



Article Global Transcriptomic Analysis of Zebrafish Glucagon Receptor Mutant Reveals Its Regulated Metabolic Network

Qi Kang ^{1,2}, Mengyi Hu¹, Jianxin Jia¹, Xuanxuan Bai¹, Chengdong Liu³, Zhiqiang Wu⁴, Wenbiao Chen⁵ and Mingyu Li^{1,*}

- ¹ School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Innovative Drug Target Research, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China; kangqix@126.com (Q.K.); humyi018@163.com (M.H.); jia_jianxin@126.com (J.I.); bxxgxfcsssy@126.com (X.B.)
- ² State Key Laboratory of Cellular Stress Biology, School of Life Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China
- ³ The Key Laboratory of Mariculture, Education Ministry of China and College of Fisheries, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China; liuchengdong@ouc.edu.cn
- ⁴ School of Marine Life Sciences, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China; wuzhiqiang@ouc.edu.cn
 ⁵ Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine,
- Nashville, TN 37232, USA; wenbiao.chen@vanderbilt.edu
- * Correspondence: limingyu@xmu.edu.cn

Received: 30 November 2019; Accepted: 20 January 2020; Published: 22 January 2020



Abstract: The glucagon receptor (GCGR) is a G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) that mediates the activity of glucagon. Disruption of GCGR results in many metabolic alterations, including increased glucose tolerance, decreased adiposity, hypoglycemia, and pancreatic α -cell hyperplasia. To better understand the global transcriptomic changes resulting from GCGR deficiency, we performed whole-organism RNA sequencing analysis in wild type and *gcgr*-deficient zebrafish. We found that the expression of 1645 genes changes more than two-fold among mutants. Most of these genes are related to metabolism of carbohydrates, lipids, and amino acids. Genes related to fatty acid β -oxidation, amino acid catabolism, and ureagenesis are often downregulated. Among *gcrgr*-deficient zebrafish, we experimentally confirmed increases in lipid accumulation in the liver and whole-body glucose uptake, as well as a modest decrease in total amino acid content. These results provide new information about the global metabolic network that GCGR signaling regulates in addition to a better understanding of the receptor's physiological functions.

Keywords: glucagon receptor; zebrafish; RNA sequencing; metabolic network; diabetes

1. Introduction

Glucagon, derived from pancreatic α -cells, activates glucagon receptor (GCGR) signaling to increase hepatic glucose production and maintain normal blood glucose levels during fasting [1]. GCGR is a seven-transmembrane class B G-protein-coupled receptor [2,3]. Stimulation of GCGR activates adenylyl cyclase and increases cAMP levels [4]. Elevated cAMP stimulates several pathways, resulting in an increase of gluconeogenesis, glycogenolysis, and fatty acid oxidation [5]. Inhibition or inactivation of GCGR is shown to lower blood glucose in preclinical models of type 1 and type 2 diabetes [6–8]. Consequently, GCGR antagonists and neutralizing antibodies have emerged as a class of novel therapeutics for treating diabetes [9–12]. Several antagonists and antibodies are undergoing different stages of clinical trials, but none have been approved for clinical use [13].

Beyond suppressing hyperglycemia, disruption of GCGR also leads to increased insulin sensitivity, hypoglycemia, hyperglucagonemia, hyperaminoacidemia, increased plasma LDL, increased GLP-1 and FGF21 levels, decreased adiposity, and hyperplasia of pancreatic α -cells in mouse models [6,14–16]. The disruption of GCGR by antagonism or gene knockout in animal models also causes dysregulation of other metabolic processes, including cholesterol absorption, fatty acid utilization, white adipose tissue browning, and bile acid metabolism [17–20]. In humans, patients with GCGR mutations develop a syndrome known as Mahvash disease, characterized by hypercalcemia, hyperglucagonemia, and α -cell hyperplasia [21–24]. These studies from animal models and human patients suggest that GCGR regulates a network of signaling pathways in organismal physiology.

We previously generated GCGR knockout zebrafish that display hypoglycemia, hyperglucagonemia, and compensatory α -cell hyperplasia, demonstrating that the function of glucagon signaling is at least partially conserved between humans and zebrafish [25]. The amenability of zebrafish to live imaging, chemical screening, and genetic manipulations should facilitate deeper mechanistic understanding of GCGR functions and α -cell biology using this mutant line. Moreover, since it is hard to systemically dissect the genes network of GCGR in rodent models, we took advantage of zebrafish model, and performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis of whole fish to provide a comprehensive view of its global transcriptomic changes in the absence of GCGR signaling.

2. Results

2.1. The Transcriptome of Wildtype and Gcgr^{-/-} Larvae

To survey the global transcriptomic changes of $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutants, we extracted total RNA from three biological replicates of both wildtype and $gcgr^{-/-}$ samples and performed high-throughput RNA-seq at seven days post fertilization (dpf). Together, these samples generated 21.94 million (M) pairs of raw reads, with a clean read ratio greater than 99.7%. After the quality filtering, clean reads were mapped to the zebrafish genome (GRCz11) using HISAT (v2.0.4) [26]. Among these reads, 89.55–90.55% clean reads were successfully mapped to the zebrafish genome, and the number of uniquely mapped reads was 63.98–65.53%. Then, clean reads were mapped to a reference transcriptome of zebrafish using Bowtie2 [27], and total 25,955 genes were detected. The average genes mapping ratio was 79.68% (79.28–80.03%), with genes uniquely mapped between 69.0%–69.21% (Table 1). Principal component analysis (PCA) demonstrated that the *gcgr*-mutant datasets clustered distinctly from wildtype control (Figure 1A).

Sample Name	WT1	WT2	WT3	gcgr1	gcgr2	gcgr3
Total raw reads (M)	21.94	21.94	21.94	21.94	21.94	21.94
Total clean reads (M)	21.89	21.9	21.92	21.93	21.89	21.89
Clean reads ratio (%)	99.76	99.82	99.9	99.92	99.75	99.74
Genome total mapping (%)	90.38	89.55	90.25	90.54	90.47	90.55
Genome uniquely mapping (%)	64.66	63.98	64.69	65.12	65.53	65.34
Genes total mapping (%)	79.41	79.83	79.28	80.03	79.62	79.89
Genes uniquely mapping (%)	69	69.02	69.03	69.21	69.13	69.21

Table 1. Statistics for read filtering and mapping.



Figure 1. RNA-seq (RNA sequencing) analysis of glucagon receptor ($gcgr^{-/-}$) mutant zebrafish. (**A**) Principal component analysis (PCA) plot of three wildtype and $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant RNA-seq datasets. Principal component 1 (PC1), and principal component 2 (PC2) were used for analysis. (**B**) Volcano plot of differential expression analysis of $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant and control larvae showing the relationship between p-value and log fold changes. Red shows upregulated genes and blue downregulated genes. (**C**–**E**) Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant zebrafish. The DEGs were assigned to three categories: Biological process, (**C**) cellular component, (**D**) and molecular function (**E**). The names of the GO subcategories, the number of genes, and the proportion of each subcategory are listed by the pie charts.

