

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/07328893)

Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/diagmicrobio

SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody responses in New York City

Josh Reifer, Nosson Hayum, Benzion Heszkel, Ikey Klagsbald, Vincent A. Streva $*$

Sherman Abrams Laboratory, Brooklyn, NY 11205

article info abstract

Article history: Received 15 June 2020 Received in revised form 29 June 2020 Accepted 1 July 2020 Available online 21 July 2020

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a strain of coronavirus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) and has been declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization. Total cases of SARS-CoV-2 worldwide exceed 10.2 million, with over 503,000 deaths recorded. Little is known about the body's immune response to SARS-CoV-2 infection. In this paper, we describe SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody responses in 28,523 patients from the New York City metropolitan area and report a SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity rate of 44%, indicating the widespread nature of the pandemic in the city and state of New York. Additionally, for a subset of patients, we report on the correlation between SARS-CoV-2 patient symptom severity and level of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody found in the patient sample.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

In December 2019, a novel strain of coronavirus was identified in a cluster of pneumonia patients in Huabei Province China in the city of Wuhan (Zhu et al., 2020). This novel coronavirus strain [since named Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)] is a member of the Betacoronavirus family and has ~80% sequence similarity to SARS-CoV-1, which is no longer circulating in humans (Huang et al., 2020). On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared SARS-CoV-2 a global pandemic (World Health Organization Director General's Remarks, 2020). Since its first description, SARS-CoV-2 has spread from China, and as of today (June 29, 2020) has spread to 215 countries and territories across the globe and is responsible for 10.2 million confirmed infections and over 503,000 deaths, including over 128,000 deaths in the United States (World Health Organization Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) Situation Report, 2020; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Provisional Death Counts for Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19), 2020).

SARS-CoV-2 spreads mainly though contact with infectious respiratory droplets generated when an infected person coughs or sneezes, or through contact with saliva or nasal discharge (Shereen et al., 2020). Symptoms of infection by SARS-CoV-2 can range from mild (cough, fever, sore throat) to severe (shortness of breath, difficulty breathing, pneumonia) and more severe illness seems to affect the elderly or immunocompromised (Yi et al., 2020).

Little is known about the antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 have been reported to be detected as early as a few days, to as many as 3 weeks after onset of symptoms (Xiao et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020), with the median time from symptom onset to detectable levels of IgG reported as 6 days (Long et al., 2020). The presence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies generally is indicative of current or previous infection by SARS-CoV-2, and is thought to confer some degree of immunity (Azkur et al., 2020), however the extent and duration of immunity conferred by SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies remain unknown (Guo et al., 2020; Long et al., 2020).

A number of in vitro diagnostics have been developed for the detection of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2, and many fraudulent or ineffective tests have been released into the market (Food and Drug Administration Revised Policy on Antibody Tests for SARS-CoV-2, n.d.). Recently, a number of SARS-CoV-2 antibody tests have received Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) (Food and Drug Administration Emergency Use Authorizations, 2020), and recently the FDA has taken action to prevent distribution of SARS-CoV-2 antibody tests that have not been reviewed by the FDA. These tests detect either individual SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG antibodies or total antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. All FDA EUA SARS-CoV-2 antibody tests target antibodies against either the viral nucleocapsid protein or spike glycoproteins, which are the 2 most abundant immunogenic components produced by the virus during infection (Wu et al., 2020). Much remains to be seen whether nucleocapsid or spike glycoprotein is a better target antigen when developing a SARS-CoV-2 antibody assay, however there is reason to suspect that in the future, spike glycoprotein will be preferred as a target, as the viral nucleocapsid is highly homologous among all strains of Coronaviridae (Zeng et al., 2020) and the spike glycoprotein has been shown to be the target of neutralizing antibodies (Jiang et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020).

Little is known about how the body's antibody response varies with severity of SARS-CoV-2 symptoms; however, it is expected that more severe SARS-CoV-2 infections will result in a more robust production

Corresponding author. E-mail address: <vincent@shermanabrams.com> (V.A. Streva).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2020.115128>

0732-8893/© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies. This finding would be in line with reports of antibody longevity for the related coronavirus, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Virus Coronavirus (MERS-CoV), which show that longevity of antibody response was correlated with disease severity (Alshukairi et al., 2016). In this study, we report on the semi-quantitative SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels in a population of patients scored for severity of illness and show a direct correlation between SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels and severity of symptoms.

