Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

 Specific and s

journal homepage: http://ees.elsevier.com/gendis/default.asp

REVIEW ARTICLE

Mutational landscape of gastric adenocarcinoma in Latin America: A genetic approach for precision medicine



Dennis Cerrato-Izaguirre ^{a,b}, Yolanda I. Chirino ^c, Claudia M. García-Cuellar ^a, Miguel Santibáñez-Andrade ^a, Diddier Prada ^{a,d,e}, Angélica Hernández-Guerrero ^f, Octavio Alonso Larraga ^f, Javier Camacho ^b, Yesennia Sánchez-Pérez ^{a,*}

^a Subdirección de Investigación Básica, Instituto Nacional de Cancerología (INCan), Tlalpan, Ciudad de México, CP 14080, Mexico

^b Departamento de Farmacología, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del I.P.N. (CINVESTAV), Ciudad de México, CP 07360, Mexico

^c Unidad de Biomedicina, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Los Reyes Iztacala, Tlalnepantla de Baz, Estado de México, CP 54090, Mexico

^d Departamento de Informática Biomédica, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Coyoacán, Ciudad de México, CP 04510, Mexico

^e Department of Environmental Health Science, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

^f Servicio de Endoscopía, Instituto Nacional de Cancerología (INCan), Tlalpan, Ciudad de México, CP 14080, Mexico

Received 6 November 2020; accepted 1 April 2021 Available online 24 April 2021

KEYWORDS

Ethnicities; Genome; Latin America; Mutation; Precision medicine; Stomach neoplasms **Abstract** Latin-America (LATAM) is the second region in gastric cancer incidence; gastric adenocarcinoma (GA) represents 95% of all cases. We provide a mutational landscape of GA highlighting a) germline pathogenic variants associated with hereditary GA, b) germline risk variants associated with sporadic GA, and c) somatic variants present in sporadic GA in LATAM, and analyze how this landscape can be applied for precision medicine. We found that Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, Peru, and Venezuela are the countries with more published studies from LATAM explicitly related to GA. Our analysis displayed that different germline pathogenic variants for the *CDH1* gene have been identified for hereditary GA in Brazilian, Chilean,

* Corresponding author. San Fernando No. 22, Ciudad de Mexico, CP 14080, Mexico.
 E-mail addresses: s_yesennia@yahoo.com.mx, yesennia.sanchez@salud.gob.mx (Y. Sánchez-Pérez).
 Peer review under responsibility of Chongqing Medical University.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2021.04.002

2352-3042/Copyright © 2021, Chongqing Medical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Colombian, and Mexican populations. An increased risk of developing somatic GA is associated with the following germline risk variants: *IL-4, IL-8, TNF-\alpha, PTGS2, NFKB1, RAF1, KRAS* and *MAPK1* in Brazilian; *IL-10* in Chilean; *IL-10* in Colombian; *EGFR* and *ERRB2* in Mexican, *TCF7L2* and Chr8q24 in Venezuelan population. The path from mutational landscape to precision medicine requires four development levels: 1) Data compilation, 2) Data analysis and integration, 3) Development and approval of clinical approaches, and 4) Population benefits. Generating local genomic information is the initial padlock to overcome to generate and apply precision medicine.

Copyright © 2021, Chongqing Medical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Gastric cancer ranks fifth in cancer-related death, with a 5year survival rate of less than 30% in Western countries.^{1,2} Asia is the region with the highest gastric cancer incidence, followed by Latin America (LATAM) and Europe.³ In LATAM, gastric cancer is in the sixth position in cancer incidence with Chile, Peru, Guatemala, Ecuador, and Costa Rica as the top five countries with the highest gastric cancer incidence and mortality rates.⁴ Up to 95% of all cases of gastric cancer are diagnosed as gastric adenocarcinoma (GA), and poor dietary habits,^{5,6} tobacco usage,^{6,7} Epstein Barr virus infection,^{8,9} and occupational exposure such as farming are the main risk factors $^{10-12}$ (Fig. 1A). GA is classified into diffuse, intestinal, and mixed type (Fig. 1B) including sporadic and hereditary cases (Fig. 1C).¹³ Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infections¹⁴ have been considered one of the leading causes of the high GA incidence in LATAM, ^{15,16} and 80% of GA cases are sporadic; the remaining cases are attributed to germline variants; however, the known germline pathogenic variants only explain 3% of these cases.¹⁷ Clinical peculiarities of LATAM GA patients could result in unknown interactions between the environment and either germline risk or somatic variants. GA Ecuadorian patients living in high altitude conditions that have higher prevalence and mortality odds than those residing at lowlying regions¹⁸; Peruvians with strong Native American ancestry that have a higher risk of developing GA¹⁹; or Hispanics that have more likelihood to be diagnosed with non-cardia GA at a younger age and with diffuse histology than non-Hispanics Caucasians from the United States²⁰ are examples of the peculiarities which could be explained and probably prevented by elucidating genomic variants in LATAM populations. We aimed to analyze published studies highlighting germline pathogenic variants associated with hereditary GA, germline risk variants associated with sporadic GA, and somatic variants present in sporadic GA to



Figure 1 Gastric cancer in Latin America (LATAM) population. (A) Risk factors associated to the development of gastric cancer. (B) Gastric cancer is classified into four different types, the three less frequent represent ~5% of all cases. The most frequent is gastric adenocarcinoma, represents ~95% of all cases and is classified according to Laurén. (C) Genetic variants from diverse origin provide the mutational landscape for gastric adenocarcinoma in LATAM populations.

provide a GA mutational landscape from LATAM populations and an organizational level of the path from landscape to precision medicine achievement.

Comprehensive literature search

The present analysis was performed based on a comprehensive literature search from peer-reviewed studies published until January 2021 in Pubmed, Europe PMC, Springerlink, SciELO, and Redalyc. We included articles from LATAM, Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Ecuador, Guatemala, Mexico, Peru, Uruguay, and Venezuela identifying germline pathogenic variants associated with hereditary GA, germline risk variants associated with sporadic GA, or somatic variants present in sporadic GA identified either by protein chain reaction, targeted sequencing, microarray, or whole exome/ genome sequencing.

Germline pathogenic variants associated with hereditary GA in LATAM

Less than 3% of all GA cases are linked to germline pathogenic variants. Different hereditary GA syndromes have been described, including familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), juvenile polyposis, Li-Fraumeni syndrome, Lynch syndrome, *MUTYH*-associated polyposis (MAP), hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC), familial intestinal gastric cancer (FIGC), and gastric adenocarcinoma and proximal polyposis of the stomach (GAPPS). This review is focused on the three last mentioned syndromes: HDGC, FIGC, and GAPPS.²¹

HDGC is the most common hereditary GA syndrome, and is associated with diffuse histology and pathogenic variations in *CDH* and *CTNNA1*. At least 122 *CDH1* germline pathogenic variants have been identified worldwide. However, about 30% are missense alterations found in middle to high GA incidence regions like East Asia or LATAM.²² In LATAM, only Brazil,^{23–27} Chile,²⁸ Colombia,²⁹ and Mexico^{30–32} have reported germline *CDH1* variants. Also, Brazil is the country with the highest number of germline variants reported (Table 1).

