

## catena-Poly[[bis(pyridine- $\kappa$ N)nickel(II)]- $\mu$ -oxalato- $\kappa^4$ O<sup>1</sup>,O<sup>2</sup>:O<sup>1'</sup>,O<sup>2'</sup>]

Zhen-Yu Xuan,\* Yong Che and Yong-Chun Huang

Department of Laboratory and Equipment Management, Yanbian University, Yanbian 133002, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zyxuanchem@yahoo.cn


Received 6 July 2008; accepted 12 July 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293$  K; mean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.005$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.042;  $wR$  factor = 0.103; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.5.

The title compound,  $[Ni(C_2O_4)(C_5H_5N)_2]_n$ , was synthesized under hydro(solvo)thermal conditions. The Ni<sup>II</sup> atom, lying on a twofold rotation axis, has an octahedral coordination geometry involving two N atoms from two pyridine ligands and four O atoms from two oxalate ligands. The Ni atoms are connected by the tetradeятate bridging oxalate ligands into a one-dimensional zigzag chain.


### Related literature

For related literature, see: Lu *et al.* (1999); Vaidyanathan *et al.* (2002); Wang *et al.* (2007); Yao *et al.* (2007).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data



$M_r = 304.93$

Monoclinic,  $C2/c$

$a = 14.357$  (3) Å

$b = 10.801$  (2) Å

$c = 8.6669$  (17) Å

$\beta = 91.52$  (3)°

$V = 1343.5$  (5) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 4$

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\mu = 1.45$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 293$  (2) K

0.26 × 0.24 × 0.22 mm

#### Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID  
diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan  
(ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)

$T_{min} = 0.640$ ,  $T_{max} = 0.726$

6433 measured reflections  
1519 independent reflections  
1297 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{int} = 0.041$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$

$wR(F^2) = 0.103$

$S = 1.04$

1519 reflections

87 parameters  
H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.73$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.30$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

**Table 1**  
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ni1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	2.046 (2)	Ni1—N1	2.081 (2)
Ni1—O1	2.0716 (18)		
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	168.78 (10)	O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ni1—N1	93.66 (9)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1	89.88 (7)	O1—Ni1—N1	89.92 (9)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ni1—O1	81.96 (7)	O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—N1	174.81 (8)
O1—Ni1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	86.81 (11)	N1—Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	93.61 (13)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N1	94.02 (8)		

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z$ ; (iii)  $-x + 1, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *PROCESS-AUTO*; data reduction: *PROCESS-AUTO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors thank Yanbian University for supporting this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HY2144).

### References

- Higashi, T. (1995). *ABSCOR*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.  
Lu, J.-Y., Lawandy, M.-A. & Li, J. (1999). *Inorg. Chem.* **38**, 2695–2704.  
Rigaku (1998). *PROCESS-AUTO*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.  
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.  
Vaidyanathan, R., Natarajan, S. & Rao, C. N. R. (2002). *Inorg. Chem.* **41**, 4496–4501.  
Wang, G.-H., Li, Z.-G., Xu, J.-W. & Hu, N.-H. (2007). *Acta Cryst. E* **63**, m289–m291.  
Yao, H.-G., Ji, M., Ji, S.-H. & An, Y.-L. (2007). *Acta Cryst. E* **63**, m1349–m1350.

## **supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2008). E64, m1040 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808021703]

**catena-Poly[[bis(pyridine- $\kappa$ N)nickel(II)]- $\mu$ -oxalato- $\kappa^4$ O<sup>1</sup>,O<sup>2</sup>:O<sup>1'</sup>,O<sup>2'</sup>]**

**Z.-Y. Xuan, Y. Che and Y.-C. Huang**

**Comment**

Much research work has been done on metal–oxalate compounds, in the context of studies of molecular-based magnets and open framework structures (Lu *et al.*, 1999; Yao *et al.*, 2007). The geometrical coordination mode and strength of this ligand provide both rigidity and preferred coordination specificity for metal centers (Vaidhyanathan *et al.*, 2002; Wang *et al.*, 2007). In this paper, we report the hydro(solvo)thermal synthesis and structure of a new one-dimensional nickelous oxalate coordination polymer.

