



# The Origin of Coupled Chloride and Proton Transport in a Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> Antiporter

Sangyun Lee, Heather B. Mayes, Jessica M. J. Swanson, and Gregory A. Voth\*

Department of Chemistry, James Franck Institute, and Institute for Biophysical Dynamics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** The ClC family of transmembrane proteins functions throughout nature to control the transport of Cl<sup>-</sup> ions across biological membranes. ClC-ecl from *Escherichia coli* is an antiporter, coupling the transport of Cl<sup>-</sup> and H<sup>+</sup> ions in opposite directions and driven by the concentration gradients of the ions. Despite keen interest in this protein, the molecular mechanism of the Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> coupling has not been fully elucidated. Here, we have used multiscale simulation to help identify the essential mechanism of the Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> coupling. We find that the highest barrier for proton transport (PT) from the intra- to extracellular solution is attributable to a chemical reaction, the deprotonation of glutamic acid 148 (E148). This barrier is significantly reduced by the binding of Cl<sup>-</sup> in the "central" site (Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>), which displaces E148 and thereby facilitates its deprotonation.



Conversely, in the absence of  $Cl_{cen}^-$  E148 favors the "down" conformation, which results in a much higher cumulative rotation and deprotonation barrier that effectively blocks PT to the extracellular solution. Thus, the rotation of E148 plays a critical role in defining the  $Cl^-/H^+$  coupling. As a control, we have also simulated PT in the ClC-ec1 E148A mutant to further understand the role of this residue. Replacement with a non-protonatable residue greatly increases the free energy barrier for PT from E203 to the extracellular solution, explaining the experimental result that PT in E148A is blocked whether or not  $Cl_{cen}^-$  is present. The results presented here suggest both how a chemical reaction can control the rate of PT and also how it can provide a mechanism for a coupling of the two ion transport processes.

# INTRODUCTION

The ClC channels and transporters constitute a large and intriguing family of transmembrane proteins, including both chloride channels and chloride/proton antiporters.<sup>1</sup> They are found in a wide range of organisms, including many prokaryotes and nearly all eukaryotic cells.<sup>2–4</sup> Different isoforms are involved in many different physiological functions, such as stabilization of the membrane potential (ClC-1), regulation of transpithelial Cl<sup>-</sup> transport (ClC-2, -Ka, and -Kb), ion homeostasis of endosomes (ClC-3, -4, -5, and -6), lysosome acidification (ClC-7), and acid resistance in bacterial cells (ClC-ec1).<sup>2,5,6</sup> Defects in ClC proteins are known to cause several hereditary diseases, such as myotonia congenita, Dent's disease, Bartter's syndrome, osteopetrosis, and idiopathic epilepsy.<sup>1,3,6</sup>

ClC-ec1, a bacterial ClC transporter from *Escherichia coli*, mediates the exchange (antiporting) mechanism of Cl<sup>-</sup> and H<sup>+</sup> ions through the membrane (Figure 1A). It utilizes a secondary active transport mechanism in which a concentration gradient of either Cl<sup>-</sup> or H<sup>+</sup> drives the transport of the other ion, as confirmed by multiple studies employing a wide range of concentration gradients for Cl<sup>-</sup> and H<sup>+</sup>.<sup>5,7</sup> Transport can occur in either direction, with one of the two directions shown in Figure 1B. The Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange ratio (~2:1) is consistent within a wide range of concentration gradients of both ions,



**Figure 1.** (A) Overview of the structure of the ClC-ec1 antiporter and transport pathways for Cl<sup>-</sup> (green dashed) and H<sup>+</sup> (red dashed) based on PDB ID 10TS.<sup>14</sup> ClC-ec1 is a homodimer (monomer A shown in blue and monomer B in red). The central region of monomer A is highlighted by the dashed black box. (B) Schematic picture of the PT pathway with  $S_{cen}$  either occupied (Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present, left) or unoccupied (Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> absent, right) by a chloride ion. The H<sup>+</sup> flux is represented as red arrows, with positive flux defined as transport from the intracellular to the extracellular solution. The "X" over the upper H<sup>+</sup> on the right indicates that no PT to the extracellular bulk is observed when Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> is absent.

suggesting that the Cl<sup>-</sup> and the H<sup>+</sup> fluxes in the ClC-ec1 are strongly coupled.<sup>7,8</sup> Later experiments<sup>9,10</sup> directly measured the

 Received:
 July 6, 2016

 Published:
 October 26, 2016

turnover rate of the Cl<sup>-</sup> efflux out of the liposome while there is the H<sup>+</sup> influx against the pH gradient and confirmed that the Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange ratio of the ClC-ec1 is  $(2.2 \pm 0.1)$ :1.<sup>11</sup> The anion and the H<sup>+</sup> fluxes vary with substitution of Cl<sup>-</sup> for other anion species in the solution, such as F<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and SCN<sup>-</sup>. Both F<sup>-</sup> and H<sup>+</sup> fluxes through ClC-ec1 are blocked in the F<sup>-</sup>/ H<sup>+</sup> case.<sup>12</sup> The rates of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and the SCN<sup>-</sup> fluxes are similar to Cl<sup>-</sup>, but the H<sup>+</sup> flux is either decreased or blocked in the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> and the SCN<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> cases, respectively.<sup>8</sup> Jiang et al.<sup>13</sup> suggested explanations for the experimentally observed change of the H<sup>+</sup> flux with different anions by using classical (nonreactive) MD simulations, where the water structure between E148 and E203 is partially disconnected with the binding of F<sup>-</sup> or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the central site, and mostly eliminated with the binding of SCN<sup>-</sup>.

