RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Genotyping of B. licheniformis based on a novel multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) scheme

Elisabeth H Madslien^{1,2}, Jaran S Olsen¹, Per E Granum² and Janet M Blatnv^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Bacillus licheniformis has for many years been used in the industrial production of enzymes, antibiotics and detergents. However, as a producer of dormant heat-resistant endospores B. licheniformis might contaminate semi-preserved foods. The aim of this study was to establish a robust and novel genotyping scheme for B. licheniformis in order to reveal the evolutionary history of 53 strains of this species. Furthermore, the genotyping scheme was also investigated for its use to detect food-contaminating strains.

Results: A multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) scheme, based on the sequence of six house-keeping genes (adk, ccpA, recF, rpoB, spo0A and sucC) of 53 B. licheniformis strains from different sources was established. The result of the MLST analysis supported previous findings of two different subgroups (lineages) within this species, named "A" and "B" Statistical analysis of the MLST data indicated a higher rate of recombination within group "A". Food isolates were widely dispersed in the MLST tree and could not be distinguished from the other strains. However, the food contaminating strain *B. licheniformis* NVH1032, represented by a unique sequence type (ST8), was distantly related to all other strains.

Conclusions: In this study, a novel and robust genotyping scheme for *B. licheniformis* was established, separating the species into two subgroups. This scheme could be used for further studies of evolution and population genetics in B. licheniformis.

Background

Bacillus licheniformis is a Gram positive, thermophilic spore forming soil bacterium closely related to B. subtilis. It is widely used in the fermentation industry for production of enzymes, antibiotics and other chemicals and is generally regarded as a non-pathogen [1,2]. However, there are several reports of B. licheniformis- associated human infections such as bacteremia and enocarditis, bovine abortions and food borne diseases which raise the question of its pathogenic potential [3-9]. More commonly, representatives of this species have caused spoilage of milk, bread and canned foods leading to severe economic losses to the food industry [10-13].

B. licheniformis is ubiquitous in the environment and able to grow under a wide range of temperatures (15-55°C) in both anaerobic and aerobic conditions making this species a highly potent food contaminant [14-16]. During

* Correspondence: Janet-Martha.Blatny@ffi.no

¹Forsvarets Forskningsinstitutt FFI, Norwegian Defence Research Establishment, P. O. Box 25, Kjeller N-2027, Norway



BioMed Central

© 2012 Madslien et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

strains with increased potential of causing food spoilage would therefore be of great value to the food industry.

Several molecular typing methods have been applied in order to characterize the population structure within B. licheniformis [27-30]. Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) has the advantage to other molecular typing methods of being unambiguous and easily portable between laboratories [31]. It has been applied to numerous species including members of the B. cereus family and Clostridium spp. [32-36] and has been used for epidemiological purposes identifying strains that could cause human infections [37,38]. Basically, it relies on the sequence of several (usually six to eight) conserved house-keeping genes which are independently distributed in the genome. The method is therefore considered to be robust, discriminatory and capable of revealing the deeper evolutionary relation of populations that are studied [39,40]. No MLST scheme has so far been developed for B. licheniformis.

The purpose of this study was to establish a MLST scheme for *B. licheniformis* in order to reveal the evolutionary relationship of 53 strains of this species and to see whether food-contaminating strains were restricted to certain lineages.

Methods

MLST analysis of *B. licheniformis* Strains

53 strains of *B. licheniformis* were included in this study. The strains represent various sources, including food, environmental and clinical strains (Figure 1) and were obtained from NVH (Norwegian School of Veterinary Science), CCUG (Culture Collection University of Göteborg, Sweden) and LMG (Laboratorium voor Microbiologie, Universiteit Gent, Belgium). The "F" strains were a kind gift from M. Anderson and M. Salkinoja-Salonen (University of Helsinki, Finland).

Bacterial growth and biochemical identification

All strains were stored at -70° C, plated on sheep blood agar (Columbia blood agar, Oxoid, UK) and grown at 30°C overnight. Biochemical characterization was performed on pure cultures by using API 50 CH cassettes (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) according to the instructions given by the manufacturer [41]. Color changes were examined after 24 and 48 h at 30°C and compared to the *Bacillus* identification profile database, API Lab1 (version 4.0). The reaction profiles of these tests were compared with the ApiwebTM database provided by the manufacturer.

