Isolation of probiotic bacteria from raw camel's milk and their antagonistic effects on two bacteria causing food poisoning

E. Edalati¹, B. Saneei², M. Alizadeh¹, S. S. Hosseini², A. Zahedi Bialvaei^{3,4} and K. Taheri²

1) Department of Microbiology, Science and Research Islamic Azad University, Kerman Branch, Kerman, 2) Department of Biology, Damghan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Damghan, 3) Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine and 4) Student Research Committee, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) is one of the main classes of acid-producing organisms in the food industry, and they play a vital part in many food and feed fermentations. We isolated and performed molecular identification of LAB from raw camel's milk and assessed their protective effects against pathogenicity induced by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. Fourteen samples of camel's milk were obtained from several districts under aseptic conditions. Bacteria isolation was performed by plating the samples on selective media. Isolates were identified by amplification of the I6S ribosomal RNA by PCR and sequencing. A total of 32 isolates were randomly picked, eight of which were analysed in this study. On the basis of phenotypic and genotypic methods, isolated LAB was included *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, Weissella paramesenteroides and Weissella confuse. Antagonistic activity of isolated LAB against two pathogenic bacteria showed that they had more inhibitory activity against S. *aureus* subsp. *aureus* PTCC 1431 than *E. coli* ATCC 25922. This study discovered that raw camel's milk obtained from three districts of Kerman province contain LAB bacteria that have antagonistic properties on S. *aureus*.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords: Camel, lactic acid bacteria, milk, probiotic

Original Submission: 12 September 2018; Revised Submission: 21 November 2018; Accepted: 29 November 2018 Article published online: 6 December 2018

Corresponding author: K. Taheri, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: keyvantaheri.medical@yahoo.com

Introduction

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are comprehensively dispersed in nature and occur normally as indigenous microflora in raw milk that assumes an essential part in several food and feed fermentations. LAB are a group of non-spore-forming Grampositive bacteria that produce lactic acid as the main end product among the fermentation of carbohydrates and are utilized as starter culture [1]. Strains of the genera *Lactobacillus* [2], *Enterococcus* [3] and *Bifidobacterium* [4] are the most commonly studied and most widely used probiotic bacteria. Probiotics are defined as live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit to the host [5]. Nowadays there is an expanding need for new strains of LAB that convey probiotic qualities and that have an impact on well-being as well as on human and animal health. Such LAB can be acquired from different natural ecologic niches which stay unexploited. Also, LAB are a focal point of intensive universal research for their fundamental part in most fermented food as well as for their capacity to create different antimicrobial compounds advancing probiotic properties, reduction of serum cholesterol, stabilization of gut microflora, alleviation of lactose intolerance and stimulation of the immune system [6].

Camel's milk, which has a high probiotic potential, is a source from which LAB can be isolated. *In vitro* activity of camel's milk against Gram-positive and -negative bacteria has been broadly reported [7]. On the one hand, *Staphylococcus aureus*, an important Gram-positive cocci, is responsible for several infections like endocarditis, septic arthritis, pneumonia and mastitis in animals; however, it may occur as a commensal [8]. Infections caused by *S. aureus* can spread through skin-toskin contact with an infected individual by producing hyaluronidase that destroys tissues, through contact with pus from an infected wound and through contact with objects such as clothing, sheets, towels or athletic equipment used by an infected individual. On the other hand, *Escherichia coli* is a Gram-negative bacterium that is established in the intestinal tract [9]. Pathogenic strains of this bacilli are differentiated from normal flora by their possession of virulence factors like exotoxins [10].

We thus undertook to isolate and identify LAB from raw camel's milk from different locations in Kerman province, Iran. In addition, we assessed the natural protection of camel's milk against S. *aureus* and *E. coli* ATCC 25922.

Materials and Methods

Camel's milk samples

Fourteen samples of raw camel's milk were obtained randomly from apparently healthy camels owned by nomads from different districts of Kerman province, Iran. The milk samples were obtained directly from the udder. The udder was washed with distilled water before collection and dried with singleservice towels. The initial three streams of milk were discarded. Samples were then gathered directly into sterile tubes, stored in an icebox and transferred to the lab within 24 hours.