To reveal important residues for proton transport (PT), sitedirected mutagenesis experiments have targeted several Glu and Asp residues.<sup>15,16</sup> These studies showed that H<sup>+</sup> flux was blocked while Cl- flux was still observed in the E148A and E203Q mutants. In addition, the Cl<sup>-</sup> uptake rate was increased at low pH in the E203Q mutant, similar to WT, but became pH-independent in the E148A mutant. Interestingly, while no proton flux was observed for E148A with or without Cl<sup>-</sup> in the system, Feng et al.<sup>17</sup> found that addition of free glutamate to the solution rescued proton flux in the E148A mutant in the absence of Cl<sup>-</sup>. Their X-ray crystal structure of E148A mutant with glutamate bound showed that the carboxyl group of the glutamate occupies the central site (S<sub>cen</sub>) and is position to function like E148 in the WT, acting as both proton donor and acceptor in the PT process. Several key steps in the Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange process were proposed based on these and other experimental findings: (1) E148 (Gluex) and E203 (Gluin) participate in the PT process, although Glu at position 203 is not essential for PT in ClC-ec1<sup>16</sup> and other ClC proteins,<sup>18,19</sup> and (2) protonation of E148 opens the extracellular gate and allows Cl<sup>-</sup> transport, and (3) the Cl<sup>-</sup> and H<sup>+</sup> transport pathways overlap from E148 to the extracellular solution, as shown in Figure 1, but diverge below E148.<sup>14,15</sup> As previously noted, transport can occur in the direction shown in Figure 1B or the opposite direction, and researchers have proposed fully reversible transport mechanisms.<sup>11,12,18</sup>

While these studies and others provided crucial insight into the exchange mechanism, remaining uncertainties resulted in different proposals for the elementary steps.<sup>1</sup> For example, some researchers proposed that PT in the central region (between E203 and E248) occurs with Cl<sup>-</sup> occupying the central site  $(S_{cen})$ ,<sup>12</sup> while others proposed PT occurs without Cl<sup>-</sup> at  $S_{cen}$  (Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>).<sup>17,18</sup> This question prompted our previous study of PT in the central region,20 in which we modeled PT between these residues with and without Cl-cen. We found that Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> lowers the free energy barrier for PT from E203 to E148. However, the calculated time scale for PT for both cases was significantly faster than the experimentally measured turnover rate for the overall PT process, indicating that PT from E203 to E148 can occur regardless of whether  $Cl_{cen}^{-}$  is present.<sup>20</sup> These findings raised the question of which PT step would be ratedetermining and how PT could be coupled to Cl<sup>-</sup> transport, motivating the present study.

The full PT pathway through the protein includes transit beyond the central region: (1) from the solution on the intracellular side of the protein to E203 and (2) from E148 to the solution on the extracellular side. The latter step is more likely to be coupled with  $Cl^-$  since the  $Cl^-$  and  $H^+$  transport pathways fully overlap in this region (Figure 1B), while E203 is separated from the central Cl<sup>-</sup> binding site by  $\sim 10$  Å. Moreover, unlike E148, residue E203 is not strictly conserved in CLC, suggesting that its function is less critical.<sup>15-17</sup> Thus, herein we focus on PT from E148 to the extracellular solution and assume that the rate of step 1 is relatively fast. Using enhanced free energy sampling coupled with multiscale reactive molecular dynamics (MS-RMD),<sup>21-24</sup> we calculate the free energy profiles (potentials of mean force, PMFs) for PT from E148 to the extracellular bulk in the presence and absence of the  $\mbox{Cl}^-_{\mbox{\tiny cen}}.$  We show that this step has a rate constant that is similar to that inferred from the overall measured PT rate, suggesting that it is rate-determining during PT from the intrato extracellular bulk. However, the barriers are asymmetric with respect to directionality, and the smallest calculated rate constant for PT from the extra- to intracellular bulk is for transport from E148 to E203. Thus, in either direction, E148 deprotonation is likely rate limiting for PT, and as we will show later in this paper, this step is significantly facilitated by the presence of Cl-<sub>cen</sub>. We further identify an essential mechanism of Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> coupling: in the absence of Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>, E148 is stabilized in the down conformation, effectively blocking PT from intrato extracellular solution, thus confirming a hypothesis put forth by Feng et al.<sup>17</sup>

As mentioned above, experiments<sup>7,12,15</sup> have shown that the E148A mutant cannot transport protons but it allows pHindependent Cl<sup>-</sup> flux. To help explain this puzzling result, PMFs for PT from E203 to extracellular bulk were also calculated in the E148A mutant both in the presence and absence of Cl-cen. Our results show that PT past A148 is effectively blocked for both cases, in agreement with experimental findings. Since the residues near E148 are mainly hydrophobic, the extracellular water molecules are separated from those that can fill the central region in the WT system. E148 transfers a proton through this region by rotating its side chain from the central waters to the external waters. However, the cavity near A148 in the E148A mutant remains dehydrated, and the barrier for the hydrated excess proton to pass by the unprotonatable alanine residue is greatly increased, becoming effectively insurmountable over any physiologically relevant pH range.

## METHODS

The details for the system setup and the parametrization of MS-RMD model are described in detail in the Supporting Information (SI). Briefly, the system is based on the ClC-ec1 dimer structure (PDB ID 10TS)<sup>14</sup> and modeled with the CHARMM force field.<sup>25,26</sup> The simulation was performed with the RAPTOR software<sup>21</sup> to implement the MS-RMD description of PT, interfaced with the LAMMPS MD package (http://lammps.sandia.gov).<sup>27</sup> Initial configurations for the simulations were obtained from a previous study of this system.<sup>20</sup> The error bars on the PMF calculations were estimated using block averaging by dividing each trajectory into four consecutive blocks.