Less than 40% of the patients meet the clinical criteria for HDGC carries a germline *CDH1* variant.²¹ A thoughtful clinical scrutiny and high-throughput sequencing techniques should be used to identify the incidence and penetrance of clinically relevant *CDH1* variants because of 1) most of the germline variants present by GA patients are non-missense or variables of uncertain significance²² and 2) not all the individuals presenting *CDH1* missense variants met the criteria for HDGC.³³

A total of 7 germline pathogenic variants in *PALB2* (c.1240C > T, c.3201+1G > T, c.1882_1890DelAAGTCCTGC, c.2753C > A) *RAD51C* (c.709C > T) and *BRCA1* (c.3331_3334DelCAAG, c.1674DelA) were identified as germline pathogenic variants in *CDH1* negative HDGC patients from Chile, Colombia, and Mexico.³⁴ According to the genetic testing registry of the United States, only *CDH1* and *CTNNA1* genes are included in the Hereditary gastric cancer gene panel (GTR000525305.4). Because an increasing body

of evidence suggest that germline pathogenic variants in *PALB2* might play an important role in HDGC predisposition,^{34,35} they could be considered in gastric cancer genetic testing, but more information is needed to identify the incidence and penetrance of *PALB2* and *RAD51C* germline variants in LATAM and world population.

For FIGC patients, no germline pathogenic variant is known yet. The diagnosis is performed by familial clustering of intestinal GA cases without polyposis.³⁶ For GAPPS patients, point pathogenic variants in exon 1B of *APC* (c.-191T > C, c.-192A > G, and c.-195A > C) have been found in Caucasian.^{37,38} However, we found no reports from LATAM cohorts exposing germline pathogenic variants for these two syndromes.

Germline risk variants associated to sporadic GA in LATAM

IL-8 c.-251A > T, IL-10 c-592C > A, and IL-10 c.-1082 A > G are the most studied germline risk variants, with GA susceptibility studies reported in countries such as Brazil,³⁹⁻⁴³ Chile,^{44,45} Colombia,⁴⁶ Mexico,⁴⁷ and Peru⁴⁸ (Fig. 2 and Table 2). IL-8 c.-251A > T germline risk variants were associated with a reduction and c.-845T > C with an increment of GA susceptibility in the Brazilian population. without association in Chilean and Peruvian populations where IL-8 germline risk variants did not affect GA susceptibility (Table 2). Increased GA susceptibility with the IL-4 intron 3, 70bp variable number tandem repeat (VNTR), the TLR9 c.-1237T > C and the c.-1486C > T, NFKB1 promoter, -94 ATTG Ins/Del, PTGS2 c. -765G > C germline risk variants was found in Brazilian population. Only the IL-10 c.-1082A > G and the IL-10 c.-592C > A germline risk variants were associated to increased GA susceptibility in Colombia and Chile, respectively. None of the studied inflammatory response-related germline risk variants were associated with GA susceptibility in the Mexican population. IL1RN VNTR was the only risk variant associated to LATAM population found in a meta-analysis including reports from Brazilian, Costa Rican, Honduran, Mexican, Peruvian and Venezuelan populations.⁶ No significant associations were found with IL-1 β , TP53, TNFA or GSTM1 variants, heterogeneity among studies was a big limitation.

No associations were found with mutations in cytochrome P450 enzymes such as CYP2E1 96bp Deletion, CYP19A1 Intro 4, TCT Ins/Del, and uridine glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) UGT1A1 TATA box VNTR in the Brazilian population.⁴⁰ However, the authors claimed limitations in terms of sample size and control to risk factors exposure could affect the results.

A reduction of GA susceptibility was associated to the germline risk variant c.-1518 Ins/Del on the *MDM2* gene, whereas the presence of *TP53* 16bp deletion in Brazilian patients shown no association.⁴⁰ Moreover, *MAPK1* (c.857–3854A > C and c.119 + 21641G > A), *RAF1* (c.1669-36C > T) and *HRAS* (c.-1115T > C) intronic variants increased GA susceptibility on the Chilean population,⁴⁵ even when they were initially reported as variables of uncertain significance in ClinVar. Similar disparities between studies were found in Mexican population, where an increased GA susceptibility was associated with the *EGFR*

 Table 1
 CDH1 germline pathogenic variants associated to HDGC in LATAM

Population	Variants	Exon/Intron	Mutation	Significance	Reference
Brazil	c.48+6C>T	Intron 1	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.49-59G>T	Intron 1	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.163+57G>A	Intron 1	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.163+59G>C	Intron 2	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.313T>A	Exon 3	Missense	p.S105T	23
	c.324A>G	Exon 3	Synonymous	p.R108R	23
	c.345G>A	Exon 3	Synonymous	p.T115T	23
	c.387G>T	Exon 3	Missense	р.0129Н	23
	c.387+27C>T	Intron 3	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.388-44G>A	Intron 3	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.531+10G>C	Intron 4	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.532-18C>T	Intron 4	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.833-16C>G	Intron 6	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.1676G>A	Exon 11	Missense	p. \$559N	23
	c.1806C>A	Exon 12	Missense	p.F6021	23
	c.1849G>A	Exon 12	Missense	p. 4617T	23,27
	c 1896C>T	Exon 12	Synonymous	p H632H	23
	c.1937–13T>C	Intron 12	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c 2076T>C	Exon 13	Synonymous	n Δ692Δ	23,27
	c.20701 > c	Intron13	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c 2253C >T	Fron 14	Synonymous	n N751N	23
	c 2439⊥10C∖T	Intron 15	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c 2439+56T>G	Intron 15	Intronic variant	Non-coding	23
	c.2437∓301≥0	Evon 16	Synonymous	n G878G	23,27
	c 160C \ A	Promoter		Decreased transcription	24
	$c_347GlpsGA$	Promotor	_		24
	c 1762 176DolTC	FIOIIIOLEI	 Eramoshift		25
	c. 1956 > T	- Evon 2	Missonso	p. (42)	26
	c.1010>1	Exon 8	Missense	p.002v	26
	$c_{1022T>C}$	Exon 9	Misselise	p.1340A	27
Chilo		EXUII o Dromotor	NOUSEUSE	p.1341	28
Chile	C.20JC > A	Promoter	-	Non-coding	28
	C. 19/A>C	Promoter	— Calico site	Non-couling	28
	0.40+0.00>1		Splice site	_ _ D20T	28
	C.88C>A	Exon Z	Missense	p.P301	28
	C.531+10G>C	Intron 4	Splice site		28
	C.1Z/ZA>I	Exon 9	Synonymous	p.14241	28
	C.1531C>1	Exon 10	Nonsense	p.Q511*	28
	C.1893A>1	Exon 12	Synonymous	p.16311	28
	C.2052C>1	Exon 13	Synonymous	p.56845	28
	c.20/61>C	Exon 13	Synonymous	p.A692A	28
C	c.2253C>1	Exon 14	Synonymous	p.N/51N	20
Colombia	c.2245C>T	Exon 14	Missense	p.R/49W	30-32
Mexico	c.160C>A	Promoter	-	Decreased transcription	31
	c.34/GInsGA	Promoter	-	—	51

Abbreviations: Ins: insertions, Del: deletion, fs: frameshift.

promotor region variants c.-216G > T, c.-191C > A^{49} (related to augmented expression of EGFR protein), the *ERBB2* intronic variants c.-18 + 1614C > T, c.-18 + 3073G > T and the missense variant c.3418C > G, 50 classified as variables of uncertain significance in ClinVar. Also, a decreased susceptibility was associated with the TGF- β promoter variant c.-509C > T which is associated with higher TGF- β plasmatic concentration.

