

### NASA Public Access

Author manuscript

Geochim Cosmochim Acta. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 15.

Published in final edited form as: *Geochim Cosmochim Acta.* 2021 June 15; 303: 66–91. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2021.03.019.

# The Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite group sulfides in chondrites: An indicator of oxidation and implications for return samples from asteroids Ryugu and Bennu

Devin L. Schrader<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jemma Davidson<sup>a</sup>, Timothy J. McCoy<sup>b</sup>, Thomas J. Zega<sup>c</sup>, Sara S. Russell<sup>d</sup>, Kenneth J. Domanik<sup>c</sup>, Ashley J. King<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Center for Meteorite Studies, School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, 781 East Terrace Road, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mineral Sciences, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, 10th & Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20560-0119, USA

<sup>c</sup>Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

<sup>d</sup>Planetary Materials Group, Department of Earth Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK

#### Abstract

Determining compositional trends among individual minerals is key to understanding the thermodynamic conditions under which they formed and altered, and is also essential to maximizing the scientific value of small extraterrestrial samples, including returned samples and meteorites. Here we report the chemical compositions of Fe-sulfides, focusing on the pyrrhotitegroup sulfides, which are ubiquitous in chondrites and are sensitive indicators of formation and alteration conditions in the protoplanetary disk and in small Solar System bodies. Our data show that while there are trends with the at.% Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite with thermal and aqueous alteration in some meteorite groups, there is a universal trend between the Fe/S ratio and degree of oxidation. Relatively reducing conditions led to the formation of troilite during: (1) chondrule formation in the protoplanetary disk (i.e., pristine chondrites) and (2) parent body thermal alteration (i.e., LL4 to LL6, CR1, CM, and CY chondrites). Oxidizing and sulfidizing conditions led to the formation of Fe-depleted pyrrhotite with low Fe/S ratios during: (1) aqueous alteration (i.e., CM and CI chondrites), and (2) thermal alteration (i.e., CK and R chondrites). The presence of troilite in highly aqueously altered carbonaceous chondrites (e.g., CY, CR1, and some CM chondrites) indicates they were heated after aqueous alteration. The presence of troilite, Fe-depleted pyrrhotite, or pyrite in a chondrite can provide an estimate of the oxygen and sulfur fugacities at which it was formed or altered. The data reported here can be used to estimate the

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). \*Corresponding author. devin.schrader@asu.edu (D.L. Schrader).

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

APPENDIX A. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.03.019.

oxygen fugacity of formation and potentially the aqueous and/or thermal histories of sulfides in extraterrestrial samples, including those returned by the Hayabusa2 mission and due to be returned by the OSIRIS-REx mission in the near future.

#### Keywords

Sulfide; Pyrrhotite; Troilite; Pyrite; Chondrite; Oxidation; Asteroid sample return

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the next few years, the planetary science community will have access to samples of asteroids 162173 Ryugu (returned to Earth on Dec. 6th, 2020) and 101955 Bennu (nominal return Sept. 24th, 2023) to study in laboratories on Earth (e.g., Lauretta et al., 2017; Tsuda et al., 2019). Among the first questions researchers will aim to answer is how the returned material relates to known meteorites. For instance, the first studies of particles returned from asteroid 25143 Itokawa by JAXA's Hayabusa mission determined that it contains material similar to LL4 to LL6 chondrites, with ~10% matching LL4 and ~90% matching LL5 to LL6 (e.g., Nakamura et al., 2011; Tsuchiyama et al., 2011, 2014; Noguchi et al., 2014). One mineral system that can aid making these comparisons and constrain parent body alteration conditions is the pyrrhotite group sulfides. As some returned particles are monomineralic, including monomineralic sulfide grains (e.g., Nakamura et al., 2011), being able to determine the parent body history of extraterrestrial material from a single mineral system would provide significant insight into the pre- and post-accretionary history of small bodies in the Solar System.

Fe-sulfides are ubiquitous in and important constituents of chondrites (Table 1), and are sensitive indicators of formation and alteration conditions in the protoplanetary disk and small Solar System bodies (e.g., Weisberg et al., 2006; Schrader et al., 2016, 2018; Singerling and Brearley, 2020). Chondritic sulfides can form in multiple different environments including gas-solid/melt reactions in the protoplanetary disk (e.g., Zolensky and Thomas, 1995; Lauretta et al., 1996, 1997, 1998; Schrader et al., 2008; Schrader and Lauretta, 2010; Marrocchi and Libourel, 2013; Piani et al., 2016), as an igneous product of chondrule formation in the protoplanetary disk (e.g., Schrader et al., 2015, 2018; Singerling and Brearley, 2018), and also by secondary processing in the parent asteroid (e.g., Harries and Langenhorst, 2013; Schrader et al., 2016; Singerling and Brearley, 2020). The compositions and textures of sulfides can be used to constrain the oxygen and sulfur fugacities of formation, and the histories of aqueous alteration, thermal metamorphism, shock-impact processing, and cooling of the host rock (e.g., Bennett and McSween, 1996; Raghavan, 2004; Kimura et al., 2011; Harries and Langenhorst, 2013; Schrader et al., 2016, 2018; Schrader and Zega, 2019). Sulfur and oxygen fugacities are dependent on temperature and, under certain conditions, can be correlated with one another (e.g., Whitney, 1984; Harries and Langenhorst, 2013). In addition, the major and minor element compositions of the Fe,Ni sulfide pentlandite, (Fe,Ni)<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>, has been shown to vary among different meteorite groups (Schrader et al., 2016). The most abundant sulfides in known astromaterials are the pyrrhotite group (ideally  $Fe_{1-x}S$  where x is typically 0 x 0.125, but x can be

0.2; i.e., FeS [troilite] to Fe<sub>0.8</sub>S; e.g., Naldrett, 1989; Haldar, 2017), which can occur with pentlandite and pyrite FeS<sub>2</sub> (e.g., Bullock et al., 2005; Tachibana and Huss, 2005; Berger et al., 2011; Kimura et al., 2011; Harries and Langenhorst, 2013; Harries and Zolensky, 2016; Schrader et al., 2016, 2018; Singerling and Brearley, 2018, 2020). Troilite ideally has an at.% Fe/S ratio of 1 but can have Fe/S ratios between 1 and 0.98 (e.g., Lauretta et al., 1996, 1997), while Fe-depleted pyrrhotite has Fe/S ratios between 0.98 and 0.8 (e.g., Naldrett, 1989; Haldar, 2017). Low-Ni Fe sulfides are particularly important because they are more susceptible to alteration than high-Ni sulfides in the CM and CR chondrites (Singerling and Brearley, 2020). The compositions of pyrrhotite in carbonaceous chondrites vary with the degree of aqueous alteration experienced; the at.% Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite decreases with increasing degrees of aqueous alteration (i.e., Fe/S of pyrrhotite in CI < CM1 < CM2 [Bullock et al., 2005; Berger et al., 2011; Harries and Zolensky, 2016; Harries, 2018; Kimura et al., 2020]). Troilite (FeS, ideally Fe/S = 1) was observed in aqueously altered and heated CM and CY chondrites (e.g., Nakamura, 2005; Harries and Langenhorst, 2013; Harries, 2018; Suttle et al., 2021). This troilite was proposed to have formed by S loss during heating and decomposition of pyrrhotite into troilite and Ni-poor metal (Harries, 2018). In contrast, it has also been proposed that troilite in heated carbonaceous chondrites was secondary and the result of decomposition of S-bearing hydrous phases (i.e., tochilinite) during heating (Nakamura, 2005; Harries and Langenhorst, 2013). However, previous observations of pyrrhotite and their implied formation processes are based on a limited set of samples. The full range of Fe/S ratios in pyrrhotite from different meteorite groups are not well known, and if and how the Fe/S ratio relates to protoplanetary-disk and parent-body processes is not yet fully understood.

In order to fill this knowledge gap, here we discuss the Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite in multiple chondrite groups with a variety of aqueous and thermal histories. We evaluate its usefulness as an indicator of formation and alteration conditions and its application to the analysis of returned samples. Minimally altered samples and those that have experienced varied types and degrees of secondary processing are included because: (1) asteroid Bennu is most like CM or CI chondrites (e.g., Hamilton et al., 2019); (2) asteroid Ryugu is most like heated CI, CM (e.g., Kitazato et al., 2019, 2021), or CY chondrites (King et al., 2019); and (3) both asteroids include impact-delivered exogenous material (e.g., DellaGiustina et al., 2020; Tatsumi et al., 2021).

#### 2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

#### 2.1. Samples

We studied the sulfide compositions of 58 different chondrites including samples of CI (Alais, Ivuna, and Orgueil), a C1-ungrouped (Miller Range [MIL] 090292), CY (Belgica [B]-7904, Y-82162, Y-86789, and Y-980115), CM1/2 (Allan Hills [ALH] 83100 and Kolang, two lithologies), CM2 (Aguas Zarcas, Mighei, and Queen Alexandra Range [QUE] 97990), stage I heated CM2 (Asuka [A]-881458), stage II heated CM2 (Yamato [Y]-793321), CM-like (Sutter's Mill), CO3.00 (Dominion Range [DOM] 08006), CR1 (Grosvenor Mountains [GRO] 95577), CR-an (Al Rais), CR2 (Elephant Moraine [EET] 87770, EET 92048, EET 96259, Gao-Guenie (b), Graves Nunatak [GRA] 95229, LaPaz Ice Field [LAP] 02342, LAP

04720, Meteorite Hills [MET] 00426, MIL 090657, Northwest Africa [NWA] 801, Pecora Escarpment [PCA] 91082, QUE 99177, Shi r 033, and Y-793495), shock-heated CR2 (GRA 06100 and GRO 03116),  $CV3_{OxA}$  (Allende),  $CV3_{OxB}$  (Bali), and  $CV3_{Red}$  (Vigarano), CK4 (ALH 85002 and Karoonda), CK5 (Larkman Nunatak [LAR] 06868), CK6 (Lewis Cliff [LEW] 87009), H3.10 (NWA 3358), L3.05 (QUE 97008), L(LL) 3.05 (MET 00452), LL3 (Semarkona and Vicência), LL4 (Hamlet and Soko-Banja), LL5 (Chelyabinsk and Siena), LL6 (Appley Bridge and Saint-Séverin), R3 (MET 01149), R3.6 (LAP 031275), R5 (LAP 03639), and R6 (LAP 04840 and MIL 11207) chondrites. CM chondrite heating stages for A-881458 and Y-793321 are from Nakamura (2005). Some Fe/S ratios were determined from previously measured sulfides; R and CK (Schrader et al., 2016), LL (Schrader et al., 2016; Schrader and Zega, 2019), CR2 (Schrader et al., 2015, 2018; Davidson et al., 2019a; this study), CM (Schrader et al., 2016; this study), and CO3.00 (Davidson et al., 2019b) chondrites. Meteorite group names are given in Tables 2a and 2b. Specific sample split and institution numbers are given in Tables 3 and 4, and EA-1.

#### 2.2. Petrography and chemical analyses

Thin sections were initially characterized using an optical microscope to identify sulfides. We acquired X-ray element maps, high-resolution images, and quantitative chemical compositions with the JEOL-8530F Hyperprobe electron microprobe analyzer (EPMA) at Arizona State University, and the Cameca SX-100 EPMAs at the University of Arizona (UA) and at the Natural History Museum (NHM), London (Figs. 1 and 2).

The major and minor element compositions of sulfides were determined with the EPMAs at UA and NHM (Tables 2a, 2b and Electronic Annex [EA]-1). In addition to sulfides, some Fe,Ni metal was analyzed in Y-86789 and NWA 3358 to investigate the relationship between Ni and Co. Polished and carbon-coated thin sections were analyzed with a focused beam as individual points, with operating conditions of 15 kV and 20 nA, and a ZAF correction method (a Phi-Rho-Z correction technique); peak and background counting times varied per element to optimize detection limits. Only sulfide and metal analyses with totals between 97.5 and 102 wt.% were retained. EPMA analyses, standards, and detection limits are included in EA-1. The estimated alteration temperatures of meteorites in this study and the techniques to determine those temperatures are listed in Table 3.

#### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Petrography

The sulfides in most samples are rounded, subhedral, or irregular in shape and are present in chondrules and the matrix (Figs. 1 and 2). Sulfides in chondrules within minimally altered chondrites (e.g., CR2) are often rounded or irregular (these crystallized around pre-existing silicate grains) in shape, as primary sulfides were immiscible melts during chondrule cooling (e.g., Schrader et al., 2015). Notable differences to these typical shapes are euhedral grains of pyrrhotite in CI chondrites (e.g., Fig. 1a), rare fizzed-sulfide grains in the CM2 QUE 97990 (Fig. 2a), and abundant lath-shaped sulfides in the CY chondrites (e.g., Fig. 1e) and the CM1 clast from Kolang (e.g., Fig. 2b). Additional details on the petrography of sulfides

are discussed in Schrader et al. (2015, 2016, 2018), Schrader and Zega (2019), Davidson et al. (2019a, b), and King et al. (2019).

Sulfides in each meteorite group are sometimes associated with other opaque minerals such as Fe,Ni metal or magnetite. Fe,Ni metal is commonly associated with sulfides in the H, L, LL, CY (Fig. 1e, f), CR, CO, and CV chondrites. The observation of Fe,Ni metal in sulfides is useful because if the sulfide is troilite, it indicates that the sulfur fugacity of formation was near the iron-troilite (IT) buffer (e.g., Harries and Langenhorst, 2013). In contrast, sulfides in the CK chondrites are commonly associated with magnetite (Fig. 1h). Sulfides in the most aqueously altered CR chondrites also contain magnetite (e.g., Schrader et al., 2015; Singerling and Brearley, 2020).

The thin section of QUE 97990 (,53) contains two distinct lithologies, sulfides were analyzed in each lithology and found to have distinct Fe/S ratios (Fig. 3 and EA-1). Two lithologies of the recent fall Kolang (CM1/2) were also analyzed, the typical CM1/2 host material and a CM1 clast. The CM1/2 host material contains abundant phyllosilicates, but also contains primary silicates, Fe,Ni metal, and subhedral and rounded sulfides typical of CM2 chondrites. In contrast the CM1 clast contains chondrules that have been pseudomorphically replaced by phyllosilicates and abundant lath shaped sulfides (Fig. 2b); no silicates or Fe,Ni metal were observed. No compositional differences were identified between pyrrhotite in either lithology, despite the two lithologies having clearly distinct degrees of aqueous alteration and distinct sulfide textures (Tables 3 and 4, and EA-1).

#### 3.2. Types of low-Ni Fe-sulfides observed

Pyrrhotite-group sulfides were identified in all samples studied except the CK4 ALH 85002 and the CK5 and CK6 chondrites (Tables 2a, 2b and EA-1). Pyrite was identified in all CK chondrites studied. In some of the sulfide assemblages that we identified, pure pyrrhotite analyses could not be acquired because of intergrowth between submicron-sized grains of pentlandite at scales below the interaction volume of the EPMA (e.g., common in the R6 LAP 04840 and one sample of the CM2 Aguas Zarcas; Fig. 1c and Tables 3 and 4). To avoid analyses that overlapped with submicron-sized grains of pentlandite, we define any pyrrhotite containing less than 1 wt.% Ni as low-Ni pyrrhotite (Schrader and Zega, 2019); these analyses were used to determine the at.% Fe/S ratios (Tables 3, 4, and EA-1). Analyses of pyrrhotite and pyrite with Ni contents between 1 wt.% and 16 wt.% are given in EA-1, but are not discussed further as they may overlap pentlandite. We report 1630 sulfide analyses; 1102 analyses of low-Ni pyrrhotite (which includes troilite), 475 analyses of high-Ni pyrrhotite (between 1 wt.% and 16 wt.% Ni), and 53 analyses of pyrite. All pyrite analyses are from the CK4, CK5, and CK6 chondrites.

**3.2.1.** Chondrites containing troilite—The pyrrhotite group sulfide troilite (Fe/S = 1 to 0.98) was found in the H3.10, L3.05, L(LL)3.05, LL3, LL4, LL5, LL6, CO3.00,  $CV3_{OxA}$ ,  $CV3_{OxB}$ ,  $CV3_{Red}$ , CY, CR1 (Fig. 1g), and CR2 chondrites, the CM2s QUE 97990 (Fig. 1d), Mighei, and Y-793321, the CM-like chondrite Sutter's Mill, and the R3 chondrite MET 01149 (EA-1). Sulfides in the CR2 chondrites are dominantly troilite, however no troilite was found in the highly aqueously altered anomalous CR chondrite Al Rais (Tables 3 and 4).