Isolation and enumeration of LAB

To make an initial dilution (10^{-1}) , 10 mL camel's milk was homogenized with 90 mL of saline water (8.5 g/L). The suspension was utilized for making appropriate serial dilutions up to 10^{-8} by incorporating 1 mL into 9 mL of sterile saline water in sterile tubes. One milliliter of these dilutions was pour-plated in M17 agar, de Man Rogosa (MRS) agar [11] and kanamycin aesculin azide agar (Merck, Germany). After incubation at 37°C for 48 hours under anaerobic condition (using anaerocult A gas packs; Merck), individual different colonies were phenotypically selected. Colony enumeration was conducted after incubation and was recorded as CFU per liter of milk.

Identification of isolates

All isolates were microscopically investigated for cellular arrangement, Gram staining and cell morphology. Only Grampositive and catalase-negative isolates were identified at the species level. Biochemical tests were used in the identification study as described elsewhere [12,13].

Genotypic identification using 16S ribosomal RNA

The genomic DNA of the presumptive LAB strain was isolated utilizing the DNA extraction and purification kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (SinaClon, Karaj, Iran). The oligonucleotides used for PCR amplification of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene were as follows: forward, 5'-AGAGTTT-GATCMTGGCTCAG-3', and reverse, 5'-TACGGY-TACCTTGTTACGACTT-3' [14], with an expected product size of 1500 bp. Sequencing of PCR product was made by Takapozist (Tehran, Iran; on behalf of Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea). All the sequencing results were translated by LaserGene programming (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA) including Meg-Align, EditSeq and SeqMan software.

Proteolytic activity in reconstituted skim milk

All the bacterial strains were incubated overnight at 37° C in MRS broth (Merck, Germany). After that, bacterial strains were cultured on MRS agar plates containing 10% skim milk. Subsequently, the plates were incubated at 30° C for 5 days. Proteolytic activity of the strains was considered positive when a halo was observed around the growth site of the bacteria [15].

Determining antagonistic activity of isolated LAB using in vitro tests

The antagonistic activity of LAB isolated in the study against E. coli ATCC 25922 and S. aureus PTCC 1431 was determined using disc diffusion and agar spot methods [16]. Before directing the test, the possible LAB strains were cultured in MRS broth medium and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Then 4 µL of each bacterial isolate were spotted on the surface of MRS agar medium and incubated at 37°C for another 24 hours. Overnight culture of each strains was inoculated (1% v/v) in 15 mL of soft nutrient agar (containing 0.7% agar) and poured onto the inoculated MRS agar plates [17]. Additionally, sterile Whatman paper discs (6.0 mm) were set on the agar plate, and each disc was promptly inoculated with 15 μ L of a broth culture of every inhibitor bacteria. After overnight incubation at 37°C, the antimicrobial activity of tested bacteria was determined by measuring the diameter of the inhibition (clear) zones surrounding the colonies. A clear zone of more than 1 mm around a spot was scored as positive [16]. Each test was performed twice. The inhibitory effect of MRS was tested as a negative control on each plate.

Results

A total of 32 isolates were randomly chosen from 14 collected samples. They were all Gram-positive bacteria; in addition, a

considerable number of yeast and catalase-positive bacteria were observed. Only eight isolates were stable after subculture and purification; these were consequently studied. After phenotypical examination, the isolates were classified into three groups of *Lactobacillus, Leuconostoc* and *Weissella* genera. LAB were counted in camel's milk utilizing ordinary media by the classic method. Concerning presumptive *Leuconostoc*, the number varied from 4.7×10^2 to 4.9×10^7 CFU/mL with an average of 6.2×10^6 CFU/mL. The presumptive lactobacilli levels ranged from 1.5×10^2 to 5.1×10^7 CFU/mL with an average of 5.9×10^6 CFU/mL. The presumptive *Weissella* counts ranged from 4.9×10^2 to 5.8×10^7 CFU/mL with an average of 7.3×10^6 CFU/mL.

