



Corrigendum: Utility of S100A12 as an Early Biomarker in Patients With ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction

Xiaolin Zhang^{1†}, Minghui Cheng^{1†}, Najing Gao^{1†}, Yi Li¹, Chenghui Yan¹, Xiaoxiang Tian¹, Dan Liu¹, Miaohan Qiu¹, Xiaozeng Wang¹, Bo Luan², Jie Deng³, Shouli Wang⁴, Hongyan Tian⁵, Geng Wang¹, Xinliang Ma⁶, Gregg W. Stone⁷ and Yaling Han^{1*}

¹ Cardiovascular Research Institute and Department of Cardiology, The General Hospital of Northern Theater Command, Shenyang, China, ² Department of Cardiology, Liaoning Provincial People's Hospital, Shenyang, China, ³ Department of Cardiology, Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ⁴ Department of Cardiology, General Hospital of the Strategic Support Force of the Chinese People's Liberation Army, Beijing, China, ⁵ Department of Cardiology First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Emergency Medicine, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, United States, ⁷ Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Mount Sinai Heart and the Cardiovascular Research Foundation, New York, NY, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Tommaso Gori,
Johannes Gutenberg University
Mainz, Germany

*Correspondence:

Yaling Han
hanyaling@163.net

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Coronary Artery Disease,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

Received: 20 December 2021

Accepted: 02 February 2022

Published: 23 February 2022

Citation:

Zhang X, Cheng M, Gao N, Li Y, Yan C, Tian X, Liu D, Qiu M, Wang X, Luan B, Deng J, Wang S, Tian H, Wang G, Ma X, Stone GW and Han Y (2022) Corrigendum: Utility of S100A12 as an Early Biomarker in Patients With ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:840102. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.840102

Keywords: S100A12, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, diagnosis, prognosis, cardiovascular disease(s)

A Corrigendum on

Utility of S100A12 as an Early Biomarker in Patients With ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction

by Zhang, X., Cheng, M., Gao, N., Li, Y., Yan, C., Tian, X., Liu, D., Qiu, M., Wang, X., Luan, B., Deng, J., Wang, S., Tian, H., Wang, G., Ma, X., Stone, G. W., and Han, Y. (2021). *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 8:747511. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.747511

In the original article, the Funding statement is incorrect. The authors neglected to include the funder “National Key Research and Development Program of China, 2016YFC1301303” for author YH and the funder “Liaoning Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China, 2019-ZD-1060” for author XZ. The corrected Funding statement appears below:

“This work was supported by grants from the National Key Research and Development project of China [grant number 2016YFC1301300]. YH received funding from the National Key Research and Development Program of China, 2016YFC1301303. XZ received funding from the Liaoning Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China, 2019-ZD-1060. The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or writing of the report. The authors had access to the data and vouch for the integrity, accuracy, and completeness of the data and analyses, and for the fidelity of the study to the protocol.”

In the original article, an error was made in the **Abstract**, section *Design, Setting, and Participants*. The original sentence was incorrectly written as: “An independent cohort of 398 patients enrolled at three different hospitals served as a validation cohort.” The correct sentence is: “An independent cohort of 243 patients enrolled at three different hospitals served as a validation cohort.”

In the original article, an error was made in the **Methods**, section *Study Design and Participants*. The original sentence was incorrectly written as: “A second patient group, serving as a validation

cohort, comprised 398 patients with the same inclusion and exclusion criteria presenting to the emergency department of three different hospitals (eAppendix 1) between May 2016, and November 2016”. The correct sentence is: “A second patient group, serving as a validation cohort, comprised 243 patients with the same inclusion and exclusion criteria presenting to the emergency department of three different hospitals (eAppendix 1) between May 2016 and November 2016.”

The authors apologize for these errors and state that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Zhang, Cheng, Gao, Li, Yan, Tian, Liu, Qiu, Wang, Luan, Deng, Wang, Tian, Wang, Ma, Stone and Han. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.