RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Stabilization of negative activation voltages of Cav1.3 L-Type Ca²⁺-channels by alternative splicing

Nadja T. Hofer ^(b)^a, Alexandra Pinggera ^(b)^b, Yuliia V. Nikonishyna ^(b)^a, Petronel Tuluc ^(b)^a, Eva M. Fritz ^(b)^a, Gerald J. Obermair ^(b)^{c,d}, and Jörg Striessnig ^(b)^a

^aDepartment of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Centre for Molecular Biosciences, University of Innsbruck, Austria; ^bNeurobiology Division, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, UK; ^cInstitute of Physiology, Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria; ^dDivision Physiology, Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, Krems, Austria

ABSTRACT

->Low voltage-activated Cav1.3 L-type Ca²⁺-channels are key regulators of neuronal excitability controlling neuronal development and different types of learning and memory. Their physiological functions are enabled by their negative activation voltage-range, which allows Cav1.3 to be active at subthreshold voltages. Alternative splicing in the C-terminus of their pore-forming α 1-subunits gives rise to C-terminal long (Cav1.3_L) and short (Cav1.3_S) splice variants allowing Cav1.3_S to activate at even more negative voltages than Cav1.3_L. We discovered that inclusion of exons 8b, 11, and 32 in Cav1.3_S further shifts activation (-3 to -4 mV) and inactivation (-4 to -6 mV) to more negative voltages as revealed by functional characterization in tsA-201 cells. We found transcripts of these exons in mouse chromaffin cells, the cochlea, and the brain. Our data further suggest that Cav1.3-containing exons 11 and 32 constitute a significant part of native channels in the brain. We therefore investigated the effect of these splice variants on human disease variants. Splicing did not prevent the gating defects of the previously reported human pathogenic variant S652L, which further shifted the voltage-dependence of activation of exon 11-containing channels by more than -12 mV. In contrast, we found no evidence for gating changes of the *CACNA1D* missense variant R498L, located in exon 11, which has recently been identified in a patient with an epileptic syndrome.

Our data demonstrate that alternative splicing outside the C-terminus involving exons 11 and 32 contributes to channel fine-tuning by stabilizing negative activation and inactivation gating properties of wild-type and mutant Cav1.3 channels.

Introduction

In electrically excitable cells voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels (VGCCs) are key regulators of plasmalemmal Ca^{2+} -entry in response to changes in membrane potentials. Ca^{2+} -influx promotes membrane depolarization and action potential propagation and serves as an important intracellular second messenger. The resulting Ca^{2+} -transients regulate Ca^{2+} dependent physiological functions such as hormone secretion, muscle contraction, neurotransmitter release and gene transcription associated with synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory [1,2].

Within the class of L-type Ca^{2+} -channels (LTCC; Cav1.1–1.4 [3]) Cav1.3 channels activate at more negative potentials [4], which is essential for supporting specialized physiological functions

such as pacemaking activity in the sinoatrial node (SAN) [5] as well as in adrenal chromaffin cells [6] and hearing function in cochlear inner hair cells (IHCs) [7,8]. In addition, this allows them to serve as key regulators of neuronal excitability and to stabilize plateau potentials in neurons [9]. Cav1.3 channels also inactivate at more negative voltages than other LTCCs. This can reduce their availability in cells with more positive resting membrane potentials, thus preventing Ca²⁺-toxicity.

The diverse physiological functions of Cav1.3 channels, ranging from tonic neurotransmitter release in IHCs to pacemaking in the SAN [10] and dopamine neurons [11,12], require the cell-specific fine-tuning of its gating properties. One such

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 20 November 2020 Accepted 30 November 2020

KEYWORDS

Cav1.3; Ca²⁺ channels; L-type; alternative splicing; disease genetics



CONTACT Jörg Striessnig 🔯 joerg.Striessnig@uibk.ac.at 🗊 Pharmacology and Toxicology, Centre of Molecular Biosciences, Innrain 80/82, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria.

This article has been republished with minor changes. These changes do not impact the academic content of the article.

B Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.

