Incidence of Sudden Cardiac Death in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review of Cohort Studies

Nandan Thakkar, Prima Alam¹, Abhi Thaker², Aakansha Ahukla², Jay Shah^{3,4}, Deepak Saxena², Komal Shah²

Office of Graduate Education, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, United States of America, ¹Public Health and Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom, ²Department of Epidemiology, Indian Institute of Public Health, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India, ³Department of Radiology and Imaging Sciences, Division of Interventional Radiology and Image-Guided Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States, ⁴Department of Radiology and Imaging Sciences, Division of Pediatric Radiology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States

Abstract

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is a leading cause of mortality worldwide and, in recent years, has become an urgent public health concern in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Data from LMICs, however, remains limited. As such, the aim of this article is to systematically review the current literature on the incidence of SCD in LMICs to inform policymakers and identify potential research gaps. A search of PubMed and Embase was utilized to capture the targeted condition, outcome, and setting. Only peer-reviewed cohort studies in LMICs reporting SCD incidence estimates in the general population of individuals aged ≥ 1 year were eligible for selection. Papers providing incidence data for specific types of SCD, including sudden coronary death or death from sudden cardiac arrest, were also included. After deduplication, 1941 citations were identified and screened. Seven studies representing four countries—Cameroon, China, India, and Iran—met the criteria for inclusion and were considered in our analysis. The crude incidence rate for SCD ranged from 19.9 to 190 cases per 100,000 person-years, while age-adjusted rates ranged from 33.6 to 230 cases per 100,000 person-years. There was notable variability in methods utilized to ascertain SCD cases. These findings suggest that the incidence of all-cause SCD in LMICs and may exceed that of high-income countries; however, observed disparities may be partly attributable to differences in case ascertainment methods. Additional research is needed to better understand the true incidence of SCD in developing countries. It is crucial that future studies across regions utilize standard diagnostic criteria and methodology for identifying SCD, which would provide a framework by which to compare outcomes between settings.

Keywords: Global health, incidence, low- and middle-income countries, risk factors, sudden cardiac death, systematic review

INTRODUCTION

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is a leading cause of mortality—accounting for an estimated 15–20% of all deaths worldwide—and is responsible for almost half of all deaths from cardiovascular disease.^[1,2] Research suggests that coronary artery disease (CAD) accounts for 70–80% of sudden cardiac deaths in Western countries.^[3-5] Beyond CAD, there is evidence for a growing heterogeneity of mechanisms underlying SCD with additional etiologies, including cardiomyopathies, inherited arrhythmia syndromes, and valvular diseases.^[6] As such, causes of SCD are both dynamic and elusive and may require a shift in focus toward less quantifiable entities such as psychosocial factors.^[3]

Nonetheless, some common risk factors for SCD can be described through upstream determinants—such as smoking and family history of heart disease; substrate

Access this article online					
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ijcm.org.in				
	DOI: 10.4103/ijcm.ijcm_468_23				

conditions—such as CAD; and environmental triggers such as diet and activity.^[2,7,8] Sociodemographic factors such as age and gender also contribute to understanding the risk of SCD. In Western countries, for example, CAD is responsible for approximately 75% of SCDs among white men and 50% among women and black people.^[6,9,10] Furthermore, less than 1% of SCD occurs in individuals under the age of 35 years.^[6]

Although there is a lack of consensus on its definition, SCD is often reported as death from an unexpected circulatory arrest, usually

> Address for correspondence: Mr. Nandan Thakkar, Office of Graduate Education, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, United States of America. E-mail: nandan_thakkar@med.unc.edu (NT)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Thakkar N, Alam P, Thaker A, Ahukla A, Shah J, Saxena D, *et al.* Incidence of sudden cardiac death in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review of cohort studies. Indian J Community Med 2024;49:279-89.

Received: 16-07-23, Accepted: 20-11-23, Published: 07-03-24

due to a cardiac arrhythmia, occurring within an hour of symptom onset.^[7,11,12] If not witnessed, unexpected deaths from cardiovascular causes where the individual was observed to be alive within the previous 24 hours are also considered cases of SCD.^[11]

In recent years, SCD has become an increasingly urgent public health concern in low- and middle-income settings, causing a disproportionate number of preventable deaths.^[7] Given the current burden of cardiovascular disease in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), the incidence of SCD is projected to increase in LMIC settings.^[7] Unlike countries in Europe and North America, however, data from LMICs remains scarce. In the absence of robust data, it is difficult to determine the true magnitude of SCD in LMICs and inform related public health policies.

Lack of research coupled with growing public health concerns make it important to explore available literature around SCD in LMICs. As such, the objective of this article is to systematically review cohort studies reporting on the incidence of SCD among the general population in LMICs, as well as identify potential research gaps.

Methods

This review was designed and implemented using guidelines established by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) [S3 Appendix].^[13] It was also registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; CRD42022353568).

