RESEARCH

The association between weight loss and long term development in quality-of-life among children living with obesity: a pragmatic descriptive intervention study

Rasmus Møller Jørgensen[1,2,3*], Esben Thyssen Vestergaard[1,2,3], Britta Kremke[1], Rikke Frederiksen Bahnsen[1], Bent Windelborg Nielsen[1] and Jens Meldgaard Bruun[2,3,4]

Abstract

Background: Childhood obesity is associated with impaired Quality-of-Life (QoL), increased stigmatization and higher risk of development of depression compared to their peers. This report describes the long-term development in QoL for cohort of children with obesity after a sustainable weight reduction.

Methods: This pragmatic descriptive intervention study enrolled 120 children with obesity, age 5–17 years, in a multifactorial lifestyle intervention. The intervention was an across sectors collaboration between a department of pediatrics and community health care workers. QoL was assessed yearly throughout the intervention and evaluated by a 6-item Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). For analyzing changes in VAS, as function BMI-SDS, regression models were used, while ANOVA and Wilcoxon test were applied for normal and not-normal distributed data. 95% confidence interval not containing 0 and p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: After 26.4 months (13.9 SD) an overall decrease in bullying (0.6 *vs*. 0.0 median) and motivation (10.0 *vs*. 9.6) was observed. QoL increased in children with a BMI-SDS reduction (0.65 (2.49 SD)) opposite children with no-change or increasing BMI-SDS who reported reduced QoL (-0.36 (1.55 SD) and -0.96 (2.27 SD)). A significant inverse relationship was observed for Joy of Life, QoL and body perception as a function of BMI-SDS per year.

Conclusion: Weight reduction causes improvement in QoL for children with obesity and an inverse relationship for QoL and changing BMI-SDS / year was establish.

Keywords: Quality-of-life, Children, Obesity, Lifestyle intervention, Weight loss

Background

Children with obesity have lower Quality of Life (QoL) compared to their peers [1, 2] and an inverse relation between QoL and Body Mass Index (BMI) amongst adolescents has been reported [3]. Children with obesity also experience lower self-esteem, more bulling, and a higher degree of loneliness and risk of depression [1, 4, 5], and their QoL is comparable to that in children with chronic diseases (e.g. type 1 diabetes or cancer) [1].

The Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) can be used to evaluate QoL and is a simple instrument with good validity, excellent reliability and good responsiveness. The VAS instrument is applicable to children who have insufficient reading skills and is therefore useful in younger children [6, 7].

*Correspondence: rasmujer@rm.dk

[1] Department of Pediatrics, Randers Regional Hospital, Østervangsvej 54, entrance C, 8930 Randers, NØ, Denmark
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Jørgensen *et al. Italian Journal of Pediatrics*   (2022) 48:135

Page 2 of 7

The literature on how to improve QoL in children with obesity is scarce, and QoL after weight loss has only been reported in a limited number of studies and rarely with follow-up for more than 12 months [8]. Recently, a 14 months multifactorial lifestyle intervention in children with obesity reported increased QoL, mood, and body satisfaction, whereas appetite, bullying, and motivation were decreased. Interestingly, similar positive changes were observed in five of six VAS items in the 24.4% of children who increased their BMI Standard Deviation Score (SDS) during the intervention, indicating that the intervention and not the weight change were responsible [8]. The aim of our project was to evaluate long-term (with a mean follow-up of > 2 years) effects of a comparable multifactorial lifestyle intervention on measures of QoL in children with obesity.

Material and methods

The study design

This study was designed and conducted as a municipality-based treatment for children with obesity, and therefore best described as a pragmatic descriptive intervention study, hence not a randomized study and therefore without a control group.

Definition of obesity

In a validated Danish reference group childhood obesity is defined by an abnormal BMI-SDS or z-score (≥ 2 SD) or a BMI above the 99 percentiles [9]. BMI-SDS was calculated using GrowthXP (PC Pal, Wissous, France).

