging, MDS-UPDRS part-III score or clinical subtypes between PD patients with normal velocity and PD patients with decreased velocity. In univariate logistic analysis, NTD patients were less likely to show decreased velocity (OR = 0.31; 95% CI 0.1 \sim 0.96; p = 0.043). Age (p = 0.676), sex (p = 0.592), MDS-UPDRS part-III scores (p = 0.319), disease duration (p = 0.841), LEDD (p = 0.903), and H&Y staging (p = 0.29 for stage II, p = 0.511for stage III, and p = 0.225 for stage IV) were not found to have significant relations with reflexive saccadic velocity in PD patients. In multivariate logistic analysis, after adjusting sex, age, disease duration, LEDD, H&Y staging, and MDS-UPDRS part-III scores, results analogous to univariate analysis were gained (Table 6).

Correlation between accuracy and related factors

Nineteen PD patients had inaccurate saccades (17 hypometric and 2 hypermetric) and 75 had accurate saccades.

	Univariate results		Multivariate results			
	OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Adjust I	<i>p</i> -value	Adjust II	<i>p</i> -value
MMSE	$0.71~(0.57\sim 0.88)$	0.002	$0.71~(0.57\sim 0.89)$	0.002	$0.62~(0.48\sim 0.82)$	0.001
Age	$1.04~(0.99 \sim 1.09)$	0.142	1.01 (0.96 \sim 1.07)	0.64	$1.02~(0.97 \sim 1.08)$	0.405
Sex	$1.67~(0.71\sim 3.94)$	0.243	1.91 (0.75 \sim 4.89)	0.175	$2.09~(0.75\sim 5.79)$	0.156
Duration	$0.96~(0.86 \sim 1.08)$	0.497			$0.93~(0.77 \sim 1.12)$	0.446
MDS-UPDRSIII	$1~(0.98 \sim 1.03)$	0.994			$0.96~(0.91\sim 1.01)$	0.138
H&Y						
Ι	Reference				Reference	
II	$2.08~(0.64 \sim 6.7)$	0.221			3 (0.47 \sim 18.96)	0.244
III	$1.26~(0.34 \sim 4.75)$	0.729			$2.35~(0.17\sim 32.59)$	0.524
IV	$1.75~(0.13 \sim 23.7)$	0.674			$1.65~(0.02 \sim 128.84)$	0.821

TABLE 5 Univariate and multivariate analysis for the association between latency and possible factors.

data presented are ORs and 95% CIs. Adjust I model adjusts for age and sex; adjust II model adjusts for adjust I + disease duration, MDS-UPDRS-III, H&Y. MMSE, minimal mental state examination; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society unified Parkinson's disease rating scale (version 3.0). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

TABLE 6 Univariate and multivariate analysis for the association between velocity and possible factors.

	Univariate results		Multivariate results			
	OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Adjust I	<i>p</i> -value	Adjust II	<i>p</i> -value
Clinical subtypes						
TD	Reference		Reference		Reference	
NTD	$0.31~(0.1 \sim 0.96)$	0.043	$0.29~(0.09 \sim 0.92)$	0.036	$0.18~(0.04 \sim 0.74)$	0.018
Age	$1.01~(0.95 \sim 1.08)$	0.676	$1.01~(0.95 \sim 1.08)$	0.731	$0.99~(0.92\sim 1.06)$	0.745
Sex	$0.74~(0.24\sim 2.24)$	0.592	$0.61~(0.19 \sim 1.94)$	0.401	$0.47~(0.13 \sim 1.65)$	0.238
Duration	$1.02~(0.87 \sim 1.18)$	0.841			$0.99~(0.81 \sim 1.22)$	0.946
MDS-UPDRSIII	$1.02~(0.98 \sim 1.05)$	0.319			$0.99~(0.94 \sim 1.05)$	0.76
LEDD	$1 (1 \sim 1)$	0.903			$1(1 \sim 1)$	0.625
H&Y						
Ι	Reference				Reference	
II	$3.18~(0.37 \sim 27.09)$	0.29			5.1 ($0.36 \sim 71.97$)	0.228
III	$2.21~(0.21\sim23.56)$	0.511			7.84 (0.23 \sim 271.39)	0.255
IV	7 ($0.3 \sim 162.21$)	0.225			62.47 (0.4 ~ 9,774.42)	0.109