2.2. Gene Ontology (GO) Enrichment Analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes

A total of 24,831, 24,832, and 24,889 genes were detected with more than one read in WT1, WT2, and WT3, respectively, while 24,666, 24,629, 24,655 genes were detected in $gcgr^{-/-1}$, $gcgr^{-/-2}$, $gcgr^{-/-3}$, respectively. Comparison of RNA-seq data between the two genotypes identified by 1645 DEGs, with 437 upregulated and 1208 downregulated in $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutants (Figure 1B and Supplemental Table S1). All the DEGs were annotated with gene ontology (GO) terms, and sorted into three

major functional categories: "biological process", "cellular component," and "molecular function" (Figure 1C–E). Cellular process (22%), metabolic process (13%), and biological regulation (12%) were the main subcategories of the biological process group. Under the category of "cellular component," "cell" (25%) was the largest class, followed by "membrane" (16%), and "organelle" (16%). As for "molecular function", "binding" (47%) and "catalytic activity" (30%) were the major classes. These results of GO enrichment indicate that multiple biological processes changed in the *gcgr*-deficient fish. Since many functional categories were affected, we seek to determine whether there was any behavior or development difference between wildtype and *gcgr*^{-/-} mutant. However, no significant difference in swimming speed and distance between mutant fish and wildtype fish were observed (Figure 2). Moreover, there were no obvious defects in the development of *gcgr*^{-/-} mutants compared to wildtype specimens (Supplemental Figure S1)



Figure 2. $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant fish have similar physical activity to controls. (**A**,**B**) Representative images of activity tracks of six wildtype (**A**) and $gcgr^{-/-}$ (**B**) zebrafish larvae. Each image is the track of one larva recorded for 3 min. (**C**,**D**) The average swimming speed (**C**) and swimming distance (**D**) of wildtype and $gcgr^{-/-}$ zebrafish larvae. Ns: no significance.

2.3. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Analysis Reveals that GCGR Deficiency Alters Multiple Metabolic Pathways

To better understand the GO-annotated DEGs in the zebrafish $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant larvae, DEGs were subjected to the KEGG database for canonical signaling pathway analysis. The 1645 DEGs were significantly enriched in 44 different signaling pathways, and these pathways were most related to metabolism (12), human disease (11), organismal systems (10), cellular processes (4), genetic information processing (4), and environmental information processing (3).

Strikingly, we found that many genes involved in several metabolic processes were notably affected. Since GCGR is important for the regulation of metabolism, we then further analyzed these pathways. Interestingly, in metabolism pathways, the downregulated genes are far more than the upregulated genes. These data suggest that the GCGR knockout disrupts multiple metabolic pathways in zebrafish (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis of DEGs in metabolism pathways. The y-axis indicates pathways and the x-axis indicates the number of DEGs. The red bar shows the upregulated genes and the blue bar shows the downregulated genes.

2.4. GCGR Regulates Lipid Metabolism

For lipid metabolism, 52 genes were altered with 41 downregulated and 11 upregulated (Figure 4A and Supplemental Table S2). Among these genes, there were 12 genes in fatty acid related metabolism pathways, including *fads2* (log2 values, -1.78), *lrfn4b* (-1.65), *fasn-like* (-1.49), *ppt2-like* (-1.45), *acoxl* (-1.40), *acaa2-like* (-1.27), *hadhaa* (-1.27), *eci1* (-1.16), *aldh2.2* (-1.11), *elovl4b* (-1.02), *tecrl2b* (-1.09), *elovl7b* (1.95). These genes are involved in the pathways of fatty acid metabolism (ko01212), fatty acid biosynthesis (ko00061), fatty acid elongation (ko00062) and fatty acid degradation (ko00071), and biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acid (ko01040). These pathways were all affected, suggesting that global GCGR deficiency resulted in impaired fatty acid metabolism (Figure 4 and Supplemental Table S2). In the cholesterol metabolism (ko04979) pathway, *apobb.2* (-1.63), *ptchd3* (-1.61), *cyp27a1.4* (-1.34), *cyp27a7* (-1.05), and *pcsk9* (-1.11) were decreased. While *abca1-like* (4.59), *ldlr* (4.53), *lipca* (2.53), *poa4-like* (2.32), *pltp* (1.22), and *soat2* (1.08) were increased. These data suggest that knockout of zebrafish GCGR disrupted cholesterol metabolism. In the sphingolipid metabolism pathway (ko00600), *arsa-like* (-4.92), *prr13* (-1.37), and *neu3.4* (-1.29) were all decreased. In the glycerophospholipid metabolism pathway (ko00564), *phospho1*(-1.03), *pla2g4c* (-3.09), *pcyt1-like* (-1.15), and *mfsd10* (-1.15) were decreased, while *pla2g4f-like* (2.15) and *plpp4* (1.42) were increased.

A

arsa-like

cyp2aa8 pla2g4c

ugt1ab

ugt2a4

cyp8b3

ugt1a2

Irfn4b

apobb.2

cyp2aa9

cyp2aa4

fasn-like

ppt2-like

ugt1b2

ugt1a7 acoxl

prr13

neu3.4

hadhaa

gpx2

eci1 mfsd10

pcsk9

aldh2.2

tecrl2b

ugt5b4

ugt2a2 cyp27a7

elovl4b

soat2

ggt1a

plpp4

lipca

Idlr

-2 -1 0 1 2

+4.59

pltp

phospho1

hsd11b1la

elovl7b pla2q4f-like

apoa4-like

qtd2a-like

abca1-like

uat5b1

ugt2b3

pcyt1-like

acaa2-like

Iss

cyp27a1.4

ugt1a1

ptchd3

fads2

enpp7-like





Glucagon regulates lipid metabolism through p38 MAPK-, PPARa-, and FGF21-dependent mechanisms [20,28]. Many genes in MAPK and PPAR pathway were indeed enriched in our study. Fourteen DEGs were enriched in PPAR signaling pathway, which included *isg15* (–4.01), *trim39-like* (–2.33), *fabp11b* (–2.19), *ubc-like* (–2.12), *cyp8b3* (–1.83), *fads2* (–1.78), *samd3-like* (–1.50), *acoxl* (–1.40), *cyp27a1.4* (–1.34), *fabp1b.1* (–1.12), *fabp7a* (–1.11), *cyp27a7* (–1.05), *plin1-like* (–1.02), and *pltp* (1.22). Most of them are the target of the PPARα, PPARβ, or PPARγ in peripheral tissues (Figure 4B). Except for

pltp, these genes were all downregulated, suggesting a downregulation of PPAR activity. For MAPK signaling pathway, *pla2g4c* (-3.09), *casq1a* (-1.49), *flt3* (-1.48), *angpt2-like* (-1.37), *stmn1a* (-1.33), *xaf1* (-1.24), *fgf23* (-1.22), *gna12* (-1.18), *fas* (-1.17), *rac11* (-1.13), *fgf6a* (-1.09), and *cdc42l2* (-1.08) were decreased, while *syngr2b* (1.04), *dusp1* (1.29), *nr4a1* (1.58), *pla2g4f-like* (2.16), and *fosab* (2.48) were increased, suggesting that loss of GCGR affected MAPK signaling pathway (Figure 4C).

