

Fungal Genetics and Genomics

Disclosing azole resistance mechanisms in resistant *Candida glabrata* strains encoding wild-type or gain-of-function *CgPDR1* alleles through comparative genomics and transcriptomics

Sara B. Salazar (), ^{1,2} Maria Joana F. Pinheiro, ^{1,2} Danielle Sotti-Novais, ^{1,2} Ana R. Soares (), ³ Maria M. Lopes, ⁴ Teresa Ferreira, ⁵ Vitória Rodrigues, ⁶ Fábio Fernandes (), ^{1,2} Nuno P. Mira (), ^{1,2}*

¹iBB, Institute for Bioengineering and Biosciences, Instituto Superior Técnico—Department of Bioengineering, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa 1049-001, Portugal
²Associate Laboratory i4HB—Institute for Health and Bioeconomy at Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa 1049-001, Portugal
³Department of Medical Sciences, Institute of Biomedicine (iBiMED), University of Aveiro, Aveiro 3810, Portugal
⁴Departamento de Microbiologia e Imunologia, Faculdade de Farmácia da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa 1649-003, Portugal

⁵Laboratório de Microbiologia, Hospital Dona Estefânia (Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Central), Lisboa 1169-045, Portugal

⁶Seção de Microbiologia, Laboratório SYNLAB—Lisboa, Grupo SYNLAB Portugal, Lisboa 1070-061, Portugal

*Corresponding author: Department of Bioengineering, iBB, Institute for Bioengineering and Biosciences, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001, Lisboa, Portugal. Email: nuno.mira@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Abstract

The pathogenic yeast *Candida glabrata* is intrinsically resilient to azoles and rapidly acquires resistance to these antifungals, in vitro and in vivo. In most cases azole-resistant *C. glabrata* clinical strains encode hyperactive CgPdr1 variants, however, resistant strains encoding wild-type *CgPDR1* alleles have also been isolated, although remaining to be disclosed the underlying resistance mechanism. In this study, we scrutinized the mechanisms underlying resistance to azoles of 8 resistant clinical *C. glabrata* strains, identified along the course of epide-miological surveys undertaken in Portugal. Seven of the strains were found to encode CgPdr1 gain-of-function variants (I392M, E555K, G558C, and I803T) with the substitutions I392M and I803T being herein characterized as hyper-activating mutations for the first time. While cells expressing the wild-type *CgPDR1* allele required the mediator subunit Gal11A to enhance tolerance to fluconazole, this was dispensable for cells expressing the I803T variant indicating that the CgPdr1 interactome is shaped by different gain-of-function substitutions. Genomic and transcriptomic profiling of the sole azole-resistant *C. glabrata* isolate encoding a wild-type *CgPDR1* allele (ISTB218) revealed that under fluconazole stress this strain over-expresses various genes described to provide protection against this antifungal, while also showing reduced expression of genes described to increase sensitivity to these drugs. The overall role in driving the azole-resistance phenotype of the ISTB218 *C. glabrata* isolate played by these changes in the transcriptome and genome of the ISTB218 isolate are discussed shedding light into mechanisms of resistance that go beyond the CgPdr1-signalling pathway and that may alone, or in combination, pave the way for the acquisition of resistance to azoles in vivo.

Keywords: CgPdr1; CgPdr1-dependent and independent azole-resistance; azole resistance; Candida glabrata

Introduction

The increase in the size of the immunocompromised population, resulting from the intensification of medical procedures, has been potentiating the incidence of invasive infections caused by fungi (Pappas *et al.* 2018). Among the more relevant ethiological agents causative of invasive fungal infections are *Candida* species (Bongomin *et al.* 2017; Castanheira *et al.* 2017; Pfaller *et al.* 2019), whose associated infections have high rates of mortality and morbidity (Sanguinetti *et al.* 2015; Strollo *et al.* 2016; Swanson *et al.* 2016; Wan Ismail *et al.* 2020; Zhang *et al.* 2020). Although *Candida albicans* remains the leading infecting *Candida* species, a persistent increase in the incidence of infections caused by non albicans *Candida* species (generally designated as NACS) has been

observed worldwide(Pfaller et al. 2010, 2019; Sanguinetti et al. 2015). This increase is worrisome as infections caused by NACS have higher mortality and morbidity rates than those attributed to C. albicans (Horn et al. 2009; Sandhu et al. 2017; Yeşilkaya et al. 2017; Gangneux et al. 2018).

Compared to *C. albicans*, NACS are more tolerant to azoles (as reviewed in Salazar et al. 2020) and can acquire resistance at a higher rate, specially *Candida glabrata* (Pfaller et al. 2019). The increase in azole resistance among *Candida* strains threatens the successful therapeutic utilization of azoles, as confirmed by the prolonged hospital stays and poorer outcomes of patients colonized with azole-resistant strains (Sanguinetti et al. 2015). The low susceptibility of *C. glabrata* to azoles is attributed to its capability of

Received: September 09, 2021. Accepted: April 25, 2022

[©] The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Genetics Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

bypassing the accumulation of toxic sterols in the plasma membrane caused by the azole-induced inhibition of Erg11, an essential enzyme for ergosterol biosynthesis (Nakayama et al. 2001; Hull et al. 2012; Salazar et al. 2020). While in C. albicans azole resistance is largely determined by the occurrence of point modifications in Erg11 coding sequence, in C. glabrata this mechanism is very rare (as reviewed in Salazar et al. 2020). The formation of minichromosomes harboring multiple copies of essential azoleresistance genes or the inactivation of the DNA repair enzyme CgMSH2 as a mean to increase genetic diversity, are mechanisms described to mediate azole resistance in C. glabrata clinical isolates (Polakova et al. 2009; Delliere et al. 2016; Healey et al. 2016; Salazar et al. 2020). Although these mechanisms reflect the highly plastic nature of the C. glabrata genome (Carreté et al. 2018; Carrete et al. 2019), which favors the rapid acquisition of resistance to azoles in vivo and in vitro (Carrete et al. 2019; Cavalheiro et al. 2019), they are not observed to underlie the azole resistance-phenotype of many clinical strains (as reviewed in Salazar et al. 2020). In fact, a recent overview of the genes described to influence azole resistance in laboratory strains and those confirmed to underlie azole resistance in clinical strains shows a very modest overlap reflecting the lower amount of work that has been undertaken in clinical strains, compared to laboratory strains (as reviewed in Salazar et al. 2020). Also, the occurrence of factors conditioning azole resistance in vivo that may not be mimicked to the studies conducted in vitro should also contribute for some dissimilarities in the findings obtained in laboratory and in clinical strains. In most cases azole resistance in C. glabrata clinical strains derives from them acquiring gain-of-function (GOF) mutations in the transcription regulator CgPdr1, a central player in control of response and tolerance to xenobiotics in yeasts (as reviewed in Moye-Rowley 2019). GOF mutations render CgPdr1 constitutively active resulting in a potent up-regulation of target genes even when drugs are not present. The more prominent CgPdr1 target that is up-regulated upon CgPdr1 activation are the drug-efflux pumps CgCdr1 and CgPdh1 (as reviewed in Moye-Rowley 2019; Salazar et al. 2020), believed to play an essential role in promoting the active efflux of azoles thereby alleviating the deleterious effects prompted by their internal accumulation. Notably, although the outcome of the occurrence of CgPdr1 GOF mutations appears to be the same (constitutive activation of CgPdr1 and azole resistance) the molecular mechanisms underneath are apparently different since it has been observed that GOFs have a very different impact in C. glabrata genomic expression, probably due to different interactions with the transcriptional machinery (Ferrari et al. 2011; Salazar et al. 2018; Simonicova and Moye-Rowley 2020). CgPdr1 interacts with the complex mediator subunit Gal11A to increase recruitment of transcriptional machinery and promote the up-regulation of target genes (Nishikawa et al. 2016). Functional analysis of strains encoding different CgPdr1 GOF alleles have found differences in the way by which these mutants interact with Gal11A with some modifications retaining the need of the wild-type protein for Gal11A, while others appear to be less dependent of it (Simonicova and Moye-Rowley 2020).

Despite the prevalence of CgPdr1 gain-of-function mutants among azole-resistant *C. glabrata* strains, resistant strains encoding wild-type *CgPDR1* alleles have also been isolated (Vermitsky and Edlind 2004; Ferrari et al. 2009; Healey et al. 2016; Gohar et al. 2017; Biswas et al. 2018; Hou et al. 2018; Arastehfar et al. 2019), however, these were not further investigated and therefore the underlying resistance mechanisms remain unknown. In this study, we scrutinized the azole-resistance phenotype of 8 *C. glabrata* resistant strains that we have identified in the course of epidemiological surveys undertaken in Portugal. Seven of these strains were found to encode CgPdr1 variants, with 2 of them, I392M and I803T, being herein characterized for the first time. One strain (ISTB218) was found to encode a wild-type CgPDR1 allele and was therefore subjected to comparative transcriptomic and genomic analyses (using 2 susceptible strains as references) to shed light into genes and pathways that could mediate azole resistance in vivo, beyond CgPdr1.

