



Case Letter

Positive hepatitis B serology following IVIg treatment in a patient with mucous membrane pemphigoid



Ryan Colakovic BS, Thomas Freeman MD, Brittney Schultz MD*

Department of Dermatology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 December 2020

Revised 25 February 2021

Accepted 2 March 2021

Keywords:

Hepatitis B

IVIg

Mucous membrane pemphigoid

Dear Editors,

Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) is a treatment option for autoimmune diseases such as mucous membrane pemphigoid (MMP; [Ahmed and Dahl, 2003](#)). Adverse effects are generally self-limited, but cases of biopsy-confirmed hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, aseptic meningitis, and anaphylaxis have occurred ([Ahmed and Dahl, 2003](#); [Hellstern, 1994](#)). To limit the spread of infectious agents through IVIg infusions, donations are screened and undergo viral inactivation procedures ([Ahmed and Dahl, 2003](#); [Hellstern, 1994](#)). Prior to receiving IVIg, patients are screened for HBV through the triple serology of hepatitis B surface antibody (sAb), surface antigen (sAg), and core antibody (cAb; [Lu et al., 2018](#); [Ramsay et al., 2016](#)). In general, the presence of sAg indicates current HBV infection, sAb indicates immunity from prior HBV infection or immunization, and cAb indicates past or present HBV infection. Passive transfer of HBV antibodies without true infection has been reported after IVIg ([Lu et al., 2018](#); [Ramsay et al., 2016](#)) but has been scarcely discussed in the dermatology literature ([Benton et al., 2012](#)). Herein, we report a patient with MMP who developed positive HBV serology after receiving IVIg in the absence of a true infection.

A 64-year-old man with a history of refractory ocular MMP confirmed by histopathology and direct immunofluorescence presented in November 2019. He had most recently received two cycles of IVIg in September and early October 2019 without improvement in his disease. Incidentally, hepatitis serologies were collected in late October 2019, which showed the presence of sAb

and cAb without sAg. Prior HBV serologies had been negative. There was concern for HBV infection through IVIg infusions.

The patient was subsequently evaluated by the gastroenterology department. Given the negative HBV polymerase chain reaction results, the patient was thought to have passively acquired antibodies through IVIg. Repeat antibody testing showed an expected decrease in titers. He received his scheduled rituximab without HBV prophylaxis and ultimately cleared all HBV serologies by August 2020 ([Table 1](#)).

Passive transfer of antibodies through IVIg confounds serologic testing, with reported rates for HBV varying from 15% to 46% ([Benton et al., 2012](#); [Lu et al., 2018](#); [Ramsay et al., 2016](#)). Additional antibodies have also been reported to be passively transferred through IVIg, such as antitreponemal and anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* antibodies ([Benton et al., 2012](#)). This phenomenon has been well-established in the hematology and infectious diseases literature, but we were only able to find one case report in the dermatology literature ([Benton et al., 2012](#)). This underreporting may be multifactorial. First, IVIg may be used less extensively in the management of dermatological conditions ([Ahmed and Dahl, 2003](#)). More importantly, HBV screening is not routine after IVIg infusion and typically occurs incidentally ([Benton et al., 2012](#); [Lu et al., 2018](#)). Furthermore, the chance of detecting HBV serologies after IVIg has been found to decrease exponentially over time, with the probability of cAb detection at 34% at 7 days and 12% at 1 month ([Lu et al., 2018](#)), likely due to the clearance of antibodies. Our patient tested positive for cAb and sAb approximately 2 weeks after IVIg, with clearance of cAb and sAb after approximately 4 months and 10 months, respectively.

We present this case to raise awareness of the possible passive transfer of antibodies in dermatology patients receiving IVIg. For

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: bschultz@umn.edu (B. Schultz).

Table 1
Timeline of hepatitis B serology results

	April 2018	October 2019	November 2019	February 2020	August 2020
HBV surface antigen	Nonreactive	Nonreactive	N/A	N/A	N/A
HBV surface antibody	Nonreactive	> 1,000 IU/L	N/A	70 IU/L	Nonreactive
HBV core antibody	Nonreactive	Reactive	N/A	Nonreactive	N/A
HBV PCR	N/A	N/A	Not detectable	N/A	N/A

The bolded text was meant to indicate abnormal lab results.

HBV, hepatitis B virus; N/A, not applicable; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

those who develop HBV antibodies, we recommend evaluation by the gastroenterology department, which will likely include HBV polymerase chain reaction and repeat antibody testing. Patients without true HBV infection do not require prophylaxis against HBV reactivation with immunomodulatory therapies such as rituximab.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Funding

None.

Study approval

The author(s) confirm that any aspect of the work covered in this manuscript that has involved human patients has been conducted with the ethical approval of all relevant bodies.

References

- Ahmed AR, Dahl MV. Consensus statement on the use of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in the treatment of autoimmune mucocutaneous blistering diseases. *Arch Dermatol* 2003;139:1051–9.
- Benton E, Iqbal K, Wade P, Wong T, Aarons E, Groves R. False-positive hepatitis B serology following IVIG therapy: Forgotten but not gone!! *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2012;66(3):e123–4.
- Hellstern P. Clinical experience with the viral safety of immunoglobulins. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis* 1994;5(Suppl 3):S31–4 ; discussion S35.
- Lu H, Lok AS, Warneke CL, Ahmed S, Torres HA, Martinez F, et al. Passive transfer of anti-HBc after intravenous immunoglobulin administration in patients with cancer: A retrospective chart review. *Lancet Haematol* 2018;5:e474–8.
- Ramsay I, Gorton RL, Patel M, Workman S, Symes A, Haque T, et al. Transmission of hepatitis B core antibody and galactomannan enzyme immunoassay positivity via immunoglobulin products: A comprehensive analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;63:57–63.