For this study, a reduction in BMI-SDS greater than -0.1 SD was considered a weight loss, while change between -0.1 to 0.1 SD or an increase of more than 0.1 SD was considered weight stagnation or weight gain, respectively.

Subjects

One hundred and ninety-nine children with obesity [9] participated in The Children's Obesity Clinic's Treatment (TCOCT) protocol at the Department of Pediatrics, Randers Regional Hospital. The inclusion criteria were age 4–17 years and BMI-SDS $\geq$ 2SD with an inclusion period from January 1st 2014 to December 31st 2017. Only children without known mental retardation and syndromes associated with obesity were invited into the original intervention. One hundred and twenty children (64 girls) age 5–17 were able complete at least two VAS-questionnaires; one at baseline; and were included in this study. The participants were referred to intervention from school nurse, general practitioner and outpatient clinics. At the baseline visit, demographic data such as predispositions for obesity and mental illness were recorded at a face-to-face interview by healthcare professionals. A child was considered disposed for obesity if one or both parents had a BMI above 30 or had a bariatric surgery performed prior to baseline. If one or both parents declared that they suffered or had suffered from any kind of mental disorder, the child was considered disposed for mental illness. A parent or legal guardian provided a written informed consent before participation.

The TCOCT protocol

TCOCT is a family-centered multifactorial lifestyle intervention involving behavioral change techniques and developed for treatment of children with obesity. At baseline, the child receives an individualized treatment plan intervening with the child and family's daily routines and lifestyle [8]. Thereafter, children were seen once a year at our outpatient clinic and at 6–8 visits a year at community healthcare workers offices and followed for a maximum of three years in total.

At each visit at the outpatient clinic QoL would be evaluated by a six items VAS. All children were invited for a last visit and a renewed VAS in December 2018, one year after the project ended [10].

Changes in anthropometry, body composition, and biomarkers were also assessed each year and have previously been reported [11]. Psychological support was not, per se, a part of the intervention and was not offered as a routine. If necessary (e.g. clinical signs of depression) the intervention was immediately paused and the child was referred to relevant professionals.

Assessment of QoL

VAS was used to measure participant's well-being in six different areas: 1. Joy of living (JoL), 2. QoL, 3. Appetite, 4. Bullying, 5. Motivation for losing weight, and 6. Body perception (Bpc). All children were instructed rigorously by a healthcare professional in a uniform way to rate his/her current state of mind expressed by the six different VAS items with a single cross on a 10 cm vertical blank line, unnumbered except 0 and 10 [6, 7]. VAS and PedsQL scores have earlier been reported to correlate well down to the age of 5 years [12], and that VAS potentially could be used in even younger children, but more research is needed [13].

Statistics

Data was collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools [14]. Statistical analyses were performed in Stata 15 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas). To analyse for changes in VAS, as a function of BMI-SDS, ANOVA for normal distributed data and Wilcoxon rank-sum (2 groups) or Kruskal–Wallis (more than 2 groups) tests for non-normal distributed data were used. For categorical variables, Pearson's chi-squared test

Jørgensen *et al. Italian Journal of Pediatrics*   (2022) 48:135

Page 3 of 7

was used. 95% confidence interval not containing 0 and P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline

The participants had a mean BMI-SDS of 3.1 (0.7 SD) and mean age of 10.4 years (2.8 SD). Approximately 90% of the participants were predisposed to obesity, half of these from both parents. Only one child was due to adoption unaware of any predispositions (Table 1). At baseline boys were more obese (mean BMI-SDS 3.3 (0.7 SD) *vs.* 2.9 (0.6 SD), *p* < 0.001) with broader mean waist circumference (93.9 cm (15.4 SD) *vs.* 87.4 (14.0 SD), *p* = 0.02), lower mean fat percentage (32.8% (6.1SD) *vs.* 37.0 (5.3 SD), *p* < 0.001) and more hungry ((mean VAS 6.7 (2.3 SD) *vs.* 5.6 (2.4 SD), *p* = 0.03) than girls. No other differences in baseline characteristics or predispositions were found between the two groups.

