

Citation: Segawa T, Sekizuka T, Suzuki S, Shibayama K, Matsui M, Kuroda M (2018) The plasmid-encoded transcription factor ArdK contributes to the repression of the IMP-6 metallo- β -lactamase gene *bla*_{IMP-6}, leading to a carbapenem-susceptible phenotype in the *bla*_{IMP-6}positive *Escherichia coli* strain A56-1S. PLoS ONE 13(12): e0208976. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0208976

Editor: Yung-Fu Chang, Cornell University, UNITED STATES

Received: October 12, 2018

Accepted: November 28, 2018

Published: December 11, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Segawa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This study was supported by the Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (<u>https://www.</u> amed.go.jp/en/; grant numbers: JP16fk0108119, **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

The plasmid-encoded transcription factor ArdK contributes to the repression of the IMP-6 metallo-β-lactamase gene *bla*_{IMP-6}, leading to a carbapenem-susceptible phenotype in the *bla*_{IMP-6}-positive *Escherichia coli* strain A56-1S

Takaya Segawa ¹*, Tsuyoshi Sekizuka¹, Satowa Suzuki², Keigo Shibayama³, Mari Matsui², Makoto Kuroda¹

 Pathogen Genomics Center, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan,
Antimicrobial Resistance Research Center, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Higashimurayama, Tokyo, Japan, 3 Bacteriology II, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Musashimurayama, Tokyo, Japan

* tsegawa@nih.go.jp

Abstract

Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (CPE) are a global concern because these bacteria are resistant to almost all β-lactams. Horizontal interspecies gene transfer via plasmid conjugation has increased the global dissemination of CPE. Recently, an Enterobacteriaceae strain positive for carbapenemase gene but showing a carbapenem-susceptible phenotype was identified, suggesting that these susceptible strains may be challenging to detect solely via antimicrobial susceptibility tests without molecular analysis. Here, we isolated a blaiMP-6 carbapenemase-gene positive but imipenem- and meropenem-susceptible Escherichia coli (ISMS-E) strain A56-1S (imipenem and meropenem minimum inhibitory concentration, \leq 0.125 mg/L), from a human urine specimen in Japan. A56-1S was carbapenemase negative by the Carba NP test, suggesting that IMP-6 production was low or undetectable. Thus, to characterize the mechanism of this phenotype, a meropenem-resistant E. coli A56-1R strain was obtained using meropenem-selection. A56-1R was positive for carbapenemase production by the Carba NP test, and *bla_{IMP-6}* transcription in A56-1R was 53-fold higher than in A56-1S, indicating that *bla*_{IMP-6} in A56-1S is negatively regulated at the transcriptional level. Comparative genomic analysis between the two strains revealed that the alleviation of restriction of DNA (ardK) gene encoding a putative transcription factor is disrupted by the IS26 insertion in A56-1R. A cotransformation assay of ardK and the regulatory element upstream of bla_{IMP-6} showed repression of bla_{IMP-6} transcription, indicating that ArdK negatively modulates bla_{IMP-6} transcription. ArdK binding and affinity assays demonstrated that ArdK directly binds to the regulatory element upstream of bla_{IMP-6} with dissociation constant values comparable to those of general transcription factors. The IMP-6 carbapenemase showed low hydrolytic activity against imipenem, resulting in an imipenem-susceptible and meropenem-resistant (ISMR) phenotype (previously reported as a stealth phenotype). However, the low expression of IMP-6 in the A56-1S strain could be a typical characteristic of ISMS-E due to gene repression, indicating that conventional antimicrobial susceptibility tests might be unable to detect



JP16fk0108305, and JP17fk0108121). The funders played no role in designing the study, collecting the data, analyzing the data, deciding to publish, or preparing the manuscript. There was no additional external funding received for this study.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

such strains even when using both imipenem and meropenem. Bacteria that exhibit the ISMS phenotype could play a potential role as undetectable reservoirs and might facilitate gene transfer to other organisms while avoiding detection.

Introduction

The spread of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) is a global concern, because carbapenem is considered the last resort for treating infectious diseases caused by gram-negative bacteria, such as Enterobacteriaceae. Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (CPE) are resistant to almost all β -lactams, and the plasmid-borne carbapenemase genes can be transferred to other bacteria by conjugation [1–3].

To date, meropenem (MEM) susceptibility testing remains the most efficient method for screening CPE, and provides the best balance between sensitivity and specificity [4]. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) breakpoint of Enterobacteriaceae for MEM is defined under the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) guidelines as, susceptible for $\leq 2 \text{ mg/L}$ and resistant for > 8 mg/L [5]. For CPE detection, MIC breakpoints do not always exhibit good sensitivity. Therefore, the EUCAST recommends the detection of potential CPE with lower screening cut-off values (e.g., MEM MIC > 0.125 mg/L) for further investigation [6].

In 2010, we collected clinical isolates of Enterobacteriaceae resistant to fluoroquinolone, aminoglycoside, and either carbapenem (IPM or MEM MIC \geq 8 mg/L) or ceftazidime (MIC \geq 32 mg/L), from hospitals in Japan to investigate the dissemination of carbapenemase producers. In this survey, ceftazidime resistance was also investigated to identify carbapenemase producers with imipenem (IPM) MICs below the breakpoint [7]. In total, we collected 153 potential CPE, on which PCR-based investigation ($bla_{\text{NDM-1}}$, bla_{KPC} , bla_{IMP} , $bla_{\text{VIM-2}}$, $bla_{\text{OXA-48-like}}$) was performed [7]. Among these clinical isolates, we identified one *Escherichia coli* isolate (designated A56-1S) carrying $bla_{\text{IMP-6}}$ but exhibiting an IPM-susceptible MEM-susceptible (ISMS) phenotype (IPM and MEM MICs \leq 0.125 mg/L, which is below the screening cut-off value for CPE) and negative for carbapenemase production by the Carba NP test. Based on these phenotypes, A56-1S was not recognized as an IMP-6 producer.