data presented are ORs and 95% CIs. Adjust I model adjusts for age and sex; adjust II model adjusts for adjust I + LEDD, disease duration, MDS-UPDRSIII and H&Y.TD, tremordominant subtype; NTD, none tremor-dominant subtype; MMSE, minimal mental state examination; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily dose; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society unified Parkinson's disease rating scale (version 3.0). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Age and sex were well-matched between PD patients with inaccurate saccades and PD patients with accurate saccades and significant differences in disease duration (p = 0.003), MMSE (p = 0.041), H&Y staging (p = 0.001), MDS-UPDRS part-III score (p = 0.008), and LEDD (p < 0.001) were found between them. In univariate logistic analysis, disease duration (OR = 1.25; 95%CI 1.09~1.44; p = 0.002), MMSE (OR = 0.8; 95%CI 0.66 ~ 0.96; p = 0.017) and MDS-UPDRS part-III score (OR = 1.04; 95%CI 1.01~1.08; p = 0.012) were found to be significantly related to saccadic accuracy, while in multivariate analysis, saccadic accuracy was not found to have significant relations with them.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate reflexive saccades in a large number of PD patients in off-medication state and the reflexive saccade-to-cognition relationship in PD.

Main findings were: first, PD patients presented prolonged and hypometric reflexive saccades; second, the likelihood of prolonged latency was inversely correlated with MMSE score in PD and low MMSE score was an independent risk factor of prolonged latency; thirdly, TD patients were more likely to show decreased velocity than non-tremor dominant PD patients. Cognitive deficits are common in PD, even in prodromal or early stage of the disease (1). Therefore, biomarkers that can identify early cognitive decline are desperately needed. Saccadic eye movements have been one of the attractive candidates for use in early cognitive decline recognition (6, 7, 10, 23). Reflexive saccadic tasks were taken in our study, because of the controversial results and better cooperation by PD patients. We found that reflexive saccades were not only abnormal in PD patients even in early disease stage, but also significantly correlated with cognition.

Latency of reflexive saccades

Individuals with PD show marked impairments in the initiation of voluntary saccadic eye movements (11, 24). However, investigations of reflexive saccades in PD have, on the other hand, presented inconsistent results with prolonged, shortened or indistinguishable latency. Possible reasons might be the small number of participants and considerable heterogeneity among these studies, in which disease severity, medication, target eccentricities, eye-tracking and display equipment were all varied (13). PD patients in our study had significantly prolonged reflexive saccadic latency than healthy controls and among PD patients, prolonged latency was inversely related to MMSE.

Reflexive saccades are initiated directly from the frontal and parietal cortex onto saccade-related neurons in the intermediate layer of the superior colliculus (SC), which then project to the brainstem saccade generators, bypassing the basal ganglia (24). The abnormalities of reflexive saccades in PD reflect the direct cortical-SC circuit dysfunction even in early stage of PD. Although the basal ganglia are not involved in the generation of reflexive saccades directly, SC is excessively inhibited as a result of dopamine depletion in the basal ganglia, which is caused by the degeneration of substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) in PD. As the final common pathway for both reflexive and voluntary saccades, the SC is involved in initiation and inhibition of saccades and activity of the SC is negatively related to saccade latency. The stronger the activity, the faster eyes move to the target, thus the shorter the latency will be (12, 24, 25). Excessive inhibition of the SC may have resulted in the abnormal reflexive saccades in the PD group in our study. PD is the aggregation of a-synuclein inclusions in the neurons, which has been proved to spread from the vagus nerve to the medulla oblongata and pontine tegmentum before reaching the midbrain (26). Lewy bodies and degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the SNc are the neuropathological hallmarks of PD. By the time that clinical symptoms become evident, SNc dopaminergic neurons are at least 50-70% lost (27). Therefore, the early stage in clinic is rather an advanced stage in pathology, which could explain the abnormal reflexive saccades even in early disease stage clinically. According to Braak and his coworkers, PD is a multisystem

disorder and with disease progression, the classical pathology may continue spreading from the midbrain to the thalamus and cortex, resulting in psychiatric problems and dementia (26). Thus the cortical -SC circuit dysfunction will be further aggravated due to the cortical involvement, which could explain what we have found that PD patients with cognitive dysfunction are more likely to show prolonged latency.