Search strategy

A search strategy was developed to capture the targeted condition (i.e., SCD), outcome (i.e., incidence), and setting (i.e., LMICs). To capture LMICs, a popular search strategy developed by UNC Health Sciences Library was adapted.^[14] Our final search of Embase and PubMed databases utilized a combination of MeSH terms and text words, including SCD, low-income country, middle-income country, incidence, and prevalence. The full search strategy can be found in the appendix [see: S1 Appendix].

Inclusion criteria

Only peer-reviewed cohort studies of primary data pertaining to LMICs were eligible for selection. World Bank classifications were referenced to identify LMICs.^[15] Reviews, case reports/ series, posters, abstracts, and editorials were excluded. No date restrictions were imposed. Only articles published in English or with an English translation were included.

We included studies that reported SCD incidence estimates among the general population of individuals aged ≥ 1 year. Papers providing incidence data for specific types of SCD, including sudden coronary death or death from sudden cardiac arrest, were also included. Articles without a subset analysis of individuals aged ≥ 1 year were removed to avoid the potential inclusion of sudden infant death syndrome in incidence estimates.

Included studies were also required to provide the protocol by which SCD cases were ascertained, but no specific tool or SCD definition was imposed as a requisite for selection. The protocols for included studies were noted during data extraction and informed our risk of bias assessments.

To ensure that reported SCD incidences applied to the general population, we excluded studies that only accounted for deaths in those with a previously known disease or those engaged in a particular activity (i.e., athletes). Furthermore, articles focused only on SCD cases that occurred during a specific time of day (i.e., mornings, during work, etc.) were removed.

Study selection and data extraction

Two independent reviewers screened articles for inclusion, utilizing a standard two-stage process: a title/abstract review followed by a full-text review. When discrepancies occurred, a consensus was achieved via discussion among reviewers.

Data from articles that met selection criteria were retrieved using an extraction form developed by the authors. If reported, we extracted SCD crude and age-adjusted incidence rates per 100,000 person-years with associated 95% confidence intervals. When rates were not reported, estimations were calculated from available data.

For all included studies, the authors extracted information relevant to any subgroup analysis of SCD incidence rates conducted and documented details regarding age criteria utilized and the total number of deaths observed. We also extracted details regarding the study design, data collection period, case ascertainment protocols, population characteristics, and setting.

Risk of bias assessments

Risk of bias assessments for included studies were conducted using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Prevalence Studies.^[16] Results from the 9-item JBI checklist can be found in the appendix (see: S2 Appendix).

RESULTS

Our search was performed on July 27, 2022, and, after deduplication, 1941 citations were identified. Following the title and abstract review, 52 articles were retrieved and included in our full-text screen. Of these 52 studies, seven met the criteria for inclusion and were considered in our final analysis [Figure 1].^[17-23]

Study characteristics and case ascertainment

While roughly 130 nations are classified as low-or middle-income by the World Bank, only four countries—Cameroon, China, India, Iran—had studies that met inclusion criteria and were represented in our analysis [Figure 2].

Of the included articles, five^[17,20-23] were prospective studies, one^[19] was retrospective, and one^[18] utilized mixed methods [Table 1]. Each article reported the incidence of SCD in the general population of individuals aged greater than 1 year. Urban populations were sampled in most papers (n=5)^[17,19,20,22,23] and a majority (n=6)^[17,19-23] utilized data collected in the past twenty years.

Notably, while the definition for SCD varied across the studies, all studies included a time component in their reported criteria.

Three papers included unexpected deaths occurring during sleep.^[19-21] One paper did not indicate SCD as the primary outcome of interest—instead only investigated the incidence of sudden coronary death, a subtype of SCD.^[18] All studies excluded deaths from obvious extra-cardiac causes.



Figure 1: PRISMA Flow Diagram

There was variability in the methods utilized to identify cases of SCD. Studies employed different resources to collect information about each potential case, including autopsy reports (non-verbal or verbal), medical records, family interviews, government registries, and community-based surveys. Three studies used a multi-stage review of community-based surveys, employing a team of physicians with varying experience at each stage.^[17,19,20] Two papers utilized a single-stage review of data from multiple sources conducted by a multidisciplinary panel of physicians.^[22,23]

Sudden cardiac death: Incidence

There was variability in age cut-offs for studied populations [Table 2]. Three papers included adults aged 18–29 in their incidence estimates.^[17,19,20] One study set an age cut off of 30 years, while three studies limited their cohorts to adults aged \geq 35 years.^[18,21-23]

Five studies reported a crude SCD incidence rate for the entire population under consideration—without stratifying results by age group or limiting estimates to a SCD subtype [Table 2].^[17,20-23] Among these studies, the crude IR for SCD ranged from 19.9 to 190 cases per 100,000 person-years. One additional study reported a crude IR estimate of 7.1 per 100,000 person-years for sudden coronary death, a subtype of SCD.^[18] Three papers provided age-adjusted SCD incidence rates, which were consistently higher than crude rates and ranged from 33.6 to 230 cases per 100,000 person-years. However, the difference between observed crude and age-adjusted rate was not statistically significant.