DNA extraction

Bacteria were grown on sheep blood agar at 30°C overnight. Single colony material was inoculated in 20 ml Luria broth (LB). The bacterial culture was grown overnight at 30°C and centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in 1 ml enzymatic lysis buffer (20 mM Tris·Cl, pH 8.0, 20 mM Tris·Cl, pH 8.0, 1.2% Triton[®] X-100, 20 mg/ml lysozyme). Further DNA extraction was performed according to the protocol provided by DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, USA). The final DNA concentration ranged from 8–72 ng/ul with a mean 260/ 280 absorbance ratio of 1, 89 (Nanodrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

MLST scheme

Primer design

The MLST scheme was created according to general guidelines described in [42]. Primers were designed to amplify internal fragments of candidate-genes of the publicly available B. licheniformis ATCC14580 genome (GenBank: NC_00627) using the Primer3 software [43]. The choice of candidate-genes was based previously published genotyping schemes for members of the Bacillus genus [28,32,36]. The primers targeted 400-718 bp fragments of the nine house-keeping genes adk, ccpA, glpT, gyrB, pyrE, recF, rpoB, sucC and spo0A which were dispersed over the entire genome. The primers targeting rpoB have been described in a previous publication and was included for comparison [28]. All primers were synthesized by Invitrogen Life Sciences, Norway. Primers and their targets are listed in Table 1 Primers that were used in the final MLST scheme are typed in bold.

Real-time PCR and sequencing

The nine primer sets were applied on a subset of 20 strains to see which combination of loci that gave the highest level of discrimination and still being congruent (visual evaluation). The amplification reactions were performed in 20 µl using 2 µl DNA extract (approximately 20 ng of DNA) as a template. Real-time PCR reactions were performed in a LightCycler[®] 480 System using LightCycler[®] 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Germany) according to recommendations given by the manufacturer of the kit. The temperature program was as follows: 5 min initial denaturation at 95°C followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 s, annealing at 56°C for 10 s and primer extension at 72°C for 30 s. The amplifications were terminated after a final elongation step of 7 min at 72°C. The PCR fragments were verified by electrophoresis using Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, USA). PCR products were purified and sequenced by Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany) using the dideoxy chain termination method on a ABI 3730XL sequencing instrument (Applied Biosystems, USA).

The Staden Package [44] was used for alignment, editation and construction of consensus sequences based on the ABI sequence chromatograms. Consensus sequences were entered into the MEGA4 [45] software and aligned by CLUSTALW [46]. Sequences were trimmed to be in frame and encode an exact number of amino acids. Dendograms for each locus (Additional file 1) were constructed in MEGA4 using the Neighbor-Joining method (NJ) with branch lengths estimated by the Maximum Composite Likelihood method [45,47]. Branch quality was assessed by the bootstrap test using 500 replicates. A subset of six loci including *adk*, *ccpA*, *recF*, *sucC*, *rpoB* and *spo0A*, which gave the highest tree resolution and still being congruent (visual evaluation, Additional file 1), was selected for the final MLST scheme (highlighted in Table 1). The trimmed sequences were entered into BioNumerics software v. 6.6, (Applied Maths NV) as fasta files and used to generate allelic profiles for each isolate based on the six loci. Each unique allelic profile defined a sequence type (ST). A cluster analysis was performed using the allelic profiles as categorical coefficients and a dendogram was constructed based on the UPGMA method. The tree branch quality was estimated by calculating the cophenetic correlation