**E148 Rotation and Deprotonation Reaction Paths.** The PT mechanism from E148 to the extracellular solution was studied by calculating the PMFs for a two-step process: the rotation of the E148 side chain from its down to up conformation, followed by the deprotonation of E148 to the extracellular solution through intervening water molecules. The two steps were described by a single continuous collective variable (CV), which was the curvilinear pathway of the protonic center of the excess charge (CEC), following similar procedures previously described<sup>24</sup> with additional details provided in the SI. Briefly, the path was identified by adding biases along the *z*-axis according to the metadynamics algorithm<sup>28,29</sup> implemented in the PLUMED package,<sup>30</sup> with wall potentials

preventing sampling regions far from the protein pores, as described in the SI. The curvilinear pathways with either  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{cen}}$  present or absent are shown in Figure 2. We note that the channel pore size is narrow at



**Figure 2.** Curvilinear PT pathway for the CEC when  $Cl_{cen}^-$  is present (A) or absent (B): PT from E203 to E148 (red), the rotation of protonated E148 (yellow), and PT from E148 to the extracellular side (blue). E148 is shown in the "up" conformation on the left (A) and in the "down" conformation on the right (B).

E148 but gradually increases as it goes to the extracellular solution. At the region above E148, the helical kink in panel B indicates a more complex pathway, where the excess proton migrates through various water molecules to the extracellular solution, which is not pertinent to the study of PT through the protein. To limit sampling to relevant regions (which also limits the magnitude of changes to the tangent direction), the subsequent umbrella sampling simulations (described next) used to determine the PMF employ a cylindrical potential to confine the sampling space to the most relevant region as the pore size increased, as further detailed in the SI.

**E148 Rotation and Deprotonation PMF Calculations.** The conformations along each PT pathway were sampled using the replica exchange umbrella sampling (REUS) method.<sup>31</sup> Windows were separated by 0.25 Å in the *z* direction of the CV, defined as the distance of the CEC from E148 along the curvilinear pathway described in the previous subsection, with the direction of the harmonic umbrella potential defined by the tangent vector of the path at the window center.<sup>29</sup> The force constant of the harmonic potential was set to 30 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>·Å<sup>-2</sup>. A cylindrical wall potential with a 5 Å radius was added to the direction perpendicular to the pathway as the proton entered bulk solution in line with previous ion channel PMF studies.<sup>32,33</sup> The PMFs were calculated using WHAM<sup>34</sup> combining the separate PMFs from the two MS-RMD models as described in the SI.

E148A Reaction Path and Proton Transport PMF Calculations. The PMF for PT in E148A mutant was calculated with a similar procedure as that used for WT but with a single MS-RMD model and a wider range of CV values: where the excess proton is transferred from E203, through the central region via water molecules, past A148, and then to the extracellular solution. We employed the MS-RMD model for E203 from our previous work<sup>20</sup> as residue 148 is approximately ~15 Å from E203 and thus does not significantly change the electrostatic environment that primarily determines its MS-RMD parameters. A148 was treated by the CHARMM classical force field. The initial configurations for the metadynamics simulations to obtain the curvilinear paths were obtained from the WT simulations, after mutating residue 148 to alanine and equilibrating with classical MD for 1 ns. Then the PMF for PT from E203 to the extracellular solution was calculated using WHAM<sup>34</sup> from REUS along the curvilinear path determined from the metadynamics simulations, with both Cl-cen present and absent, consistent with the procedure described for the WT protein.

Proton Transport Rate Constants and  $pK_a$  Calculations. The PT rate constants were estimated using transition state theory as follows, <sup>20,35</sup>

$$k_{\rm rxn} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta F^{\ddagger}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) \tag{1}$$

where  $k_{\rm B}$  is Boltzmann's constant, *T* is the simulation temperature (300 K), and  $\Delta F^{\ddagger}$  is the free energy barrier height in the PMF. The fundamental frequency  $\omega_0$  is that of the reactant state oscillations around its minimum, which is defined as

$$\omega_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{PMF}(r) / \partial r^{2}}{m_{\mathrm{eff}}}} \bigg|_{r=r_{0}}$$
(2)

where  $r_0$  is the local minimum in the PMFs. The effective mass of the excess proton CEC,  $m_{\rm eff}$  was determined using the equipartition theorem,  $m_{\rm eff}\langle v^2 \rangle = k_{\rm B}T/2$ , where the value of  $\langle v^2 \rangle$  was calculated from the MS-RMD trajectory sampled at  $r_0$ . More detailed calculations of the kinetic rate constants, including calculating the diffusion coefficient for the proton and its mean first passage time, are included in the SI.

The  $pK_a$  of E148 was estimated using the equation for calculating the equilibrium constant of binding of the substrate at the binding site of the protein, based on the one-dimensional PMF for the substrate moving along the channel axis with the cylindrical potential applied at the channel entrance:<sup>36</sup>

$$K_{a}^{-1} = C^{0} e^{\Delta G_{\text{site}}/(k_{\text{B}}T)} \pi r_{c}^{2} \int_{\text{site}} \mathrm{d}z \ e^{-[w(z) - w_{\text{ref}}]/(k_{\text{B}}T)}$$
(3)