Compared to IMP-1 metallo-β-lactamase (MBL), IMP-6 MBL is an IMP variant with a S214G amino acid substitution in the catalytic domain. As a result, IMP-6 exhibits significantly weakened enzymatic activity towards IPM but not MEM [8] and IMP-6 producers of the Enterobacteriaceae generally exhibit an IPM-susceptible MEM-resistant (ISMR) phenotype upon MIC testing [7,9,10]. Therefore, MEM is recommended for the detection of IMP-6 producers. The Carba NP test is also recommended to improve the detection of IMP-6 producers because of its high sensitivity. However, we identified the Carba NP test-negative *bla*_{IMP-6}-positive *E. coli* A56-1S strain. We therefore speculated that IMP-6 expression in A56-1S could be significantly repressed, resulting in an ISMS phenotype.

Here, we performed comparative genomic as well as transcriptional analysis, and carbapenemase-production assays to demonstrate that the mechanism behind ISMS phenotype is involved to all phenotypes associated with carbapenem susceptibility.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

The ISMS *bla*_{IMP-6}-harboring *E. coli* strain A56-1S was isolated from a urine specimen of a patient in Japan (Table 1). The MEM-resistant *E. coli* A56-1R strain was selected from a A56-

PLOS ONE

Strain or plasmid		Description		Reference or source
Strains (E. coli)				
	A56-1S	Clinical isolate harboring <i>bla</i> patient urine sample. Imipene meropenem susceptible phene	_{MP-6} from a em and otype.	This study
	A56-1R	Strain selected from A56-1S with MEM selection. Imipenem susceptible but meropenem resistant phenotype.		This study
	OmniMAX	E. coli strain for cloning assay	•	Thermo Fisher Scientific
	Omni-UPS	OmniMAX transfected with p	ACYC184-UPS.	
	Omni-UPS_A	OmniMAX transfected with pACYC184-UPS and pCR-XL-2- <i>ardK</i> .		This study
	Omni-UPS_mA	OmniMAX transfected with p and pCR-XL-2- mardK.	ACYC184-UPS	This study
	Omni-UPR	OmniMAX transfected with p	ACYC184-UPR.	
	Omni-UPR_A	OmniMAX transfected with p and pCR-XL-2-ardK.	ACYC184-UPR	This study
	Omni-UPR_mA	OmniMAX transfected with p and pCR-XL-2-mardK.	ACYC184-UPR	This study
Plasmids				
	pA56-18	<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-2} , <i>bla</i> _{IMP-6} , <i>sul1</i> , <i>aad</i> 53-kbp IncN wild-type plasm	A2, <i>tetA</i> d in A56-1S.	This study (GenBank ID: AP018362)
	pA56-1R	<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-2} , <i>bla</i> _{IMP-6} , <i>sul1</i> , <i>aad</i> 53-kbp IncN plasmid in A56-	A2, tetA IR.	This study (GenBank ID: AP018363)
	pCR-XL-2-TOPO	Vector for cloning <i>ardK</i> . Selectable marker, Ampicillin resistance genes.	and Kanamycin	Thermo Fisher Scientific
	pCR-XL-2-ardK	pCR-XL-2-TOPO carrying ar cloning site	dK in multiple	This study
	pCR-XL-2-mardK	pCR-XL-2-TOPO carrying m multiple cloning site	utated <i>ardK</i> in	This study
	pACYC184	Vector for cloning region ups Selectable marker, Chloramp Tetracycline resistance genes.	tream of <i>bla</i> _{IMP-6} . nenicol and	Nippon Gene
	pACYC184-UPS	pACYC184 carrying region u ₆ of A56-1S behind <i>tetC</i>	pstream of <i>bla</i> _{IMP-}	This study
	pACYC184-UPR	pACYC184 carrying region u 6 of A56-1R behind <i>tetC</i>	pstream of <i>bla</i> _{IMP-}	This study
	pET SUMO	Vector for expression of His-t Selectable marker, Kanamycin	agged protein. 1 resistance gene.	Thermo Fisher Scientific

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208976.t001

1S culture grown at 35°C for 18 h on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar supplemented with 1 mg/L MEM as a selection agent. A single colony was then cultured on fresh LB agar with MEM. The bla_{IMP-6} sequence was determined using PCR and direct sequencing as previously reported [7].

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and CPE screening

Antimicrobial susceptibility to ceftazidime (CAZ), cefmetazole (CMZ), cefotaxime (CTX), amikacin (AMK), gentamicin (GEN), ciprofloxacin (CIP), fosfomycin (FOF), and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT) was tested with a Vitek2 system (Sysmex bioMérieux Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The agar dilution method was used to determine susceptibility to IPM and MEM. Antimicrobial breakpoints were determined using guidelines in EUCAST Clinical



Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Reference or source
IMP-6_qPCR_F	GTTTTGCAGCATTGCTACCG	[7]
IMP-6_qPCR_R	CCCCACCCGTTAACTTCTTC	[7]
rpoB_qPCR_F	GAGTTCTTCGGTTCCAGCCA	[7]
rpoB_qPCR_R	GAGTGCGGAGATACGACGTT	[7]
IntI1_pACYC184_F	GAGTGGAACCAACCGGTAACAGCCTTTCTGGCTG	This study
IntI1_pACYC184_R	GTATCGTGGTATCACTTAGTTGCTTGGTTTTGATGG	This study
pACYC184_InFusion_F	GTGATACCACGATACTATGAC	This study
pACYC184_InFusion_R	CGGTTGGTTCCACTCTCTGTTG	This study
ardK_cloning_F	GAGTGGAACCAACCGCTGCTGCCGCACCGGGCC	This study
ardK_cloning_R	GTATCGTGGTATCACTTATTTTTTTTCCATTTCCGAG	This study
ardK_TA_F	ATGGCTCAGAAAAACAGAAT	This study
ardK_TA_R	TTATTTTTTTCCATTTCCGAGGTCG	This study
ArdK_EMSA_region_F_5_biotin	AGGAAATACGCGGTCGTTAC	This study
ArdK_EMSA_region_R	GAATAAGACAAAAGGCTGCC	This study
ArdK_EMSA_region_1_F	AGGAAATACGCGGTCGTTACCCGCTCAGGT	This study
ArdK_EMSA_region_5_R	GAATAAGACAAAAGGCTGCCTCATCGCTAA	This study

Table 2. Oligonucleotides used in this study.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208976.t002

breakpoints-bacteria (v 8.1) [5]. Carbapenemase production by both strains was determined using the Carba NP test, as described previously [11].

Measurement of carbapenemase gene transcript by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)

To analyze IMP-6 MBL production, the transcript levels of bla_{IMP-6} was measured by qRT-PCR. Total bacterial RNA was prepared from bacteria grown in 5 mL of LB broth to an optical density of 0.2-0.3 (OD₆₀₀) measured using a GENESYS 20 visible spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). One mL of the bacterial culture was centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 1 min at 20°C to collect the bacterial pellet, which was resuspended in 300 µL TE buffer [1 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 0.1 mM EDTA]. Then, 30 µL of 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and 330 µL of acid phenol (Wako, Osaka, Japan) were added to the suspension and mixed using a vortex mixer for 1 min. This mixture was then centrifuged at $15,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 20°C. The supernatant was collected, and total RNA was purified using the RecoverAll Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit for FFPE (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions. The total RNA concentration was measured by a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer and the Qubit RNA BR Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). qRT-PCR was performed with the ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit and KOD SYBR qPCR Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) using qPCR primers (Table 2). The cycling conditions were as follows, 98°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles at 98°C for 10 s, 58°C for 10 s, and 68°C for 30 s. Fluorescence intensities were measured using a QuantStudio 3 real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The results were normalized using expression of the RNA polymerase β -subunit (*rpoB*) gene as internal control, as previously reported [7].

Preparation of chromosomal and plasmid DNA for next-generation sequencing (NGS)

To separate plasmids from chromosomal DNA, S1 nuclease pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) was performed according to Barton et al. with modifications [12]. The total DNA in

agarose gel plugs was incubated in distilled water for 30 min at 20°C. Then, the plugs were incubated with 30 U of S1 nuclease (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) for 30 min at 4°C, followed by incubation for 40 min at 37°C. Reactions were stopped by incubation for 5 min at 20°C with 0.5 M EDTA. The plugs were rinsed using 10 mM Tris-HCl/1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) and incubated for 1 h at 4°C with 0.5 × TBE buffer (50 mM Tris, 45 mM boric acid, and 0.5 mM EDTA). The total DNA digested with S1 nuclease in plugs was loaded onto a 1% agarose gel and run with a CHEF Mapper XA pulsed-field electrophoresis system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at 14°C in 0.5 × TBE buffer for 20 h under the following conditions: auto algorithm, 20-kb low molecular weight (MW), 250-kb high MW, and 6.0 V/cm. After electrophoresis, the agarose gel was stained with SYBR Gold nucleic acid gel stain (1/10,000 dilution; Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 h at 20°C. Bands were visualized using a WSE-5200A Printgraph 2M system (ATTO, Tokyo, Japan). The visualized bands were collected and stored at -30°C until whole-genome analysis was performed.

Whole-genome analysis

Chromosomal and plasmid DNA were purified with the Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), which was followed by DNA-Seq library preparation using the Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), as previously described [13]. DNA libraries were sequenced on a MiSeq system (Illumina) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions (2 × 300-mer, paired end).

For PacBio long-read sequencing, long DNA fragments were prepared by extracting the bacterial cell pellet from a 20-ml overnight culture in SDS-phenol/chloroform with bead-beating for 10 min in ZR BashingBead Lysis Tubes (Zymo Research). After centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 5 min, the upper phase was subjected to electrophoresis on a 1% TAE (40 mM Tris-acetate, 1 mM EDTA) conventional agarose gel. The agarose gel was stained with fluorescent DNA-intercalating dye (GelRed; Biotium, Fremont, CA, USA), bands within the targeted DNA size range (15–40 kb) were excised under a Safe Imager blue light transilluminator (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to avoid DNA damage, and the DNA was purified using the Zymoclean Large Fragment DNA Recovery Kit (Zymo Research). Purified DNA was used to prepare a SMRTbell library (PacBio, Menlo Park, CA, USA). Single molecule real time (SMRT) sequencing was performed for one library on one SMRT cell with P6C4 chemistry on a PacBio RSII sequencer. To obtain the whole-genome sequence in circular form, the raw polymerase reads were analyzed using the HGAP v3.0 pipeline based on Celera *de novo* assembler and Quiver polishing script [14].

The class 1 integron has been classified as In1568 (*intI1*, *dfrA14* Δ 3::IS26, Δ *aacA4*, *bla*_{IMP-6}, *aadA2*, and *gcu52*) and In1569 ((*intI1*, *dfrA14* Δ 3::IS26) in the INTEGRALL database (http://integrall.bio.ua.pt/) [15].

