Effects of disorganization on choice reaction time and visual orientation in untreated schizophrenics

Isabelle Amado, MD, PhD; Jean-Pierre Olié, MD



Several preattentive mechanisms have proved to be sensitive markers of clinical change in schizophrenia. Two related studies of visuospatial orientation used cued target detection combined with choice reaction time, short/long preparation, with or without a signal/target interval ("gap"/ "no-gap," to detect attentional disengagement difficulty in schizophrenia). End points were reaction times, alertness scores, attentional cost/benefit. and validity scores. Study 1, in 13 schizophrenics receiving second-generation antipsychotics and 13 controls, found the same impairment of disengagement as with neuroleptics, but intact reaction times and processing speed, with no hemispheric asymmetry. Study 2, in 12 untreated acute schizophrenics and 12 controls, showed slower reaction times, near-zero alertness in the fixation release condition, and impaired valid/invalid discrimination versus controls, correlating with the Positive And Negative Syndrome Scale disorganization subscore (r=-0.81; P<0.01). Early deficits in the preattentive orientation and visual detection phases are useful for assessing response to psychotropic treatment and establishing clinical correlates in acute schizophrenia. © 2006, LLS SAS

Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2006;8:53-58.

reattentive processes, ie, the stages preparatory to attention, cover the interval between perception and attention. Being largely automatic, they reflect the way in which the body selects elementary information and prepares to receive relevant stimuli. In schizophrenia many preattentive mechanisms have been investigated in various fields, including the startle reflex, prepulse inhibition (PPI), P50 auditory gating, latent inhibition, and visual masking. The mechanisms have proved to be sensitive markers of clinical change. Thus, PPI reflects the severity of thought disorder and its response to treatment. Together with mismatch negativity, it has been viewed as an endophenotypic marker of vulnerability to schizophrenia.1

Study of the preattentive processes responsible for visuospatial orientation can show how information provided to the subject in advance improves the detection of environmental stimuli. Simple methods have been devised for dissociating attentional subcomponents such as alerting, orienting, or reorienting of attention.² In 1990, based on seminal cued target detection (CTD) experiments in subjects with parietal lesions,3 Posner and Petersen4 described an anatomic network responsible for visual detection which, they argued, involved mainly the right hemisphere, with a (mainly frontal) anterior system

Keywords: schizophrenia; preattentive mechanism; visuospatial orientation; antipsychotic; attention disengagement; processing speed; clinical disorganization

Author affiliations: Université Paris Descartes, Faculté Paris Descartes; Inserm U796, Physiopathologie des maladies psychiatriques; Centre Hospitalier Sainte Anne, Service Hospitalo-universitaire de Santé Mentale et Thérapeutique, Paris, France

Address for correspondence: Dr I. Amado, Service Hospitalo-universitaire de Santé Mentale et Thérapeutique, INSERM U796, Hôpital Sainte Anne, Paris 75014 France

(e-mail: i.amado@ch-sainte-anne.fr)

Selected abbreviations and acronyms

le

responsible for executive attentional control, a posterior system responsible for orientation, selection, and attention focus (mainly right temporoparietal junction and intraparietal sulcus), and a general activation network (comprising the right fronto-parietal-thalamic network) responsible for alertness and vigilance.

Since our basic aim was to improve rapid response to an elementary stimulus and prepare for motor action, we decided to incorporate a gap procedure into our CTD paradigm to release fixation and hence attentional engagement (the gap also acted as a warning signal). In addition, we combined this task with a choice reaction time (CRT) incorporating a warning signal and variable preparation times: a short (0.5 s) interstimulus interval (ISI) for optimal preparation in healthy subjects, and a long (2 s) ISI predisposing to poor preparation. The purpose of introducing these various procedures was to maximize the attention deficits and distractibility found in schizophrenic patients.

Study 1: visuospatial orientation and disengagement difficulty in schizophrenics treated with second-generation antipsychotics

Applying their CTD paradigm in treated and untreated schizophrenics, Posner et al⁵ observed longer attentional disengagement (invalid cues), mainly in the left hemisphere for stimuli occurring on the right. Based on similarity between these results and those in subjects with parietal lesions, they suggested there might be left parietal dysfunction in schizophrenia. Other groups tried to confirm these results with mixed success, in that not all found hemispheric asymmetry.⁶ No study had been performed on visuospatial orientation abnormalities in schizophrenics treated with antipsychotics. Studies of simple reaction times (RTs) have shown that these are prolonged in both treated and untreated patients.⁷ In addition, studies incorporating attention gap procedures have been undertaken in schizophrenia using oculomo-

tor paradigms; they have shown impaired control of inhibitory mechanisms, with an increased number of express saccades (extremely short RTs, eg, 100 ms) versus controls. No study has used manual RTs.