To experimentally validate the dysregulation of lipid metabolism in *gcgr*^{-/-} zebrafish, we performed oil-red O staining in whole mount and frozen sections in the wildtype and *gcgr*^{-/-} fish in 7 dpf. The results showed a significant accentuation of fat in the liver (Figure 4D–G). However, the fat accumulation in other tissues were not significantly different (Figure 4D–G). These results suggest that GCGR is mainly required for lipid metabolism in the liver.

2.5. GCGR Regulates Carbohydrate Metabolism

Thirty DEGs in 14 carbohydrate metabolic pathways were enriched (Figure 5A). They include glycolysis/gluconeogenesis (ko00010), citrate cycle (TCA cycle) (ko00020), pentose and glucoronate interconversions (ko00040), fructose and mannose metabolism (ko00051), galactose metabolism (ko00052), ascorbate and aldarate metabolism (ko00053), starch and sucrose metabolism (ko00500), amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism (ko00520), pyruvate metabolism (ko00620), glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism (ko00630), propanoate metabolism (ko00640), butanoate metabolism (ko00650), as well as C5-branched dibasic acid metabolism (ko00660) (Supplemental Table S3).

Four DEGs in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway were enriched, two upregulation and two downregulation. The upregulated genes were *adh5* (alcohol dehydrogenase 5) (2.01), which converts alcohol to aldehydes or ketones, and *acss2l* (acyl-CoA synthetase short chain family member 2 like) (1.37), which produces acetyl-CoA from acetate during gluconeogenesis. The two downregulated genes were *adpgk2* (ADP-dependent glucokinase 2) (–1.41), which catalyzes ADP and D-glucose to AMP and D-glucose 6-phosphate during glycolysis, and *aldh2.2* (aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 family member, tandem duplicate 2) (–1.11) which is responsible for the conversion of acetaldehyde to acetate and participate in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathways.

DEGs were also enriched in other pathways of carbohydrate metabolism. In Pyruvate metabolism pathway (ko00620), *me1-like* (malic enzyme 1 like), which catalyzes malate to pyruvate, was markedly increased (6.57). Interestingly, one gene in the glycogenesis, *gyg2* (glycogenin 2) (1.69) was also upregulated. Moreover, ten UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases in the pentose and glucoronate interconversions pathway (ko00040) and ascorbate and aldarate metabolism pathway (ko00053) (*ugt1a1*, *ugt1a2*, *ugt1ab*, *ugt1a7*, *ugt1b2*, *ugt2a2*, *ugt2a4*, *ugt2b3*, *ugt5b1*, *ugt5b4*) were all dramatically decreased. These UGTs are mainly expressed in liver, which are phase II biotransformation enzymes that regulate the glucuronidation reaction [29]. Taken together, these data suggested that GCGR is essential to maintain carbohydrate metabolism and its deficiency results in the disorder of carbohydrate metabolism.

The $gcgr^{-/-}$ zebrafish has lower total free glucose than the wildtype control [25]. Although increased GLP-1 is likely the major culprit [30,31], we also investigated whether increased glucose uptake in $gcgr^{-/-}$ zebrafish may contribute to the hypoglycemia, as well. Therefore, we performed a 2-NBDG uptake assay using wildtype and $gcgr^{-/-}$ larvae. The result showed that the $gcgr^{-/-}$ zebrafish had increased glucose uptake compared with WT, as indicated by the fluorescence intensity of lens [32] (Figure 5B,C). Additionally, when cultured in a medium with high glucose (20 mM), the α -cell hyperplasia was partially suppressed (Figure 5D–F). These results suggest that hypoglycemia may be permissive or necessary for α -cell hyperplasia.



Figure 5. GCGR regulates carbohydrate metabolism in zebrafish larvae. (**A**) Heatmaps of transcripts in carbohydrate metabolism enrichment. Colors represent high (red), low (blue), or average (white) expression values based on the Z-score normalized FPKM values for each gene. The Z-score indicators are shown under each map. The fold change (log2) are shown on the right. (**B**,**C**) 2-NBDG glucose uptake of wildtype (**B**) and $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant (**C**) larvae, the glucose uptake level is indicated by the fluorescence of lens (arrow) imaged by fluorescent microscopy. (**D**–**E**) Representative images of the principal islet of $gcgr^{-/-}$; Tg(gcga:GFP) (**D**) and 20 mM glucose treated- $gcgr^{-/-}$; Tg(gcga:GFP) (**E**). The images are confocal projections; scale bars indicate 10 μ m. (**F**) Quantification of the α -cell number in different groups of zebrafish at 7 dpf, $n \ge 10$. Ns: No significance, and ** p < 0.01 by *t*-test.

2.6. GCGR Regulates Amino Acid Metabolism

KEGG enrichment analysis identified two categories related to the metabolism of standard amino acids and other amino acids (Figure 6, Supplemental Tables S4 and S5). There were 21 downregulated and five upregulated DEGs related to metabolism of the 20 standard amino acids. The top five downregulated genes are *med15-like* (-4.96), *ercc4-like* (-4.72), *il4i1*(-4.68), *nags* (-2.03), and *kyat3* (-1.98) (Figure 6). Gene *il4i1*, defined as L-amino-acid oxidase (LAAO), was severely downregulated. The enzyme is involved in the regulation of many amino acid pathways, including alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism pathway (ko00250), cysteine and methionine metabolism pathway (ko00270), valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation pathway (ko00280), tyrosine metabolism pathway (ko00380), phenylalanine, as well as tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis pathway (ko00400) (Supplemental Table S4 and Figure 6A). Moreover, *cthl* (cystathionine gamma-lyase-like), which encodes an enzyme that breaks down cystathionine into cysteine, α -ketobutyrate, and ammonia, was

also downregulated. In addition, *ido1* (indoleamine-pyrrole 2,3-dioxygenase), which regulates the O2-dependent oxidation of L-tryptophan to N-formylkynurenine, was decreased (–1.16). The five upregulated genes included *cspg4-like* (1.65), *adh5* (2.01), *setd8-like* (2.43), *dicp1.19* (4.91), and *mll3-like* (6.76) (Figure 6A).