Table 1 Primer list

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Amplicon size (bp)	Target gene (s)	Target (from-to bp)in NC_00627	
adk1L	GGT AAA GGG ACA CAG GCT GA	518	BL01030: adk ;adenylate kinase	144018-144535	
adk1R	TCG AGT AAA GGC TGG GTT TG				
ccpA2L	TAT GAT GTA GCA CGC GAA GC	604	BL00444: ccpA; transcriptional regulator (compl)	3007794-3008397	
ccpA4R	TAT CCC CAA GCG CTC TTT TA				
recF2L	ACG GTT CTG TTC CCA TTC AG	603	BL00079: recF; recombination protein F	3791-4393	
recF2R	CAT CAC GGC CAT TGA CAT AG				
sucC2L	GGG TCC CGA CGG CCA ACA AA	595 BL01285: <i>sucC;</i> succinyl-CoA synthetase, subunit		1786001-1786595	
sucC2R	GGC CGG TTC CCC TCC GTA GT		beta		
rpoB-f	AGG TCA ACT AGT TCA GTA TGG ACG [1]	580	BL02798: <i>rpoB;</i> DNA-directed RNA polymerase, subunit beta	120955-121534	
rpoB-r	AAG AAC CGT AAC CGG CAA CTT [1]				
spo0A1L	GAA GTG CTT GGT GTC GCA TA	627	BL01518: spo0A; response regulator	2512117-2512743	
spo0A1R	TGT GTA GCC GAA AAG TGA CG				
pyrE2L	AAA TCA AAG CGG TTT TCC TG	575	BL02280: pyrE; orotate phosphoribosyltransferase	1734961-1735535	
pyrE1R	AGG ATC CGC TTT CCA TTC TT				
glpT1L	CTT ACG GGC TGA GCA AGT TC	593	BL00185: glpT; glycerol-3-phosphate permease	4094701-4095293	
glpT1R	CAC GAA AAT GTT GGC AAG TG				
3gyrBF	ATC GTT GAG GGT GAC TCT GC	400	BL00081:gyrB DNA gyrase subunit B	6263-6662	
3gyrBR	AAA TTT CTT CGA GCT GCT GGT				

coefficients. Sequence analysis was performed using the START2 software package [48] where the number of nucleotide differences and ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions (dN / dS) were calculated. MEGA5 was used to construct a phylogenetic tree based on the concatenated sequences (*adk;ccpA;recF;rpoB;spo0A;sucC*) by the NJ-method with branch lengths estimated by the Maximum Composite Likelihood method [47,49]. Minimum spanning tree (MST) was generated in BioNumerics v.6.6 (Applied Maths NV) using the categorical coefficient.

Index of associaton (I_A)

To test the null hypothesis of linkage equilibrium (alleles are independent) between the alleles of the six MSLT loci, I_A values were calculated in START2 by the classical (Maynard Smith) and the standardized (Haubold) method [48]. The test was repeated on a dataset

Table 2 Characteristics of B. licheniformis MLST loci

containing only one isolate per ST in order to avoid the risk of a bias toward a clonal population for strains with the same epidemiological history (e.g. the abortifacient strains) [35].

Results and discussion MLST analysis

The percentage of variable sites at each locus ranged from 3.6 (*sucC*) to 7.5 (*adk*) (Table 2) which is low compared to data obtained for the *B. cereus* group (several species) but comparable to MLST data for *Clostridium septicum* [32,35]. To our knowledge there are no similar data available for other species within the *B. subtilis* group which makes relevant comparison difficult. The discriminatory ability of the different loci, measured as number of alleles, varied from four (*adk*) to eleven (*ccpA*) (Table 3). Despite having the lowest allele

Locus	Length of sequenced fragment (bp)	No. of variable sites	% of variable sites	dN/dS ratio	Mean % GcpC
adk	465	35	7,5	0.0457	44.60
ссрА	561	38	6,8	0.0090	47.79
recF	561	14	2,5	-	42.49
rpoB	495	13	2,6	-	44.33
spo0A	558	33	5,9	0.0043	49.93
sucC	549	20	3,6	0.0169	47.51

number, adk represented the least conserved locus, containing the highest frequency of variable sites and also had the highest dN/dS nonsynonymous (change of amino acid) to synonymous (no change of amino acid) substitution ratio. In contrast, all of the 14 substitutions in *recF* and 13 substitutions in *rpoB* were synonymous still providing five different alleles (Table 2 and 3). However, the dN/dS ratios of all six loci were close to zero, and quite low compared to other studies, indicating that they are all under stabilizing selection [35,39,50]. Among the 53 B. licheniformis strains included in this study 27 different sequence types (STs) were identified (Figure 1). 19 STs were represented by only one strain. These strains clustered into two main groups, designated A and B (Figure 1). The strict group division was also consistent within every single locus, as observed by the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) cluster analysis for each individual locus (Additional file 1). Our results corresponded well with previous findings of two different lineages within B. licheniformis [28]. The majority of our strains (74%) including the type strain ATCC14580 clustered into group B. These strains seemed to be more closely related to each other than the strains in group A. No relationship between the source of the isolate and the clustering pattern were found which is in accordance with a previous study based on a combination of different molecular methods, including gyrA and rpoB sequence analysis [28]. Food isolates were found in both groups (Figure 1 and Additional file 2). Apart from NVH1032 (ST8) (contaminant of canned food) and NVH1023 (ST3) (from the same product and manufacturer as NVH1032) we have sparse information about their survival in heat treated foods. Interestingly, NVH1032 was the only strain that did not fall into any of the two main groups in the allel-based MLST tree and could easily be distinguished from the other. NVH1032 (ST8) and to a lesser extent NVH1023 (ST3) were originally isolated from a semi-