Throughout the trial 81 children (68.5%) achieved a BMI-SDS reduction (< -0.1 ΔBMI-SDS), 19 children (15.8%) experienced no change (-0.1–0.1 ΔBMI-SDS) and 20 children (16.7%) increased BMI-SDS (> 0.1 ΔBMI-SDS). There were no demographic or anthropometric differences at baseline between the three BMI-SDS groups (Table 2).

Changes in VAS:

Mean time between the first and last VAS measurement was 26.4 months (13.9 SD). On average 2.7 VAS measurements were completed for each participant.

As outlined in Table 3, a significant decrease in bullying (0.6 median (0.0–4.7) IQR *vs.* 0.0 median (0.0–0.6) IQR, *p* < 0.001), and in motivation (10.0 median (9.0–10.0) IQR *vs.* 9.6 median (7.0–10.0) IQR, *p* = 0.002), was observed. No significant changes were found for JoL, QoL, appetite, or Bpc.

Stratified by sex, boys experienced decreased mean appetite (6.7 (2.3 SD) vs. 5.7 (2.6 SD), *p* = 0.04), bullying (0.4 median (0.0–4.8) IQR *vs.* 0.0 median (0.0–0.8) IQR, *p* = 0.03), and motivation (10.0 median (8.9–10.0) IQR *vs.* 8.9 median (6.6–10.0) IQR, *p* = 0.003). Girls experienced decreased bullying (0.6 median (0.0–4.7) IQR *vs.* 0.0 median (0.0–0.6) IQR, *p* < 0.001).

Children who achieved a weight loss (< -0.1 ΔBMI-SDS) experienced reduced bullying (1.0 median (0.0–4.7) IQR *vs.* 0.0 median (0.0–0.6) IQR, *p* < 0.001) and motivation (10.0 median (9.0–10.0) IQR *vs.* 9.6 median

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and social conditions

N	All 120	Boys 56	Girls 64	*p*-value
Age, years, mean (SD)	10.4 (2.8)	10.8 (2.9)	10.0 (2.7)	0.14
BMI-SDS, mean (SD)	3.1 (0.7)	3.3 (0.7)	2.9 (0.6)	< 0.001
Waist circumference, cm, mean (SD)	90.4 (15.0)	93.9 (15.4)	87.4 (14.0)	0.02
Blood pressure systolic, mmHg, mean (SD)	118 (11.4)	119 (12.4)	117 (10.6)	0.43
TANITA, Adipose tissue percentage, mean (SD)	35.0 (6.0)	32.8 (6.1)	37.0 (5.3)	< 0.001
Disposition—overweight				0.31
One parent	49 (40.8%)	19 (34%)	30 (47%)	
Both parents	60 (50.0%)	32 (57%)	28 (44%)	
No dispositions	10 (8.3%)	4 (7%)	6 (9%)	
Unknown	1 (0.8%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	
Disposition—mental illness				0.14
One parent	31 (25.8%)	19 (34%)	12 (19%)	
Both parents	7 (5.8%)	2 (4%)	5 (8%)	
No dispositions	81 (67.5%)	34 (61%)	47 (73%)	
Unknown	1 (0.8%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	
VAS1, Joy of living, median (IQR)	9.1 (7.3, 10.0)	9.5 (7.6, 10.0)	8.8 (7.1, 10.0)	0.09
VAS2, Quality of life, median (IQR)	9.6 (7.6, 10.0)	9.6 (7.4, 10.0)	9.6 (7.8, 10.0)	0.62
VAS3, Appetite, median (IQR)	5.4 (4.6, 8.1)	6.6 (4.9, 8.9)	5.2 (4.5, 6.9)	0.03
VAS4, Bullying, median (IQR)	0.6 (0.0, 4.7)	0.4 (0.0, 4.8)	0.6 (0.0, 4.7)	0.59
VAS5, Motivation, median (IQR)	10.0 (9.0, 10.0)	10.0 (8.9, 10.0)	10.0 (9.1, 10.0)	0.57
VAS6, Body perception, median (IQR)	6.1 (3.1, 9.6)	7.8 (3.8, 9.7)	5.4 (3.0, 9.4)	0.18

