



Pleiotropic Effects of Rice Florigen Gene *RFT1* on the Amino Acid Content of Unmilled Rice

Li-Hong Xie[†], Yu-Jun Zhu[†], Shao-Qing Tang, Xiang-Jin Wei, Zhong-Hua Sheng, Gui-Ai Jiao, Pei-Song Hu^{*} and Jie-Yun Zhuang^{*}

State Key Laboratory of Rice Biology/Chinese National Center for Rice Improvement, China National Rice Research Institute, Hangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Balram Marathi, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India

Reviewed by:

Ashok Kumar Singh, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (ICAR), India Kazuki Matsubara, Institute of Crop Science (NARO), Japan

*Correspondence:

Pei-Song Hu qualityh@163.com Jie-Yun Zhuang zhuangjieyun@caas.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Nutrigenomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 22 July 2019 Accepted: 06 January 2020 Published: 31 January 2020

Citation:

Xie L-H, Zhu Y-J, Tang S-Q, Wei X-J, Sheng Z-H, Jiao G-A, Hu P-S and Zhuang J-Y (2020) Pleiotropic Effects of Rice Florigen Gene RFT1 on the Amino Acid Content of Unmilled Rice. Front. Genet. 11:13. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2020.00013 In rice, the contents of protein and amino acids are the major parameters of nutritional guality. Co-localization of guantitative trait loci (QTLs) for heading date and protein content were reported, but pleiotropism of heading-date genes on protein contents has not been investigated. Here, we reported that rice florigen gene RFT1 plays an important role in controlling amino acid contents of rice grain. Firstly, 73 QTLs for the contents of 17 amino acids in unmilled rice were detected using recombinant inbred lines (RILs) of the indica rice cross Zhenshan 97 (ZS97)/Milyang 46 (MY46). Then, the effect of the largest cluster consisting of 14 QTLs, located in proximity to the rice florigen genes RFT1 and Hd3a, was validated using three populations consisting of near isogenic lines (NILs) that only segregated a region covering the target QTL. The first and second NIL populations were derived from a residual heterozygote identified from the ZS97/MY46 RIL population, consisting of homozygous lines that were only segregated in a 29.9-kb region covering the two florigen genes and a 1.7-kb region for RFT1, respectively. The third NIL population was segregated for the RFT1^{ZS97} transgene in the background of *japonica* rice cultivar Zhonghua 11. In all the three NIL populations, RFT1 was shown to have a strong effect on the contents of most amino acids, with the ZS97 allele always having the reducing effects. By comparing QTLs for amino acid contents detected in the ZS97/MY46 RIL population and genes/QTLs previously identified for heading date difference between ZS97 and MY46, possible pleiotropism on amino acid contents was also shown for other key heading-date genes including Hd1, Ghd7, and OsGI.

Keywords: amino acid content, heading date, near isogenic line, pleiotropic effect, quantitative trait locus, *Oryza sativa* L.

INTRODUCTION

Rice sustains about half of the world's population, providing a source of energy and protein. Protein content (PC) of the rice grain is influenced by both genotype and growing environment. The PC values in the un-milled (brown) and milled rice of a large collection of rice cultivars were found to range as 5.6–11.2 and 6.0–15.7%, respectively, with a high correlation coefficient of 0.96 between the two measurements (Chen et al., 2006). In addition to the quantity of total protein, contribution of

1

different amino acids is also an important factor determining the nutritional value of rice grain (Wang et al., 2008). An understanding of the genetic basis underlying the variation of the total grain protein content and the contribution of individual amino acids has the potential to facilitate the breeding of rice cultivars having nutritionally superior grain.

A number of attempts have been made to identify the genetic architecture of the spectrum of grain amino acids in rice by means of quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis. Using recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from a cross between rice cultivars Zhenshan 97 (ZS97) and Nanyangzhan, 18 QTL clusters for 19 components of the amino acid content (AAC) in milled rice were identified (Wang et al., 2008). In two other RIL populations derived from crosses using ZS97 as the female parent, ZS97/Minghui 63 (Lu et al., 2009) and ZS97/Delong 208 (Zhong et al., 2011), 5 and 29 QTL regions for 10 and 17 components of AAC in milled rice were detected, respectively. Many more studies examined the total protein content without analysis on individual amino acids. A region covering the Wxlocus on the short arm of chromosome 6 was frequently found to be associated with PC (Tan et al., 2001; Aluko et al., 2004; Wada et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2009; Kashiwagi and Munakata, 2018), but its influence on AAC was only occasionally observed (Wang et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2009; Zhong et al., 2011). Whether the Wx gene itself or other linked genes are involved in the genetic control of PC and AAC remains to be determined.

In rice, the short arm of chromosome 6 is a region harboring multiple genes that play critical roles in the regulation of heading date (HD), including Hd1, Hd3a, RFT1, and Hd17/Hd3b (Hori et al., 2016). In a number of segregating populations that derived from intra-subspecies or inter-species crosses, negative correlation between HD and PC was observed (Wada et al., 2006; Kwon et al., 2011; Yun et al., 2016), which could be partially ascribed to a QTL region on the short arm of chromosome 6 that affected both HD and PC with opposite allelic directions (Wada et al., 2006; Yun et al., 2016). These results suggest that one or more heading-date genes located in this region may have pleiotropic effects on the contents of proteins and amino acids. In the present study, QTL analysis for 17 components of AAC in unmilled rice was performed using the ZS97/Milyang 46 (MY46) RIL population, followed by the validation of a QTL cluster on the short arm of chromosome 6 using three populations of near isogenic lines (NILs) in either indica or japonica backgrounds. A total of 73 QTLs were detected, and the RFT1 gene was found to have a strong and stable pleiotropic effect on AAC.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant Materials

Four segregating populations of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) were used in this study. One was a primary mapping population consisting of 247 RILs developed from a cross between *indica* rice cultivars ZS97 and MY46. The other three were NIL populations segregating a region involving the *RFT1* gene in an isogenic background, all of which have been reported by Zhu et al. (2017). Two of the NIL populations, namely TF6-15 and R1, were derived from a residual heterozygote (RH) of ZS97/MY46. An F₁₀ plant was selected, which was heterozygous in a 29.9-kb region covering the RFT1 and Hd3a loci and homozygous in other regions. The S₁ plants were assayed with DNA markers located in the segregating region. Homozygous plants were selfed to produce NILs. The TF6-15 population was established, consisting of 10 lines of ZS97 homozygotes and 10 lines of MY46 homozygotes differing in the 29.9 kb region. New RHs were identified from heterozygous progeny of the F₁₀ plant. An F14 plant that was heterozygous at the RFT1 locus only was selected. The R1 population was constructed, comprising 20 lines of ZS97 homozygotes and 20 lines of MY46 homozygotes differing for the RFT1 gene only. The remaining NIL population consisted of 28 homozygous transgenic lines in the genetic background of japonica rice cultivar Zhonghua 11 (ZH11), of which 14 lines carried the RFT1^{ZS97} transgene and the others carried no transgene.

Field Experiments

The four populations were planted in the single-cropping rice season at the China National Rice Research Institute in Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China. The RIL population was raised in 2015, 2016, and 2017, and the other three populations were grown in 2017 only. Each line was represented by 12 plants per row, with an inter-plant spacing of 16.7 cm and an inter-row spacing of 26.7 cm. The RILs were grown without replication, while the other populations were represented by two replicates. Plants were managed using standard agricultural practice.

Determination of Amino Acid Content

Grain was bulked from the middle ten plants of each row and dried to a moisture content of ~12%. De-hulling was achieved using THU-35A testing husker (Satake Engineering Co. Ltd., Hiroshima, Japan). The dehusked grain was ground using a Cyclotec 1093 Sample Mill (Tecator, Hoganas, Sweden) and the resulting flour was passed through a 0.42 mm sieve. A 250 mg batch of each flour sample was sealed in a vial containing 10 ml 6.0 M HCl and held at 110°C for 24 h. The resulting hydrolysate was diluted to 50 ml with deionized water and filtered. A 0.2 ml aliquot of the filtrate was transferred to a 2 ml tube and evaporated down to ~0.1 ml by bubbling nitrogen gas. After the addition of 2 ml 20 mM HCl, the solution was passed through a 0.2 µm Acrodisc membrane (Pall Corp., Port Washington, NY, USA). The amino acid content of each sample was acquired using a L8900 amino acid auto analyzer (Hitachi, High-Technology Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Percentage contributions of each of the 17 amino acids were obtained using Ezchrom Elite software (High-Technology Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

The amino acids studied were aspartic acid (Asp), threonine (Thr), serine (Ser), glutamic acid (Glu), glycine (Gly), alanine (Ala), cystine (Cys), valine (Val), methionine (Met), isoleucine (Ile), leucine (Leu), tyrosine (Tyr), phenylalanine (Phe), lysine (Lys), histidine (His), arginine (Arg), and proline (Pro). Each sample was analyzed in triplicate. Every analytical batch included

RFT1 Affecting Amino Acid Content

a blank (20 mM HCl only) and a GBW (E) 100010 reference sample (Chinese National Research Center for Certified Reference Materials, Beijing, China), except that the reference samples for Asp and Cys were not available. Concentrations in the standard samples of Ile, Leu, Tyr, Phe, Lys, His, Arg, Thr, Ser, Glu, Pro, Gly, Ala, Met, and Val measured based on six replicates were $0.38 \pm 0.060, 0.74 \pm 0.040, 0.30 \pm 0.020, 0.52 \pm 0.068, 0.28 \pm 0.012, 0.22 \pm 0.012, 0.49 \pm 0.048, 0.30 \pm 0.014, 0.49 \pm 0.014, 3.28 \pm 0.18, 0.95 \pm 0.010, 0.40 \pm 0.048, 0.503 \pm 0.026, 0.165 \pm 0.046, and 0.50 \pm 0.024\%$, respectively, matching well with the Certified Reference Material's values. The day-to-day reproducibility of the assay checked over three days was satisfactory, having the relative standard deviation lower than 5.0% for all the fifteen amino acids.

Quantitative Trait Locus Mapping

Genetic map of the ZS97/MY46 RIL population was previously constructed, consisting of 256 markers and spanning 1,814.7 cM (Wang et al., 2017b). This map was applied for QTL analysis using composite interval mapping (CIM) and multiple interval mapping (MIM) in Windows QTL Cartographer v2.5 (Wang et al., 2011). A candidate QTL was identified with CIM using a threshold of logarithm of odds (LOD) > 2.0 and then evaluated with MIM using the Bayesian Information Criterion c (n) = ln (n). A putative QTL was claimed if it satisfied both criteria. QTLs were designated as proposed by McCouch and CGSNL (2008). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to test the differences between the two genotypic groups in each of the three NIL populations, using a general linear model (GLM) of the SAS Program as described by Dai et al. (2008).