**3.2.3. Chondrites containing pyrite**—Pyrite is common in all CK chondrites studied (EA-1). No troilite was found in the CK chondrites and only a single grain of pyrrhotite was found in the CK4 Karoonda. This pyrrhotite has the lowest Fe/S ratio analyzed here at 0.806 (Table 3). The typical Fe-sulfides found in the CK chondrites were pentlandite and pyrite, which are intergrown with magnetite (Fig. 1h; Schrader et al., 2016).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Minor element compositional variations in low-Ni pyrrhotite

There are minor element compositional variations (e.g., P, Ca, Mn, and Co) in low-Ni pyrrhotite within and among distinct chondrite groups (Tables 2a and 2b). The CV3<sub>red</sub> Vigarano contains minor P and Ca in its low-Ni pyrrhotite (up to 0.36 wt.% P and 0.55 wt.% Ca), whereas P and Ca are below detection limit (both < 0.02 wt.%) in the CV3<sub>OXA</sub> (Allende) and CV3<sub>OxB</sub> (Bali). While we cannot rule out that the P and Ca are not from submicron Ca-phosphates within the sulfide, it is also possible this difference is due to the CV3<sub>OXA</sub> and the CV3<sub>OXB</sub> being more altered than the CV3<sub>Red</sub>. All of the CY chondrites contain low-Ni pyrrhotite with minor amounts of Mn (up to 0.09 wt.%), whereas no other meteorite group studied here consistently contains pyrrhotite with Mn. The CY chondrites that were heated >750 °C (B-7904 and Y-86789) do not contain Co in low-Ni pyrrhotite, while the CY chondrites (Y-82162 and Y-980115) heated between 500 °C and 750 °C contain minor Co (up to 0.04 wt.% Co; Tables 2a, 2b and 3). There is an inverse relationship between Ni and Co in Fe,Ni metal in the CY chondrite Y-86789; Ni-poor metal contains 7.0 wt.% Ni and 1.8 wt.% Co, while Ni-rich metal contains 53.5 wt.% Ni and 0.61 wt.% Co (EA-1). This inverse Ni and Co relationship is consistent with Y-86789 being heated (e.g., Kimura et al., 2008; Davidson et al., 2014a). The most oxidized and thermally metamorphosed chondrites studied here, R and CK chondrites, are the only chondrites that do not contain Si in low-Ni pyrrhotite.

Despite these minor differences, there are no easily recognizable compositional indicators that could be used to match unambiguously an unknown pyrrhotite grain to a particular meteorite group (Tables 2a and 2b). This contrasts with pentlandite, which shows characteristic minor-element signatures within and among groups (Schrader et al., 2016; Schrader and Zega, 2019). Nonetheless, there are significant differences in the Fe/S ratio of low-Ni pyrrhotite within and among some chondrite groups that trend with formation and alteration conditions (see Section 4.2).

#### 4.2. Fe/S ratio variation among petrographic type and meteorite groups

The average Fe/S ratio of low-Ni pyrrhotite varies among meteorite groups and with petrographic type within meteorite groups. Typically, the average Fe/S ratio of low-Ni pyrrhotite decreases with increasing degree of aqueous alteration, consistent with previous work (Bullock et al., 2005; Berger et al., 2011; Harries and Zolensky, 2016; Harries, 2018; Kimura et al., 2020). This lower Fe/S ratio can be explained by Fe loss due to the formation of Fe-oxides and Fe-rich phyllosilicates during aqueous alteration. Troilite occurs in all minimally altered (e.g., CO3.00, CR2, CV3<sub>Red</sub>, R3, and LL3 chondrites) and some thermally altered chondrites (e.g., CY and LL4 to LL6 chondrites). In contrast, Fe-depleted pyrrhotite is the dominant sulfide in aqueously altered chondrites (e.g., CI, CM1/2, and CM2 chondrites). Sulfides in the R and CK chondrites are an exception to the apparent trend between pyrrhotite Fe/S ratio and degree of aqueous or thermal alteration, which we propose is due to the high oxygen fugacity ( $fO_2$ ) during thermal metamorphism (see Section 4.3). CK chondrite sulfides are almost entirely pyrite and pentlandite, with the single exception of one Fe-depleted pyrrhotite grain in the CK4 Karoonda which has the lowest Fe/S ratio observed in this study (at.% Fe/S = 0.806; Fig. 1h, Table 3). The average Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite decreases with increasing thermal metamorphism in the R chondrites (Fig. 4).

The degree of aqueous alteration in carbonaceous chondrites trends with the average Fe/S ratio of low-Ni pyrrhotite. Ordered from smallest to largest value the average Fe/S ratio of low-Ni pyrrhotite in carbonaceous chondrite groups is CK4 < CI < CM1/2 CR-an C1-ung CM2 CR1  $\approx$  CR2  $\approx$  CY CV3 CO3.00 (Table 5 and Fig. 4). While this overall trend can mostly be attributed to differences in degree of aqueous alteration, it is likely that internal sample heterogeneities, group variations, thermal and aqueous alteration, and differences in sulfur and oxygen fugacities all contribute to the order of Fe/S ratios.

Taking into consideration the observations from all chondrite groups studied, the trend in average Fe/S ratio is significantly more complicated. Including all chondrites studied the order from lowest to highest average Fe/S ratio of low-Ni pyrrhotite, according to petrographic type, is CK4 < CI < R6 < CM1/2 CR-an C1-ung R5 R3.6 R3 CM2  $CV3_{OXA} \approx CR1 \approx CR2 \approx CY \approx LL4$  L3.05 LL5 LL3  $\approx CV3_{OXB}$  H3.10  $\approx$  LL6  $CV3_{Red}$  L(LL)3.05  $\approx$  CO3.00 (Table 5 and Fig. 4). Therefore, using the at.% Fe/S ratio of low-Ni pyrrhotite as a universal proxy for the degree of aqueous or thermal alteration is not warranted.

#### 4.3. Relationship between pyrrhotite Fe/S ratio and aqueous alteration/heating

In some meteorite groups the average Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite decreases with increasing aqueous alteration (e.g., CM chondrites), consistent with previous observations (Bullock et al., 2005; Berger et al., 2011; Harries and Zolensky, 2016; Harries, 2018; Kimura et al., 2020). Troilite is present in all minimally altered (e.g., CR2s, LL3s, CO3.00) and some thermally metamorphosed samples (e.g., LL4 to LL6), indicating troilite is not a product of aqueous alteration. However, there are exceptions to the apparent relationship between the pyrrhotite Fe/S ratio and aqueous alteration, for example: (1) the single pyrrhotite grain observed in the thermally metamorphosed CK4 Karoonda has an Fe/S ratio lower than the most aqueously altered carbonaceous chondrites; (2) the R6 MIL 11207 has a pyrrhotite

Fe/S ratio nearly identical to the heavily aqueously altered CI chondrite Ivuna; (3) the average Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite in the R chondrites decreases with increasing degree of thermal metamorphism, in contrast to the LL3 to LL6 chondrites (all contain troilite); (4) despite the  $CV3_{OxA}$ ,  $CV3_{OxB}$ , and  $CV3_{Red}$  chondrites having significant differences in their aqueous and thermal histories (e.g., Weisberg et al., 2006, Busemann et al., 2007; Bonal et al., 2020), all contain troilite; and (5) the CR chondrites do not follow an overall trend between pyrrhotite Fe/S ratio and aqueous alteration (Table 4 and Fig. 4). Reasons for these differences are discussed below.

Sulfides are highly susceptible to alteration during aqueous and/or thermal processing. After initial formation, the compositions of pyrrhotite group sulfides and pentlandite can change by low-temperature (<100 °C) equilibration during slow cooling and/or annealing over thousands of years (Etschmann et al., 2004). Sustained parent body temperatures <100 °C may change the compositions of sulfides while brief heating episodes <100 °C (e.g., due to impact heating) may not. Therefore, understanding parent body aqueous and thermal histories of individual meteorites is important for placing the average Fe/S ratios of pyrrhotite in context (Table 3). Multiple CM and CR chondrites were studied that cover a range of aqueous alteration and include some mildly heated samples (Tables 3 and 4). These samples provide a way to test how the Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite varies with increasing degree of aqueous alteration and minor heating within individual meteorite groups.

**4.3.1. Pristine and minimally altered samples**—The chondrites least altered by parent body aqueous and thermal alteration include H3.10 (NWA 3358), L3.05 (QUE 97008), L(LL)3.05 (MET 00452), and CO3.00 (DOM 08006) chondrites; all of these samples contain troilite and have among the highest average Fe/S ratios found here (Table 5). DOM 08006 is recognized as potentially the most pristine meteorite known and contains primary sulfides that formed during chondrule formation which were not altered on the parent body (Davidson et al., 2019b). Pyrrhotite in DOM 08006 is entirely troilite and has the highest average Fe/S ratio of any meteorite studied here (Table 3). In addition, the presence of pristine Fe,Ni metal in DOM 08006 constrains the parent body temperature to <100 °C and the equilibration temperature of sulfides in DOM 08006 are ~400 °C (Davidson et al., 2019b). Therefore, troilite is a primary phase in DOM 08006 and the parent body temperature of DOM 08006 was not high enough to alter these primary sulfides.

In contrast, the parent body temperatures of NWA 3358 (estimated between 250 to 350 °C; Ebert et al., 2020), MET 00542 ( $203 \pm 70$  °C; Cody et al., 2008), and QUE 97008 (<250 °C; Busemann et al., 2007) were high enough that the compositions of primary sulfides may have changed. NWA 3358 (H3.10) is one of the least altered H chondrites known, and while a specific temperature for NWA 3358 has not been constrained, peak temperature estimates for 3.1 ordinary chondrites are between 250 to 350 °C (e.g., Rambaldi and Wasson, 1981; Alexander et al., 1989). In addition, there is an inverse relationship between Ni and Co in Fe,Ni metal from NWA 3358; Ni-poor metal contains 3.9 wt.% Ni and 0.52 wt.% Co, while Ni-rich metal contains up to 51.7 wt.% Ni and <0.10 wt.% Co (EA-1). An inverse Ni and Co relationship in Fe,Ni in chondrites is indicative of mild heating (e.g., Kimura et al., 2008). During this mild alteration on the H chondrite parent body, fluid was present and mild aqueous alteration of NWA 3358 occurred (Ebert et al., 2020). While nearly all

low-Ni pyrrhotite in NWA 3358 is troilite, one sulfide grain contained pyrrhotite with a low Fe/S ratio (Fe/S = 0.900; Table 3 and EA-1) that may be additional evidence of limited aqueous alteration of NWA 3358. Both MET 00542 and QUE 97008 have been heated enough that minor changes in the  $Cr_2O_3$  content of silicates and the metal Co/Ni ratio have been observed (e.g., Grossman and Brearley, 2005; Kimura et al., 2008), indicating that their sulfides were likely altered to some degree. However, if these samples were heated long enough to alter the compositions of their primary sulfides it either did not change the Fe/S of low-Ni pyrrhotite or the conditions they were heated under were at the proper sulfur fugacity and reducing enough to also form troilite (i.e., such as that observed in the LL3, LL4, LL5, and LL6 chondrites; see Section 4.3.5).

**4.3.2. CM chondrites**—The Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite decreases with increasing aqueous alteration in the CM chondrites (Table 3 and Fig. 4). Pyrrhotite in the most aqueously altered CM chondrites studied here, the CM1/2s ALH 83100 and Kolang, have the lowest Fe/S ratios of the CM chondrites. In contrast the least aqueously altered CM2 studied here, QUE 97990 (a CM2.6; Rubin et al., 2007; Kimura et al., 2008; Alexander et al., 2013), has the highest Fe/S ratio as it contains troilite (Table 3). The Fe/S ratios of pyrrhotite in Aguas Zarcas samples indicate they are heavily aqueously altered, as they have a similar Fe/S ratio to that of the CM1/2 chondrites.

Three CM chondrites studied here have been mildly heated (Table 3). Different samples of the CM-like Sutter's Mill were heated to between 150 °C and 500 °C (Jenniskens et al., 2012). However, the Sutter's Mill sample studied here has not undergone noticeable heating that would alter the Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content of its silicates (Schrader and Davidson, 2017). Since Sutter's Mill contains pyrrhotite with a low Fe/S ratio of 0.928, we conclude this resulted from aqueous alteration and that this particular Sutter's Mill sample (stone SM8) was not heated enough to convert any Fe-depleted pyrrhotite to troilite. The stage I heated CM2 chondrite A-881458 was slightly heated to temperatures below 250 °C (Nakamura, 2005). A-881458 contains only Fe-depleted pyrrhotite similar to that in CM1/2 chondrites, indicating any heating it underwent did not increase the Fe/S ratio of its pyrrhotite or that any heating occurred prior to aqueous alteration. The stage II heated CM2 chondrite Y-793321 was briefly heated after aqueous alteration between 300 °C and 500  $^{\circ}$ C (Nakamura, 2005) and therefore heating may have modified the Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite that was previously lowered by aqueous alteration. Nakamura (2005) also found troilite in other heated CM2 chondrites, indicating troilite formed during thermal alteration on the CM chondrite parent asteroid.

A thin section of QUE 97990 (,4) was identified as having experienced some minor heating resulting in Cr diffusion in ferroan olivine (Schrader and Davidson, 2017), which suggests the presence of troilite in QUE 97990 may be due to minor heating or QUE 97990 is a breccia that contains relatively pristine and thermally altered components. The thin section of QUE 97990 studied here (,53) contains grains of fizzed sulfide in its matrix (Fig. 2a), suggesting it has been shock heated (fizzed troilite is an indicator of shock heating; e.g., Scott, 1982; Bennett and McSween, 1996) or at the very least that it contains components that have been heated. As most sulfides in QUE 97990 are not fizzed and fizzed sulfides are only present in the matrix, heating may not have been widespread or heated and unheated

material may have been mixed via impact gardening and lithified into a breccia in the CM parent asteroid. We consider brecciation likely as: (1) QUE 97990,53 displays multiple lithologies that are consistent with brecciation (Fig. 3); (2) numerous CM chondrites are breccias (e.g., Bischoff et al., 2006); and (3) a bulk isotopic study of QUE 97990 did not indicate heating (Alexander et al., 2013). Troilite in QUE 97990,53 is located in a single chondrule in what appears to be the less altered lithology based on the presence of Fe,Ni metal (Fig. 3), while Fe-depleted pyrrhotite is present in matrix grains in a separate lithology that appears more altered based on a more Fe-rich matrix (Fig. 3). The composition of the fizzed 'troilite' is actually Fe-depleted pyrrhotite, perhaps indicating QUE 97990 was aqueously altered after the formation of fizzed troilite by impact heating.

Sulfides in two distinct lithologies of Kolang were studied (the typical CM1/2 material and a CM1 clast); however, the sulfides analyzed in each lithology are Fe-depleted pyrrhotite with indistinguishable compositions (Tables 3 and 4). The sulfide laths observed in Kolang ASU2147\_C3c (the CM1 clast) are petrographically similar to those seen in CY chondrites (Figs. 1e vs. 2b), but are compositionally distinct (Table 2a). The sulfide laths in the CY chondrites sometimes have Fe,Ni metal and contain both Fe-depleted pyrrhotite and troilite, whereas the sulfide laths in Kolang only contain Fe-depleted pyrrhotite.

Recently, Kimura et al. (2020) proposed that A 12169, A 12236, and A 12085 are the most unaltered CM chondrites known, with proposed classifications of CM3.0, CM2.9, and CM2.8, respectively. In these samples, low-Ni sulfides are mostly troilite, although Fe-depleted pyrrhotite is present in each sample (Kimura et al., 2020). However, the at.% Fe/S ratios were not provided for low-Ni pyrrhotite in these samples. A 12169 was identified as the least altered CM known and designated as a CM3.0 by Kimura et al. (2020); i.e., only undergoing minimal aqueous alteration and no heating or dehydration (Kimura et al., 2020). The single low-Ni pyrrhotite compositional analysis provided for A 12169 (from Table 4 in Kimura et al., 2020) yields an Fe/S ratio of 0.942. While only a single analysis, this low Fe/S ratio indicates that A 12169 has undergone more extensive aqueous alteration than that of the CO3.00 or most CR2s studied here and similar to other CM2s and the CM1/2 ALH 83100 (Table 5 and EA-1). This is consistent with the conclusion of Kimura et al. (2020) that A 12169 is aqueously altered, but may be inconsistent with a classification of a 3.0 or indicate brecciation.