P-values represent differences between boys and girls. Normal / not normal distributed data is reported as mean with standard deviations (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR), while categorical variables are reported as n with percentage (%)

Jørgensen *et al. Italian Journal of Pediatrics*   (2022) 48:135

Page 4 of 7

Table 2 Baseline characteristics for weight development

Weight developement	Loss	Stag	Gain	*p*-value
N	81	19	20	
Age, years, mean (SD)	10.1 (2.7)	11.2 (2.7)	10.9 (3.2)	0.19
BMI-SDS, mean (SD)	3.1 (0.7)	3.1 (0.6)	2.9 (0.7)	0.64
Sex				0.30
Boys	34 (61%)	10 (19%)	12 (21%)	
Girls	47 (73%)	9 (14%)	8 (13%)	
Waist circumference, cm, mean (SD)	89.3 (14.9)	96.7 (14.6)	88.9 (14.7)	0.14
Blood pressure systolic, mmHg, mean (SD)	118 (11.0)	121 (14.0)	115 (10.2)	0.28
TANITA, Adipose tissue percentage, mean (SD)	34.8 (6.2)	36.8 (6.2)	34.3 (5.3)	0.36
VAS1, Joy of living, median (IQR)	9.1 (7.3, 10.0)	9.8 (6.9, 10.0)	9.0 (7.6, 10.0)	0.84
VAS2, Quality of life, median (IQR)	9.4 (7.0, 10.0)	9.6 (8.2, 10.0)	10.0 (8.0, 10.0)	0.41
VAS3, Appetite, median (IQR)	5.7 (4.7, 8.1)	5.3 (4.2, 7.2)	5.5 (4.9, 8.9)	0.58
VAS4, Bullying, median (IQR)	1.0 (0.0, 4.7)	0.4 (0.0, 5.1)	0.2 (0.0, 4.7)	0.55
VAS5, Motivation, median (IQR)	10.0 (9.0, 10.0)	10.0 (9.7, 10.0)	10.0 (8.1, 10.0)	0.77
VAS6, Body perception, median (IQR)	5.4 (3.0, 9.6)	6.9 (3.2, 9.4)	8.1 (3.7, 10.0)	0.39

Weight development are divided into weight loss (< -0.1 ΔBMI-SDS), weight stagnation (-0.1 to 0.1 ΔBMI-SDS) and weight gain (> 0.1 ΔBMI-SDS). Normal / not normal distributed data is reported as mean with standard deviations (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR), while categorical variables are reported as n with percentage (%). P-values refer to comparisons between the variables in each group

(7.3–10.0) IQR, $p = 0.027$). Children without change in BMI-SDS (-0.1 to 0.1 ΔBMI-SDS) experienced loss of motivation (10.0 median (9.7–10.0) IQR *vs.* 9.7 median (5.7–10.0) IQR, p = 0.046). No change was observed for those with increased BMI-SDS (data not shown).

Relative changes in VAS

Comparing the relative changes in VAS for boys and girls, mean JoL in girls increased significantly ((0.73 (2.08 SD) girls) *vs.* (-0.04 (2.10 SD) boys), p = 0.048).

Children with reduced BMI-SDS increased their mean QoL (0.65 (2.49 SD)), compared to no change BMI-SDS (-0.36 (1.55 SD)) or increased BMI-SDS (-0.96 (2.27 SD)), $p = 0.01$ (Table 3). Reducing BMI-SDS also increased mean JoL and mean Bpc, although non-significant ($p = 0.14$ and $p = 0.07$, respectively, Table 3), compared with children with increasing BMI-SDS.