Methods

Strains and growth media

This work resorted to 1,270 clinical isolates identified as belonging to the *Candida* genus as well as the laboratory strains CBS138, SKY107, and LYS2, as detailed in Supplementary Table 1. Strains were cultivated in YPD, in RPMI or in minimal medium containing glucose or N-acetylglucosamine as the sole carbon sources, being the composition of these media used provided in Supplementary Methods 1.

Plasmids

Plasmid pSP76, which expresses CgPdr1 from its natural promoter and terminator (Khakhina et al. 2018), was used to complement the deletion of PDR1 in SKY107 background. Using pSP76 as a template, 3 derivative plasmids were generated to allow expression of the CqPDR1 mutants G822T (yielding the K274Q substitution), T1176G (yielding the I392M substitution), and T2408C (yielding the I803T substitution). Codon substitution was obtained by amplification of the pSP76 plasmid with PfuUltra High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (Agilent) using the mutagenic primers detailed in Supplementary Table 2. The PCR product was treated with 2U DpnI (New England BioLabs) for 1 h at 37°C, followed by self-ligation overnight, at 16°C, using 10U T4 polynucleotide kinase and 400U T4 ligase (both enzymes from New England BioLabs). The resulting product was transformed in Escherichia coli DH5a competent cells by classical transformation and constructs verified by Sanger sequencing. To obtain the A12_CgPdr1 plasmid the promotor and sequence of the CgPDR1 gene were amplified from plasmid pSP76 and were inserted in the NotI/BamHI sits of the pYR29-MycHis plasmid (Merhej et al. 2015). Engineering of the mutants A820C (yielding the K274Q substitution), T1176G (yielding the I392M substitution), and T2408C (yielding the I803T substitution) was performed using the same strategy as described above for the constructs performed in the pSP76 backbone.

Azole susceptibility testing of the isolates

A total of 479 *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata* isolates were profiled for their resistance to fluconazole and voriconazole. In an initial step, the strains were profiled for their growth in the presence of resistance breakpoints concentrations of fluconazole and voriconazole and those exhibiting at least 25% reduction in growth in the presence of the azole, comparing with growth observed in drug-free medium, were selected for a second step. In this second step, the MIC of fluconazole and voriconazole was determined using the EUCAST highly standardized microdilution method (Subcommittee on Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of the EECFAST 2008) (details in Supplementary Information 1).

Sequencing of CgPDR1 allele encoded by the azole-resistant C. glabrata isolates

To sequence the CgPDR1 gene encoded by the azole-resistant C. glabrata isolates, genomic DNA was obtained and used as a

S. B. Salazar et al. | 3

template to amplify, by PCR, the *CgPDR1* gene (details in Supplementary Information 1). The PCR product was sequenced (at least twice and using independent PCR products) at STABVIDA as a service.

Assessment of CgCDR1 and CgPUP1 expression in azole-resistant strains

The transcript levels of CgCDR1 and CgPUP1 genes were compared in the 7 azole-resistant strains and in CBS138 during exponential growth in RPMI medium (details on Supplementary Information 1). Conversion of the recovered RNA from the different cultures into cDNA was performed using 1 μ g of RNA in the C1000 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA). The subsequent quantitative PCR step was performed using 2.5 μ l of the cDNA and SYBR Green super mix (BioRad) in the 7,500 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences used are available in Supplementary Table 2. Gene expression was calculated using gene RDN25 as an internal control.

Transcriptomic and genomic profiling of isolates FFUL443, FFUL674, ISTB218, and ISTA29

Transcriptomic profile of isolates FFUL443, FFUL674, and ISTB2018 was compared with the one of the laboratory strain CBS138 during exponential growth in RPMI medium, either or not supplemented with 32 mg/L of fluconazole, using a species-specific DNA microarray for *C. glabrata* (Rossignol *et al.* 2007) (details on Supplementary Information 1). Whole-genome sequencing of ISTB218 (azole resistant) and ISTA29 (azole susceptible) was performed at CD Genomics Inc (USA), as a paid-service, and the reads obtained were used for SNP calling using the tools available at CLC Genomics Workbench software (further details in Supplementary Information 1).

Susceptibility assays to fluconazole of $\Delta pdr1$ and $\Delta pdr1\Delta Gal11A$ C. glabrata cells expressing different CgPDR1 alleles. SKY107 (Δ pdr1) cells or LYS2 ($\Delta pdr1\Delta Gal11A$) cells were transformed with the pSP76 or A12_CgPDR1 plasmids to drive expression of the wild-type CqPDR1 allele or with the engineered plasmids to drive the expression of the GOF alleles K274Q, I392M, or I803T. The transformants were cultivated overnight, at 30°C and with an orbital agitation of 250 rpm, in minimal medium without uracil and on the next day the cells were re-inoculated in fresh MM medium at an OD_{600nm} of 0.1. The cells were left to grow (at 30°C and with an orbital agitation of 250 rpm) until mid-exponential phase (DO_{\rm 600nm}{\sim}0.8) and used to prepare a cell suspension (in water) with an OD_{600nm} of 0.05. Four microliters of this cell suspension and of corresponding 1:4 (lane b shown in Fig. 3) and 1:16 (lane c shown in Fig. 3) of it were applied as spots onto the surface of solid MM or YPD either or not supplemented with the indicated concentrations of fluconazole. For the determination of the MICs in these transformants the same procedure as detailed above for the clinical isolates was used.

Results

Distribution of *Candida* species among a cohort of isolates recovered across epidemiological surveys undertaken in Portugal

For the present study, we made use of 1,270 Candida clinical isolates collected from patients attending hospitals in the Lisbon area, in Portugal, between 2015 and 2017. Candida albicans was, by far, the species more frequently isolated comprising 922 isolates, followed by C. glabrata (154 isolates), Candida tropicalis (62 isolates), Candida parapsilosis (61 isolates), Candida krusei (40 isolates), Candida lusitaniae (12 isolates), Candida kefyr (10 isolates) and the rare Candida quilliermondii (2 isolates), Candida dubliniensis, Candida sake, and Candida inconspicua (1 isolate each) (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Around 92% of the isolates examined were retrieved from nonsterile sites including vaginal exudates, urine, skin or feces, the remaining being retrieved from sterile products like hemocultures (Supplementary Table 1). Candida albicans was the more frequent species isolated from all types of products, in line with the described versatility of this species as a human colonizer (Romo and Kumamoto 2020). Candida glabrata was, in almost all cases, the second more frequent species isolated (Fig. 1). It was of note the isolation of C. tropicalis, C. parapsilosis, C. krusei, and C. kefyr in hemocultures, consistent with their reported ability to cause invasive candidiasis, including C. kefyr whose relevance in candidemia is poorly studied but increasing (Dufresne et al. 2014; Khan et al. 2015). Since MALDI-TOF cannot clearly distinguish C. albicans from its closely related variant C. albicans var africana (Tietz et al. 1995; Chowdhary et al. 2017) and in Portugal this biovariant has not been described, we profiled our cohort of C. albicans strains for growth in minimal media having N-acetylglucosamine as the sole source of carbon as C. albicans var africana cells are unable to use this carbon source(Tietz et al. 1995). Eight C. albicans var africana strains could be identified, 7 being recovered from vaginal exudates, consistent with the human genitourinary tract being its primary colonization niche (Tietz et al. 1995; Chowdhary et al. 2017; Supplementary Table 1).

Profile of resistance to fluconazole and voriconazole among the C. albicans and C. glabrata strains

We randomly selected 401 *C. albicans* and 78 *C. glabrata* strains (details in Supplementary Table 1) for a profile of resistance to fluconazole and voriconazole. The strains were first phenotyped for their ability to grow in the presence of concentrations of fluconazole and voriconazole equal to the resistance breakpoints defined by EUCAST (32 mg/L of fluconazole and 1 mg/L of voriconazole for *C. glabrata* and 4 mg/L of fluconazole and 0.25 mg/L of voriconazole for *C. albicans*). Twenty-six isolates exhibiting a growth reduction in the presence of the azoles of, at least, 25%



Fig. 1. Species-distribution of the collection of *Candida* isolates (identified as belonging to a species of the Candida genus based on MALDI-TOF profiling) examined in this work according with the product they were retrieved from.

the growth registered in drug-free medium were selected for individual determination of the MIC of the 2 azoles (Supplementary Table 1). This analysis led to the identification of 13 *C. albicans* and 4 *C. glabrata* isolates with MICs for fluconazole and voriconazole above the resistance breakpoint, while 2 *C. albicans* isolates (ISTB16 and ISTB284) could only be considered resistant to fluconazole (further details in Supplementary Table 1).