Aims

- To determine whether the abnormal disengagement observed with first-generation neuroleptics is also observed in patients who have been on a stable dose of single second-generation antipsychotic therapy for several months without concomitant treatment.
- To confirm/disprove the attentional asymmetry reported in other studies.
- To determine whether antipsychotics benefit alertness, sensitivity to a warning signal, and processing speed.

The population comprised 13 schizophrenic patients matched to subjects controlled for age (mean [standard deviation]: patients 25.2 [4.7] years; controls 24.2 [3] years), sex, and years of education (patients: 12.7 [2.4]; controls: 13.5 [2.7]). Patients were clinically assessed using the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS):⁸ positive subscore: 13 (6); negative subscore: 19 (9); total: 64 (17). Disease duration was short: 3.9 (3) years, with treatment stable for 9 (11) months. Mean dosage (chlorpromazine [CPZ] equivalents) was 264 (5).

Tasks

CRT included a pseudorandom warning signal and two preparation conditions (*Figure 1*): S1–S2: 0.5 s; and S1–S2: 2 s.



Figure 1. Choice reaction time (CRT): 0.5 s preparation time.

The CTD task assessed orientation and the degree of attentional engagement. The task compared a situation in which attentional engagement was maintained (no-gap) and one in which it was released (gap). In the literature, studies using Posner visual or manual orientation tasks show that when a condition in which the central fixation point is switched off before the target appears (gap condition) is contrasted with one in which the fixation point remains on when the target appears (no-gap), RTs are shortened in control subjects by approximately 33 ms. The gap condition acts as a facilitator of attentional disengagement and as a nonspecific warning signal to release attention.⁹

Task procedure

Subjects focus on a central square, while one of the two squares on either side is made extra bright (cue) before the target appears. The task is valid when the target appears in the expected place (80% of cases) and invalid when it appears on the side opposite the expected place (20% of cases). Alertness is evaluated by introducing a neutral condition (both squares on either side are made extra bright) which is compared with the condition in which neither is made extra bright (no cue). This task design was used to generate two specific and contrasting conditions of attentional engagement variation (*Figure 2*): switching off the central fixation square 0.2 s before the target appeared (gap), thus releasing fixation and disengaging attention, versus reinforcement by keeping the central square illuminated until the target appeared (no-gap).



Figure 2. Stimuli used in the cued target detection (CTD) tasks.

Parameters

CRT: RTs \pm warning, followed by calculation of alertness scores as evidence of processing speed.

CTD: RTs (gap/no-gap), followed by calculation of the following scores:

- Alertness score: double cue RT (-) no cue RT.
- Validity score: invalid RT (-) valid RT.
- Attentional benefit: double cue RT (-) valid RT.
- Attentional cost: invalid RT (-) double cue RT.

Results

For CRT,¹⁰ repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) of RT values and alertness scores showed that patients achieved similar preparation time RT values to controls, with the visual signal having similar effects. The alertness score was nonsignificantly decreased (F [1,24]=3.35, P<0.079) (*Figure 3*). For CTD,¹⁰ Four-way ANOVA (two group factors and, for each condition, type of score and left/right stimulus placement) showed impaired attentional disengagement, with greater attentional cost in patients versus controls (F [1, 24]=6.76, P<0.016) (*Figure 4*). However, no right/left asymmetry was observed.

Study 2: attention disengagement in untreated schizophrenics

The aim of the second study was to determine whether the changes in attentional cost observed in patients on second-generation antipsychotics were also found in untreated patients. Subsidiary aims were to determine



Figure 3. Choice reaction time (CRT) alertness scores (difference between the "with-warning" and "no-warning" conditions), showing nonsignificant decrease in patients with 0.5 s preparation time versus controls (F [1, 24]=3.35, P=0.07).

whether acute decompensation caused changes in alertness and processing speed, and whether these changes had any clinical correlates.