Plasmid construction

DNA fragments of the intact and mutated *ardK* genes were amplified from pA56-1S (GenBank ID: AP018362) and pA56-1R (GenBank ID: AP018363) genomic DNA, respectively, using primers *ardK_*cloning_F and R (<u>Table 2</u>). The *ardK* PCR products were cloned with pCR-XL-2-TOPO (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions.

DNA fragments from the region upstream of *bla*_{IMP-6} were amplified from pA56-1S (UPS; 3,524 bp; 3,193–6,716 nt in GenBank ID: AP018362) genomic DNA using IntI1_pACYC184_F and R, and from pA56-1R (UPR; 2,246 bp; 51,305–53,550 nt in GenBank ID: AP018363) genomic DNA using *ardK*_cloning_F and IntI1_pACYC184_R. The pACYC184 vector (Nippon Gene Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was linearized by PCR using the primer pair pACYC184_InFusion_F

and R. PCR was performed with KOD FX Neo (Toyobo), and the cycling conditions were 95°C for 2 min; 40 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 68°C for 2 min, and a final extension at 68°C for 5 min. The PCR products from the region upstream of bla_{IMP-6} were inserted into a linearized pACYC184 vector using the In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (TaKaRa). The cloned plasmids were propagated in One Shot OmniMAX 2 T1 Chemically Competent *E. coli* (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and purified using the PureYield Plasmid Miniprep System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

These plasmids were transformed into OmniMAX bacteria, and transformants carrying both UPS/UPR and intact/mutated *ardK* were selected using chloramphenicol and kanamycin. Some transformants were isolated and subjected to MEM susceptibility testing using broth microdilution, and carbapenemase production using the Carba NP test.

Purification of recombinant protein

To prepare recombinant intact ArdK and mutated ArdK (mArdK) using the Champion pET SUMO Protein Expression System (Thermo Fisher Scientific), DNA fragments were amplified from A56-1S and A56-1R genomic DNA using primers $ardK_TA_F$ and R (Table 2). PCR was performed using Ex Taq DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa), amplicons cloned into linearized pET SUMO and transformed into One Shot Mach1-T1 chemically competent *E. coli* (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The cloned plasmid was purified using the PureYield Plasmid Miniprep System (Promega) and transformed into BL21 (DE3) *E. coli*. Cultures were grown in 50 mL of LB broth containing 50 mg/L kanamycin to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5, and protein expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), which was followed by incubation at 37°C for 6 h. The His6-SUMO-tagged recombinant proteins were purified under native conditions with TALON spin columns (TaKaRa) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

The ArdK-binding region on the plasmid was identified by EMSA. Biotin-labeled and unlabeled 107 bp DNA probes upstream of *bla*_{IMP-6} (pA56-1S, 4,760–4,866 nt) were amplified using primers (Table 2) ArdK_EMSA_region_F_5_biotin and ArdK_EMSA_region_R for biotin-labeled probe and ArdK_EMSA_region_1_F and ArdK_EMSA_region_5_R for unlabeled probe. EMSA was performed using the LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions with modifications. Then, 3 fmol of the biotin-labeled probes was incubated with 30 pmol of purified protein in a solution containing 20 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 100 mM KCl, 2 mM DTT, 10 mM EDTA, and 50 mg/L poly(dI-dC) at 20°C for 20 min. For the competition binding assay, 3 ng of double-stranded DNA purified from mammalian cells (Vero cells) was included in the binding reactions. The reactions were loaded on a 5% polyacrylamide TBE gel and electrophoresed at 100 V for 60 min at 4°C. Bands were detected using the Fusion SL4 imaging system (Vilber Lourmat, Marne-la-Vallée, France).

Binding assay using biolayer interferometry

The interaction between ArdK and the 107 bp DNA probe upstream of bla_{IMP-6} (pA56-1S; 4,760–4,866 nt) was analyzed by biolayer interferometry using the Octet RED96 system (Pall Corp. FortéBIO, Fremont, CA, USA). The assays were performed using 200 µL of 1 × kinetics buffer (Pall Corp. FortéBIO) per well according to manufacturer's instructions. A baseline was established in the buffer for 1 min, and the biotin-labeled probes (10 pmol) were loaded onto streptavidin sensors (Pall Corp. FortéBIO) for 5 min. The sensors were washed for 1 min and exposed to recombinant ArdK at different concentrations (0.2–0.8 nM) for 5 min (association step), which was followed by a dissociation step for 5 min. The binding kinetics were analyzed

using Octet data acquisition software v 9.0 (Pall Corp. FortéBIO). The experiments were performed in duplicate.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The complete, annotated plasmid sequences of pA56-1S and pA56-1R were deposited in a public database of DNA Data Bank of Japan (accession numbers: pA56-1S, AP018362; pA56-1R, AP018363). The short- and long-read sequences of DNA-Seq were deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (BioProject PRJDB6276, BioSample SSUB008334, DRA accession DRA006165).

Results

Differences in antimicrobial susceptibility patterns between A56-1S and A56-1R

We selected the MEM-resistant *E. coli* strain A56-1R from A56-1S by culturing on LB agar supplemented with 1 mg/L MEM (Table 1). The antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of A56-1S and A56-1R were tested using the agar dilution method and a Vitek2 instrument (Table 3). A56-1S was resistant to CAZ, CTX, GEN, CIP and SXT, and exhibited high or intermediate susceptibility to IPM, MEM, AMK and FOF (Table 3). The antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of A56-1R was similar to that of A56-1S with the exception of its response to CAZ, IPM and MEM (Table 3). For example, the MEM MIC of A56-1R was over 256-fold higher than that of A56-1S. Both A56-1S and A56-1R tested positive for *bla*_{IMP-6} and *bla*_{CTX-M} via PCR amplification.

