Guillain–Barré syndrome associated with vaccines in Veracruz, Mexico

Dear Editor,

The main agents of Guillain–Barre syndrome (GBS) (the most common autoimmune disease of the peripheral nervous system, with an incidence of 1.1/100,000 worldwide) are infectious, predominantly *Campylobacter* and some neurotropic viruses such as dengue or Zika, which in past pandemics were one of the main agents suspected of conditioning outbreaks of the syndrome in this region of Southeastern Mexico endemic to arboviruses; however, there are other entities such as the application of vaccines that usually condition the appearance of the syndrome, with various case reports.^[1]

Within the history of vaccines, only one has shown a direct association with the development of GBS, being the swine influenza vaccine used in 1976 and 1977; other vaccines that have been associated with cases of the syndrome are tetanus toxoid and oral polio vaccine.^[2,3]

A recent meta-analysis shows a GBS rate of 2.77 cases per million people vaccinated for the influenza vaccine and 2.44 cases per million for the papillomavirus vaccine.^[4]

With the arrival of the COVID-19 pandemic and the accelerated efforts of universal vaccination, several cases

of the syndrome associated with vaccines against severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 have been reported, the main vaccines that have shown this incidence of cases are those of AstraZeneca, Pfizer, and Moderna, according to recent systematic reviews. However, there is evidence that vaccines with mRNA technology have a low incidence of this type of complication, which does not exceed the global incidence of the syndrome.^[5-7]

The main implicated vaccines are adenoviral vector vaccines (AstraZeneca, Janssen, and Sputnik V) according to a theory that the fact that adenoviruses can trigger stronger innate immune responses, such as interferons, compared to mRNA-based vaccines, can, in turn, stimulate a wide range of cells to increase their surface expression of Human leukocyte antigen (HLA), further setting the stage for a more rigorous immune response and leading to the onset of GBS.^[8]

A description is made of a series of cases of GBS associated with the administration of various vaccines presented in the state of Veracruz, in the Mexican southeast from 2019 to 2021.

Four cases of GBS associated with vaccines are reported, 75% are men, with an average age of 60 years; two patients

Table 1: Characteristics of the patients					
	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4 Male/42	
Genre/age (year old)	Female/54	Male/75	Male/70		
Origin	Veracruz	Boca del Rio	Lerdo de Tejada	Veracruz	
Comorbidities	Diabetes	Cancer	Diabetes	-	
	Hypothyroidism				
Vaccine	Influenza/tetanus	COVID-Pfizer	COVID-Pfizer	COVID-Astra	
Previous symptoms	Non	Gastrointestinal	inal Non Gast		
Vaccine application time (weeks)	1	2	1	2	
Time to diagnosis of GBS (days)	14	7	4	3	
Variety of GBS	Classic	Classic	Cervico-pharyngo-brachialis	Classic	
Cranial nerve involvement	Facial	No	Oculomotor and bulbar	Facial and bulbar	
Neuroconduction	AMAN	AIDP	AMSAN	AIDP	
Cerebrospinal fluid	-	Hyperproteinic -		Hyperproteinic	
Treatment	Intravenous immunoglobulin				
Hughes basal	4	4	4	4	
Hughes end of treatment	4	3	4	4	
MRC basal	4	4	4	3	
MRC end of treatment	4	3	3	3	
Complications	-	-	-	Pneumonia	
Death	No	No	No	No	

GBS: Guillain-Barré syndrome, MRC: Medical research council scale, AMAN: Acute motor axonal neuropathy, AIDP: Acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, AMSAN: Acute motor-sensory axonal neuropathy

reported concomitant gastrointestinal symptoms; two patients had been vaccinated 2 weeks ago and two patients 1 week or less ago; one patient received two simultaneous vaccines (influenza and tetanus), two received the anti-COVID vaccine from Pfizer and one from AstraZeneca; the clinical variant of GBS was the classic one in three and one presented the cervico-pharyngo-brachial variant; two presented concomitant sensory deficits, one ataxia, and two dysautonomias; one patient presented facial palsy, one oculomotor and bulbar palsy, and one facial and bulbar palsy; regarding the form of progression, two were classic and two were asymmetric and asynchronous; the neuroconduction velocity study reported one case of acute motor-sensory axonal neuropathy (AMSAN), one

