Short Communication

IN VITRO EFFECTS OF OESTROGEN ON 5α -REDUCTION OF TESTOSTERONE IN HORMONE-DEPENDENT RAT MAMMARY CARCINOMATA

W. R. MILLER

From the Department of Clinical Surgery, University Medical School, Edinburgh EH8 9AG

Received 30 October 1975 Accepted 29 December 1975

Female Sprague Dawley rats given 7-12-dimethylbenzanthracene (DMBA) develop mammary carcinomata, most of which are hormone-dependent, regressing following oophorectomy but regrowing after oestrogen administration (Huggins, 1963). In these tumours, regression may also be produced by administration of 5α reduced steroids (Huggins, Briziarelli and Sutton, 1959; Huggins and Mainzer, 1957). It is therefore of interest that DMBAinduced rat mammary tumours have the potential to synthesize 5α-reduced steroids (King, Gordon and Helfenstein, 1964: Miller, Forrest and Hamilton, 1974). The aim of the present study was to determine the effects of oestrogen on tumour 5α reduction of testosterone.

Tumours were induced in randomly bred female Sprague Dawley rats by intravenous administration of 5 mg DMBA at 50 days of age. When the tumours were approximately 2×2 cm in size the rats were oophorectomized. Fourteen days after oophorectomy the animals were given daily subcutaneous injections of oestradiol-17 β in corn oil (1 μ g or 5 μ g). This regime was continued for a further 14 days when the animals were sacrificed by exsanguination. No injection was given on the day of sacrifice. Tumour size was monitored throughout the study by measuring with calipers the two major diameters at right angles, and expressing the size of the resulting multiple in cm². Measurement was performed twice weekly

until oophorectomy and three times weekly thereafter. Only tumours which showed consistent regression after oophorectomy and regrowth with oestrogen treatment were classified as hormone-dependent and taken for incubation.

All tumours were processed at 0°C until incubation (within 30 min of tissue removal). The tumours were finely sliced and split into duplicate portions each weighing 1 g. Krebs-Ringer phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (10 ml), an NADPHgenerating system (200 µmol glucose-6phosphate, 30 µmol NADP and 50 units glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase) and 45 $\mu \text{Ci } 7\alpha$ -3H testosterone (sp. act. 12·4 Ci/ mmol from Radiochemical Centre, Amersham) were added to each. One incubation mixture was used without further addition as a control; to the other was added oestradiol-17 β (1.5 μ g/ml) to determine the effects of oestrogen. Both systems were then incubated by shaking at 37°C in an atmosphere of oxygen for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by adding methanol (60 ml) and the incubations were stored at -10°C until the steroids were isolated and characterized.

Before extraction, $500 \mu g$ non-radioactive carrier steroids (testosterone (17 β -hydroxy-4-androsten-3-one), 5α dihydrotestosterone (17 β -hydroxy- 5α -androsten-3-one) and 5α androstanediol (5α -androstane 3β 17 β diol)) were added to monitor recovery losses. The metabolites were extracted as described by Fahmy *et al.*

(1968) and separated into individual steroids by continuous elution thin layer chromatography for 2 h on Silica gel HF254+366 in chloroform: acetone (98:2). Purification of testosterone and 5α dihydrotestosterone involved sequential acetylation and hydrolysis; that for 5α androstanediol sequential oxidation and reduction (derivative formation chromatography systems as in Miller et al., 1974). Although 5α androstanediol was added as the 3β 17 β isomer, the methods described estimate total production of all 4 isomers of 5\alpha androstanediol since the isomers migrate together in the initial chromatography system and the subsequent oxidation step yields a common product, 5\alpha androstanedione. percentage metabolism of testosterone and conversion to 5α dihydrotestosterone (DHT) and 5α androstanediol were determined by measuring the percentage incorporation of radioactive label into the appropriate metabolites after correction for recovery losses. Total 5α -reduction

was calculated by combining the percentage production of both 5\omega DHT and 5α and rost an ediol.

The results from these incubations are presented in Table I. There was a wide variation in metabolism of testosterone In vitro between individual tumours. addition of oestradiol produced variable results on the level of testosterone metabolized, although the most common effect was one of inhibition. Of the two 5α reduced metabolites of testosterone, the production of 5α androstanediol usually exceeded that of 5α DHT, in incubations without added oestradiol. The in vitro addition of oestradiol produced variable effects on the production of 5α DHT, although in tumours with the highest control production of 5\alpha DHT, oestradiol was consistently inhibitory. Oestradiol inhibited the production of 5α androstanediol in all carcinomata, with a single exception, a tumour in which oestradiol exclusively affected the production of 5α DHT. This meant that total

Table I.—In vitro Effects of Oestradiol on Steroid Metabolism by 10 Hormonedependent Rat Mammary Carcinomata