RESULTS

Variation of Amino Acid Contents in the ZS97/MY46 Recombinant Inbred Line Population

Variation of the 17 components of AAC in unmilled rice of the ZS97/MY46 RIL population is summarized in **Table 1**. There was a strong evidence for transgressive segregation in both directions for all the amino acids except His of which the contents of the RILs were all lower than the high-parental value in 2016. It was also shown that differences between the two parental lines varied greatly across the 3 years. Among the contents of the 17 amino acids, ZS97 was found to be the high-value parent for two amino acids only (Phe and His) in 2015, but ZS97 was the high-value parent for seven amino acids (Ser, Glu, Leu, Tyr, Phe, Lys, and His) in 2016 and for 14 amino acids (Asp, Thr, Ser, Glu, Gly, Ala, Val, Ile, Leu, Tyr, Phe, Lys, His, and Arg) in 2017.

Pearson correlation coefficients between the 17 components of AAC were calculated using mean values over 3 years and data of each year. Family error rates were controlled by dividing the *P* value of 0.05 by 17, thus a threshold of P < 0.003 was used for declaring a significant correlation. It was found that a large

majority of the correlations were positively significant. Of the 136 estimates produced from the mean values, 114 were positively significant, 4 were negatively significant, and 18 were non-significant (Table 2). The four negative correlations occurred between Ala and Gly, Cys, Met, and Pro. The 18 non-significant correlations included nine between Ala and others (Ser, Glu, Val, Ile, Leu, Tyr, Phe, Lys, and Arg), 8 between Cys and others (Asp, Thr, Gly, Val, Met, Lys, His, and Pro), and 1 between Tyr and Met. Common occurrence of significantly positive correlations between different AAC components were also observed when data of each year were used (Supplementary Table S1). In 2015, 101 correlations were positively significant and the other 35 were non-significant. In 2016, 122 correlations were positively significant and the other 14 were non-significant. In 2017, 118 correlations were positively significant, three were negatively significant, and 15 were non-significant.

Quantitative Trait Loci for Amino Acid Contents Detected in the ZS97/MY46 Recombinant Inbred Line Population

A total of 73 QTLs were detected based on 3-year's data of the 17 components of AAC in the ZS97/MY46 RIL population (**Supplementary Table S2**). Of these QTLs, seven were identified in all the 3 years, eight were found in 2 years, and the others were detected in 1 year only. The number of QTLs detected for each amino acid ranged from two to eight, with the proportion of the variance explained (R^2) by a single QTL ranging from 2.2 to 35.9%. These QTL were distributed over all the 12 rice chromosomes except chromosome 5 (**Figure 1**). Most of them were located in cluster, with chromosomes 1, 6, and 7 harboring the highest number of loci. Of the 15 QTLs detected in 2 or 3 years, 12, 2, and 1 were located in chromosomes 6, 7, and 11, respectively. Except *qThr11*, allelic directions of these QTLs all remained consistent across different years.

Fourteen QTLs were located in the RM190–RM6917 region on the short arm of chromosome 6, forming the largest cluster in terms of QTL number. Included were seven QTLs detected in three years (*qAsp6*, *qSer6*, *qGly6*, *qLeu6*, *qPhe6*, *qHis6*, and *qArg6*), five QTLs detected in 2 years (*qThr6*, *qGlu6*, *qVal6*, *qMet6*, and *qTyr6*), and two QTLs detected in 1 year (*qLys6* and *qPro6.1*). Enhancing alleles of these QTLs were all derived from the male parent MY46, with *qGly6* having the highest R^2 of 33.9%. Two other QTLs (*qAla6* and *qPro6.2*) were detected in nearby intervals RM253–RM276 and RZ667–RM19784, respectively, of which the enhancing alleles were both derived from the female parent ZS97. Altogether, 16 QTLs were detected on chromosome 6.

The second largest cluster consisting of nine QTLs was located in the RM3325–RM3859 region on the short arm of chromosome 7. Included were two QTLs detected in 2 years (*qAsp7* and *qArg7*) and seven QTLs detected in 1 year (*qThr7*, *qSer7*, *qGly7.1*, *qAla7*, *qVal7*, *qTyr7.1*, and *qPhe7*). Enhancing alleles of these QTLs were all derived from ZS97, with *qAsp7* having the highest R^2 of 19.8%. Five other QTLs were clustered in

TABLE 1 | Phenotype performance of 17 components of amino acid content in the ZS97/MY46 recombinant inbred line (RIL) population.