Figure 6. GCGR regulates amino acid metabolism in zebrafish larvae. (**A**) Heatmaps of transcripts in amino acid metabolism enrichment. (**B**) Heatmaps of transcripts in metabolism of other amino acid enrichment. (**C**) Amino acids compositions in WT and $gcgr^{-/-}$ embryos. Results were represented as means with standard errors (n = 3), * p < 0.05 by *t*-test.

Expression of genes related to metabolism of other amino acids was also altered in $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant (Supplemental Table S5 and Figure 6B). For glutathione metabolism (ko00480), *ercc4-like* (-4.72), *rrm2* (-2.92), *gstt2* (-1.79), *gpx2* (-1.26), *gstm.1* (-1.20), *rrm1* (-1.19), *ggt1a* (1.10), *cspg4-like* (1.65) were affected. Two of the glutathione S-transferase (*gstt2* and *gstm.1*), which catalyze conjugation of reduced glutathione to xenobiotic substrates for the purpose of detoxification [33], were significantly decreased. While *ggt1a* (gamma-glutamyltransferase 1), which hydrolyzes the γ -glutamyl bond of glutathione to produce glutamate, cysteine (cystine), and glycine [34], was increased. All these data suggested the disorder of amino acid metabolism in $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant.

It is known that the blood free amino acid levels are increased in the GCGR knockout mice. This is concomitant with a decrease of intracellular amino acid content in hepatocytes [35]. However, it is unclear whether there is a decrease in the whole-body amino acid content. Here, we measured the total amino acid compositions of the whole organism, and found that Met, Ile, Tyr were slightly decreased in the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant zebrafish, while other amino acids did not significantly change (Figure 6C).

2.7. Verification of Transcriptome Data by qRT-PCR

To further evaluate our RNA-seq data, we performed qRT-PCR analysis of selected genes in categories of lipid metabolism (*lipca, elovl7b, apobb.2, fasn-like, hadhaa*, and *pcsk9*), carbohydrate metabolism (*adh5, acss2l, fuk, gale,* and *mlycd*), amino acid metabolism (*cspg4-like, il4i1, papss1, cthl, dnmt1*, and *eevs*), and five other genes (*gcgb, cox11, pvalb3, slc2a1a,* and *ndufs8b*). Our qRT-PCR results

were consistent with the RNA-seq data (Figure 7A–D). The linear regression analysis between RNA-seq and qRT-PCR data was statistical significance (r = 0.9591, p < 0.0001) (Figure 7E).



Figure 7. Validation of RNA-seq data using qPCR. (**A–D**) The validation of the expression levels of differentially expressed genes by qRT-PCR analysis in the categories of lipid metabolism (**A**) carbohydrate metabolism, (**B**) amino acid metabolism, (**C**) and other DEGs (**D**). (**E**) Correlation between qRT-PCR and RNA-seq results for select DEGs. The log2 (fold change) values derived from the RNA-seq analysis of DEGs are compared with those obtained by qRT-PCR determined by $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$. The reference line indicates the expected linear relationship.

3. Discussion

Glucagon is a counter-regulatory hormone in glucose homeostasis. It primarily acts on liver GCGR to increase blood glucose by promoting glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis [1]. Therefore, most studies focus on the GCGR function in the liver. However, it should be appreciated that GCGR is also detectable in many other tissues, including adipose tissue, brain, kidney, heart, and gastrointestinal tract [25,36]. Metabolism is a complex process regulated by the crosstalk of multiple tissues, a systemic dissection of the metabolic network may help better understand the physiological function of GCGR.

In this study, we performed RNA-seq analysis using the whole organism of GCGR knockout zebrafish, and found that it regulates the lipid, carbohydrate, and amino acid metabolism networks.

The lipid metabolism was disrupted in the $gcgr^{-/-}$ knockout zebrafish. Fifty-two genes related to lipid metabolism were altered more than two-fold. Except for an increase of *elovl7b* in fatty acid elongation pathway, 11 genes enriched in fatty acid related metabolism were all decreased (Figure 4A). That data suggest that fatty acid anabolism and catabolism are all decreased in the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant. For fatty acid oxidation, the *hadhaa* (hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase trifunctional multienzyme complex subunit alpha a), whose product catalyzes the last three steps of mitochondrial β -oxidation of long chain fatty acids, was significantly decreased. In addition, the *acox1* (peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 1), which also plays a crucial role in the fatty acid β -oxidation, was also downregulated (Figure 4A). Taken together, these data suggest that the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutants have decreased fatty acid β -oxidation compared to the wildtype fish.

We further found that the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutants display a significant accumulation of lipids in the liver (Figure 4D–G). Why lipids accumulate in the $gcgr^{-/-}$ liver is unknown. One possibility is that the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant may increase cholesterol metabolism based on our data. The dramatic increase of *ldlr* mRNA level in the $gcgr^{-/-}$ zebrafish predicts an elevated absorption of LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) into hepatic cells. The decrease of *pcsk9*, which degrades LDLR, may further increase hepatic cholesterol absorption [17]. Additionally, T2D patients treated with glucagon receptor antagonist LY2409021 resulted in a statistically significant increase in hepatic fat fraction in a clinic trial [37]. Taken together, these data suggested that disruption of GCGR causes aberrant expression of lipid metabolism genes, which increased cholesterol absorption and resulted in the accumulation of lipid in the hepatic cells.

Glucose level is lower in GCGR knockout mouse, as well as zebrafish [6,25]. Additionally, the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mice are resistant to develop hyperglycemia in STZ-induced T1D and high-fat diet induced T2D models [7,8]. This phenotype has been attributed the associated increase of plasma GLP-1 levels [6,7,31,38]. Moreover, recent studies revealed that the improvement of glucose control by GCGR blockade required remnant insulin action in the diabetic animals [39,40]. Overall, previous studies have revealed that GCGR plays an important role in glucose metabolism. In our studies, the mRNA levels of most of the key enzymes in the glycolysis and gluconeogenesis pathways were unchanged, such as gck (-0.006), g6pca.1(0.38), g6pca.2 (0.31), g6pcb (0.30), gpia (0.07), pfkla (-0.17), pfklb (-0.09), aldoaa (0.06), aldoab (-0.01), and pgk1 (-0.05). However, the mRNA levels of several regulators of these enzymes were enriched more than two-fold in the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway. The gckr (glucokinase regulator), which forms an inactive complex with glucokinase, were downregulated (-1.27), suggesting improved GCK activity in gcgr^{-/-} animals. By contrast, the adpgk2 (ADP-dependent glucokinase 2) was downregulated (-1.41). Moreover, three other genes, *aldh2.2*, *adh5*, and *acss21* were also affected. However, limited gene information prevents us from making a conclusive statement on the global glycolysis/gluconeogenesis change in the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutants. Further information, such as global proteomic data can help us answer the question. In addition to glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway, 26 genes in other pathways of carbohydrate metabolism were also altered, suggesting that GCGR signaling may affect these genes, directly or indirectly, and they may contribute to the low blood glucose and the increased glucose tolerance.