**4.3.3. CR chondrites**—The common presence of troilite in CR2 chondrite chondrules is consistent with the observations that CR2 sulfides are mostly primary and that they formed during chondrule cooling between 600 °C and 400 °C (Schrader et al., 2015; Davidson et al. 2019a). Recently, MIL 090657 was recognized as one of the most, if not the most pristine CR chondrites (Davidson et al. 2019a), potentially more pristine than QUE 99177 and MET 00426, which have long been considered the most pristine CR chondrites (e.g., Abreu and Brearley, 2010; Schrader et al., 2011, 2014). The Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite in MIL 090657 is higher than any of the other 16 CR2 chondrites studied here, even QUE 99177 and MET 00426, which further supports the highly pristine nature of MIL 090657 (Table 4) discussed by Davidson et al. (2019a).

There is a trend in the average Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite between the CR2 chondrites and the anomalous CR chondrite Al Rais; Al Rais does not contain troilite and its average Fe/S pyrrhotite ratio is lower than that of all CR2 chondrites studied. Al Rais is recognized as the most aqueously altered CR chondrite, with the exception of the CR1 GRO 95577 (e.g., Weisberg and Huber, 2007). While most CR2 chondrites contain abundant troilite, there are also variations in the Fe/S pyrrhotite ratios within individual CR2 chondrites. Individual pyrrhotite grains in the CR2 chondrites Shi r 033 and NWA 801 have Fe/S ratios as low as those seen in Al Rais (Table 4), perhaps indicating heterogeneity in the degree of aqueous alteration or post-aqueous alteration mixing of lithologies with distinct degrees of aqueous alteration via brecciation (e.g., Weisberg et al., 1993; Schrader et al., 2014).

The shock heated CR2 chondrites GRA 06100 and GRO 03115 were briefly heated >610 °C (e.g., Abreu and Bullock, 2013) and contain fizzed troilite indicating heating (Schrader et al., 2015), but have Fe/S ratios indistinguishable from the other CR2 chondrites. Despite the similar Fe/S ratios, we consider the sulfides in these two shock heated CR2 chondrites to be secondary because the morphologies of their sulfides indicate they were heated.

The CR1 GRO 95577 does not contain pyrrhotite with low Fe/S ratios contrary to what one might expect when compared to the other type 1 chondrites studied here (the CIs, the CM1/2s, and the C1-ung; Table 3). Instead, GRO 95577 contains troilite intergrown with pentlandite (e.g., Fig. 1g) and some Fe-depleted pyrrhotite (Tables 3 and 4). The presence of troilite and the lack of abundant pyrrhotite with low Fe/S ratios in a highly aqueously altered type 1 chondrite may indicate that GRO 95577 experienced heating after aqueous alteration. However, no Fe,Ni metal is associated with the sulfides in GRO 95577, which is unlike that observed by Harries (2018) for heated CM/CI-like chondrites. This may indicate that: (1) GRO 95577 was heated and the lack of Fe,Ni-metal is either a sampling artifact or due to metal loss during terrestrial weathering (GRO 95577 is a weathering grade B); or (2) the troilite in GRO 95577 did not form from the decomposition and S-loss from Fe-depleted pyrrhotite during heating. The troilite in GRO 95577 may result from the decomposition of S-bearing hydrous phases during heating, as originally suggested by Nakamura (2005) for troilite in heated carbonaceous chondrites. The bulk δD of GRO 95577 is significantly lower than other CR chondrites except for the shock-heated CR chondrites (Alexander et al, 2013), but has not previously been considered to be heated. However, based on the relatively low bulk  $\delta D$  and presence of troilite in GRO 95577 we conclude that it was mildly heated. Heating of GRO 95577 may also be consistent with the young formation age of its carbonates compared to those in other CR chondrites (i.e., 12.6 Myr vs. 4.3-5.3 Myr after CAI formation), which Jilly-Rehak et al. (2017) suggested may be due to heating of liquid water by an impact(s) on the CR chondrite parent body.

**4.3.4. CY chondrites**—The CY chondrites were aqueously altered and then briefly heated; Y-82162 (heating stage III or II) and Y-980115 were heated between 500 °C and 750 °C, and B-7904 and Y-86789 (both heating stage IV) were heated >750 °C (Ikeda, 1992; Matsuoka et al., 1996; Nakamura, 2005; Tonui et al., 2014; King et al., 2019). Since heating occurred after aqueous alteration, any Fe-depleted pyrrhotite that formed in the CY chondrites during aqueous alteration would have been heated and potentially altered. The CY chondrites contain abundant troilite and only minor Fe-depleted pyrrhotite, consistent

with the observation that heating after aqueous alteration in carbonaceous chondrites leads to the loss of Fe-depleted pyrrhotite and formation of troilite (e.g., Nakamura, 2005; Harries, 2018). Troilite in B-7904 was proposed to be secondary and the result of decomposition of S-bearing hydrous phases (i.e., tochilinite) during heating (Nakamura, 2005; Harries and Langenhorst, 2013). The two CY chondrites studied here with the lowest average pyrrhotite Fe/S ratios are Y-82162 and Y-980115, which were heated to lower temperatures than B-7904 and Y-86789 (Table 3). The most heated CY chondrites, B-7904 and Y-86789, contain pyrrhotite with the highest Fe/S ratios, demonstrating that heating leads to the formation of troilite. The sulfide grains in the CY chondrites, which are dominantly troilite (EA-1), are commonly associated with Ni-rich and Ni-poor metal (Fig. 1e, f). The association of metal in sulfide grains is somewhat in agreement with the proposed formation of troilite in heated chondrites by Harries (2018). However, Harries (2018) proposed that S loss during heating and decomposition of Fe-depleted pyrrhotite would result in troilite with Ni-poor metal, and the sulfides in heated CY chondrites contain troilite and Ni-poor and Ni-rich metal indicating that their formation during thermal alteration was more complicated.

#### 4.3.5. Thermally metamorphosed LL, R, and CK chondrites—The average

Fe/S ratios of pyrrhotite in the three most thermally metamorphosed meteorite groups studied here, the LL, R, and CK chondrites, have distinct trends with degree of thermal metamorphism. The LL3 to LL6 chondrites record parent body temperatures between <220 °C (LL3) and  $899 \pm 70$  °C (LL6; Table 3), but all contain troilite. In contrast, the R chondrites were heated to a range of temperatures up to 950 °C, but have an inverse relationship between the pyrrhotite Fe/S ratio and degree of thermal metamorphism. The R3 contains pyrrhotite with the highest Fe/S ratio (which includes troilite; EA-1), while pyrrhotite in the R6 has the lowest Fe/S ratio (Table 3). Similarly, the least heated CK chondrite studied here contains a pyrrhotite grain with the lowest Fe/S found in this study, while low-Ni Fe-sulfides in the other CK chondrites are entirely pyrite (EA-1). The differences in the Fe/S ratio trends with increasing thermal metamorphism between these meteorite groups are likely due to differences in their  $IO_2$ .

Oxygen fugacity is commonly expressed as relative to the iron-wüstite buffer (IW) or to the fayalite-magnetite-quartz buffer (FMQ). Since the iron-wüstite buffer (IW) is approximately 3.5 log units below FMQ (Righter et al. 2006) at 1000 °C, they can be readily compared to one another. The equilibrated LL5 and LL6 chondrites were thermally metamorphosed under oxygen fugacities of IW–2.2 to IW–1.7, respectively (Schrader et al., 2016; Schrader and Zega, 2019). Righter and Drake (1996) combined the  $fO_2$  calculations for L and LL chondrites and found they range from approximately IW–1.5 to IW–1.25. Since L chondrites are less oxidized than LL chondrites, we exclude the combined data of Righter and Drake (1996) (e.g., Fig. 5a; Righter et al., 2006) from our discussion. Converting LL chondrite  $fO_2$  values relative to the IW buffer to the FMQ buffer, we estimate that the  $fO_2$  of LL chondrites is FMQ–5.7 to FMQ–5.2. Therefore, the LL chondrites (FMQ–3.5 to FMQ; Righter and Neff, 2007), whereas the CK chondrites are the most oxidized chondrite group (FMQ + 2 to FMQ + 4.5; Righter and Neff, 2007).

The oxidant during thermal metamorphism of the LL, R, and CK chondrites was water. While water was key to the alteration of each of these three meteorite groups, the starting compositions of each meteorite group and the amount of water accreted by their parent asteroids led to distinct alteration conditions (e.g., Rubin, 2005; Righter and Neff, 2007; McCanta et al., 2008; Davidson et al., 2014b; Jones et al., 2014). Although the LL chondrite parent body accreted some water it was still relatively dry compared to the R and CK chondrites, especially as thermal metamorphism progressed (Jones et al., 2014). Since the LL chondrites were relatively dry and oxidation did not significantly vary during thermal metamorphism (Schrader et al., 2016), there was not a noticeable change in the pyrrhotite Fe/S ratio during thermal alteration. In contrast, the R chondrite parent body accreted with sufficient water to result in the formation of OH-bearing minerals in the R6 chondrites LAP 04840 and MIL 11207 (Righter and Neff, 2007; McCanta et al., 2008; Rubin, 2014). Metasomatism in the CK chondrites led to oxidation and the formation of substantial magnetite (e.g., Righter and Neff, 2007; Davidson et al., 2014b). Therefore, the oxidation of both the R and CK chondrites increased with thermal metamorphism. Implications of fO<sub>2</sub> for all samples in this study are discussed in Section 4.4.

### 4.4. Relationship between pyrrhotite Fe/S ratios and oxidation during aqueous or thermal alteration

The presence of troilite or Fe-depleted pyrrhotite in a chondrite is an indicator of the relative  $fO_2$  of its formation. This association is the case whether the sample is minimally altered, aqueously altered, and/or thermally metamorphosed, as oxidation can occur in the protoplanetary disk or in the parent body during aqueous or thermal alteration. Only samples that are minimally altered are likely to retain sulfides from their initial formation in the protoplanetary disk (i.e., chondrule formation). For example, troilite is known to be present in samples that formed under reducing conditions (e.g., lunar samples at IW–2 to IW; Righter et al., 2005) and Fe-depleted pyrrhotite is found in relatively oxidized volcanic rocks on Earth (e.g., Whitney, 1984; >IW). Herndon et al. (1975) proposed that troilite was oxidized to Fe-depleted pyrrhotite can oxidize to form pyrite and magnetite (e.g., Whitney, 1984). The same trend between sulfide mineralogy and oxidation is observed in the chondrites analyzed here.

In regards to bulk sample, the least to most oxidized chondrites studied here are as follows: H < L < CR < LL < CV < R < CO < CK < CM < CI (Righter et al., 2006; Righter andNeff, 2007; Fig. 4). CY chondrites are not included in this trend as they were only recentlyrecognized; however, Harries and Langenhorst (2013) estimated the sulfur and oxygenfugacity of alteration for the CY chondrite B-7904 and concluded it was altered at a sulfur $fugacity near the IT buffer and at an <math>IO_2$  near the IW buffer. This order is approximate and minimally altered unequilibrated chondrites are difficult to place in this sequence because they contain chondrules that formed under a wide range of oxygen fugacities in the protoplanetary disk. For example, chondrules from unequilibrated chondrites formed under reducing conditions of IW–4.2 to relatively oxidizing conditions of IW–0.4 (e.g., Zanda et al., 1994; Schrader et al., 2013). However, for the purpose of comparing relative oxidation among meteorite groups this order according to bulk sample is sufficient.

The minimally altered chondrites (CO3.00, CR2, H3.10, and L3.05) formed under a range of oxidation conditions (e.g., Righter et al., 2006; Righter and Neff, 2007; Fig. 5a), but all contain pyrrhotite with high Fe/S ratios (i.e., troilite). The CV3 chondrites all contain troilite, despite experiencing a range of aqueous and thermal alteration. However, despite the subgroup names of reduced and oxidized, the CV3 subgroups record similar parent body  $fO_2$ and their differences are likely due to their degree of alteration (Goreva and McCoy, 2012). The LL chondrites all contain troilite regardless of degree of thermal metamorphism (LL3 to LL6), which we conclude is the result of their thermal metamorphism being relatively reducing compared to R and CK chondrites and similar to, or more reducing than, the  $fO_2$ at which their chondrules formed (see Section 4.3.5). The R chondrites were metamorphosed under oxidizing conditions (e.g., Righter and Neff, 2007) and contain pyrrhotite with Fe/S ratios significantly lower than in minimally altered samples or the thermally metamorphosed LL chondrites. The CK chondrites are the most oxidized thermally metamorphosed chondrites (e.g., Righter and Neff, 2007) studied here and have the lowest Fe/S ratio, indicating oxidation of Fe into silicates and oxides leads to pyrrhotite with low Fe/S ratios. Similar to that seen in highly oxidized volcanic rocks (e.g., Whitney, 1984), low-Ni sulfides in the CK chondrites are dominantly pyrite (ideally Fe/S = 0.5; Table 3 and EA-1) that is in contact with magnetite (e.g., Schrader et al., 2016). We hypothesize that pyrrhotite was hydrothermally oxidized into pyrite and magnetite during metasomatism of the CK chondrites. The aqueously altered CI and CM chondrites experienced oxidizing conditions during aqueous alteration, resulting in lower pyrrhotite Fe/S ratios (especially the heavily aqueously altered CI chondrites) compared to minimally altered chondrites. Therefore, the Fe/S ratio of low-Ni pyrrhotite can be used as proxy for the oxidation of a sample.

**4.4.1.** Troilite in the most reduced chondrite groups—The EH and EL chondrites are the most reduced chondrite groups known (i.e., with FMQ between -10 and -8.5; Fogel et al., 1989; Righter et al., 2006) and they contain abundant troilite (e.g., Mason, 1966). Since EH and EL chondrites formed under highly reducing conditions, they often contain Cr, Ti, and Mn contents near or greater than 1 wt.% in troilite (e.g., Brearley and Jones, 1998). Exsolution lamellae of daubréelite (FeCr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>) and ferroan alabandite ([Mn,Fe]S) in troilite are common in enstatite chondrites (Keil and Andersen, 1965). Therefore, some of the high minor element abundances in EH and EL troilite may be due to EPMA beam overlaps with daubréelite (for Cr) and ferroan alabandite (for Mn). Some analyses contain such high abundances of Cr and Ti (e.g., up to3.10 wt.% Cr; Brearley and Jones, 1998) that the at.% Fe/S ratios of 'troilite' in both EH and EL chondrites appear so low (e.g., Fe/S < 0.97) they would not be considered troilite. However, as minor elements can substitute for Fe in sulfides, it is more appropriate to take the ratio of (Fe + Ni + Cr + Ti + Co + Cu)/S for enstatite chondrite sulfides. Excluding sulfides that appear to be beam overlaps with daubréelite and ferroan alabandite (sulfide data summarized in Brearley and Jones, 1998), the at.% (Fe + Ni + Cr + Ti + Co + Cu)/S ratios are all  $\sim 1$  (i.e., troilite). The low-Ni pyrrhotite from all other chondrites in this study have such low minor element abundances that their average Fe/S ratios are the same as their average at.% (Fe + Ni + Cr + Ti + Co + Cu)/S ratios within  $1\sigma$  (EA-1). Therefore, to compare these chondrite groups to troilite in enstatite chondrites we use the average at.% (Fe + Ni + Cr + Ti + Co + Cu)/S ratios (Fig.

5b), which agrees with the trend with high (Fe + Ni + Cr + Ti + Co + Cu)/S ratios in reduced samples and low ratios in oxidized samples.

**4.4.2.** Sulfide type as an indicator of oxygen fugacity—As discussed above, the at.% Fe/S ratio (or [Fe + Ni + Cr + Ti + Co + Cu]/S) of low-Ni pyrrhotite can be used as a proxy for oxidation of a sample. The presence of troilite, Fe-depleted pyrrhotite, and/or pyrite in a chondrite can provide an estimate on the  $fO_2$  at which it formed based on the  $fO_2$  of different meteorites that contain each sulfide (Sections 4.3.5 and 4.4). If troilite is present we can estimate that the  $fO_2$  the troilite formed at is similar to, or more reducing than, ~FMQ–3.5 (i.e., IW; the minimum  $fO_2$  of R chondrites, which contain rare troilite [EA-1]). Intergrowths of troilite and Fe-depleted pyrrhotite are known in meteorites and terrestrial assemblages (e.g., Morimoto et al., 1975; Harries et al., 2011; Harries and Langenhorst, 2013; Schrader and Zega, 2019), therefore an  $fO_2$  range exists where troilite and Fe-depleted pyrrhotite is present then the  $fO_2$  during its formation was between approximately FMQ–3.5 to FMQ + 2 (i.e., IW to IW + 5.5; the minimum  $fO_2$  of R chondrites from Righter and Neff, 2007). If pyrite is present then the  $fO_2$  is more oxidizing than FMQ + 2 (IW + 5.5; the minimum  $fO_2$  of CK chondrites from Righter and Neff, 2007).