^{© 2021} The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

mechanism is alternative splicing. We and others have shown [13–15] that alternative splicing in the C-terminus produces strong effects on channel gating. Cav1.3 a1-subunit splice variants with a short C-terminus (Cav1.3_s) lacking a C-terminal modulatory domain further shift the activation voltage range by >7 mV to even more negative voltages [15]. Since short and long splice variants are expressed at comparable levels in many brain regions [15] and even in individual neurons [16], this indicates that finetuning by alternative splicing is required for proper cellular function. This is supported by the observation that elimination of splicing-induced differences in the C-terminal modulatory domain in mice alters cell excitability (as shown in chromaffin cells of mutant Cav1.3DCRD^{HA/HA} mice [17]). Moreover, human de novo missense variants, located at different regions of the channel, causing high risk for severe neurodevelopmental disorders [18,19] also shift the voltage-dependence of channel gating to more negative voltages (such as recently shown for mutation S652L [20]).

This raises the important question if alternative splicing outside the Cav1.3 a1-subunit C-terminus is also involved in fine-tuning channel activity and if this also persists in disease variants. Whereas C-terminal splicing is well characterized, the contribution of alternative splicing to channel gating in other channel domains is largely unexplored. We took advantage of the unexpected observation that Cav1.3 channel activation in a previously characterized stable cell line [16] occurs ~-6 mV more negative than in transiently expressed channels. The Cav1.3 a1-splice variant used for generating the stable cells differs from the transiently expressed al-subunit construct (Genbank accession number EU363339; referred to as Cav1.3_{8a-42} or Cav1.3 L in this report) by containing exon 8b instead of exon 8a plus additional exons 11 and 32 and, in case of the C-terminally long isoform, an additional exon 44. This prompted us to hypothesize that alternative exon 8b and/or additional exons 11 and 32 might be responsible for this negative shift. Exons 8a/b are mutually exclusive exons located in the first domain in segment 6 (IS6) and are essential for building a functional pore. However, the functional significance of exon 8 splicing remains unknown [10]. Only few studies systematically analyzed the effect of alternative exon 11 and exon 32 splicing in Cav1.3 α 1-subunits on channel gating [21,22] but results are inconclusive and the expression pattern of these exons in different tissues remains elusive.

We therefore functionally characterized various Cav1.3_S splice variants containing either exon 8a or 8b with or without exons 11 and 32 or a combination of both. In accordance with our hypothesis, we provide evidence that all combinations can stabilize more negative activation (-3 to -4 mV) and inactivation voltages (-4 to -6 mV) of Cav1.3_S. Moreover, we show that this negative shift of activation voltage adds to the negative shift induced by pathogenic CACNA1D mutations [19,23] as demonstrated for mutation S652L. This newly identified role of exon 11 also prompted us to study the pathogenic potential of a CACNA1D missense mutation in exon 11, R498L, recently identified in a patient with an epileptic syndrome [24]. The absence of any measurable phenotype makes a pathogenic role of this mutation unlikely.

Materials and Methods

cDNA constructs

Human Cav1.3 constructs were used. Construct Cav1.3 $_{\rm L}$ (here termed Cav1.3_{8a-42)}) contains exons 8a and 42 and lacks exons 11 and 32). It corresponds to Genbank accession number EU363339. The corresponding C-terminally short variant Cav1.3_{8a-43s}, previously also termed Cav1.3_{43s}, is the corresponding C-terminally short variant containing exons 8a and 43S [15].

 $Cav1.3_{8b-43S}$: Exon 8b was inserted from Cav1.3_{8b-42}⁴ into Cav1.3_{8a-43S}¹⁵ using AgeI and SalI restriction sites.

<u>Cav1.3_{8a/b-11-43S}</u> and <u>Cav1.3_{8a/b-32-43S}</u>: Constructs have been generated utilizing splicing by overlap extension (SOE) PCR to splice additional exon 11 or exon 32 into Cav1.3_{8a/b-43S} constructs. Briefly, in the 1st step PCR desired fragments were amplified in two separate PCRs (PCR a and b) using overlapping primers (primer pair 1 and 2 for Cav1.3_{8a/b-11-43S} or primer pair 4 and 5 for Cav1.3_{8a/b-32-43S}) containing the sequence of exon 11 or exon 32 at the 5'-end as an overhang. Cav1.3_{8a-43S} for constructs containing exon 8a or Cav1.3_{8b-43S} for constructs containing exon 8b were used as a template. In the 2nd step PCR (PCR c) both PCR products were used as templates and were combined with flanking primers (primer pair 3 for Cav $1.3_{8a/b-11-43S}$ or primer pair 6 for Cav $1.3_{8a/8b-32-43S}$). For primer sequences and PCR reaction mix see Suppl. Methods.

 $Cav1.3_{8a/b-11-32-43S}$ was generated from Cav1.3_{8a/b-11-43S} and Cav1.3_{8a/b-32-43S} using BgIII to HindIII restriction sites.