We found that the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant zebrafish have an increased uptake of exogenous glucose using 2-NBDG as a surrogate. Similar results were found in mice. The GCGR antagonist REMD2.59 improves whole-body insulin sensitivity and glucose uptake in the ob/ob T2D model [41]. Moreover, when we cultured the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant larvae in a high glucose medium for three days, the α -cell hyperplasia was suppressed in the mutant (Figure 5F). These data suggest that low glucose levels facilitate α -cell hyperplasia.

Several studies have confirmed that amino acid catabolism is decreased in mice due to decreased expression of transporters in the liver when GCGR signaling is disrupted, leading to increased plasma free amino acid levels [42–45]. Glutamine and alanine are dramatically increased and both contribute

to α -cell hyperplasia [42,43]. In our study, the transcription level of several key amino acid catabolism genes was significantly decreased. For instance, L-amino acid oxidase gene *il4i1*, which catalyzes the oxidative deamination of a number of L-amino acids, was 26.4-fold decreased. Another gene, *ido1* that regulates the rate-limiting step of oxidative tryptophan degradation, decreased 2.3-fold. Moreover, other amino acid metabolism genes were also dramatically affected. There was a 2.3-fold decrease in *alas2* (Delta-aminolevulinate synthase 2), which catalyzes the condensation of glycine and succinyl coenzyme A to form 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) during heme biosynthesis. The gene *gatm* (guanidinoacetate N-methyltransferase), which catalyzes creatine synthesis from amino acids glycine, arginine, and methionine, was downregulated 2.4-fold. Moreover, *nags* (n-acetylglutamate synthase) that produces N-acetyl glutamate, which regulates the key enzyme of ureagenesis CPS1 (carbomyl phosphate synthetase-1) during ureagenesis, was decreased 4.1-fold. However, the total amino acid content only decreased slightly in *gcgr^{-/-}* mutants. This reflects the importance of intracellular amino acid homeostasis. Nevertheless, all these data suggested that the zebrafish amino acid catabolism and related metabolism are downregulated. These changes most likely stem from the liver, similar to the mouse.

In summary, we performed whole-organism RNA-seq of wildtype and $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutant larvae. Compared with wildtype, the mutant data showed that many genes in metabolic processes were changed more than two-fold. By further analysis, we found that most of these genes are related to lipid metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, and amino acid metabolism. Of note, genes related to fatty acid β -oxidation, amino acid catabolism, and ureagenesis were downregulated. Moreover, we experimentally confirmed that the mutants display an increased accumulation of lipids in the liver, an increase in glucose uptake, and modest changes in total amino acids. These results provide new information about the global metabolic network that GCGR signaling regulates in addition to a better understanding of the receptor's physiological functions. Nevertheless, we still do not know that these changes in the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutants were due to the direct or indirect effects of GCGR deficiency. Further studies need to perform transcriptomic analyses of the $gcgr^{-/-}$ mutants at different stages, as well as in different tissues.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Zebrafish Lines and Maintenance

Zebrafish (Danio rerio) were raised in a recirculating aquaculture system (Shanghai Haisheng Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) on a 14:10 h darkness cycle at 28 °C. Embryos were obtained from natural breeding and raised at 28.5 °C in an embryo rearing solution, and staged according to Kimmel et al. (1995) [46]. In this study, the AB strain, $gcgra^{-/-}$; $gcgrb^{-/-}$ double mutant fish (referred as $gcgr^{-/-}$ henceforth) [25], and Tg(gcga:GFP) [47] were used. All procedures have been approved by the Xiamen University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol XMULAC20160089, 10 March 2016).

4.2. RNA Extraction, cDNA Library Preparation, Sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from pools of 30 larvae using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Three biological repeats were performed for each genotype. To remove any genomic DNA contamination, the RNA were then digested using the RQ1 RNase–Free DNase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The concentration and quality of each sample were determined by an Agilent RNA 6000 nano kit in the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The RNA quality score (RIN/RQN) was 10 for all of the samples.

To construct cDNA libraries, the mRNA of each sample was selected using Oligo (dT) magnetic beads. The total mRNA was then fragmented and reverse-transcribed into double-strand cDNA (ds cDNA) by N6 random primers. The synthesized double-strand cDNA was subjected to end repair,

follow by 5' phosphorylation and 3' adenylation. Next, an adapter was ligated to the 3' adenylated cDNA fragments. The ligation products were used for PCR amplification for enrichment of cDNA templates. Following denaturation of these PCR products, the single stranded DNA was then circlized to form the final cDNA library. All the sequencing of these cDNA libraries was performed on a BGISEQ-500 RS platform (BGI, Shenzhen, China) according to the manufacturer's stand protocol [48].

4.3. Bioinformatics Analysis of RNA Sequence Data

Raw reads were subjected to a BGISEQ-500 quality control test and filtered into a clean read using SOAPnuke software [49]. This process discarded reads containing adaptor sequences, reads containing unknown bases "N" more than 10%, and low quality reads (the percentage of low quality bases more than 50% in a read). After filtering, the clean reads were aligned with the zebrafish genome (Danio rerio, GRCz11, http://asia.ensembl.org/Danio_rerio/Info/Index) using HISAT (v2.0.4) [26]. Following alignment, the clean reads to zebrafish unigenes using Bowtie2 [27], RSEM (RNA-Seq by expectation maximization) [50] was performed to quantify the gene expression using the FPKM method (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments sequenced) [51]. Moreover, some uncharacterized genes were annotated in the Ensembl (www.ensembl.org/) by BLAST and synteny analysis. These sequence data were deposited in an NCBI database and are accessible via BioProject ID: PRJNA541367.

4.4. Analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs)

The DEseq2 was used to identify DEGs [52]. Genes with fold change \geq 2.00 and adjusted *p*-value \leq 0.05 were considered as differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with statistical significance. For duplicate samples, the log2 fold change (Log2FC) and probability for each gene in every comparison was calculated by the NOIseq under the conditions with fold change \geq 2.00 and probability \geq 0.8 [53].

To perform the pathway enrichment analysis, the significant DEGs were subjected to GO (gene ontology) and KEGG (Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes) database analysis. The analysis was performed using the hypergeometric test and false discovery rate (FDR) correction methods. Significantly enriched genes were those with a *p*-values < 0.05 and a FDR \leq 0.01.

4.5. Quantitative RT-PCR

To validate the DEGs, qRT-PCR was performed. To synthesize the first strand cDNA, the RNA was reverse transcribed by the M-MLV (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) with oligo(dT)16 primers. The qRT-PCR reaction was carried out in an Agilent AriaMx system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) using powerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The amplification program was 95 °C, 10 s; 60 °C, 30 s for 40 cycles. Three biological samples of wildtype or $gcgr^{-/-}$ were used, and each assay for a sample was performed in triplicate. mRNA levels were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [54] and presented as relative (fold) levels normalized to the level of β -actin. The data were presented as the mean of three biological samples. The primers used were listed in Supplemental Table S6.