The relationship between  $fO_2$  and the type of low-Ni sulfide present in meteorites also provides constraints on the  $IO_2$  of the protoplanetary disk and an estimate of the  $IO_2$ required for pyrrhotite to form in the protoplanetary disk. Since only troilite is found in the most pristine meteorites known (Tables 2a, 2b and 3), this limits the protoplanetary disk  $fO_2$ where these meteorites formed to conditions more reducing than approximately FMQ-3.5 (i.e.,  $\sim$ IW). An *t*O<sub>2</sub> of approximately FMQ-3.5 to FMQ + 2 (i.e.,  $\sim$ IW to IW + 5.5) would be needed to form Fe-depleted pyrrhotite in the protoplanetary disk during chondrule formation. Under these oxidizing conditions, Fe-metal would likely not be present. An FeOrich chondrule in a CR2 chondrite was found to have formed under oxidizing conditions of IW-0.6 (Schrader et al., 2013) while an FeO-rich chondrule from Semarkona formed at conditions of IW-0.4 (Zanda et al., 1994). The chondrule that formed at IW-0.6 (QUE 99177 Ch5; Schrader et al., 2013) contains pyrrhotite with high Fe/S and (Fe + Ni + Cr + Ti + Co + Cu)/S (when minor elements are present) ratios indicating troilite. Given the lack of evidence that chondrules formed under highly oxidizing conditions of FMQ-3.5 to FMQ + 2 (i.e., IW to IW + 5.5; chondrules would contain Fe-depleted pyrrhotite, FeO-rich silicates, and little to no Fe-metal), we find such a high  $fO_2$  in the protoplanetary disk, while certainly possible (at least IW), was uncommon if it occurred. Individual components in the R and CK chondrites may have formed at high enough  $fO_2$  for Fe-depleted pyrrhotite to form in the protoplanetary disk. However, as even the least altered R and CK chondrites have been thermally metamorphosed, it may be difficult to determine if their Fe-depleted pyrrhotite formed during chondrule formation.

Regardless, the full range of  $fO_2$  values recorded by chondrules is worth investigating further as it is standard for chondrule  $fO_2$  calculations to be made using the quartz-ironfayalite (QIFa) buffer reaction (e.g., as used in Schrader et al. [2013]). However, an  $fO_2$ calculation with the QIFa buffer requires Fe-metal to be present in the chondrule. Chondrule  $fO_2$  values can also be determined by diffusion coefficients in Fe,Ni metal of chondrules

(Zanda et al., 1994). Therefore, chondrules that formed at higher  $IO_2$  values than IW may exist but could have been overlooked because they likely lack Fe-metal. We propose that the  $IO_2$  of FeO-rich chondrules that lack metal could be estimated from the at.% Fe/S ratio of their Ni-poor pyrrhotite, provided that secondary alteration of their sulfides could be ruled out. This technique could be used to identify FeO-rich chondrules that formed above IW if their sulfides are found to be Fe-depleted pyrrhotite.

**4.4.3.** Implications for sulfur fugacity—The sulfur fugacity ( $fS_2$ ) during the primary formation or alteration of a sulfide influences the type of sulfide that forms (e.g., Whitney, 1984; Harries and Langenhorst, 2013). The presence of Fe,Ni metal in association with troilite indicates that the  $fS_2$  of formation was near the IT buffer (e.g., Harries and Langenhorst, 2013; Schrader and Zega, 2019). Fe,Ni metal is associated with troilite in the H3.10, L3.05, LL3, LL4, LL5, LL6, CO3.00, CV3<sub>OxA</sub>, CV3<sub>Red</sub>, CY (Fig. 1e, f), and CR2 chondrites. Therefore, this indicates the  $fS_2$  of the protoplanetary disk for minimally altered samples (e.g., H3.10, L3.05, LL3, CO3.00, and CR2) and the sulfur fugacity during parent body alteration of thermally altered samples (e.g., LL4 to LL6, CV, and CY) was near the IT buffer (e.g., Schrader and Zega, 2019). Fe-depleted pyrrhotite likely formed at an  $fS_2$  above the IT buffer and may have been coupled with  $fO_2$  (i.e., where an increase in  $fS_2$  is associated with an increase in  $fO_2$ ; e.g., Whitney, 1984; Harries and Langenhorst, 2013). Therefore, since Fe-depleted pyrrhotite formed at higher  $fO_2$  than troilite (Section 4.4.2), it also likely formed at a higher  $fS_2$  than troilite.

Zolensky and Thomas (1995) hypothesized that Fe-depleted pyrrhotite in interplanetary dust particles formed in the protoplanetary disk by sulfidation of primary troilite. In addition, Lauretta et al. (1997) showed that Fe-depleted pyrrhotite could be formed in highly sulfidizing experiments of the Canyon Diablo iron meteorite. These potential mechanisms provide alternative possibilities for Fe-depleted pyrrhotite to form in the protoplanetary disk than our hypothesis that Fe-depleted pyrrhotite formation would require an  $fO_2$  of FMQ–3.5 to FMQ + 2 (i.e., IW to IW + 5.5) (Section 4.4.2). However, we did not find evidence for Fe-depleted pyrrhotite in minimally altered chondrites that could not be explained by parent asteroid aqueous alteration. Therefore, we conclude that while the  $fS_2$  of the protoplanetary disk is certainly an important factor for the formation of Fe-depleted pyrrhotite, nebular  $fO_2$  is also an important factor and these parameters may have been coupled.

#### 4.5. Predictions for pyrrhotite from Bennu and Ryugu

Based on the likely meteorite analogs to Ryugu and Bennu inferred from spacecraft and laboratory spectral data we can predict the Fe/S ratio of low-Ni pyrrhotite in samples returned from asteroid Ryugu by Hayabusa2 on December 6th, 2020 and due to be returned from asteroid Bennu by OSIRIS-REx in 2023. Fine-grained material returned from Ryugu and Bennu will likely contain numerous monomineralic grains and some of these may be individual sulfide grains without petrographic context, as was the case with some samples returned from asteroid Itokawa (e.g., Nakamura et al., 2011).

Asteroid Ryugu displays evidence for aqueous alteration and thermal metamorphism, with spectral data suggesting that the surface is most like heated CI, heated CM (e.g., Kitazato et

al., 2019; 2021), or CY chondrites (King et al., 2019). Therefore, as Fe-depleted pyrrhotite formed by aqueous alteration is modified to pyrrhotite with higher Fe/S ratios during heating, we expect low-Ni pyrrhotite in Ryugu to have generally high Fe/S ratios including Fe-depleted pyrrhotite and troilite, similar to that in the CY chondrites and the stage II heated CM2 chondrite Y-793321 (e.g., Fe/S ratios between 0.92 and ~1; Table 3). Returned sulfides are likely to be intergrown with pentlandite and Fe,Ni metal as observed in heated carbonaceous chondrite sulfides (e.g. Fig. 1e, f). If pyrrhotite grains from Ryugu are found to have Fe/S ratios exclusively near 1 or near 0.90, it could suggest either extensive heating or aqueous alteration, respectively. Since the surface of asteroid Ryugu likely contains ordinary chondrite material from impactors (Tatsumi et al., 2021), exogenous material may have been collected by Hayabusa2. If pyrrhotite from ordinary chondrite material was collected it would be expected to have an Fe/S  $\sim$  1. However, it may be difficult to identify exogeneous material from the Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite alone because the expected range of pyrrhotite Fe/S ratios from Ryugu is large, between 0.92 and 1. If pyrrhotite collected by Hayabusa is found to have Fe/S ratios near 1, differences in pyrrhotite morphology and in the minor element abundances of pyrrhotite between heated CM, CY, and ordinary chondrites (Tables 2a and 2b) may assist in constraining possible source(s).

Asteroid Bennu is highly aqueously altered, does not appear to have been heated, and is most like CM or CI chondrites (Hamilton et al., 2019). Therefore, we predict that sulfides returned from Bennu will mostly be pyrrhotite with low Fe/S ratios similar to those in CM1/2 or CI chondrites (e.g., mean Fe/S ratio 0.86–0.90; Tables 3 and 5). Pyrrhotite is likely to be associated with pentlandite (e.g., Fig. 1a, b), as is common in the CM chondrites (e.g., Kimura et al., 2011; Schrader et al., 2016; Singerling and Brearley, 2018, 2020). The surface of Bennu likely contains exogenous howardite-eucrite-diogenite (HED) material from asteroid 4 Vesta (DellaGiustina et al., 2020) that may conceivably be included in the asteroid sample returned by OSIRIS-REx. Such a sample, in addition to HED silicates, could contain HED sulfides. Pyrrhotite group sulfides in unbrecciated eucrites are entirely troilite with Fe/S ~ 1, with rare abundances of Ni up to 0.11 wt.% and Co up to 0.45 wt.% (Mayne et al., 2009). In contrast, troilite in the CM chondrite QUE 97990 contains Ni between 0.33 and 0.77 wt.% and while Co is typically below detection (<0.09 wt.%) it can be up to 0.16 wt.%. Therefore, if any exogenous sulfides from HEDs are found in Bennu returned samples, they could be identified by the identification of pure troilite with Ni contents lower than and Co abundances higher than troilite from CM chondrites. Troilite from HEDs is also different from troilite found in heated carbonaceous chondrites, because HED troilite is not associated with Fe-depleted pyrrhotite (e.g., the CYs contain a mix of troilite and Fe-depleted pyrrhotite).

The pyrrhotite Fe/S ratio can be used to estimate the  $fO_2$  of its formation and could be used to help identify the range in aqueous alteration/heating or the presence of exogenous material. Sulfides returned from both Ryugu and Bennu are likely to contain pentlandite, which in combination with low-Ni pyrrhotite will be useful to determine sulfide equilibration temperatures (temperature of equilibration during initial formation, or aqueous/ thermal alteration). In addition to the few minor element variations in pyrrhotite between meteorite groups observed here (Tables 2a and 2b), pentlandite will be an important mineral

to help identify potential matches to known meteorites since the Ni content and minor element compositions of pentlandite varies between meteorite groups (Schrader et al., 2016).

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

This study shows that:

- 1. While there are trends with the at.% Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite with thermal and aqueous alteration in some meteorite groups, there is a universal trend with the Fe/S ratio with degree of oxidation.
- **2.** Relatively reducing conditions led to the formation of troilite during: (1) chondrule formation in the protoplanetary disk (i.e., pristine chondrites) and (2) parent body thermal alteration (i.e., LL4 to LL6, CR1, CM, and CY chondrites).
- **3.** Oxidizing and sulfidizing conditions led to the formation of Fe-depleted pyrrhotite (i.e., low Fe/S ratios) during (1) aqueous alteration (i.e., CM and CI chondrites), and (2) during thermal alteration (i.e., CK and R chondrites). The production of Fe-depleted pyrrhotite is likely the result of highly oxidizing conditions leading to the oxidation of Fe either during progressive aqueous alteration (e.g., CI and CM1/2; e.g., Fe oxidized into phyllosilicates and magnetite) or thermal metamorphism (e.g., CK and R chondrites; Fe oxidized into silicates and oxides).
- 4. The presence of troilite in highly aqueously altered carbonaceous chondrites (e.g., the CY, CR1, and some CM chondrites) indicates they were heated after aqueous alteration, with an  $IO_2$  more reducing than or near the IW buffer and an  $IS_2$  near the IT buffer.
- 5. The presence of troilite, Fe-depleted pyrrhotite, or pyrite in a chondrite can provide an estimate of the  $fO_2$  and  $fS_2$  at which it formed or altered.
- 6. These results can be used to constrain the  $tO_2$ ,  $tS_2$ , and potentially the aqueous or thermal history of sulfides in extraterrestrial samples, including those returned by Hayabusa2 and due to be returned by OSIRIS-REx in the near future.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For supplying the samples that were necessary for this work, the authors would like to thank the Smithsonian Institution, NASA/NSF, the National Institute of Polar Research (NiPR), the Arizona State University Center for Meteorite Studies, the Natural History Museum London, and the Institut für Planetologie. US Antarctic meteorite samples are recovered by the Antarctic Search for Meteorites (ANSMET) program, which has been funded by NSF and NASA, and characterized and curated by the Department of Mineral Sciences of the Smithsonian Institution and Astromaterials Curation Office at NASA Johnson Space Center. We are grateful to Axel Wittmann for assistance with the EPMA at ASU and Laurence Garvie for sample preparation. We are also grateful to Emma Bullock, two anonymous reviewers, and Associate Editor Anders Meibom, whose constructive comments improved the quality of the manuscript. We acknowledge the use of facilities within the Eyring Materials Center at Arizona State University supported in part by NNCI-ECCS-1542160. This work was funded by NASA grant NNX17AE53G (PI: DLS, CoI: TJZ) and STFC grant no. ST/R000727/1.

#### REFERENCES

- Abreu NM and Brearley AJ (2010) Early solar system processes recorded in the matrices of two highly pristine CR3 carbonaceous chondrites, MET 00426 and QUE 99177. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74, 1146–1171.
- Abreu NM and Bullock ES (2013) Opaque assemblages in CR2 Graves Nunataks (GRA) 06100 as indicators of shock driven hydrothermal alteration in the CR chondrite parent body. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 48, 2406–2429.
- Alexander CMO'D, Barber DJ and Hutchison RH (1989) The microstructure of Semarkona and Bishunpur. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 53, 3045–3057.
- Alexander CMOD, Howard KT, Bowden R and Fogel ML (2013) The classification of CM and CR chondrites using bulk H, C and N abundances and isotopic compositions. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 123, 244–260.
- Alexander CMOD, Greenwood RC, Bowden R, Gibson JM, Howard KT and Franchi IA (2018) A mutli-technique search for the most primitive CO chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 221, 406–420.
- Bennett ME III and McSween HY Jr (1996) Shock features in iron-nickel metal and troilite of L-group ordinary chondrites. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 31, 255–264.
- Berger EL, Zega TJ, Keller LP and Lauretta DS (2011) Evidence for aqueous activity on comet 81P/Wild 2 from sulfide mineral assemblages in Stardust samples and CI chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 75, 3501–3513.
- Berger EL, Keller LP and Lauretta DS (2015) An experimental study of the formation of cubanite (CuFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>) in primitive meteorites. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 50, 1–14.
- Bischoff A, Scott ERD, Metzler K and Goodrich CA (2006) Nature and origins of meteoritic breccias. In Meteorites and the Early Solar System II (eds. Lauretta DS and McSween HY), pp. 679–712.
- Bischoff A, Vogel N and Roszjar J (2011) The Rumuruti chondrite group. Chem. Erde 71, 101–133.
- Bonal L, Gattacceca J, Garenee A, Eschrig J, Rochette P and Ruggiu LK (2020) Water and heat: New constraints on the evolution of the CV chondrite parent body. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 276, 363–383.
- Brearley AJ and Jones RH (1998) Chondritic meteorites. In Planetary Materials. Reviews in Mineralogy, Vol. 36 (ed. Papike JJ). Mineralogical Society of America, pp. 3–1 to 3–398.
- Bullock ES, Gounelle M, Lauretta DS, Grady MM and Russell SS (2005) Mineralogy and texture of Fe-Ni sulfides in CI1 chondrites: Clues to the extent of aqueous alteration on the CI1 parent body. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 69, 2687–2700.
- Busemann H, Alexander CMO'D and Nittler LR (2007) Characterization of insoluble organic matter in primitive meteorites by microRaman spectroscopy. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 42, 1387–1416.
- Chaumard N and Devouard B (2016) Chondrules in CK carbonaceous chondrites and thermal history of the CV-CK parent body. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 51, 547–573.
- Cody GD, Alexander CMOD, Yabuta H, Kilcoyne ALD, Araki T, Ade H, Dera P, Fogel M, Militzer B and Mysen BO (2008) Organic thermometry for chondritic parent bodies. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett 272, 446–455.
- Davidson J, Schrader DL, Lauretta DS, Busemann H, Alexander CMOD, Greenwood RC, Domanik KJ, Franchi IA and Verchovsky A (2014a) Petrology, geochemistry, stable isotopes, Raman spectroscopy, and presolar components of RBT 04133: A reduced CV3 carbonaceous chondrite. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 49, 2133–2151. [PubMed: 26640360]
- Davidson J, Krot AN, Nagashima K, Hellebrand E and Lauretta DS (2014b) Oxygen isotope and chemical compositions of magnetite and olivine in the anomalous CK3 Watson 002 and ungrouped Asuka-881595 carbonaceous chondrites: Effects of parent body metamorphism. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 49, 1456–1474.
- Davidson J, Schrader DL, Alexander CMO'D, Nittler LR and Bowden R (2019a) Re-examining thermal metamorphism of the Renazzo-like (CR) carbonaceous chondrites: Insights from pristine Miller Range 090657 and shock-heated Graves Nunataks 06100. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 267, 240–256.