Differences in IMP-6 MBL production and *bla*_{IMP-6} transcript levels between A56-1S and A56-1R

Differences in carbapenemase expression between A56-1S and A56-1R was tested by qRT-PCR of bla_{IMP-6} and the Carba NP test. The qRT-PCR analysis showed that the bla_{IMP-6} transcript

		concentration (mg/L)			
Strain	A56-1S	A56-1R	MIC breakpoint ^C		
			S	R	
IPM ^a	0.06	0.25	≤ 2	> 8	
MEM ^a	0.125	32	≤ 2	> 8	
CAZ ^b	16	\geq 64	≤ 1	>4	
CMZ^{b}	32	≥ 64	-	-	
CTX ^b	≥ 64	≥ 64	≤ 1	> 2	
AMK ^b	≤2	≤2	≤ 8	> 16	
GEN ^b	≥ 16	≥ 16	≤ 2	>4	
CIP ^b	≥4	≥ 4	\leq 0.25	> 0.5	
FOF ^b	≤ 16	≤ 16	≤ 32	> 32	
SXT ^b	≥ 320	≥ 320	≤ 2/38	> 4/76	

Table 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of A56-1S and A56-1R strains.

^aAgar dilution method

^bVitek2

^cEUCAST Clinical breakpoints-bacteria (v 8.1)

AMK, amikacin; CAZ, ceftazidime; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CMZ, cefmetazole; CTX, cefotaxime; FOF, fosfomycin; GEN, gentamicin; IPM, imipenem; MEM, meropenem; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; S, susceptible; R, resistant; -, not listed.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208976.t003



Fig 1. Differences in carbapenemase production and bla_{IMP-6} **gene expression between A56-1S and A56-1R.** For A56-1S and A56-1R, the carbapenemase production and bla_{IMP-6} transcript levels were analyzed using the Carba NP test and qRT-PCR, respectively. (A) The bla_{IMP-6} transcript levels were normalized to rpoB transcript levels. The graphs show the means of bla_{IMP-6} transcript level in each strain. The error bars show standard deviation of three replicates. The asterisk indicates a significant difference at p < 0.05 by Welch's *t*-test. (B) In the Carba NP test, IPM hydrolysis by carbapenemase produces acid, leading to a change in color of phenol red from red (negative) to yellow (positive).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208976.g001

level was 53-fold higher in A56-1R compared to A56-1S (Fig 1A). In the Carba NP test, A56-1S was negative and A56-1R was positive for carbapenemase production (Fig 1B). Therefore, carbapenemase production by these strains correlate with the observed meropenem susceptibility (Table 3).

Comparative genome analysis between A56-1S and A56-1R

To analyze the difference in bla_{IMP-6} transcript levels between A56-1S and A56-1R, wholegenome sequencing was performed using NGS. The chromosomal and plasmid DNA were separated by S1 nuclease PFGE, and one chromosomal and three plasmid bands were observed



Fig 2. Schematic representation and pair-wise alignment of the bla_{IMP-6} -positive plasmids pKPI-6, pA56-1S, and pA56-1R. The sequences of pA56-1S and pA56-1R were compared with the sequence of pKPI-6. The gene clusters of pA56-1S and pA56-1R were identical, with the exception of an additional IS26 insertion in *ardK* on pA56-1R and subsequent inversion of the additional IS26 sequence. The colored boxes indicate homologous regions with 100% nucleotide identity. Pink, the same orientation; blue, inversion; green, inverted insertion of an additional IS26 element. Notable genes are represented by red, *ardK*; orange, integrase; green, IS26; blue, antimicrobial resistance genes; gray, hypothetical protein. Red arrowheads indicate conserved upstream (CUP) sequences which represent ArdK binding sites. Bent arrows indicate promoters upstream of bla_{IMP-6} .

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208976.g002

for both strains (data not shown). We observed that the bla_{IMP-6} -positive plasmids pA56-1S (GenBank ID: AP018362) and pA56-1R (GenBank ID: AP018363) were part of the IncN incompatibility group and carried other antimicrobial resistance genes ($bla_{CTX-M-2}$, *sul1*, *aadA2*, *tetA*), a class 1 integron-integrase gene (*intI1*), and a conjugative transfer operon (Fig 2). Upstream of bla_{IMP-6} are located the promoters of *intI1* and *ardK* on pA56-1S and pA56-1R, respectively (Fig 2). A pairwise homology alignment showed that the proportion of homologous regions (at \geq 99% nucleotide identity) between pA56-1S and pKPI-6 of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* KPI-6 strain (GenBank ID: AB616660 [9]) was 90.6% (Fig 2), suggesting that these plasmids share a common backbone.

There is a 791-bp difference in sequence length between pA56-1S (53,198 bp) and pA56-1R (53,989 bp) due to an additional IS26 element that was transposed into the alleviation of restriction of DNA (*ardK*) coding sequence on pA56-1R, along with IS26-mediated inversion (Fig 2). This additional IS26 element and the associated inversion caused disruption of the *ardK* gene, the product of which ArdK, is predicted to be a transcription factor belonging to the family of transcriptional repressor TrfB, as determined by its amino acid sequence using InterPro (similar to protein motif IPR032428). No sequence variations were identified in the chromosomal and other *bla*_{IMP-6}-negative plasmid sequences between A56-1S and A56-1R (data not shown).