where the substrate is the excess proton and the binding site is E148. Here,  $C^0$  is the standard state concentration (1 M = 1/1660 Å<sup>-3</sup>), and  $\Delta G_{\text{site}}$  is the free energy cost introduced by the cylindrical potential at the substrate binding site (the CEC is at E148.). The value of  $\Delta G_{\text{site}}$  is zero in this case, because the sampling area for the CEC at E148 is smaller than the radius of the cylindrical potential, and no bias is felt by the CEC at this region. The quantity  $r_c$  is the radius of the cylindrical potential, which is set to be 5 Å. The quantity w(z) is the one-dimensional PMF as a function of the CV, z, which is the distance of the CEC along the curvilinear pathway, while  $w_{ref}$  is the asymptotic value of w(z), when the excess proton is at a long distance away in the extracellular solution. When the Boltzmann factor of w(z) is integrated, the lower boundary for z is placed at the position of E148. The  $pK_a$  of E148 is insensitive to the choice of the upper boundary for  $z_r$ , since the Boltzmann factor of w(z) is quickly converged as the z value goes to the extracellular solution; the  $pK_a$  of E148 changes only 0.001 when the upper boundary is set to be any zvalue between 2 Å above the lower boundary and the extracellular solution.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proton Transport between the Central Region and Extracellular Bulk Water Region. The PMFs for PT from E148 in the central region to the extracellular solution with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> either present or absent (Figure 3) reveal that PT in this region occurs via a two-step process: (1) the change of the orientation of E148 side chain from the down to the up conformation and (2) the deprotonation of E148 in the up conformation followed by PT to the extracellular solution. The structures of the down and up minima are shown in Figure 4. In the down orientation (Figure 4A,C), the carboxyl group of E148 is hydrogen bonded to water molecules in the central region, with either Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present or absent. To move to the up conformation (Figure 4B,D), the carboxyl group breaks the hydrogen bonds with the water molecules in the pore (corresponding with the barrier in the PMF between the two local minima) and then makes new hydrogen bonds with the water molecules from the extracellular solution. Thus, E148 both separates the water molecules in the pore from those leading to extracellular solution and acts as a bridge for the excess proton to cross this region. Following the rotation of the protonated E148 side chain, E148 must deprotonate (surmounting an additional energy barrier) to complete the transfer to the extracellular bulk water.



The distance along the MetaD pathway (Å)

Figure 3. PMFs for a two-step PT process with  $Cl_{cen}^-$  present (blue) or absent (red), including the rotation of E148 from the down to the up conformations, followed by the deprotonation of E148 to the extracellular solution. The PMF energies are calculated relative to the minimum free energy. The reaction coordinate for each PMF is defined as the distance along the MetaD pathway. The barrier between states "B" and "C" corresponds to deprotonation; E148 is protonated to the left of this barrier (including states "A" and "B") and deprotonated to the right (state "C"). The MetaD pathway for each PMF is shown in Figure 2A for the case with  $Cl_{cen}^-$  present and Figure 2B for that with  $Cl_{cen}^-$  absent.



**Figure 4.** Representative configurations for the local energy minima of the PMFs in Figure 2, with  $Cl_{cen}$  present (A, B) or absent (C, D) and with E148 in the down (A, C) or the up conformation (B, D). The carboxyl group of protonated E148 forms a hydrogen bond with the water molecule in the blue dashed circle in each panel.

Both the energy well depth and position of the protonated side chain in the pore differ between the  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{cen}}$  present and absent cases. As shown in Figure 4C, when  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{cen}}$  is absent and E148 is down, it occupies the vacated central site ( $S_{\text{cen}}$ ) and is 6.3 kcal/mol more favored than the up conformation. When  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{cen}}$  is present, it sterically prevents E148 from occupying  $S_{\text{cen}}$ , keeping the E148 up/down conformational and energy change relatively small. We also calculated the PMF for rotation of deprotonated E148 in the absence of  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{cen}}$  (Figure S5), which showed that the down conformation of E148 (where the negatively charged side chain gets close to  $S_{\text{cen}}$ ) is ~10 kcal/mol energetically more favorable than the up conformation.

When E148 is protonated, the down conformation in the absence of  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{cen}}$  is stabilized by only ~6 kcal/mol. The greater stabilization of the negatively charged state of E148 is consistent with a previous computational study<sup>37</sup> that calculated the electrostatic potential energy profile along the Cl<sup>-</sup> pathway, finding that Cl<sup>-</sup> at the S<sub>cen</sub> site is stabilized by a surrounding net positive charge.

X-ray crystal structures can represent snapshots of a protein's conformational change at different intermediate states. Thus, Figure S6 compares the simulation intermediates found herein to three different crystal structures: WT of ClC-ec1 (PDB ID 10TS),<sup>14</sup> E148Q mutant of ClC-ec1 (10TU),<sup>14</sup> and WT of cmClC (3ORG).<sup>18</sup> These crystal structures capture different conformations of E148 and different anion occupancy in the external, central, and internal sites  $(S_{ext}, S_{cen}, and S_{int})$ . Residue Q148 in the E148Q mutant is considered a mimic of the protonated state of E148 in WT. The 1OTU crystal structure (Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present) overlaps well with the simulation structure taken from the window at the local energy minima for up conformation of E148 in the PMF with  $Cl_{cen}^{-}$  present. The WT crystal structure 1OTS (Cl-cen present) overlaps well with down conformation from the same PMF. Since the two conformations are nearly isoenergetic, it is not surprising that E148Q aligns better with the E148-up simulation conformation. Finally, 3ORG (Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> absent) overlaps well with the simulation structure of E148 in the down conformation from the PMF with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> absent. The E148 up conformation with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> absent is a higher energy state that is unlikely to be captured in a crystal structure.

The presence of Cl-cen changes not only the dominant conformations of E148 but also the energetics of rotation and deprotonation. Focusing first on the deprotonation of E148 toward extracellular solution, the PMFs plateau at x > 16 Å along the pathway (CV), where the excess proton is no longer interacting with the protein. The height of the free energy barrier for the second step (deprotonation) is higher with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present (13.1 kcal/mol) compared to that with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> absent (9.3 kcal/mol). Since  $S_{cen}$  site is ~4 Å below E148, it follows that deprotonation (excess proton moving away from  $Cl_{cen}^{-}$ ) will be more difficult in the presence of  $Cl_{cen}^{-}$ . Note that in the opposite direction (extra- to intracellular) the opposite is true, as shown in our previous work.<sup>20</sup> Since the excess proton moves toward S<sub>cen</sub> during PT from E148 to E203, the presence of Cl-<sub>cen</sub> facilitates E148 deprotonation. Once the cost of rotation is factored in, the presence of Cl-cen also facilitates PT from E148 to extracellular solution. The total free energy difference between the minimum in the PMFs in Figure 3 (protonated E148 in the down position) and the maximum (deprotonation of E148 in the up position) is higher with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> absent (15.7 kcal/mol) than with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present (13.5 kcal/mol). The reason the presence of an anion in one position (at S<sub>cen</sub>) can have the same facilitating effect on PT in opposite directions is due to the rotation of E148 and steric competition between Cl<sup>-</sup> and E148 for  $S_{cen.}$  As discussed earlier, the down conformation of E148 is energetically favored in the absence of Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>, but the down rotation of E148 is sterically blocked by the presence of  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{cen}}$ , minimizing the cost of E148 rotation from the pore-facing ("down") to the extracellular-facing ("up") conformation.