2. Methods

2.1. Patient populations

Patients were seen at primary care providers and urgent care facilities throughout New York City and the surrounding suburbs (Westchester, Rockland, Orange, Nassau, and Suffolk counties). The majority of patients (74%) were residents of the 5 boroughs (counties) that comprise New York City: Kings (Brooklyn), Queens, New York (Manhattan), Bronx, and Richmond (Staten Island). A subset of 240 patients was used to correlate symptom severity to antibody response. This subset of patients was seen for SARS-CoV-2 IgG testing at a single primary care provider in the Boro Park neighborhood of Brooklyn over a one-month period from May 5, 2020 through June 5, 2020. Patients were tested for SARS-CoV-2 IgG between 8 and 12 weeks after known or probable exposure to SARS-CoV-2.

2.2. Clinical patient scoring (symptom index)

Patients were seen at an urgent care facility in Brooklyn, New York in May and early June 2020. As part of their screening, healthcare providers at this facility were asked to rate the severity of patients' symptoms on a scale of 0 (asymptomatic, unexposed) to 4 (most severe symptoms) (Table 1) to generate a 'Symptom Severity Index' (SSI) to be used as a marker of severity of disease. All SSIs were generated at the point of care by the patients' primary care provider/clinician before specimens were sent to the laboratory for testing, so the SSI result was generated independently of the SARS-CoV-2 IgG result.

2.3. Laboratory testing for SARS-CoV-2 IgG

Semi-quantitative SARS-CoV-2 IgG testing was performed at Sherman Abrams Laboratory in Brooklyn, NY on serum specimens using the DiaSorin LIAISON SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 IgG Antibody Assay on the LIAI-SON XL platform. This assay is an FDA EUA diagnostic test for the qualitative detection of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies but produces a numerical index value (in arbitrary units per milliliter) which is indicative of the intensity of the reaction, and therefore a surrogate for SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels.

Table 1

. Description of Symptom Severity Index (SSI). Patients were assigned an SSI based on the criteria in Table 1. The SSI was assigned at the time of the patient's office visit by the healthcare provider before laboratory testing for SARS-CoV-2 IgG.

SSI Description

- ⁰ Asymptomatic patient with no known exposure to SARS-CoV-2 positive patient(s)
- ¹ Asymptomatic patient with known exposure to SARS-CoV-2 positive patient (s)
- 2 Mildly symptomatic patient (fever, cough, sore throat) with no pneumonia or drop in oxygen saturation levels
- symptomatic patient including unconfirmed pneumonia; drop in oxygen saturation as low as 92%
- ⁴ Severely symptomatic patient including x-ray confirmed pneumonia; oxygen saturation below 92%

2.4. Data analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R. Plots of IgG index and age versus SSI were generated in R, using ggplot, with a data smoothing line applied. Linear regression analysis was performed using the lm() function native to R.

3. Results

During the study period, primary care providers were given the Symptom Severity Index (SSI) criteria to use when seeing their patients. In this study, we have paired SSI and semi-quantitative SARS-CoV-2 IgG levels from 240 patients. Patient symptoms were scored by the healthcare provider at the time of office visit from 0 to 4 using criteria predetermined before the start of the study (Table 1). Of the 240 patients assigned an SSI, 36% were scored as zeroes, 35% were scored as ones, 21% were scored as twos, 5% were scored as threes, and 3% were scored as fours (Table 2).

The distribution of male and female patients in each of the SSI bins is shown in Table 3. There was no significant difference in gender distribution between patients with SSIs from zero to 2; however, patients with an SSI of 4 were disproportionately male, and there is a trend for increased severity of symptoms in male patients. Whether this difference is due to increased disease severity among male patients (Channappanavar et al., 2017) or the relatively small number of patients with an SSI of 3 or greater remains to be seen. Additionally, the median age of each SSI group was also determined (Table 4). Generally, patients with a lower SSI were younger than those with a higher SSI (Fig. 1A), possibly indicative of more severe disease in older patients. This difference was true for both male and female patients (Fig. 1B) and was statistically significant ($P < 0.01$) using a linear regression model.

We next sought to evaluate whether semi-quantitative SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels were correlated to severity of symptoms as measured by the SSI. Levels of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody are plotted against SSI in Fig. 2A. A linear regression analysis of the data indicates that SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels are positively correlated with SSI ($P < 0.01$). This trend is true regardless of whether the patient was male or female (Fig. 2B). These data imply that more severe SARS-CoV-2 infections are more likely than less severe infections to generate sufficient IgG antibodies to confer protective immunity.