- Davidson J, Alexander CMOD, Stroud RM, Busemann H and Nittler LR (2019b) Mineralogy and petrology of Dominion Range 08006: A very primitive CO3 carbonaceous chondrite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 265, 259–278.
- DellaGiustina DN, Kaplan HH, Simon AA, Bottke WF, Avdellidou C, Delbo M, Ballouz R-L, Golish DR, Walsh KJ, Popescu M, Campins H, Barucci MA, Poggiali G, Daly T, Le Corre L, Hamilton VE, Porter N, Jawin ER, McCoy TJ, Connolly HC Jr., RizosGarcia JL, Tatsumi E, de Leon J, Licandro J, Fornasier S, Daly MG, Al Asad MM, Philpott L, Seabrook J, Barnouin OS, Clark BE, Nolan MC, Howell ES, Binzel RP, Rizk B, Reuter DC and Lauretta DS (2020) Exogenic basalt on asteroid (101955) Bennu. Nat. Astron. 10.1038/s41550-020-1195-z.
- Donaldson Hanna KL, Schrader DL, Cloutis EA, Cody GD, King AJ, McCoy TJ, Applin DM, Mann JP, Bowles NE, Brucato JR, Connolly HC Jr., Dotto E, Keller LP, Lim LF, Clark BE, Hamilton VE, Lantz C, Lauretta DS, Russell SS and Schofield PF (2019) Spectral characterization of analog samples in anticipation of OSIRIS-REx's arrival at Bennu: A blind test study. Icarus 319, 701–723.
- Dunn TL, Cressy G, McSween HY and McCoy TJ (2010) Analysis of ordinary chondrites using powder X-ray diffraction: 1. Modal mineral abundances. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 45, 123–134.
- Ebert S, Nagashima K, Krot AN and Bischoff A (2020) Oxygen-isotope heterogeneity in the Northwest Africa 3358 (H3.1) refractory inclusions – Fluid-assisted isotopic exchange on the H-chondrite parent body. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 282, 98–112.
- El Goresy A, Yabuki H, Ehlers K, Woolum D and Pernicka E (1988) Qingzhen and Yamato-691: A tentative alphabet for the EH chondrites. Proc. NIPR Symp. Ant. Met 1, 65–101.
- Etschmann B, Pring A, Putnis A, Grguric BA and Studer A (2004) A kinetic study of the exsolution of pentlandite (Ni, Fe)<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub> from the monosulfide solid solution (Fe, Ni)S. Am. Mineral 89, 39–50.
- Fogel RA, Hess PC and Rutherford MJ (1989) Intensive parameters of enstatite chondrite metamorphism. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 53, 2735–2746.
- Geiger T and Bischoff A (1995) Formation of opaque minerals in CK chondrites. Planet. Space Sci 43, 485–498.
- Goreva YS and McCoy TJ (2012) Is the difference between  $CV_{Ox}$  and  $CV_{Red}$  a function of oxygen fugacity?. 43rd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference #2470 (abstr.).
- Grossman JN and Brearley AJ (2005) The onset of metamorphism in ordinary and carbonaceous chondrites. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 40, 87–122.
- Haldar SK, 2017Chapter 1 Introduction. In: Platinum-Nickel-Chromium Deposits. pp. 1–35.
- Hamilton V, Simon A, Christensen P, Reuter D, Clark B, Barucci A, Bowles N, Boynton W, Brucato J, Cloutis E, Connolly H Jr., Donaldson Hanna K, Emery J, Enos H, Fornasier S, Haberle C, Hanna R, Howell E, Kaplan H, Keller M, Lantz C, Li J-Y, Lim L, McCoy T, Merlin F, Nolan M, Praet A, Rozitis B, Sandford S, Schrader DL, Thomas C, Zou X-D, Lauretta D and OSIRIS-REx Team (2019) Evidence for widespread hydrated minerals on asteroid (101955) Bennu. Nature Astron 3, 332–340. [PubMed: 31360777]
- Harries D (2018) Sulfide mineralogy of heated CM/CI-like chondrites as indicator of asteroidal processes. 2018 Hayabusa Symposium (Abstract).
- Harries D and Langenhorst F (2013) The nanoscale mineralogy of Fe, Ni sulfides in pristine and metamorphosed CM and CM/CI like chondrites: Tapping a petrogenetic record. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 48, 879–903.
- Harries D and Zolensky ME (2016) Mineralogy of iron sulfides in CM1 and C11 lithologies of the Kaidun breccia: Records of extreme to intense hydrothermal alteration. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 51, 1096–1109.
- Harries D, Pollock K and Langenhorst F (2011) Translation interface modulation in NC-pyrrhotites: Direct imaging by TEM and a model toward understanding partially disordered structural states. Am. Mineral 96, 716–731.
- Herndon JM, Rowe MW, Larson EE and Watson DE (1975) Origin of magnetite and pyrrhotite in carbonaceous chondrites. Nature 253, 516–518.
- Howard KT, Benedix GK, Bland PA and Cressy G (2010) Modal mineralogy of CV3 chondrites by X-ray diffraction (PSD-XRD). Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74, 5084–5097.

- Howard KT, Alexander CMOD, Schrader DL and Dyl KA (2015) Classification of hydrous meteorites (CR, CM and C2 ungrouped) by phyllosilicate fraction: PSD-XRD modal mineralogy and planetesimal environments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 149, 206–222.
- Ikeda Y (1992) An overview of the research consortium, "Antarctic carbonaceous chondrites with CI affinities, Yamato-86720, Yamato-82162, and Belgica-7904". In Proc. NIPR Symp. Antarct. Meteorites, 5, pp. 49–73. Proc. NIPR Symp. Antarct. Meteorites.
- Jarosewich E (1990) Chemical analyses of meteorites: A compilation of stony and iron meteorite analyses. Meteoritics 25, 323–337.
- Jenniskens P, Fries MD, Yin Q-Z, Zolensky M, Krot AN, Sanford SA, Sears D, Beauford R, Ebel DS, Friedrich JM, Nagashima K, Wimpenny J, Yamakawa A, Nishiizumi K, Hamajima Y, Caffee MW, Welten KC, Laubenstein M, Davis AM, Simon SB, Heck PR, Young ED, Kohl IE, Thiemens MH, Nunn MH, Mikouchi T, Hagiya K, Ohsumi K, Cahill TA, Lawton JA, Barnes D, Steele A, Rochette P, Verosub KL, Gattacceca J, Cooper G, Glavin DP, Burton AS, Dworkin JP, Elsila JE, Pizzarello S, Ogliore R, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Harir M, Hertkorn N, Verchovsky A, Grady MM, Nagao K, Okazaki R, Takechi H, Hiroi T, Smith K, Silber EA, Brown PG, Albers J, Klotz D, Hankey M, Matson R, Fries JA, Walker RJ, Puchtel I, Lee C-TA, Erdman ME, Eppich GR, Roeske S, Gabelica Z, Lerche M, Nuevo M, Girten B, Worden SP and (the Sutter's Mill Meteorite Consortium) (2012) Radarenabled recovery of the Sutter's Mill meteorite, a carbonaceous chondrite regolith breccia. Science 338, 1583–1587. [PubMed: 23258889]

Jilly-Rehak CE, Huss GR and Nagashima K (2017) <sup>53</sup>Mn-<sup>53</sup>Cr radiometric dating of secondary carbonates in CR chondrites: Timescales for parent body aqueous alteration. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 201, 224–244.

- Jilly-Rehak CE, Huss GR, Nagashima K and Schrader DL (2018) Low temperature aqueous alteration on the CR chondrite parent body: Implications from in situ oxygen isotopes. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 222, 230–252. [PubMed: 29713092]
- Jones RH, McCubbin FM, Dreeland L, Guan Y, Burger PV and Shearer CK (2014) Phosphate minerals in LL chondrites: A record of the action of fluids during metamorphism on ordinary chondrite parent bodies. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 132, 120–140.
- Keil K (1968) Zincian daubreelite from the Kota-Kota and St. Mark's enstatite chondrites. Am. Mineral 53, 491–495.
- Keil K and Andersen CA (1965) Electron microprobe study of the Jajh deh Kot Lalu enstatite chondrite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 29, 621–622.
- Kessel R, Beckett JR and Stolper EM (2007) The thermal history of equilibrated ordinary chondrites and the relationship between textural maturity and temperature. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71, 1855–1881.
- Kimura M, Grossman JN and Weisberg MK (2008) Fe-Ni metal in primitive chondrites: Indicators of classification and metamorphic conditions for ordinary and CO chondrites. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 43, 1161–1177.
- Kimura M, Grossman JN and Weisberg MK (2011) Fe-Ni metal and sulfide minerals in CM chondrites: An indicator for thermal history. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 46, 431–442.
- Kimura M, Imae N, Komatsu M, Barrat JA, Greenwood RC, Yamaguchi A and Noguchi T (2020) The most primitive CM chondrites, Asuka 12085, 12169, and 12236, of subtypes 3.0–2.8: Their characteristic features and classification. Pol. Sci 26.
- King AJ, Schofield PF, Howard KT and Russell SS (2015) Modal mineralogy of CI and CI-like chondrites by X-ray diffraction. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 165, 148–160.
- King AJ, Bates HC, Krietsch D, Busemann H, Clay PL, Schofield PF and Russell SS (2019) The Yamato-type (CY) carbonaceous chondrite group: Analogues for the surface of asteroid Ryugu?. Geochem 79, 125531.
- Kitazato K, Milliken RE, Iwata T, Abe M, Ohtake M, Matsuura S, Arai T, Nakauchi Y, Nakamura T, Matsuoka M, Senshu H, Hirata N, Hiroi T, Pilorget C, Brunetto R, Poulet F, Riu L, Bibring J-P, Takir D, Domingue DL, Vilas F, Barucci MA, Perna D, Palomba E, Galiano A, Tsumura K, Osawa T, Komatsu M, Nakato A, Arai T, Takato N, Matsunaga T, Takagi Y, Matsumoto K, Kouyama T, Yokota Y, Tatsumi E, Sakatani N, Yamamoto Y, Okada T, Sugita S, Honda R, Morota T, Kameda S, Sawada H, Honda C, Yamada M, Suzuki H, Yoshioka K, Hayakawa M, Ogawa K, Cho Y, Shirai K, Shimaki Y, Hirata N, Yamaguchi A, Ogawa N, Terui F, Yamaguchi T, Takei

Y, Saiki T, Nakazawa S, Tanaka S, Yoshikawa M, Watanabe S and Tsuda Y (2019) The surface composition of asteroid 162173 Ryugu from Hayabusa2 near-infrared spectroscopy. Science 364, 272–275. [PubMed: 30890589]

Kitazato K, Milliken RE, Iwata T, Abe M, Ohtake M, Matsuura S, Takagi Y, Nakamura T, Hiroi T, Matsuoka M, Riu L, Nakauchi Y, Tsumura K, Arai T, Senshu H, Hirata N, Barucci MA, Brunetto R, Pilorget C, Poulet F, Bibring J-P, Domingue DL, Vilas F, Takir D, Palomba E, Galiano A, Perna D, Osawa T, Komatsu M, Nakato A, Arai T, Takato N, Matsunaga T, Arakawa M, Saiki T, Wada K, Kadono T, Imamura H, Yano H, Shirai K, Hayakawa M, Okamoto C, Sawada H, Ogawa K, Iijima Y, Sugita S, Honda R, Morota T, Kameda S, Tatsumi E, Cho Y, Yoshioka K, Yokota Y, Sakatani N, Yamada M, Kouyama T, Suzuki H, Honda C, Namiki N, Mizuno T, Matsumoto K, Noda H, Ishihara Y, Yamada R, Yamamoto K, Yoshida F, Abe S, Higuchi A, Yamamoto Y, Okada T, Shimaki Y, Noguchi R, Miura A, Hirata N, Tachibana S, Yabuta H, Ishiguro M, Ikeda H, Takeuchi H, Shimada T, Mori O, Hosoda S, Tsukizaki R, Soldini S, Ozaki M, Terui F, Ogawa N, Mimasu Y, Ono G, Yoshikawa K, Hirose C, Fujii A, Takahashi T, Kikuchi M, Takei Y, Yamaguchi T, Nakazawa S, Tanaka S, Yoshikawa M, Watanabe S and Tsuda Y (2021) Thermally altered subsurface material of asteroid (162173) Ryugu. Astron. Nat. 10.1038/s41550-020-01271-2.

- Larimer JW and Wasson JT (1988) Siderophile element fractionation. In Meteorites and the Early Solar System (eds. Kerridge JF and Matthews MW). The University of Arizona, Press, pp. 416– 435.
- Lauretta DS, Kremser DT and Fegley B Jr. (1996) The rate of iron sulfide formation in the solar nebula. Icarus 122, 288–315.
- Lauretta DS, Lodders K and Fegley B Jr. (1997) Experimental simulations of sulfide formation in the solar nebula. Science 277, 358–360. [PubMed: 9219690]

Lauretta DS, Lodders K and Fegley B Jr. (1998) Kamacite sulfurization in the solar nebula. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 33, 821–833.

- Lauretta DS, Balram-Knutson SS, Beshore E, Boynton WV, Drouet d'Aubigny C, DellaGiustina DN, Enos HL, Golish DR, Hergenrother CW, Howell ES, Bennett CA, Morton ET, Nolan MC, Rizk B, Roper HL, Bartels AE, Bos BJ, Dworkin JP, Highsmith DE, Lorenz DA, Lim LF, Mink R, Moreau MC, Nuth JA, Reuter DC, Simon AA, Bierhaus EB, Bryan BH, Ballouz R, Barnouin OS, Binzel RP, Clark BE, Connolly HC, Crombie MK, Daly MG, Emery JP, McCoy TJ, McMahon JW, Scheers DJ, Messenger S, Nakamura-Messenger K, Righter K and Sandford SA (2017). Space Sci. Rev 212, 925–984.
- Leitch CA and Smith JV (1982) Petrography, mineral chemistry and origin of type 1 enstatite chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 46, 2083–2097.
- Marrocchi Y and Libourel G (2013) Sulfur and sulfides in chondrules. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 119, 117–136.
- Mason B (1966) The enstatite chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 30, 23-39.
- Masson B and Wiik HB (1962a) The Renazzo meteorite. Am. Mus. Novitates 2106, 1-11.
- Masson B and Wiik HB (1962b) Descriptions of two meteorites; Karoonda and Erakot. Am. Mus. Novitates 2115, 1–10.
- Matsuoka K, Nakamura T, Nakamuta Y and Takaoka N (1996) Yamato-86789: A heated CM-like carbonaceous chondrite. Proc. NIPR Symp. Antarct. Meteorites 9, 20–36.
- Mayne RG, McSween HY Jr., McCoy TJ and Gale A (2009) Petrology of unbrecciated eucrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 73, 794–819.
- McCanta MC, Treiman AH, Dyar MD, Alexander CMOD, Rumble D III and Essene EJ (2008) The LaPaz Icefield 04840 meteorite: mineralogy, metamorphism, and origin of an amphibole- and biotite-bearing R chondrite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 72, 5757–5780.
- Morimoto N, Gyobu A, Mukaiyama H and Izawa E (1975) Crystallography and stability of pyrrhotites. Econ. Geo 70, 824–833.
- Nakamura T (2005) Post-hydration thermal metamorphism of carbonaceous chondrites. J. Mineral. Petrol. Sci 100, 260–272.
- Nakamura T, Noguchi T, Tanaka M, Zolensky ME, Kimura M, Tsuchiyama A, Nakato A, Ogami T, Ishida H, Uesugi M, Yada T, Shirai K, Fujimura A, Okazaki R, Sandford SA, Ishibashi Y, Abe M, Okada T, Ueno M, Mukai T, Yoshikawa M and Kawaguchi J (2011) Itokawa Dust Particles:

A Direct Link Between S-Type Asteroids and Ordinary Chondrites. Science 333, 1113–1116. [PubMed: 21868667]