Sequencing of the CgPDR1 allele encoded by the azole-resistant C. glabrata isolates

We focused then on the 4 identified C. glabrata azole-resistant strains identified in our cohort: ISTB218, ISTA56, ISTB607, and ISTB556. We started by examining the sequence of the CqPDR1 allele encoded by these strains with the results being shown in Table 1 (Supplementary Table 3 provides further details). We also included in this analysis 3 C. glabrata azole-resistant strains (FFUL443, FFUL674, and FFUL830) recovered from previous epidemiological surveys and that were also identified by our laboratory as azole-resistant but whose underlying resistance mechanism was not further characterized (Salazar et al. 2018). The CqPDR1 gene encoded by all the examined strains exhibited the nonsynonymous substitutions S76P, V91I, L98S, T143P, and/or D243N, previously described to occur both in azole susceptible and resistant C. glabrata strains (Ferrari et al. 2009; Biswas et al. 2018; Hou et al. 2018; Carrete et al. 2019; Tantivitayakul et al. 2019) (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3). Two of the azole-resistant isolates encode the demonstrated R376W (ISTB556, ISTB607) GOF CgPdr1 variant (Ferrari et al. 2009; Katiyar et al. 2016), while isolates FFUL830, ISTA56, and FFUL674 encode CgPDR1 alleles with the E555K, G558C, and I803T substitutions. All these substitutions were previously identified in azole-resistant strains but not in susceptible ones for which they were considered to represent CgPdr1 GOF variants and to mediate the azole-resistance phenotype of the strains in which they were identified (Ferrari et al. (2009); Katiyar et al. (2016). In line with this idea, isolates

expressing these *CgPDR1* alleles (IST866, ISTA56) over-express (comparing to the susceptible reference CBS138 strain) the CgPdr1-targets *CgCDR1* and *CgPUP1* during growth in unsupplemented RPMI medium, a phenotype observed in strais expressing *CgPDR1* GOF alleles (Ferrari et al. 2009) (Supplementary Figure S1). Isolate FFUL443 was found to encode a CgPdr1 allele with a not previously described substitution, I392M, while ISTB218 strain encoded a *CgPDR1* allele with no other modification besides those also observed in the susceptible strains (Table 1).

The I803T and I392M substitutions are new GOF *CgPdr1* variants, as unveiled by comparative transcriptomics and detailed molecular analyses

The azole-resistant C. glabrata isolate FFUL443 encodes a CqPDR1 allele with a not previously described substitution, I392M, while the FFUL674 isolate encodes a variant, I803T, that was observed in an azole-resistant strain (Katiyar et al. 2016) but not further studied. We hypothesized that these substitutions could represent new CgPdr1 gain-of-function substitutions and to clarify this aspect we ectopically expressed the wild-type CqPDR1 allele, as well as the CqPDR1^{I803T} and CqPDR1^{I392M} variants, in a $\Delta pdr1$ background. Consistent with the idea that I392M and I803T substitutions are gain-of-function mutations, $\Delta pdr1$ cells expressing the I803T and I392M variants are more tolerant to fluconazole than those expressing the wild-type version of CgPdr1 (Fig. 2a). Global comparative transcriptomic analysis of strains FFUL443 and FFUL674 (that express, respectively, the I392M and I803T variants) with the azole-susceptible laboratory strain CBS138 (which expresses the wild-type CgPdr1) in drug-free RPMI medium revealed an over-expression in the clinical strains of 87 and 44 genes described to be activated by CgPdr1 (Supplementary Tables 4 and 6; and results compiled in Fig. 2b) confirming that these strains encode a hyper-active CgPDR1 allele that bypasses azoleinduced activation. Among the up-regulated genes in the clinical strains were CgCDR1 and CgPUP1, the sole 2 CgPdr1 target genes

Table 1. Results obtained upon sequencing of the CgPDR1 gene from the seven azole-resistant C. glabrata strains examined in this study.

	100	312 382	539	632	1068	1168
CgPdr1	DBD	А	CRD	в	AD	

Strain/Position	76	91	98	143	173	243	274	376	392	555	558	803
CBS138	S	V	L	Т	D	D	K	R	Ι	Е	G	Ι
FFUL443	<u>P</u>	I	<u>S</u>	<u>P</u>					Μ			
FFUL674		I	<u>S</u>			N						Т
FFUL866		I	<u>S</u>			N				K		
ISTA56		Ī	<u>S</u>			<u>N</u>					С	
ISTB556		Ī	<u>S</u>			<u>N</u>		W				
ISTB607		Ī	<u>s</u>			N		W				
ISTB218		Ī	<u>S</u>			N						

The non-synonymous modifications found in the coding sequencing of the CgPDR1 gene encoded by the strains is compared with the one of the azole-susceptible reference strain CBS138. Those modifications demonstrated before to serve as CgPdr1 GOF variants are marked in dark grey boxes, while those previously reported in azole-resistant strains but not in susceptible ones are indicated in black boxes. SNPs described in azole susceptible and resistant strains are underlined. The herein described 1392M substitution is indicated in the light grey box. The functional domains of CgPdr1 are also indicated in the schematic representation provided that shows the different domains mapped in the regulator: DBD, DNA binding domain; CRD, Central Regulatory Domain (in black are highlighted the two regions where most GOFs have been identified; TAD, transactivation domain).



Fig. 2. Effect in tolerance to fluconazole and in genomic expression of *C. glabrata* of the expression of the CgPdr1^{1392M} or CgPdr1^{1803T} variants (a) MIC for fluconazole obtained for SKY107 cells transformed with plasmid pSP76 which drives expression of *CgPDR1* from its natural promoter and terminator and in the derived plasmids that encode the *CgPDR1* allele with the individual substitutions I392M and I803T. As a control, the described CgPdr1 GOF variant K274Q was also used. In gray it is highlighted the MIC values above the defined resistance breakpoint for fluconazole; b) Comparative genomic expression between the azole-susceptible strain *C. glabrata* CBS138 and the azole-resistant isolates FFUL443 (clinical strain that harbors the I392M modification) and FFUL674 (clinical strain that harbors the I302T modification) during growth in RPMI medium (in blue) or in this same medium supplemented with fluconazole (32 mg/L; in green). Genes found to be over- or under-expressed in the clinical strains (above a 2-fold threshold level) were selected for this comparative analysis and among these documented as being positively regulated by CgPdr1 identified and distinguished between direct and indirect targets, based on the information available in the PathoYeastract database (Monteiro *et al.* 2017). The data that supported design of the data presented in this figure is detailed in Supplementary Tables 4–7.

found to be commonly up-regulated in strains encoding different CgPdr1 GOF mutants (Ferrari *et al.* 2009, 2011) (up-regulation of these 2 genes detected in the microarray experiments was further confirmed by real time RT-PCR, Supplementary Fig. 1). Exposure to fluconazole (32 mg/L) caused, as expected, dramatic modifications in the transcriptome of all strains resulting in a higher number of differentially expressed genes (see Venn diagram shown in Fig. 2b and more specific data on the individual gene expression in the presence or absence of fluconazole in Supplementary Tables 4–7). Among the many genes found to be

differently expressed between the clinical isolates and the laboratory CBS138 strain, fluconazole-stressed FFUL443 cells overexpress 87 documented CgPdr1 targets, 54 of these already being over-expressed in the absence of the azole (Fig. 2b). Azolechallenged FFUL674 cells over-expressed 77 documented CgPdr1targets, 33 of these already being over-expressed in control conditions (Fig. 2b). Notably, the set of CgPdr1-target genes commonly up-regulated in FFUL443 and FFUL674 cells, either in the presence or absence of fluconazole, was very limited (see Venn diagram in Fig. 2b) confirming previous results sustaining that



Fig. 3. Influence of the Gal11A mediator subunit in tolerance to fluconazole of *C. glabrata* cells expressing wild-type or the GOF CgPdr1 variants K274Q, I392M, and I803T. Δ*pdr*1 or Δ*pdr*1Δ*Gal*11A cells were transformed with the A12_CgPDR1 plasmid (which drives expression of CgPDR1 from its natural terminator and promoter) or with the derived plasmids A12_CgPDR1^{K274Q}, A12_CgPDR1^{I803T}, or A12_CgPDR1^{I392M} which drive expression of the corresponding GOF variants and used to compare susceptibility to fluconazole in minimal medium (MM) or in YPD rich medium, as detailed in materials and methods. Cellular suspensions dropped in lanes b) and c) are, respectively, 1:4 and 1:16 dilutions of the cellular suspension dropped in lane a).

different gain-of-function substitutions exert a differential impact in C. glabrata genomic expression (Ferrari et al. 2009; Salazar et al. 2018).

The mediator subunit Gal11A exerts a differential role in conferring tolerance to fluconazole in *C. glabrata* cells expressing different CgPdr1 GOF variants

The role of the mediator complex in activating CgPdr1 upon exposure of C. glabrata cells to azoles has been demonstrated (Thakur et al. 2008) although different GOF variants have been found to depend on different degrees of this transcriptional regulatory complex (Simonicova and Moye-Rowley 2020). In this context, we examined the effect of deleting Gal11A, the mediator subunit described to interact with Pdr1 (Thakur et al. 2008), in the ability of the CgPDR1 I392M and I803T alleles to confer tolerance to fluconazole in C. glabrata (Fig. 3). For the sake of comparison, we have also included in this experiment the previously characterized CgPDR1 K274Q GOF allele (Salazar et al. 2018). As expected, the ability of a wild-type CgPDR1 allele to restore tolerance to fluconazole in a $\Delta pdr1$ background was fully dependent of GAL11A (Fig. 3). $\Delta pdr1$ cells expressing the CgPdr1^{K274Q} variant also exhibited some dependence of the Gal11A subunit, although lower than the one exhibited by the wild-type CgPdr1 variant (Fig. 3). Differently, Δpdr1 cells expressing CgPdr1^{I803T} bypassed the requirement for Gal11A to confer protection against fluconazole, a phenotype that was also obtained upon the expression of the CgPdr1^{I392M} variant, albeit at a lower extent (Fig. 3). A similar trend of results was obtained upon determination of the MICs for fluconazole for $\Delta pdr1$ and $\Delta pdr1\Delta qal11A$ cells expressing the different CgPdr1 variants (Supplementary Fig. 2). On the overall the herein obtained results demonstrate that cells expressing the CgPdr1 I803T and, less

significantly, I392M and K274Q variants show a considerable lower dependence for Gal11A in improving tolerance to fluconazole than cells expressing the wild-type version of CgPdr1, consistent with the previously reported idea that different modifications in the coding sequence of CgPdr1 may result in a stronger or weaker need for the mediator complex to promote fluconazole tolerance (Simonicova and Moye-Rowley 2020).