The title compound consists of one Ni<sup>II</sup> atom lying on a twofold rotation axis, an oxalate ligand and two coordinated pyridine molecules (Fig. 1). The Ni<sup>II</sup> atom exhibits a distorted octahedral geometry, defined by four O atoms of two oxalate ligands and two pyridine N atoms in a *cis* arrangement. The Ni—O distances are 2.046 (2) and 2.0716 (18) Å, while the O—Ni—O angles show distortions particularly as a result of chelation (Table 1). The tetradeятate oxalate ligands link adjacent Ni atoms into a one-dimensional zigzag chain.

**Experimental**

A mixture of K<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.037 g, 0.2 mmol), H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.013 g, 0.2 mmol), NiCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (0.033 g, 0.2 mmol), KOH (0.012 g, 0.2 mmol), pyridine (4 ml) and water (8 ml) in a 25 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor was heated from 298 to 393 K in 2 h and maintained at 393 K for 72 h. After the mixture was cooled to 298 K, blue crystals of the title compound were obtained.

**Refinement**

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C—H = 0.93 Å and  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ .

**Figures**




Fig. 1. Part of the polymeric structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) x, 1-y, 1/2+z; (ii) 1-x, 1-y, -z; (iii) 1-x, y, 1/2-z.]

# supplementary materials

---

## catena-Poly[[bis(pyridine- $\kappa$ N)nickel(II)]- $\mu$ -oxalato- $\kappa^4$ O<sup>1</sup>,O<sup>2</sup>:O<sup>1'</sup>,O<sup>2'</sup>]

### Crystal data

[Ni(C <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> )(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> N) <sub>2</sub> ]	$F_{000} = 624$
$M_r = 304.93$	$D_x = 1.508 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 14.357 (3) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 1532 reflections
$b = 10.801 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 3.3\text{--}27.5^\circ$
$c = 8.6669 (17) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 1.45 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 91.52 (3)^\circ$	$T = 293 (2) \text{ K}$
$V = 1343.5 (5) \text{ \AA}^3$	Block, blue
$Z = 4$	$0.26 \times 0.24 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$

### Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer	1519 independent reflections
Radiation source: rotating anode	1297 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.041$
Detector resolution: 10.0 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$
$T = 293(2) \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.3^\circ$
$\omega$ scans	$h = -18 \rightarrow 18$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)	$k = -13 \rightarrow 13$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.640$ , $T_{\text{max}} = 0.726$	$l = -11 \rightarrow 10$
6433 measured reflections	

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.103$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0487P)^2 + 1.5041P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.04$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
1519 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.73 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
87 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.30 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: none

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Ni1	0.5000	0.35667 (4)	0.2500	0.04167 (19)
O1	0.57949 (11)	0.49601 (17)	0.1553 (2)	0.0468 (4)
O2	0.57299 (12)	0.62481 (16)	-0.0453 (2)	0.0465 (4)
N1	0.59041 (14)	0.2248 (2)	0.1633 (3)	0.0491 (5)
C1	0.5679 (2)	0.1569 (3)	0.0391 (5)	0.0726 (10)
H1	0.5088	0.1664	-0.0059	0.090*
C2	0.6274 (3)	0.0743 (4)	-0.0251 (6)	0.0911 (13)
H2	0.6085	0.0290	-0.1116	0.090*
C3	0.7145 (3)	0.0584 (3)	0.0378 (5)	0.0790 (11)
H3	0.7558	0.0019	-0.0040	0.090*
C4	0.7395 (2)	0.1274 (4)	0.1630 (5)	0.0725 (10)
H4	0.7986	0.1190	0.2083	0.090*
C5	0.6764 (2)	0.2103 (3)	0.2231 (4)	0.0592 (8)
H5	0.6946	0.2577	0.3083	0.090*
C6	0.54390 (16)	0.5345 (2)	0.0324 (3)	0.0405 (5)