Z97 MY46 Mean Range SD Skewness Kutosis Asp 2016 0.349 0.044 0.852-1302 0.101 0.399 0.794 2017 1.013 0.004 0.869 0.424-1.163 0.672 -0.600 2016 0.341 0.367 0.359 0.424-1.163 0.698 -0.434 2.049 2016 0.341 0.367 0.359 0.279-0.433 0.629 0.042 -0.600 0.687 Sur 2016 0.360 0.448 0.817 0.359 0.608 -0.600 0.687 Glu 2017 0.440 0.487 0.359-0.741 0.602 0.668 -0.600 2017 0.440 0.487 0.379-0.585 0.6039 -0.604 -0.699 2017 1.741 1.564 1.619 1.075-2.422 0.611 -0.351 1.113 Gly 2015 0.235 0.247 0.216-0.425 0.6027 0.531 1.138	Trait [®]		Parental mean		RIL Population						
Asp 2015 D.849 1.048 0.804 D.622-1.302 0.101 0.365 0.774 2017 1.113 0.904 0.859 0.424-1.163 0.106 -0.050 -0.053 Tr 2015 0.534 0.443 0.372 0.265-0.50 0.042 -0.067 0.388 2017 0.427 0.386 0.273-0.433 0.1227 0.386 0.273-0.433 0.1227 Ser 2015 0.500 0.644 0.517 0.385-0.741 0.0022 0.067 0.388 2016 0.450 0.4481 0.447 0.229-0.657 0.046 0.141 1.037 Glu 2015 1.722 1.877 1.812 1.817-2.418 0.223 0.023 0.024 0.0667 0.368 1.111 Glu 2016 1.727 1.812 1.812-2.411 0.224 0.0161 -0.0904 0.067 Glu 2016 0.238 0.2471 0.218 1.6191 0.0294 0.6161 <th></th> <th></th> <th>ZS97</th> <th>MY46</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>Range</th> <th>SD</th> <th>Skewness</th> <th>Kurtosis</th>			ZS97	MY46	Mean	Range	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis		
20160.8190.8510.8490.828-1.0120.072-0.053-0.050Thr20171.0330.4030.3720.268-0.5700.042-0.0870.38620160.3410.3570.3680.272-0.4330.0290.00810.12720170.4270.3660.3690.243-0.4900.0240.1330.07720160.4900.4410.4420.367-0.5850.0290.087-0.38020170.5400.4410.4420.367-0.5850.039-0.280-0.28020161.7671.8121.817-2.41510.2250.1340.09420161.7671.8121.917-0.3800.0270.0460.1411.09720160.2360.2470.2160.150-0.5250.1280.0240.0400.37220160.2360.2470.2160.150-0.5250.1280.2490.1410.03620170.2180.1990.2420.150-0.3310.0270.311.18120160.2260.2180.2400.150-0.5510.1280.4410.3440.00620170.2180.1990.2420.150-0.5310.0270.5310.1410.3640.43720160.2560.7020.5070.152-0.5490.2630.0560.4520.56120160.4520.4680.304-0.6180.6220.6680.3470.5510.5560.57620170.218	Asp	2015	0.848	1.048	0.904	0.632-1.302	0.101	0.359	0.794		
P07 1.013 0.904 0.889 0.424-1.183 0.068 -0.341 2.048 P07 0.341 0.357 0.328-0 0.225-0.520 0.042 -0.087 0.386 Ser 2017 0.547 0.368 0.273-0.433 0.029 0.060 0.133 0.777 Ser 2016 0.500 0.544 0.517 0.388-0.741 0.028 0.068 0.049 0.069 0.020 2017 0.540 0.481 0.482 0.287-0.683 0.039 0.048 0.049 0.069 0.020 0.034 0.059 0.020 0.034 0.059 0.024 0.058 1.111 0.059 0.021 0.034 0.059 0.034 0.059 0.024 0.034 0.059 0.034 0.059 0.034 0.059 0.034 0.035 0.034 0.036 0.044 0.036 0.044 0.035 0.034 0.034 0.034 0.034 0.036 0.034 0.036 0.036 0.036		2016	0.819	0.851	0.849	0.629-1.012	0.072	-0.053	-0.050		
Thr 2016 0.354 0.403 0.372 0.285-0.820 0.042 -0.087 0.386 2016 0.421 0.386 0.369 0.273-0.433 0.029 0.007 0.386 2016 0.400 0.454 0.517 0.383-0.741 0.062 0.087 0.383 2016 0.490 0.481 0.482 0.387-0.741 0.022 0.034 0.020 2016 1.782 1.877 1.812 1.181-2.451 0.225 0.034 0.039 2016 1.787 1.683 1.684 1.203-1300 0.161 0.295 0.372 2016 0.233 0.273 0.235 0.170-0.360 0.027 0.0034 0.372 2016 0.238 0.273 0.265 0.172 0.365 0.128 1.181 2016 0.218 0.184 0.355 0.027 0.351 1.181 2017 0.218 0.199 0.247 0.185-0.312 0.384 0.341		2017	1.013	0.904	0.859	0.424-1.163	0.096	-0.341	2.049		
2016 0.341 0.356 0.273 0.433 0.029 0.009 0.127 Ser 2015 0.500 0.544 0.517 0.362-0.411 0.062 0.087 0.369 2017 0.400 0.481 0.487 0.367-0.585 0.039 -0.680 -0.690 2017 0.540 0.481 0.487 0.285-0.637 0.048 0.141 1.037 Glu 2016 1.757 1.887 1.812 1.181-2.415 0.0225 0.034 -0.0563 2017 1.741 1.554 1.641 1.223-1.950 0.027 0.0364 -0.3563 2016 0.238 0.227 0.235 0.170-0.356 0.122 0.036 -0.351 1.118 2017 0.218 0.249 0.247 0.161-0.565 0.122 -0.056 -0.520 2016 0.236 0.221 0.221 0.242 0.131 0.52 -0.520 2016 0.568 0.720 0.561	Thr	2015	0.354	0.403	0.372	0.265-0.520	0.042	-0.087	0.385		
2017 0.427 0.386 0.177 0.383-0.741 0.062 0.067 0.383-0.741 0.062 0.067 0.383-0.741 0.062 0.067 0.080 -0.020 2016 0.490 0.481 0.487 0.383-0.741 0.225 0.037 0.044 0.067 0.026 2016 1.787 1.813 1.814 1.203-1390 0.161 0.368 1.111 Glu 2015 0.233 0.273 0.255 0.170-0.360 0.027 0.0004 0.372 2016 0.238 0.247 0.216 0.161-0.255 0.173 0.363 1.111 Glu 2016 0.228 0.247 0.185-0.351 0.027 0.0004 0.372 Ala 2016 0.2281 0.249 0.181-0.354 0.027 0.368 0.447 2017 0.218 0.199 0.422 0.181-0.354 0.026 0.373 0.369 2017 0.396 0.522 0.561 0.122 0.406<		2016	0.341	0.357	0.359	0.273-0.433	0.029	0.009	0.127		
Ser 2015 0.500 0.444 0.517 0.333-0.741 0.002 0.087 0.366 2016 0.490 0.481 0.467 0.285-0337 0.046 0.141 1.037 Glu 2015 1.782 1.877 1.812 1.181-2.451 0.225 0.034 0.098 2016 1.787 1.883 1.644 1.203-1.990 0.161 -0.004 -0.585 Glu 2015 0.233 0.247 0.216 0.166-0.282 0.108 -0.249 0.147 Glu 0.236 0.247 0.216 0.168 0.212 -0.260 -0.220 2017 0.218 0.199 0.242 0.170-0.665 0.122 -0.905 -0.520 2016 0.221 0.21 0.241 0.245-0.431 0.027 0.531 1.183 2016 0.221 0.221 0.245 0.425 0.437 -0.438 0.436 0.437 2016 0.245 0.426 0.43		2017	0.427	0.386	0.369	0.243-0.490	0.034	0.133	0.877		
2016 0.490 0.481 0.482 0.367-0.685 0.039 -0.080 -0.020 2017 0.540 0.481 0.487 0.285-0.857 0.048 0.141 1.037 Glu 2015 1.757 1.882 1.1812 1.181-2.451 0.225 0.034 0.038 2017 1.741 1.554 1.619 1.075-2.242 0.161 0.036 1.111 Gly 2016 0.236 0.247 0.216 0.0236 -0.229 0.118 -0.249 0.194 Ala 2017 0.218 0.249 0.247 0.128 0.128 1.344 0.006 2017 0.218 0.199 0.249 0.112-0.535 0.128 0.474 4.783 2016 0.556 0.702 0.432 0.441 0.335 0.050 0.452 0.462 0.441 0.335 0.730 0.586 0.344 0.635 0.730 0.586 0.344 0.235 0.556 0.720 0.56<	Ser	2015	0.500	0.544	0.517	0.353-0.741	0.062	0.087	0.369		
Bit 2017 0.540 0.481 0.467 0.285-0.637 0.046 0.141 1.037 Glu 2016 1.757 1.883 1.644 1.203-1.990 0.161 -0.064 -0.565 Gly 2016 0.233 0.273 0.245 0.170-3060 0.027 0.0004 0.372 2017 0.218 0.190 0.229 0.0181 -0.249 0.194 Ala 2016 0.238 0.247 0.166-0.565 0.128 1.344 0.005 Ala 2016 0.218 0.249 0.247 0.165-0.331 0.027 0.531 1.183 2016 0.218 0.221 0.240 0.174-0.665 0.122 -9.905 -0.520 2016 0.396 0.522 0.561 0.426 0.125 0.626 -0.521 2016 0.396 0.522 0.561 0.245 0.623 -0.643 0.645 2017 0.428 0.229 0.561 0.571		2016	0.490	0.481	0.482	0.367-0.585	0.039	-0.080	-0.020		
Gu 2016 1.782 1.817 1.812 1.181-2.451 0.225 0.034 0.094 2017 1.741 1.564 1.619 1.075-2.242 0.161 0.0358 1.111 Gy 2015 0.233 0.273 0.236 0.166-0.362 0.018 -0.249 0.194 2016 0.238 0.247 0.216 0.166-0.362 0.018 -0.249 0.194 2017 0.218 0.291 0.221 0.240 0.183-0.331 0.027 0.631 1.183 2016 0.251 0.221 0.240 0.176-0.465 0.122 -0.905 -0.520 2017 0.218 0.199 0.422 0.176-0.465 0.122 -0.905 -0.520 2016 0.566 0.702 0.507 0.125-0.499 0.253 -0.424 -1.530 2016 0.468 0.494 0.401 0.331 0.622 0.686 -0.351 2016 0.425 0.482 0.441		2017	0.540	0.481	0.467	0.295-0.637	0.046	0.141	1.037		
2016 1.757 1.633 1.644 1.203-1990 0.161 -0.094 -0.658 2017 1.741 1.554 1.619 1.075-2.242 0.161 0.368 1.111 Gly 2015 0.233 0.273 0.235 0.170-0.360 0.027 0.0004 0.372 2016 0.238 0.247 0.216 0.165 0.128 1.344 0.0005 Ala 2015 0.396 0.6221 0.249 0.447 0.155-0.331 0.027 0.531 1.183 2016 0.221 0.221 0.240 0.185-0.334 0.027 0.531 -0.520 2016 0.356 0.702 0.507 0.125-0.849 0.253 -0.542 -1.530 2017 0.425 0.442 0.441 0.331-0.542 0.068 -0.251 2016 0.525 0.653 0.000-0.256-48 0.041 0.564 -0.249 2016 0.033 0.110 0.608 0.422 0.654	Glu	2015	1.782	1.877	1.812	1.181-2.451	0.225	0.034	0.099		
Solt 1.7.41 1.554 1.619 1.075-2242 0.161 0.368 1.111 Gly 2016 0.233 0.273 0.205 0.170-0.360 0.027 0.0004 0.372 2016 0.236 0.247 0.216 0.165-0.252 0.018 -0.249 0.144 2017 0.218 0.249 0.161-0.685 0.128 1.344 0.005 2016 0.221 0.221 0.240 0.183-0.331 0.027 0.531 1.183 2016 0.251 0.396 0.522 0.561 0.245-0.862 0.105 0.058 0.374 -1.530 2016 0.455 0.702 0.307 0.215-0.423 0.035 0.730 0.560 Val 2017 0.428 0.441 0.331-0.642 0.038 0.064 -0.239 Val 2016 0.425 0.483 0.496 0.042 0.296-0.548 0.041 0.354 0.569 Val 2016 0.303		2016	1.757	1.683	1.644	1.203-1.990	0.161	-0.094	-0.565		
Gly 2015 0.233 0.273 0.235 0.170-0.360 0.027 0.0004 0.372 2017 0.218 0.199 0.269 0.161-0.262 0.018 -0.249 0.1194 Ala 2015 0.218 0.249 0.247 0.155-0.331 0.027 0.531 1.183 2017 0.218 0.199 0.422 0.171-0.666 0.122 -0.005 -0.520 2016 0.221 0.220 0.561 0.242-0.882 0.105 0.058 0.347 2016 0.566 0.702 0.507 0.126-0.849 0.253 -0.542 -1.530 2016 0.455 0.702 0.307 0.126-0.849 0.263 -0.542 -1.530 2016 0.425 0.482 0.481 0.334-0.642 0.036 0.730 0.689 -0.520 2016 0.425 0.482 0.442 0.269-0.548 0.041 0.354 -0.249 2017 0.439 0.466 0.622 </td <td></td> <td>2017</td> <td>1.741</td> <td>1.554</td> <td>1.619</td> <td>1.075-2.242</td> <td>0.161</td> <td>0.358</td> <td>1.111</td>		2017	1.741	1.554	1.619	1.075-2.242	0.161	0.358	1.111		
2016 0.236 0.247 0.216 0.156-0.282 0.018 -0.249 0.194 2017 0.218 0.199 0.269 0.161-0.586 0.128 1.344 0.005 Ala 2016 0.221 0.221 0.240 0.185-0.381 0.027 0.531 1.183 2016 0.221 0.221 0.240 0.171-0.665 0.122 -0.905 -0.520 Cys 2016 0.556 0.702 0.561 0.245-0.882 0.035 0.058 0.347 2017 0.288 0.272 0.302 0.213-0.423 0.035 0.730 0.580 Val 2016 0.425 0.483 0.448 0.304-0.618 0.062 0.068 -0.331 2016 0.425 0.482 0.441 0.331 0.365 0.577 2016 0.303 0.108 0.287 0.198-0.440 0.040 0.788 1.229 2017 0.072 0.103 0.026 0.027 <td< td=""><td>Glv</td><td>2015</td><td>0.233</td><td>0.273</td><td>0.235</td><td>0 170-0 360</td><td>0.027</td><td>0.0004</td><td>0.372</td></td<>	Glv	2015	0.233	0.273	0.235	0 170-0 360	0.027	0.0004	0.372		