OMICS profiling of the azole-resistant isolate C. glabrata ISTB218, encoding a "wild-type" CgPDR1 allele

The azole-resistant isolate C. glabrata ISTB218 that encodes a CgPDR1 allele not having nonsynonymous substitutions that could be linked with azole-resistance was subjected to a genomic and transcriptomic profiling (in the presence or absence of fluconazole) to elucidate the underlying resistance mechanism. During cultivation in RPMI growth medium 490 genes could be considered differently expressed (above a threshold level of 2fold) between the ISTB218 isolate and the azole-susceptible strain CBS138, 245 of these being more transcribed in the isolate and 252 genes more expressed in the laboratory strain (Supplementary Table 8, Supplementary Fig. 3). Within the dataset of genes over-expressed in ISTB218, we could find only 1 gene documented to be directly regulated by CgPdr1 (CAGL0A01650g), consistent with this strain encoding a "wild-type" CgPdr1, inactive when cells are growing in the absence of a xenobiotic (Moye-Rowley 2019). Exposure to fluconazole caused profound changes in genomic expression of ISTB218 and CBS138 cells resulting in an increased number of differently expressed genes (as detailed in Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Tables 8 and 9). In particular, we could detect 302 genes over-expressed in fluconazole-stressed ISTB218 cells (203 already found to be upregulated in the absence of the azole) while 250 genes were more expressed in CBS138 (143 already being up-regulated in this strains in the absence of fluconazole). The higher tolerance to fluconazole of ISTB218 cells could result from them expressing at higher levels genes documented to confer protection against this antifungal. Therefore we systematically searched our list of upregulated genes in ISTB218 for described azole-resistance genes and identified 10 azole-resistance genes that are identified in Table 2. Among these genes was CgAUS1, encoding an ABC transporter involved in the uptake of exogenous sterols; the alcohol dehydrogenase CgADH1; CgUPC2B, a transcription factor found to be involved in regulation of ergosterol biosynthesis and implicated in tolerance to fluconazole under hypoxic conditions (Gupta *et al.* 2017); and the multidrug resistance transporters

Table 2. Results from comparative transcriptomic analysis between the azole-resistant *C. glabrata* strain ISTB218 and the azole-susceptible strain CBS138 during growth in RPMI medium supplemented with 32 mg/L fluconazole.

ORF name	Gene name	Sc orth.	mRNA ISTB218/mRNA CBS138	Function
Metabolism and tra	insport of sterols			
CAGL0F01419g	CgAUS1	AUS1	11.6	ATP-binding cassette transporter involved in sterol uptake
CAGL0F05137g		PRY2	7.3	Ortholog(s) have role in protein lipoylation
CAGL0F07865g	CgUPC2B	UPC2	4.8	Transcriptional regulator of ergosterol biosynthe- sis
CAGL0D05434g	CgROX1	ROX1	2.6	Protein involved in regulation of ergosterol biosyn- thesis
CAGL0L10142g	CqRSB1	RSB1	2.2	Putative sphingolipid flippase
CAGL0L10714g	CqERG2	ERG2	-2.8	C-8 sterol isomerase
CAGL0K01353g	5	NPC2	-2.9	Ortholog(s) have sterol binding activity, role in in- tracellular sterol transport
CAGL0E06424g				Ortholog(s) have cytochrome-b5 reductase activity; role in ergosterol biosynthetic process
CAGL0L08888g		NCR1	-3.3	Ortholog(s) have sterol binding activity, role in sphingolipid metabolic process, sterol transport
Transport of xenobi	iotics			
CAGL0G08624g	CgQDR2	QDR2	2.7	Multidrug resistance transporter of the MFS Superfamily
CAGL0J01661g			2.3	Predicted role in transmembrane transport
CAGL0A01221g		AQY1	-8.7	Predicted acquaporine
CAGL0D00154g		AQY1	-7.5	Predicted acquaporine
CAGL0F02717g	CgPDH1	PDR15	2.1	Multidrug resistance transporter of the ABC Superfamily
CAGL0G03927g	CgTPO1_1	TPO1	2.0	Multidrug resistance transporter of the MFS Superfamily
CAGL0H00847g		HUT1	-2.8	Ortholog(s) have UDP-galactose transmembrane transporter activity
Transcription				
CAGL0L03377g	CgZCF31	SIP34	3.8	Putative transcription factor
CAGL0L07480g		NRG2	3.1	Predicted transcription factor
Adhesion				
CAGL0G10175g	CgAWP6	DAN1	52.3	Predicted adhesin
CAGL0H07469g		ICS2	14.8	Predicted adhesin
CAGL0M14069g	CgPWP6	FLO9	11.4	Adhesin-like protein
CAGL0E06644g	CgEPA1	FLO1	-2.5	Subtelomerically encoded adhesin with a role in cell adhesion
CAGL0C00110g	CgEPA6	FLO1	-9.9	Subtelomerically encoded adhesin with a role in cell adhesion
CAGL0E06666g	CgEPA2	FLO1	-7.6	Subtelomerically encoded adhesin with a role in cell adhesion
CAGL0K13024g	CgEAD1	-	-5.8	Adhesin-like protein required for adherence to en- dothelial cells
CAGL0K00110g Other functions	CgAWP2	-	-5.7	Putative adhesin
CAGL0I07843g	CqADH1	ADH1	6.5	Putative alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzyme II
CAGL0K01991g	5	NCL1	2.6	Predicted role in tRNA methylation
CAGL0L12320g		GEP5	-2.3	Role in mitochondrial genome maintenance
CAGL0K05797g	CgEMI1	EMI1	-3.8	Ortholog(s) have role in mitochondrion organiza- tion
CAGL0K01419g		MTF2	-3.7	Ortholog(s) have RNA binding activity, role in mRNA processing
CAGL0K00715g		RTA1	-6.4	Predicted lipid-translocating exporter; over-expres- sion of ortholog confers tolerance to the ergos- terol biosynthesis inhibitor 7-aminocholesterol
CAGL0F00957q		TPD3	-3.3	Putative serine/threonine protein phosphatase 2A
CAGL0A02299g		-	-12.1	Unknown function

A selected set of genes found to be differently transcribed (above or below 2-fold) in the 2 strains is shown in this table, along with the description of their function and the corresponding ortholog in S. *cerevisiae*. Genes with a protective function against fluconazole are highlighted in light gray, while those whose deletion was found to result in enhanced tolerance to azole are highlighted in dark gray. The protective effect against fluconazole of the genes was based on results from Nagi et al. (2017); Gupta et al. (2017); Gale et al. (2020).



Fig. 4. Number of nonsynonymous single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) found upon comparison of gene sequences encoded by the azole-resistant strain ISTB218 and the azole-susceptible strains CBS138 and ISTA29. The names of adhesin-encoding genes are depicted in the figure to denote the high number of SNPs found in these sequences encoded by the azole-resistant strain ISTB218. Those adhesins that are described to provide protection against azoles in *C. glabrata* are highlighted in blue.

CqPDH1, CqQDR2, and CqTPO1_1. Notably, the gene found to be more significantly down-regulated in fluconazole-challenged ISTB218 cells, CAGL0A02299g, encoding a protein of unknown function, was described as an azole-susceptibility gene (that is, a gene whose deletion enhances tolerance to fluconazole) (Gale et al. 2020). Similarly, 5 other azole-susceptibility genes were also found to be down-regulated in the ISTB218 strain during fluconazole challenge: the phosphatase TPD3; EMI1, and CAGL0L12320g, required for mitochondrial genome organization and CAGL0H00847g, CAGL0K01419g, and CAGL0A02299g, encoding proteins with uncharacterized functions (as detailed in Table 2). A noticeable result that emerged from close inspection of both the dataset of up- and down-regulated genes in ISTB218 was the dramatic alteration registered in the expression of a large number of adhesin-encoding genes, specially under fluconazole stress (for example, AWP6 was up-regulated more than 50-fold in fluconazole-stressed ISTB218 cells while in control conditions the difference in the transcript level of this gene was not above the 2threshold level used) (see details in Table 2 and in Supplementary Tables 8 and 9).