Naldrett AJ (1989) Magmatic Sulphide Deposits. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- Noguchi T, Bridges JC, Hicks LJ, Gurman SJ, Kimura M, Hashimoto T, Konno M, Bradley JP, Okazaki R, Uesugi M, Yada T, Karouji Y, Abe M, Okada T, Mitsunari T, Nakamura and Kagi H (2014) Mineralogy of four Itokawa particles collected from the first touchdown site. Earth Planets Space 66, 124.
- Piani L, Marrocchi Y, Libourel G and Tissandier L (2016) Magmatic sulfides in the porphyritic chondrules of EH enstatite chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 195, 84–99.
- Raghavan V (2004) Fe-Ni-S (iron-nickel-sulfur). J. Phase Equilib 25, 373-381.
- Rambaldi ER and Wasson JT (1981) Metal and associated phases in Bishunpur, a highly unequilibrated ordinary chondrite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 45, 1001–1015.
- Righter K and Drake MJ (1996) Core formation in Earth's Moon, Mars and Vesta. Icarus 124, 512–528.
- Righter K and Neff KE (2007) Temperature and oxygen fugacity constraints on CK and R chondrites and implications for water and oxidation in the early solar system. Polar Sci 1, 25–44.
- Righter K, Collins SJ and Brandon AD (2005) Mineralogy and petrology of the LaPaz Icefield lunar mare basaltic meteorities. Meteoritics & Planet. Sci 40, 1703–1722.
- Righter K, Drake MJ and Scott ERD (2006) Compositional relationships between meteorites and terrestrial planets. In Meteorites and the Early Solar System, II (eds. Lauretta D and McSween H). University of Arizona Press, Tucson, pp. 803–828.
- Rubin AE (1983) The Adhi Kot breccia and implications for the origin of chondrites and silica-rich clasts in entatite chondrites. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett 64, 201–212.
- Rubin AE (1984) The Blithfield meteorite and the origin of sulfide-rich, metal-poor clasts and inclusions in brecciated enstatite chondrites. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett 67, 273–283.
- Rubin AE (2005) Relationships among intrinsic properties of ordinary chondrites: Oxidation state, bulk chemistry, oxygen-isotopic composition, petrologic type, and chondrule size. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 69, 4907–4918.
- Rubin AE (2014) Shock and annealing in the amphibole- and mica-bearing R chondrites. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 49, 1057–1075.
- Rubin AE, Trigo-Rodríguez JM, Huber H and Wasson JT (2007) Progressive aqueous alteration of CM carbonaceous chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71, 2361–2382.
- Schrader DL and Lauretta DS (2010) High-temperature experimental analogs of primitive meteoric metal-sulfide-oxide assemblages. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74, 1719–1733.
- Schrader DL and Davidson J (2017) CM and CO chondrites: A common parent body or asteroidal neighbors? Insights from chondrule silicates. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 214, 157–171.
- Schrader DL and Zega TJ (2019) Petrographic and compositional indicators of formation and alteration conditions from LL chondrite sulfides. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 264, 165–179. [PubMed: 31708588]
- Schrader DL, Connolly HC Jr. and Lauretta DS (2008) Opaque phases in type-II chondrules from CR2 chondrites: Implications for CR parent body formation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 72, 6124–6140.
- Schrader DL, Franchi IA, Connolly HC Jr., Greenwood RC, Lauretta DS and Gibson JM (2011) The formation and alteration of the Renazzo-like carbonaceous chondrites I: Implications of bulk-oxygen isotopic composition. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 75, 308–325.
- Schrader DL, Connolly HC Jr., Lauretta DS, Nagashima K, Huss GR, Davidson J and Domanik KJ (2013) The formation and alteration of the Renazzo-like carbonaceous chondrites II: Linking O-isotope composition and oxidation state of chondrule olivine. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 101, 302–327.
- Schrader DL, Davidson J, Greenwood RC, Franchi IA and Gibson JM (2014) A water-ice rich minor body from the early Solar System: The CR chondrite parent asteroid. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett 407, 48–60.

- Schrader DL, Connolly HC Jr., Lauretta DS, Zega TJ, Davidson J and Domanik KJ (2015) The formation and alteration of the Renazzo-like carbonaceous chondrites III: Towards understanding the genesis of ferromagnesian chondrules. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 50, 15–50.
- Schrader DL, Davidson J and McCoy TJ (2016) Widespread evidence for high-temperature formation of pentlandite in chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 189, 359–376.
- Schrader DL, Fu RR, Desch SJ and Davidson J (2018) The background temperature of the protoplanetary disk within the first four million years of the Solar System. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett 504, 30–37. [PubMed: 31708587]
- Scott ERD (1982) Origin of rapidly solidified metal-troilite grains in chondrites and iron meteorites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 46, 813–823.
- Singerling SA and Brearley AJ (2018) Primary iron sulfides in CM and CR carbonaceous chondrites: Insights into nebular processes. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 53, 2078–2106.
- Singerling SA and Brearley AJ (2020) Altered primary iron sulfides in CM2 and CR2 carbonaceous chondrites: Insights into parent body processes. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 55, 496–523.
- Suttle MD, Greshake A, King AJ, Schofield PF, Tomkins A and Russell SS (2021) The alteration history of the CY chondrites, investigated through analysis of anew member: Dhofar 1988. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 295, 286–309.
- Tachibana S and Huss GR (2005) Sulfur isotope composition of putative primary troilite in chondrules from Bishunpur and Semarkona. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 69, 3075–3097.
- Tatsumi E, Sugimoto C, Riu L, Sugita S, Nakamura T, Hiroi T, Morota T, Popescu M, Michikami T, Kitazato K, Matsuoka M, Kameda S, Honda R, Yamada M, Sakatani N, Kouyama T, Yokota Y, Honda C, Suzuki H, Cho Y, Ogawa K, Hayakawa M, Sawada H, Yoshioka K, Pilorget C, Ishida M, Domingue D, Hirata N, Sasaki S, de León J, Barucci MA, Michel P, Suemitsu M, Saiki T, Tanaka S, Terui F, Nakazawa S, Kikuchi S, Yamaguchi T, Ogawa N, Ono G, Mimasu Y, Yoshikawa K, Takahashi T, Takei Y, Fujii A, Yamamoto Y, Okada T, Hirose C, Hosoda S, Mori O, Shimada T, Soldini S, Tsukizaki R, Mizuno T, Iwata T, Yano H, Ozaki M, Abe M, Ohtake M, Namiki N, Tachibana S, Arakawa M, Ikeda H, Ishiguro M, Wada K, Yabuta H, Takeuchi H, Shimaki Y, Shirai K, Hirata N, Iijima Y, Tsuda Y, Watanabe S and Yoshikawa M (2021) Collisional history of Ryugu's parent body from bright surface boulders. Nat. Astron 5, 39–45.
- Tonui EK, Zolensky ME, Hiroi T, Nakamura T, Lipschutz ME, Wang M-S and Okudaira K (2014) Petrographic, chemical and spectroscopic evidence for thermal metamorphism in carbonaceous chondrites I: CI and CM chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 126, 284–306.
- Tsuchiyama A, Uesugi M, Matsushima T, Michikami T, Kadono T, Nakamura T, Uesugi K, Nakano T, Sandford SA, Noguchi R, Matsumoto T, Matsuno J, Nagano T, Imai Y, Takeuchi A, Suzuki Y, Ogami T, Katagiri J, Ebihara M, Ireland TR, Kitajima F, Nagao K, Naraoka H, Noguchi T, Okazaki R, Yurimoto H, Zolensky ME, Mukai T, Abe M, Yada T, Fujimura A, Yoshikawa M and Kawaguchi J (2011) Three-Dimensional Structure of Hayabusa Samples: Origin and Evolution of Itokawa Regolith. Science 333, 1125–1128. [PubMed: 21868671]
- Tsuchiyama A, Uesugi M, Uesugi K, Nakano T, Noguchi R, Matsumoto T, Matsuno J, Nagano T, Imai Y, Shimada A, Takeuchi A, Suzuki Y, Nakamura T, Noguchi T, Abe M, Yada and Fujimura A (2014) Three-dimensional microstructure of samples recovered from asteroid 25143 Itokawa: Comparison with LL5 and LL6 chondrite particles. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 49, 172–187.
- Tsuda Y, Yoshikawa M, Saiki T, Nakazawa S and Watanabe S (2019) Hayabusa2-Sample return and kinetic impact mission to near-earth asteroid Ryugu. Acta Astronaut 156, 387–393.
- Urey HC and Craig H (1953) The composition of the stone meteorites and the origin of the meteorites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 4, 36–82.
- Weisberg MK and Huber H (2007) The GRO 95577 CR1 chondrite and hydration of the CR parent body. Meteorit. Planet. Sci 42, 1495–1503.
- Weisberg MK, Prinz M, Clayton RN and Mayeda TK (1993) The CR (Renazzo-like) carbonaceous chondrite group and its implications. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 57, 1567–1586.
- Weisberg MK, McCoy TJ and Krot AN (2006) Systematic and evaluation of meteorite classification. In Meteorites and the Early Solar System II (eds. Lauretta DS and McSween HY), pp. 19–52.
- Whitney JA (1984) Fugacities of sulfurous gases in pyrrhotite-bearing silicic magmas. Am. Mineral 69, 69–78.

- Yanai K (1992) Bulk composition of Yamato-793575 classified as Carlisle-Lakes type chondrite. 23rd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference (abstr. #1559).
- Zanda B, Bourot-Denise M, Perron C and Hewins RH (1994) Origin and metamorphic redistribution of silicon, chromium, and phosphorus in the metal of chondrites. Science 265, 1846–1849. [PubMed: 17797224]
- Zolensky M and Thomas KL (1995) Iron and iron-nickel sulfides in chondritic interplanetary dust particles. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 59, 4707–4712.
- Zolensky M, Barrett R and Browning L (1993) Mineralogy and composition of matrix and chondrule rims in carbonaceous chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 57, 3123–3148.



#### Fig. 1.

Backscattered electron (BSE) images of sulfide grains in the (a) CI Alais, (b), CM1/2 ALH 83100, (c) CM2 Aguas Zarcas, (d) CM2 QUE 97990, (e and f) CY Y-86789, (g) CR1 GRO 95577, and (h) Karoonda CK4. Images of LL, R, CK, and R chondrites can be seen in Schrader et al. (2016) and Schrader and Zega (2019). mgt = magnetite, po = pyrrhotite, pn = pentlandite, Ni-poor metal = Ni < 10 wt.%, and Ni-rich metal = Ni > 10 wt.%.



#### Fig. 2.

BSE images of uncommon sulfide morphologies. (a) 'Fizzed' sulfide grain in the matrix of the CM2 QUE 97990,53 and (b) lath shaped sulfides in a CM1 clast from the CM1/2 Kolang (sample ASU2147\_C3c). po = pyrrhotite.



#### Fig. 3.

BSE image of QUE 97990,53 thin section showing two distinct lithologies. The dominant lithology appears to contain more chondrules and chondrule fragments, whereas the lithology in the center of the thin section appears to contain fewer chondrules and matrix that is brighter in BSE, perhaps indicating more aqueous alteration and more abundant Fe-oxides. Sulfides in the dominant lithology contain troilite (e.g., Fig. 1d), while the central lithology contains Fe-depleted pyrrhotite (e.g., Fig. 2a), indicating more extensive aqueous alteration.

Page 29



#### Fig. 4.

The average Fe/S ratio (at.%, mean  $\pm$  1SE) of low-Ni pyrrhotite in each chondrite studied here. The Fe/S ratio of ideal troilite is 1.00 and the lowest Fe/S ratio of pyrrhotite is 0.8.



#### Fig. 5.

Diagram of the average  $Fe_{oxidized}/Si$  (moles) value of chondrite groups (not the exact samples studied here) vs. (a) the average Fe/S (at.%, ±1 $\sigma$ ) of corresponding chondrite groups and (b) vs. the average Cations/S (at.%, ±1 $\sigma$ ) of corresponding chondrite groups (Cations = Fe + Ni + Cr + Ti + Co + Cu) including troilite from EH and EL chondrites; values in Table 4 Oxidation state of the samples increases as the Fe<sub>oxidized</sub>/Si (moles) value increases. Minimally/unaltered samples (L3.05, CO3.00, and CR2) contain pyrrhotite with high Fe/S ratios (including abundant troilite), while heated and oxidized samples (R and CK chondrites) contain pyrrhotite with lower Fe/S ratios. The CV3 chondrites also only contain pyrrhotite with high Fe/S ratios, despite undergoing a range of mild thermal and aqueous alteration. The LL chondrites were thermally metamorphosed, but under relatively reducing conditions compared to R and CK chondrites. The CM and CI chondrites were aqueously altered under oxidizing conditions and contain pyrrhotite with low Fe/S ratios.

Troilite data for enstatite chondrites from the literature; EH data from Keil (1968), Leitch and Smith (1982), Rubin (1983), El Goresy et al. (1988), Piani et al. (2016), and EL data from Keil and Andersen (1965) and Rubin (1984). Average  $Fe_{oxidized}/Si$  (moles) values from Brearley and Jones (1998) and Righter et al. (2006); original data from Urey and Craig (1953), Larimer and Wasson (1988), Jarosewich (1990), Masson and Wiik (1962a, b), and Yanai (1992). Only meteorite groups studied here that have literature  $F_{eoxidized}/Si$  (moles) values are plotted.

#### Table 1

Abundance of sulfides in chondrite groups.

Meteorite Group	Volume %	References <sup>a</sup>
CI	2.2-7.0	1,2
CY	12-28	3,4
СМ	0.6–5.4	5
CO3	2–3	6
$CR^{b}$	2.3–9.3	5,7
CV3	1.3–9.9	2,8
СК	~1	9
Н	4.1–7.0	10
L	1.5-10.3	2,10
LL	4.2-8.6	10
R	~6	11

<sup>a</sup>(1) King et al. (2015); (2) Donaldson Hanna et al. (2019); (3) King et al. (2019); (4) Suttle et al. (2021); (5) Howard et al. (2015); (6) Alexander et al. (2018); (7) Schrader et al. (2014); (8) Howard et al. (2010); (9) Geiger and Bischoff (1995); (10) Dunn et al. (2010); (11) Bischoff et al. (2011).

bWeathered hot desert samples have abundances down to 0.2 vol.% due to sulfide weathering [7,8].

NASA Author Manuscript

NASA Author Manuscript

Major and minor element compositional averages and ranges of low-Ni pyrrhotite (wt.%) in carbonaceous chondrites.

Table 2a

									;			,			,		
Group <sup>a</sup>	Meteorite <sup>b</sup>		Fe	S	Si	Ч	Ï	Mn	Ca	ïZ	Co	Cr	Mg	AI	Cu	Total	# Analyses
CI	Alais	$avg \pm \sigma$	$58.9 \pm 0.4$	$39.7 \pm 0.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.80 \pm \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	lbd	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \\ \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	$99.44 \pm 0.59$	22
		min– max	58.2- 59.5	38.8– 40.6	bdl-0.08	lbdl	lbdl	bdl- 0.04	-lbd 0.09	0.49-0.92	lþd	lbd	bdl- 0.06	bdl- 0.04	lbd		
CI	Ivuna	$\operatorname{avg}\pm\sigma$	$59.4\pm 0.3$	$39.2\pm0.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	lbd	pu	lþd	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.92 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	pu	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.59 \pm \\ 0.85 \end{array}$	22
		min– max	58.6- 59.9	38.2– 41.1	bdl-0.11	lbd	pu	lþd	pu	0.83 - 0.98	bdl- 0.05	pu	bdl- 0.12	pu	lbd		
CI	Orgueil	$\operatorname{avg}\pm\sigma$	$58.3 \pm \\ 0.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.3 \pm \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	lbdl	lþd	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.91 \pm \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	lþd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 98.58 \pm \\ 0.46 \end{array}$	8
		min– max	57.8– 58.9	38.9– 39.6	0.02 - 0.04	lbd	lbdl	lþd	pu	0.83 - 0.98	lþd	bdl- 0.04	lbd	lbd	pu		
CM1/2	ALH 83100	$avg\pm\sigma$	$60.8\pm0.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 38.3 \pm \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.61 \pm \\ 0.26 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.81 \pm \\ 0.43 \end{array}$	10
		min– max	60.0– 62.2	37.3– 39.1	bdl-0.09	lbd	lbd	bdl- 0.05	bdl- 0.05	0.36 - 0.97	bdl- 0.14	bdl- 0.04	bdl- 0.06	lbd	lbd		
CM1/2	Kolang (avg)	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.3 \pm \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38.9 \pm \\ 0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbd	lbdl	lþd	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.70 \pm \ 0.12 \end{array}$	lþd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbdl	$99.93 \pm 0.33$	20
		min– max	59.4– 61.1	$\frac{38.7}{39.1}$	0.03 - 0.06	lbd	lbdl	lþd	pu	0.46 - 0.88	lþd	bdl- 0.20	lbd	lbd	lbd		
CM2 (stage I) <sup>c</sup>	A-881458	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.3 \pm \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	$38.7\pm0.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64 \pm \\ 0.16 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \pm \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	99.83 ± 0.37	14
		min– max	59.2- 60.9	38.1 - 39.1	bdl-0.03	lbd	lbd	bdl- 0.21	pu	0.39 - 0.93	bdl- 0.13	bdl- 0.27	lbd	lbd	lbd		
CM2	Aguas Zarcas (avg)	$avg\pm\sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.5 \pm \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38.1 \pm \\ 0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lþd	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.62 \pm \\ 0.18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.28 \pm \\ 0.79 \end{array}$	٢
		min– max	59.9– 61.0	37.5- 38.7	bdl-0.03	lbdl	lbdl	lþd	pu	0.45 - 0.95	bdl- 0.13	bdl- 0.06	lbd	lbd	lbdl		
CM2	Mighei	$avg \pm \sigma$	$61.3 \pm 0.9$	37.7 ± 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm 0.00 \end{array}$	$0.47 \pm 0.16$	lbd	$0.02 \pm 0.03$	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	$99.57 \pm 0.63$	٢
		min– max	59.9– 62.5	36.2– 38.7	bdl-0.10	lbd	lbd	lþdl	0.04 - 0.04	0.26 - 0.69	lbdl	bdl- 0.06	lbdl	lbd	-lbd 0.09		

Geochim Cosmochim Acta. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 15.