Risk factors for SCD

Furthermore, all studies reported incidence rates stratified by sex or gender, with a majority reporting higher rates of SCD in



Figure 2: Map highlighting countries represented in systematic review

281

Table 1	: Study characteris	stics					
Study	Data Collection	Study	Country	Setting	Sudden Cardiac Death: Case Ascertainment		
Ref.	Period	Design			Criteria Utilized	Method of Determination	
[17]	2013	PC	Cameroon	Urban	"Established SCD": unexpected death within one hour of symptoms onset. "Probable SCD": unexpected death within 24 h of symptom onset. For both groups, deaths with obvious extra-cardiac causes were excluded. Cases with known, prior terminal condition were excluded.	Two-level review of community-based surveys: 1 st : Medical residents and senior general practitioners (GPs) identified unexpected deaths 2 nd : Panel of cardiologists made final SCD determination	
[18]	1974-80	PC, RC	China	Suburban	Outcome—Sudden Coronary Death:	"Presumptive" diagnosis of sudden	
					Death within 6 hours of symptoms with no history of violence or other apparent cause.	coronary death using available data from death certificates, medical records, and family interviews.	
[19]	2015	RC	China	Urban, Rural	Unexpected death due to cardiac cause within 1 h of symptom onset. Deaths during sleep without a clear history of disease or illness or symptoms before falling asleep were also included. Deaths due to obvious noncardiac reasons were excluded.	Two-level review of community-based surveys: 1 st (local center): Senior cardiologist reviewed deaths, selecting cases that met SCD criteria 2 nd (provincial institute): Expert cardiologist panel (4 physicians) made final SCD determination	
[20]	2005–06	PC	China	Urban, Rural	 One of the following: 1) Death occurred unexpectedly within 1 h of symptom onset without a noncardiac cause 2) Unexpected death within 1 to 2 h of symptom onset without a noncardiac cause 3) Death that occurred during sleep with no symptoms before sleeping and without a noncardiac cause 4) Death occurred per category 1, 2, or 3 but for which supplemental data could not be obtained 	Three-level review of government registry: 1 st (local center): Local committee of physicians reviewed registry information and selected potential SCD cases 2 nd (local center): For retained cases, local staff collected additional data from hospital records, autopsy data, witnesses, family members, etc., Local committee then made initial diagnosis 3 rd (coordinating center): Central committee of cardiologists and epidemiologists made the final determination of SCD	
[21]	2006-07	РС	India	Rural	 One of the following: 1) Death occurring suddenly and unexpectedly within 1 h of cardiac symptoms in the absence of a prior cardiac illness 2) Death occurring within 1 h of cardiac symptoms in the setting of stable cardiac disease 3) Unexpected death during sleep 4) Death occurring unexpectedly within 24 h after last seen alive 	Verbal Autopsy Review -Autopsy data collected from family members in close proximity to possible victims of SCD using validated questionnaire delivered by trained health worker -If possible, autopsy data was verified by medical records. -Trained physician determined the cause of death	
[22]	2001-08	PC	Iran	Urban, Rural	Unexpected death that occurred out-of-hospital within 24 h of symptom onset if no other obvious cause of death was proposed.	Multidisciplinary panel of physicians made the final diagnosis using data from registries, medical records, and death certificates.	
[23]	1999-2018	PC	Iran	Urban	"Definite SCD": sudden pulselessness attributable to a cardiac cause in a previously stable individual. "Possible SCD": unexpected death within 24 hours of last being seen alive, not attributable to noncardiac cause.	Multidisciplinary panel of physicians and other experts made the final diagnosis using data from medical records, death certificates, and verbal autopsies.	

RC: Retrospective Cohort; PC: Prospective Cohort

males compared to females [Figure 3]. Four studies also reported incidence rates stratified by age group. While these papers

utilized varying age cut-offs and categories, SCD incidence rates largely increased with age across all studies.^[17-19,22]

Five studies also reported the prevalence of pre-existing cardiovascular risk factors or diseases in SCD victims.^[17,19-21,23] Hypertension, CAD, prior myocardial infarction were among the most common conditions noted.