<u>S652L_{8b-11-43S}</u>, <u>R498L_{8a-11-42}</u>: Respective mutations were introduced into C-terminal short Cav1.3 splice variant containing exon 8b, 11, and 43S (Cav1.3_{8b-11-43S}) or long splice variants (Cav1.3_{8a-11-42} or Cav1.3_{8a-42}) using SOE PCR as described above. Briefly, corresponding Cav1.3 loci were amplified using overlapping primers to introduce the respective mutations in two separate PCR reactions. Resulting products were combined in a final PCR reaction and inserted into respective Cav1.3_{8b-11-43S}, Cav1.3_{8a-11-42} or Cav1.3_{8a-42} sites using restriction digestion. For primer sequences and PCR reaction mix see Supplemental Methods.

Cell culture and transfection

For whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, tsA-201cells (a human embryonic kidney (HEK)-293 subclone stably expressing SV40 temperature-sensitive T-antigen; European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures, ECACC, 96,121,229) or HEK-293 cells stably expressing β_3 and $\alpha_2 \delta$ -1 were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Cat# D6546; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) containing 4500 mg/l L-glucose, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Cat# 10,270,106; Thermo Fisher Waltham, Scientific, MA, USA), mМ 2 L-glutamine (Cat# 25,030,032; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 10 units/ml penicillin G (Cat# P-3032; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), 10 µg/ml streptomycin (Cat# S-6501; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂. Cells were grown to ~80% confluency and split using 0.05% trypsin for cell dissociation. HEK-293 cells were periodically treated with selection agents for each subunit (β_3 , 500 µg/ml geneticin (Cat# 10,131,027; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA); $a_2\delta$ -1, 10 µg/ml blasticidin

S HCl (Cat# A1113903; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA)). For recordings of all Cav1.3 and 8b splice variants and wild-type 8a Cav1.3_{8b-11-43S} vs S652L_{8b-11-43S}, HEK-293 cells stably expressing human β_3 and $\alpha_2\delta$ -1 were transiently transfected with desired LTCC a_1 (3 µg) using the Ca²⁺-phosphate precipitation method [25]. For recordings of Cav1.38a-42, Cav1.38a-11-42 vs R498L_{8a-11-42} tsA-201 cells were transiently transfected with human a_1 (3 µg), rat β_3 (2 µg; Genbank accession number NM_012828), and rabbit $a_2\delta$ -1 (2.5 µg, Genbank accession number NM_001082276) subunits [15,16,26]. Cotransfected EGFP (1.5 μ g) served as a transfection marker. All data were obtained from at least three independent transfections. On the following day, cells were trypsinized (0.05% trypsin) and plated onto poly-L-lysine-(Cat# P-2636; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) precoated 35 mm culture dishes. Cells were kept at 30°C and 5% CO₂ and were subjected to electrophysiological experiments 20-72 h after transfection.

Electrophysiological recordings in HEK-293 cells

For whole-cell patch-clamp experiments, patch pipettes were pulled in a micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA, USA) using borosilicate glass capillaries (borosilicate glass; Cat# 64-0792, Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT, USA) and fire-polished using a MF-830 microforge (Narishige Co, Tokyo, Japan). Pipettes with a resistance of 1.5 - 3 M Ω were backfilled with internal solution containing (in mM): 135 CsCl, 10 Cs-EGTA, 1 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 4 ATP-Na₂ adjusted to pH 7.4 with CsOH. The bath solution contained (in mM): 15 CaCl₂, 150 Choline-Cl, 1 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.3 with CsOH. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed at room temperature (20-23°C) using an Axopatch 200B Amplifier (Molecular Devices, San José, CA, USA). Data were digitized (Digidata, 1322A digitizer, Molecular Devices, San José, CA, USA) at 50 kHz, low-pass filtered at 1-5 kHz and analyzed using pClamp 10.2 software (Molecular Devices, San José, CA, USA). Series resistance was compensated by 60-90% and all voltages were corrected for a liquid junction potential of -9.3 mV [26]. Currents were leak subtracted either