The effective rate constant,  $k_{\text{eff}}$  was calculated to obtain the rate constant of the two-step (rotation and deprotonation) process:  $k_{\text{eff}} = k_2 k_1 / k_{-1}$ , assuming that the first step quickly reaches quasiequilibrium compared to the second  $(k_{-1} \gg k_2)$ ,

#### Journal of the American Chemical Society

CIC-ec1 WT CIC-ec1 E148A Mutant k₁ k2 k<sub>E203nrc</sub> Intracellular E148 E148 Extracellular Extracellula E203 E203 A148 solution down solution solution up k.1 k.2 k<sub>E203deprot</sub> k<sub>-eff</sub>

**Figure 5.** Schematic representation of the PT mechanism in ClC-ec1 WT (left) and its E148A mutant (right). The arrows indicate the direction of the  $H^+$  flux in elementary PT steps, with labels corresponding to those in Table 1. The gray arrows represent relatively large rate coefficients, blue represent putative rate-limiting steps, and red represent steps that effectively block PT due to their low rate coefficients (see values in Table 1).

|                                     | Cl <sup>-</sup> <sub>cen</sub> | PMF figure | reaction step       | $k ({\rm ms}^{-1})$             | $\Delta F^{\ddagger}$ (kcal/mol) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| WT (outward $H^+$ flux)             | present                        | ref 20     | $k_{\rm cen}$       | $(6.4 \pm 1.5) \times 10^5$     | 5.9                              |
|                                     |                                | Figure 3   | $k_1$               | $(3.5 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{6}$   | 5.0                              |
|                                     |                                |            | $k_2$               | $1.8 \pm 0.7$                   | 13.0                             |
|                                     |                                |            | $k_{ m eff}$        | $0.81 \pm 0.32$                 |                                  |
|                                     | absent                         | ref 20     | $k_{cen}$           | $(2.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^2$     | 10.9                             |
|                                     |                                | Figure 3   | $k_1$               | $(1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{1}$   | 11.4                             |
|                                     |                                |            | $k_2$               | $(1.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^3$     | 9.4                              |
|                                     |                                |            | $k_{ m eff}$        | $(7.7 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$  |                                  |
| WT (inward H <sup>+</sup> flux)     | present                        | ref 20     | $k_{-cen}$          | $0.34 \pm 0.17$                 | 13.8                             |
|                                     |                                | Figure 3   | $k_{-1}$            | $(8.0 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{6}$   | 4.1                              |
|                                     |                                |            | $k_{-2}$            | $(3.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^3$     |                                  |
|                                     |                                |            | $k_{-\mathrm{eff}}$ | $(3.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^3$     |                                  |
|                                     | absent                         | ref 20     | $k_{-cen}$          | $(2.9 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$  | 18.0                             |
|                                     |                                | Figure 3   | $k_{-1}$            | $(2.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{6}$   | 4.8                              |
|                                     |                                |            | $k_{-2}$            | $(4.3 \pm 1.2) \times 10^2$     |                                  |
|                                     |                                |            | $k_{- m eff}$       | $(4.3 \pm 1.2) \times 10^2$     |                                  |
| E148A (outward H <sup>+</sup> flux) | present                        | Figure 6   | $k_{ m cen}$        | $(1.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-7}$  | 22.7                             |
|                                     | absent                         | Figure 6   | $k_{ m cen}$        | $(3.2 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-11}$ | 27.5                             |
| E148A (inward H <sup>+</sup> flux)  | present                        | Figure 6   | $k_{-cen}$          | $(8.2 \pm 4.0) \times 10^{-4}$  | 17.3                             |
|                                     | absent                         | Figure 6   | $k_{-cen}$          | $(2.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6}$  | 20.9                             |

| Table 1. Rate Constants for P1 in the w1 CIC-ec1 and its E148A Mutan | Table | e 1. I | Rate | Constants | for | РТ | in | the | WT | ClC-ec1 | and | Its | E148A | Mutan | ť |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|------|-----------|-----|----|----|-----|----|---------|-----|-----|-------|-------|---|
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|------|-----------|-----|----|----|-----|----|---------|-----|-----|-------|-------|---|

<sup>*a*</sup>The outward H<sup>+</sup> flux in the first column indicates that the direction of the H<sup>+</sup> flux is from the intracellular to the extracellular side of the protein, and the inward H<sup>+</sup> flux indicates the opposite direction. The rate constants for the inward H<sup>+</sup> flux in the WT enzyme were calculated from PMFs in a previous study.<sup>20</sup> The units of  $k_{-2}$  and  $k_{-eff}$  are ms<sup>-1</sup>·mM<sup>-1</sup>, and those for all others are ms<sup>-1</sup>. Error in the rate constant was estimated by calculating the rate constant in four consecutive blocks in the trajectories for each window. The experimental value for the turnover rate for PT is 1.0 ms<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>10,11</sup> The "reaction step" name corresponds to the diagram in Figure 5.

where  $k_1$  and  $k_{-1}$  are the forward and the backward rate constants for the first step in the PMF, and  $k_2$  is for the forward rate constant for the second step (Figure 5).