DISCUSSION

Our review evaluated the available literature on the incidence of SCD in LMICs. Results indicate that the incidence of all-cause SCD in LMICs is highly variable with crude and age-adjusted estimates ranging from 19.9 to 190 cases per 100,000 person-years and 33.6 to 230 cases per 100,000 person-years, respectively. These results suggest that the burden of SCD in LMICs generally exceeds that of high-income countries; however, differences in observed rates may be attributable to disparities in case ascertainment methods.^[24-28]

Our findings were consistent with global trends in premature cardiac death—as well as SCD trends observed in high-income countries—showing increasing incidence of SCD with age and typically higher rates among men compared to women.^[28-30] Additional conditions linked to SCD in LMICs include hypertension, diabetes, CAD, and a history of prior myocardial infarction—all of which are well-established underlying causes or risk factors of SCD.^[31-34]

Strengths and limitations

This article is one of the first to review current evidence on the incidence of SCD in LMICs as well as identify potential research



Figure 3: Crude incidence rate of sudden cardiac death stratified by sex (or gender)

gaps. Notably, our review highlights the scarcity of research on SCD in low- and middle-income settings and the inconsistencies across existing studies, such as the varied criteria used to define SCD and the methods used to ascertain deaths. Namely, included studies utilized different symptom timeframes and autopsy review protocols when defining SCD. As such, it was difficult to make direct comparisons of incidence between countries and draw firm conclusions.

Furthermore, most studies included in this review were conducted in only four countries—Cameroon, China, India, and Iran. This is a noteworthy limitation to the generalizability of the findings to other regions of the world. These limitations—namely the scarcity of available data and the observed heterogeneity across case ascertainment—also precluded the use of a meta-analysis. The absence of a meta-analysis underscores the challenges in making accurate predictions of SCD incidence in LMICs, given the restricted geographical representation, data scarcity, and methodological heterogeneity among the included studies.

Further research

Further research is urgently needed to better comprehend the burden of SCD in LMICs, particularly in regions with high rates of cardiovascular disease risk factors. Given the lack of research on SCD, there are abundant opportunities for contributions to the field. For example, the development of a standardized diagnostic methodology for the identification of SCD, similar to the criteria published by the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology, would enhance the accuracy of incidence estimates and facilitate comparisons between studies and populations.^[35]

While incidence is an important measure of the burden of SCD, research is also needed to understand the underlying causes and risk factors for SCD in LMICs. Investigation of such factors may aid healthcare workers and policymakers in efforts to develop targeted prevention programs.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our review suggests that the incidence of SCD in LMICs is relatively high, but current data is limited and

Table 2: Reported Incidence Rates for SC									
Study	Country	Age	SCD cases	Estimated IR (per 100,000 person-years)		IR Reported by Subgroup ^a			
Ref.		Criteria	(total)	Crude [95% CI]	Age-Adjusted [95% CI]	Age	Sex	SES	Ethnicity
[17]	Cameroon	>18	27	31.3 [20.3-40.6]	33.6 [22.4-44.9]	\checkmark	\checkmark		
[18]	China	≥35	35	7.1		\checkmark	\checkmark		
[19]	China	$\geq \! 18^{\rm b}$	NR	18-35 yrs: 4.2		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
				35-60 yrs: 28.3 >60 yrs: 211.3°					
[20]	China	>17	284	41.8			\checkmark		
[21]	India	≥35	178	19.9			\checkmark		
[22]	Iran	≥35	54	161 [123-210]	165 [118-212]	\checkmark	\checkmark		
[23]	Iran	≥30	242	190 [170-220]	230 [210-270]		\checkmark		

IR: Incidence Rate; NR: Not reported; SES: Socioeconomic Status. $^{\circ}$ For sex, studies reporting IR by gender were considered; For SES, regional variables (i.e., development) were considered. $^{\circ}$ Observed SCD cases for age groups that included individuals <1 year old—this information was not tabulated. $^{\circ}$ Chi-squared test for trend indicated SCD increased with age and age groups differed significantly (*P*<0,05)

inconsistent, making it difficult to accurately estimate incidence across countries. Additional studies are needed to better understand the true incidence of SCD in developing countries as well as associated risk factors. This has the potential to inform interventions to prevent such deaths. It is crucial that future research across regions utilize standard diagnostic criteria and methodology for identifying SCD, which would provide a framework by which to compare outcomes between settings. By addressing these gaps, we can take steps toward effectively preventing and managing SCD in LMICs.