TCF7L2 transcription factor variant IVS3 C > T and IVS4 G> T variant 51 and to chromosome 8q24 position variation was associated with an increased GA susceptibility in

Venezuelan patients.⁵² Germline risk variants related to oxidative damage and DNA repair genes, *MTHFR*, *XRCC1* and *TYMS* were studied in Brazilian population but association with GA susceptibility was not found.^{40,53} In addition, an analysis of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-related genes (*CDH1*, *TWIST1*, *SNAIL2*, *ZEB1* and *ZEB2*) in Chilean population found that only *TWIST* (*rs2526614* and *rs6953766*) and *ZEB1* (*rs431073*) germline risk variants were associated with poor prognosis.⁵⁴ A similar association was found in inflammatory response related to the germline risk variant IL-8 c.-251T > A, also in Chilean population.⁵⁵



Figure 2 Mutational landscape of gastric adenocarcinoma from LATAM. Genes with described germline risk variants are reported from Mexico, Colombia, Perú, Chile, Venezuela, and Brazil, while data from Guatemala, El Salvador, Puerto Rico, Costa Rica, and Panamá are not available. Clinical trials conducted for targeted therapies in LATAM are available for all mentioned countries. The higher prevalence in mutations could be grouped into five categories of cellular significance: a) apoptosis and oncogenes (*SOS1, MSMB, MDM2, KRAS, HRAS, ERBB2, FGFR, CDH1, EGFR, MAPK1, PDGFRB, RAF1, MAP2K1, TCF7L2, CASP8, TGF-* β , *GRB2, TP53*); b) inflammatory response (IL-8, IL-4R α , IFN- γ , IL-32, IL-1 α , IL-17, IL-4, TNF- α , IL-17F,IL-10, IL-6, IL-1 β , TLR9, IL-1RN, *PTGS2, NFKB1* and IL-8R β ; c) oxidative damage and DNA repair (XRCC, MTHFR, TYMS); d) detoxifying mechanisms (*CYP19A1, CYP2E1* and *UGT1A1*) and e) unknown function (Chr8q24). Currently, EGFR/HER 2 and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors are the most common targeted therapies used in clinical trials conducted in LATAM.

 Table 2
 Germline risk variants associated to somatic GA in LATAM 2014–2020.

Pathway	Genes	Germline risk variants	dbSNP	Population	Risk	Reference
Inflammatory response	IL-1β	c511C>T	rs16944	Brazil	Not-aff	39
		_	_	Chile	Not-aff	44
		c31C>T	rs1143627	Chile	Not-aff	44
		_	_	Brazil	Red	39
		c.+3954C>T	rs1143634	Chile	Not-aff	44
	IL-1α	4-bp Ins/Del	rs3783553	Brazil	Not-aff	40
	// -1RN	Intron 2. VNTR	rs380092	Chile	Not-aff	44
	II -4	c -590C>T	rs1800629	Mexico	Not-aff	47
			151000027	Colombia	Not -aff	46
		Introp 3 70 bp VNTP	rs79071878	Brazil		40
	II - AP or	n 0576P	-	Colombia	Not-aff	46
	Π L -⊣Nα	p. 150V		Colombia	Not off	46
	11 6	p.150V		Movico	Not off	47
	11-0		131000790	Brazil	Not-all	39
	1L-0	C25TA>T	154073	Drazii Dawi	Red	48
				Peru	Not-aff	44
				Chile	Not-aff	43
				Brazil	Not-aff	42
		c845T>C	rs2227532	Brazil	Inc	49
	IL-8R β	—	rs4674258	Peru	Not-aff	40
	IL-10	c1082A>G	rs1800896	Mexico	Not-aff	4/
				Chile	Not-aff	44
				Colombia	Inc	46
		c819C>T	rs1800871	Mexico	Red	47
				Colombia	Not-aff	46
		c592C>A	rs1800872	Mexico	Not-aff	47
				Chile	Inc	44
	Colombia	Not aff	46			
				Brazil	Inc	43
	II - 17	c197G>A	rs2275913	Chile	Not-aff	44
	II - 17F	c 482A > G (n H161R)	rs763780	Chile	Not-aff	44
	11 - 32		rs78377698	Chile	Not-aff	44
		c -308G \ A	rs1800629	Chile	Not-aff	44
	INI-a		-	Brazil	Not-aff	43
		- c 957C \ T		Brazil	Inc	43
		C0J/C>T	rs2060705	Maxico	Not off	47
	πειν-γ Τι ρο		152007703	Brazil	NUL-all	41
	TLR9	C12371>C	153743636	Drazil Drazil	Inc	41
	DTCCO		rs187084	Brazil	Inc	48
	PIGSZ	C1195G>A	rs689466	Peru	Not-aff	48
		c1290A>G	rs689465	Peru	NOT-ATT	42
		c/65G>C	rs20417	Brazil	Inc	40
	NFKB1	Promoter, -94 ATTG Ins/Del	rs28362491	Brazil	Inc	40
Detoxifying mechanisms	CYP2E1	96 bp Deletion	_	Brazil	Not-aff	40
	CYP19A1	Intro 4, TCT Ins/Del	rs11575899	Brazil	Not-aff	40
	UGT1A1	TATA box, VNTR	rs8175347	Brazil	Not-aff	40
Oxidative damage and	MTHFR	c.677C>T (p.A222V)	rs1801133	Brazil	Not-aff	53
DNA Repair	XRCC1	Gene deletion	rs3213239	Brazil	Not-aff	40
una kepair	TYMS	6bp Ins/Del	rs16430	Brazil	Not-aff	40
		-	_	Brazil	Not-aff	53
		28bp VNTR	rs45445694	Brazil	Not-aff	53
		2nd repeat of 3R allele $G > C$	rs34743033	Brazil	Not aff	53
Apoptosis and	CASP8	-652 6N Ins/Del	rs3834129	Brazil	Not-aff	40
Oncogenesis	TP53	16 bp Ins/Del	rs17878362	Brazil	Not-aff	40
J	MDM2	c1518 Ins/Del	rs3730485	Brazil	Red	40
	FGFR	c216G>T	rs712829	Mexico	Inc	49
	2011	_	_	Chile	Not-diff	45
		c -191C>A	rs712820	Mexico	Inc	49
		IVS1	_	Mexico	Not-aff	49
				MEXICO	(continued)	n nevt naga)
					(continued t	IT HEAL DUVEL

Table 2 (continued)

Pathway	Genes	Germline risk variants	dbSNP	Population	Risk	Reference
		c.1881-600G>A	rs10228436	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.2283+1296C>T	rs11514996	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.88+3321T>C	rs11770506	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.89–58442T>C	rs17172438	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.2470-3426C>T	rs2740761	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.88+37628A>G	rs6593201	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.2469+959G >A	rs7795743	Chile	Not-aff	45
	ERBB2	c18+1614C >T	rs2643194	Mexico	Inc	50
		c18+1663C >T	rs2517951	Mexico	Not-aff	50
		c18+1684A>G	rs2643195	Mexico	Not-aff	50
		c18+3073G>T	rs2934971	Mexico	Inc	50
		c. 3418C>G	rs1058808	Mexico	Inc	50
	SOS1	c.1859-1142T>C	rs10184015	Chile	Not-aff	45
	RAF1	c.1417+170C>G	rs2290159	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c. 1669-36C>T	rs3729931	Chile	Inc	45
		c26-2203C>T	rs73812837	Chile	Not-aff	45
	HRAS	c1115T>C	rs45604736	Chile	Not-aff	45
	KRAS	c.*633T>C	rs9266	Chile	Inc	45
	MAPK1	c.857-3854A>C	rs2283792	Chile	Inc	45
		c.119+7040A>G	rs4821401	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.857–1944T>C	rs743409	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.*3186C>T	rs9340	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.119+21641G>A	rs9610417	Chile	Inc	45
	MAP2K1	c.81–996C>T	rs1347069	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.569-16806A>G	rs62010232	Chile	Not-aff	45
	MAP2K2	c.919+423T>C	rs350912	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c.303+1424C>T	rs1823059	Chile	Not-aff	45
	GRB2	c.78+20210G>A	rs959260	Chile	Not-aff	45
	TGF-β	c509C>T	rs1800469	Mexico	Red	47
	PAR1	c506 Ins/Del	rs11267092	Brazil	Not-aff	40
	МЅМВ	c57C>T	rs10993994	Peru	Not-aff	48
	FGFR2	_	rs1219648	Peru	Not-aff	48
	PDGFRB	c.*805C>T	rs1017375	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c152-8335A>G	rs10066011	Chile	Not-aff	45
		c153+4691A>G	rs58746386	Chile	Not-aff	45
	TCF7L2	IVS3 C>T	rs7903146	Venezuela	Inc	51
	· · · · - -	c.483+9017G>T (IVS4 G>T)	rs12255372	Venezuela	Inc	51
Unknown function	Chr8a24	_	rs1447295	Venezuela	Not-aff	52
	Chr8a24	_	rs4733616	Venezuela	Inc	52
	Chr8q24	_	rs6983267	Venezuela	Not-aff	52