Table	3	Allele	frea	uencies
	-			

Allele	adk	ссрА	recF	rpoB	spo0A	sucC
1	6	30	39	25	29	17
2	33	7	6	14	10	21
3	13	4	2	12	4	9
4	1	1	1	1	5	1
5	-	1	5	1	1	1
6	-	1	-	-	1	1
7	-	3	-	-	1	1
8	-	1	-	-	1	1
9	-	2	-	-	1	1
10	-	2	-	-	-	-
11	-	1	-	-	-	-
Unique	4	11	5	5	9	9

preserved meat product. These particular strains managed to survive a spore-reducing heat treatment regime (a modified tyndallization) [22,23] which had been applied for several years until it failed (Granum, P.E., unpublished results). A huge number of cans with meat product were contaminated in pure culture with NVH1032. We do not know, for sure, why these specific strains managed to survive the double heat treatment. Possible explanations could be; inappropriate spore activation, suboptimal levels of germinants or too short time interval between the two heat treatments to allow sufficient germination (loss of heat resistance) and successive inactivation by the secondary heat step [51,52]. It would be of interest to investigate if there are other strains (apart from NVH1032 and NVH1023) in our collection capable of surviving a similar heat regime and whether this feature is linked to certain genotypes. This would be of valuable information to the food industry.

The clustering of the various B. licheniformis strains is visualized in the minimum spanning tree (MST) in Figure 2. The Standardized Index of Association (IA) was significantly different from zero $(I_A^S = 0, 4365; P = 0,0000)$ indicating a clonal population structure (linkage disequilibrium). These data are consistent with results obtained by MLST analysis of the B. cereus group [32]. Similar results were obtained when calculating IA on a dataset containing only one representative of each ST, showing that potential sampling bias did not affect the outcome of the analysis [35]. Separate calculations for members of group A and B were performed to study any difference within the two subpopulations. Significant linkage disequilibrium was detected in group A $(I_A^S = 0, 2391; P = 0, 000)$, whereas this was not the case in group B where I_A^S was closer to zero (I_A^S =0, 0113; P = 0,255). These results indicate that members of group B are subject to a higher rate of recombination than group A. We could hypothesise that the clonal structure of subgroup A was due to lack of natural genetic competence as described for DSM13 (isogenic to ATCC14580) [53,54]. Surprisingly, the genetically competent strain NVH1082/ 9945A [55] had identical ST (ST1) to the non-competent type strain ATCC14580, a fact that undermines our hypothesis.

In cases were recombination is rare it is generally recommended to concatenate the sequences before calculating dendograms [56]. This concatenated dendogram corresponded well with the allel-based dendogram and is presented in Additional file 3. A small difference between the allel-based and the concatenated dendogram was observed. NVH1032 (ST8) was positioned slightly closer to group A isolates in the latter. When examining individual loci, NVH1032 (ST8) clustered together with group A for all loci apart from *adk*. It is therefore reasonable to assume that NVH1032 (ST8) could be regarded as a group



A member. However, none of the MLST allels of NVH1032 was shared by any other strains in our collection (Additional file 2) underpinning the genetic distinction of NVH1032 (ST8) from the other strains.

Conclusions

A robust and portable typing scheme for *B. licheniformis* was established. This method, based on six house-keeping genes separated the species into two distinct lineages. These two lineages seem to have evolved differently. The food spoilage strain NVH1032 was distantly related to all other strains evaluated. The MLST scheme developed in the present study could be used for further studying of evolution and population genetics of *B. licheniformis*.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Cluster analysis of individual MLST candidate loci. Dendograms of each candidate-locus (*adk, ccpA, glpT, gyrB, pyrE, recF, rpoB, spo0A* and *sucC*) were drawn in MEGA4 using the NJ-method [57]. The quality of each branch is calculated using the bootstrap test with 500 replicates and are shown next to the branches [58]. Branch lengths were estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood Method [47].