2017 0.218 0.199 0.269 0.181-0.585 0.128 1.344 0.005 Ala 2015 0.218 0.249 0.247 0.155-0.331 0.027 0.531 1.183 2017 0.218 0.199 0.422 0.171-0.665 0.122 -0.905 -0.520 Cys 2016 0.566 0.702 0.507 0.128-0.849 0.253 -0.542 -1.530 2017 0.268 0.272 0.302 0.213-0.423 0.036 0.730 0.586 2017 0.426 0.482 0.441 0.331-0.542 0.038 0.064 -0.249 2017 0.425 0.482 0.441 0.331-0.542 0.038 0.064 0.571 2016 0.030 0.068 0.053 0.000-0.172 0.029 0.640 0.571 2016 0.033 0.110 0.060 0.005-0.172 0.029 0.640 0.571 2016 0.256 0.308 0.227 0.033	City	2016	0.236	0.247	0.216	0 156-0 262	0.018	-0.249	0 194		
Ala 2015 0.218 0.247 0.155-0.331 0.027 0.131 1.183 2016 0.221 0.221 0.240 0.182-0.354 0.020 0.874 4.783 2017 0.218 0.396 0.522 0.561 0.245-0.862 0.105 0.058 0.347 2017 0.268 0.702 0.507 0.125-0.849 0.253 -0.542 -1.530 2017 0.268 0.272 0.302 0.214-0.423 0.035 0.730 0.580 Val 2015 0.422 0.648 0.041 0.354 -0.520 2016 0.425 0.482 0.441 0.331-0.542 0.038 0.680 -0.571 2016 0.425 0.482 0.441 0.331-0.542 0.038 0.587 2016 0.030 0.068 0.277 0.029 0.640 0.571 2017 0.072 0.103 0.029 0.000-0.121 0.031 0.882 -0.293		2017	0.218	0 199	0.269	0 161-0 585	0.128	1.344	0.005		
And 2016 0.201 0.240 0.183-0.354 0.020 0.834 4.783 2017 0.218 0.199 0.422 0.171-0.655 0.122 -0.905 -0.520 2016 0.566 0.702 0.507 0.125-0.842 0.056 0.058 0.347 2017 0.268 0.702 0.507 0.125-0.442 0.058 0.732 0.589 2017 0.268 0.702 0.507 0.125-0.442 0.068 0.732 0.589 2017 0.429 0.482 0.441 0.331-0.542 0.068 0.698 -0.249 2017 0.439 0.466 0.422 0.296-0.548 0.041 0.354 0.587 2016 0.033 0.106 0.029 0.000-0.121 0.031 0.852 -0.298 1ee 2015 0.255 0.308 0.287 0.198-0.444 0.040 0.788 1.229 2016 0.734 0.724 0.703 0.562-0.556 0.065 <td>Δla</td> <td>2015</td> <td>0.218</td> <td>0.249</td> <td>0.247</td> <td>0.155-0.331</td> <td>0.027</td> <td>0.531</td> <td>1 183</td>	Δla	2015	0.218	0.249	0.247	0.155-0.331	0.027	0.531	1 183		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7 110	2016	0.221	0.240	0.240	0.183-0.354	0.027	0.874	4 783		
Cys Diff Diff< Diff< Diff <t< td=""><td></td><td>2010</td><td>0.218</td><td>0.199</td><td>0.422</td><td>0.171-0.665</td><td>0.122</td><td>_0.905</td><td>-0.520</td></t<>		2010	0.218	0.199	0.422	0.171-0.665	0.122	_0.905	-0.520		
Oys 2016 0.380 0.322 0.307 0.103 0.003 0.337 2016 0.566 0.702 0.507 0.125-0.849 0.253 -0.542 -1.530 Val 2015 0.420 0.483 0.456 0.304-0.618 0.062 0.068 -0.341 2016 0.425 0.4482 0.441 0.331-0.542 0.038 0.084 -0.249 2017 0.439 0.406 0.422 0.296-0.548 0.041 0.354 0.587 Met 2015 0.033 0.110 0.060 0.005-0.172 0.029 0.640 0.571 2016 0.033 0.110 0.060 0.005-0.172 0.029 0.640 0.571 2016 0.257 0.299 0.290 0.220-0.356 0.027 -0.036 -0.205 2016 0.743 0.724 0.703 0.620-0.362 0.065 -0.148 -0.121 2017 0.678 0.257 0.299 0.290	CVC	2015	0.206	0.522	0.561	0.245 0.862	0.105	0.000	0.020		
2010 0.303 0.722 0.302 0.213 0.203	Oys	2015	0.556	0.322	0.507	0.245-0.870	0.253	-0.542	_1 530		
2017 0.205 0.272 0.302 0.0193 0.033 0.130 0.350 2016 0.425 0.483 0.448 0.041 0.351 0.068 -0.249 2017 0.439 0.406 0.422 0.296-0.548 0.041 0.354 0.587 Met 2015 0.033 0.110 0.060 0.000-0.203 0.031 1.086 2.576 2016 0.033 0.110 0.060 0.000-0.121 0.031 0.852 -0.298 2016 0.257 0.299 0.290 0.200-0.366 0.027 -0.036 -0.205 2016 0.257 0.299 0.290 0.220-0.366 0.027 -0.036 -0.205 2017 0.275 0.248 0.269 0.144-0.363 0.028 0.464 0.628 Leu 2015 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.415 0.065 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.090-0.362		2010	0.000	0.702	0.307	0.125-0.049	0.235	-0.342	-1.550		
Val 2013 0.1420 0.1435 0.0435 0.04018 0.0022 0.008 0.331 2016 0.429 0.442 0.296-0.548 0.041 0.354 0.587 2017 0.439 0.406 0.422 0.296-0.548 0.041 0.354 0.587 2016 0.033 0.110 0.060 0.005-0.172 0.029 0.640 0.571 2017 0.072 0.103 0.029 0.000-0.121 0.031 0.852 -0.298 lle 2015 0.256 0.308 0.287 0.198-0.440 0.040 0.788 1.229 2016 0.257 0.248 0.269 0.184-0.363 0.028 0.464 0.628 Leu 2015 0.734 0.615 0.762 0.524-0.866 0.065 -0.148 -0.121 2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2015 0.352 0.553 0.273	Val	2017	0.200	0.272	0.302	0.213-0.423	0.033	0.730	0.000		
2016 0.425 0.442 0.441 0.331-0.342 0.033 0.064 -1.243 2017 0.439 0.406 0.422 0.296-0.548 0.041 0.354 0.657 2016 0.033 0.110 0.060 0.005-0.172 0.029 0.640 0.571 2017 0.072 0.103 0.029 0.000-0.121 0.031 0.852 -0.298 1le 2015 0.256 0.308 0.287 0.198-0.440 0.040 0.788 1.229 2016 0.257 0.299 0.290 0.202-0.356 0.027 -0.036 -0.205 Leu 2015 0.734 0.815 0.762 0.534-1.085 0.086 0.452 0.452 2016 0.743 0.724 0.703 0.502-0.856 0.065 -0.148 -0.121 2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.448 0.034 0.955 1.460 Tyr 2015 0.359	vai	2015	0.420	0.483	0.438	0.304-0.618	0.062	0.008	-0.351		
Met 2017 0.433 0.400 0.4422 0.230-0.203 0.011 0.334 0.357 Met 2016 0.033 0.110 0.066 0.005-0.172 0.029 0.640 0.571 2017 0.072 0.103 0.029 0.000-0.121 0.031 0.852 -0.298 lle 2016 0.257 0.299 0.290 0.220-0.356 0.027 -0.036 -0.205 2017 0.275 0.248 0.269 0.184-0.363 0.028 0.464 0.628 Leu 2015 0.734 0.815 0.762 0.534-1.1085 0.066 0.452 0.452 2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2016 0.352 0.353 0.213 0.133-0.415 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.242 0.272 0.090-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2016 0.359		2010	0.425	0.462	0.441	0.006 0.542	0.030	0.064	-0.249		
Melt 2015 0.030 0.088 0.033 0.003 0.0031 1.086 2.576 2016 0.033 0.110 0.000 0.005-0.172 0.029 0.640 0.571 2016 0.256 0.308 0.287 0.198-0.440 0.040 0.788 1.229 2016 0.275 0.299 0.290 0.220-0.356 0.027 -0.036 -0.205 2017 0.275 0.248 0.269 0.184-0.363 0.028 0.464 0.628 2016 0.743 0.724 0.762 0.534-1.085 0.086 0.452 0.452 2017 0.670 0.615 0.668 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.090-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.090-0.362 0.067 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.284 0.211 0.144-0.351	N 4 - +	2017	0.439	0.406	0.422	0.290-0.348	0.041	0.354	0.587		
2016 0.033 0.10 0.060 0.005-0.172 0.029 0.040 0.571 2017 0.072 0.103 0.290 0.000-0.121 0.031 0.852 -0.298 2016 0.257 0.299 0.290 0.220-0.356 0.027 -0.036 -0.205 2017 0.275 0.248 0.269 0.184-0.363 0.028 0.464 0.628 2016 0.734 0.815 0.762 0.534-1.085 0.086 0.452 0.452 2016 0.743 0.724 0.703 0.502-0.856 0.065 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.415 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.090-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2017 0.184 0.175 0.211 0.144-0.351 0.034 0.950 1.460 2016 0.370 0.566 0.3670 0.060 0.784 1.660 </td <td>IVIEt</td> <td>2015</td> <td>0.030</td> <td>0.068</td> <td>0.053</td> <td>0.000-0.203</td> <td>0.031</td> <td>1.086</td> <td>2.576</td>	IVIEt	2015	0.030	0.068	0.053	0.000-0.203	0.031	1.086	2.576		
2017 0.072 0.103 0.029 0.000-0.121 0.031 0.852 -0.298 lle 2015 0.256 0.308 0.287 0.198-0.440 0.040 0.788 1.229 2016 0.275 0.299 0.290 0.220-0.356 0.027 -0.036 -0.205 2017 0.275 0.248 0.269 0.184-0.363 0.028 0.464 0.628 2016 0.734 0.815 0.762 0.534-1.085 0.066 -0.143 -0.121 2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2016 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.415 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.900-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2016 0.359 0.282 0.271 0.144-0.351 0.034 0.950 1.460 Phe 2015 0.598 0.491 0.488		2016	0.033	0.110	0.060	0.005-0.172	0.029	0.640	0.571		
Ile 2015 0.256 0.308 0.287 0.198-0.440 0.040 0.788 1.229 2016 0.257 0.299 0.290 0.220-0.356 0.027 -0.036 -0.205 2017 0.275 0.248 0.269 0.184-0.363 0.028 0.464 0.628 2016 0.743 0.724 0.703 0.502-0.356 0.065 -0.118 -0.121 2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2016 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.415 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.909-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2017 0.184 0.175 0.211 0.144-0.351 0.034 0.950 1.460 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.336-0.629 0.049 0.023 0.298 2017 0.411 0.396 0.434 0.292-0.670<		2017	0.072	0.103	0.029	0.000-0.121	0.031	0.852	-0.298		
2016 0.257 0.299 0.290 0.220-0.356 0.027 -0.036 -0.026 2017 0.275 0.248 0.269 0.184-0.363 0.028 0.464 0.628 Leu 2015 0.734 0.815 0.762 0.534-1.085 0.086 0.452 0.452 2016 0.743 0.724 0.703 0.502-0.856 0.065 -0.148 -0.121 2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2015 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.415 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.90-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.367-0.802 0.077 0.548 0.711 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.326-0.419 0.030 0.251 0.192 2016 0.370 0.356 0.340 0.256-0.433 </td <td>lle</td> <td>2015</td> <td>0.256</td> <td>0.308</td> <td>0.287</td> <td>0.198-0.440</td> <td>0.040</td> <td>0.788</td> <td>1.229</td>	lle	2015	0.256	0.308	0.287	0.198-0.440	0.040	0.788	1.229		
2017 0.275 0.248 0.269 0.184-0.363 0.028 0.464 0.628 Leu 2015 0.734 0.815 0.762 0.534-1.085 0.086 0.452 0.452 2016 0.743 0.724 0.703 0.502-0.856 0.065 -0.148 -0.121 2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2016 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.415 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.271 0.0414 0.033 0.010 0.407 2016 0.592 0.578 0.546 0.367-0.802 0.077 0.548 0.711 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.366-0.629 0.049 0.023 0.298 Lys 2016 0.370 0.366 0.340 0.256-0.433 0.034 0.641 0.712 Lys 2017 0.306 0.293		2016	0.257	0.299	0.290	0.220-0.356	0.027	-0.036	-0.205		