Abbreviations: dbSNP: National Center for Biotechnology Information single nucleotide polymorphism database, Inc: Increased risk, Red: Reduced risk, Not-aff: Not Affected, VNTR: variable number tandem repeat, IVS: intervening sequence.

Somatic variants present in sporadic GA in LATAM

Single gene approaches report an alteration in different *TP53* exons, frequently exon 5 and 9 in individuals with G > A transitions as the most common nucleotide substitution in Chilean population.⁵⁶ A high frequency of *TP53* somatic variation in tumoral samples but failed finding associations between this somatic variant and clinical outcomes such as tumor localization, histological type, and presence of lymph node metastasis were found in the Chilean population.⁵⁷ Comparable results were found in *MYC*, *FBXW7*, and *TP53* copy number variation in Brazilian patients, and only high expression of *MYC* detected by immunohistochemistry was

associated with intestinal-type GA patients.⁵⁸ In other populations *TP53*, *MYC*, and *PIK3CA* are also among the most frequently mutated genes.^{59,60}

Tumor suppressor gene somatic mutations of *CDH1* gene were evaluated in diffuse and mixed type GA Mexican patients, and 17 somatic variants were found, but c.-137C > A (located in the promoter region), c.1138-92DelA, c.1138-75InsA (intron 8) and c.1221 Ins C (exon 9) were newly reported and associated to the diffuse histology.⁶¹ Evaluation of *PTEN* in Brazilian patients failed to find somatic variations at all, only 1 out of 48 patients showed the gene mutated.⁶²

Somatic variations in c.4479G > A (p.T1493T) *APC* gene were found more prevalent in GA than colorectal cancer in

Colombian patients. *TP53* c.782 + 72C > T and c.782 + 92T > G were also frequent in Colombian GA patients. *KRAS* coding variants, c.35G > A (p.G12D) and c.38G > A (p.G13D), were found in 6.9% of Colombian GA patients and the intronic variants, c.111 + 190A > T and c.111 + 116_111 + 120delAGTTA, in 27.6% and 3.5% of the patients, respectively.⁶³ Sotorasib⁶⁴ (formerly AMG 510) and Adagrasib⁶⁵ (formerly MRTX849) are two novel drugs with targeted activity to *KRAS* p.G12D variant in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and other solid tumors like colorectal cancer, that could be an asset to GA precision medicine. The NSCLC group treated with sotorasib show a 32.2% of objective response rate and a median progression-free survival of 6.3 months.

Another Colombian study identified that some *KRAS* somatic variations could be determinant to precancerous lesion progression to cancerous lesions, especially G>A transitions in position 1 of codon 12.⁶⁶ Contrasting results were found in Venezuelan patients with *H. pylori* infection, where *KRAS* somatic variations in codon 12 were common in precancerous lesions but uncommon in cancerous lesions.⁶⁷

DNA copy number alterations affect both protein-coding and non-coding genes present in the affected region. Amplification involving 8g, 20g, and 17g; deletions involving 3p, 6p, and 2g as well as loss of heterogeneity in 16p were present in 50% or more intestinal type GA Brazilian patients.⁶⁸ TP53TG3B, TP53TG3 and ZNF267 were the most frequently affected genes by the previous genetic alterations and were not frequent in genomic sequencing studies from other populations69 and they could be distinctive for Brazilian population, but more information is needed. Gains in Xg26 (cancer/testis antigen family 45, member A4) and Xp22.31 (microsomal steroid sulfatase, isozyme S) and loss in 11p15.4 (olfactory receptor, family 52, subfamily N, member 5 - OR52N5 and OR52N1) were associated with early-onset intestinal type GA. Further copy number analysis of 17q21 located prohibitin gene in Brazilian patients and found amplification in 34.2% of patients but no association to disease clinicopathological features.⁷⁰

The comparative genomic hybridization in Brazilian patients highlighted the high frequency of chromosomal gains in GA intestinal type, specially 8q chromosomal gains with 8q24 amplification in metastasized intestinal-type GA⁷¹ and a high-frequency chromosome losses in chromosome regions 11q and 18q were found in Brazilian patients with diffuse type GA,⁷² and similar alterations were found in Asian and European populations.^{73–75}

Tumoral tissue had significantly higher heteroplasmy than paired healthy tissues and gastric tissue of healthy Brazilian patients⁷⁶ with an average of 50 heteroplasmic variants with exclusive tumor variants observed in MT-*DLOOP2*, MT-*DLOOP1*, and MT-*ND5* genes. This study also identified Native American ancestry as the group with more variants than European, African, and Asian ancestry groups. The compound Andes-1537 developed in Chile targets the antisense non-coding mitochondrial RNA, inducing apoptosis, decreasing proliferative signaling and inhibiting invasion associated proteins.⁷⁷ Andes-1537 is currently in phase I clinical trial tested in patients with advance solid tumors, including gastric cancer.⁷⁸

The Chilean Gastric Cancer Task Force One (FORCE1), using a panel of 143 known cancer-related genes presented the mutational landscape of 224 Chilean GA patients.⁷⁹ A high proportion of advanced-stage patients with intestinaltype GA without the presence of signet ring cells were included. TP53, PIK3CA, VHL, NRAS, and KRAS were the 5 frequently mutated genes. MYC, CCND1 and CCNE were the genes with the highest frequency of copy number variations and EML4-ALK the most frequent fusion. Alpelisib, a PIK3CA inhibitor recently approved for breast cancer treatment⁸⁰ has shown antiproliferative effect on gastric cancer cells⁸¹ and could be a therapeutic option for GA patients. Compared with the TCGA results, FORCE1 study found a higher proportion of PD-L1 positive patients and only 13.3% of microsatellite unstable tumors, from which 46% had diffuse type histopathology.⁸² KEYNOTE-062 study, which included Chilean patients, probed the noninferiority of the PD-L1 inhibitor, pembrolizumab to convention chemotherapy and presented a new asset for the treatment of this higher proportion of PD-L1 positive GA patients.⁸³

The Brazilian initiative Genomics and Epidemiology for gastric cancer adenocarcinomas (GE4GAC) seeks to integrate epidemiological, clinical, molecular, and microbiota data from subjects living in three different regions of Brazil,⁸⁴ but no published studies are available yet.