In addition, we tested 28,523 patient specimens for SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels for whom we did not obtain a SSI. Of these, 12,424 were SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive indicating a 44% positivity rate in our patient population, which reflects the extent of the Covid-19 pandemic in New York City. Positivity rates in males were higher than in females (49% positive IgG in male patients, and 38% positive IgG in female patients). The lowest prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG was in patients aged 86–90, with a positivity rate of 25% and patients aged zero through 5, with a positivity rate of 26% (note, there were no positive IgG results in patients 101–105 years old, but only 3 patients from this demographic were tested, so they are omitted from this analysis).

Table 2

Number of patients in each SSI group. Two hundred forty patients were assigned an SSI at the time of clinic visit. Of these, 36% were assigned an SSI of 0, 35% were assigned an SSI of 1, 21% were assigned an SSI of 2, 5% were assigned an SSI of 3, and 3% were assigned an SSI of 4.

Table 3

SSI and patient sex. Patient sex for each of the 5 SSI groups is shown. Patients in SSI groups 0 through 3 were roughly evenly split by patient sex, which those in SSI groups 3 and 4 tended to skew male.

SSI	Patient Sex	Number	Percentage
0	Male	44	51
	Female	42	49
1	Male	44	52
	Female	41	48
$\overline{2}$	Male	26	51
	Female 25		49
3	Male	7	58
	Female	5	42
4	Male	5	83
	Female		17

Table 4

Median patient age for each SSI group. The median patient age tends to increase with SSI. The median age for each SSI is shown as well as the median age by patient sex for each SSI.

SSI	Patient Sex	Median Age (years)
	Total	29
$\mathbf{0}$	Male	29
	Female	29
	Total	35
1	Male	35
	Female	35
	Total	45
2	Male	45
	Female	42
	Total	48
3	Male	42
	Female	56
	Total	46
$\overline{4}$	Male	45
	Female	73

Interestingly, the age groups with the highest prevalence of positive SARS-CoV-2 IgG are ages 11–15 (56% positive) and ages 16–20 (57% positive) (Fig. 3).

We also calculated the mean semi-quantitative IgG Index value by age for the 12,424 SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients tested. The mean index value across ages was 108.4 AU/mL, with the average index in males (112.7 AU/mL) being significantly higher than the average index in females (101.4 AU/mL) ($P < 0.05$). The mean SARS-CoV-2 IgG index value is lowest in young adults (ages 16–40) and is highest in children (ages 0–10 years) and older adults (ages 76 and above) (Fig. 4A and B). This observation is true for both males and females (Fig. 4C and D).

4. Discussion

This study is the first to correlate severity of SARS-CoV-2 symptoms with antibody response using an FDA EUA SARS-CoV-2 IgG assay. Additionally, it is one of the first to provide a snapshot of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody responses in a region of the country that was hardest hit by the virus, New York City, through an analysis of over 28,000 patients presenting to primary care providers for SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody testing.

We show SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity rates in our patient population to be nearing 50%. While we do not have detailed symptom history for all patients, many of the members of our patient population were asymptomatic (at least 50%) and never reported SARS-CoV-2 symptoms, which implies that the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in New York City may be more extensive than previously suspected. Further, our data show that the highest rates of prior infection are seen in patients aged 11–20 years, however it is formally possible that part of the explanation for this is a more robust immune response in this generally young, healthy age group.

Additionally, while the data are preliminary, our study shows that patients who experienced a more severe clinical course of SARS-CoV-2 infection are more likely to have higher serum levels of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody. Therefore, it follows, that these individuals may be more likely to benefit from protective immunity and may be less prone, at least in the short-term, to reinfection by SARS-CoV-2. However, it is important to note that there is still little that is known about the extent to which SARS-CoV-2 antibodies are protective and how long SARS-CoV-2 antibodies persist after infection.