Velocity of reflexive saccades

Consistent with previous studies, no significant difference in the reflexive saccadic velocity was found between PD patients and healthy controls (22, 28). However, between PD clinical subtypes, reflexive saccadic velocity was found to differ significantly, with TD patients having a significantly lower velocity than NTD patients, which has not been reported before. There was no significant difference in basic clinical characteristics between the two subgroups, except the lower LEDD and less patients at H&Y III and IV stages in TD patients. However, analysis showed that there was no significant difference for reflexive saccadic performance among different H&Y stages.

Parkinson's disease is a clinically heterogeneous disease with bradykinesia, rigidity, and rest tremor being the cardinal triad and presenting in a various proportion (27). It has been proved by increasing clinical and experimental evidence that TD and PIGD subtypes have different clinical courses and pathologies, and are not generated by identical neuronal mechanisms. In clinic, tremor-dominant patients show less cognitive decline and depressive symptoms, less responsiveness to dopaminergic medication, a slower progress and a relatively better prognosis (29). Pathologically, the PIGD subtype shows more severe cell loss in the ventrolateral SNc that projects to the dorsal putamen and the TD subtype presents a more severe neuronal loss in medial SNc, which projects to the caudate nucleus and anterior putamen. Moreover, the retrorubral area with projections to the dorsolateral striatum and ventromedial thalamus is more severely affected in the TD subtype. In pathogenesis, the dysfunction of striatal-thalamo-cortical (STC) circuit is involved in bradykinesia and rigidity, while rest tremor is due to the dysfunction of cerebello-thalamo-cortical (CTC) circuitry (30, 31). Thus TD group having a much lower velocity may be due to the more severe and widely affected pathology and dual involvement of STC and CTC circuits. However, detailed mechanism for this phenomenon awaits further research to elucidate.

Gain of reflexive saccades

Saccadic hypometria is one of the most consistent findings in PD, which presents a series of discrete short saccades before reaching the target, referred to as a multiple step pattern (24, 32). In our study, PD patients showed mild hypometric reflexive saccades, which were irrelevant to disease severity or duration. Cerebellum plays an important function in accuracy and calibration of the saccade, which explains the fact that MSA patients show moderate to severe hypometria, whereas PD patients show only mild hypometria. Hypometria in PD may be due to the irregular pulse in omnipause neurons of the brainstem or cortical dysfunction, whereas hypometria and hypermetria in MSA are mainly attributed to impairment of the cerebellum (33–35).

Limitations

There are limitations of the present study. First, there were few patients at higher H&Y stages (three at stage IV and none at stage V) and this could lead to bias. Sample sizes can be expanded to comprise more patients at higher H&Y stages. However, it may be difficult to investigate advanced patients in off-medication state either because patients are too severe in off-medication state to cooperate or because patients cannot hold their medications overnight. Second, the reflexive saccadic amplitude in our study varied widely between 2 and 30°. Further studies can be carried out to analyze the influence of small and large saccades on PD patients separately. Thirdly, mild cognitive impairment in patients with Parkinson's disease is a heterogeneous entity that involves different types and extents of cognitive deficits. MMSE, though commonly used in clinic, lacks the executive domain. Other tests, like the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), are more informative and sensitive in neurodegenerative disorders, should be used in future studies to elucidate the influence of the impairment of global cognition and its subdomains on the eye movements in PD patients. And it's worthwhile to conduct a prospective study on the saccadic performance and cognitive functions longitudinally in the course of PD to establish the potential function of reflexive saccades as a biomarker for cognitive decline in PD.

Conclusion

Parkinson's disease patients presented reflexive saccadic abnormalities even in early disease stage. Prolonged latency of the reflexive saccades was in a negative correlation with MMSE scores, which were in line with cortical-SC circuit dysfunction in PD. The velocity difference in clinical subgroups further supported the heterogeneity and the pathogenesis variation between PD subtypes. Reflexive saccades may be a potential biomarker for early cognitive decline.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shanxi Bethune Hospital in Shanxi, China. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YY and XX: conceptualization. YY: data curation and drafting manuscript. YY, WY, XL, JW, JS, and HS: project administration. YY, LS, and KZ: statistical analysis. XL: funding acquisition, reviewing the manuscript and supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

Research Project Supported by Shanxi Scholarship Council of China (No. HGKY2019096).