Our team recently explored the association between nutritional indexes, mutational landscape, and survival of Mexican GA patients. The nutritional prognostic index and body mass index were identified as independent prognostic factors in GA. Within the mutational landscape, *NOTCH1*, *GNAS*, *FBXW7* and *IDH2* were the top 5 most mutated genes in a Mexican population. Age-associated signature 1, liver cancer-related signature 23 and mismatch-related signature 6 were the most common mutational signatures found in these patients. Somatic variations in *NOTCH1*, *FBXW7*, *TET2*, or *SDHB* were related to at least one of the nutritional indexes.⁸⁵

From GA mutational landscape to precision medicine in LATAM

Precision medicine is based on two main pillars. First, determining cancer predisposition through germline pathogenic or risk variants identification to provide a prompt diagnose and genetic counseling. Second, to test the tumor itself to decide the best treatment option through somatic variants evaluation. The starting point for precision medicine is the design of epidemiological studies that include sequencing strategies to obtain the mutational landscape of the tumor (Level 1: Data compilation). The subsequent bioinformatic analysis plays a key role in finding functional and clinically relevant mutations and the non-actionable mutation are re-analyzed, providing novel information later (Level 2: Data analysis and integration). Then, the data can be used for early diagnosis and the development of clinical approaches of specific therapeutic targets, which normally is expensive in terms of economic resources and time (Level 3: Development and approval of clinical approaches). If a specific therapy for a novel detected mutation does not exist, conventional therapy is used, but simultaneously



From mutational landscape to precision medicine for gastric adenocarcinoma (GA) in LATAM. The achievement of Figure 3 precision medicine requires several levels. The first level is the design of a proper cohort selection in which patients without previous treatment for GA are included properly for mutational landscape detection through available sequencing strategies (exome/transcriptomic/proteomic). Level 2 requires the data analysis derived from sequencing methods and for this purpose bioinformatic tools delivers functional and clinically relevant data or non-actionable mutations, which can be re-analyzed and deliver information that correlates with epidemiological data and turns into clinically relevant information. Level 3 is reached when the mutational landscape is applied for diagnosis/prognosis and therapeutic development for precision medicine. Finally, level 4 is successfully achieved by significantly decreasing the incidence and/or mortality of the cancer.

Table 3 Clinical trials for	targeted therapies f	for gastric cancer in LATAM.	
Agent	Trial name	LATAM participating countries	NCT Identifier (Status)
EGFR/HER 2 inhibitors			
Trastuzumab-emtansine	GATSBY	Argentina, Brazil, Guatemala, Mexico, Panama, Peru	NCT01641939 (Terminated)
Trastuzumab-emtansine	TRAXHER2	Argentina, Brazil	NCT01702558 (Terminated)
Trastuzumab	GASTHER2	Brazil	NCT04168931 (Not yet recruiting) ^a
Cetuximab	EXPAND	Argentina, Brazil, Chile	NCT00678535 (Completed)
Trastuzumab-deruxtecan	DESTINY- Gastric03	Brazil	NCT04379596 (Recruiting)
Pertuzumab	JACOB	Brazil, El Salvador, Guatemala, Mexico, Panama, Peru	NCT01774786 (Completed)
Trastuzumab	ToGA Study	Brazil, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Mexico, Panama, Peru	NCT01041404 (Completed)
Trastuzumab	HELOISE	Brazil, Chile, Mexico, Panama, Peru	NCT01450696 (Terminated)
RTK Inhibitors			
Lapatinib	LOGiC	Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Mexico, Peru, Puerto Rico	NCT00680901 (Active)
Sunitinib		Argentina, Brazil, Colombia	NCT00428220 (Completed) ^a
Lapatinib		Mexico	NCT00526669 (Completed)
Gefetinib		Puerto Rico	NCT00215995 (Completed)
PI3K/AKT/mTOR Inhibitors			
Everolimus	GRANITE-1	Argentina, Mexico, Peru	NCT00879333 (Completed)
MET Inhibitors			

From mutational landscape to precision medicine

Table 3 (continued)

Agent	Trial name	LATAM participating countries	NCT Identifier (Status)
Rilotumumab	RILOMET-1	Brazil, Mexico	NCT01697072 (Terminated)
AMG 337		Chile, Peru	NCT02016534 (Terminated)
Onartuzumab	METGastric	Guatemala, Mexico, Panama	NCT01662869 (Completed)
JAK/STAT Inhibitors			
Napabucasin (BBI608)	BRIGHTER	Brazil	NCT02178956 (Completed)
PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors			
Relatlimab/Nivolumab		Argentina, Brazil, Chile,	NCT03704077 (Withdrawn)
		Colombia, Mexico, Puerto Rico	
Nivolumab	CheckMate649	Argentina, Brazil, Chile,	NCT02872116 (Active)
		Colombia, Mexico, Peru	
Relationad/NiVolumad	MI 2475 950 /	Argentina, Chile, Puerto Rico	NCT02675727 (Boorwither)
remprotizumad	MIN-34/3-039/	Argentina, Drazil, Chile,	Retruction (Recruiting)
	NETHOTE-037	Guatemala Mexico Peru	
Durvalumab		Argentina. Peru	NCT04592913 (Recruiting)
Pembrolizumab	MK-3475-811/	Brazil, Chile, Guatemala	NCT03615326 (Recruiting)
	KEYNOTE-811	,,	
Pembrolizumab	MK-3475-585/	Brazil, Chile, Guatemala	NCT03221426 (Recruiting)
	KEYNOTE-585		
Avelumab	JAVELIN Gastric	Brazil	NCT02625610 (Active)
	100		
Pembrolizumab	MK-7902-005/	Chile	NCT03797326 (Recruiting)
	E7080-G000-		
	224/LEAP-005		
Pembrolizumab	MK-34/5-062/	Argentina. Brazil, Chile,	NC102494583 (Active)
	KEYNUTE-062	Colombia, Guatemala, Mexico,	
Angiogenesis inhibitor		Puerto Rico	
Ramucirumab	REGARD	Argentina Brazil Chile	NCT00917384 (Completed)
	1120/110	Colombia, Guatemala, Mexico	(completed)
Ramucirumab	RAINBOW	Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Mexico	NCT01170663 (Completed)
Ramucirumab	RAINFALL	Argentina, Mexico, Puerto Rico	NCT02314117 (Completed)
Ramucirumab		Argentina	NCT02443883 (Completed) ^a
CLDN18.2 directed antibody			
Zolbetuximab	GLOW	Argentina	NCT03653507 (Recruiting)
Zolbetuximab	SPOTLIGHT	Brazil, Chile, Colombia,	NCT03504397 (Recruiting)
		Mexico, Peru	
MMP9 Inhibitors			
MMP9 Inhibitors Andecaliximab	GAMMA-1	Colombia, Chile, Peru	NCT02545504 (Completed)
MMP9 Inhibitors Andecaliximab Antisense non-coding mitoch	GAMMA-1 ondrial RNA Inhibitors	Colombia, Chile, Peru	NCT02545504 (Completed)

Abbreviations: LATAM: Latin America, BSC, best supportive care; XELOX, Oxaliplatin and capecitabine; FOLFOX, Oxaliplatin, leucovorin and fluorouracil; SOX, Oxaliplatin and tegafur/gimeracil/oteracil potassium; FP, 5-Fluorouracil and cisplatin; FLOT, Fluorouracil, leucovorin, oxaliplatin and docetaxel; ECX, Epirrubicin, cisplatin and capecitabine; SOC, Cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, capecitabine.