One potential limitation of our study, is the potential for waning immunity in the weeks after infection, which may have resulted in antibody levels decreasing in some patients below detectable thresholds. The patient cohort used to correlate SSI to SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels were from a single tight-knit community in the Boro Park neighborhood of Brooklyn, and were epidemiologically determined to have had exposure or expected exposure to SARS-CoV-2 8–12 weeks prior to presenting for SARS-CoV-2 IgG testing. While we cannot entirely rule out alternative time-courses of infection in all patients, the clinical and epidemiological data gathered suggest all patients in the SSI cohort were infected by SARS-CoV-2 within approximately 2 weeks of one another. From the larger sample of 28,523 patients, we do not have access to sufficient epidemiological data to conclusively determine suspected dates

Fig. 1. Age versus Symptom Severity Index. (A) Patient age is plotted versus symptom severity index (SSI) for all 240 patients given an SSI. Blue line represents the regression line and gray shading represents the 95% confidence interval of the regression. The correlation between age and SSI is statistically significant at $P < 0.01$ (B) Patient age is plotted versus symptom severity index (SSI) for all 240 patients given an SSI and broken down by patient sex. The linear regression line for males (blue line) and females (red line) along with 95% confidence intervals of the regressions (gray shading) are shown. The correlation between age and SSI is statistically significant for both male and female patients at $P < 0.01$.

Fig. 2. SARS-CoV-2 IgG Index Level versus Symptom Severity Index. (A) SARS-CoV-2 IgG index level (AU/mL) is plotted versus symptom severity index (SSI) for all 240 patients given an SSI. Blue line represents the regression line and gray shading represents the 95% confidence interval of the regression. The correlation between SARS-CoV-2 IgG level and SSI is statistically significant at P < 0.01 (B) SARS-CoV-2 IgG index level (AU/mL) is plotted versus symptom severity index (SSI) for all 240 patients given an SSI and broken down by patient sex. The linear regression line for males (blue line) and females (red line) along with 95% confidence intervals of the regressions (gray shading) are shown. The correlation between SARS-CoV-2 IgG level and SSI is statistically significant for both male and female patients at $P < 0.01$.

of exposure to SARS-CoV-2. Future work will be needed to track SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels over time.

The findings of our study have additional implications for blood banks seeking donors for convalescent plasma to treat Covid-19 patients. These facilities have traditionally screened donors for antibodies using qualitative antibody tests and have often relied on self-reporting by patients of their history and timing of symptoms to support a previous diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2. The availability of a semi-quantitative SARS-CoV-2 IgG assay with index values that correlate to severity of past infection could prove to be of significant help in selecting plasma donors.

Additional studies are underway to better understand the timing of the IgG antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 and how long those antibodies are maintained in circulation. Further, studies to correlate the semiquantitative IgG Index value given by the DiaSorin LIAISON test to a direct measure of viral neutralization (eg, plaque reduction neutralization

titer) are ongoing. Until this information is available, our study provides clinicians with information they need to gauge antibody responses between patients and correlate those antibody values to understand the patient's clinical history.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Dakai Liu and Sanford Moos for helpful discussions and critical reading of the manuscript, and Verniece Hudson, Rachelle Neri, Joyce Zhen, and Edward Chu for generating clinical laboratory testing results.

JR conceived the study, collected and analyzed data, and revised the manuscript; NH gathered clinical data and revised the manuscript; BH

Author contributions

Fig. 3. Patient SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity rates. Histogram of SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity rate by patient age for 28,523 patients from the New York City metropolitan area. Patients were binned into 5-year age groups and the positivity rate for each age group is plotted. SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity rates ranged from 24.5% in patients aged 86–90 to 57.3% in patients aged 16–20 years. The blue dotted line represents a fitted regression line intended to show trends.

Fig. 4. SARS-CoV-2 IgG Index Values by Age. (A) The mean SARS-CoV-2 IgG index values (AU/mL) of the 12,424 SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients in this study for each age are plotted versus patient age. The mean values for males (orange line), females (gray line), and both sexes (blue line) are plotted. The mean index value for all positive patients was 108.4 AU/mL (black dashed line). (B) The mean SARS-CoV-2 IgG index values (AU/mL) of the 12,424 SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients in this study were plotted versus patient age, with age binned into 5-year groups. The SARS-CoV-2 IgG index value is lowest in patients ages 16–40. The black dotted line is a regression line fitted to the data to show trends. (C) The mean SARS-CoV-2 IgG index values (AU/mL) of male SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients were plotted versus patient age, with age binned into 5-year groups. The SARS-CoV-2 IgG index value is lowest in patients ages 16 to 40. The black dotted line is a regression line fitted to the data to show trends. (D) The mean SARS-CoV-2 IgG index values (AU/mL) of female SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients were plotted versus patient age, with age binned into 5-year groups. The SARS-CoV-2 IgG index value is lowest in patients ages 16 to 35. The black dotted line is a regression line fitted to the data to show trends.

and IK analyzed data and revised the manuscript; VAS conceived the study, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript.