Schrader et al.

Group <sup>a</sup>	$Meteorite^{b}$		Fe	S	Si	Ь	п	Mn	Ca	Ni	C0	Cr	Mg	AI	Cu	Total	# Analyses
CM2	QUE 97990	$avg\pm\sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.0 \pm \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	$36.5\pm0.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.54 \pm \\ 0.15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	lbd	lbdl	lþd	99.24 ± 0.36	11
		min– max	60.9– 62.7	36.1 - 37.3	bdl-0.05	lbd	lbd	-lbd 0.09	pu	0.33 - 0.77	bdl- 0.16	-lbd 0.09	lbd	lbd	lþd		
CM2 (stage II) <sup>c</sup>	Y-793321	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.7 \pm \\ 0.5 \end{array}$	$37.4\pm0.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \pm \\ 0.18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	lbd	bdl	pu	$100.34 \pm 0.51$	33
		min– max	61.6– 63.4	36.7– 38.6	bdl-0.16	lbd	lbd	lbd	pu	bdl-0.88	bdl- 0.16	bdl- 0.25	lbd	lbdl	pu		
CM-like	Sutter's Mill	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.8 \pm \\ 0.8 \end{array}$	$38.3 \pm 0.6$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbd	lbdl	lbd	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64 \pm \\ 0.15 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	bdl	lbd	$0.01 \pm 0.03$ 0.03	100.76 ± 0.71	11
		min– max	61.0– 63.7	37.2 - 39.1	bd1-0.06	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbdl	0.44- 0.99	lþd	bdl- 0.15	lbd	lbd	bdl- 0.10		
CY	B-7904	$avg\pm\sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.6 \pm \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	$36.5\pm0.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17 \pm \ 0.20 \end{array}$	lþd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	lbd	pu	$99.35 \pm 0.29$	22
		min– max	61.6– 63.1	36.1 - 36.8	bdl-0.13	lbd	lbd	bdl- 0.04	pu	bdl-0.87	lþd	bdl- 0.07	bdl- 0.14	lbd	pu		
СҮ	Y-82162	$avg \pm \sigma$	$63.0\pm0.5$	$36.7 \pm 0.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \pm \ 0.13 \end{array}$	lbd	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19 \pm \\ 0.20 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \pm \\ 0.12 \end{array}$	pu	0.00 ± 0.01	100.07 ± 0.57	64
		min– max	60.5– 63.8	35.7-38.0	bdl-0.71	lbd	pu	bdl- 0.06	pu	bdl-0.89	bdl- 0.03	pu	bdl- 0.67	pu	bdl- 0.08		
СҮ	Y-86789	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.4 \pm \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	$37.0 \pm 0.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \\ \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	pu	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	bdl	lbd	pu	100.39 ± 0.32	27
		min– max	62.9– 63.8	36.8– 37.3	bd1-0.06	lbd	bdl- 0.03	bdl- 0.04	pu	lbd	lþd	bdl- 0.03	lbd	lbd	pu		
CY	Y-980115	$avg\pm\sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.3 \pm \\ 0.5 \end{array}$	$36.8\pm0.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \pm \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	lbd	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.29 \pm \ 0.24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	pu	$0.06 \pm 0.09$	pu	lþd	99.44 ± 0.66	100
		min– max	60.3– 63.4	35.6- 37.9	bdl-0.4	lbd	pu	-lbd -0.09	pu	0.04 - 0.98	bdl- 0.04	pu	bdl- 0.49	pu	lþd		
C1-ung	MIL 090292	$avg \pm \sigma$	$61.4 \pm 0.6$	$38.3 \pm 0.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	bdl	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04\\ \pm\\ 0.07\end{array}$	$100.90 \pm 1.00$	4
		min– max	60.6– 61.9	37.7-39.0	0.02 - 0.09	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	0.19 - 0.23	lþd	lþd	bdl- 0.05	lbd	bdl- 0.14		
CR1	GRO 95577	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.6 \pm \\ 0.3 \end{array}$	$36.7\pm0.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lþd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30 \pm \\ 0.14 \end{array}$	lþd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lþd	$99.68 \pm 0.37$	33

Geochim Cosmochim Acta. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 15.

Schrader et al.

# Analyses		3		287		52		6		± 12		5		11		1	
Total		$\begin{array}{c} 98.44 \pm \\ 0.53 \end{array}$		99.40 ± 0.45		99.37 ± 0.45		$\begin{array}{c} 99.90 \pm \\ 0.37 \end{array}$		100.09		100.18 ± 0.11		100.12 ± 0.72		99.04	
Cu	bdl	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ \pm \\ 0.08 \end{array}$	-lbd 0.79	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	I
AI	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.11	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \\ \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.04	pu	pu	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	0.03	I
Mg	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.12	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.06	pu	pu	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	pu	I
ŗ	bdl- 0.03	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.04	0.07 ± 0.09	bdl- 0.70	$0.02 \pm 0.05$	bdl- 0.34	lbd	lbd	$0.05 \pm 0.06$	bdl- 0.17	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	I
C0	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \pm \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.25	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	0.07 - 0.10	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	I
Ņ	0.17 - 0.44	$\begin{array}{c} 0.60 \pm \\ 0.08 \end{array}$	0.52 - 0.67	$\begin{array}{c} 0.48 \pm \\ 0.29 \end{array}$	bdl-0.98	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \pm \\ 0.22 \end{array}$	bdl-0.94	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09 \pm \\ 0.13 \end{array}$	bdl-0.41	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \pm \\ 0.15 \end{array}$	bdl-0.50	$\begin{array}{c} 0.20 \pm \\ 0.35 \end{array}$	bdl-0.81	lbd	lbd	0.82	ļ
Ca	bdl- 0.03	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.11	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.11	pu	pu	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \pm \\ 0.17 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.55	pu	I
Mn	lþd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.45	lbd	lbd	pu	pu	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	pu	I
Ë	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ \pm\\ 0.01\end{array}$	bdl- 0.04	lbd	lbdl	pu	pu	lbd	lbdl	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	pu	1
4	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \\ \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.07	$0.00 \pm 0.01$	bdl- 0.06	pu	pu	$0.00 \pm 0.01$	bdl- 0.04	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \\ \pm \\ 0.11 \end{array}$	bdl- 0.36	lbdl	I
ŝ	bdl-0.02	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \pm \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	bdl-0.10	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	bdl-0.15	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	bdl-0.13	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	bdl-0.04	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	bdl-0.03	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.00 \end{array}$	0.02 - 0.03	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	bdl-0.03	lbd	I
s	36.4- 37.2	$\begin{array}{c} 37.7 \pm \\ 0.1 \end{array}$	37.6– 37.8	$36.4\pm0.3$	35.5– 38.1	$36.7\pm0.5$	35.8– 38.0	$\begin{array}{c} 36.5 \pm \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	36.2– 36.9	$37.0\pm 0.1$	36.7– 37.2	$\begin{array}{c} 36.8 \pm \\ 0.1 \end{array}$	36.7– 36.9	36.7 ± 0.4	35.9– 37.0	40.9	l
Fe	62.3- 62.9	$\begin{array}{c} 60.0 \pm \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	59.4- 60.5	$\begin{array}{c} 62.3 \pm \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	59.7– 63.9	$\begin{array}{c} 62.5 \pm \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	61.0– 63.8	$\begin{array}{c} 63.2 \pm \\ 0.3 \end{array}$	62.3– 63.5	$\begin{array}{c} 63.0 \pm \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	62.6– 63.3	$\begin{array}{c} 63.2 \pm \\ 0.3 \end{array}$	62.8– 63.4	63.4 ± 0.6	61.8– 63.9	57.3	I
	min- max	$\text{avg}\pm\sigma$	min– max	$avg \pm \sigma$	min– max	$\operatorname{avg} \pm \sigma$	min– max	$\text{avg} \pm \sigma$	min- max	$\operatorname{avg} \pm \sigma$	min- max	$\text{avg} \pm \sigma$	min– max	$\operatorname{avg} \pm \sigma$	min– max	$\text{avg} \pm \sigma$	min- max
Meteorite <sup>b</sup>		Al Rais		14 samples <sup>b</sup>		GRA 06100 and GRO 03116		DOM 08006		Allende		Bali		Vigarano		Karoonda	
Group <sup>a</sup>		CR-an		CR2		CR2 (shock- heated)		CO3		CV3 <sub>0xA</sub>		CV3 <sub>0xB</sub>		CV3 <sub>Red</sub>		CK4	

Geochim Cosmochim Acta. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 15.

Page 35

NASA Author Manuscript

NASA Author Manuscript

<sup>a</sup>Chondrite Group Abbreviations: CI = Ivuna-like carbonaceous; CM = Mighei-like carbonaceous; CY = Yamato-like carbonaceous; CR = Renazzo-like carbonaceous; CO = Ornans-like carbonaceous; CV = Vigarano-like carbonaceous; and CK = Karoonda-like carbonaceous.

 $b_{\rm See}$  Section 2.1. for individual sample split numbers and CR chondrites studied.

 $^{\mathcal{C}}\text{CM2}$  heating stages from Nakamura (2005)

NASA Author Manuscript

NASA
Author
Manuscript

2b
Ð
q
מ

Ś
Ę
. [
Ð
<u> </u>
2
5
. Ξ
- =
- 2
=
Ē
H
L
ĕ
aı
~
5
a
.9
- <del>G</del> -
Ę.
0
n
•
%
÷
≥
5
d)
Ĕ.
. <u>च</u>
2
÷
- 8
$\sim$
щ
17
4
\$
2
Ц
بيه
0
S
e.
ຼຸ
9
Ľ
ĕ
a
Ś
Ğ
50
Ga
G
ž
а
13
10
٠Ĕ
Ξ
S
ΞĔ.
ť
u u
2
nt
G
n n
5
Ĭ
0
I
Ĕ
÷
В
Ę
10
ar
•••
<u> </u>
or
ijor
Iajor
Major

Schrader et al.

Group <sup>a</sup>	Meteorite <sup>b</sup>		Fe	s	Si	Р	п	Mn	Ca	Ni	Co	Cr	Mg	AI	Cu	Total	# Analyses
H3.10	NWA 3358	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.1 \pm \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$36.6\pm0.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \ 0.03 \end{array}$	l lbd	lbc	lbdl	nd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \pm \\ 0.17 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \ 0.10 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.77 \pm \\ 0.58 \end{array}$	19
		min– max	59.5- 63.8	36.1 - 38.0	bdl- 0.11	l lbd	lþe	lbd	pu	bdl-0.70	lbd	bdl- 0.42	lbd	lbd	lbd		
L3.05	QUE 97008	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.9 \pm \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	$36.7\pm0.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	l lbd	lþe	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.77 \pm \\ 0.48 \end{array}$	19
		min– max	62.1 - 63.8	36.3– 37.0	bdl- 0.10	l lbd	lþc	lbdl	bdl- 0.10	bdl-0.37	lbd	bdl- 0.21	lbd	lbd	lbdl		
L(LL)3.05	MET 00452	$\text{avg} \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.6 \pm \\ 0.1 \end{array}$	$36.7\pm0.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	l lbd	lþe	lbd	bdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	$100.37 \pm 0.15$	13
		min– max	63.4- 63.9	36.5– 36.9	bdl- 0.07	l lbd	lbc	lbd	lþdl	bdl-0.16	lbd	bdl- 0.05	lbd	lbd	lbdl		
LL3	Semarkona	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.1 \pm \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	$36.8\pm 0.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	l lbd	lþc	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \pm \\ 0.20 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 100.03 \pm \\ 0.40 \end{array}$	33
		min– max	62.4– 63.8	36.4– 37.2	bdl- 0.13	l Ibd	lbc	lbd	bdl- 0.06	bdl-0.90	lbd	bdl- 0.08	lbd	lbd	lbd		
LL3	Vicência	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.2 \pm \\ 0.3 \end{array}$	$36.6\pm 0.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	l Ibd	Ipc	$0.00 \pm 0.01$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	lþd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \pm \\ 0.11 \end{array}$	100.07 ± 0.42	23
		min– max	62.1 - 63.9	36.1 - 37.1	bdl- 0.24	l lbd	lbc	bdl- 0.03	bdl- 0.03	bdl-0.37	lbd	bdl- 0.25	bdl- 0.19	lbd	bdl- 0.32		
LL4	Hamlet	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.4 \pm \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	$36.8\pm0.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	l lþd	lbc	lbdl	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \pm \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 100.37 \pm \\ 0.29 \end{array}$	19
		min– max	63.0– 63.9	36.6– 37.2	-lbd 0.09	l lþd	lbc	bdl	lbd	bdl-0.27	lbd	bdl- 0.05	lbd	lbd	lbd		
LL4	Soko-Banja	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.4 \pm \\ 0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37.2 \pm \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	l lbd	lbc	lbd	lþdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 100.64 \pm \\ 0.68 \end{array}$	38
		min– max	62.2– 64.3	36.7– 37.6	bdl- 0.07	l lbd	lbc	lbd	lþdl	bdl-0.05	lbd	-lbd 0.09	lbd	lbd	lbdl		
LL5	Chelyabinsk	$\text{avg} \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.1 \pm \\ 0.5 \end{array}$	$36.8\pm0.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	l lbd	lþc	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \pm \\ 0.13 \end{array}$	lbd	lbdl	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \pm \\ 0.19 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100.14 \pm \\ 0.64 \end{array}$	22
		min– max	62.2– 64.3	36.5– 37.1	bdl- 0.08	l lbd	lþç	lbd	bdl- 0.03	bdl-0.58	lbd	bdl	lbdl	lbd	bdl- 0.88		
LL5	Siena	$avg \pm \sigma$	$63.2\pm \\0.6$	$36.5\pm0.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \pm \\ 0.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	lbc	bdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ \pm \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	lbd	$_{\pm}^{0.01}$	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.88 \pm \\ 0.63 \end{array}$	6