		4	U		
	Tumour	% Testosterone metabolized	$\%$ 5 α DHT produced	$\%$ 5 α Androstanediol produced	$\%$ 5 α -reduction
1.*	Control	$16 \cdot 85$	$5 \cdot 85$	$9 \cdot 60$	$15 \cdot 45$
	Treated	$25 \cdot 25$	$4 \cdot 50$	0.80	5.30 (-66)
2.*	Control	$51 \cdot 50$	$9 \cdot 20$	$28 \cdot 05$	$37 \cdot 25$
	Treated	$37 \cdot 05$	$12 \cdot 15$	$1 \cdot 75$	13.90(-63)
3.*	Control	$87 \cdot 30$	$7 \cdot 00$	$38 \cdot 20$	45.20
	Treated	$71 \cdot 30$	$9 \cdot 10$	$24 \cdot 00$	$33 \cdot 10 \; (-25)$
4.*	Control	$38 \cdot 30$	$12 \cdot 55$	$23 \cdot 05$	35.41
	Treated	$36 \cdot 20$	$8 \cdot 75$	$15 \cdot 45$	$24 \cdot 20 \; (-32)$
5.*	Control	$34 \cdot 70$	$6 \cdot 25$	$14 \cdot 25$	20.50
	Treated	$26 \cdot 90$	$4 \cdot 55$	11.05	15.60(-24)
6.†	Control	$89 \cdot 10$	$22 \cdot 75$	$37 \cdot 20$	59.95
	Treated	$77 \cdot 15$	$7 \cdot 85$	$39 \cdot 95$	47.80(-20)
7.†	Control	$63 \cdot 90$	$36 \cdot 10$	$27 \cdot 05$	63 · 45
•	Treated	$40 \cdot 05$	$14 \cdot 15$	$22 \cdot 20$	$36 \cdot 35 \; (-43)$
8.†	Control	$38 \cdot 35$	$9 \cdot 80$	$28 \cdot 45$	38.25
	Treated	$37 \cdot 35$	$8 \cdot 95$	20.30	$29 \cdot 25 \; (-24)$
9.†	Control	$73 \cdot 35$	$9 \cdot 95$	33.10	43.05
	Treated	$69 \cdot 25$	$8 \cdot 00$	$25 \cdot 09$	33.05(-23)
10.†	Control	$74 \cdot 45$	$37 \cdot 70$	35.80	73.50
	$\mathbf{Treated}$	$69 \cdot 10$	$26 \cdot 65$	$25\cdot 50$	$52 \cdot 15 \; (-29)$

Control: Tumour incubated without oestradiol.

Treated: Tumour incubated in the presence of 1.5 µg/ml oestradiol.

Figures in parentheses represent percentage change produced by addition of oestradiol. * Tumour regrowth following administration of oestradiol (1 μ g/day).

[†] Tumour regrowth following administration of oestradiol (5 μ g/day).

Table II.—In vitro Effects of Oestradiol on Steroid Metabolism b	y
Hormone-independent Rat Mammary Carcinomata	

	Tumour	% Testosterone metabolized	$\%$ 5α DHT produced	$\%$ 5 α Androstanediol produced	$\%$ 5_{α} -reduction
1.*	Control	$72 \cdot 10$	$14 \cdot 49$	$7 \cdot 26$	$21 \cdot 75$
	Treated	$58 \cdot 97$	$12 \cdot 44$	$7 \cdot 50$	$19 \cdot 94 \; (-8)$
2.†	Control	$\bf 89 \cdot 27$	$42 \cdot 72$	$22 \cdot 17$	$64 \cdot 89$
	Treated	$\boldsymbol{92\cdot35}$	41.61	$45 \cdot 64$	$87 \cdot 25 \; (+34)$

Control: Tumour incubated without oestradiol.

Treated: Tumour incubated in the presence of $1.5 \mu g/ml$ oestradiol.

Figures in parentheses represent percentage change produced by addition of oestradiol.

* Tumour growth continuous after both cophorectomy and administration of coestradiol (1 μ g/day). † Tumour growth continuous after cophorectomy but stimulated by administration of coestradiol (1 μ g/day).

 5α -reduction was inhibited by oestradiol in all tumours, the level of inhibition varying between 20 and 65%.

Whilst in some tumours inhibition of 5α -reduction alone would account for the effects of oestradiol on percentage metabolism of testosterone, in certain tumours, oestradiol must have also affected other steroid conversions. The production of $\Delta 4$ androstenedione and 5α androstanedione was also investigated in several tumours, but never exceeded 1% and did not appear to be influenced by *in vitro* addition of oestradiol.

These results indicate that oestradiol 17β may influence steroid metabolism by rat mammary carcinomata. In vitro addition of oestradiol reduces tumour synthesis of 5α -reduced metabolites from testosterone, particularly 5α and rostanediol.

Although this is the first report that oestrogen may affect the production of 5α -reduced steroids by mammary cancers, it is well documented that 5α -reduction may be hormonally controlled in other tissues such as liver (Schriefers, 1967), adrenal cortex (Kitay, Coyne and Swygert, 1970) and prostate (Farnsworth, 1972). In common with the results presented in this study for mammary tissue, oestradiol inhibits 5α -reduction in both adrenal cortex and prostate.