Acknowledgments

We thank all subjects for their participation in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Gonzalez-Latapi P, Bayram E, Litvan I, Marras C. Cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease: epidemiology, clinical profile, protective and risk factors. *Behav Sci (Basel)*. (2021) 11:74. doi: 10.3390/bs11050074

2. Bloem BR, Okun MS, Klein C. Parkinson's disease. Lancet. (2021) 397:2284–303. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00218-X

3. Litvan I, Aarsland D, Adler CH, Goldman JG, Kulisevsky J, Mollenhauer B, et al. MDS task force on mild cognitive impairment in parkinson's disease: critical review of PD-MCI. *Mov Disord.* (2011) 26:1814–24. doi: 10.1002/mds.23823

4. Aarsland D, Batzu L, Halliday GM, Geurtsen GJ, Ballard C, Ray Chaudhuri K, et al. Parkinson disease-associated cognitive impairment. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* (2021) 7:47. doi: 10.1038/s41572-021-00280-3

5. Weil RS, Costantini AA, Schrag AE. Mild Cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease-what is it? *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep.* (2018) 18:17. doi: 10.1007/s11910-018-0823-9

6. Tao L, Wang Q, Liu D, Wang J, Zhu Z, Feng L. Eye tracking metrics to screen and assess cognitive impairment in patients with neurological disorders. *Neurol Sci.* (2020) 41:1697–704. doi: 10.1007/s10072-020-04310-y

7. Walton CC, O'Callaghan C, Hall JM, Gilat M, Mowszowski L, Naismith SL, et al. Antisaccade errors reveal cognitive control deficits in Parkinson's disease with freezing of gait. *J Neurol.* (2015) 262:2745–54. doi: 10.1007/s00415-015-7910-5

8. Macaskill MR, Graham CF, Pitcher TL, Myall DJ, Livingston L, van Stockum S, et al. The influence of motor and cognitive impairment upon visually-guided saccades in Parkinson's disease. *Neuropsychologia*. (2012) 50:3338–47. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.09.025

9. Mosimann UP, Müri RM, Burn DJ, Felblinger J, O'Brien JT, McKeith IG. Saccadic eye movement changes in Parkinson's disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Brain.* (2005) 128:1267–76. doi: 10.1093/brain/awh484

10. Stuart S, Lawson RA, Yarnall AJ, Nell J, Alcock L, Duncan GW, et al. Prosaccades predict cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease: ICICLE-PD. *Mov Disord.* (2019) 34:1690–8. doi: 10.1002/mds.27813

11. Waldthaler J, Stock L, Student J, Sommerkorn J, Dowiasch S, Timmermann L. Antisaccades in Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis. *Neuropsychol Rev.* (2021) 31:628–42. doi: 10.1007/s11065-021-09489-1

12. Srivastava A, Sharma R, Sood SK, Shukla G, Goyal V, Behari M. Saccadic eye movements in Parkinson's disease. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* (2014) 62:538–44. doi: 10.4103/0301-4738.133482

13. Chambers JM, Prescott TJ. Response times for visually guided saccades in persons with Parkinson's disease: a meta-analytic review. *Neuropsychologia*. (2010) 48:887–99. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.11.006

14. Postuma RB, Berg D, Stern M, Poewe W, Olanow CW, Oertel W, et al. MDS clinical diagnostic criteria for Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord.* (2015) 30:1591-601. doi: 10.1002/mds.26424

15. Gale SA, Acar D, Daffner KR. Dementia. Am J Med. (2018) 131:1161–9. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2018.01.022

16. Reilly JL, Lencer R, Bishop JR, Keedy S, Sweeney JA. Pharmacological treatment effects on eye movement control. *Brain Cogn.* (2008) 68:415–35. doi: 10.1016/j.bandc.2008.08.026

17. Lu Z, Buchanan T, Kennard C, FitzGerald JJ, Antoniades CA. The effect of levodopa on saccades - Oxford Quantification in Parkinsonism study. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. (2019) 68:49–56. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2019.09.029

18. Goetz CG, Fahn S, Martinez-Martin P, Poewe W, Sampaio C, Stebbins GT, et al. Movement disorder society-sponsored revision of the unified Parkinson's disease rating scale (MDS-UPDRS): process, format, and clinimetric testing plan. *Mov Disord.* (2007) 22:41–7. doi: 10.1002/mds.21198