Table	2:	Neuroconduction	studies	performed	on	patients
-------	----	-----------------	---------	-----------	----	----------

	Patient 1		Patient 2		Patient 3		Patient 4	
	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left
			MNC					
MNC median nerve								
Distal latency (ms)	7.1	NR	3.5	3.4	4.0	3.5	11.7	8.9
Amplitude (mV)	0.52	NR	5.35	4.13	4.39	4.26	1.37	1.22
Neuroconduction velocity (m/s)	41	-	56	56	48	46	33	41
Latency maximum of F (ms)	NR	NR	28.3	28.2	34.2	31.6	NR	NR
Persistence of F (%)	-	-	31	50	81	44	-	-
MNC ulnar nerve								
Distal latency (ms)	4.4	3.9	3.4	3.1	3.6	3.2	4.8	4.7
Amplitude	0.21 uV	0.18 uV	2.18 mV	3.14 mV	5.96 mV	5.18 mV	2.02 mV	2.63 mV
Neuroconduction velocity (m/s)	48	48	54	55	45	53	42	21
Latency maximum of F (ms)	NR	NR	32.6	31.1	36.5	30.4	NR	NR
Persistence of F (%)	-	-	19	69	100	81	-	-
MNC tibial nerve								
Distal latency (ms)	5.5	7.6	4.6	4.2	3.8	4.6	6.3	8.5
Amplitude	0.09 uV	0.22 uV	2.65 mV	2.31 mV	3.38 mV	7.11 mV	0.97 mV	1.17 ms
Neuroconduction velocity (m/s)	28	-	29	36	26	32	33	34
Latency maximum of E (ms)	NB	NB	63.5	64.8	67.9	67.1	NR	NR
Persistence of F (%)	-	-	100	75	100	100	-	-
MNC peropeal perve			100	10	100	100		
Distal latency (ms)	6.9	6.9	6.9	64	NB	5.2	Q /	13.1
Amplitude	0.26 µV	0.0	0.9 0.76 mV	0.4 0.56 mV	NB	0.96 mV	0.66 mV	0.67 mV
Neuroconduction velocity (m/s)	0.20 0 V	0.10 4 V	40	12		37	3/	36
Latonov maximum of E			40	42		57	ND	
Pareistonee of E			-	-	_	-	INIT	INIT
MNC facial popula	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	6 5 mg	ND					7.0	
Amplitude	0.01)/		-	-	-	-	7.0 0.06 mV	
Amplitude	0.24 UV	NR	-	-	-	-	0.06 111	INH
NCV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CNC median name			SNC					
SNC median herve	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.0		
Distai latency (ms)	3.4	3.4	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.6	NR	NR
Amplitude (uv)	26.0	38.59	19.02	19.50	17.3	20.10	NR	NR
Neuroconduction velocity (m/s)	41	42	56	56	52	54	-	-
SNC ulnar nerve		. (
Distal latency (ms)	3.0	3.4	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.4	NR	NR
Amplitude (uV)	37.86	26.84	3.92	13.97	6.39	16.15	NR	NR
Neuroconduction velocity (m/s)	47	41	50	51	48	57	-	-
SNC sural nerve								
Distal latency (ms)	3.5	3.5	NR	3.5	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.7
Amplitude (uV)	16.49	13.58	NR	6.26	1.07	1.08	4.41	4.33
Neuroconduction velocity (m/s)	43	47	-	40	44	45	65	41
Pathophysiological mechanism	Axonal dege moderate demyelinat	eneration and evidence of ion (AMAN)	Demye superimpose degenerat	lination ed on Axonal tion (AIDP)	Axonal de but show demyelinat extremities	generation s proximal ion in lower s (AMSAN)	Demyelin seconda degenerat	ation with ry axonal ion (AIDP)

MNC: Motor neuroconduction, SNC: Sensory neuroconduction, AMAN: Acute motor axonal neuropathy, AIDP: Acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, AMSAN: acute motor-sensory axonal neuropathy, NR: Non reactive, NCV: Neuroconduction velocity

case of AMAN, and two cases of acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (AIDP); cerebrospinal fluid analysis reported hyperproteinorrachia in two cases; all were treated with intravenous immunoglobulin; the initial Hughes scale was four in all cases and at the end of treatment only one presented Hughes 3, the rest remained at 4; one patient developed pneumonia and none died [Tables 1 and 2].