For the last visit, regression analyses showed similar results, when investigating the association between the relative change in VAS as a function of the relative change in BMI-SDS over time (years). We here observed a significant inverse association for VAS1 JoL (-1.57 (0.74 SD) CI (-3.02 to -0.10)) VAS2 QoL (-1.71 (0.84 SD) CI (-3.38 to -0.05)) and VAS6 body perception (-2.78 SD 1.29 CI (-5,31 to -0.22)). Non-significant tendencies were observed for bullying (2.02 (1.15 SD) CI (-0.19 to 4.23)) and motivation (-1.94 (1.01 SD) (-3.95 to 0.68)).

Discussion

The aim of the study was to evaluate different measures of QoL after a lifestyle intervention in children with obesity. With a mean follow-up of 26.4 months, this is to our knowledge the longest follow-up on QoL after a weight loss intervention.

An overall reduction in bullying and motivation was observed. Boys experienced a reduction in appetite, bullying and motivation, while girls experienced reduced bullying and improved JoL.

Interestingly, QoL was significant improved for children who reduced BMI-SDS compared to children with no-change or increasing BMI-SDS after the intervention. Similar but non-significant trends were observed for JoL, bullying, and Bcp. The weight development in BMI-SDS (reduction, no change, or increase, respectively) and the concomitant dose-dependent changes in QoL is to our knowledge not previously reported. A significant inverse relationship was observed for VAS1 JoL, VAS2 QoL and VAS6 body perception as a function of BMI-SDS per year. This underlines that a greater reduction BMI-SDS / year is associated with improvement in several aspects of quality of life (JoL, QoL and body perception) for children living with obesity.

It is thoroughly described that obesity in childhood is associated with imminent risk of decreased self-esteem, self-perception and QoL [1, 8, 15]. Strauss et al. suggest that lower self-esteem in children with obesity is associated with increasing levels of sadness, loneliness, and anxiety [15], while Paxton et al. predict

Jørgensen et al. Italian Journal of Pediatrics   (2022) 48:135

Page 5 of 7

Table 3 The relative development for the 6 VAS scores[a] for all participants, gender and weight development

	Overall		Gender			Weight development			
	All	p-values*	Boys	Girls	p-values	Loss	Stag	Gain	p-values
N	120		56	64		81	19	20	
ΔVAS1, Joy of living	0.37 (2.12)	0.51 *	-0.04 (2.10)	0.73 (2.08)	0.048	0.63 (1.98)	-0.01 (2.39)	-0.31 (2.26)	0.14
ΔVAS2, Quality of life	0.22 (2.40)	0.60 *	0.32 (2.61)	0.13 (2.22)	0.67	0.65 (2.49)	-0.36 (1.55)	-0.96 (2.27)	0.01
ΔVAS3, Appetite	-0.46 (3.06)	0.14 *	-0.98 (3.22)	-0.01 (2.86)	0.08	-0.45 (3.10)	-0.17 (2.89)	-0.78 (3.14)	0.82
ΔVAS4, Bullying	-1.40 (3.18)	<0.001 *	-1.23 (3.30)	-1.56 (3.10)	0.57	-1.69 (3.16)	-0.93 (3.75)	-0.67 (2.66)	0.34
ΔVAS5, Motivation	-0.78 (2.90)	0.002 *	-1.02 (2.93)	-0.57 (2.87)	0.40	-0.63 (2.90)	-1.41 (2.36)	-0.81 (3.37)	0.58
ΔVAS6, Body perception	0.69 (3.69)	0.27 *	0.29 (3.66)	1.03 (3.71)	0.28	1.14 (3.45)	0.49 (3.82)	-0.96 (4.17)	0.07