^a Pharmacokinetic studies.

specific therapy is developed, and clinical trials succeed, followed by the approval of health authorities (Level 3: Development and approval of clinical approaches). The cost for sequencing large cohorts and the high costs of treatments targeting specific mutations are the main padlocks. We consider that precision medicine will succeed until the personalized treatments achieve a significant decrease in the incidence or mortality in the population (Level 4: Population benefits) (Fig. 3). The United States and Puerto Rico are conducting MATCH (molecular analysis for therapy choice) clinical trial, which falls within the level 3 of the proposed pathway from mutational landscape to precision medicine. This trial is based on genomic screening where patients are allocated to experimental aims depending on the genetic changes found in the tumor, regardless the cancer type.^{86,87} Several clinical studies have been conducted in LATAM to prove the efficacy and security of targeted therapies (Table 3) but

non involving genomic screening and a design like the MATCH clinical trial. To date, only HER-2 and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors are only targeted therapies available to treat GA patients in LATAM. GA patients with KRAS, PIK3CA, ERBB2, EGFR, CD247, CLDN18, MET and FGFR pathogenic variants could be benefit from precision medicine clinical trials.

Brazil and Chile are the countries with more tangible scientific efforts done to generate local genetic data and elucidate the GA mutational landscape, this would ease the implementation of precision medicine and gene counseling programs to provide better care to GA patients. Even though the direct impact of this care options has not been measured, a decrease of GA incidence and mortality in these countries has been reported, with up to a 15% reduction in gastric cancer mortality in Brazilian cohorts⁸⁸ and a 3.5% annual percentage reduction of mortality from 2012 to 2015 in Chilean cohorts.⁸⁹ On the other hand, in most of LATAM countries the shortage on genetic data and founding opportunities hampers the implementation of short-term precision medicine and genetic counseling programs.

Conclusions

Despite LATAM population shares vast ethnic and cultural background, the mutational landscape is dissimilar. Brazilians show increased GA risk associated with variants in interleukins; Mexicans display also increased GA risk associated with growth factor receptors. Chileans and Mexicans present discrepancies in all the top 5 frequently mutated somatic variants. Though some difficulties should be overcome, Brazil, Chile, and Mexico may become the first LATAM countries providing precision medicine fighting GA based on its regional mutational landscape.

Author contributions

Dennis Cerrato-Izaguirre: Conceptualization, Writing-Original draft preparation, Writing-Reviewing and Editing. Yolanda I. Chirino: Writing-Original draft preparation, Writing-Reviewing and Editing. Claudia M García-Cuellar: Writing-Reviewing and Editing. Miguel Santibáñez-Andrade: Conceptualization, Writing-Original draft preparation, Writing-Reviewing and Editing. Diddier Prada: Writing-Reviewing Angélica Hernández-Guerrero: Writing-Reviewing. Octavio Alonso Larraga: Writing-Reviewing. Javier Camacho: Writing-Reviewing and Editing. Yesennia Sánchez-Pérez: Conceptualization, Writing-Reviewing and Editing.

Conflict of interests

Authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health (No. R21ES027087, DP) and by CONACYT (Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología – México) – FOSISS (Fondo Sectorial de Investigación en Salud y Seguridad Social SS/IMSS/ISSTE-CONACYT) (No. 289503 and A3-S-49533 DP, A3-S-48281 to CMG-C, A3-S-41131 to YS-P).

References

- 1. Asplund J, Kauppila JH, Mattsson F, Lagergren J. Survival trends in gastric adenocarcinoma: a population-based study in Sweden. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2018;25(9):2693–2702.
- 2. Jim MA, Pinheiro PS, Carreira H, Espey DK, Wiggins CL, Weir HK. Stomach cancer survival in the United States by race and stage (2001-2009): findings from the CONCORD-2 study. *Cancer*. 2017;123(Suppl 24):4994–5013.
- **3.** Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, et al. Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. *Int J Canc.* 2019;144(8):1941–1953.
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA A Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394–424.
- Trujillo Rivera A, Sampieri CL, Morales Romero J, et al. Risk factors associated with gastric cancer in Mexico: education, breakfast and chili. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 2018;110(6): 372–379.
- Bonequi P, Meneses-González F, Correa P, Rabkin CS, Camargo MC. Risk factors for gastric cancer in Latin America: a meta-analysis. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2013;24(2):217–231.
- 7. Camargo MC, Piazuelo MB, Mera RM, et al. Effect of smoking on failure of H. pylori therapy and gastric histology in a high gastric cancer risk area of Colombia. *Acta Gastroenterol Latinoam*. 2007;37(4):238–245.
- Campos FI, Koriyama C, Akiba S, et al. Environmental factors related to gastric cancer associated with epstein-barr virus in Colombia. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev APJCP. 2006;7(4):633–637.
- Del Moral-Hernández O, Castañón-Sánchez CA, Reyes-Navarrete S, et al. Multiple infections by EBV, HCMV and Helicobacter pylori are highly frequent in patients with chronic gastritis and gastric cancer from Southwest Mexico: an observational study. *Medicine*. 2019;98(3):e14124.
- 10. Mills PK, Dodge J, Yang R. Cancer in migrant and seasonal hired farm workers. *J Agromed*. 2009;14(2):185–191.
- **11.** Wesseling C, Antich D, Hogstedt C, Rodríguez AC, Ahlbom A. Geographical differences of cancer incidence in Costa Rica in relation to environmental and occupational pesticide exposure. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1999;28(3):365–374.
- Arias Bahia SH, Echenique Mattos I, Koifman S. Cancer and wood-related occupational exposure in the Amazon region of Brazil. *Environ Res.* 2005;99(1):132–140.
- 13. Ajani JA, Lee J, Sano T, Janjigian YY, Fan D, Song S. Gastric adenocarcinoma. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2017;3:17036.
- 14. Hooi JKY, Lai WY, Ng WK, et al. Global prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;153(2):420–429.
- Muñoz-Ramírez ZY, Mendez-Tenorio A, Kato I, et al. Whole genome sequence and phylogenetic analysis show Helicobacter pylori strains from Latin America have followed a unique evolution pathway. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2017;7:50.
- Thorell K, Yahara K, Berthenet E, et al. Rapid evolution of distinct Helicobacter pylori subpopulations in the Americas. *PLoS Genet*. 2017;13(2):e1006546.
- van der Post RS, Vogelaar IP, Carneiro F, et al. Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: updated clinical guidelines with an emphasis on germline CDH1 mutation carriers. J Med Genet. 2015;52(6):361–374.
- Garrido DI, Garrido SM. Cancer risk associated with living at high altitude in Ecuadorian population from 2005 to 2014. *Clujul Med.* 2018;91(2):188–196.