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Ni1	0.0290 (2)	0.0460 (3)	0.0499 (3)	0.000	-0.00167 (18)	0.000
O1	0.0341 (9)	0.0554 (11)	0.0503 (11)	-0.0087 (7)	-0.0082 (8)	0.0032 (8)
O2	0.0358 (9)	0.0508 (10)	0.0527 (11)	-0.0083 (7)	-0.0047 (8)	0.0002 (8)
N1	0.0351 (11)	0.0486 (12)	0.0637 (15)	0.0030 (9)	0.0034 (10)	0.0006 (10)
C1	0.0480 (17)	0.074 (2)	0.096 (3)	0.0063 (15)	-0.0007 (17)	-0.0296 (19)
C2	0.067 (2)	0.089 (3)	0.118 (3)	0.007 (2)	0.011 (2)	-0.043 (3)
C3	0.060 (2)	0.068 (2)	0.110 (3)	0.0157 (17)	0.025 (2)	-0.009 (2)
C4	0.0438 (16)	0.086 (2)	0.088 (3)	0.0185 (15)	0.0097 (16)	0.021 (2)
C5	0.0401 (14)	0.070 (2)	0.067 (2)	0.0114 (13)	0.0028 (13)	0.0074 (15)
C6	0.0293 (11)	0.0451 (13)	0.0470 (14)	-0.0015 (10)	0.0014 (10)	-0.0054 (11)

*Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )*

Ni1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	2.046 (2)	C1—C2	1.364 (5)
Ni1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.046 (2)	C1—H1	0.9300
Ni1—O1	2.0716 (18)	C2—C3	1.362 (6)
Ni1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.0716 (18)	C2—H2	0.9300
Ni1—N1	2.081 (2)	C3—C4	1.357 (6)
Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.081 (2)	C3—H3	0.9300
O1—C6	1.240 (3)	C4—C5	1.385 (5)
O2—C6	1.263 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300
O2—Ni1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.046 (2)	C5—H5	0.9300
N1—C1	1.335 (4)	C6—C6 <sup>ii</sup>	1.556 (4)
N1—C5	1.336 (3)		

## supplementary materials

---

O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	168.78 (10)	C5—N1—Ni1	121.3 (2)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1	89.88 (7)	N1—C1—C2	123.1 (3)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ni1—O1	81.96 (7)	N1—C1—H1	118.4
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	81.96 (7)	C2—C1—H1	118.4
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ni1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	89.88 (7)	C3—C2—C1	119.9 (4)
O1—Ni1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	86.81 (11)	C3—C2—H2	120.1
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N1	94.02 (8)	C1—C2—H2	120.1
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ni1—N1	93.66 (9)	C4—C3—C2	118.1 (3)
O1—Ni1—N1	89.92 (9)	C4—C3—H3	121.0
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—N1	174.81 (8)	C2—C3—H3	121.0
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	93.66 (9)	C3—C4—C5	119.7 (3)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	94.02 (8)	C3—C4—H4	120.2
O1—Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	174.81 (8)	C5—C4—H4	120.2
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	89.92 (9)	N1—C5—C4	122.4 (3)
N1—Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	93.61 (13)	N1—C5—H5	118.8
C6—O1—Ni1	111.39 (15)	C4—C5—H5	118.8
C6—O2—Ni1 <sup>ii</sup>	111.64 (15)	O1—C6—O2	125.6 (2)
C1—N1—C5	116.8 (3)	O1—C6—C6 <sup>ii</sup>	117.5 (3)
C1—N1—Ni1	121.8 (2)	O2—C6—C6 <sup>ii</sup>	116.9 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y+1, z+1/2$ ; (ii)  $-x+1, -y+1, -z$ ; (iii)  $-x+1, y, -z+1/2$ .

Fig. 1