Twelve untreated patients were matched to 12 healthy subjects for age (patients: 27 [6.9] years; controls: 24.6 [3.5] years), years of education, and intelligence quotient (IQ) (patients: 98 [20]; controls: 108 [15]). PANSS positive subscores were 20 (7); negative subscores: 22 (4), disorganization subscore: 11 (4); total subscore: 85 (9); mean Andreasen thought–language–communication (TLC) disorganization scale¹¹: 9 (9); age of onset: 22 (7) years; disease duration: 4 (2) years.

Results

For CRT, The Mann-Whitney test showed significantly longer RT values in patients at both preparation times with or without the warning signal. For CTD, RT values were significantly longer in patients under both gap and



Figure 4. Different types of cued target detection (CTD) tasks under nogap (overlap) conditions showing greater attentional cost (difference between double and no-cue conditions) in patients versus controls (F [1, 24]=6.76, P=0.01) (as also under gap conditions).



Figure 5. Cued target detection (CTD) task showing virtually zero alertness score (difference between double and no-cue conditions) under gap conditions in patients versus controls. no-gap conditions (P=0.06 overall). Although there was a gap effect in both patients and controls (mean gap/nogap difference between the two populations: 31 ms), the gap alertness score was virtually zero in patients as opposed to substantial in controls (*Figure 5*).

Clinical correlates

There was no correlation with positive or negative symptoms. However, there was a very close correlation between the PANSS disorganization subscore and the effect of the 0.5-s CRT signal (r=-0.81; P<0.01; Figure 6). There were also correlations between the PANSS disorganization subscore and attentional benefit in the no-gap CTD (r=0.71; P<0.05) and validity score (r=-0.71; P<0.05), and between the TLC disorganization score and attentional benefit (r=-0.62; P<0.05). Correlations with the PANSS negative subscore ceased to be significant after subtracting the items found in the PANSS negative and disorganization subscores.

Discussion

The results of study 1 in patients treated with second-generation antipsychotics confirmed the impaired disengagement observed in earlier studies in patients receiving conventional neuroleptics. This effect appeared to be absent in the untreated decompensated patients in study 2. However, second-generation antipsychotics preserved RT values and, to a degree, processing speed (to be confirmed in a larger patient sample). The asymmetry reported in some studies appears to be a methodological artefact, dependent in particular on the ratio of invalid/valid tasks.¹² In untreated patients, substantial overall RT prolonga-



Figure 6. Correlation (r=-0.81; P<0.01) between effect of 0.5 s warning signal (difference between with-warning and no-warning at 0.5 ms conditions) in cued target detection task and Positive And Negative Syndrome Score (PANSS) disorganization subscore.

tion was observed in both attention tasks. Under gap conditions, ie, when attention was disengaged and fixation released, patients ceased to register an alertness score in the CTD task, unlike the controls, although they retained their sensitivity to the attention gap (the gap effect was present in both populations). This means that acutely ill patients had an alertness potential that could not be maintained after their attention was released. Disorganization also had a marked effect on the ability to rapidly detect an expected stimulus and discriminate a valid from an invalid stimulus. This is consistent with objective neuropsychological correlates. Acute schizophrenia is thought to be associated with difficulty in the selection of relevant information, due to the underlying thought disorder. Our study shows that this difficulty occurs very early in the orientation and visual detection phases, and in the selective attention tasks when preparation time is short.

Conclusion

These two studies underline the utility of techniques for investigating preattentive processes, processing speed, and the sensitivity of visuospatial orientation when assessing the effect of psychotropic treatment, demonstrating incipient attentional deficit, and correlating these difficulties with the clinical symptoms of acute schizophrenic patients.

REFERENCES

1. Braff DL, Light GA. Preattentional and attentional cognitive deficits as targets for treating schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology*. 2004;174:75-85.

2. Thiel CM, Zilles K, Fink GR. Cerebral correlates of alerting, orienting and reorienting of visuospatial attention: an event-related fMRI study. *Neuroimage*. 2004;21:318-328.

3. Posner MI, Walker JA, Friedrich FJ, Rafal RD. Effects of parietal injury on covert orienting of attention. *J Neurosci.* 1984;4:1863-1874.

4. Posner MI, Petersen SE. The attention system of the human brain. *Annu Rev Neurosci.* 1990;13:25-42.

5. Posner MI, Early TS, Reiman E, Pardo PJ, Dhawan M. Asymmetries in hemispheric control of attention in schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1988;45:814-821.