Table 1 shows that  $k_{\rm eff}$  with  ${\rm Cl}^-{}_{\rm cen}$  present is 0.81 ms<sup>-1</sup> and with  ${\rm Cl}^-{}_{\rm cen}$  absent is 7.7 × 10<sup>-3</sup> ms<sup>-1</sup>. The value of  $k_{\rm eff}$  with  ${\rm Cl}^-{}_{\rm cen}$  present is comparable to the experimental value of the turnover rate for the overall PT process, 1.0 ms<sup>-111,16</sup> (calculated using the Cl<sup>-</sup> turnover rate of 2.3 ms<sup>-1</sup> and the Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange ratio of 2.2:1). Thus, when the overall PT process is described in the direction from the intra- to extracellular side of the protein, as shown in Figure 1B, PT from E148 to the extracellular region with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present is a likely candidate for the rate-limiting step for the overall PT process. In contrast,  $k_{\rm eff}$  with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> absent is on the order of s<sup>-1</sup>, which may be too slow to be measured in conventional experimental techniques, as it would be difficult to separate from the background leak current through the membrane.<sup>8,9,16,38</sup>

It is known that the  $Cl^-/H^+$  exchange mechanism can operate in both directions,<sup>15,39</sup> where the overall H<sup>+</sup> flux goes from the intracellular to the extracellular side of the protein (outward H<sup>+</sup> flux) or in the opposite direction (inward H<sup>+</sup> flux), depending on the directionalities of the concentration gradients of Cl<sup>-</sup> and H<sup>+</sup>. The energy barriers for PT between the central region and the extracellular solution (Figure 3) and between E148 and E203 in the central region (our previous study) are highly asymmetric. For the outward H<sup>+</sup> flux (the direction shown in Figure 1B), our previous study<sup>20</sup> showed that PT from E203 to E148 is unlikely to be rate-limiting, regardless of the presence of Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>. This study indicates that the combined PT steps of E148 rotation and deprotonation to the extracellular solution are likely rate-limiting for outward proton flux, facilitated by Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>. Figure 5 shows a schematic representation of the PT mechanisms in both directions with the calculated rate constants of each step.

However, the rate-limiting steps are likely reversed in the opposite direction. For the inward H<sup>+</sup> flux, the PT rate constant from E148 to E203 with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present is 0.34 ms<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 5), which is comparable to the experimental PT turnover rate. With Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> absent, it is  $2.9 \times 10^{-4}$  ms<sup>-1</sup> decreasing PT from E148 to E203 below detectable levels. For PT from the extracellular solution to the central region (right to left in Figure 3), the  $k_{\rm eff}$  is estimated at  $3.0 \times 10^3$  ms<sup>-1</sup>·mM<sup>-1</sup> with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present and  $4.3 \times 10^2$  ms<sup>-1</sup>·mM<sup>-1</sup> with it absent, which are second order rate constants depending both on protein binding site availability and the proton concentration in the

Article

extracellular solution. Thus, for the inward  $H^+$  flux, PT from E148 to E203 has the smallest rate constant and is again facilitated by  $Cl^-_{cen}$ .

The  $pK_a$  of E148 was calculated using eq 3 at the local energy minima in the PMF for the up and the down conformations of E148. The  $pK_a$  of E148 with  $Cl_{cen}$  present is 6.9 when E148 is in the down conformation, and 6.4 for the E148 up conformation. The  $pK_a$  of E148 with  $Cl_{cen}$  absent is 6.8 for down and 2.6 for the up conformation. As previously noted, the E148 up conformation with  $Cl_{cen}$  absent represents a high energy state that does not significantly contribute to the ensemble of states and thus contributes little to the overall proton binding affinity of E148. The  $pK_a$  values at other conformational states are comparable to the experimental  $pK_a$ value of 6.2,<sup>40</sup> providing validation of the PMFs presented here.

**Proton Transport in the E148A Mutant.** PT was also simulated for the ClC-ec1 E148A mutant with  $Cl_{cen}^-$  both present and absent, where the excess proton is transferred from E203, through the central region, and to the extracellular solution. The PMFs for E148A mutant show that the free energy barrier is decreased with  $Cl_{cen}^-$  present by 5.1 kcal/mol (Figure 6). This difference is similar to that in the PMFs for WT in the central region, where the free energy barrier for PT from E203 to E148 is decreased by 5.0 kcal/mol.<sup>20</sup>



**Figure 6.** PMF for PT in the E148A mutant, from E203 to the extracellular region, with  $Cl^{-}_{cen}$  present (blue) and absent (red). The free energy barrier shown corresponds to E203 deprotonation, with the minimum free energy well to the left of the barrier corresponding to protonated E203, and the right side of the plot corresponds to the deprotonated state, with the excess proton in the extracellular solution.

In the WT protein, the excess proton is transferred through the narrow region above  $S_{cen}$  by E148, while protonated E148 rotates between the central region and the extracellular solution. However, in the E148A mutant, A148 is nonprotonatable and the region around A148 is narrow and dehydrated. Therefore, the free energy cost required for the excess proton transfer to the extracellular solution is greatly increased. The free energy maxima in the PMFs correspond to the point at which the excess proton is located in a narrow pore near A148. The PMFs with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present or absent show that the free energy barriers are high enough to reduce PT to lower than background levels in both outward and inward H<sup>+</sup> fluxes, regardless of the presence of Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>. Our results agree with the experimental finding<sup>7,15</sup> that PT is unobservable in the E148A mutant regardless of the presence of  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{cen}}$ .