- **19.** Pereira L, Zamudio R, Soares-Souza G, et al. Socioeconomic and nutritional factors account for the association of gastric cancer with Amerindian ancestry in a Latin American admixed population. *PloS One*. 2012;7(8):e41200.
- Holowatyj AN, Ulrich CM, Lewis MA. Racial/ethnic patterns of young-onset noncardia gastric cancer. *Canc Prev Res.* 2019; 12(11):771–780.
- 21. Oliveira C, Pinheiro H, Figueiredo J, Seruca R, Carneiro F. Familial gastric cancer: genetic susceptibility, pathology, and implications for management. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(2): e60–e70.
- 22. Corso G, Marrelli D, Pascale V, Vindigni C, Roviello F. Frequency of CDH1 germline mutations in gastric carcinoma coming from high- and low-risk areas: metanalysis and systematic review of the literature. *BMC Cancer*. 2012;12:8.
- 23. Guindalini RSC, Cormedi MCV, Maistro S, et al. Frequency of CDH1 germline variants and contribution of dietary habits in early age onset gastric cancer patients in Brazil. *Gastric Cancer*. 2019;22(5):920–931.
- 24. Do Nascimento Borges B, Da Silva Santos E, Bastos CEMC, et al. Promoter polymorphisms and methylation of E-cadherin (CDH1) and KIT in gastric cancer patients from northern Brazil. *Anticancer Res.* 2010;30(6):2225–2233.
- 25. de Campos ECR, Ribeiro S, Higashi R, Manfredini R, Kfouri D, Cavalcanti TCS. Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: laparoscopic surgical approach associated to rare mutattion of CDH1 gene. *Arq Bras Cir Dig.* 2015;28(2):149–151.
- 26. Moreira-Nunes CA, Barros MBL, do Nascimento Borges B, et al. Genetic screening analysis of patients with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer from northern and northeastern Brazil. *Hered Cancer Clin Pract.* 2014;12(1):18.
- El-Husny A, Raiol-Moraes M, Amador M, et al. CDH1 mutations in gastric cancer patients from northern Brazil identified by Next- Generation Sequencing (NGS). *Genet Mol Biol*. 2016; 39(2):189–198.
- Norero E, Alarcon MA, Hakkaart C, et al. Identification of c.1531C>T pathogenic variant in the CDH1 gene as a novel germline mutation of hereditary diffuse gastric cancer. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(20):4980.
- 29. Kaurah P, MacMillan A, Boyd N, et al. Founder and recurrent CDH1 mutations in families with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer. J Am Med Assoc. 2007;297(21):2360–2372.
- Medina-Franco H, Medina AR-Dl, Vizcaino G, Medina-Franco JL. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the promoter region of the E-cadherin gene in gastric cancer: case-control study in a young Mexican population. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2007;14(8): 2246–2249.
- Bustos-Carpinteyro AR, Delgado-Figueroa N, Santiago-Luna E, Magaña-Torres MT, Sánchez-López JY. Association between the CDH1-472delA and -160C>A polymorphisms and diffuse and intestinal gastric cancer in a Mexican population. *Genet Mol Res.* 2016;15(3):15038715.
- Ramos-de la Medina A, More H, Medina-Franco H, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at CDH1 promoter region in familial gastric cancer. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 2006;98(1):36–41.
- Lee K, Krempely K, Roberts ME, et al. Specifications of the ACMG/AMP variant curation guidelines for the analysis of germline CDH1 sequence variants. *Hum Mutat*. 2018;39(11): 1553–1568.
- 34. Fewings E, Larionov A, Redman J, et al. Germline pathogenic variants in PALB2 and other cancer-predisposing genes in families with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer without CDH1 mutation: a whole-exome sequencing study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;3(7):489–498.
- Blair VR, McLeod M, Carneiro F, et al. Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: updated clinical practice guidelines. *Lancet Oncol*. 2020;21(8):e386–e397.

- van der Post RS, Carneiro F. Emerging concepts in gastric neoplasia: heritable gastric cancers and polyposis disorders. Surg Pathol Clin. 2017;10(4):931–945.
- 37. Li J, Woods SL, Healey S, et al. Point mutations in exon 1B of APC reveal gastric adenocarcinoma and proximal polyposis of the stomach as a familial adenomatous polyposis variant. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;98(5):830–842.
- 38. Foretová L, Navrátilová M, Svoboda M, et al. Gapps gastric adenocarcinoma and proximal polyposis of the stomach syndrome in 8 families tested at masaryk memorial cancer institute - prevention and prophylactic gastrectomies. *Klin Onkol.* 2019;32(Suppl 2):109–117.
- **39.** Caleman Neto A, Rasmussen LT, de Labio RW, et al. Gene polymorphism of interleukin 1 and 8 in chronic gastritis patients infected with Helicobacter pylori. *J Venom Anim Toxins Incl Trop Dis.* 2014;20(1):17.
- 40. Cavalcante GC, Amador MA, Ribeiro Dos Santos AM, et al. Analysis of 12 variants in the development of gastric and colorectal cancers. World J Gastroenterol. 2017;23(48): 8533–8543.
- Susi MD, Lourenço Caroline dM, Rasmussen LT, et al. Toll-like receptor 9 polymorphisms and Helicobacter pylori influence gene expression and risk of gastric carcinogenesis in the Brazilian population. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2019;11(11): 998–1010.
- **42.** Campanholo VMdLP, Felipe AV, Lima JMd, Pimenta CAM, Ventura RM, Forones NM. 765 G>C Polymorphism of the Cox-2 gene and gastric cancer risk in Brazilian population. *Arq Gastroenterol*. 2014;51(2):79–83.
- de Oliveira JG, Rossi AFT, Nizato DM, et al. Influence of functional polymorphisms in TNF-α, IL-8, and IL-10 cytokine genes on mRNA expression levels and risk of gastric cancer. *Tumor Biol*. 2015;36(12):9159–9170.
- 44. Gonzalez-Hormazabal P, Musleh M, Bustamante M, et al. Role of cytokine gene polymorphisms in gastric cancer risk in Chile. *Anticancer Res.* 2014;34(7):3523-3530.
- **45.** Gonzalez-Hormazabal P, Musleh M, Bustamante M, et al. Polymorphisms in RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway are associated with gastric cancer. *Genes.* 2019;10(1):20.
- **46.** Cárdenas DM, Sánchez AC, Rosas DA, et al. Preliminary analysis of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in IL-10, IL-4, and IL-4Rα genes and profile of circulating cytokines in patients with gastric Cancer. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2018;18(1):184.
- 47. Martínez-Campos C, Torres-Poveda K, Camorlinga-Ponce M, et al. Polymorphisms in IL-10 and TGF- β gene promoter are associated with lower risk to gastric cancer in a Mexican population. *Cancer* 2019;19(1):453.
- Zamudio R, Pereira L, Rocha CD, et al. Population, epidemiological, and functional genetics of gastric cancer candidate genes in Peruvians with predominant amerindian ancestry. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2016;61(1):107–116.
- 49. Torres-Jasso JH, Marín ME, Santiago-Luna E, et al. EGFR gene polymorphisms -216G>T and -191C>A are risk markers for gastric cancer in Mexican population. *Genet Mol Res.* 2015; 14(1):1802–1807.
- 50. Vázquez-Ibarra KC, Bustos-Carpinteyro AR, García-Ruvalcaba A, et al. The ERBB2 gene polymorphisms rs2643194, rs2934971, and rs1058808 are associated with increased risk of gastric cancer. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* 2019;52(5):e8379.
- Torres K, Labrador L, Valderrama E, Chiurillo MA. TCF7L2 rs7903146 polymorphism is associated with gastric cancer: a case-control study in the Venezuelan population. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22(28):6520–6526.
- Labrador L, Torres K, Camargo M, Santiago L, Valderrama E, Chiurillo MA. Association of common variants on chromosome 8q24 with gastric cancer in Venezuelan patients. *Gene*. 2015; 566(1):120–124.