Leu 2015 0.734 0.815 0.762 0.534-1.085 0.086 0.452 0.452 2016 0.743 0.724 0.703 0.502-0.856 0.065 -0.148 -0.121 2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2015 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.415 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.090-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2017 0.184 0.175 0.211 0.144-0.351 0.034 0.950 1.460 Phe 2015 0.592 0.578 0.546 0.367-0.802 0.077 0.548 0.711 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.326-0.629 0.049 0.023 0.298 Lys 2015 0.369 0.378 0.326 0.216-0.471 0.052 0.652 0.129 2016 0.370 0.356		2017	0.275	0.248	0.269	0.184-0.363	0.028	0.464	0.628		
2016 0.743 0.724 0.703 0.502-0.856 0.065 -0.148 -0.121 2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2015 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.415 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.090-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2017 0.184 0.175 0.211 0.144-0.351 0.034 0.950 1.460 Phe 2015 0.592 0.578 0.546 0.367-0.802 0.077 0.548 0.711 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.336-0.629 0.049 0.023 0.298 2017 0.411 0.396 0.326 0.216-0.471 0.052 0.652 0.129 2016 0.370 0.356 0.340 0.258-0.419 0.030 0.251 0.192 2017 0.306 0.293 0.319 0.250-0.433 <td>Leu</td> <td>2015</td> <td>0.734</td> <td>0.815</td> <td>0.762</td> <td>0.534-1.085</td> <td>0.086</td> <td>0.452</td> <td>0.452</td>	Leu	2015	0.734	0.815	0.762	0.534-1.085	0.086	0.452	0.452		
2017 0.670 0.615 0.658 0.420-0.927 0.071 0.449 0.958 Tyr 2015 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.115 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.090-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2017 0.184 0.175 0.211 0.144-0.351 0.034 0.950 1.460 Phe 2015 0.592 0.578 0.546 0.367-0.802 0.077 0.548 0.711 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.336-0.629 0.049 0.023 0.298 2017 0.411 0.396 0.378 0.326 0.216-0.471 0.052 0.652 0.129 2016 0.370 0.356 0.230 0.319 0.256-0.433 0.034 0.621 0.192 418 2015 0.305 0.298 0.371 0.139-0.393 0.048 1.236 1.654 2017 0.305		2016	0.743	0.724	0.703	0.502-0.856	0.065	-0.148	-0.121		
Tyr 2015 0.352 0.353 0.273 0.133-0.415 0.075 -0.117 -1.103 2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.090-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2017 0.184 0.175 0.211 0.144-0.351 0.034 0.950 1.460 Phe 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.336-0.629 0.049 0.023 0.298 2017 0.411 0.396 0.434 0.292-0.670 0.060 0.784 1.660 Lys 2016 0.370 0.356 0.340 0.258-0.419 0.030 0.251 0.192 2017 0.306 0.293 0.319 0.250-0.433 0.034 0.641 0.712 2016 0.305 0.298 0.237 0.139-0.393 0.048 1.236 1.654 2017 0.305 0.298 0.227 0.139-0.393 0.048 1.236 0.654 4017 0.305 0.298 0.215		2017	0.670	0.615	0.658	0.420-0.927	0.071	0.449	0.958		
2016 0.359 0.282 0.272 0.090-0.362 0.033 0.010 0.407 2017 0.184 0.175 0.211 0.144-0.351 0.034 0.950 1.460 Phe 2015 0.592 0.578 0.546 0.367-0.802 0.077 0.548 0.711 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.336-0.629 0.049 0.023 0.298 2017 0.411 0.396 0.434 0.292-0.670 0.660 0.784 1.660 Lys 2015 0.369 0.378 0.326 0.216-0.471 0.052 0.652 0.129 2016 0.370 0.356 0.340 0.258-0.419 0.030 0.251 0.192 2016 0.304 0.293 0.319 0.250-0.433 0.034 0.641 0.712 His 2016 0.304 0.222 0.221 0.139-0.393 0.048 1.236 1.654 2017 0.235 0.215 0.225 <	Tyr	2015	0.352	0.353	0.273	0.133–0.415	0.075	-0.117	-1.103		
2017 0.184 0.175 0.211 0.144-0.351 0.034 0.950 1.460 Phe 2015 0.592 0.578 0.546 0.367-0.802 0.077 0.548 0.711 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.336-0.629 0.049 0.023 0.298 2017 0.411 0.396 0.434 0.292-0.670 0.060 0.784 1.660 Lys 2015 0.369 0.378 0.326 0.216-0.471 0.052 0.652 0.129 2016 0.370 0.356 0.340 0.258-0.419 0.030 0.251 0.192 2017 0.306 0.293 0.319 0.250-0.433 0.034 0.641 0.712 4017 0.305 0.298 0.237 0.139-0.393 0.048 1.236 1.654 2016 0.304 0.222 0.221 0.156-0.288 0.023 0.290 0.235 2016 0.617 0.769 0.658 0.421-0.993		2016	0.359	0.282	0.272	0.090-0.362	0.033	0.010	0.407		
Phe 2015 0.592 0.578 0.546 0.367-0.802 0.077 0.548 0.711 2016 0.598 0.491 0.488 0.336-0.629 0.049 0.023 0.298 2017 0.411 0.396 0.434 0.292-0.670 0.060 0.784 1.660 Lys 2015 0.369 0.378 0.326 0.216-0.471 0.052 0.652 0.129 2016 0.370 0.356 0.340 0.258-0.419 0.030 0.251 0.192 2017 0.306 0.293 0.319 0.250-0.433 0.034 0.641 0.712 His 2015 0.305 0.298 0.237 0.139-0.393 0.048 1.236 1.654 2016 0.304 0.222 0.221 0.156-0.288 0.023 0.290 0.235 2017 0.617 0.769 0.658 0.421-0.993 0.071 0.480 1.355 2017 0.657 0.559 0.631 <		2017	0.184	0.175	0.211	0.144-0.351	0.034	0.950	1.460		
20160.5980.4910.4880.336-0.6290.0490.0230.29820170.4110.3960.4340.292-0.6700.0600.7841.660Lys20150.3690.3780.3260.216-0.4710.0520.6520.12920160.3700.3560.3400.258-0.4190.0300.2510.19220170.3060.2930.3190.250-0.4330.0340.6410.712His20150.3050.2980.2370.139-0.3930.0481.2361.65420160.3040.2220.2210.156-0.2880.0230.2900.23520170.2350.2150.2250.180-0.2920.0210.4350.041Arg20150.6170.7690.6580.421-0.9930.0770.4801.35520160.6270.6590.5850.443-0.7820.0650.323-0.159Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025	Phe	2015	0.592	0.578	0.546	0.367-0.802	0.077	0.548	0.711		
20170.4110.3960.4340.292-0.6700.0600.7841.660Lys20150.3690.3780.3260.216-0.4710.0520.6520.12920160.3700.3560.3400.258-0.4190.0300.2510.19220170.3060.2930.3190.250-0.4330.0340.6410.712His20150.3050.2980.2370.139-0.3930.0481.2361.65420160.3040.2220.2210.156-0.2880.0230.2900.23520170.2350.2150.2250.180-0.2920.0210.4350.041Arg20150.6170.7690.6580.421-0.9930.0770.4801.35520160.6270.6590.5850.443-0.7820.06570.1080.276Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025		2016	0.598	0.491	0.488	0.336-0.629	0.049	0.023	0.298		
Lys20150.3690.3780.3260.216-0.4710.0520.6520.12920160.3700.3560.3400.258-0.4190.0300.2510.19220170.3060.2930.3190.250-0.4330.0340.6410.712His20150.3050.2980.2370.139-0.3930.0481.2361.65420160.3040.2220.2210.156-0.2880.0230.2900.23520170.2350.2150.2250.180-0.2920.0210.4350.041Arg20150.6170.7690.6580.421-0.9930.0770.4801.35520160.6270.6590.6310.458-0.7740.0570.1080.27620170.6570.5550.443-0.7820.0650.323-0.159Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025		2017	0.411	0.396	0.434	0.292-0.670	0.060	0.784	1.660		
20160.3700.3560.3400.258-0.4190.0300.2510.19220170.3060.2930.3190.250-0.4330.0340.6410.712His20150.3050.2980.2370.139-0.3930.0481.2361.65420160.3040.2220.2210.156-0.2880.0230.2900.23520170.2350.2150.2250.180-0.2920.0210.4350.041Arg20160.6170.7690.6580.421-0.9930.0770.4801.35520160.6270.6590.6310.458-0.7740.0570.1080.27620170.6570.5550.443-0.7820.0650.323-0.159Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025	Lys	2015	0.369	0.378	0.326	0.216-0.471	0.052	0.652	0.129		
2017 0.306 0.293 0.319 0.250-0.433 0.034 0.641 0.712 His 2015 0.305 0.298 0.237 0.139-0.393 0.048 1.236 1.654 2016 0.304 0.222 0.221 0.156-0.288 0.023 0.290 0.235 2017 0.235 0.215 0.225 0.180-0.292 0.021 0.435 0.041 Arg 2015 0.617 0.769 0.658 0.421-0.993 0.077 0.480 1.355 2016 0.627 0.659 0.631 0.458-0.774 0.057 0.108 0.276 2017 0.657 0.559 0.585 0.443-0.782 0.065 0.323 -0.159 Pro 2015 0.402 0.468 0.274 0.098-0.499 0.093 0.445 -0.740 2016 0.189 0.234 0.269 0.006-0.536 0.076 0.730 1.248 2017 0.216 0.226 0.253		2016	0.370	0.356	0.340	0.258-0.419	0.030	0.251	0.192		
His20150.3050.2980.2370.139-0.3930.0481.2361.65420160.3040.2220.2210.156-0.2880.0230.2900.23520170.2350.2150.2250.180-0.2920.0210.4350.041Arg20150.6170.7690.6580.421-0.9930.0770.4801.35520160.6270.6590.6310.458-0.7740.0570.1080.276Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025		2017	0.306	0.293	0.319	0.250-0.433	0.034	0.641	0.712		
20160.3040.2220.2210.156-0.2880.0230.2900.23520170.2350.2150.2250.180-0.2920.0210.4350.041Arg20150.6170.7690.6580.421-0.9930.0770.4801.35520160.6270.6590.6310.458-0.7740.0570.1080.27620170.6570.5590.5850.443-0.7820.0650.323-0.159Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025	His	2015	0.305	0.298	0.237	0.139-0.393	0.048	1.236	1.654		
20170.2350.2150.2250.180-0.2920.0210.4350.041Arg20150.6170.7690.6580.421-0.9930.0770.4801.35520160.6270.6590.6310.458-0.7740.0570.1080.27620170.6570.5590.5850.443-0.7820.0650.323-0.159Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025		2016	0.304	0.222	0.221	0.156-0.288	0.023	0.290	0.235		
Arg20150.6170.7690.6580.421-0.9930.0770.4801.35520160.6270.6590.6310.458-0.7740.0570.1080.27620170.6570.5590.5850.443-0.7820.0650.323-0.159Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025		2017	0.235	0.215	0.225	0.180-0.292	0.021	0.435	0.041		
20160.6270.6590.6310.458-0.7740.0570.1080.27620170.6570.5590.5850.443-0.7820.0650.323-0.159Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025	Arg	2015	0.617	0.769	0.658	0.421-0.993	0.077	0.480	1.355		
20170.6570.5590.5850.443-0.7820.0650.323-0.159Pro20150.4020.4680.2740.098-0.4990.0930.445-0.74020160.1890.2340.2690.006-0.5360.0760.7301.24820170.2160.2260.2530.020-0.5390.0940.931-0.025	-	2016	0.627	0.659	0.631	0.458-0.774	0.057	0.108	0.276		
Pro 2015 0.402 0.468 0.274 0.098-0.499 0.093 0.445 -0.740 2016 0.189 0.234 0.269 0.006-0.536 0.076 0.730 1.248 2017 0.216 0.226 0.253 0.020-0.539 0.094 0.931 -0.025		2017	0.657	0.559	0.585	0.443-0.782	0.065	0.323	-0.159		
2016 0.189 0.234 0.269 0.006-0.536 0.076 0.730 1.248 2017 0.216 0.226 0.253 0.020-0.539 0.094 0.931 -0.025	Pro	2015	0.402	0.468	0.274	0.098-0.499	0.093	0.445	-0.740		
2017 0.216 0.226 0.253 0.020–0.539 0.094 0.931 –0.025		2016	0.189	0.234	0.269	0.006-0.536	0.076	0.730	1.248		
		2017	0.216	0.226	0.253	0.020-0.539	0.094	0.931	-0.025		