Additional file 2: Table which shows strain identity, allels, sequence type (ST) and source of the 53 strains that were used in this study.

Additional file 3: Concatenated dendogram. The dendogram was constructed in MEGA5 [49] using the NJ-method on the concatenated sequences of the MLST loci (*adk, ccpA, recF, rpoB, spo0A* and *sucC*) [57].

The optimal tree with the sum of branch length 0.0487 is shown. The quality of each branch is calculated using the bootstrap test with 500 replicates and are shown next to the branches [58]. A total of 3189 positions were included in the dataset.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

EHM did the experimental design, carried out the experiments, analysed data and drafted the manuscript. JSO assisted in experimental design, analysed data and assisted in drafting the manuscript. PEG and JMB assisted in experimental design and drafting and reading the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ingjerd Thrane for valuable technical assistance in order to complete this work. The work was supported by grants from the Norwegian Research Council (grant 178299/I10) and the Norwegian Defence Research Establishment (FFI).

Author details

¹Forsvarets Forskningsinstitutt FFI, Norwegian Defence Research Establishment, P. O. Box 25, Kjeller N-2027, Norway. ²Department of Food Safety and Infection Biology, Section for Food Safety, Norwegian School of Veterinary Science, P. O. Box 8146 Dep, Oslo N-0033, Norway.

Received: 22 February 2012 Accepted: 14 September 2012 Published: 10 October 2012

References

 Boer AS, Priest F, Diderichsen B: On the industrial use of Bacillus licheniformis: a review. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 1994, 40:595–598.