Fig 3. Analysis of repression of IMP-6 MBL production by ArdK using a reporter gene assay. To determine whether ArdK could repress IMP-6 MBL production, a bla_{IMP-6} reporter gene assay was performed using intact or mutated *ardK* gene. A schematic of the plasmid combinations is shown. Carbapenemase production and MEM susceptibility were tested by the Carba NP test and broth microdilution, respectively. In the Carba NP test, IPM hydrolysis by carbapenemase produces acid, leading to a change in color of phenol red from red (negative) to yellow (positive).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208976.g003

Repression of IMP-6 MBL production by ArdK

To determine whether ArdK mutated by IS26 insertion is involved in bla_{IMP-6} expression, differences in IMP-6 MBL production between transformants with ArdK and mutated ArdK were analyzed using a bla_{IMP-6} -based reporter assay. The *ardK* genes and regions upstream of bla_{IMP-6} from pA56-1S (UPS) and pA56-1R (UPR) were cloned separately into plasmids and transformed into an *E. coli* OmniMAX laboratory strain free from IncN-related genes including *ardK*, UPS and UPR on pA56-1S/pA56-1R (Fig 3). The transformants were tested using the Carba NP test and broth microdilution. The transformant carrying UPS (Omni-UPS) was positive on the Carba NP test and showed a MEM MIC of > 32 mg/L, suggesting that Omni-UPS produced IMP-6 MBL (Fig 3). The cotransformant with *ardK* (Omni-UPS_A) demonstrated negative results on the Carba NP test and MEM MIC of 0.25–0.5 mg/L, whereas the cotransformant with mutated *ardK* (Omni-UPS_mA) was positive on the Carba NP test and *showed ardK*. in addition, the Carba NP test and showed MEM MIC of > 32 mg/L (Fig 3), suggesting markedly reduced carbapenemase production in cotransformants carrying intact *ardK*. in addition, the Carba NP test and MEM MIC for UPR suggest that ArdK can modulate the expression of *bla*_{IMP-6} in transformants carrying either UPS or UPR (Fig 3).



Fig 4. Characterization of the ArdK binding DNA sequence upstream of *bla***_{IMP-6} on pA56-1S by EMSA.** The ArdK binding site was identified by EMSA. (A) The region upstream of *bla*_{IMP-6} on pA56-1S was compared with the ArdK binding sites of the CUP sequences, and the 13 consensus nucleotides (pA56-1S, 4812–4824 nt) were extracted from this region. (B) EMSA was performed with ArdK and the biotin-labeled 107 bp DNA probe (pA56-1S, 4760–4866 nt), which included the consensus sequence. For nonspecific competition assay, unlabeled mammalian genomic DNA was used as the nonspecific competitor. The arrows labeled complex and free probe correspond to bands of intact ArdK-DNA probe complex and DNA probe only, respectively. I, intact ArdK; M, mutated ArdK (mArdK); +, present; –, absent. (C) Determination of the DNA-binding affinity of ArdK. The binding affinity of ArdK to the biotin-labeled 107 bp DNA probe was measured using Octet. ArdK bound to the probe in 0–300 s (association step), and impurities were removed in 300–600 s (dissociation step). The colored lines indicate each ArdK concentration: orange, 0 nM; green, 0.2 nM; blue, 0.4 nM; red, 0.8 nM.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208976.g004

Characterization of the ArdK binding region on pA56-1S

The above results suggest that ArdK could repress the transcription of bla_{IMP-6} (Fig 3). To identify the ArdK binding region upstream of bla_{IMP-6}, EMSA was performed using a biotinlabeled DNA probe upstream of *bla*_{IMP-6} on pA56-1S. Three ArdK binding sites CUP5, CUPA and CUPB have been previously reported as conserved upstream sequences involved in the regulation of ardB, ardK and repA, respectively, on the IncN plasmid [16]. Comparative analysis of the upstream region of bla_{IMP-6} and these three sequences showed that the 13 consensus nucleotides (CUPA56, 4812-4824 nt in pA56-1S) were well aligned (CUP5, 84.6%; CUPB, 84.6%; CUPA, 69.2%; Fig 4A). A 107-bp DNA probe that included the consensus nucleotides labeled by biotin was amplified from pA56-1S, and EMSA was performed with the probe and recombinant ArdK (intact form on pA56-1S) or mArdK (C-terminus-deleted form on pA56-1R; Fig 4B). The results showed that the band corresponding to the probe was shifted by ArdK binding but not by mArdK. A nonspecific competition assay using a 30-fold weight excess of unlabeled mammalian genomic DNA showed that specific ArdK binding was retained as the band shift without competitor inhibition (Fig 4B). The binding affinity of ArdK for the 107 bp DNA probe was analyzed using the Octet RED96 system, and the equilibrium dissociation constant (*K*d) value was $2.93 \pm 0.0673 \times 10^{-8}$ M (Fig 4C).

Discussion

We investigated the mechanism of repression of carbapenemase production in *bla*_{IMP-6}-positive ISMS *E. coli* A56-1S by comparative genomics with MEM-resistant A56-1R, revealing the presence of an *ardK* mutation by IS26 insertion in pA56-1R (Figs 2, 3 and 5). The *ardK* gene is ubiquitously present on IncN plasmids and encodes the alleviation of restriction of DNA (ArdK) protein [16,17], which represses the transcription of genes such as *ardA* on the same plasmid [16]. ArdA contribute to overcoming the host restriction barrier during bacterial conjugation [18,19]. Moreover, ArdK can control the expression of replication protein RepA which affects the replication and copy number of the plasmid, suggesting that ArdK may contribute to establishing plasmid in a new host by controlling the expression of ArdA and RepA [16].