The synthesis of 5α -reduced steroids assumes added importance in mammary tumours because both 5α DHT and 5α androstanediol inhibit the growth of the

hormone-dependent rat mammary tumour (Huggins et al., 1959; Huggins and Mainzer, 1957). These effects of oestradiol in decreasing tumour synthesis of 5α reduced steroids would therefore be in with oestradiol's promoting effects in hormone-dependent tumours. In this context it is interesting that the same concentration of oestradiol failed to inhibit 5α -reduction in two hormone-independent rat mammary carcinomata (Table II). Further numbers are required before it will be possible to determine if this represents a distinction between hormone-dependent and hormoneindependent tumours.

Although the level of oestradiol added in vitro (1.5 μ g/ml) is high compared with normal plasma levels in female rats (0.1–4.4 ng/100 ml, Hawkins et al., 1975), the dose used in this study is comparable with that which in vitro inhibits 5α -reduction of testosterone in prostatic tissue (Griffiths et al., 1970; Jenkins and McCafferty, 1974) and that used in predicting oestrogen sensitivity in human breast tumours (Salih, Flax and Hobbs, 1972).

It remains to be seen, however, if oestradiol in vivo has similar effects on tumour steroidogenesis. Although oophorectomy increases the level of 5α -reduction in rat mammary carcinomata, an effect which can be reversed by administration of oestrogen (Miller et al., 1974), this could be caused by changes in circulating oestrogen or prolactin.

The author thanks Professor A. P. M. Forrest for his interest and encouragement and the Cancer Research Campaign for the Grant SP 1256 to Professor Forrest supporting this work.

REFERENCES

Fahmy, D., Griffiths, K., Turnbull, A. C. & Symington, T. (1968) A Comparison of the Metabolism in vitro of 7α - 3 H Dehydroepiandrosterone and 4-14C Pregnenolone by Tissue from a Hilus Cell Tumour of the Ovary. J. Endocr., 41, 61.

FARNSWORTH, W. E. (1972) The Normal Prostate and its Endocrine Control. In Some Aspects of the Aetiology and Biochemistry of Prostatic Cancer. Ed. K. Griffiths and C. G. Pierrepoint. Cardiff:

Alpha Omega Alpha Publishing. p. 3.
GRIFFITHS, K., HARPER, M. E., GROOM, M. A.,
PIKE, A. W., FAHMY, A. R. & PIERREPOINT, C. G.
(1970) Testosterone Metabolism in the Dog Prostate with Regard to its Growth and Function. In Some Aspects of the Actiology and Biochemistry of Prostatic Cancer. Ed. K. Griffiths and C. G. Pierrepoint. Cardiff: Alpha Omega Alpha

Publishing. p. 88. HAWKINS, R. A., FREEDMAN, B., MARSHALL, A. & KILLEN, E. (1975) Oestradiol-17 β and Prolactin Levels in Rat Peripheral Plasma. Br. J. Cancer,

32, 179.

Huggins, C. (1963) The Hormone-dependent Cancers. J. Am. med. Ass., 186, 481.

Huggins, C., Briziarelli, G. & Sutton, H. (1959) Rapid Induction of Mammary Carcinoma in the Rat and the Influence of Hormones on the

Tumours. J. exp. Med., 109, 25.

Huggins, C. & Mainzer, K. (1957) Hormonal
Influence on Mammary Tumours of the Rat. II. Retardation of Growth of a Transplanted Fibroadenoma in Intact Female Rats by Steroids in the Androstane Series. J. exp. Med., 105, 485. JENKINS, J. S. & McCAFFERTY, V. M. (1974) Effect

of Oestradiol-17 β and Progesterone on the Metabolism of Testosterone by Human Prostate Tissue. J. Endocr., 63, 517.

KING, R. J. B., GORDON, J. & HELFENSTEIN, J. E. (1964) The Metabolism of Testosterone by Tissues from Normal and Neoplastic Rat Breast. J.

Endocr., 29, 103.

KITAY, J. I., COYNE, M. B. & SWYGERT, N. H. (1970) Influence of Gonadectomy and Replacement with Oestradiol or Testosterone on Formation of 5α-reduced Metabolites of Corticosterone by the Adrenal Gland of the Rat. Endocrinology, 87, 1257.

MILLER, W. R., FORREST, A. P. M. & HAMILTON, T. (1974) Steroid Metabolism by Human Breast and

Rat Mammary Carcinomata. Steroids, 23, 379. Salih, H., Flax, H. & Hobbs, J. R. (1972) In vitro Oestrogen Sensitivity of Breast Cancer Tissue as a Possible Screening Method for Hormonal Treatment. Lancet, i, 1198.

Schriefers, H. (1967) Factors Regulating the Metabolism of Steroids. Vitams Horm., 25, 271.