4.6. Oil Red Staining

Zebrafish at 7 dpf were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. The fish were washed with PBS subsequently to remove paraformaldehyde, incubated in 60% 2-propanol for 10 min, followed by freshly filtered 0.3% oil red O (ORO) (Xiya Reagent, Chengdu, China) in 60% 2-propanol for 10 min. The staining was terminated by washing twice with 60% 2-propanol. The samples were transferred to 75% glycerol and imaged using Lecia M205 FCA (Lecia Wetzlar, Germany).

4.7. 2-NBDG Uptake Test

Zebrafish (5 dpf) were incubated in a culture medium containing 600 uM 2-NBDG (Apexbio, B6035, Houston, TX, USA) for 3 h. The larvae were anesthetized for imaging under a M205 FCA microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany), the fluorescence intensity of lens was used as the indicator of glucose uptake according to the reference [32].

4.8. Glucose Exposure and α -Cells Counting

The Tg(gcga:GFP) and $gcgr^{-/-}$; Tg(gcga:GFP) larvae were incubated with 20 mM glucose or a 0.3× Danieau solution from 4 to 7 dpf for three days. After harvest, larvae were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C, and then placed on a slide with aqua-mount (Richard-Allan Scientific, Kalamazoo, MI, USA) with the right side of larvae up to expose the islet. The α -cell number was counted according to the GFP under a Zeiss AxioImager A1 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with 40× lens.

4.9. Behavior Test

Seven dpf zebrafish were transferred individually to a compartment containing 1 mL 0.3X Danieau solution in a 24-well plate. Then, the plate was video-recorded by a top-view camera in the DanioVision tracking system (Noldus IT, Wageningen, Netherlands) for 3 min, the moving tracks were generated and the total moving distance and velocity were determined by Ethovision XT7 software (Noldus IT, Wageningen, Netherlands), 48 animals from each group were analyzed.

4.10. Amino Acid Measurement

Seventy embryos of 7 dpf for each group were harvested in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes. After lyophilization, samples were hydrolysed in 6 N HCl at 110 °C for 24 h. Then, the volume was adjusted to 10 mL by adding distilled water. One ml of hydrolysate was dried using nitrogen blower and resuspended in 1 mL 0.02 M HCl. After resuspension, samples were filtered through 0.22 μ m filters, the amino acid compositions were determined by an automatic amino acid analyzer (L-8900, HITACHI, Chiyoda, Japan). For WT and *gcgr^{-/-}*, three independent biological repeats were performed. Amino acid in the embryos were expressed as μ g/mg wet tissue.

4.11. Statistical Analysis

Data were presented as means or means \pm S.E.M. The statistics were performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post-hoc test or *t*-test (SPSS). *p* < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/21/3/724/s1, Figure S1: The morphology and body length of wild type and *gcgr*^{-/-} mutant fish. Table S1: Differentially Expressed Genes. Table S2: Lipid metabolism genes. Table S3: Carbohydrate metabolism genes. Table S4: Amino acid metabolism genes. Table S5: Metabolism of other amino acid genes. Table S6: Primers used for qRT-PCR.

Author Contributions: M.L. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. M.L. and Q.K., designed the study. Q.K., M.H., J.J. and C.L., performed key experiments. M.L., Q.K., X.B., C.L., C.L., Z.W. and W.C. participated in the planning of the work and the interpretation of the results. M.L. and Q.K. drafted the manuscript. M.L., Q.K. and W.C. revised the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of China (81670709 to ML), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province, China (2017J01145 to ML), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (20720170104, 20720180044 to ML), and Laboratory for Marine Drugs and Bioproducts of Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (LMDBKF201805 to ML).

Acknowledgments: We thank Nathan Powers (Vanderbilt University) for the English editing of this manuscript. We thank Jiang Shan, Yuhong Chen, Yun Hong, and members of the Li lab for constructive discussions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Campbell, J.E.; Drucker, D.J. Islet alpha cells and glucagon—Critical regulators of energy homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **2015**, *11*, 329–338. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.; Qiao, A.; Yang, D.; Yang, L.; Dai, A.; de Graaf, C.; Reedtz-Runge, S.; Dharmarajan, V.; Zhang, H.; Han, G.W.; et al. Structure of the full-length glucagon class B G-protein-coupled receptor. *Nature* 2017, 546, 259–264. [CrossRef]
- Siu, F.Y.; He, M.; de Graaf, C.; Han, G.W.; Yang, D.; Zhang, Z.; Zhou, C.; Xu, Q.; Wacker, D.; Joseph, J.S.; et al. Structure of the human glucagon class B G-protein-coupled receptor. *Nature* 2013, 499, 444–449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Lok, S.; Kuijper, J.L.; Jelinek, L.J.; Kramer, J.M.; Whitmore, T.E.; Sprecher, C.A.; Mathewes, S.; Grant, F.J.; Biggs, S.H.; Rosenberg, G.B.; et al. The human glucagon receptor encoding gene: Structure, cDNA sequence and chromosomal localization. *Gene* **1994**, *140*, 203–209. [CrossRef]
- 5. Charron, M.J.; Vuguin, P.M. Lack of glucagon receptor signaling and its implications beyond glucose homeostasis. *J. Endocrinol.* **2015**, 224, R123–R130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Gelling, R.W.; Du, X.Q.; Dichmann, D.S.; Romer, J.; Huang, H.; Cui, L.; Obici, S.; Tang, B.; Holst, J.J.; Fledelius, C.; et al. Lower blood glucose, hyperglucagonemia, and pancreatic alpha cell hyperplasia in glucagon receptor knockout mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2003**, *100*, 1438–1443. [CrossRef]
- Conarello, S.L.; Jiang, G.; Mu, J.; Li, Z.; Woods, J.; Zycband, E.; Ronan, J.; Liu, F.; Roy, R.S.; Zhu, L.; et al. Glucagon receptor knockout mice are resistant to diet-induced obesity and streptozotocin-mediated beta cell loss and hyperglycaemia. *Diabetologia* 2007, *50*, 142–150. [CrossRef]
- 8. Lee, Y.; Wang, M.Y.; Du, X.Q.; Charron, M.J.; Unger, R.H. Glucagon receptor knockout prevents insulin-deficient type 1 diabetes in mice. *Diabetes* 2011, *60*, 391–397. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wang, M.Y.; Yan, H.; Shi, Z.; Evans, M.R.; Yu, X.; Lee, Y.; Chen, S.; Williams, A.; Philippe, J.; Roth, M.G.; et al. Glucagon receptor antibody completely suppresses type 1 diabetes phenotype without insulin by disrupting a novel diabetogenic pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, 2503–2508. [CrossRef]
- Mu, J.; Qureshi, S.A.; Brady, E.J.; Muise, E.S.; Candelore, M.R.; Jiang, G.; Li, Z.; Wu, M.S.; Yang, X.; Dallas-Yang, Q.; et al. Anti-diabetic efficacy and impact on amino acid metabolism of GRA1, a novel small-molecule glucagon receptor antagonist. *PLoS ONE* 2012, *7*, e49572. [CrossRef]
- Okamoto, H.; Kim, J.; Aglione, J.; Lee, J.; Cavino, K.; Na, E.; Rafique, A.; Kim, J.H.; Harp, J.; Valenzuela, D.M.; et al. Glucagon Receptor Blockade With a Human Antibody Normalizes Blood Glucose in Diabetic Mice and Monkeys. *Endocrinology* 2015, 156, 2781–2794. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Dallas-Yang, Q.; Shen, X.; Strowski, M.; Brady, E.; Saperstein, R.; Gibson, R.E.; Szalkowski, D.; Qureshi, S.A.; Candelore, M.R.; Fenyk-Melody, J.E.; et al. Hepatic glucagon receptor binding and glucose-lowering in vivo by peptidyl and non-peptidyl glucagon receptor antagonists. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2004**, *501*, 225–234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Scheen, A.J.; Paquot, N.; Lefebvre, P.J. Investigational glucagon receptor antagonists in Phase I and II clinical trials for diabetes. *Exp. Opin. Investig. Drugs* **2017**, *26*, 1373–1389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sorensen, H.; Winzell, M.S.; Brand, C.L.; Fosgerau, K.; Gelling, R.W.; Nishimura, E.; Ahren, B. Glucagon receptor knockout mice display increased insulin sensitivity and impaired beta-cell function. *Diabetes* 2006, 55, 3463–3469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ouhilal, S.; Vuguin, P.; Cui, L.; Du, X.Q.; Gelling, R.W.; Reznik, S.E.; Russell, R.; Parlow, A.F.; Karpovsky, C.; Santoro, N.; et al. Hypoglycemia, hyperglucagonemia, and fetoplacental defects in glucagon receptor knockout mice: A role for glucagon action in pregnancy maintenance. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2012, 302, E522–E531. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vuguin, P.M.; Kedees, M.H.; Cui, L.; Guz, Y.; Gelling, R.W.; Nejathaim, M.; Charron, M.J.; Teitelman, G. Ablation of the glucagon receptor gene increases fetal lethality and produces alterations in islet development and maturation. *Endocrinology* 2006, 147, 3995–4006. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Guan, H.P.; Yang, X.; Lu, K.; Wang, S.P.; Castro-Perez, J.M.; Previs, S.; Wright, M.; Shah, V.; Herath, K.; Xie, D.; et al. Glucagon receptor antagonism induces increased cholesterol absorption. *J. Lipid Res.* 2015, 56, 2183–2195. [CrossRef]