ArdK can also modulate its own expression by binding to the CUPA sequence that overlaps with the *ardK* promoter region and corresponds to the binding regions on pA56-1S (52,695–52,721 nt) and pA56-1R (53,486–53,512 nt) [16]. In this study, a novel ArdK binding sequence (CUPA56) was identified upstream of *bla*_{IMP-6} on pA56-1S, suggesting that the expression of *bla*_{IMP-6} is repressed by the binding of ArdK to CUPA56 in A56-1S (Fig 4). The consensus sequence of CUPA56 (4,812–4,824 nt in pA56-1S) comprises 10 nucleotides of *dfrA* and 3 nucleotides of the inverted repeat of IS26, suggesting that CUPA56 is the result of the insertion of IS26 into *dfrA*.

The *ardK* gene disruption was caused by the insertion of duplicated IS26 into the *ardK* gene in A56-1R. Moreover, IS26 plays a major role in the acquisition and dissemination of antibiotic resistance in gram-negative bacteria [20–23], and many bacteria that have developed antibacterial resistance as a result of IS26-related transposition have been isolated from hospitals [24,25].

The results of the EMSA and biolayer interferometry assay indicate that ArdK can act as a transcriptional regulator, with a *K*d value comparable to the binding affinity of well-characterized regulators such as RNA polymerase- σ^{54} and SoxS (Fig 4) [26–28].

The pA56-1S plasmid was shown to be similar to the pKPI-6 IncN plasmid carrying bla_{IMP-6} and *ardK* in the *K. pneumoniae* KPI-6 strain, which was isolated in Japan in 2009 [9], suggesting that these plasmids could have the same origin (Fig 2). Enterobacteriaceae carrying pKPI-6-related plasmids have also been identified in various regions of western Japan [17]. The sequence upstream of bla_{IMP-6} on pKPI-6 was distinct from that on pA56-1S (Fig 2), and the ArdK binding sequence CUPA56 was not found on pKPI-6, suggesting that ArdK could not downregulate bla_{IMP-6} expression on pKPI-6 because of a lack of CUP elements.

IMP-6 producers such as KPI-6 and A56-1R exhibit an ISMR phenotype because the carbapenemase activity of IMP-6 MBL against IPM is far weaker than that against MEM [9,10], and are difficult to identify as CPE based on IPM susceptibility alone [9,17]. However, when using the high sensitivity Carb NP test, IMP-6 producers showing the ISMR phenotype were detectable (Fig 1) [7]. A56-1S, which exhibits an ISMS phenotype (the MEM MIC of A56-1S is below



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208976.g005

the screening cut-off value) due to the low expression of IMP-6 MBL, is undetectable by antimicrobial susceptibility testing and even by the Carba NP test. Therefore, genetic screening methods, such as PCR and whole-genome sequencing, are indispensable for detecting ISMS phenotype strains and preventing the dissemination of these strains in hospitals and community environments.

In conclusion, comparative analysis between IMP-6 MBL non-producing A56-1S and producing A56-1R strains revealed that the transcription factor ArdK contributes to repression of bla_{IMP-6} transcription (Fig 5). The mechanism of repression by ArdK was analyzed with a reporter assay, EMSA, and kinetic characterization. In these results, it was demonstrated that ArdK plays a key role in the repression of IMP-6 MBL production by binding to the regions upstream of bla_{IMP-6} (CUP elements), leading to the ISMS phenotype of A56-1S. Most bacteria that exhibit an ISMS phenotype might be undetectable via routine antimicrobial susceptibility tests, and these bacteria might contribute to the dissemination of carbapenemase genes. Consequently, the ISMS phenotype bacteria play a potential role as undetected reservoirs of the carbapenemase gene.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Satowa Suzuki, Makoto Kuroda.

Data curation: Takaya Segawa, Satowa Suzuki, Keigo Shibayama, Mari Matsui.

Formal analysis: Takaya Segawa.

Investigation: Takaya Segawa, Tsuyoshi Sekizuka, Mari Matsui.

Methodology: Takaya Segawa, Tsuyoshi Sekizuka, Satowa Suzuki, Makoto Kuroda.

Project administration: Takaya Segawa, Makoto Kuroda.

Software: Tsuyoshi Sekizuka.

Supervision: Keigo Shibayama.

Visualization: Takaya Segawa.

Writing - original draft: Takaya Segawa.

Writing – review & editing: Takaya Segawa, Tsuyoshi Sekizuka, Satowa Suzuki, Keigo Shibayama, Mari Matsui, Makoto Kuroda.

References

- 1. Nordmann P, Naas T, Poirel L. Global Spread of Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011; 17: 1791–1798. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1710.110655 PMID: 22000347
- Zarrilli R, Pournaras S, Giannouli M, Tsakris A. Global evolution of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii clonal lineages. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2013; 41: 11–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijantimicag.2012.09.008 PMID: 23127486
- Dortet L, Poirel L, Al Yaqoubi F, Nordmann P. NDM-1, OXA-48 and OXA-181 carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Sultanate of Oman. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012; 18: E144–E148. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03796.x PMID: 22404169
- Fattouh R, Tijet N, McGeer A, Poutanen SM, Melano RG, Patel SN. What Is the Appropriate Meropenem MIC for Screening of Carbapenemase-Producing Enterobacteriaceae in Low-Prevalence Settings? Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016; 60: 1556–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02304-15 PMID: 26711746
- EUCAST. Clinical breakpoints-bacteria (v 8.1). 2018. Available from: http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/ src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_8.1_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf
- EUCAST guidelines for detection of resistance mechanisms and specific resistances of clinical and/or epidemiological importance. 2013 pp. 1–40. Available from: http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/

PDFs/EUCAST_files/Resistace_mechanisms/EUCAST_detection_of_resitance_mechanisms_v1.0_20131211.pdf