^aContents of the amino acids are presented as % in unmilled rice. Asp, aspartic acid; Thr, threonine; Ser, serine; Glu, glutamic acid; Gly, glycine; Ala, alanine; Cys, cystine; Val, valine; Met, methionine; Ile, ilsoleucine; Leu, leucine; Tyr, tyrosine; Phe, phenylalanine; Lys, lysine; His, histidine; Arg, arginine and Pro, proline.

the RZ471–RZ395 region on the long arm of this chromosome. The enhancing alleles were derived from ZS97 at qTyr7.2 and qPro7.1, and from MY46 at qGly7.2, qCys7, and qPro7.2. The five QTLs had high R^2 ranging from 10.7 to 35.9%. Altogether, 14 QTLs were detected on chromosome 7.

The third largest cluster consisting eight QTLs (*qSer1*, *qVal1*, *qIle1*, *qLeu1*, *qTyr1*, *qLys1.1*, *qHis1*, and *qPro1.1*) was located in

the RM283–RM3746 region on the short arm of chromosome 1. Enhancing alleles of these QTLs were all derived from ZS97, with *qLeu1* having the highest R^2 of 15.0%. Three other QTLs (*qAsp1*, *qGly1*, and *qPro1.2*) were located in the pericentromeric region of chromosome 1. They had high R^2 ranging from 17.0 to 32.8%, and the enhancing alleles were all derived from ZS97. Two sparsely-distributed QTLs (*qPro1.3* and *qLys1.2*) were located

Troit	Aon	The	Sor	01	Ala	Chy	0.00		Mot	lla	Lau	Turr	Dha	Lue	Llia	Ara
Trait	Asp	Inr	Ser	Giù	Ala	Giy	Cys	vai	wet	ne	Leu	i yr	Phe	Lys	пis	Arg
Thr	0.96*															
Ser	0.94*	0.94*														
Glu	0.88*	0.88*	0.94*													
Ala	0.23*	0.30*	0.19	0.17												
Gly	0.32*	0.30*	0.31*	0.34*	-0.62*											
Cys	0.13	0.06	0.20*	0.25*	-0.22*	0.04										
Val	0.86*	0.87*	0.91*	0.92*	0.11	0.38*	0.17									
Met	0.30*	0.29*	0.37*	0.36*	-0.24*	0.37*	0.16	0.48*								
lle	0.84*	0.85*	0.88*	0.90*	0.15	0.33*	0.19*	0.95*	0.43*							
Leu	0.88*	0.86*	0.94*	0.95*	0.15	0.31*	0.27*	0.92*	0.37*	0.94*						
Tyr	0.42*	0.33*	0.44*	0.44*	-0.10	0.20*	0.45*	0.39*	0.15	0.47*	0.57*					
Phe	0.77*	0.75*	0.86*	0.87*	0.04	0.33*	0.30*	0.86*	0.40*	0.86*	0.93*	0.58*				
Lys	0.79*	0.78*	0.78*	0.73*	0.14	0.29*	0.13	0.74*	0.27*	0.77*	0.78*	0.51*	0.80*			
His	0.75*	0.77*	0.78*	0.75*	0.25*	0.22*	0.08	0.73*	0.25*	0.72*	0.76*	0.47*	0.76*	0.84*		
Arg	0.88*	0.87*	0.93*	0.90*	0.13	0.33*	0.24*	0.91*	0.39*	0.89*	0.93*	0.54*	0.87*	0.80*	0.81*	
Pro	0.40*	0.36*	0.38*	0.42*	-0.37*	0.66*	0.16	0.37*	0.24*	0.38*	0.41*	0.47*	0.41*	0.41*	0.33*	0.37*

TABLE 2 | Pearson correlation coefficients between 17 components of AAC in the ZS97/MY46 recombinant inbred line (RIL) population.

*P < 0.003. The correlation coefficients were calculated based on mean values over 3 years.

in lower regions of the long arm. Altogether, 13 QTLs were detected on chromosome 1.

Among the remaining 30 QTLs, two single QTL were located on chromosomes 8 and 10, respectively, and the others were distributed on chromosomes 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, and 12 with 2–6 QTLs per chromosome. The six QTLs on chromosome 2 were all located in the lower region of the long arm; the two QTLs on chromosome 3 were tightly linked; the six QTLs on chromosome 4 involved two pairs of tightly-linked QTLs with two nearby QTLs; the five QTLs on chromosome 9 may be viewed as one cluster and two single QTL; the five QTLs on chromosome 11 was separated into two clusters; and the four QTLs on chromosome 12 included one cluster and one single QTL.

Effect of *RFT1* on Amino Acid Contents Detected Between NIL^{ZS97} and NIL^{MY46}

As described above, the largest QTL cluster for the 17 components of AAC detected in the ZS97/MY46 RIL population was located in the RM190–RM6917 region on the short arm of chromosome 6. This region covered the two florigen genes of rice, *RFT1* and *Hd3a* (Hori et al., 2016), suggesting a possible involvement of *RFT1* and/ or *Hd3a* in controlling AAC of rice grain. This assumption was firstly tested using the NIL population TF6-15 segregating a 29.9kb interval covering both *RFT1* and *Hd3a*. Significant differences (P < 0.05) between the 10 homozygous lines of NIL^{ZS97} and 10 homozygous lines of NIL^{MY46} were detected on 15 of the 17 components of AAC (**Table 3**). The R^2 for individual components ranged from 16.7 to 61.2%. The enhancing alleles were all derived from MY46, which is in agreement with the effects detected in the ZS97/MY46 RIL population.

Then, QTL analysis was performed using the NIL population R1 that was homozygous at the *Hd3a* locus but segregated for the *RFT1* gene. Significant differences (P < 0.05) between the 20 homozygous lines of NIL^{ZS97} and 20 homozygous lines of NIL^{MY46} were detected on 15 of the 17 components of AAC (**Table 4**). The R^2 for individual components ranged from 9.5 to 63.2%. Again, the enhancing alleles were all derived from MY46.

It is also noted that the two components showing no significant difference between NIL^{ZS97} and NIL^{MY46} were commonly found to be Met and Pro in the TF6-15 and R1 populations. These results indicate that the *RFT1* gene has a strong and stable effect on most components of AAC in unmilled rice.

Effect of the *RFT1*^{ZS97} Transgene on Amino Acid Contents in a *Japonica* Rice Background

The effect of *RFT1* on AAC in unmilled rice was further tested using a transgenic population segregating the *RFT1*^{ZS97} transgene in the genetic background of *japonica* cultivar ZH11. Significant differences (P < 0.05) between the 14 lines of NIL^{ZS97} carrying homozygous transgenes and 14 lines of NIL^{ZH11} carrying no transgene were detected on 13 of the 17 components of AAC, with R^2 ranging from 8.1 to 38.0% (**Table 5**). Integration of the *RFT1*^{ZS97} transgene into the genome of ZH11 reduced the contents of the amino acids. In addition, the two components showing no significant difference between NIL^{ZS97} and NIL^{MY46} in the TF6-15 and R1 populations, Met and Pro, were included in the four components having no significant difference between NIL^{ZS97} and NIL^{ZH11} in the transgenic population. These results indicate that the effects of *RFT1* on AAC of unmilled rice are consistent in the genetic background of different subspecies of Asian cultivated rice.