Acknowledgements

None.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Wong CX, Brown A, Lau DH, Chugh SS, Albert CM, Kalman JM, *et al.* Epidemiology of sudden cardiac death: Global and regional perspectives. Heart Lung Circ 2019;28:6-14.
- Albert CM, Chae CU, Grodstein F, Rose LM, Rexrode KM, Ruskin JN, et al. Prospective study of sudden cardiac death among women in the United States. Circulation 2003;107:2096-101.
- Chugh SS, Reinier K, Teodorescu C, Evanado A, Kehr E, Al Samara M, et al. Epidemiology of sudden cardiac death: Clinical and research implications. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2008;51:213-28.
- Huikuri HV, Castellanos A, Myerburg RJ. Sudden death due to cardiac arrhythmias. N Engl J Med 2001;345:1473-82.
- Katritsis DG, Gersh BJ, Camm AJ. A clinical perspective on sudden cardiac death. Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev 2016;5:177-82.
- Hayashi M, Shimizu W, Albert CM. The spectrum of epidemiology underlying sudden cardiac death. Circ Res 2015;116:1887-906.
- Vedanthan R, Fuster V, Fischer A. Sudden cardiac death in low-and middle-income countries. Glob Heart 2012;7:353-60.
- Cupples L, Gagnon D, Kannel W. Long-and short-term risk of sudden coronary death. Circulation 1992;85 (1 Suppl):111-8.
- Spain DM, Bradess VA, Mohr C. Coronary atherosclerosis as a cause of unexpected and unexplained death: An autopsy study from 1949-1959. JAMA 1960;174:384-8.
- de Vreede-Swagemakers JJ, Gorgels AP, Dubois-Arbouw WI, Van Ree JW, Daemen MJ, Houben LG, *et al.* Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the 1990s: A population-based study in the Maastricht area on incidence, characteristics and survival. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;30:1500-5.
- Kong MH, Fonarow GC, Peterson ED, Curtis AB, Hernandez AF, Sanders GD, *et al.* Systematic review of the incidence of sudden cardiac death in the United States. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57:794-801.
- Fishman GI, Chugh SS, DiMarco JP, Albert CM, Anderson ME, Bonow RO, *et al.* Sudden cardiac death prediction and prevention: Report from a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and Heart Rhythm Society Workshop. Circulation 2010;122:2335-48.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009;6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed. 1000097.
- 14. LibGuides: Public Health: Popular search strategies [Internet]. Available from: https://guides.lib.unc.edu/publichealth/searchstrategies.
- Fantom N, Serajuddin U. The World Bank's Classification of Countries by Income: The World Bank; 2016. p. 52.

- Institute JB. The Joanna Briggs Institute critical appraisal tools for use in JBI systematic reviews: Checklist for prevalence studies. Crit Apprais Checkl Preval Stud 2017;7:65-72.
- Bonny A, Tibazarwa K, Mbouh S, Wa J, Fonga R, Saka C, *et al.* Epidemiology of sudden cardiac death in Cameroon: The first population-based cohort survey in sub-Saharan Africa. Int J Epidemiol 2017;46:1230-8.
- Zhang X-G, Tao S-Q, Wang S-Y. A community study of acute myocardial infarction and coronary sudden death. Chin Med J 1983;96:495-8.
- Zhang J, Zhou X, Xing Q, Li Y, Zhang L, Zhou Q, *et al.* Epidemiological investigation of sudden cardiac death in multiethnic Xinjiang Uyghur autonomous region in Northwest China. BMC Public Health 2019;19:1-8.
- Hua W, Zhang LF, Wu YF, Liu XQ, Guo DS, Zhou HL, *et al.* Incidence of sudden cardiac death in China: analysis of 4 regional populations. J American College Cardiol 2009;54:1110-8.
- Madhavan SR, Reddy S, Panuganti PK, Joshi R, Mallidi J, Raju K, *et al.* Epidemiology of sudden cardiac death in rural South India-insights from the Andhra Pradesh rural health initiative. Indian Pacing Electrophysiol J 2011;11:93-102.
- Talaei M, Sarrafzadegan N, Sadeghi M, Oveisgharan S, Marshall T, Thomas GN, *et al.* Incidence of cardiovascular diseases in an Iranian population: The Isfahan Cohort Study. Arch Iran Med 2013;16:138-44.
- Toreyhi H, Asgari S, Khalili D, Pishgahi M, Azizi F, Hadaegh F. Sudden cardiac death among Iranian population: A two decades follow-up of Tehran lipid and glucose study. Sci Rep 2021;11:1-10.
- Winkel BG, Holst AG, Theilade J, Kristensen IB, Thomsen JL, Ottesen GL, *et al.* Nationwide study of sudden cardiac death in persons aged 1–35 years. Eur Heart J 2011;32:983-90.
- Vaartjes I, Hendrix A, Hertogh EM, Grobbee DE, Doevendans PA, Mosterd A, *et al.* Sudden death in persons younger than 40 years of age: Incidence and causes. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2009;16:592-6.
- Niemeijer MN, van den Berg ME, Leening MJ, Hofman A, Franco OH, Deckers JW, *et al.* Declining incidence of sudden cardiac death from 1990–2010 in a general middle-aged and elderly population: The Rotterdam Study. Heart Rhythm 2015;12:123-9.
- Straus S, Bleumink G, Dieleman J, Stricker BC, Sturkenboom M. The incidence of sudden cardiac death in the general population. J Clin Epidemiol 2004;57:98-102.
- Empana J-P, Lerner I, Valentin E, Folke F, Böttiger B, Gislason G, *et al.* Incidence of sudden cardiac death in the European Union. J Am Coll Cardiol 2022;79:1818-27.
- Bogle BM, Ning H, Mehrotra S, Goldberger JJ, Lloyd-Jones DM. Lifetime risk for sudden cardiac death in the community. J Am Heart Assoc 2016;5:e002398.
- 30. Zhang J, Jin Y, Jia P, Li N, Zheng ZJ. Global gender disparities in premature death from cardiovascular disease, and their associations with country capacity for noncommunicable disease prevention and control. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18:10389. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph 181910389.
- Verdecchia P, Angeli F, Cavallini C, Aita A, Turturiello D, De Fano M, et al. Sudden cardiac death in hypertensive patients. Hypertension 2019;73:1071-8.
- Pan H, Hibino M, Kobeissi E, Aune D. Blood pressure, hypertension and the risk of sudden cardiac death: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. Eur J Epidemiol 2020;35:443-54.
- 33. Myerburg RJ, Junttila MJ. Sudden cardiac death caused by coronary heart disease. Circulation 2012;125:1043-52.
- 34. Aune D, Schlesinger S, Norat T, Riboli E. Diabetes mellitus and the risk of sudden cardiac death: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2018;28:543-56.
- 35. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, Bryant WJ, Callans DJ, Curtis AB, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: Executive summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on clinical practice guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Heart Rhythm 2018;15:e190-252.