- Segawa T, Matsui M, Suzuki M, Tsutsui A, Kuroda M, Shibayama K, et al. Utilizing the Carba NP test as an indicator of expression level of carbapenemase genes in Enterobacteriaceae. J Microbiol Methods. 2017; 133: 35–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2016.12.015 PMID: 28007530
- Oelschlaeger P, Mayo SL, Pleiss J. Impact of remote mutations on metallo-β-lactamase substrate specificity: Implications for the evolution of antibiotic resistance. Protein Sci. 2005; 14: 765–774. https://doi. org/10.1110/ps.041093405 PMID: 15722450
- Shigemoto N, Kuwahara R, Kayama S, Shimizu W, Onodera M, Yokozaki M, et al. Emergence in Japan of an imipenem-susceptible, meropenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carrying *bla*IMP-6. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012; 72: 109–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2011.09.019 PMID: 22078906
- Yano H, Kuga A, Okamoto R, Kitasato H, Kobayashi T, Inoue M. Plasmid-encoded metallo-β-lactamase (IMP-6) conferring resistance to carbapenems, especially meropenem. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2001; 45: 1343–1348. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.5.1343-1348.2001 PMID: 11302793
- Nordmann P, Poirel L, Dortet L. Rapid detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012; 18: 1503–1507. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1809.120355 PMID: 22932472
- 12. Barton BM, Harding GP, Zuccarelli AJ. A general method for detecting and sizing large plasmids. Anal Biochem. 1995; 226: 235–240. https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1995.1220 PMID: 7793624
- Akiba M, Sekizuka T, Yamashita A, Kuroda M, Fujii Y, Murata M, et al. Distribution and relationships of antimicrobial resistance determinants among extended-spectrum-cephalosporin-resistant or carbapenem-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from rivers and sewage treatment plants in India. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016; 60: 2972–2980. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01950-15 PMID: 26953207
- Chin C-SS, Alexander DH, Marks P, Klammer AA, Drake J, Heiner C, et al. Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing data. Nat Methods. 2013; 10: 563–569. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2474 PMID: 23644548
- Moura A, Soares M, Pereira C, Leitão N, Henriques I, Correia A, et al. INTEGRALL: a database and search engine for integrons, integrases and gene cassettes. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25: 1096–1098. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp105 PMID: 19228805
- Delver EP, Belogurov AA. Organization of the leading region of IncN plasmid pKM101 (R46): a regulation controlled by CUP sequence elements. J Mol Biol. 1997; 271: 13–30. PMID: 9300052
- Kayama S, Shigemoto N, Kuwahara R, Oshima K, Hirakawa H, Hisatsune J, et al. Complete nucleotide sequence of the IncN plasmid encoding IMP-6 and CTX-M-2 from emerging carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in Japan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015; 59: 1356–1359. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1128/AAC.04759-14 PMID: 25487806</u>
- Belogurov AA, Delver EP, Rodzevich O V. IncN plasmid pKM101 and Incl1 plasmid Collb-P9 encode homologous antirestriction proteins in their leading regions. J Bacteriol. 1992; 174: 5079–5085. PMID: 1321121
- Belogurov AA, Delver EP, Rodzevich O V. Plasmid pKM101 encodes two nonhomologous antirestriction proteins (ArdA and ArdB) whose expression is controlled by homologous regulatory sequences. J Bacteriol. 1993; 175: 4843–4850. PMID: 8393008
- Harmer CJ, Moran RA, Hall RM. Movement of IS26-associated antibiotic resistance genes occurs via a translocatable unit that includes a single IS26 and preferentially inserts adjacent to another IS26. MBio. 2014; 5: e01801–14. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01801-14 PMID: 25293759
- Miriagou V, Carattoli A, Tzelepi E, Villa L, Tzouvelekis LS. IS26-associated In4-type integrons forming multiresistance loci in enterobacterial plasmids. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005; 49: 3541–3543. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.8.3541-3543.2005 PMID: 16048979
- Harmer CJ, Hall RM. IS26-Mediated Formation of Transposons Carrying Antibiotic Resistance Genes. mSphere. 2016; 1: e00038–16. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00038-16 PMID: 27303727
- Loli A, Tzouvelekis LS, Tzelepi E, Carattoli A, Vatopoulos AC, Tassios PT, et al. Sources of diversity of carbapenem resistance levels in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carrying *bla*VIM-1. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006; 58: 669–672. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkl302 PMID: 16870645
- Ho PL, Chan J, Lo WU, Lai EL, Cheung YY, Lau TCK, et al. Prevalence and molecular epidemiology of plasmid-mediated fosfomycin resistance genes among blood and urinary *Escherichia coli* isolates. J Med Microbiol. 2013; 62: 1707–1713. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.062653-0 PMID: 23988630
- 25. Lee S-Y, Park Y-J, Yu JK, Jung S, Kim Y, Jeong SH, et al. Prevalence of acquired fosfomycin resistance among extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolates in Korea and IS26-composite transposon surrounding *fosA3*. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012; 67: 2843–2847. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks319 PMID: 22893681

- 26. Demple B. Redox signaling and gene control in the *Escherichia coli soxRS* oxidative stress regulon-a review. Gene. 1996; 179: 53–57. PMID: 8955629
- Li Z, Demples B. SoxS, an Activator of Superoxide Stress Genes in *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem. 1994; 269: 18371–18377. PMID: 8034583
- Vogel SK, Schulz A, Rippe K. Binding affinity of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase σ54 holoenzyme for the *glnAp2*, *nifH* and *nifL* promoters. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002; 30: 4094–4101. PMID: <u>12235394</u>