CHANNELS 🔶 41

offline using a 50 ms hyperpolarizing voltage step from -80 to -90 mV or using an online P/4 protocol. Current-voltage (I–V) relationships were measured by applying 20 or 50 ms depolarizing square pulses to various test potentials (Δ 5 mV increments) starting from a holding potential (HP) of -89 mV. I-V curves where fit to the equation $I = G_{max} (V-V_{rev})/(1 + exp[-(V-V_{0.5})/k])$ where I is the peak current, G_{max} is the maximum conductance, V is the test potential, V_{rev} is the extrapolated reversal potential, $V_{0.5}$ is the half-maximal activation voltage, and k is the slope factor. The voltage dependence of activation was obtained from the I-V relationship by calculating the conductance ($G = I/V-V_{rev}$) followed by normalization (G/G_{max}) and plotting as a function of voltage. The G-V curve was fit using following Boltzmann relationship: $G = G_{max}/(1 + exp[(V-V_{0.5})/k$]. The steady-state inactivation was determined by calculating the ratio between current amplitudes of a control versus a test pulse (I/I_{control}; both 20 ms to V_{max}) separated by a 5-s conditioning step to various potentials (Δ 10 mV increments; 30 s intersweep interval; HP, -89 mV) and plotting as a function of voltage. Steady-state inactivation curves were fit using a modified Boltzmann equation: $I(V) = (1 - I_{max})$ $/(1 + \exp[(V-V_{0.5,inact})/k_{inact}] + I_{max}$ where $V_{0.5inact}$ is the half-maximal inactivation voltage and kinact is the inactivation slope factor. The percentage of inactivation during a 5-s long depolarizing pulse from a HP of -89 mV to the potential of maximal inward current (V_{max}) was determined after 50, 100, 250, 500, 1000, and 5000 ms with Ca²⁺ as charge carrier. In general, experiments with currents <100 pA and >1000 pA were prospectively excluded from analysis.

RNA isolation and reverse transcription

All tissues were obtained from male C57BL6/N mice. Mice for expression profiling were taken at 8–12 weeks for brain preparations, ~4 months for mouse chromaffin cell (MCC) preparation and postnatal day (P) 23 for cochlea preparations (after hearing onset). For qRT-PCR, whole brains were prepared from 3 or 12 weeks old animals and cortex, cerebellum, hippocampus, striatum, ventral tegmental area (VTA), and substantia nigra (SN)

samples were dissected from brains of 12-14 weeks old mice. For whole brains, hippocampus, and striatum samples, only one hemisphere was processed for analysis. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (Vetflurane, Vibac UK, 1000 mg/g) and sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Brain samples were quickly removed, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Cochlea, MCCs, and brain regions were dissected in ice-cold 1x PBS buffer using a dissecting microscope. For MCC preparations, cortical tissue was removed and medullae were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. For cochlea preparations, the bony cochlear capsule was carefully removed and the extracted cochlear helix was immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

To dissect VTA and SN freshly extracted brains were snap frozen in isopentane pre-cooled with dry ice (\sim -40°C). 100 µm thick sections were cut on a cryostat (CM1950, Leica, Germany) and collected on glass coverslips. The sections were immediately re-frozen on dry-ice and punched under a dissection microscope using a pre-cooled sample corer (VTA: inner diameter 0.8 mm, 1 punch per hemisphere; SN: inner diameter 0.5 mm, 2 punches per hemisphere). For each brain region, tissue punches from both hemispheres of 7-8 successive 100 µm sections between Bregma -3.00 mm to -3.80 mm (according to Paxinos [27]) were collected in the sample corer (Fine Science Tool, Germany). The tissue punches were transferred into an Eppendorf tube and again snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. The punched sections were stained with cresyl violet for histological verification.

Purification of total RNA from all samples was implemented using Qiagen RNeasy lipid tissue mini kit (Qiagen, GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's manual. On the day of preparation individual samples were placed in a round-bottom tube and were lysed with an appropriate amount of phenol/guanidinebased Qiazol lysis reagent. The tissue was then homogenized using a rotor-stator homogenizer. For very small amounts of tissue, homogenization was performed by passing the lysate through a 21gauge needle. An optional on-column DNase digestion was performed to reduce genomic DNA contamination. Samples were eluted with 2 × 15 or 30 µl nuclease-free water. The RNA concentration was determined photometrically yielding approximately 20 ng/µl for VTA and SN, 60–100 ng/µl for cochlea and MCCs, 300–800 ng/µl for brain regions and 1–2 µg/µl RNA for whole-brain samples with high purity. 1 µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed using Maxima H Minus First Strand cDNA synthesis kit with random hexamer primers (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). 1 µl cDNA corresponds to 50 ng RNA equivalent. In case of low RNA yield, 13 µl of total RNA was reverse transcribed. Therefore, 1 µl cDNA corresponds to 0.65x the amount of RNA equivalent.