- Kim, T.; Nason, S.; Holleman, C.; Pepin, M.; Wilson, L.; Berryhill, T.F.; Wende, A.R.; Steele, C.; Young, M.E.; Barnes, S.; et al. Glucagon Receptor Signaling Regulates Energy Metabolism via Hepatic Farnesoid X Receptor and Fibroblast Growth Factor 21. *Diabetes* 2018, *67*, 1773–1782. [CrossRef]
- 19. Townsend, L.K.; Medak, K.D.; Knuth, C.M.; Peppler, W.T.; Charron, M.J.; Wright, D.C. Loss of glucagon signaling alters white adipose tissue browning. *FASEB J.* **2019**, *33*, 4824–4835. [CrossRef]
- 20. Longuet, C.; Sinclair, E.M.; Maida, A.; Baggio, L.L.; Maziarz, M.; Charron, M.J.; Drucker, D.J. The glucagon receptor is required for the adaptive metabolic response to fasting. *Cell Metab.* **2008**, *8*, 359–371. [CrossRef]
- Gild, M.L.; Tsang, V.; Samra, J.; Clifton-Bligh, R.J.; Tacon, L.; Gill, A.J. Hypercalcemia in Glucagon Cell Hyperplasia and Neoplasia (Mahvash Syndrome): A New Association. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2018, 103, 3119–3123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Larger, E.; Wewer Albrechtsen, N.J.; Hansen, L.H.; Gelling, R.W.; Capeau, J.; Deacon, C.F.; Madsen, O.D.; Yakushiji, F.; De Meyts, P.; Holst, J.J.; et al. Pancreatic alpha-cell hyperplasia and hyperglucagonemia due to a glucagon receptor splice mutation. *Endocrinol. Diabetes Metab. Case Rep.* **2016**, *2016*. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, C.; Dhall, D.; Nissen, N.N.; Chen, C.R.; Yu, R. Homozygous P86S mutation of the human glucagon receptor is associated with hyperglucagonemia, alpha cell hyperplasia, and islet cell tumor. *Pancreas* 2009, 38, 941–946. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Yu, R. Mahvash Disease: 10 Years After Discovery. Pancreas 2018, 47, 511–515. [CrossRef]
- 25. Li, M.; Dean, E.D.; Zhao, L.; Nicholson, W.E.; Powers, A.C.; Chen, W. Glucagon receptor inactivation leads to alpha-cell hyperplasia in zebrafish. *J. Endocrinol.* **2015**, 227, 93–103. [CrossRef]
- 26. Kim, D.; Langmead, B.; Salzberg, S.L. HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* **2015**, *12*, 357–360. [CrossRef]
- 27. Langmead, B.; Salzberg, S.L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **2012**, *9*, 357–359. [CrossRef]
- Berglund, E.D.; Kang, L.; Lee-Young, R.S.; Hasenour, C.M.; Lustig, D.G.; Lynes, S.E.; Donahue, E.P.; Swift, L.L.; Charron, M.J.; Wasserman, D.H. Glucagon and lipid interactions in the regulation of hepatic AMPK signaling and expression of PPARalpha and FGF21 transcripts in vivo. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2010, 299, E607–E614. [CrossRef]
- Yang, N.; Sun, R.; Liao, X.; Aa, J.; Wang, G. UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) and their related metabolic cross-talk with internal homeostasis: A systematic review of UGT isoforms for precision medicine. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2017, 121, 169–183. [CrossRef]
- 30. Ali, S.; Lamont, B.J.; Charron, M.J.; Drucker, D.J. Dual elimination of the glucagon and GLP-1 receptors in mice reveals plasticity in the incretin axis. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2011**, *121*, 1917–1929. [CrossRef]
- Gu, W.; Winters, K.A.; Motani, A.S.; Komorowski, R.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Q.; Wu, X.; Rulifson, I.C.; Sivits, G., Jr.; Graham, M.; et al. Glucagon receptor antagonist-mediated improvements in glycemic control are dependent on functional pancreatic GLP-1 receptor. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2010, 299, E624–E632. [CrossRef]
- Lee, J.; Jung, D.W.; Kim, W.H.; Um, J.I.; Yim, S.H.; Oh, W.K.; Williams, D.R. Development of a highly visual, simple, and rapid test for the discovery of novel insulin mimetics in living vertebrates. ACS Chem. Biol. 2013, 8, 1803–1814. [CrossRef]
- Townsend, D.M.; Tew, K.D. The role of glutathione-S-transferase in anti-cancer drug resistance. *Oncogene* 2003, 22, 7369–7375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hanigan, M.H. Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase: Redox regulation and drug resistance. *Adv. Cancer Res.* 2014, 122, 103–141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Watanabe, C.; Seino, Y.; Miyahira, H.; Yamamoto, M.; Fukami, A.; Ozaki, N.; Takagishi, Y.; Sato, J.; Fukuwatari, T.; Shibata, K.; et al. Remodeling of hepatic metabolism and hyperaminoacidemia in mice deficient in proglucagon-derived peptides. *Diabetes* 2012, *61*, 74–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Svoboda, M.; Tastenoy, M.; Vertongen, P.; Robberecht, P. Relative quantitative analysis of glucagon receptor mRNA in rat tissues. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **1994**, *105*, 131–137. [CrossRef]
- 37. Guzman, C.B.; Zhang, X.M.; Liu, R.; Regev, A.; Shankar, S.; Garhyan, P.; Pillai, S.G.; Kazda, C.; Chalasani, N.; Hardy, T.A. Treatment with LY2409021, a glucagon receptor antagonist, increases liver fat in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes. Metab.* **2017**, *19*, 1521–1528. [CrossRef]
- Abu-Hamdah, R.; Rabiee, A.; Meneilly, G.S.; Shannon, R.P.; Andersen, D.K.; Elahi, D. Clinical review: The extrapancreatic effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 and related peptides. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2009, 94, 1843–1852. [CrossRef]