Considering that prior genomic comparative analyses between C. glabrata clinical isolates and the CBS138 strain revealed massive number of SNPs that rendered difficult the establishment of relevant genotype to phenotype associations (Vale-Silva et al. 2017; Salazar et al. 2018; Carrete et al. 2019), in this work, we have not only obtained the whole-genomic sequence of ISTB218 but also of an azole-susceptible clinical strain recovered during our phenotypic survey (ISTA29). The data obtained from this strain was used to further "filter" the differences found between ISTB218 and CBS138. Using this approach, we could identify 700 nonsynonymous SNPs that were only found in the azoleresistant strain ISTB218 but not in the azole-susceptible strains CBS138 and ISTA29 (detailed in Supplementary Table 10). We could not detect SNPs in the coding sequences of CgMsh2 or CgErg11, in line with previous genomic analyses undertaken with other azole-resistant isolates that also failed to identify modifications in these genes (Vale-Silva et al. 2017; Salazar et al. 2018). It was evident that the genes involved in adhesion were those more clearly divergent between ISTB218, ISTA29, and CBS138 strains

(Fig. 4), this being in line with the results obtained in previous genomic comparisons involving CBS138 and clinical strains (Vale-Silva et al. 2017; Salazar et al. 2018). Seventeen documented azoleresistance genes were found to harbor specific SNPs in the azoleresistant ISTB218 strain including the complex mediator sub-unit Gal11B, the adhesins CAGL0L00157g and CAGL0C00231g, the protein kinase Slt2, the ABC transporters CgSnq2 and CgPdr12, the transcriptional regulator CgRpn4 or the sterol transporters CgTir3 and CAGLOF03267q (as detailed in Table 3 and in Supplementary Table 10). We could also identify 20 genes exhibiting frame-shifts leading to premature truncations in ISTB218 isolate (as detailed in Table 3 and in Supplementary Table 10). Of these, only the inactivation of CAGL0J00847g, a subunit of the succinate dehydrogenase complex, was described to result in improved tolerance to azoles (as detailed in Table 3 and in Supplementary Table 10). The observed truncation in the ISTB218 strain of the aquoporine CAGL0D00154q, also observed in another azole-resistant clinical strain (Vale-Silva et al. 2017), was interesting considering that this gene was also strongly down-regulated (about 6-fold) in ISTB218 cells exposed to fluconazole. Notably, under fluconazole stress the transcript levels of CAGLOA01221g, also predicted to encode an aquoporine, were also much lower (around 8-fold) in ISTB218 than in CBS138 (as detailed in Table 2 and in Supplementary Table 9).

Discussion

In this study, we shed light into the mechanisms of resistance to azoles in *C. glabrata* clinical strains, an essential knowledge to understand how this pathogenic species acquires resistance in vivo, specially considering that many observations concerning azole resistance in laboratory strains often differ in clinical strains (e.g. reviewed in Sanguinetti *et al.* 2015; Salazar *et al.* 2020). Azole resistance of 6 of the 7 *C. glabrata* resistant isolates that we characterized in this work was linked with the expression of CgPdr1 gain-of-function variants including the already described R76W, the suggested G558C, E555K, and I803T; and I392M, described here for the first time. Like many gain-of-function mutations described in CgPdr1, I392M, G558C, E555K, and I803T substitutions map in the central regulatory domain (CRD) of CgPdr1, with

Table 3. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified in the gene sequences encoded by the azole-resistant ISTB218 strain but not by the azole susceptible strains ISTA29 and CBS138, as suggested by comparative genomic analyses.

ORF name	Gene name	Sc ortholog	Function	Nonsynonymous SNPs in the ISTB218 allele (comparing with CBS138)
Metabolism and trans	sport of sterols			
CAGLOF03267g	sport of sterois	LAM4	Orthologs bind and perform intermembrane transfer of sterols	Insertion of LysSerAspAlaHisSer between Ser231 and His232
CAGL0C03872g	CgTIR3	TIR3	Putative GPI-linked cell wall protein involved in sterol up- take	Deletion between Val152 and Ser166
Cell signaling				
CAGL0J01892g	CgPAN1	PAN1	Ortholog(s) have role in actin cortical patch assembly,	Gln104fs
CAGL0I06512g	CgBEM2	BEM2	Ortholog(s) have a role in actin cytoskeleton organization	Val1095Ile
CAGL0B02211g	CgCCH1	CCH1	Putative calcium transporter; required for viability upon prolonged fluconazole stress	Tyr1585Ser
CAGL0J09702g	CgACK1	ACK1	Ortholog(s) have role in regula- tion of the cell wall integrity pathway	Gly627fs
CAGL0J00539g	CgSLT2	SLT2	Protein kinase mediating the cell wall integrity pathway	Lys275Gln
Transcription				
CAGL0K01727g	CgRPN4	RPN4	Tanscription factor required for regulation of proteasome-	Insertion of AlaGln between Gln99 and Met100
CAGL0L00583g		USV1	Ortholog(s) have role in carbon catabolite activation of tran- scription from RNA polymer- ase II promoter	Lys175fs
CAGL0J07370g	CgJJ1		Negative regulator of flucona- zole resistance; mutation causes elevated expression of multidrug transporters CDR1 and PDR1	Ala270Thr
Transport				
CAGL0I04862g	CgSNQ2	SNQ2	Plasma membrane ABC trans- porter	Pro1104His
CAGL0M07293g		PDR12	Plasma membrane ABC trans- porter	Tyr25His
CAGL0D00154g		AQY1	Has domain(s) with predicted channel activity	Phe49fs
Mitochondrial functio	n			
CAGL0J00847g	CgSDH1	YJR045w	Ortholog(s) have succinate de- hydrogenase activity	Ala41fs

It is indicated the alteration found in the coding sequence of the gene encoded by the ISTB218 strain as well as the biological function attributed to this gene and the corresponding ortholog in *S. cerevisiae*. Genes with a protective function against fluconazole are highlighted in light gray, while those whose deletion was found to result in enhanced tolerance to azole are highlighted in dark gray. The protective effect against fluconazole of the genes was based on results from Gale *et al.* (2020) f.s. denotes frame-shifts resulting in truncation.

I803T being already close to the activation domain-located approximately in the 50 C-terminal amino acids (Moye-Rowley 2019). While mutations embedded in the central regions of the CRD are believed to relief its inhibitory effect over the transactivation domain, the effect of those located more closely to the activation domain is less clear, as recently shown (Moye-Rowley 2019; Simonicova and Moye-Rowley 2020). Comparative analysis of the transcriptomes of FFUL674 and FFUL443 isolates, encoding, respectively, the I803T and I392M CgPDR1 alleles, reveals modest overlaps, both in the presence or absence of fluconazole (Fig. 3). This reflects well the differential effect displayed by different GOF mutations over C. glabrata genomic expression, as observed before (Vermitsky and Edlind 2004; Salazar et al. 2018). Among the set of documented CgPdr1 targets over-expressed in the clinical strains there is a low percentage of direct targets (that is those in which CgPdr1 was found bound in vivo), as detailed in Fig. 3 and in Supplementary Tables 4-9. Consistently, the PDRE motif (the binding site for CgPdr1) was absent from the majority of these documented CgPdr1 targets over-expressed in the clinical strains (detailed in Fig. 3 and in Supplementary Tables 4-9). Altogether these observations suggest that the effect of the GOF mutations in the CgPdr1-mediated alterations of the transcriptome of the clinical strains is mostly indirect. In this context it is particularly interesting the herein reported observation that cells expressing the CgPdr1 I803T, and less significantly the I392M and K274Q alleles, have little dependence of the mediator complex subunit Gal11A to induce azole tolerance, contrasting with the strong dependence exhibited by cells expressing the wild-type allele. These observations support the idea that GOFs modify the CgPdr1 interactome and this is a topic that has to be addressed in further studies to understand how this happens at the biochemical level and what is the impact in the modification of these

CgPdr1-interactors in the overall regulation of *C. glabrata* genomic expression, specially under fluconazole stress.