The last category is sub grouped in weight loss (<-0.1 ΔBMI-SDS), weight stagnation (-0.1 to 0.1 ΔBMI-SDS) and weight gain (> 0.1 ΔBMI-SDS) ¥. P-values refer to comparisons between the variables in each group (respectively gender and weight development). All data is reported as mean value with standard deviations (SD)

¥ΔBMI-SDS was calculated as the difference between BMI-SDS at baseline and BMI-SDS at the latest obtained VAS

[a] The relative development for VAS (ΔVAS) was calculated as the difference between baseline and at the latest obtained VAS

* These p-values refer to comparison between the baseline VAS (Table 1) and the last VAS obtained (not shown)

Jørgensen *et al. Italian Journal of Pediatrics*   (2022) 48:135

Page 6 of 7

that decreased body satisfaction in time will lead to depressive moods and low self-esteem [16]. In addition, children and adolescents with obesity are more likely to be the victim of bullying [4], which might aggravate the negative effects of living with obese. The current study indicates that some of these negative effects on mental health is not necessarily permanent, but reversible condition associated with weight reduction, where a greater reduction in BMI-SDS / year is associated with greater improvement in the mental health condition (JoL, QoL and body perception) in children living with obesity.

Using VAS for younger children (< 6 years of age) might challenge the child's understanding of the questions and the child's perception of the questions might change with change in age. In a cross-sectional study assessing QoL for children with oral cleft, VAS and PedsQL scores correlated well ($r = 0.67$) for children 5 to 10 years of age [12]. In the current study we included four children 5 years of age, but excluded children not able to complete the VAS—regardless of age. We didn't find literature on age-depending changings in perception of VAS for children living with obesity.

One of the strengths is that all VAS scores were obtained in uniformed method with simple instructions from a small team of specifically trained healthcare professionals.

An obvious limitation is the lack of a control group. In addition, the limited number of participants increased the risk of type-2-error, exemplified by the borderline-significant results.

The main purpose of the intervention is to reduce BMI-SDS, however, it was considered an equal success to improve QoL and thereby reduce bullying and the development of psychosocial complications such as the risk of depression later in life [1, 4, 5].

The present intervention is broadly used in the treatment of children with obesity in Denmark and our results ad to previous findings [8], by including long-term follow-up in children who were more obese and younger. Fonvig *et al.* reported beneficial changes in 5 out of 6 VAS-items for children with increasing BMI-SDS [8]. Similar changes in QoL after gaining weight during an intervention have been reported elsewhere, but with shorter follow-up of 4 months and 4 weeks, respectably [17, 18]. In contrast, our intervention displayed children with increasing BMI-SDS to have impaired JoL, QoL, and Bcp. The discrepancies may be explained by the longer follow-up in perhaps a more real-life situation and that the beneficial effects of lifestyle intervention on QoL lasts shortly if not accompanied by a weight reduction.

Conclusion
Weight reduction cause improvement in QoL for children with obesity and a significant inverse relationship was observed for JoL, QoL and body perception as a function of BMI-SDS changes per year.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
This trial is best described as a pragmatic descriptive intervention study which require no registration due Danish legislation. This has been confirmed by The Local Committee on Health Ethics (record number 1–10-72–274-21). Even though this trial was not a randomized study, the CONSORT-concept was followed and all procedures performed involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration. At first visit a consent for participation was obtained for each participant by a parent or a legal guardian.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
[1]Department of Pediatrics, Randers Regional Hospital, Østervangsvej 54, entrance C, 8930 Randers, NØ, Denmark. [2]Steno Diabetes Center Aarhus, Aarhus University Hospital, Hedeager 3, 2nd floor, 8200 Aarhus N, Denmark. [3]Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Palle Juul-Jensen Boulevard 82, 8200 Aarhus N, Denmark. [4]Department of Internal Medicine, Randers Regional Hospital, Østervangsvej 54, 8930 Randers, NØ, Denmark.