Representative isolates from every profile were examined for their 16S rRNA sequences (Fig. 1). Homology searches of the sequences uncovered (with 97–100% homology) that profile I belonged to *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* strain CAUII3I (accession number MH734173), profile 2 belonged to *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* strain JCMI1043 (accession number MH734174), profile 3 belonged to *Lactobacillus plantarum* (accession number MH734175), profile 4 belonged to Weissella *Paramesenteroides* strain HYMI10 (accession number



FIG. I. PCR amplification of 16S rRNA sequences used in this study by universal primers. NC, negative control; PC, positive control; rRNA, ribosomal RNA.

MH734176), profile 5 belonged to *Lactobacillus plantarum* strain LAB12 (accession number MH734177), profile 6 belonged to Weissella paramesenteroides strain HYM110 (accession number MH734178), profile 7 belonged to *Lactobacillus plantarum* strain CAU2522 (accession number MH734179) and profile 8 belonged to Weissella confuse strain JS-7-1 (accession number MH734180). The results of proteolytic activity were reported negative in skim milk medium (Table 1).

Table I shows the inhibitory activity of isolated LAB against two pathogenic bacteria in the present investigation. Overall, the examined isolates had antagonistic effect against pathogenic bacteria because the inhibition was measured positive if the diameter of the clear zone around the colonies was 0.5 mm or larger. Isolates I to 7 had maximal inhibitory activity against *S. aureus* subsp. *aureus* PTCC 1431; isolates I, 3 and 7 had inhibitory activity against *E. coli* ATCC 25922 (Table I).

Discussion

Intestinal pathogenic bacteria are one of the most main causes of food poisoning and diarrhoea, particularly in developing countries. Because of drug resistance in these bacteria, the therapeutic effects of these infections are decreased and need to be replaced with new strategies. In this study, we were able to detect and isolate different LAB such as those of the *Leuconostoc*, *Lactobacillus* and *Weissella* genera.

Several studies have suggested the potential of probiotics to improve the host's health in many ways, such as by boosting the immune system through the formation of lymphocytes in many organs, by ameliorating the effects of dyslipidaemia and type 2 diabetes and by presenting antimicrobial effect *in vivo*, among other functional properties [18,19]. Studies have shown that probiotic dairy products containing LAB may be a good way to enhance the blood lipid profile and could be used to improve antioxidant defences [19–21].

Because of the bactericidal impact of protease-sensitive bacteriocins, LAB have appeared to have inhibitory activities, mostly towards Gram-positive pathogens and closely related

TABLE I. Antagonistic and proteolytic activity of isolated lactic acid bacteria using in vitro tests

lsolate no.	Isolate	Staphylococcus aureus subsp. aureus PTCC 1431	Escherichia coli O157 H7	Proteolytic activity
l 2 3 4 5 6 7	Leuconostoc mesenteroides strain CAUII31 Leuconostoc mesenteroides strain JCMI1043 Lactobacillus plantarum Weissella paramesenteroides strain HYMI10 Lactobacillus plantarum strain LAB12 Weissella paramesenteroides strain HYMI10 Lactobacillus plantarum strain CAU2522	+ + + + + + +	+ - + - - +	- - - - - -
8	Weissella confuse strain JS-7-1	-	-	-

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd, NMNI, 27, 64-68

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

bacteria [22]. S. aureus causes food poisoning by releasing enterotoxins into sustenance and toxic shock syndrome by releasing pyrogenic exotoxins into the circulatory system. These conditions have started a search for naturally produced biopreservatives. One area that has created much interest is the utilization of antimicrobial metabolites from LAB used in food fermentation. Some of the metabolites of these bacteria, including proteinaceous substances bacteriocins, diacetyls, lactic acid and hydrogen peroxide, have an antimicrobial effect against many pathogenic bacteria and food spoilage [23,24]. LAB were also able to control the growth of Gram-negative pathogens, including foodborne pathogens [25]. This report showed that most of the isolates had an inhibitory effect on S. aureus subsp. aureus PTCC 1431, while only few had an inhibitory effect on E. coli ATCC 25922. In addition, the proteolytic activity was negative. Proteolytic activity is one speculative marker of the health-promoting advantages that could be claimed in the consumption of fermented products.

From the perspective of probiotic potential, L. mesenteroides, L. plantarum, W. paramesenteroides and W. confuse isolated from camel's milk were chosen as LAB. Yateem et al. [17] in a survey on camel's milk showed that the presence of L. lactis and L. pentosus act as probiotic LAB in raw camel's milk. An investigation was carried out for isolation and molecular identification of LAB from camel's milk and assessment of their probiotic properties in the Golestan province of Iran; the most frequently isolated LAB was enterococci, while Leuconostoc, Weissella, pediococci and lactobacilli were found less frequently [26]. In addition, these results demonstrated that antimicrobial activity of the examined isolates was remarkable against E. coli and Bacillus cereus and Pediococcus pentosaceus showed the most antibacterial activity. In a 2015 study, the protective effects of camel's milk towards pathogenicity induced by S. aureus and E. coli in Wistar rats were also examined [27]. Results showed that camel's milk had synergistic activity with ciprofloxacin against these two bacteria to decrease the dose of antibiotics and reduce bacterial resistance.

Brasca et al. ('Metabolic characteristics of lactic acid bacteria from camel milk,' paper presented at the 5th International Dairy Federation (IDF) Symposium on Cheese Ripening, 9–13 March 2008, Bern, Switzerland) purified 92 isolates of LAB utilizing frozen camel's milk, which classified as 44.56% and 55.43% of rods and cocci isolates, respectively, while Ashmaig et al. [28] isolated 24 LAB from 12 samples of *gariss* (fermented camel's milk) in the Sudan. The isolates were classified into 66.6% rods and 33.3% cocci. Likewise, Khay et al. [29] isolated a total of 450 cultures from 25 samples of dromedary milk collected from the Laâyoune region of Morocco. Of these, 30 were determined to be LAB. The presence of yeast in the examined samples is perhaps a result of udder skin contamination, as specified by earlier studies [17,25]. In conclusion, the results obtained from this investigation recommend camel's milk as a source for the isolation of potentially probiotic LAB strains, which may have antagonistic properties against enteric pathogenic bacteria. More extensive investigations are expected to affirm these proposed medical advantages and to support the use of camel's milk.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

- Singh G, Sharma RR. Dominating species of lactobacilli and leuconostocs present among the lactic acid bacteria of milk of different cattles. Asian J Exp Sci 2009;23:173-9.
- [2] Dahroud BD, Mokarram RR, Khiabani MS, Hamishehkar H, Bialvaei AZ, Yousefi M, et al. Low intensity ultrasound increases the fermentation efficiency of *Lactobacillus casei* subsp. *casei* ATTC 39392. Int J Biol Macromol 2016;86:462–7.
- [3] Ogier JC, Serror P. Safety assessment of dairy microorganisms: the Enterococcus genus. Int J Food Microbiol 2008;126:291–301.
- [4] Yateem A, Balba M, Al-Surrayai T, Al-Mutairi B, Al-Daher R. Isolation of lactic acid bacteria with probiotic potential from camel milk. Int J Dairy Sci 2008;3:194–9.
- [5] Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, Gibson GR, Merenstein DJ, Pot B, et al. The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;11:506.
- [6] Khedid K, Faid M, Mokhtari A, Soulaymani A, Zinedine A. Characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from the one humped camel milk produced in Morocco. Microbiol Res 2009;164:81–91.
- [7] Benkerroum N, Mekkaoui M, Bennani N, Hidane K. Antimicrobial activity of camel's milk against pathogenic strains of *Escherichia coli* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. Int J Dairy Technol 2004;57:39–43.
- [8] Cimolai N. MRSA and the environment: implications for comprehensive control measures. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2008;27:481–93.
- [9] Bialvaei AZ, Kafil HS, Asgharzadeh M, Aghazadeh M, Yousefi M. CTX-M extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Klebsiella spp., Salmonella spp., Shigella spp. and Escherichia coli isolates in Iranian hospitals. Braz J Microbiol 2016;47:706-11.
- [10] Welinder-Olsson C, Kaijser B. Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC). Scand J Infect Dis 2005;37:405–16.
- [11] De Man JC, Rogosa M, Sharpe ME. A medium for the cultivation of lactobacilli. J Appl Microbiol 1960;23:130–5.
- [12] Harrigan WF, McCance ME. A simple key for the identification of Gram-positive bacteria. London: Academic Press; 1976.
- [13] Manero A, Blanch AR. Identification of *Enterococcus* spp. with a biochemical key. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999;65:4425–30.
- [14] Lagacé L, Pitre M, Jacques M, Roy D. Identification of the bacterial community of maple sap by using amplified ribosomal DNA (rDNA) restriction analysis and rDNA sequencing. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004;70:2052–60.
- [15] Shihata A, Shah NP. Proteolytic profiles of yogurt and probiotic bacteria. Int Dairy J 2000;10:401-8.
- [16] Jacobsen CN, Nielsen VR, Hayford A, Møller PL, Michaelsen K, Paerregaard A, et al. Screening of probiotic activities of forty-seven strains of *Lactobacillus* spp. by *in vitro* techniques and evaluation of