The sole azole-resistant C. glabrata isolate identified in our study encoding a CqPDR1 allele not having substitutions linked with azole resistance was subjected to comparative transcriptomic and genomic analyses with 2 azole susceptible strains, this being the first exhaustive analysis of a strain with these characteristics. The fact that in a randomly selected cohort of 7 azoleresistant strains only one does not encode a gain-of-function CgPdr1 allele demonstrates the preponderance of this mechanism in driving resistance in C. glabrata, as also observed in in vitro evolution studies (Cavalheiro et al. 2019). Transcriptomic analysis of fluconazole-stressed ISTB218 cells revealed that these cells over-express (comparing with the transcript levels produced in CBS138 cells challenged with the same concentration of fluconazole) several genes described to confer protection against azoles out of which those encoding the drug-efflux pumps CqPDH1, CqQDR2, and CqTPO1-1 and the sterol importer CqAUS1, up-regulated by more than 10-fold, stood out. CgPDH1 and CqQDR2 are known targets of the CgPdr1-regulatory network and therefore their higher level of expression in azole-stressed ISTB218 cells was surprising considering that these encode, like the CBS138 strain, a wild-type CqPDR1 allele and thus the activation of the regulator induced by fluconazole was expected to be the same for the 2 strains. CqAUS1 is under the regulation of the ergosterol biosynthesis regulators CgUpc2A and CgUpc2B (Nagi et al. 2011). Interestingly, CqUPC2B was over-expressed in fluconazole-stressed ISTB218 cells and although this gene plays a negligible role in tolerance to azoles in normoxia conditions, compared to its homolog CgUpc2A, under microaerophilic conditions the expression of CqUPC2B was detrimental for protection against fluconazole (Gupta et al. 2017). Recently it was demonstrated the existence of multiple cross-talks between azole resistance prompted by CgPdr1 and ergosterol biosynthesis controlled by CgUpc2A (Vu et al. 2019, 2021; Vu and Moye-Rowley 2022). Interestingly, 18 documented direct targets of CgUpc2A were detected in our dataset of genes up-regulated in fluconazolechallenged ISTB218 cells (see Supplementary Table 9) including the drug-efflux pumps CgTPO1-1 and CgQDR2; but also the sphingolipid flippase CqRSB1; CqADH1, encoding a cytosolic alcohol dehydrogenase; and the sterol importers CqAUS1 and CqTIR3 (Nakayama et al. 2007). Surprisingly, no over-expression of ERG genes was found in fluconazole-challenged ISTB218 cells, also described as direct targets of CgUpc2A under fluconazole stress (Vu et al. 2021). Neither CgPHD1 nor CgUPC2B were described to be under the regulation of CgUpc2A and thus the molecular mechanism behind their over-expression in ISTB218 cells remains unclear. With the data we have available it is not possible to disclose whether the observed up-regulation of genes of the CgUpc2A regulon detected in ISTB218 cells results from a higher activity of CgUpc2A in these cells or whether this can also involve CgUpc2B or another yet uncharacterized regulator. However, this is an aspect that has to be further addressed in the future considering the role that these genes have in enhancing fluconazole tolerance.

The results of the transcriptomic profiling of ISTB218 cells in the presence of fluconazole showed substantial differences in the expression of genes related with transport of sterols including not only the above-mentioned difference in the expression of *CgUPC2B* and of the genes of the Upc-regulon; but also in the expression of *CAGL0F05137g*, similar to the transporter of toxic sterols ScPry2; and of *CAGL0K01353g*, *CAGL0E06424g*, and *CAGL0K00715g/CgRTA1* genes, all encoding proteins functionally annotated as implicated in transport of sterols. In addition, a high number of SNPs were only found in the CAGL0F05137g allele encoded by the ISTB218 strain, this encoding a protein similar to ScLsm4 that was described to mediate the transfer of sterols between the plasma membrane and the endoplasmic reticulum (Tiwari et al. 2007). In agreement with these observations that suggest a differential transport of sterols in ISTB218 and in CBS138 cells, labeling with filippin, a widely used ergosterol sterol marker, reveals prominent differences (both in the presence or absence of fluconazole) with the cells of the clinical strain showing a marked distribution of the dye in what appears to be punctate internal vesicles, while in CBS138 the dye is largely distributed in the plasma membrane only being present in these internal structures in a small fraction of cells (Fig. 5). Ergosterol is synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum and delivered to the plasma membrane using a nonvesicular mechanism that results in the equilibration of sterol pools between the two organelles. It is possible that this equilibrium between ergosterol accumulated in the plasma membrane and in the ER may be perturbed in ISTB218 cells in such a way that enhances azole tolerance. Interestingly, Saccharomyces cerevisiae Upc2 was found to serve as a direct sensor for ergosterol becoming activated when binding of the protein to this lipid is reduced (Yang et al. 2015). Although the same has not been demonstrated for CgUpc2A, the high similarity between these regulators and ScUpc2, along with the recent demonstrations that perturbations in ergosterol biosynthesis, including those resulting in azole resistance, trigger activation of this regulator (Vu et al. 2019, 2021; Vu and Moye-Rowley 2022), rendering possible to have a similar activating mechanism in C. glabrata. It is thus tempting to speculate whether the observed modifications in the distribution of ergosterol in ISTB218 cells can also turn CgUpc2A (and eventually CgUpc2B) more active in these cells resulting in the over-expression of genes of the Upcregulon in these cells.



Fig. 5. Labeling of CBS138 and ISTB218 with fillipin during growth in RPMI medium, either or not supplemented with 32 mg/L fluconazole. Cells of the 2 strains were cultivated under the same experimental setup used for the comparative transcriptomic profilings (see details in *Materials and Methods*), harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in phosphate saline buffer and incubated with filipin III for 30 min, in the dark. After this period, cells were washed with PBS, immobilized in a frame gene with agarose and imaged on a Leica TCS SP5 inverted confocal microscope. Note the differential distribution of fillipin among the strains, with a higher incidence of accumulation in internal vesicules in the azole-resistant strain ISTB218.

High-throughput phenotypic analyses undertaken in C. glabrata have been showing that inactivation of multiple genes can result in improved azole tolerance, albeit the underlying mechanisms remain elusive (Ishchuk et al. 2019; Gale, Sakhawala et al. 2020). In this line, it was interesting to observe in our dataset of genes down-regulated in ISTB218 cells 6 fluconazole-susceptibility genes including 2 genes with a function in maintenance of mitochondrial genome (CAGL0L12320g and CAGL0K05797g), 1 predicted transporter UDP-galactose transmembrane (CAGL0H00847g), 1 protein phosphatase (CAGL0F00957g) and functionally uncharacterized gene (CAGL0A02299g). а Furthermore, CAGL0J00847q, encoding a subunit of the mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase complex, was also found to be truncated in ISTB218, but not in CBS138. Although it is long known that loss of mitochondrial chromosome enhances azole resistance by activating CgPdr1 (Sanguinetti et al. 2015; Salazar et al. 2018, 2020), more recent results show that inactivation of specific mitochondrial functions may also result in increased azole tolerance in a CgPdr1-independent manner (Gale et al. 2020; Siscar-Lewin et al. 2021). Within this line of thinking it was also interesting the observed strong down-regulation and early truncation of the predicted aquoglyceroporines CAGL0A01221g and CAGL0D00154*q* since mounting evidences point to a mechanism of facilitated diffusion underlying entry of azoles to the inside of Candida cells (Mansfield et al. 2010), with the proteins/channels mediating this phenotype remaining to be identified. Interestingly, premature truncation of genes encoding aquoglyceroporines was also observed in another azole-resistant clinical strain (Vale-Silva et al. 2017).

The class of genes whose coding sequence differed more significantly between ISTB218 and two azole susceptible strains (CBS138 and ISTA29) were those involved in adhesion. Genes encoding adhesins were also among those suffering more dramatic changes in expression in ISTB218 and CBS138 strains, with some being potently up-regulated in the clinical strain (such as CqAWP6 or CAGL0H07469q) while others are much more expressed in the laboratory strain (such as CgEPA2 or CgEPA6). In most cases the differences in expression of these adhesinencoding genes was observed both in the presence or absence of fluconazole, however, the presence of the azole exarcebated the differences (compare data in Supplementary Tables 8 and 9). Prior comparative genomic analyses involving clinical strains have shown that adhesion is under a strong selective pressure in C. glabrata cells in vivo (Biswas et al. 2017; Vale-Silva et al. 2017; Salazar et al. 2018) and although this has been attributed to the need of facilitating attachment and colonization of epithelial tissues, it is possible that such re-organization of proteins protruding from the cell envelope may positively influence resistance to azoles, for example, by restricting their entry inside the cells. In this line, it was recently described the beneficial effect of the adhesin Epa3 in azole tolerance contributing, among other aspects, to reduce the internal concentration of the azole (Cavalheiro et al. 2019).

On overall this study contributes to improve current understanding of acquired azole resistance in *C. glabrata* clinical isolates either by identifying 2 novel gain-of-function CgPdr1 variants that were not previously demonstrated, I803T and I392M, and by disclosing insights into possible CgPdr1-independent responses. Collectively, the data from the OMICS profiling performed on ISTB218 cells, in the presence or absence of fluconazole, suggest that the azoleresistance phenotype can result from a multitude of factors that are summarized in Fig. 6. These factors include the over-expression of the azole-protection genes CgAUS1, CgADH1, the drug efflux pumps CgPhd1, CgQdr2 and CgTpo1p-1; and CAGL0L03377g, CAGL0L07480g, CAGL0G08624g, CAGL0K01991g, and CAGL0J01661g, whose function in azole tolerance remains unknown. An alteration in the distribution of ergosterol between the ER and the plasma membrane in ISTB218 and in CBS138 cells, suggested by a different labeling with



Fig. 6. Schematic representation of the mechanisms suggested to contribute for azole resistance in the resistant isolate *C. glabrata* ISTB218, encoding a wild-type *CgPDR1* allele (that is, having SNPs that had been identified both in azole resistant and in susceptible clinical strains), as suggested by comparative transcriptomic and genomic analyses.

fillipin and by extensive modification in the expression and sequence of various genes encoding proteins involved in sterol transport, is also hypothesized to influence the lower susceptibility to fluconazole of ISTB218 cells (and eventually affect activity of CgUpc2A and/or CgUpc2B). This response can facilitate the export and/or the compartmentalization of toxic sterols thus avoiding the toxic effects prompted by their accumulation in the plasma membrane of ISTB218 cells. Finally, the extensive modification of the cellular envelope prompted by the modification in the expression and in amino acid sequence of adhesins, along with the inactivation of azole-susceptibility genes, are other mechanisms suggested by our OMICS analyses that are likely to underlie azole tolerance of ISTB218 cells. The next step that needs to be taken is a subsequent detailed genetic analysis to understand how these different mechanisms contribute, alone or in combination, for the azole-tolerance phenotype of the ISTB218 strain; however, such thorough analysis is only possible upon the identification of the more promising candidates, an information that is uncovered for the first time in this work and that is expected to pave the way for a deeper understanding of the acquisition to azoles in vivo in C. glabrata.