References

1. Schwimmer JB, Burwinkle TM, Varni JW. Health-related quality of life of severely obese children and adolescents. JAMA. 2003;289(14):1813–9.
2. Pinhas-Hamiel O, Singer S, Pilpel N, Fradkin A, Modan D, Reichman B. Health-related quality of life among children and adolescents: associations with obesity. Int J Obes (Lond). 2006;30(2):267–72.
3. de Beer M, Hofsteenge GH, Koot HM, Hirasing RA, Delemarre-van de Waal HA, Gemke RJ. Health-related-quality-of-life in obese adolescents is decreased and inversely related to BMI. Acta Paediatr. 2007;96(5):710–4.
4. van Geel M, Vedder P, Tanilon J. Are overweight and obese youths more often bullied by their peers? A meta-analysis on the correlation between weight status and bullying. Int J Obes (Lond). 2014;38(10):1263–7.
5. Sjoberg RL, Nilsson KW, Leppert J. Obesity, shame, and depression in school-aged children: a population-based study. Pediatrics. 2005;116(3):e389–92.
6. de Boer AG, van Lanschot JJ, Stalmeier PF, van Sandick JW, Hulscher JB, de Haes JC, et al. Is a single-item visual analogue scale as valid, reliable and responsive as multi-item scales in measuring quality of life? Qual Life Res. 2004;13(2):311–20.
7. Mottola CA. Measurement strategies: the visual analogue scale. Decubitus. 1993;6(5):56–8.
8. Fonvig CE, Hamann SA, Nielsen TRH, Johansen MO, Gronbaek HN, Mollerup PM, et al. Subjective evaluation of psychosocial well-being in children and youths with overweight or obesity: the impact of multidisciplinary obesity treatment. Qual Life Res. 2017;26(12):3279–88.
9. Nysom K, Molgaard C, Hutchings B, Michaelsen KF. Body mass index of 0 to 45-y-old Danes: reference values and comparison with published European reference values. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001;25(2):177–84.
10. Holm JC, Gamborg M, Bille DS, Gr Nb KHN, Ward LC, Faerk J. Chronic care treatment of obese children and adolescents. Int J Pediatr Obes. 2011;6(3–4):188–96.
11. Jorgensen RM, Bruun JM, Kremke B, Bahnsen RF, Nielsen BW, Vestergaard ET. Sustainable weight loss over three years in children with obesity: a pragmatic family-centered lifestyle intervention. Eat Weight Disord. 2021;26:537–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-020-00887-7.
12. Wehby GL, Naderi H, Robbins JM, Ansley TN, Damiano PC. Comparing the Visual Analogue Scale and the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory for measuring health-related quality of life in children with oral clefts. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2014;11(4):4280–91.
13. Verstraete J, Lloyd A, Scott D, Jelsma J. How does the EQ-5D-Y Proxy version 1 perform in 3, 4 and 5-year-old children? Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2020;18(1):149.
14. Harris PA, Taylor A, Minor BL, Elliott V, Fernandez M, O'Neal L, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. J Biomed Inform. 2019;95:377–81.
15. Strauss RS. Childhood obesity and self-esteem. Pediatrics. 2000;105(1):e15.
16. Paxton SJ, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Eisenberg ME. Body dissatisfaction prospectively predicts depressive mood and low self-esteem in adolescent girls and boys. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2006;35(4):539–49.
17. Bocca G, Kuitert MW, Sauer PJ, Stolk RP, Flapper BC, Corpeleijn E. A multidisciplinary intervention programme has positive effects on quality of life in overweight and obese preschool children. Acta Paediatr. 2014;103(9):962–7.
18. Patrick DL, Skalicky AM, Edwards TC, Kuniyuki A, Morales LS, Leng M, et al. Weight loss and changes in generic and weight-specific quality of life in obese adolescents. Qual Life Res. 2011;20(6):961–8.

Publisher's Note