- Neumann, U.H.; Ho, J.S.S.; Mojibian, M.; Covey, S.D.; Charron, M.J.; Kieffer, T.J. Glucagon receptor gene deletion in insulin knockout mice modestly reduces blood glucose and ketones but does not promote survival. *Mol. Metab.* 2016, *5*, 731–736. [CrossRef]
- 40. Damond, N.; Thorel, F.; Moyers, J.S.; Charron, M.J.; Vuguin, P.M.; Powers, A.C.; Herrera, P.L. Blockade of glucagon signaling prevents or reverses diabetes onset only if residual beta-cells persist. *Elife* **2016**, *5*, e13828. [CrossRef]
- 41. Sharma, A.X.; Quittner-Strom, E.B.; Lee, Y.; Johnson, J.A.; Martin, S.A.; Yu, X.; Li, J.; Lu, J.; Cai, Z.; Chen, S.; et al. Glucagon Receptor Antagonism Improves Glucose Metabolism and Cardiac Function by Promoting AMP-Mediated Protein Kinase in Diabetic Mice. *Cell Rep.* **2018**, *22*, 1760–1773. [CrossRef]
- 42. Kim, J.; Okamoto, H.; Huang, Z.; Anguiano, G.; Chen, S.; Liu, Q.; Cavino, K.; Xin, Y.; Na, E.; Hamid, R.; et al. Amino Acid Transporter Slc38a5 Controls Glucagon Receptor Inhibition-Induced Pancreatic alpha Cell Hyperplasia in Mice. *Cell Metab.* **2017**, *25*, 1348–1361. [CrossRef]
- 43. Dean, E.D.; Li, M.; Prasad, N.; Wisniewski, S.N.; Von Deylen, A.; Spaeth, J.; Maddison, L.; Botros, A.; Sedgeman, L.R.; Bozadjieva, N.; et al. Interrupted Glucagon Signaling Reveals Hepatic alpha Cell Axis and Role for L-Glutamine in alpha Cell Proliferation. *Cell Metab.* **2017**, *25*, 1362–1373. [CrossRef]
- Yang, J.; MacDougall, M.L.; McDowell, M.T.; Xi, L.; Wei, R.; Zavadoski, W.J.; Molloy, M.P.; Baker, J.D.; Kuhn, M.; Cabrera, O.; et al. Polyomic profiling reveals significant hepatic metabolic alterations in glucagon-receptor (GCGR) knockout mice: Implications on anti-glucagon therapies for diabetes. *BMC Genom.* 2011, 12, 281. [CrossRef]
- 45. Galsgaard, K.D.; Winther-Sorensen, M.; Orskov, C.; Kissow, H.; Poulsen, S.S.; Vilstrup, H.; Prehn, C.; Adamski, J.; Jepsen, S.L.; Hartmann, B.; et al. Disruption of glucagon receptor signaling causes hyperaminoacidemia exposing a possible liver-alpha-cell axis. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2018**, *314*, E93–E103. [CrossRef]
- 46. Kimmel, C.B.; Ballard, W.W.; Kimmel, S.R.; Ullmann, B.; Schilling, T.F. Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Dev. Dyn.* **1995**, 203, 253–310. [CrossRef]
- 47. Zecchin, E.; Filippi, A.; Biemar, F.; Tiso, N.; Pauls, S.; Ellertsdottir, E.; Gnugge, L.; Bortolussi, M.; Driever, W.; Argenton, F. Distinct delta and jagged genes control sequential segregation of pancreatic cell types from precursor pools in zebrafish. *Dev. Biol.* **2007**, *301*, 192–204. [CrossRef]
- 48. Huang, J.; Liang, X.; Xuan, Y.; Geng, C.; Li, Y.; Lu, H.; Qu, S.; Mei, X.; Chen, H.; Yu, T.; et al. A reference human genome dataset of the BGISEQ-500 sequencer. *GigaScience* **2017**, *6*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 49. Chen, Y.; Chen, Y.; Shi, C.; Huang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Li, S.; Li, Y.; Ye, J.; Yu, C.; Li, Z.; et al. SOAPnuke: A MapReduce acceleration-supported software for integrated quality control and preprocessing of high-throughput sequencing data. *GigaScience* **2018**, *7*, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 50. Li, B.; Dewey, C.N. RSEM: Accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinform.* **2011**, *12*, 323. [CrossRef]
- 51. Mortazavi, A.; Williams, B.A.; McCue, K.; Schaeffer, L.; Wold, B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *Nat. Methods* **2008**, *5*, 621–628. [CrossRef]
- 52. Love, M.I.; Huber, W.; Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **2014**, *15*, 550. [CrossRef]
- 53. Tarazona, S.; Furio-Tari, P.; Turra, D.; Pietro, A.D.; Nueda, M.J.; Ferrer, A.; Conesa, A. Data quality aware analysis of differential expression in RNA-seq with NOISeq R/Bioc package. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **2015**, *43*, e140. [CrossRef]
- 54. Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* **2001**, *25*, 402–408. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).