Group <sup>a</sup>	Meteorite <sup>b</sup>		Fe	s	ï	4	Ï	Mn	Ca	ï	C0	Ŀ	Mg	W	Cu	Total	# Analyses
		min– max	62.1– 63.8	35.8- 37.0	bdl- 0.31	bdl- 0.07	lbd	lþd	bdl- 0.11	bdl-0.16	bdl- 0.14	lbd	bdl- 0.11	lbd	lbd		
LL6	Appley Bridge	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.4 \pm \\ 0.3 \end{array}$	$36.6\pm 0.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	lþd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \pm \ 0.11 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \pm \\ 0.19 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100.17 \pm \\ 0.25 \end{array}$	12
		min– max	62.8– 63.9	36.4– 36.7	bdl- 0.03	lbd	lbd	lbd	lþd	bdl-0.29	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	bdl- 0.66		
LL6	Saint-Séverin	$avg\pm\sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.1 \pm \\ 0.8 \end{array}$	$36.8\pm 0.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbd	lþd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	lbd	lbd	lbdl	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.88 \pm \\ 1.14 \end{array}$	16
		min– max	61.8– 64.0	36.1 - 37.8	bdl- 0.03	lbd	lbd	lbd	lbd	bdl-0.15	lbdl	lbd	lbdl	lbd	lbd		
R3	MET 01149	$avg \pm \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.1 \pm \\ 0.8 \end{array}$	$38.3 \pm 0.6$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	pu	pu	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.18 \pm \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 100.61 \pm \\ 0.78 \end{array}$	31
		min– max	61.2– 64.2	36.9– 39.3	lbdl	-lbd 0.09	pu	pu	pu	0.05 - 0.36	lbdl	bdl- 0.27	pu	bdl- 0.22	lbd		
R3.6	LAP 031275	$avg\pm\sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.6 \pm \\ 0.7 \end{array}$	$38.2\pm 0.6$	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	pu	pu	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \pm \\ 0.15 \end{array}$	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	pu	lbdl	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.90 \pm \\ 0.45 \end{array}$	39
		min– max	60.3 - 63.1	37.1 - 39.2	lbd	bdl- 0.31	pu	pu	pu	bdl-0.71	lbd	bdl- 0.10	pu	lbd	lbd		
R5	LAP 03639	$avg\pm\sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.1 \pm \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	$38.1\pm 0.6$	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	pu	pu	pu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \pm \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	pu	lbdl	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.25 \pm \\ 0.59 \end{array}$	40
		min– max	60.0- 62.6	36.9– 39.3	lbdl	bdl- 0.07	pu	pu	pu	bdl-0.25	lbdl	bdl- 0.22	pu	lbdl	lbd		
R6	MIL 11207	$avg\pm\sigma$	$59.4\pm0.7$	$39.0\pm 0.2$	lbd	lbd	pu	pu	pu	$0.92 \pm 0.04$	lbdl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	pu	lbd	lbd	$\begin{array}{c} 99.35 \pm \\ 0.85 \end{array}$	4
		min– max	58.8-60.5	38.8– 39.2	lbdl	bdl	pu	pu	pu	0.89-0.97	lbdl	bdl- 0.06	pu	lbd	lþd		
avg = averag large enough	e, one standard de for a clean analys	viation = s, is that did n	min = minim tot include be	um, max = n am overlap v	aximum, no vith pentlano	l = not dete dite lamella	e.	l, bdl =	below dete	ction limit. D	etection	limits given	in EA-1.	LAP 048	40 (R6) di	d not contain	pyrrhotite

NASA Author Manuscript

Geochim Cosmochim Acta. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 15.

<sup>a</sup>Chondrite group abbreviations: H, L, and LL = ordinary; and R = rumuruti-like.

bSee Section 2.1 for individual sample split numbers.

Page 38

NASA	
Author	
Manus	
cript	

NASA Author Manuscript

ო	
Φ	
0	
Б	
•	

Average at.% Fe/S ratios of low-Ni pyrrhotite and estimated metamorphic temperatures of chondrites.

Temperature Reference	ч	म	ч	a (based on [i and j])	k, 1	l, m, n, o	k, 1	l, m, n, o	d	I	х	I	б	d	×	r	c	j, p	j, p
Temperature Method	matrix mineralogy	matrix mineralogy	matrix mineralogy	cubanite	dehydration	dehydration	dehydration	dehydration	graphite- ordering	I	dehydration	I	various	graphite- ordering	dehydration	pristine metal	pristine metal	graphite- ordering	graphite- orderinσ
Estimated Metamorphic Temperature	50–150 °C	50–150 °C	50–150 °C	<210 °C	500–750 °C	>750 °C	500–750 °C	>750 °C	<220 °C	I	<300 °C	I	150–500 °C	<220 °C	300-500 °C	<100 °C	<100 °C	55 to <220 °C	60 to <220 °C
Sulfide Reference	а	а	a	а	а	а	а	а	а	a	ਕ	а	a, b	a, b	ಡ	а	c	a	а
# Pyrite																			
# high- Ni Po	-	-	32	0	38	0	19	1	9	7	5	Ξ	4	4	-	13	2	б	3
how- Ni Po	×	22	22	4	100	27	64	22	10	20	14	٢	11	٢	33	11	6	б	ŝ
max Fe/S	0.863	0.870	0.889	0.943	1.003	0.993	1.005	0.995	0.945	0.900	0.915	0.928	0.984	066.0	0.985	0.989	1.003	0.992	0.920
min Fe/S	0.844	0.837	0.832	606.0	0.940	0.973	0.951	0.972	0.882	0.873	0.878	0.897	0.896	0.898	0.919	0.940	0.982	0.962	0.901
1 SE	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.008	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.007	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.007	0.012	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.009	0.006
1σ	0.007	0.008	0.013	0.016	0.013	0.005	0.013	0.006	0.022	0.006	0.011	0.010	0.023	0.031	0.014	0.018	0.008	0.016	0.011
avg. Fe/S	0.852	0.853	0.869	0.920	0.973	0.983	0.985	0.986	0.911	0.890	0.895	0.912	0.928	0.933	0.963	0.975	0.994	0.980	0.914
Sample Number	USNM6765-2	USNM6695-5	P12627	4,	,123-1	,81-2	,45-1	,04A-1	,189	See Table 4	,51-13	See Table 4	SM8	USNM3483-3	,60-4	,53	,16	4,	USNM1794-8
Meteorite	Orgueil	Alais	Ivuna	MIL 090292	Y-980115	Y-86789	Y-82162	B-7904	ALH 83100	Kolang avg.	A-881458	Aguas Zarcas avg.	Sutter's Mill	Mighei	Y-793321	QUE 97990	DOM 08006	GRO 95577	Al Rais
Group	CI	CI	CI	C1-ung	CY	СҮ	СҮ	СҮ	CM1/2	CM1/2	CM2 heating stage I <sup>a</sup>	CM2	CM-like	CM2	CM2 heating stage II <sup>a</sup>	CM2	CO3.00	CR1	CR-an

Geochim Cosmochim Acta. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 15.

NASA Author Manuscript

Group	Meteorite	Sample Number	avg. Fe/S	1 <del>o</del>	1 SE	min Fe/S	max Fe/S	# low- Ni Po	# high- Ni Po	# Pyrite	Sulfide Reference	Estimated Metamorphic Temperature	Temperature Method	Temperature Reference
CR2	14 sample avg	See Table 4	0.981	0.012	0.001	0.898	1.004	287	186		a, d, e, f	55 to <240 °C	graphite- ordering	j, p
CR2- shocked	2 sample avg.	See Table 4	0.979	0.020	0.003	0.923	1.004	52	7		a, d	>610 °C	pentlandite loss	d, s
CV3 <sub>0xA</sub>	Allende	ASU818	0.978	0.004	0.001	0.972	0.986	12	0		в	<590 °C	graphite- ordering	b
CV3 <sub>0xB</sub>	Bali	USNM4839,1	0.987	0.003	0.001	0.982	0.989	5	1		а	Ι	I	I
CV3 <sub>Red</sub>	Vigarano	ASU590_C_1	0.992	0.004	0.001	0.987	1.000	Ξ	0		5	<370 °C	graphite- ordering	b
CK4	ALH 85002	,87	all pyrite	I	I	I	I	0	0	20	Ą	647–867 °C	Fe-Mg ol diffusion	t
CK4	Karoonda	USNM2428-3	0.806	I	I	0.806	0.806	1	0	12	þ	647–867 °C	Fe-Mg ol diffusion	t
CK5	LAR 06868	,2	all pyrite	I	I	I	I	0	0	8	Ą	647–867 °C	Fe-Mg ol diffusion	t
CK6	LEW 87009	2,	all pyrite	I	I	I	I	0	0	13	þ	740 ± 38 °C	two-pyx	þ
H3.10	NWA 3358	PL18038	066.0	0.027	0.006	0.900	1.008	19	0		в	~250–350 °C	similar 3.1 temps	п
L3.05	QUE 97008	,63	0.983	0.007	0.002	0.970	0.994	19	1		5	<250 °C	graphite- ordering	b
L(LL)3.05	MET 00452	,29	0.994	0.004	0.001	066.0	1.002	13	0		а	$203 \pm 70 \ ^{\circ}\text{C}$	organic	v
LL3	Semarkona and Vicência	USNM1805-17 and ASU1996	0.987	0.008	0.001	0.970	0.999	56	6		۵۵	<220 °C	graphite- ordering	b
LL4	Soko-Banja and Hamlet	USNM3078-1 and ASU1194_C_2	0.982	0.008	0.001	0.970	0.995	57	б		ad	>600 °C	Fe-Mg ol diffusion	м
LL5	Siena and Chelyabinsk	USNM3070-3 and ASU1801_22_C1	0.986	0.009	0.002	0.971	1.000	31	0		ac	867 ± 28 °C	two-pyx	q
LL6	Saint-Séverin and Appley Bridge	USNM2608-3 and USNM614-3	066.0	0.008	0.002	0.971	1.000	28	17		50	899 ± 70 °C	two-pyx	Ą
R3	MET 01149	,27	0.933	0.022	0.004	0.902	0.995	31	10		þ	I	I	I
R3.6	LAP 031275	,2	0.927	0.023	0.004	0.885	0.975	39	1		q	I	I	I
R5	LAP 03639	,2	0.921	0.022	0.003	0.880	0.963	40	4		þ	$853 \pm 62$ °C	two-pyx	q
R6	LAP 04840	4,	I	I	I	I	I	I	48		þ	$670\pm60~^\circ\mathrm{C}$	amph-plag	x
R6	MIL 11207	2,	0.873	0.009	0.004	0.867	0.886	4	40		þ	950 °C	Fe-Ni-S veins	þ

Geochim Cosmochim Acta. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 15.

Where Po = pyrrhotite; two-pyx = two-pyroxene equilibration temperature; Fe-Mg ol diffusion = Fe-Mg diffusion in olivine; amph-plag = amphibole-plagioclase thermometry; temps = temperatures

Zolensky et al. (1993), (i) = Berger et al. (2015), (j) = Jilly-Rehak et al. (2018), (k) = Nakamura (2005), (l) = King et al. (2019), (m) = Ikeda (1992), (m) = Matsuoka et al. (1996), (o) = Tonui et al. (2014), (p) = Busemann et al. (2007), (q) = Jenniskens et al. (2012), (r) = Kimura et al. (2008), (s) = Abreu and Bullock (2013), (t) = Chaumard and Devouard (2016), (u) = Ebert et al. (2020), (v) = Cody et al. (a) = this study, (b) = Schrader et al. (2016), (c) = Davidson et al. (2019b), (d) = Schrader et al. (2015), (e) = Schrader et al. (2018), (f) = Davidson et al. (2019a), (g) = Schrader and Zega (2019), (h) = Control of the study of the stu (2008), (w) = Kessel et al. (2007), and (x) = McCanta et al. (2008).

<sup>a</sup>CM2 heating stages from Nakamura (2005).

 $b_{\rm Estimated}$  metamorphic temperatures may have only been briefly reached. Upper limit estimates may not have been reached and actual temperature may have been much lower.

## Table 4

Average at.% Fe/S ratios of low-Ni pyrrhotite in multiple pieces of CM chondrites and in individual CR chondrites.

Group	Meteorite	Sample Number	avg. Fe/S	<u>ا</u> م	1 SE	min Fe/S	max Fe/S	# Analyses	Reference
CM chor	ndrites with multiple sectio	ns analyzed							
CM1/2	Kolang - CM1 clast	ASU2147_C3c	0.890	0.006	0.001	0.873	0.899	17	а
CM1/2	Kolang - host lithology	ASU2147_C1	0.893	0.006	0.003	0.889	0.900	3	а
CM2	Aguas Zarcas	ASU2121_C2	0.908	0.008	0.004	0.897	0.916	4	а
CM2	Aguas Zarcas	ASU2121_C6	0.919	0.009	0.005	0.911	0.928	3	а
CM2	Aguas Zarcas	ASU2121_C7	I	I	I	I	I	I	а
CR chon	drites								
CR-an	Al Rais	USNM1794-8	0.914	0.011	0.006	0.901	0.920	3	а
CR2	PCA 91082	,15	0.970	0.008	0.002	0.956	0.988	18	q
CR2	Shisr 033	UA2159,1	0.971	0.016	0.003	0.898	0.986	26	þ
CR2	EET 92048	Τ,	0.975	0.005	0.003	0.972	0.978	2	с
CR2	LAP 02342	,14	0.977	0.007	0.002	0.970	0.989	7	þ
CR2	GRA 95229	,22	0.978	0.007	0.001	0.964	0.988	28	р
CR2	QUE 99177	,6	0.979	0.009	0.002	0.965	0.991	15	a,b
CR2	LAP 04720	8,	0.982	0.008	0.002	0.962	0.994	17	þ
CR2	EET 87770	,31	0.982	0.007	0.001	0.962	0.999	45	a,b
CR2	NWA 801	UA2300,1	0.983	0.015	0.002	0.920	1.002	54	þ
CR2	MET 00426	,33	0.983	I	I	0.983	0.983	1	þ
CR2	Gao-Guenie (b)	UA2301,1	0.984	0.011	0.002	0.944	1.000	41	þ
CR2	Y-793495	,72-2	0.985	0.008	0.002	0.976	1.000	14	þ
CR2	EET 96259	,12	066.0	0.013	0.004	0.965	1.002	13	þ
CR2	MIL 090657	,6	0.994	0.007	0.003	0.984	1.004	9	q
CR2 cho	ndrites, shock heated								
CR2	GRA 06100	,26	0.972	0.019	0.003	0.923	0.993	37	a,b
CR2	GRO 03116	,15	0.995	0.007	0.002	0.982	1.004	15	þ
CR1 cho	ndrite, heated								
CR1	GRO 95577	,4	0.980	0.016	0.009	0.962	0.992	3	a
Aguas Zar	cas ASU2121_C7 has two	high-Ni pyrrhotite aı	nalyses, but n	o low-Ni	pyrrhoti	te analyses (F	EA-1).		

(a) This study, (b) Schrader et al. (2015), (c) Schrader et al. (2019a).

NASA Author Manuscript

Schrader et al.

# Table 5

Average Fe/S and Cations/S at.% values of low-Ni pyrrhotite, ordered by lowest to highest ratio.

Meteorite Group	avg. Fe/S	la I	avg. Cations <sup>1</sup> /S	١a	# of Meteorites
Petrographic Type					
CK4	0.806	I	0.817	I	1
CI	0.858	0.009	0.870	0.010	c,
R6	0.873	0.009	0.886	0.009	1
CM1/2	0.901	0.015	0.910	0.014	7
CR-an	0.914	0.011	0.923	0.011	1
C1-ung (MIL 090292)	0.920	0.016	0.923	0.017	1
R5	0.921	0.022	0.923	0.022	1
R3.6	0.927	0.023	0.929	0.023	1
R3	0.933	0.022	0.936	0.022	1
CM2	0.934	0.030	0.943	0.028	6
CV3 <sub>0xA</sub>	0.978	0.004	0.979	0.004	1
CR1	0.980	0.016	0.984	0.014	1
CR2	0.981	0.008	0660	0.006	16
СҮ	0.982	0.006	0.984	0.005	4
LL4	0.984	0.007	0.984	0.007	2
L3.05	0.983	0.007	0.985	0.006	1
LLS	0.988	0.008	066.0	0.006	2
LL3	0.987	0.005	0.990	0.005	2
CV3 <sub>0xB</sub>	0.987	0.003	0660	0.003	1
H3.10	066.0	0.027	0.992	0.026	1
TL6	0.991	0.007	0.992	0.009	2
$CV3_{Red}$	0.992	0.004	0.992	0.004	1
L(LL)3.05	0.994	0.004	0.995	0.004	1
CO3.00	0.994	0.008	0.996	0.006	1
Group Averages (if mult	iple petrograj	phic type	(s:		
R avg.	0.914	0.027	0.918	0.022	4
CV3 avg.	0.986	0.001	0.987	0.000	3

8	ide analyses.
.003	sulf
0	more
	lave
	ites ł
686	eteor
0.9	ne m
003	s son
0	lly, a
~	edua
0.988	orite
-	meteo
	ach 1
	ight e
	s wei
L av£	rage
П	Ave

ICations = Fe + Ni + Cr + Ti + Co + Cu.