References

- Alshukairi AN, Khalid I, Ahmed WA, Dada AM, Bauymi DT, Malic LS, et al. Antibody response and disease severity in healthcare worker MERS survivors. Emerging Infectious Diseases 2016;22(6):1113–5. [https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2206.160010.](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2206.160010)
- Azkur AK, Akdis M, Azkur D, et al. Immune response to SARS-CoV-2 and mechanisms of immunopathological changes in COVID-19 [published online ahead of print, 2020 May 12]. Allergy. 2020;10.1111/all.14364. doi:10.1111/all.14364.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Provisional Death Counts for Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). 2020 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid19/index.htm.
- Channappanavar R, Fett C, Mack M, Ten Eyck PP, Meyerholz DK, Perlman S. Sex-based differences in susceptibility to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950) 2017;198(10):4046–53. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601896) [org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601896](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601896).
- Food and Drug Administration Emergency Use Authorizations. [https://www.fda.gov/](https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/emergency-situations-medical-devices/emergency-use-authorizations) [medical-devices/emergency-situations-medical-devices/emergency-use-authoriza](https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/emergency-situations-medical-devices/emergency-use-authorizations)[tions](https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/emergency-situations-medical-devices/emergency-use-authorizations), 2020.
- Food and Drug Administration Revised Policy on Antibody Tests for SARS-CoV-2. (n.d.) FDA-2020-D-0987. https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/policy-coronavirus-disease-2019-tests-during-public-health-emergency-revised.
- Guo L, Ren L, Yang S, et al. Profiling early humoral response to diagnose novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) [published online ahead of print, 2020 Mar 21]. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa310>. ciaa310.

Huang C, Wang Y, Li X. [Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0732-8893(20)30505-8/rf0025) [in Wuhan. China.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0732-8893(20)30505-8/rf0025) Lancet. 2020;395:497–506.

- Jiang, et al. Neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 and other human coronaviruses. Trends in immunology 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2020.03.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2020.03.007)
- Long Q, Liu B, Deng H, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. Nat Med 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0897-1.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0897-1)
- Shereen MA, Khan S, Kazmi A, Bashir N, Siddique R. COVID-19 infection: origin, transmission, and characteristics of human coronaviruses. J Adv Res. 2020;24:91–8. Published 2020 Mar 16 [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2020.03.005.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2020.03.005)
- Walls, et al. Structure, function, and antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein. Cell 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058>.
- Wang C, Li W, Drabek D, et al. A human monoclonal antibody blocking SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Commun 2020;11:2251. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16256-y>.
- World Health Organization Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) Situation Report. 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid19/index.htm.
- World Health Organization Director General's Remarks. [https://www.who.int/dg/](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020) [speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-brie](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020)fingon-covid-19—[11-march-2020](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020), 2020.
- Fan Wu et al, Neutralizing antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in a COVID-19 recovered patient cohort and their implications medRxiv 2020.03.30.20047365; doi: [https://](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.30.20047365) doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.30.20047365.
- Xiao AT, Gao C, Zhang S. Profile of specific antibodies to SARSCoV-2: the first report. J Infect 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.012)
- Yi Y, Lagniton P, Ye S, Li E, Xu RH. COVID-19: what has been learned and to be learned about the novel coronavirus disease. International journal of biological sciences 2020;16(10):1753–66. [https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.45134.](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.45134)
- Zeng, W., Liu, G., Ma, H., Zhao, D., Yang, Y., Liu, M., Mohammed, A., Zhao, C., Yang, Y., Xie, J., Ding, C., Ma, X., Weng, J., Gao, Y., He, H., & Jin, T. (2020). Biochemical characterization of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein. Biochemical and biophysical research communications, S0006-291X(20)30876-7. Advance online publication. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.04.136) [1016/j.bbrc.2020.04.136.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.04.136)
- Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients of novel coronavirus disease 2019 [published online ahead of print, 2020 Mar 28]. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa344>. ciaa344.
- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. [A novel coronavirus from patients](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0732-8893(20)30505-8/rf0075) [with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020;382:727](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0732-8893(20)30505-8/rf0075)–33.