This small series of cases alludes to the increase in cases of GBS associated with vaccines coupled with the great vaccination campaign against COVID-19, being only anecdotal cases in this region of the Mexican southeast do not translate into a health alarm, highlighting that, as in other case reports, the most frequent variety was the sensory-motor (AMSAN), in the same way, it highlights that influenza and tetanus vaccine can be one of the main promoters of the appearance of this syndrome, in addition to the vaccines adenoviral for COVID-19.^[9,10]

The incidence of GBS associated with vaccines is relatively low, but it is a possibility that exists during vaccination campaigns and one must be alert to the presence of neurological symptoms for early diagnosis and timely initiation of treatment, limiting neurological sequelae of the illness.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Luis Del Carpio-Orantes, Ishar Solís-Sánchez, Yuri Omar Piquet-Uscanga

Guillain-Barre Syndrome Study Group, Veracruz, Mexico

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Luis Del Carpio-Orantes, Guillain–Barre Syndrome Study Group In Veracruz, Mexico. E-mail: neurona23@hotmail.com

References

 Del Carpio-Orantes L, García-Méndez S, Solís-Sánchez I, Perfecto-Arroyo MA, Moreno-Aldama NP, Sánchez-Díaz JS. Neurological symptoms in patients with COVID-19 as manifestation of severity and prognosis, the case of anosmia and dysgeusia. J Neurol Sci 2021;429:119899. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2021.119899.

- 2. Haber P, Sejvar J, Mikaeloff Y, DeStefano F. Vaccines and Guillain-Barré syndrome. Drug Saf 2009;32:309-23.
- Principi N, Esposito S. Vaccine-preventable diseases, vaccines and Guillain-Barre' syndrome. Vaccine 2019;37:5544-50.
- Wang F, Wang D, Wang Y, Li C, Zheng Y, Guo Z, et al. Population-based incidence of Guillain-Barré syndrome during mass immunization with viral vaccines: A pooled analysis. Front Immunol 2022;13:782198.
- Hanson KE, Goddard K, Lewis N, Fireman B, Myers TR, Bakshi N, et al. Incidence of Guillain-Barré syndrome after COVID-19 vaccination in the vaccine safety datalink. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e228879.
- Shao SC, Wang CH, Chang KC, Hung MJ, Chen HY, Liao SC. Guillain-Barré syndrome associated with COVID-19 vaccination. Emerg Infect Dis 2021;27:3175-8.
- García-Grimshaw M, Michel-Chávez A, Vera-Zertuche JM, Galnares-Olalde JA, Hernández-Vanegas LE, Figueroa-Cucurachi M, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome is infrequent among recipients of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. Clin Immunol 2021;230:108818.
- Kadkhoda K. Post-adenoviral-based vaccines Guillain-Barre syndrome: A proposed mechanism. Med Hypotheses 2022;160:110792.
- 9. Tutar NK, Eyigürbüz T, Yildirim Z, Kale N. A variant of Guillain-Barre syndrome after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination: AMSAN. Ideggyogy Sz 2021;74:286-8.
- Min YG, Ju W, Ha YE, Ban JJ, Lee SA, Sung JJ, et al. Sensory Guillain-Barre syndrome following the ChAdO×1 nCov-19 vaccine: Report of two cases and review of literature. J Neuroimmunol 2021;359:577691.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website:			
	http://www.braincirculation.org			
	DOI: 10.4103/bc.bc_25_22			

How to cite this article: Carpio-Orantes LD, Solís-Sánchez I, Piquet-Uscanga YO. Guillain–Barré syndrome associated with vaccines in Veracruz, Mexico. Brain Circ 2022;8:165-7.

Submission: 24-05-2022, Revised: 20-08-2022

Accepted: 23-08-2022, Published: 21-09-2022

© 2022 Brain Circulation | Published by Wolters Kluwer Health – Medknow