- Eveleigh DE: The microbiological production of industrial chemicals. Sci Am 1981, 245:120–130.
- Salkinoja-Salonen MS, Vuorio R, Andersson MA, Kampfer P, Andersson MC, Honkanen-Buzalski T, Scoging AC: Toxigenic strains of *Bacillus licheniformis* related to food poisoning. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1999, 65:4637–4645.
- Agerholm JS, Krogh HV, Jensen HE: A retrospective study of bovine abortions associated with *Bacillus licheniformis*. J Vet Med, Series B 1995, 42:225–234.
- Blue SR, Singh VR, Saubolle MA: *Bacillus licheniformis* bacteremia: five cases associated with indwelling central venous catheters. *Clin Infect Dis* 1995, 20:629.
- Santini F, Borghetti V, Amalfitano G, Mazzucco A: Bacillus licheniformis prosthetic aortic valve endocarditis. J Clin Microbiol 1995, 33:3070–3073.
- 7. Sugar AM, McCloskey RV: Bacillus licheniformis sepsis. JAMA 1977, 238:1180.
- 8. Tabbara KF, Tarabay N: Bacillus licheniformis corneal ulcer. Am J
- Ophthalmol 1979, **87**:717–719. 9. Haydushka I, Markova N, Vesselina K, Atanassova M: **Recurrent sepsis due**
- to Bacillus licheniformis. J Global Infectious Dis 2012, 4:82–83.
 10. Thompson JM, Dodd CER, Waites WM: Spoilage of bread by Bacillus. Int Biodeter Biodegr 1993, 32:55–66.
- Pirttijärvi TSM, Graeffe TH, Salkinoja-Salonen MS: Bacterial contaminants in liquid packaging boards: assessment of potential for food spoilage. J Appl Microbiol 1996, 81:445–458.
- Heyndrickx M, Scheldeman P: Bacilli Associated with Spoilage in Dairy Products and Other Food. In Applications and systematics of Bacillus and relatives. Edited by Berkeley R. Oxford: Blackwell; 2002:64–82.
- Sorokulova IB, Reva ON, Smirnov VV, Pinchuk IV, Lapa SV, Urdaci MC: Genetic diversity and involvement in bread spoilage of *Bacillus* strains isolated from flour and ropy bread. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2003, 37:169–173.
- Shariati P, Mitchell WJ, Boyd A, Priest FG: Anaerobic metabolism in Bacillus licheniformis NCIB 6346. Microbiology 1995, 141:1117–1124.
- Clements LD, Miller BS, Streips UN: Comparative growth analysis of the facultative anaerobes *Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus licheniformis,* and *Escherichia coli.* Syst Appl Microbiol 2002, 25:284–286.
- 16. Fields ML, Zamora AF, Bradsher M: Microbial analysis of home-canned tomatoes and green beans. *J Food Sci* 1977, **42**:931–934.
- Piggot PJ, Hilbert DW: Sporulation of Bacillus subtilis. Curr Opin Microbiol 2004, 7:579–586.
- Setlow P: Spores of *Bacillus subtilis*: their resistance to and killing by radiation, heat and chemicals. J Appl Microbiol 2006, 101:514–525.
- 19. Moir A: How do spores germinate? J Appl Microbiol 2006, 101:526-530.
- 20. Lovdal I: Germination of Bacillus species related to food spoilage and safety, PhD thesis. 2012.
- Paidhungat M, Setlow P: Spore germination and outgrowth. In *Bacillus subtilis and its closest relatives: from genes to cells*. Edited by Sonenshein AL, Hoch JA, Losick R. Washington, D.C: ASM; 2002:537–548.
- 22. Keynan A, Evenchik Z: Activation. In *The bacterial spore*. Edited by Gould GW, Hurst A. New York: Academic Press; 1969:359–396.
- Brown JV, Wiles R, Prentice G: The effect of a modified tyndallization process upon the spore forming bacteria of milk and cream. J Soc Dairy Technol 1979, 32:109–112.
- 24. Hornstra LM, Ter Beek A, Smelt JP, Kallemeijn WW, Brul S: On the origin of heterogenity in (preservation) resistance of *Bacillus* spores: input for a 'systems' analysis approach of bacterial spore outgrowth. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2009, **134**:9–15.
- 25. Ghosh S, Setlow P: Isolation and characterization of superdormant spores of *Bacillus* species. J Bacteriol 2008, **191:**1787–1797.
- 26. Brul S, van Beilen J, Caspers M, O Brien A, de Koster C, Oomes S, Smelt J, Kort R, Ter Beek A: Challenges and advances in systems biology analysis of Bacillus spore physiology; molecular differences between an extreme heat resistant spore forming *Bacillus subtilis* food isolate and a laboratory strain. *Food Microbiol* 2011, 28:221–227.
- Duncan KE, Ferguson N, Kimura K, Zhou X, Istock CA: Fine-scale genetic and phenotypic structure in natural populations of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus licheniformis*. Implications for bacterial evolution and speciation. *Evolution* 1994, 48:2002–2025.
- De Clerck E, De Vos P: Genotypic diversity among Bacillus licheniformis strains from various sources. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2004, 231:91–98.
- 29. Palmisano MM, Nakamura LK, Duncan KE, Istock CA, Cohan FM: *Bacillus sonorensis* sp nov., a close relative of *Bacillus licheniformis*, isolated

from soil in the sonoran desert, arizona. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2001, **51:**1671–1679.