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd, NMNI, 27, 64-68

the colonization ability of five selected strains in humans. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999;65:4949–56.

- [17] Yavuzdurmaz H. Isolation, characterization, determination of probiotic properties of lactic acid bacteria from human milk. Unbublished MS thesis. Izmir Institute of Technology; 2007. Available at:, http://library. iyte.edu.tr/tezler/master/gidamuh/T000712.pdf.
- [18] Balthazar CF, Silva HL, Esmerino EA, Rocha RS, Moraes J, Carmo MA, et al. The addition of inulin and *Lactobacillus casei* 01 in sheep milk ice cream. Food Chem 2018;246:464–72.
- [19] Lollo PC, Morato PN, Moura CS, Almada CN, Felicio TL, Esmerino EA, et al. Hypertension parameters are attenuated by the continuous consumption of probiotic Minas cheese. Food Res Int 2015;76:611-7.
- [20] Moura C, Lollo P, Morato P, Esmerino E, Margalho L, Santos-Junior V, et al. Assessment of antioxidant activity, lipid profile, general biochemical and immune system responses of Wistar rats fed with dairy dessert containing *Lactobacillus acidophilus* La-5. Food Res Int 2016;90:275–80.
- [21] Sperry MF, Silva HL, Balthazar CF, Esmerino EA, Verruck S, Prudencio ES, et al. Probiotic Minas Frescal cheese added with *L. casei* 01: physicochemical and bioactivity characterization and effects on hematological/biochemical parameters of hypertensive overweighted women—a randomized double-blind pilot trial. J Funct Foods 2018;45: 435–43.

- [22] Jack RW, Tagg JR, Ray B. Bacteriocins of Gram-positive bacteria. Microbiol Rev 1995;59:171–200.
- [23] Barefoot SF, Klaenhammer TR. Detection and activity of lactacin B, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 1983;45:1808–15.
- [24] Daeschel MA. Antimicrobial substances from lactic acid bacteria for use food preservatives. Food Technol 1989;43:164–7.
- [25] Hamed E, Elattar A. Identification and some probiotic potential of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Egyptian camels milk. Life Sci J 2013;10:1952–61.
- [26] Davati N, Yazdi FT, Zibaee S, Shahidi F, Edalatian MR. Study of lactic acid bacteria community from raw milk of Iranian one humped camel and evaluation of their probiotic properties. Jundishapur J Microbiol 2015;8, e16750.
- [27] Yassin MH, Soliman MM, Mostafa SAE, Ali HAM. Antimicrobial effects of camel milk against some bacterial pathogens. J Food Nutr Res 2015;3:162–8.
- [28] Ashmaig A, Hasan A, El Gaali E. Identification of lactic acid bacteria isolated from traditional Sudanese fermented camels milk (Gariss). Afr J Microbiol Res 2009;3:451–7.
- [29] Khay EO, Idaomar M, Castro L, Bernárdez P, Senhaji N, Abrini J. Antimicrobial activities of the bacteriocin-like substances produced by lactic acid bacteria isolated from Moroccan dromedary milk. Afr J Biotechnol 2011;10:10447–55.