DISCUSSION

Heading date, grain yield, and grain quality are three basic traits influencing the commercial utilization of a rice cultivar. The regional and seasonal adaptation is mostly determined by heading date, the productivity is measured by grain yield, and whether the product can meet the demand of end-users is mainly characterized by grain quality. A number of key genes for flowering regulation in rice have been found to play important roles in the genetic control of yield traits, including *Ghd7* (Xue et al., 2008; Weng et al., 2014), *DTH8/Ghd8* (Wei et al., 2010; Yan



et al., 2011), *Hd1* (Zhang et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2018), *Ghd7.1* (Yan et al., 2013), and *RFT1* (Zhu et al., 2017). On the other hand, no study has been reported for the pleiotropic effects of heading-date genes on grain quality in rice. Among traits in the four primary categories of rice grain quality (i.e., milling, appearance, eating and cooking, and nutritional qualities), PC and AAC are the major parameters of nutritional

quality (Wang et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2017a). In the present study, a total of 73 QTLs for AAC of unmilled rice were detected using the ZS97/MY46 RIL population, and the largest QTL cluster was validated to be responsible by the *RFT1* gene on the short arm of chromosome 6. It is also evident that the effect of *RFT1* is consistent across different genetic backgrounds. In accordance with the common occurrence of significantly

RFT1 Affecting Amino Acid Content

TABLE 3 | Effects of the *RFT1-Hd3a* region on 17 components of amino acid content (AAC) detected in the TF6-15 population.

Trait	Phenotype NIL ^{ZS97}	(mean±SE) [°] NIL ^{MY46}	Р	A⁵	R² (%) °	
Asp	0.721±0.006	0.762±0.010	0.0007	0.020	39.8	
Thr	0.308 ± 0.003	0.326±0.004	0.0009	0.009	37.6	
Ser	0.427± 0.004	0.452±0.005	0.0005	0.013	38.8	
Glu	1.543±0.008	1.626±0.015	0.0003	0.042	42.0	
Gly	0.183± 0.001	0.193±0.001	0.0002	0.005	50.0	
Ala	0.421±0.004	0.447±0.007	< 0.0001	0.013	46.3	
Cys	0.273±0.003	0.286±0.006	0.0034	0.006	28.9	
Val	0.331±0.005	0.359±0.008	0.0033	0.014	26.3	
Met	0.047±0.003	0.051±0.005	0.4121			
lle	0.202±0.004	0.221±0.005	0.0063	0.009	22.6	
Leu	0.577±0.004	0.614±0.006	< 0.0001	0.019	47.1	
Tyr	0.165±0.002	0.177±0.004	0.0295	0.006	16.7	
Phe	0.382±0.003	0.413±0.005	< 0.0001	0.015	54.9	
Lys	0.231±0.003	0.250±0.005	< 0.0001	0.010	52.1	
His	0.167± 0.002	0.180±0.003	< 0.0001	0.007	51.3	
Arg	0.499±0.003	0.544±0.007	< 0.0001	0.023	61.2	
Pro	0.204±0.011	0.224±0.005	0.0534			

^aNIL^{ZS97} and NIL^{MY46} are near isogenic lines carrying homozygous alleles from ZS97 and MY46, respectively.

^bAdditive effect of replacing a ZS97 allele with a MY46 allele.

^cProportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL effect. $R^2 = V_G/V_P \times 100$, in which V_G is the variance between the two genotypic groups, and V_P the phenotypic variance.

TABLE 4 | Effects of the *RFT1* gene on 17 components of amino acid content (AAC) detected in the R1 population.

Trait	Phenotype (mean ± SE) [°]	Р	A	R ²(%) [°]	
	NIL ^{ZS97}	NIL ^{MY46}				
Asp	0.733 ± 0.006	0.819 ± 0.007	<0.0001	0.043	58.7	
Thr	0.328 ± 0.002	0.362 ± 0.002	< 0.0001	0.017	62.8	
Ser	0.432 ± 0.003	0.480 ± 0.004	< 0.0001	0.024	63.2	
Glu	1.495 ± 0.012	1.660 ± 0.012	< 0.0001	0.083	59.8	
Gly	0.173 ± 0.001	0.192 ± 0.002	< 0.0001	0.010	56.5	
Ala	0.440 ± 0.004	0.485 ± 0.003	< 0.0001	0.023	53.6	
Cys	0.321 ± 0.003	0.334 ± 0.004	0.0009	0.006	10.4	
Val	0.377 ± 0.003	0.415 ± 0.004	< 0.0001	0.019	46.6	
Met	0.066 ± 0.002	0.067 ± 0.002	0.6776			
lle	0.238 ± 0.002	0.261 ± 0.002	< 0.0001	0.012	45.4	
Leu	0.595 ± 0.005	0.662 ± 0.005	< 0.0001	0.033	58.2	
Tyr	0.202 ± 0.003	0.217 ± 0.005	0.0026	0.007	9.5	
Phe	0.392 ± 0.004	0.445 ± 0.004	< 0.0001	0.026	57.1	
Lys	0.266 ± 0.003	0.292 ± 0.002	< 0.0001	0.013	42.6	
His	0.181 ± 0.002	0.202 ± 0.002	< 0.0001	0.011	55.5	
Arg	0.500 ± 0.005	0.570 ± 0.006	< 0.0001	0.035	52.5	
Pro	0.185 ± 0.006	0.202 ± 0.006	0.0582			

^aNIL^{2S97} and NIL^{MV46} are near isogenic lines carrying homozygous alleles from ZS97 and MY46, respectively.

^bAdditive effect of replacing a ZS97 allele with a MY46 allele.

^cProportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL effect. $R^2 = V_G/V_P \times 100$, in which V_G is the variance between the two genotypic groups, and V_P the phenotypic variance.

positive correlations between different components of AAC (**Table 2**; **Supplementary Table S1**), most of the QTLs were located in cluster and different QTL in a given region usually had the same allelic direction (**Figure 1**; **Supplementary Table S2**).

RFT1 protein is the florigen for promoting the flowering of rice under long-day (LD) conditions (Tsuji et al., 2011). As

TABLE 5 | Effects of *RFT1*^{ZS97} transgene on 17 components of amino acid content (AAC).

Trait	Phenotype (mean ± SE) [°]	Р	A	R ² (%) [°]	
	NIL ^{ZS97}	NIL ^{ZH11}				
Asp	0.745 ± 0.009	0.789 ± 0.008	<0.0001	-0.022	28.1	
Thr	0.315 ± 0.004	0.328 ± 0.003	< 0.0001	-0.006	14.8	
Ser	0.423 ± 0.004	0.440 ± 0.004	< 0.0001	-0.008	19.6	
Glu	1.380 ± 0.017	1.423 ± 0.014	0.0191	-0.021	8.1	
Gly	0.184 ± 0.002	0.192 ± 0.002	0.0002	-0.004	17.2	
Ala	0.441 ± 0.005	0.457 ± 0.005	0.0005	-0.008	13.9	
Cys	0.264 ± 0.002	0.280 ± 0.003	0.0014	-0.008	22.7	
Val	0.369 ± 0.005	0.378 ± 0.005	0.1036			
Met	0.075 ± 0.003	0.086 ± 0.003	0.0975			
lle	0.221 ± 0.004	0.226 ± 0.003	0.1427			
Leu	0.558 ± 0.006	0.574 ± 0.005	0.0008	-0.008	11.5	
Tyr	0.166 ± 0.002	0.175 ± 0.003	0.0007	-0.005	13.8	
Phe	0.380 ± 0.004	0.397 ± 0.004	0.0003	-0.009	17.8	
Lys	0.273 ± 0.003	0.292 ± 0.003	< 0.0001	-0.010	36.0	
His	0.187 ± 0.002	0.199 ± 0.001	< 0.0001	-0.006	38.0	
Arg	0.533 ± 0.006	0.563 ± 0.005	< 0.0001	-0.015	32.7	
Pro	0.182 ± 0.005	0.183 ± 0.006	0.9528			

^aNIL^{2S97} and NIL^{2H11} are T_3 transgenic lines carrying RFT1^{2S97} homozygous transgene and no transgene in the genetic background of ZH11, respectively.

^bAdditive effect of replacing a ZH11 allele with a ZS97 allele.

^cProportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL effect. $R^2 = V_G/V_P \times 100$, in which V_G is the variance between the two genotypic groups, and V_P the phenotypic variance.

compared to the ZS97 allele of *RFT1*, the MY46 and ZH11 alleles were shown to promote heading in rice populations grown under natural LD conditions in Hangzhou (Zhu et al., 2017). Replacing a ZS97 allele by a MY46 allele in the R1 population promoted flowering by 11.63 to 15.61 days over 3 years; and replacing a ZS97 allele by a ZH11 allele promoted flowering by 3.91 and 6.12 days in the transgenic population in 2 years. In the present study, replacement of the ZS97 allele of *RFT1* with the MY46 and ZH11 alleles resulted in increasing the contents of most amino acids (**Supplementary Table S2**; **Tables 3–5**). Obviously, the effects of *RFT1* on HD and AAC have opposite allelic directions, which is in accordance with the opposite allelic directions between QTLs for HD and PC located on the short arm of chromosome 6 (Wada et al., 2006; Yun et al., 2016).

Two other QTLs for AAC (*qAla6* and *qPro6.2*) were detected on the short of chromosome 6 in the ZS97/MY46 RIL population. They were located in the intervals RM253–RM276 and RZ667–RM19784 that are closer to the centromere region than is *RFT1*. The alleles for increasing AAC were both derived from ZS97 (**Supplementary Table S2**). At the *Hd1* locus that is tightly linked to RM19784, the functional *Hd1*^{ZS97} allele acted to decrease HD as compared to the non-functional *Hd1*^{MY46} allele in the ZS97 background (Zhang et al., 2012). These results suggest that the *Hd1* gene also have pleiotropic effects on HD and AAC with opposite directions.

The second and third largest QTL clusters detected in the ZS97/ MY RIL population were located in the RM3325–RM3859 and RM283–RM3746 regions on the short art of chromosomes 7 and 1, covering the heading-date genes *Ghd7* (Xue et al., 2008) and *OsGI* (Hayama et al., 2003), respectively. For all the QTLs included in the two clusters, the alleles for increasing AAC were derived from ZS97 (**Supplementary Table S2**). In previous studies using the same rice cross, the ZS97 alleles in the two regions were found to decrease HD (Zhang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016). These results suggest that the pleiotropic effects of major heading-date genes on AAC with opposite directions could be a common occurrence.

Similar to previous results reported by other groups (Wang et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2009; Zhong et al., 2011), our study found that it is common that a QTL region affected most components of AAC. However, it is unlikely that a gene can affect the biosynthesis of most amino acids in rice. Given that all the major QTL regions affecting AAC detected in this study were located in approximate to genes/QTLs controlling flowering time, these regions would have large effects on all traits which were influenced by heading date. It is possible that the influence of these regions on most components of AAC could be caused by indirect effects of heading date genes rather than by the direct control of these genes on the biosynthesis of amino acids. It is possible that a heading-date gene is involved in controlling nutrient transportation and accumulation in rice, either by direct involvement in the regulating network or by environmental influences on the nutrition uptake and transport due to heading date variation.