S1 Appendix: Search Strategy

		Search Strategy for Embase:	
Incidence	#1	(incidence)/exp OR ((prevalence)/exp) OR ((incidence):ti, ab, kw) OR ((prevalence):ti, ab, kw) OR ((frequency):ti, ab, kw)	3,584,020
SCD	#2	('sudden cardiac death')/exp OR (('heart arrest')/exp) OR (('sudden death')/exp)	171,966
	#3	(('sudden cardiac'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('sudden heart'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('unexplained cardiac'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('unexplained heart'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('sudden death'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('unexplained death'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('fatal arrhyth*'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('arrhythmic death'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('unexpected heart'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('unexpected cardiac'):ti, ab, kw) OR (('unexpected death'):ti, ab, kw)	72,791
	#4	#2 OR #3	195,249
Low-and-Middle Income Countries	#4 #5 (economy terms)	¹² Ot ¹³ ¹² Ot ¹³ ¹² Ot ¹³ ¹⁴ deprived countries "de, ti, ab OR "deprived population "de, ti, ab OR "deprived nations "de, ti, ab OR "developing commises "de, ti, ab OR "developing populations "de, ti, ab OR "developing commises "de, ti, ab OR "developing populations "de, ti, ab OR "developing commises "de, ti, ab OR "developing populations "de, ti, ab OR "developing commises "de, ti, ab OR "developing populations"de, ti, ab OR "developing commises "de, ti, ab OR "less developed commises"de, ti, ab OR "less developed commise"de, ti, ab OR "less developed nations"de, ti, ab OR "lesser developed nation"de, ti, ab OR "lesser developed nation"de, ti, ab OR "lesser developed populations"de, ti, ab OR "lesser developed populations"de, ti, ab OR "low gdp"de,	27,281

S1 Appendix: Contd...

Search Strategy for Embase:

	#6 (coun names,	(Afghanistan: de, ti, ab OR Albania: de, ti, ab OR Algeria: de, ti, ab OR "American Samoa": de, ti, ab OR Argentina: de, ti, ab OR Baeladasbi. de, ti, ab OR Buttan: de, ti, ab OR Cambodia: de, ti, ab OR Cambodia: de, ti, ab OR Cameroon: de, ti, ab OR Cameroadas de, ti, ab OR Cameroadas de, ti, ab OR Cameroadas de, ti, ab OR Cambodia: de, ti, ab OR Cameroadas de, ti, ab OR Camoros: de, ti, ab OR Camoros: de, ti, ab OR Camadosi. de, ti, ab OR Congo: de, ti, ab OR Congo: de, ti, ab OR Comoros: de, ti, ab OR Cuba: de, ti, ab OR Congo: de, ti, ab OR Comonica: de, ti, ab OR Cuba: de, ti, ab OR Egypt: de, ti, ab OR Gabonia: de, ti, ab OR Comoros: de, ti, ab OR Cadados: de, ti, ab OR Gabonia: de, ti, ab OR Gabonia: de, ti, ab OR Gabonia: de, ti, ab OR Gamaia: de, ti, ab OR Gabonia: de, ti, ab OR Garaa: de, ti, ab OR Cibaidas de, ti, ab OR Gabonia: de, ti, ab OR Ganaa de, ti, ab OR Garaa: de, ti, ab OR Grenada: de, ti, ab OR Gabonia: de, ti, ab OR Hara: de, ti, ab OR Grenada: de, ti, ab OR Gabonia: de, ti, ab OR Hara: de, ti, ab OR Kiribati: de, ti, ab OR Hara: de, ti, ab OR Kiribati: de, ti, ab OR Kosovo: de, ti, ab OR Kyrgyz: de, ti, ab OR Malay: de, ti, ab OR Kiribati: de, ti, ab OR Kargya: de, ti, ab OR Malay: de, ti, ab OR Hara: de, ti, ab OR Kargytz: de, ti, ab OR Kasovo: de, ti, ab OR Kargytz: de, ti, a	2,100,022
		de, ti, ab OR Vietnam: de, ti, ab OR "West Bank":de, ti, ab OR Yemen: de, ti, ab OR Zambia: de, ti, ab	
	#7	UK Zimbabwe: ae, ii, ab) #5 OR #6	2 211 617
Final		#1 AND #4 AND #7	1,622
		Search Strategy for PubMed	
Incidence	#1	(incidenc* [MeSH Terms]) OR (prevalenc* [MeSH Terms])	599,798
	#2	"incidenc*"[Title/Abstract] OR "prevalenc*"[Title/Abstract] OR "frequenc*"[Title/Abstract]	2,479,937
	#3	#1 OR #2	2,642,983
SCD	#4	"heart arrest"[MeSH Terms] OR "death sudden cardiac"[MeSH Terms] OR "death sudden"[MeSH Terms]	73 777
502	#5	"sudden cardiac" [Text Word] OR "sudden heart" [Text Word] OR "unexplained cardiac" [Text Word] OR "unexplained heart" [Text Word] OR "sudden death" [Text Word] OR "unexplained death" [Text Word] OR "fatal arrhyth*" [Text Word] OR "arrhythmic death" [Text Word] OR "unexpected heart" [Text Word] OR "unexpected cardiac" [Text Word] OR "unexpected death" [Text Word]	52,897
	#6	#4 OR #5	99,402
Low-and- Middle Income Countries	#7 (economy terms)	(Deprived Countries[tw] OR Deprived Population[tw] OR Deprived Populations[tw] OR Developing Countries[tw] OR Developing Country[tw] OR Developing Economies[tw] OR Developing Economy[tw] OR Developing Nation[tw] OR Developing Nations[tw] OR Developing Population[tw] OR Developing Populations[tw] OR Developing World[tw] OR LAMI Countries[tw] OR LAMI Country[tw] OR Less Developed Countries[tw] OR Less Developed Country[tw] OR Less Developed Economies [tw] OR Less Developed Nation[tw] OR Less Developed Nations[tw] OR Less Developed World[tw] OR Lesser Developed Countries[tw] OR Lesser Developed Nations[tw] OR LMIC[tw] OR LMICS[tw] OR Low GDP[tw] OR Low	186,837

Contd...

S1 Appendix: Contd...

Search Strategy for PubMed

	GNP[tw] OR Low Gross Domestic[tw] OR Low Gross National[tw] OR Low Income Countries[tw] OR Low Income Country[tw] OR Low Income Economies [tw] OR Low Income Economy[tw] OR Low Income Nations[tw] OR Low Income Population[tw] OR Low Income Populations[tw] OR Lower GDP[tw] OR lower gross domestic[tw] OR Lower Income Countries[tw] OR Lower Income Country[tw] OR Lower Income Nations[tw] OR Lower Income Population[tw] OR Lower Income Country[tw] OR Middle Income Nations[tw] OR Middle Income Country[tw] OR Middle Income Economies [tw] OR Middle Income Nation[tw] OR Middle Income Country[tw] OR Middle Income Population[tw] OR Middle Income Populations[tw] OR Poor Countries[tw] OR Poor Country[tw] OR Poor Economies [tw] OR Poor Economy[tw] OR Poor Countries[tw] OR Poor Country[tw] OR Poor Populations[tw] OR Poor Economy[tw] OR Poor Countries[tw] OR Poorer Economies [tw] OR Poor Populations[tw] OR poor world[tw] OR Poorer Countries[tw] OR Poorer Populations[tw] OR Poor Populations[tw] OR Poorer Nations[tw] OR Poorer Population[tw] OR Poorer Populations[tw] OR Transitional Economy[tw] OR Under Developed Countries[tw] OR Under Developed Country[tw] OR Under developed nations[tw] OR Under Developed World[tw] OR Under Served Population[tw] OR Under developed Populations[tw] OR Underdeveloped Countries[tw] OR Underdeveloped Country[tw] OR Underdeveloped economies[tw] OR Underdeveloped Countries[tw] OR Underdeveloped Country[tw] OR Underdeveloped economies[tw] OR Underdeveloped Countries[tw] OR Underdeveloped Country[tw] OR Underdeveloped economies[tw] OR Underdeveloped Countries[tw] OR Underdeveloped Population[tw] OR Underdeveloped economies[tw] OR Underdeveloped Countries[tw] OR Underdeveloped Country[tw] OR Underdeveloped economies[tw] OR Underdeveloped Countries[tw] OR Underdeveloped Country[tw] OR Underdeveloped economies[tw] OR Underdeveloped Countries[tw] OR Underdeveloped Population[tw] OR Underdeveloped World[tw] OR Underserved Countries[tw] OR Underserved Population[tw] OR Underdeveloped World[tw] OR Underserved Cou	
#8 (country names)	(Afghanistan[tw] OR Albania[tw] OR Algeria[tw] OR "American Samoa"[tw] OR Angola[tw] OR Argentina[tw] OR "Argentine Republic"[tw] OR Armenia[tw] OR Azerbaijan[tw] OR Bangladesh[tw] OR Belarus[tw] OR Byelarus[tw] OR Belorussia[tw] OR Belize[tw] OR Bulgaria[tw] OR Bhutan[tw] OR Bolivia[tw] OR Bosnia[tw] OR Bosnia[tw] OR Brazil[tw] OR Bulgaria[tw] OR Bhutan[tw] OR Bolivia[tw] OR Bosnia[tw] OR Bosnia[tw] OR "Cabo Verde"[tw] OR Chal[tw] OR Bulgaria[tw] OR Bumma[tw] OR "Burkina Faso"[tw] OR Burundi[tw] OR "Cabo Verde"[tw] OR "Cape verde"[tw] OR Cambodia[tw] OR Cameroon[tw] OR "Central African Republic"[tw] OR Chal[tw] OR China[tw] OR Colombia[tw] OR Comoros[tw] OR Comores[tw] OR Comoro[tw] OR Congo[tw] OR "Costa Rica"[tw] OR "Cote d 'Ivoire"[tw] OR Euspt[tw] OR D Dibouti[tw] OR Dominica[tw] OR "Costa Rica"[tw] OR "Cote d 'Ivoire"[tw] OR Egypt[tw] OR "El Salvador"[tw] OR Eritrea[tw] OR Ethiop[at(w] OR Fiji[tw] OR Gabon[tw] OR Gambia[tw] OR Gaza[tw] OR "Georgia Republic "[tw] OR Georgian[tw] OR Ghana[tw] OR Grenada[tw] OR Grenadines[tw] OR Guatemala[tw] OR Guinea[tw] OR "Guinea Bissau "[tw] OR Guyana[tw] OR Haiti[tw] OR Herzegovina[tw] OR Hercegovina[tw] OR Honduras[tw] OR India[tw] OR Indonesia[tw] OR Kran[tw] OR Kosovo[tw] OR Kazakstan[tw] OR Kirghizia[tw] OR Kirgizstan[tw] OR Korea[tw] OR Kosovo[tw] OR Madagascar[tw] OR Kirghiz[tw] OR Kirgizstan[tw] OR Kalaysia[tw] OR Madedonia[tw] OR Malagascar[tw] OR Malay[tw] OR Malaya[tw] OR Malaysia[tw] OR Madedonia[tw] OR Malagascar[tw] OR Malay[tw] OR Mauritania[tw] OR Malaysia[tw] OR Macedonia[tw] OR Malagascar[tw] OR Malavi[tw] OR Malay[tw] OR Malaya[tw] OR Malaysia[tw] OR Macedonia[tw] OR Malagascar[tw] OR Malava[tw] OR Malays[tw] OR Malaya[tw] OR Malaysia[tw] OR Macedonia[tw] OR Malagascar[tw] OR Malava[tw] OR Malays[tw] OR Malaya[tw] OR Malaysia[tw] OR Macedonia[tw] OR Malagascar[tw] OR Malavi[tw] OR Malay[tw] OR Malaya[tw] OR Malaysia[tw] OR Macedonia[tw] OR Malagascar[tw] OR Malavis[tw] OR Malay[tw] OR Malaya[tw] OR Malaysia[tw] OR Macedonia[tw] O	1,797,106
#9	#7 OR #8 #3 AND #6 AND #9	1,885,006 842

7

Adequate response Rate Appropriate statistical analysis Measurement: standardized & reliable Valid method to identify condition Sufficient coverage of sample Description of subjects & settings Adequate participant sampling Appropriate sample frame 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 "Yes "Unclear =No "Not applicable

Final

S2 Appendix: Risk of Bias Assessment: Joanna Briggs Institute -- Checklist for Prevalence Studies (16)

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
		TITLE	
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	1
		ABSTRACT	
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	2
		INTRODUCTION	
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	3, 4
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	4
		METHODS	
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.	4 (registration information)
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	5
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	4
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	Appendix A (pg. 18-19)
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	6
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	6
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	5
Risk of bias in individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias in individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	6
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).	6
Synthesis of results	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I ²) for each meta-analysis.	N/A
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	N/A
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.	N/A
		RESULTS	
Study selection	17	Give the numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	6, 7 Figure 1
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	7-9 Table 1
Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).	N/A
Results of individual studies	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.	N/A
Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	N/A
Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).	N/A
Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	N/A
		DISCUSSION	
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policymakers).	11-13

S3 Appendix: Contd						
Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #			
		DISCUSSION				
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	12			
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	11-13			
		FUNDING				
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	14			

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6 (7): e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed1000097