As previously noted, this mutant is especially intriguing due to the finding that H<sup>+</sup> flux can be rescued by adding free glutamate to the solution in the absence of Cl<sup>-.17</sup> Feng et al. solved the crystal structure for this mutant and found the carboxyl group of the glutamate from solution bound to the S<sub>cen</sub> site. Its position was similar to the "down" conformation of the WT E148 with Cl-cen absent shown in Figure 4C. We expect that the binding of the glutamate to S<sub>cen</sub> in E148A mutant may be energetically less favorable than the down conformation of E148 in WT, due to steric hindrance between the substrate and the surrounding protein residues. Assuming that (1) the difference between two systems only locally affects the PMF for PT in E148A when the glutamate is bound to S<sub>cen</sub> (corresponding to the E148 down conformation in the WT PMF with  $Cl_{cen}^{-}$  absent in Figure 3) and (2) the binding of the glutamate in the E148A mutant is destabilized by  $\sim$ 3-4 kcal/ mol compared to WT, decreasing the free energy barrier for PT via glutamate, then the rate constant would be  $\sim 150-780$ -fold greater than that in WT, allowing the H<sup>+</sup> flux in the E148A mutant to be observed in experiment. As the glutamate ion binds less strongly to S<sub>cen</sub> than Cl<sup>-</sup>, the free glutamate could only occupy this site in the absence of Cl<sup>-</sup>. This would explain the only observed PT through ClC-ec1 (in the E148A mutant + glutamate) is in the absence of Cl<sup>-</sup>.

## CONCLUSIONS

Our multiscale simulations were performed to investigate the ClC-ec1 PT mechanism from E148 to the extracellular solution, with and without Cl<sup>-</sup> bound at  $S_{cen}$ . It was found to consist of two elementary steps: rotation of E148 from the "down" to "up" conformations, followed by deprotonation of E148 to the extracellular region. The two-step process was described by the curvilinear pathway followed by the excess proton, providing a single continuous CV that was sampled to collect a continuous PMF for this process.

Our calculations of the PT PMFs and the rate constants with either Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present or absent suggest that a (perhaps the) key mechanism of Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> coupling in ClC-ec1 is that Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> significantly facilitates the deprotonation of E148. For the outward flux with Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> present, the calculated effective rate constant for this two-step process was comparable to the experimentally observed overall PT rate, suggesting that this PT step is rate-limiting. When Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub> is absent, E148 is stabilized in the down conformation, bound to the S<sub>cen</sub> site where further PT steps are effectively blocked and the calculated PT rate constant is below the experimentally measurable range.

The Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange mechanism can also operate in the opposite direction. For the inward H<sup>+</sup> flux (the outward Cl<sup>-</sup> flux), the rate-limiting step for the overall PT is likely PT from E148 to E203, which is also facilitated by Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>. Thus, an essential molecular mechanism of the Cl<sup>-</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> coupling is E148 rotation/deprotonation, which is facilitated by the presence of Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>. In addition, the simulation structures at the up and the down conformations of E148 are consistent with several X-ray crystal structures showing the conformational change of E148. Furthermore, the pK<sub>a</sub> of E148 calculated from the PMF agrees well with the experimentally determined value.

It has been proposed that PT in ClC-ec1 could be coupled with other protein conformational changes, larger than the rotation of E148, outside of the central region. The crystal structures of ClC proteins have not revealed any large-scale conformational change among different structures, unlike other transporters.<sup>1</sup> However, experimental<sup>11,41–43</sup> and computational<sup>43,44</sup> studies indicate conformational changes that are coupled with transport of Cl<sup>-</sup> and H<sup>+</sup>, although the details of the changes are still uncertain. Although the results presented herein are not in conflict with these studies, they do suggest that one aspect of H<sup>+</sup>/Cl<sup>-</sup> coupling (the dependence of PT on Cl<sup>-</sup> occupancy) does not require larger conformational changes. Future studies that are able to provide information about the magnitude of the protein conformational change and its influence on ion flux, will further improve our understanding of this intriguing protein.

The PMF for PT was also calculated in E148A mutant, from E203 through the central region and to the extracellular solution. The free energy barrier for PT is increased compared to the WT protein when the proton passes through the narrow, dehydrated region around A148. The resulting PMFs showed that the free energy barrier for PT is high enough to reduce the PT in the E148A mutant to below detectable limits in both directions of the H<sup>+</sup> flux, regardless of the presence of Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>cen</sub>. The simulation results agree with the experimental findings for E148A mutant, where PT is not observed, although Cl<sup>-</sup> can passively transit through the protein.

Collectively, our results suggest that the rate-limiting step for PT through ClC-ec1 requires the presence of  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{cen}}$  and depends on the direction of flow: for outward flux, the smallest calculated rate constant corresponds to E148 deprotonation to the extracellular solution, while for inward flux, the smallest rate constant comes from deprotonation of E148 to E203 in the central region. This work and previous studies have elucidated many elementary steps in the  $\text{Cl}^-/\text{H}^+$  exchange mechanism.<sup>20,45</sup> Our future efforts will aim to determine how they combine to produce the macroscopically observable protein activity, such as the stoichiometric exchange ratio that remains consistent at different external ion concentrations.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b06683.

Additional details such as for the system setup and the procedure of the parametrization of MS-RMD model for E148, additional kinetic analysis, seven figures, and one table (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

## **Corresponding Author**

\*gavoth@uchicago.edu

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor Christopher Miller of Brandeis University and Professor Alessio Accardi of Cornell University for their invaluable input on this work. The personnel in this research were supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH Grant R01-GM053148). The computational resources in this research were provided by the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by National Science Foundation Grant Number ACI-1053575, the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) High Performance Computing Modernization Program at the Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC), and Navy DOD Supercomputing Resource Centers, the University of Chicago Research Computing Center (RCC), and the NIH through resources provided by the Computation Institute and the Biological Sciences Division of the University of Chicago and Argonne National Laboratory, under Grant 1S10OD018495-01.