Data availability

Strains and plasmids described in Supplementary Table 1 are available upon request. Both the transcriptomic and genomic data were deposited in public access databases, namely GEO (accession number GSE166841) and NCBI (Bioproject PRJNA699880).

Supplemental material is available at G3 online.

Acknowledgments

Prof. Moye-Rowley from the University of Iowa is kindly acknowledged for providing the C. glabrata SKY107 and LYS2 strains and the pSP76 plasmid. Prof. Frederic Devaux is thanked for sharing the pYR29-MycHis plasmid and for the support in engineering the A12-derived constructs. MsC students Noémi Velez, Rita Simões, Catarina Mendonça and Dr José António Souza are acknowledged for their help in profiling azole resistance in the collection of Candida isolates. GenomePT-National Laboratory for Genome Sequencing and Analysis (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-022184) and the iBiMED-UAVR Genome Medicine Laboratory are acknowledged for providing access to the required infrastructures to perform the gene expression microarray profiling experiments. Professor Geraldine Butler from the University College of Dublin is acknowledged for sharing the design of the DNA microarrays herein used. The authors acknowledge support from the Advanced Fluorescence Microscopy Facility, member of the national infrastructure PPBI-Portuguese Platform of Bioimaging (PPBI-POCI-01-0145-FEDER-022122).

Funding

Funding received from FCT—Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., in the scope of the project UIDB/04565/2020 of the Research Unit Institute for Bioengineering and Biosciences iBB and the project LA/P/0140/2020 of the Associate Laboratory Institute for Health and Bioeconomy—i4HB. FCT is also acknowledged for funding PhD grants to SBS (through the doctoral program BIOTECNICO, PD/BD/135139/2017) and MJP (SFRH/BD/ 151180/2021).

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Literature cited

- Arastehfar A, Daneshnia F, Zomorodian K, Najafzadeh MJ, Khodavaisy S, Zarrinfar H, Hagen F, Zare Shahrabadi Z, Lackner M, Mirhendi H, et al. Low level of antifungal resistance in Iranian isolates of Candida glabrata recovered from blood samples in a multicenter study from 2015 to 2018 and potential prognostic values of genotyping and sequencing of PDR1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019;63(7):e02503-18.
- Biswas C, Chen SCA, Halliday C, Kennedy K, Playford EG, Marriott DJ, Slavin MA, Sorrell TC, Sintchenko V. Identification of genetic markers of resistance to echinocandins, azoles and 5-fluorocytosine in *Candida glabrata* by next-generation sequencing: a feasibility study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2017;23(9):676.e7–676.e10.
- Biswas C, Marcelino VR, Van Hal S, Halliday C, Martinez E, Wang Q, Kidd S, Kennedy K, Marriott D, Morrissey CO, et al. Whole genome sequencing of Australian Candida glabrata isolates reveals genetic diversity and novel sequence types. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:2946.
- Bongomin F, Gago S, Oladele RO, Denning DW. Global and multinational prevalence of fungal diseases-estimate precision. J Fungi (Basel). 2017;3(4):57.
- Carrete L, Ksiezopolska E, Gomez-Molero E, Angoulvant A, Bader O, Fairhead C, Gabaldon T. Genome comparisons of *Candida glabrata* serial clinical isolates reveal patterns of genetic variation in infecting clonal populations. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:112.
- Carreté L, Ksiezopolska E, Pegueroles C, Gómez-Molero E, Saus E, Iraola-Guzmán S, Loska D, Bader O, Fairhead C, Gabaldón T. Patterns of genomic variation in the opportunistic pathogen Candida glabrata suggest the existence of mating and a secondary association with humans. Curr Biol. 2018;28(1):15–27.e7.
- Castanheira M, Deshpande LM, Davis AP, Rhomberg PR, Pfaller MA. Monitoring antifungal resistance in a global collection of invasive yeasts and molds: application of CLSI epidemiological cutoff values and whole-genome sequencing analysis for detection of azole resistance in *Candida albicans*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017;61(10):e00906-17.
- Cavalheiro M, Costa C, Silva-Dias A, Miranda IM, Wang C, Pais P, Pinto SN, Mil-Homens D, Sato-Okamoto M, Takahashi-Nakaguchi A, et al. A transcriptomics approach to unveiling the mechanisms of in vitro evolution towards fluconazole resistance of a Candida glabrata clinical isolate. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019;63(1):e00995-18.
- Chowdhary A, Hagen F, Sharma C, Al-Hatmi AMS, Giuffre L, Giosa D, Fan S, Badali H, Felice MR, de Hoog S, et al. Whole genome-based amplified fragment length polymorphism analysis reveals genetic diversity in Candida africana. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:556.
- Delliere S, Healey K, Gits-Muselli M, Carrara B, Barbaro A, Guigue N, Lecefel C, Touratier S, Desnos-Ollivier M, Perlin DS, et al. Fluconazole and echinocandin resistance of Candida glabrata correlates better with antifungal drug exposure rather than with MSH2 mutator genotype in a french cohort of patients harboring low rates of resistance. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:2038.
- Dufresne SF, Marr KA, Sydnor E, Staab JF, Karp JE, Lu K, Zhang SX, Lavallée C, Perl TM, Neofytos D. Epidemiology of Candida kefyr in patients with hematologic malignancies. J Clin Microbiol. 2014; 52(6):1830–1837.
- Ferrari S, Ischer F, Calabrese D, Posteraro B, Sanguinetti M, Fadda G, Rohde B, Bauser C, Bader O, Sanglard D. Gain of function mutations in CgPDR1 of Candida glabrata not only mediate antifungal

resistance but also enhance virulence. PLoS Pathog. 2009;5(1): e1000268.

- Ferrari S, Sanguinetti M, Torelli R, Posteraro B, Sanglard D. Contribution of CgPDR1-regulated genes in enhanced virulence of azole-resistant *Candida glabrata*. PLoS One. 2011;6(3):e17589.
- Gale AN, Sakhawala RM, Levitan A, Sharan R, Berman J, Timp W, Cunningham KW. Identification of essential genes and fluconazole susceptibility genes in *Candida glabrata* by profiling *Hermes* transposon insertions. G3 (Bethesda). 2020;10(10):3859–3870.
- Gangneux JP, Cornet M, Bailly S, Fradin C, Feger C, Timsit JF, Leroy O, Sendid B, Bougnoux ME. Clinical impact of antifungal susceptibility, biofilm formation and mannoside expression of candida yeasts on the outcome of invasive candidiasis in ICU: an ancillary study on the prospective AmarCAND2 cohort. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:2907.
- Gohar AA, Badali H, Shokohi T, Nabili M, Amirrajab N, Moazeni M. Expression patterns of ABC transporter genes in fluconazoleresistant *Candida glabrata*. Mycopathologia. 2017;182(3–4): 273–284.
- Gupta P, Meena RC, Kumar N. Functional analysis of selected deletion mutants in *Candida glabrata* under hypoxia. 3 Biotech. 2017; 7(3):193.
- Healey KR, Zhao Y, Perez WB, Lockhart SR, Sobel JD, Farmakiotis D, Kontoyiannis DP, Sanglard D, Taj-Aldeen SJ, Alexander BD, et al. Prevalent mutator genotype identified in fungal pathogen Candida glabrata promotes multi-drug resistance. Nat Commun. 2016;7:11128.
- Horn DL, Neofytos D, Anaissie EJ, Fishman JA, Steinbach WJ, Olyaei AJ, Marr KA, Pfaller MA, Chang CH, Webster KM. Epidemiology and outcomes of candidemia in 2019 patients: data from the prospective antifungal therapy alliance registry. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48(12):1695–1703.
- Hou X, Xiao M, Wang H, Yu SY, Zhang G, Zhao Y, Xu YC. Profiling of PDR1 and MSH2 in *Candida glabrata* bloodstream isolates from a multicenter study in China. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018; 62(6):e00153-18.
- Hull CM, Parker JE, Bader O, Weig M, Gross U, Warrilow AG, Kelly DE, Kelly SL. Facultative sterol uptake in an ergosterol-deficient clinical isolate of *Candida glabrata* harboring a missense mutation in ERG11 and exhibiting cross-resistance to azoles and amphotericin B. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(8):4223–4232.
- Ishchuk OP, Ahmad KM, Koruza K, Bojanovič K, Sprenger M, Kasper L, Brunke S, Hube B, Säll T, Hellmark T, et al. RNAi as a tool to study virulence in the pathogenic yeast *Candida glabrata*. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:1679.
- Katiyar S, Shiffrin E, Shelton C, Healey K, Vermitsky JP, Edlind T. Evaluation of polymorphic locus sequence typing for *Candida* glabrata epidemiology. J Clin Microbiol. 2016;54(4):1042–1050.
- Khakhina S, Simonicova L, Moye-Rowley WS. Positive autoregulation and repression of transactivation are key regulatory features of the *Candida glabrata* Pdr1 transcription factor. Mol Microbiol. 2018;107(6):747–764.
- Khan Z, Ahmad S, Al-Obaid K, Joseph L, Chandy R. *Candida kefyr* as a cause of bloodstream infection and adjunctive role of biomarkers in its diagnosis. J Mycol Med. 2015;25(1):71–75.
- Mansfield BE, Oltean HN, Oliver BG, Hoot SJ, Leyde SE, Hedstrom L, White TC. Azole drugs are imported by facilitated diffusion in *Candida albicans* and other pathogenic fungi. PLoS Pathog. 2010; 6(9):e1001126.
- Merhej J, Delaveau T, Guitard J, Palancade B, Hennequin C, Garcia M, Lelandais G, Devaux F. Yap7 is a transcriptional repressor of nitric oxide oxidase in yeasts, which arose from

neofunctionalization after whole genome duplication. Mol Microbiol. 2015;96(5):951–972.