- Daffonchio D, Borin S, Frova G, Manachini PL, Sorlini C: PCR fingerprinting of whole genomes: the spacers between the 16S and 23S rRNA genes and of intergenic tRNA gene regions reveal a different intraspecific genomic variability of *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus licheniformis*. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1998, 48:107–116.
- Maiden MCJ, Bygraves JA, Feil E, Morelli G, Russell JE, Urwin R, Zhang Q, Zhou J, Zurth K, Caugant DA: Multilocus sequence typing: a portable approach to the identification of clones within populations of pathogenic microorganisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998, 95:3140–3145.
- Helgason E, Tourasse NJ, Meisal R, Caugant DA, Kolsto AB: Multilocus sequence typing scheme for bacteria of the *Bacillus cereus* group. *Appl* Environ Microbiol 2004, 70:191–201.
- Jost BH, Trinh HT, Songer JG: Clonal relationships among *Clostridium* perfringens of porcine origin as determined by multilocus sequence typing. Vet Microbiol 2006, 116:158–165.
- Lemee L, Bourgeois I, Ruffin E, Collignon A, Lemeland JF, Pons JL: Multilocus sequence analysis and comparative evolution of virulenceassociated genes and housekeeping genes of *Clostridium difficile*. *Microbiology-Sgm* 2005, 151:3171–3180.
- Neumann AP, Rehberger TG: MLST analysis reveals a highly conserved core genome among poultry isolates of *Clostridium septicum*. *Anaerobe* 2009, 15:99–106.
- Olsen JS, Skogan G, Fykse EM, Rawlinson EL, Tomaso H, Granum PE, Blatny JM: Genetic distribution of 295 Bacillus cereus group members based on adk screening in combination with MLST (Multilocus Sequence Typing) used for validating a primer targeting a chromosomal locus in B. anthracis. J Microbiol Methods 2007, 71:265–274.
- 37. Urwin R, Maiden MCJ: Multi-locus sequence typing: a tool for global epidemiology. *Trends Microbiol* 2003, 11:479–487.
- Sullivan CB, Diggle MA, Clarke SC: Multilocus sequence typing data analysis in clinical microbiology and public health. *Mol Biotechnol* 2005, 29:245–254.
- Coffey TJ, Pullinger GD, Urwin R, Jolley KA, Wilson SM, Maiden MC, Leigh JA: First insights into the evolution of streptococcus uberis: a multilocus sequence typing scheme that enables investigation of its population biology. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006, 72:1420–1428.
- Feil EJ, Cooper JE, Grundmann H, Robinson DA, Enright MC, Berendt T, Peacock SJ, Smith JM, Murphy M, Spratt BG, et al: How clonal is Staphylococcus aureus? J Bacteriol 2003, 185:3307–3316.
- Logan NA, Berkeley RCW: Identification of *Bacillus* strains using the API system. J Gen Microbiol 1984, 130:1871–1882.
- 42. Maiden MCJ: Multilocus sequence typing of bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol 2006, 60:588.
- Rozen S, Skaletsky H: Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologis programmers. *Methods Mol Biol* 2000, 132:365–386.
- Staden R: The Staden sequence analysis package. Mol Biotechnol 1996, 5:233–241.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S: MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Mol Biol Evol* 2007, 24:1596–1599.
- Higgins D, Thompson J, Gibson T: CLUSTALW: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1994, 22:4673–4680.
- Tamura K, Noi M, Kumar S: Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbour-joining method. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2004, 101:11030–11035.
- Jolley KA, Feil EJ, Chan MS, Maiden MC: Sequence type analysis and recombinational tests (START). *Bioinformatics* 2001, 17:1230–1231.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S: MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 2011, 28:2731–2739.
- Kotetishvili M, Kreger A, Auters G, Orris JG Jr, Ulakvelidze A, Tine OC: Multilocus sequence typing for studying genetic relationships among *Yersinia* species. J Clin Microbiol 2005, 43:2674–2684.
- Lovdal IS, Hovda MB, Granum PE, Rosnes JT: Promoting Bacillus cereus spore germination for subsequent inactivation by mild heat treatment. J Food Prot 2011, 74:2079–2089.

- 52. Ghosh S, Zhang P, Li Y, Setlow P: Superdormant spores of *Bacillus* species have elevated wet-heat resistance and temperature requirements for heat activation. *J Bacteriol* 2009, **191**:5584–5591.
- Hoffmann K, Wollherr A, Larsen M, Rachinger M, Liesegang H, Ehrenreich A, Meinhardt F: Facilitation of direct conditional knockout of essential genes in *Bacillus licheniformis* DSM13 by comparative genetic analysis and manipulation of genetic competence. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010, 76:5046–5057.
- Waschkau B, Waldeck J, Wieland S, Eichstädt R, Meinhardt F: Generation of readily transformable *Bacillus licheniformis* mutants. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2008, 78:181–188.
- Thorne CB, Stull HB: Factors affecting transformation in *Bacillus licheniformis. J Bacteriol* 1966, 91:1012–1020.
- Maiden MC: Multilocus sequence typing of bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol 2006, 60:561–588.
- 57. Saitou N, Nei M: The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 1987, 4:406–425.
- Felsenstein J: Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 1985, 39:783–791.

doi:10.1186/1471-2180-12-230

Cite this article as: Madslien *et al.*: Genotyping of *B. licheniformis* based on a novel multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) scheme. *BMC Microbiology* 2012 12:230.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

) BioMed Central

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit