Crystal Ball

Delivery of genome editing tools by bacterial extracellular vesicles

Yue Liu, Eddy J. Smid, Tjakko Abee and Richard A. Notebaart*

Food Microbiology, Wageningen University and Research, PO Box 17, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands.

The production and release of extracellular vesicles (EVs) are a common property of cells spanning all domains of life (Deatherage and Cookson, 2012). These EVs enable the transport of various compounds, such as nucleic acids, proteins and viral particles, and are therefore potentially involved in cell communication, competition and survival (Kim *et al.*, 2015; van Niel *et al.*, 2018). From an application point of view, EVs could play an important role in health and disease, for instance in the development of novel strategies for vaccination and phage therapy (Liu *et al.*, 2018). With respect to the latter, it has been shown for *B. subtilis* that phage-resistant strains became susceptible for phages as a result of fusion with EVs carrying phage receptors (Tzipilevich *et al.*, 2017).

Extracellular vesicles carry cargos both on or embedded in the membrane, as well as inside the lumen. Extracellular vesicles offer the enclosed cargos protection against (non)enzymatic degradation and are thus essential for exchange of RNA, proteins and other molecules that are prone to degradation (Mashburn-Warren and Whiteley, 2006; Tsatsaronis et al., 2018). Moreover, EVs have the potential to facilitate the delivery of molecules that are generally excluded from entering target cells due to size, charge or hydrophobicity. Here, we argue that the production of EVs may also be harnessed to produce cell-specific delivery systems for genome editing tools, such as the CRISPR toolbox including Cas9, Cas12a and base editors (Gaudelli et al., 2017; Knott and Doudna, 2018). One of the most important research directions in applying genome

*For correspondence. E-mail richard.notebaart@wur.nl; Tel. +31317482887. *Microbial Biotechnology* (2019) **12**(1), 71–73 doi:10.1111/1751-7915.13356 editing tools, especially in the context of medical applications to correct genetic disorders or to combat pathogens, is the delivery of the CRISPR toolbox to the right position in the human body or to the pathogens (Doudna and Charpentier, 2014; Sander and Joung, 2014). It is hereby preferred to deliver the nuclease enzyme (e.g. Cas9) in complex with the gRNA, the socalled CRISPR-Cas9 RNA-protein complex (or ribonucleoprotein complex; RNP), which after uptake allows precise genome editing. Currently, efforts are made to deliver RNP complexes via virus-like particles, receptormediated endocytosis or osmocytosis (D'Astolfo *et al.*, 2015; Liu *et al.*, 2015; Yin *et al.*, 2016; Chaverra-Rodriguez *et al.*, 2018).

We propose to extend the delivery toolbox for genome editing approaches by bacterial EVs. The idea is to use bacterial cells as factories that generate EVs harbouring both the RNP complex (or any other CRISPR-mediated tool) and a specific ligand molecule. The ligand molecules could be cell membraneanchored proteins. The presence of such ligand molecules on the surface of the EV would allow specific binding to cells with the matching receptors followed by fusion of EVs and cells and delivery of cargo. This adds a level of specificity to the delivery, hence stimulating precise genome editing. In practice, genes encoding a nuclease (e.g. Cas9) and gRNA will be introduced in the appropriate EV-producing bacteria. These genes and gRNA should be constructed downstream of strong promoters to allow high production of the RNP complex. In the same bacteria, the DNA sequences of the ligand and a native bacterial membrane protein can be fused together to allow display of the ligand on the cell membrane. When EVs are generated from the cell membrane, they contain the ligand on the surface and most likely enclose the RNP complexes in the lumen, as the complexes are expressed in great abundance in the cytosol.

It should be noted that the above proposed model is most applicable for Gram-positive producer bacteria, as they, in contrast to Gram-negative bacteria that have an additional outer membrane, only have a cytoplasmic membrane acting as the origin of EV generation, and the

© 2018 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

72 Crystal ball

membrane is in direct contact with cytosolic components to achieve engulfment of cargos (Toyofuku et al., 2018). Moreover, toxicity caused by lipopolysaccharides (LPS), which hampers the application of Gram-negative bacteria (Acevedo et al., 2014), is also not of concern for EVs generated from Gram-positive bacteria. In Gram-negative bacteria, the outer membrane is the well-known origin for EV generation, but such EVs generated cannot easily pack cytosolic components (Bonnington and Kuehn, 2014). Recently, evidence has been provided that innermembrane fragments can also be part of EVs, resulting in the release of merged inner-outer membrane vesicles (Pérez-Cruz et al., 2015). Using this type of EVs for delivering genome editing complex is challenging, as the chance for all the required components to end up in the same EV could be considerably low.

In spite of the advantages that Gram-positive bacteria can offer for the proposed application, it should be noted that Gram-positive bacteria naturally produce EVs in lower quantity than the Gram-negative. Nevertheless, knowledge on the biogenesis of EVs has been accumulated and pointed out ways to increase EV release. For example, explosive cell lysis is a source of EVs (Turnbull *et al.*, 2016); cell wall degrading enzymes also play a role in EV release by weakening or penetrating the major barrier for EVs to escape (Toyofuku *et al.*, 2017). By employing these enzymes, or inducing explosive cell lysis, EVs can be harvested in large amounts also from Gram-positive bacteria.