- Monteiro PT, Pais P, Costa C, Manna S, Sá-Correia I, Teixeira MC. The PathoYeastract database: an information system for the analysis of gene and genomic transcription regulation in pathogenic yeasts. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(D1):D597–D603.
- Moye-Rowley WS. Multiple interfaces control activity of the Candida glabrata Pdr1 transcription factor mediating azole drug resistance. Curr Genet. 2019;65(1):103–108.
- Nagi M, Nakayama H, Tanabe K, Bard M, Aoyama T, Okano M, Higashi S, Ueno K, Chibana H, Niimi M, et al. Transcription factors CgUPC2A and CgUPC2B regulate ergosterol biosynthetic genes in *Candida glabrata*. Genes Cells. 2011;16(1):80–89.
- Nakayama H, Nakayama N, Arisawa M, Aoki Y. In vitro and in vivo effects of 14alpha-demethylase (ERG11) depletion in *Candida glabrata*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2001;45(11):3037–3045.
- Nakayama H, Tanabe K, Bard M, Hodgson W, Wu S, Takemori D, Aoyama T, Kumaraswami NS, Metzler L, Takano Y, *et al.* The *Candida glabrata* putative sterol transporter gene CgAUS1 protects cells against azoles in the presence of serum. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;60(6):1264–1272.
- Nishikawa JL, Boeszoermenyi A, Vale-Silva LA, Torelli R, Posteraro B, Sohn YJ, Ji F, Gelev V, Sanglard D, Sanguinetti M, et al. Inhibiting fungal multidrug resistance by disrupting an activator-Mediator interaction. Nature. 2016;530(7591):485–489.
- Pappas PG, Lionakis MS, Arendrup MC, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Kullberg BJ. Invasive candidiasis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2018;4(1): 18026.
- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Gibbs DL, Newell VA, Ellis D, Tullio V, Rodloff A, Fu W, Ling TA, G. Global Antifungal Surveillance. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK global antifungal surveillance study, 1997 to 2007: a 10.5-year analysis of susceptibilities of Candida species to fluconazole and voriconazole as determined by CLSI standardized disk diffusion. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48(4): 1366–1377.
- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Turnidge JD, Castanheira M, Jones RN. Twenty years of the SENTRY antifungal surveillance program: results for Candida species from 1997–2016. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2019;6(Suppl 1):S79–S94.
- Polakova S, Blume C, Zarate JA, Mentel M, Jorck-Ramberg D, Stenderup J, Piskur J. Formation of new chromosomes as a virulence mechanism in yeast *Candida glabrata*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009;106(8):2688–2693.
- Romo JA, Kumamoto CA. On commensalism of Candida. J Fungi. 2020;6(1):16.
- Rossignol T, Logue ME, Reynolds K, Grenon M, Lowndes NF, Butler G. Transcriptional response of *Candida parapsilosis* following exposure to farnesol. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007;51(7): 2304–2312.
- Salazar SB, Simões RS, Pedro NA, Pinheiro MJ, Carvalho M, Mira NP. An overview on conventional and non-conventional therapeutic approaches for the treatment of Candidiasis and underlying resistance mechanisms in clinical strains. J Fungi (Basel). 2020; 6(1):23.
- Salazar SB, Wang C, Munsterkotter M, Okamoto M, Takahashi-Nakaguchi A, Chibana H, Lopes MM, Guldener U, Butler G, Mira NP. Comparative genomic and transcriptomic analyses unveil novel features of azole resistance and adaptation to the human host in *Candida glabrata*. FEMS Yeast Res. 2018;18(1):fox079.
- Sandhu R, Dahiya S, Sayal P, Budhani D. Increased role of nonalbicans *Candida*, potential risk factors, and attributable mortality in hospitalized patients. J Health Res Rev. 2017;4(2):78–83.

- Sanguinetti M, Posteraro B, Lass-Flörl C. Antifungal drug resistance among Candida species: mechanisms and clinical impact. Mycoses. 2015;58 (Suppl 2):2–13.
- Simonicova L, Moye-Rowley WS. Functional information from clinically-derived drug resistant forms of the *Candida glabrata* Pdr1 transcription factor. PLoS Genet. 2020;16(8):e1009005.
- Siscar-Lewin S, Gabaldón T, Aldejohann AM, Kurzai O, Hube B, Brunke S. Transient mitochondria dysfunction confers fungal cross-resistance against phagocytic killing and fluconazole. mBio. 2021;12(3):e0112821.
- Strollo S, Lionakis MS, Adjemian J, Steiner CA, Prevots DR. Epidemiology of hospitalizations associated with invasive Candidiasis, United States, 2002–2012(1). Emerg Infect Dis. 2016; 23(1):7–13.
- Subcommittee On Antifungal Susceptibility Testing Of The EECFAST. EUCAST definitive document EDef 7.1: method for the determination of broth dilution MICs of antifungal agents for fermentative yeasts. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2008;14(4):398–405.
- Swanson JR, Vergales J, Kaufman DA, Sinkin RA. Cost analysis of fluconazole prophylaxis for prevention of neonatal invasive Candidiasis. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2016;35(5):519–523.
- Tantivitayakul P, Lapirattanakul J, Kaypetch R, Muadcheingka T. Missense mutation in CgPDR1 regulator associated with azoleresistant *Candida glabrata* recovered from Thai oral candidiasis patients. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2019;17:221–226.
- Thakur JK, Arthanari H, Yang F, Pan SJ, Fan X, Breger J, Frueh DP, Gulshan K, Li DK, Mylonakis E, *et al.* A nuclear receptor-like pathway regulating multidrug resistance in fungi. Nature. 2008; 452(7187):604–609.
- Tietz HJ, Kussner A, Thanos M, De Andrade MP, Presber W, Schonian G. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of unusual vaginal isolates of *Candida albicans* from Africa. J Clin Microbiol. 1995; 33(9):2462–2465.

- Tiwari R, Köffel R, Schneiter R. An acetylation/deacetylation cycle controls the export of sterols and steroids from S. cerevisiae. EMBO J. 2007;26(24):5109–5119.
- Vale-Silva L, Beaudoing E, Tran VDT, Sanglard D. Comparative genomics of two sequential Candida glabrata clinical isolates. G3 (Bethesda). 2017;7(8):2413–2426.
- Vermitsky JP, Edlind TD. Azole resistance in *Candida glabrata*: coordinate upregulation of multidrug transporters and evidence for a Pdr1-like transcription factor. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48(10):3773–3781.
- Vu BG, Moye-Rowley WS. Azole-resistant alleles of ERG11 in Candida glabrata trigger activation of the Pdr1 and Upc2A transcription factors. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2022;66(3):e0209821.
- Vu BG, Stamnes MA, Li Y, Rogers PD, Moye-Rowley WS. The Candida glabrata Upc2A transcription factor is a global regulator of antifungal drug resistance pathways. PLoS Genet. 2021;17(9): e1009582.
- Vu BG, Thomas GH, Moye-Rowley WS. Evidence that ergosterol biosynthesis modulates activity of the Pdr1 transcription factor in *Candida glabrata*. mBio. 2019;10(3):e00934-19.
- Wan Ismail WNA, Jasmi N, Khan TM, Hong YH, Neoh CF. The economic burden of Candidemia and invasive Candidiasis: a systematic review. Value Health Reg Issues. 2020;21:53–58.
- Yang H, Tong J, Lee CW, Ha S, Eom SH, Im YJ. Structural mechanism of ergosterol regulation by fungal sterol transcription factor Upc2. Nat Commun. 2015;6(1):6129.
- Yeşilkaya A, Azap Ö, Aydın M, Akçil Ok M. Epidemiology, species distribution, clinical characteristics and mortality of Candidaemia in a tertiary care university hospital in Turkey, 2007–2014. Mycoses. 2017;60(7):433–439.
- Zhang Z, Zhu R, Luan Z, Ma X. Risk of invasive candidiasis with prolonged duration of ICU stay: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2020;10(7):e036452.

Communicating editor: J. Berman