Utilization of the pleiotropic effects of heading-date genes on AAC and PC could help to meet the diverse requirements of protein for human consumption. High contents of protein and amino acids are favorable for enhancing the nutritional value, but unfavorable for eating quality (Martin and Fitzgerald, 2002; Kwon et al., 2011; Yun et al., 2016) and undesirable for some uses such as wine-making (Yoshida et al., 2002) and certain types of diet (Zhang et al., 2008). Alleles for promoting HD and increasing AAC could be selected for developing rice varieties with high nutritional values; and alleles for delaying heading and reducing AAC may be applied for developing high-yielding varieties with good eating quality. In this regard, more efforts are needed to establish a better understanding on the pleiotropism of headingdate genes on multiple traits for grain quality.

REFERENCES

- Aluko, G., Martinez, C., Tohme, J., Castano, C., Bergman, C., and Oard, J. H. (2004). QTL mapping of grain quality traits from the interspecific cross *Oryza sativa* × O. glaberrima. Theor. Appl. Genet. 109, 630–639. doi: 10.1007/s00122-004-1668-y
- Chen, N., Luo, Y. K., Xie, L. H., Zhu, Z. W., Duan, B. W., and Zhang, L. P. (2006). Protein content and its correlation with other quality parameters of rice in China. Acta Agron. Sinica. 32, 1193–1196. (in Chinese with English abstract). doi: 10.3321/j.issn:0496-3490.2006.08.015
- Dai, W. M., Zhang, K. Q., Wu, J. R., Wang, L., Duan, B. W., Zheng, K. L., et al. (2008). Validating a segment on the short arm of chromosome 6 responsible for genetic variation in the hull silicon content and yield traits of rice. *Euphytica* 160, 317–324. doi: 10.1007/s10681-007-9501-8
- Hayama, R., Yokoi, S., Tamaki, S., Yano, M., and Shimamoto, K. (2003). Adaptation of photoperiodic control pathways produces short-day flowering in rice. *Nature* 422, 719–722. doi: 10.1038/nature01549
- Hori, K., Matsubara, K., and Yano, M. (2016). Genetic control of flowering time in rice: integration of Mendelian genetics and genomics. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 129, 2241–2252. doi: 10.1007/s00122-016-2773-4
- Kashiwagi, T., and Munakata, J. (2018). Identification and characteristics of quantitative trait locus for grain protein content, *TGP12*, in rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). Euphytica 214, 165. doi: 10.1007/s10681-018-2249-5
- Kwon, S. W., Cho, Y. C., Lee, J. H., Suh, J. P., Kim, J. J., Kim, M. K., et al. (2011). Identification of quantitative trait loci associated with rice eating quality traits using a

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J-YZ and P-SH conceived and designed the experiments. L-HX, Y-JZ, S-QT, X-JW, Z-HS, and G-AJ performed the experiments. L-HX, Y-JZ and J-YZ analysed the data. L-HX, Y-JZ and J-YZ wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant number 2017YFD0100300), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number 31571637).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mr. Hongfa Chen for rice material preparation and Mrs. Lingli Sun for preparation of amino acid content analysis.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2020. 00013/full#supplementary-material

population of recombinant inbred lines derived from a cross between two temperate *japonica* cultivars. *Mol. Cells* 31, 427–445. doi: 10.1007/s10059-011-0289-y

Lu, K., Li, L., Zheng, X., Zhang, Z., Mou, T., and Hu, Z. (2009). Genetic dissection of amino acid content in rice grain. J. Sci. Food Agric. 89, 2377–2382. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.3731

- Martin, M., and Fitzgerald, M. A. (2002). Proteins in rice influence cooking properties. J. Cereal Sci. 36, 285–294. doi: 10.1006/jcrs.2001.0465
- McCouch, S. R.CGSNL (Committee on Gene Symbolization, Nomenclature and Linkage, Rice Genetics Cooperative) (2008). Gene nomenclature system for rice. *Rice* 1, 72–84. doi: 10.1007/s12284-008-9004-9
- Tan, Y. F., Sun, M., Xing, Y. Z., Hua, Z. P., Sun, X. L., Zhang, Q. F., et al. (2001). Mapping quantitative trait loci for milling quality, protein content and color characteristics of rice using a recombinant inbred line population derived from an elite rice hybrid. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 103, 1037–1045. doi: 10.1007/s001220100665
- Tsuji, H., Taoka, K. I., and Shimamoto, K. (2011). Regulation of flowering in rice: two florigen genes, a complex gene network, and natural variation. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 14, 45–52. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2010.08.016
- Wada, T., Uchimura, Y., Ogata, T., Tsubone, M., and Matsue, Y. (2006). Mapping of QTLs for physicochemical properties in *japonica* rice. *Breed. Sci.* 56, 253 –260. doi: 10.1270/jsbbs.56.253
- Wang, L. Q., Zhong, M., Li, X. H., Yuan, D. J., Xu, Y. B., Liu, H. F., et al. (2008). The QTL controlling amino acid content in grains of rice (*Oryza sativa*) are colocalized with the regions involved in the amino acid metabolism pathway. *Mol. Breed.* 21, 127–137. doi: 10.1007/s11032-007-9141-7

- Wang, S., Basten, C., and Zeng, Z. (2011). Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5 (Raleigh, NC: North Carolina State University).
- Wang, X., Pang, Y., Zhang, J., Wu, Z., Chen, K., Ali, J., et al. (2017a). Genome-wide and gene-based association mapping for rice eating and cooking characteristics and protein content. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 17203. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-17347-5
- Wang, Z., Chen, J. Y., Zhu, Y. J., Fan, Y. Y., and Zhuang, J. Y. (2017b). Validation of qGS10, a quantitative trait locus for grain size on the long arm of chromosome 10 in rice (Oryza sativa L.). J. Integr. Agr. 16, 16–26. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(16)61410-7
- Wei, X., Xu, J., Guo, H., Jiang, L., Chen, S., Yu, C., et al. (2010). DTH8 Suppresses flowering in rice, influencing plant height and yield potential simultaneously. *Plant Physiol.* 153, 1747–1758. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.156943
- Weng, X., Wang, L., Wang, J., Hu, Y., Du, H., Xu, C., et al. (2014). Grain number, plant height, and heading date7 is a central regulator of growth, development, and stress response. Plant Physiol. 164, 735–747. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.231308
- Xue, W., Xing, Y., Weng, X., Zhao, Y., Tang, W., Wang, L., et al. (2008). Natural variation in *Ghd7* is an important regulator of heading date and yield potential in rice. *Nat. Genet.* 40, 761–767. doi: 10.1038/ng.143
- Yan, W. H., Wang, P., Chen, H. X., Zhou, H. J., Li, Q. P., Wang, C. R., et al. (2011). A major QTL, *Ghd8*, plays pleiotropic roles in regulating grain productivity, plant height, and heading date in rice. *Mol. Plant* 4, 319–330. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssq070
- Yan, W., Liu, H., Zhou, X., Li, Q., Zhang, J., Lu, L., et al. (2013). Natural variation in *Ghd7.1* plays an important role in grain yield and adaptation in rice. *Cell Res.* 23, 969–971. doi: 10.1038/cr.2013.43
- Ye, J., Niu, X., Yang, Y., Wang, S., Xu, Q., Yuan, X., et al. (2018). Divergent Hd1, Ghd7, and DTH7 alleles control heading date and yield potential of japonica rice in Northeast China. Front. Plant Sci. 9, 35. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00035
- Yoshida, S., Ikegami, M., Kuze, J., Sawada, K., Hashimoto, Z., Ishii, T., et al. (2002). QTL analysis for plant and grain characters of *sake*-brewing rice using a double haploid population. *Breed. Sci.* 52, 309–317. doi: 10.1270/jsbbs.52.309
- Yu, Y. H., Li, G., Fan, Y. Y., Zhang, K. Q., Min, J., Zhu, Z. W., et al. (2009). Genetic relationship between grain yield and the contents of protein and fat in a recombinant inbred population of rice. *J. Cereal Sci.* 50, 121–125. doi: 10.1016/ j.jcs.2009.03.008
- Yun, Y. T., Chung, C. T., Lee, Y. J., Na, H. J., Lee, J. C., Lee, S. G., et al. (2016). QTL Mapping of grain quality traits using introgression lines carrying *Oryza rufipogon* chromosome segments in *japonica* rice. *Rice* 9, 62. doi: 10.1186/s12284-016-0135-0

- Zhang, W., Bi, J., Chen, L., Zheng, L., Ji, S., Xia, Y., et al. (2008). QTL mapping for crude protein and protein fraction contents in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Cereal Sci. 48, 539–547. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2007.11.010
- Zhang, Z. H., Guo, L., Zhu, Y. J., Fan, Y. Y., and Zhuang, J. Y. (2011). Mapping of quantitative trait loci for heading date and plant height in two populations of *indica* rice. *Sci. Agric. Sin.* 44, 3069–3077. (in Chinese with English abstract). doi: 10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2011.15.001
- Zhang, Z. H., Wang, K., Guo, L., Zhu, Y. J., Fan, Y. Y., Cheng, S. H., et al. (2012). Pleiotropism of the photoperiod-insensitive allele of *Hd1* on heading date, plant height and yield traits in rice. *PloS One* 7, e52538. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0052538
- Zhang, J., Zhou, X., Yan, W., Zhang, Z., Lu, L., Han, Z., et al. (2015). Combinations of the *Ghd7*, *Ghd8* and *Hd1* genes largely define the ecogeographical adaptation and yield potential of cultivated rice. *New Phytol.* 208, 1056–1066. doi: 10.1111/ nph.13538
- Zhang, Z. H., Cao, L. Y., Chen, J. Y., Zhang, Y. X., Zhuang, J. Y., and Cheng, S. H. (2016). Effects of *Hd2* in the presence of the photoperiod-insensitive functional allele of *Hd1* in rice. *Biol. Open* 5, 1719–1726. doi: 10.1242/ bio.021071
- Zhong, M., Wang, L. Q., Yuan, D. J., Luo, L. J., Xu, C. G., and He, Y. Q. (2011). Identification of QTL affecting protein and amino acid contents in rice. *Rice Sci.* 18, 187–195. doi: 10.1016/S1672-6308(11)60026-7
- Zhu, Y. J., Fan, Y. Y., Wang, K., Huang, D. R., Liu, W. Z., Ying, J. Z., et al. (2017). Rice *Flowering Locus T 1* plays an important role in heading date influencing yield traits in rice. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 4918. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-05302-3

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Xie, Zhu, Tang, Wei, Sheng, Jiao, Hu and Zhuang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.