6. Nestor PG, Faux SF, McCarley RW, et al. Attentional cues in chronic schizophrenia: abnormal disengagement of attention. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 1992;101:682-689. 7. Cleghorn JM, Kaplan RD, Szechtman B, Szechtman H, Brown GM. Neuroleptic drug effects on cognitive function in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 1990;3:211-219.

8. Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. Kay SR, Fiszbein S, Opler LA. The positive and negative syndrome scale for schizophrenia. *Schizophren Bull.* 1987;13:2612-2676.

9. Gomez C, Millan S, Atienza M, Aguilar-Bravo H, Vazquez M, Delinte A. The gap effect during visual and auditory stimulation using manual responses. *Biol Psychol.* 1998;47:77-96.

10. Daban C, Krebs MO, Bourdel MC, et al. Effects of atypical neuroleptics on alertness and visual orienting in stabilized schizophrenic patients: a preliminary study. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* **2004**;7:255-263.

11. Andreasen NC. Thought, language, and communication disorders. I. Clinical assessment, definition of terms, and evaluation of their reliability. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* **1979**;36:1315-1321.

12. Carter CS, Robertson LC, Chaderjian MR, Celaya LJ, Nordahl TE. Attentional asymmetry in schizophrenia: controlled and automatic processes. *Biol Psychiatry*. **1992**;31:909-918.

Efectos de la desorganización sobre el tiempo de reacción para elegir y la orientación visual en pacientes esquizofrénicos no tratados

Algunos mecanismos preatencionales han demostrado ser marcadores sensibles de cambios clínicos en la esquizofrenia. Dos estudios relacionados de orientación visoespacial utilizaron detección de un blanco marcado en combinación con tiempo de reacción para elegir, una preparación cortallarga, con o sin un intervalo señal/blanco ("separación"/"no separación" para detectar dificultades de disociación atencional en la esquizofrenia). Los objetivos finales de la evaluación fueron: tiempos de reacción, puntuaciones de alerta, costo/beneficio de la atención y puntuaciones de validez. El estudio 1 encontró que 13 pacientes esquizofrénicos que recibieron antipsicóticos de segunda generación y 13 controles tuvieron el mismo deterioro de disociación que se observa con los neurolépticos, pero tiempos de reacción y velocidad de procesamiento intactos, sin asimetría de los hemisferios. El estudio 2, mostró que 12 pacientes esquizofrénicos agudos sin tratamiento y 12 controles tuvieron tiempos de reacción más lentos, alerta cercana a cero y deterioro de la discriminación válida/inválida en comparación con los controles, especialmente para el tiempo de preparación corto, que se correlacionó con el subpuntaje de desorganización de la escala de síndrome positivo y negativo (PANSS) (r=-0,81; P<0,01). Los déficits precoces en la orientación preatencional y las fases de detección visual son útiles para evaluar la respuesta a los tratamientos psicofarmacológicos y para establecer correlatos clínicos en la esquizofrenia aguda.

Effets de la désorganisation sur le temps de réaction de choix et l'orientation visuelle chez des patients schizophrènes non traités

Plusieurs mécanismes préattentifs sont considérés comme des margueurs sensibles aux transformations cliniques chez les schizophrènes. Deux études voisines sur l'orientation visuospatiale ont utilisé une épreuve de détection indicée combinée au temps de réaction de choix, à une préparation longue/courte, avec ou sans intervalle signal/cible (libération attentionnelle/engagement attentionnel) pour détecter une difficulté de désengagement attentionnel chez les schizophrènes. Les critères étaient les temps de réaction, les scores d'attention, les rapports coûts/bénéfices attentionnels et les scores de validité. L'étude 1, réalisée chez 13 patients schizophrènes recevant des antipsychotiques de seconde génération et 13 témoins, a retrouvé la même altération du désengagement qu'avec les neuroleptiques, mais des temps de réaction et une vitesse d'appréhension intacts, sans asymétrie hémisphérique. L'étude 2, réalisée chez 12 schizophrènes aigus non traités et 12 témoins, a montré des temps de réaction ralentis, une attention proche de zéro en état de libération de la fixation et une altération de la discrimination valide/invalide versus témoins, corrélée au sousscore de désorganisation de l'échelle des syndromes positif et négatif (r = -0,81 ; p < 0,01). Les déficits précoces de l'orientation préattentive et des phases de détection visuelle sont utiles pour évaluer la réponse au traitement psychotrope et établir les liens cliniques dans la schizophrénie aiguë.