- 53. Araújo MD, Borges BN, Rodrigues-Antunes S, Burbano RM, Harada ML. Thymidylate synthase and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene polymorphisms and gastric cancer susceptibility in a population of Northern Brazil. *Genet Mol Res.* 2015;14(3):10001–10006.
- 54. Romero S, Musleh M, Bustamante M, et al. Polymorphisms in TWIST1 and ZEB1 are associated with prognosis of gastric cancer patients. *Anticancer Res.* 2018;38(7):3871–3877.
- 55. Gonzalez-Hormazabal P, Romero S, Musleh M, et al. IL-8-251T>A (rs4073) polymorphism is associated with prognosis in gastric cancer patients. *Anticancer Res.* 2018;38(10):5703-5708.
- 56. Araya OJC, Roa SJC, Villaseca HMA, Roa EI, Guzmán GP, Alvarado CC. Mutación puntual del gen supresor de tumores TP53 en lesiones preneoplásicas y neoplásicas del estómago: cross- sectional study in a high risk region. *Rev Med Chile*. 2003;131(4):359–365.
- Aranda M, Naquira N, Karque R, Mendoza H, Sepulveda C, Silva C. Mutations of the p53 suppressor gene in gastric adenocarcinoma. *Rev Med Chile*. 1998;126(5):525–532.
- Calcagno DQ, Freitas VM, Leal MF, et al. MYC, FBXW7 and TP53 copy number variation and expression in Gastric Cancer. BMC Gastroenterol. 2013;13(1):141.
- 59. Chen K, Yang D, Li X, et al. Mutational landscape of gastric adenocarcinoma in Chinese: implications for prognosis and therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112(4):1107–1112.
- Network CGAR. Comprehensive molecular characterization of gastric adenocarcinoma. *Nature*. 2014;513(7517):202–209.
- Bustos-Carpinteyro AR, Oliveira C, Sousa A, et al. CDH1 somatic alterations in Mexican patients with diffuse and mixed sporadic gastric cancer. *Cancer.* 2019;19(1):69.
- Lima EM, Araújo JJ, Harada ML, Assumpção PP, Burbano RR, Casartelli C. Molecular study of the tumour suppressor gene PTEN in gastric adenocarcinoma in Brazil. *Clin Exp Med*. 2005; 5(3):129–132.
- 63. Palacio-Rúa KA, Isaza-Jiménez LF, Ahumada-Rodríguez E, Ceballos-García H, Muñetón-Peña CM. Análisis genético en APC, KRAS y TP53 en pacientes con cáncer de estómago y colon. Rev Gastroenterol México. 2014;79(2):79–89.
- Hong DS, Fakih MG, Strickler JH, et al. KRASG12C inhibition with sotorasib in advanced solid tumors. N Engl J Med. 2020; 383(13):1207–1217.
- **65.** Jänne PA, Rybkin II, Spira AI, et al. KRYSTAL-1: activity and safety of Adagrasib (MRTX849) in advanced/metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring KRAS G12C mutation. *Eur J Canc.* 2020;138(Suppl 2):S1–S2.
- 66. Gong C, Mera R, Bravo JC, et al. KRAS mutations predict progression of preneoplastic gastric lesions. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev.* 1999;8(2):167–171.
- Mastromatteo P, Correnti M, Cavazza ME, Perrone M, Tombazzi C, Lecuna V. Evaluación de las mutaciones del oncogen K-ras 12 en pacientes venezolanos infectados con Helicobacter pylori. *Invest Clin.* 2005;46(4):337–345.
- Seabra AD, AraÚJo TMT, Mello FAR, et al. High-density array comparative genomic hybridization detects novel copy number alterations in gastric adenocarcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* 2014; 34(11):6405–6415.
- **69.** Schumacher SE, Shim BY, Corso G, et al. Somatic copy number alterations in gastric adenocarcinomas among Asian and Western patients. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(4):e0176045.
- Leal MF, Cirilo PDR, Mazzotti TKF, et al. Prohibitin expression deregulation in gastric cancer is associated with the 3' untranslated region 1630 C>T polymorphism and copy number variation. *PloS One*. 2014;9(5):e98583.
- Burbano RR, AssumpÇÃO PP, Leal MF, et al. C-MYC locus amplification as metastasis predictor in intestinal-type gastric adenocarcinomas: CGH study in Brazil. *Anticancer Res.* 2006; 26(4B):2909–2914.

- 72. Satomi Takeno S, Leal MF, Frias Lisboa LC, et al. Genomic alterations in diffuse-type gastric cancer as shown by high-resolution comparative genomic hybridization. *Canc Genet Cytogenet*. 2009;190(1):1–7.
- **73.** Jin DH, Park SE, Lee J, et al. Copy number gains at 8q24 and 20q11-q13 in gastric cancer are more common in intestinal-type than diffuse-type. *PloS One*. 2015;10(9):e0137657.
- 74. Bibi F, Ali I, Naseer MI, et al. Detection of genetic alterations in gastric cancer patients from Saudi Arabia using comparative genomic hybridization (CGH). *PloS One*. 2018;13(9): e0202576.
- **75.** Noguchi T, Wirtz HC, Michaelis S, Gabbert HE, Mueller W. Chromosomal imbalances in gastric cancer: correlation with histologic subtypes and tumor progression. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2001;115(6):828–834.
- **76.** Cavalcante GC, Marinho ANR, Anaissi AK, et al. Whole mitochondrial genome sequencing highlights mitochondrial impact in gastric cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1):15716.
- 77. Borgna V, Lobos-González L, Guevara F, et al. Targeting antisense mitochondrial noncoding RNAs induces bladder cancer cell death and inhibition of tumor growth through reduction of survival and invasion factors. *J Canc.* 2020;11(7): 1780–1791.
- 78. Dhawan MS, Aggarwal RR, Boyd E, et al. Phase 1 study of ANDES-1537: a novel antisense oligonucleotide against noncoding mitochondrial DNA in advanced solid tumors. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(15_suppl):2557.
- **79.** Owen GI, Pinto MP, Retamal IN, et al. Chilean Gastric Cancer Task Force: a study protocol to obtain a clinical and molecular classification of a cohort of gastric cancer patients. *Medicine* (*Baltim*). 2018;97(16):e0419.
- **80.** First PI3K inhibitor for breast cancer. J Am Med Assoc. 2019; 322(1):19.
- **81.** Kim KJ, Kim JW, Sung JH, et al. PI3K-targeting strategy using alpelisib to enhance the antitumor effect of paclitaxel in human gastric cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):12308.
- 82. Cordova-Delgado M, Pinto MP, Retamal IN, et al. High proportion of potential candidates for immunotherapy in a Chilean cohort of gastric cancer patients: results of the FORCE1 study. *Cancers*. 2019;11(9):1275.
- **83.** Shitara K, Van Cutsem E, Bang YJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab or pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy vs chemotherapy alone for patients with first-line, advanced gastric cancer: the KEYNOTE-062 phase 3 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol.* 2020;6(10):1571–1580.
- **84.** Bartelli TF, Senda de Abrantes LL, Freitas HC, et al. Genomics and epidemiology for gastric adenocarcinomas (GE4GAC): a Brazilian initiative to study gastric cancer. *Applied Cancer Research.* 2019;39(1):e12.
- **85.** Sánchez Y, Vaca-Paniagua F, Herrera L, et al. Nutritional indexes as predictors of survival and their genomic implications in gastric cancer patients. *Nutr Canc.* 2020:1–11.
- **86.** Flaherty KT, Gray RJ, Chen AP, et al. Molecular landscape and actionable alterations in a genomically guided cancer clinical trial: national cancer institute molecular analysis for therapy choice (NCI-MATCH). *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38(33): 3883–3894.
- Flaherty KT, Gray R, Chen A, et al. The molecular analysis for therapy choice (NCI-MATCH) trial: lessons for genomic trial design. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2020;112(10):1021–1029.
- de Souza Giusti ACB, de Oliveira Salvador PTC, Dos Santos J, et al. Trends and predictions for gastric cancer mortality in Brazil. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22(28):6527–6538.
- **89.** Diaz MdP, Icaza G, Nuñez L, Pou SA. Gastric cancer mortality trends in the southern cone: disentangling age, period and cohort patterns in Argentina and Chile. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1): 1526.