19. Tomlinson CL, Stowe R, Patel S, Rick C, Gray R, Clarke CE. Systematic review of levodopa dose equivalency reporting in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord.* (2010) 25:2649–53. doi: 10.1002/mds.23429

20. Arevalo-Rodriguez I, Smailagic N, Roqué-Figuls M, Ciapponi A, Sanchez-Perez E, Giannakou A, et al. Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) for the early detection of dementia in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2021) 7:Cd010783. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010783. pub3

21. Stebbins GT, Goetz CG, Burn DJ, Jankovic J, Khoo TK, Tilley BC. How to identify tremor dominant and postural instability/gait difficulty groups with the movement disorder society unified Parkinson's disease rating scale: comparison with the unified Parkinson's disease rating scale. *Mov Disord.* (2013) 28:668–70. doi: 10.1002/mds.25383

22. Visser F, Bour LJ, Lee YX, Ten Brinke TR, van Rootselaar AF. Eye movement abnormalities in essential tremor versus tremor dominant Parkinson's disease. *Clin Neurophysiol.* (2019) 130:683–91. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2019. 01.026

23. Perneczky R, Ghosh BC, Hughes L, Carpenter RH, Barker RA, Rowe JB. Saccadic latency in Parkinson's disease correlates with executive function and brain atrophy, but not motor severity. *Neurobiol Dis.* (2011) 43:79–85. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2011.01.032

24. Pretegiani E, Optican LM. Eye movements in Parkinson's disease and inherited parkinsonian syndromes. *Front Neurol.* (2017) 8:592. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00592

25. Puri S, Shaikh AG. Basic and translational neuro-ophthalmology of visually guided saccades: disorders of velocity. *Expert Rev Ophthalmol.* (2017) 12:457–73. doi: 10.1080/17469899.2017.1395695

26. Braak H, Del Tredici K, Rüb U, de Vos RA, Jansen Steur EN, Braak E. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. (2003) 24:197–211. doi: 10.1016/S0197-4580(02)00065-9

27. Simon DK, Tanner CM, Brundin P. Parkinson disease epidemiology, pathology, genetics, and pathophysiology. *Clin Geriatr Med.* (2020) 36:1-12. doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2019.08.002

28. Pinkhardt EH, Kassubek J. Ocular motor abnormalities in Parkinsonian syndromes. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord.* (2011) 17:223– 30. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2010.08.004

29. Fereshtehnejad SM, Postuma RB. Subtypes of Parkinson's disease: what do they tell us about disease progression? *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep.* (2017) 17:34. doi: 10.1007/s11910-017-0738-x

30. Eggers C, Kahraman D, Fink GR, Schmidt M, Timmermann L. Akineticrigid and tremor-dominant Parkinson's disease patients show different patterns of FP-CIT single photon emission computed tomography. *Mov Disord.* (2011) 26:416–23. doi: 10.1002/mds.23468

31. Zaidel A, Arkadir D, Israel Z, Bergman H. Akineto-rigid vs. tremor syndromes in Parkinsonism. *Curr Opin Neurol.* (2009) 22:387–93. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0b013e32832d9d67

32. Blekher T, Weaver M, Rupp J, Nichols WC, Hui SL, Gray J, et al. Multiple step pattern as a biomarker in Parkinson disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. (2009) 15:506–10. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2009.01.002

33. Gorges M, Müller HP, Lulé D, Ludolph AC, Pinkhardt EH, Kassubek J. Functional connectivity within the default mode network is associated with saccadic accuracy in Parkinson's disease: a restingstate FMRI and videooculographic study. *Brain Connect.* (2013) 3:265–72. doi: 10.1089/brain.2013.0146

34. Zhou H, Wang X, Ma D, Jiang Y, Li F, Sun Y, et al. The differential diagnostic value of a battery of oculomotor evaluation in Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy. *Brain Behav.* (2021) 11:e02184. doi: 10.1002/brb3.2184

35. Gorges M, Müller HP, Kassubek J. Structural and functional brain mapping correlates of impaired eye movement control in parkinsonian syndromes: a systems-based concept. *Front Neurol.* (2018) 9:319. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018. 00319