When the proper bacterial cell factories are chosen, a crucial point to achieve the proposed cell-specific delivery system is a justified design of the ligand molecule. This molecule should be chosen/designed in such a way that it binds specifically to the target cells without triggering unwanted signal cascades or immune responses. When the ligand molecule is fused to the bacterial membrane protein, proper folding of the ligand should be guaranteed for efficient targeting effect. With knowledge from multiple research fields, such as bioinformatics, biochemistry, microbiology and pharmacology, proper design of such a delivery system can be achieved.

We anticipate that the proposed strategy could pave the way for the production of cell-specific delivery systems by exploiting bacteria as cell factories of both the genome editing tools and EVs. In our vision, this strategy can lead to the production of relatively low-cost but high-efficiency, high-specificity delivery systems of genome editing tools for biotechnological and medical applications ranging from steering metabolite production to fighting pathogenic bacteria or correcting human genetic disorders.

References

Acevedo, R., Fernández, S., Zayas, C., Acosta, A., Sarmiento, M.E., Ferro, V.A., et al. (2014) Bacterial outer membrane vesicles and vaccine applications. *Front Immu*nol **5:** 121.

- Bonnington, K.E., and Kuehn, M.J. (2014) Protein selection and export via outer membrane vesicles. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res* **1843**: 1612–1619.
- Chaverra-Rodriguez, D., Macias, V.M., Hughes, G.L., Pujhari, S., Suzuki, Y., Peterson, D.R., *et al.* (2018) Targeted delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein into arthropod ovaries for heritable germline gene editing. *Nat Commun* **9:** 3008.
- D'Astolfo, D.S., Pagliero, R.J., Pras, A., Karthaus, W.R., Clevers, H., Prasad, V., *et al.* (2015) Efficient intracellular delivery of native proteins. *Cell* **161**: 674–690.
- Deatherage, B.L., and Cookson, B.T. (2012) Membrane vesicle release in bacteria, eukaryotes, and archaea: a conserved yet underappreciated aspect of microbial life. *Infect Immun* **80**: 1948–1957.
- Doudna, J.A., and Charpentier, E. (2014) The new frontier of genome engineering with CRISPR-Cas9. *Science* **346**: 1258096.
- Gaudelli, N.M., Komor, A.C., Rees, H.A., Packer, M.S., Badran, A.H., Bryson, D.I., and Liu, D.R. (2017) Programmable base editing of A•T to G•C in genomic DNA without DNA cleavage. *Nature* **551**: 464–471.
- Kim, J.H., Lee, J., Park, J., and Gho, Y.S. (2015) Gramnegative and Gram-positive bacterial extracellular vesicles. Semin Cell Dev Biol 40: 97–104.
- Knott, G.J., and Doudna, J.A. (2018) CRISPR-Cas guides the future of genetic engineering. *Science* 361: 866–869.
- Liu, J., Gaj, T., Yang, Y., Wang, N., Shui, S., Kim, S., et al. (2015) Efficient delivery of nuclease proteins for genome editing in human stem cells and primary cells. *Nat Protoc* **10**: 1842–1859.
- Liu, Y., Defourny, K.A.Y., Smid, E.J., and Abee, T. (2018) Gram-positive bacterial extracellular vesicles and their impact on health and disease. *Front Microbiol* **9**: 1502.
- Mashburn-Warren, L.M., and Whiteley, M. (2006) Special delivery: vesicle trafficking in prokaryotes. *Mol Microbiol* 61: 839–846.
- van Niel, G., D'Angelo, G., and Raposo, G. (2018) Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **19**: 213–228.
- Pérez-Cruz, C., Delgado, L., López-Iglesias, C., and Mercade, E. (2015) Outer-inner membrane vesicles naturally secreted by gram-negative pathogenic bacteria. *PLoS ONE* **10**: e0116896.
- Sander, J.D., and Joung, J.K. (2014) CRISPR-Cas systems for editing, regulating and targeting genomes. *Nat Biotechnol* 32: 347–350.
- Toyofuku, M., Cárcamo-Oyarce, G., Yamamoto, T., Eisenstein, F., Hsiao, C.C., Kurosawa, M., *et al.* (2017) Prophagetriggered membrane vesicle formation through peptidoglycan damage in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nat Commun* 8: 481.
- Toyofuku, M., Nomura, N. and Eberl, L. (2018) Types and origins of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0112-2
- Tsatsaronis, J.A., Franch-Arroyo, S., Resch, U., and Charpentier, E. (2018) Extracellular vesicle RNA: a universal mediator of microbial communication? *Trends Microbiol* 26: 401–410.
- © 2018 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **12**, 71–73

- Turnbull, L., Toyofuku, M., Hynen, A.L., Kurosawa, M., Pessi, G., Petty, N.K., *et al.* (2016) Explosive cell lysis as a mechanism for the biogenesis of bacterial membrane vesicles and biofilms. *Nat Commun* **7:** 11220.
- Tzipilevich, E., Habusha, M., and Ben-Yehuda, S. (2017) Acquisition of phage sensitivity by bacteria through

exchange of phage receptors. *Cell* **168**: 186–199. e12.

Yin, H., Song, C.Q., Dorkin, J.R., Zhu, L.J., Li, Y., Wu, Q., et al. (2016) Therapeutic genome editing by combined viral and non-viral delivery of CRISPR system components in vivo. Nat Biotechnol 34: 328–333.