### REFERENCES

- (1) Accardi, A. J. Physiol. 2015, 593, 4129.
- (2) Pusch, M. Biochemistry 2004, 43, 1135.
- (3) Chen, T. Y. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 2005, 67, 809.
- (4) Dutzler, R. FEBS Lett. 2007, 581, 2839.
- (5) Accardi, A.; Lobet, S.; Williams, C.; Miller, C.; Dutzler, R. J. Mol. Biol. 2006, 362, 691.
- (6) Jentsch, T. J. J. Physiol. 2015, 593, 4091.
- (7) Accardi, A.; Miller, C. Nature 2004, 427, 803.
- (8) Nguitragool, W.; Miller, C. J. Mol. Biol. 2006, 362, 682.
- (9) Walden, M.; Accardi, A.; Wu, F.; Xu, C.; Williams, C.; Miller, C. J. Gen. Physiol. 2007, 129, 317.
- (10) Lim, H. H.; Shane, T.; Miller, C. PLoS Biol. 2012, 10, e1001441.
  (11) Basilio, D.; Noack, K.; Picollo, A.; Accardi, A. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2014, 21, 456.
- (12) Lim, H.-H.; Stockbridge, R. B.; Miller, C. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2013, 9, 721.
- (13) Jiang, T.; Han, W.; Maduke, M.; Tajkhorshid, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3066.

(14) Dutzler, R.; Campbell, E. B.; MacKinnon, R. Science 2003, 300, 108.

(15) Accardi, A.; Walden, M.; Nguitragool, W.; Jayaram, H.; Williams, C.; Miller, C. J. Gen. Physiol. 2005, 126, 563.

- (16) Lim, H. H.; Miller, C. J. Gen. Physiol. 2009, 133, 131.
- (17) Feng, L.; Campbell, E. B.; MacKinnon, R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2012, 109, 11699.
- (18) Feng, L.; Campbell, E. B.; Hsiung, Y.; MacKinnon, R. Science 2010, 330, 635.
- (19) Zdebik, A. A.; Zifarelli, G.; Bergsdorf, E.-Y.; Soliani, P.; Scheel, O.; Jentsch, T. J.; Pusch, M. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 4219.
- (20) Lee, S.; Swanson, J. M. J.; Voth, G. A. Biophys. J. **2016**, 110,
- 1334.

(21) Yamashita, T.; Peng, Y.; Knight, C.; Voth, G. A. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2012, 8, 4863.

- (22) Knight, C.; Lindberg, G. E.; Voth, G. A. J. Chem. Phys. 2012, 137, 22A525.
- (23) Nelson, J. G.; Peng, Y.; Silverstein, D. W.; Swanson, J. M. J. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **2014**, 10, 2729.
- (24) Lee, S.; Liang, R.; Voth, G. A.; Swanson, J. M. J. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2016, 12, 879.
- (25) MacKerell, A. D., Jr.; Bashford, D.; Bellott, M.; Dunbrack, R. L., Jr.; Evanseck, J. D.; Field, M. J.; Fischer, S.; Gao, J.; Guo, H.; Ha, S.; Joseph-McCarthy, D.; Kuchnir, L.; Kuczera, K.; Lau, F. T. K.; Mattos, C.; Michnick, S.; Ngo, T.; Nguyen, D. T.; Prodhom, B.; Reiher, W. E., III; Roux, B.; Schlenkrich, M.; Smith, J. C.; Stote, R.; Straub, J.; Watanabe, M.; Wiórkiewicz-Kuczera, J.; Yin, D.; Karplus, M. J. Phys. Chem. B **1998**, *102*, 3586.
- (26) Feller, S. E.; MacKerell, A. D., Jr. J. Phys. Chem. B 2000, 104, 7510.
- (27) Plimpton, S. J. Comput. Phys. 1995, 117, 1.
- (28) Laio, A.; Parrinello, M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2002, 99, 12562.
- (29) Zhang, Y.; Voth, G. A. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, 7, 2277. (30) Tribello, G. A.; Bonomi, M.; Branduardi, D.; Camilloni, C.;
- Bussi, G. Comput. Phys. Commun. 2014, 185, 604.
- (31) Sugita, Y.; Kitao, A.; Okamoto, Y. J. Chem. Phys. 2000, 113, 6042.
- (32) Allen, T. W.; Andersen, O. S.; Roux, B. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 117.
- (33) Gordon, D.; Chen, R.; Chung, S.-H. Physiol. Rev. 2013, 93, 767.

# Journal of the American Chemical Society

- (34) Kumar, S.; Bouzida, D.; Swendsen, R. H.; Kollman, P. A.; Rosenberg, J. M. J. Comput. Chem. **1992**, 13, 1011.
- (35) Chandler, D. Introduction to Modern Statistical Mechanics; Oxford University Press: New York, 1987.
- (36) Roux, B.; Andersen, O. S.; Allen, T. W. J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 227101.
- (37) Yin, J.; Kuang, Z.; Mahankali, U.; Beck, T. L. Proteins: Struct, Funct, Genet. 2004, 57, 414.
- (38) Lisal, J.; Maduke, M. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2008, 15, 805.
- (39) Matulef, K.; Maduke, M. Biophys. J. 2005, 89, 1721.
- (40) Picollo, A.; Xu, Y.; Johner, N.; Berneche, S.; Accardi, A. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2012, 19, 525.
- (41) Bell, S. P.; Curran, P. K.; Choi, S.; Mindell, J. A. *Biochemistry* 2006, 45, 6773.
- (42) Elvington, S. M.; Liu, C. W.; Maduke, M. C. EMBO J. 2009, 28, 3090.
- (43) Khantwal, C. M.; Abraham, S. J.; Han, W.; Jiang, T.; Chavan, T.
- S.; Cheng, R. C.; Elvington, S. M.; Liu, C. W.; Mathews, I. I.; Stein, R.
- A.; McHaourab, H. S.; Tajkhorshid, E.; Maduke, M. eLife 2016, 5, e11189.
- (44) Miloshevsky, G. V.; Hassanein, A.; Jordan, P. C. *Biophys. J.* **2010**, 98, 999.
- (45) Coalson, R. D.; Cheng, M. H. J. Phys. Chem. A 2011, 115, 9633.