Expression profiling of Cav1.3 splice variants by nested PCR and quantitative real-time PCR using a standard curve-based approach [28] is described in detail in the supplemental methods.

Statistics

Data were analyzed using Clampfit 10.2 (Axon Instruments) and Sigma Plot 11 (Systat Software, Chicago, IL). For statistical analysis Graph Pad Prism 5.01 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) was used. Significance of differences between two groups was determined using unpaired student's t-test for normally distributed data. Significance between three and more groups was determined using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for normally distributed data (with Bonferroni posttest as indicated). All data are represented as mean \pm SEM. Significance level was set to α -error lower than p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**) and p < 0.001 (***).

Results

Alternative splicing of Cav1.3 α1-subunits in exons 8, 11, and 32

To test the hypothesis that alternative splicing outside the C-terminus can further shift voltagedependent gating properties of short Cav1.3_S variants (here we employed the short variant produced by exon 43S splicing (Cav1.3_{43S} [15]), we first performed nested PCR to investigate the expression of exons 8a/b, 11 and 32 in tissues with high abundance of Cav1.3 channels. Exons 8a, 8b, 11, and 32 (Figure 1a, Fig. S1) were detected in samples of mouse whole brain (B; Figure 1b), mouse chromaffin cells (MCC; Figure 1c) and in cochlea preparations (cochl; Figure 1d) (confirmed by sequencing). Furthermore, by employing long-range PCR we could show that exons 11 and 32 are expressed together within single transcripts in the brain. These were not detected in MCCs or cochlea preparations (Figure 1e).

Since disease-causing *de novo* missense variants have been found in both exon 8a [29,30] and exon 8b [31,32] we therefore also determined which of the two variants is the predominant form in the brain (Figure 2). We quantified their expression using standard curve-based absolute qRT-PCR (for details and specificity of the custom-designed assays see also Methods and Fig. S2). Expression of exon 8b was approximately sixfold higher in whole brain samples compared to exon 8a (Figure 2a). There was no difference in the expression pattern of exon 8a and 8b between 3- and 12week-old mice. Brain region-specific analysis revealed significantly higher expression of exon 8b in the cortex (~sevenfold), hippocampus, and the striatum (both ~ninefold) compared to exon 8a whereas the expression levels of exon 8a and 8b were similar in the cerebellum, substantia nigra (SN) and ventral tegmental area (VTA) (Figure 2b).

We also performed Western blot experiments (Fig. S3) to determine if Cav1.3 a1 subunits containing exons 8 plus 11 and 32 could contribute to the large and small size forms of Cav1.3 a1-immunoreactivity in the brain, previously found to express long and short C-termini [17]. Based on the observed molecular masses our findings are compatible with the interpretation that exons 11 and 32 can form part of native Cav1.3 channels in the brain (Fig. S3).

Effects of alternative splicing of Cav1.3 a1-subunits in exons 8, 11 and 32 on channel gating

After having demonstrated the expression of these exons in different tissues, we functionally characterized splice variant combinations of Cav1.3_S containing either exon 8a or 8b with or without exons 11 and



Figure 1. Expression profiling of Cav1.3 splice variants in brain, chromaffin cells and cochlea.

A. Transmembrane topology of the Cav1.3 α1-subunit with a short C-terminus (due to usage of exon 43S). Spliced exons are indicated in blue. The positions of *de novo* missense mutations are also indicated (circles).

B-D. Detection of exons 8a, 8b, 11, and 32 in brain (B), mouse chromaffin cells (C) and cochlea (D). E. Detection of transcripts containing exons 11 and 32 in the brain but not in mouse chromaffin cells and the cochlea. One representative gel out of 2–5 nested PCR experiments per tissue sample is illustrated. 50–100 ng RNA equivalent for outer PCR and 0.5–1 μ l of 1st PCR product for inner PCR were used. Fragments were generated by specific primers for exon 8a (439 bp), exon 8b (471 bp), exon 11 (305 bp), exon 32 (292 bp), Cav1.3 control (426 bp) and exon 11–32 (2483 bp), respectively. *, unspecific band for St_S and St_L likely representing amplification of exon 8b with exon 8a primers due to high sequence similarity. B, whole brain without cerebellum; MCC, mouse chromaffin cells; cochl, whole cochlea; St_S, positive control, stable cell line expressing Cav1.3_S together with exons 8b, 11, and 32; St_L, positive control, stable cell line expressing Cav1.3_S (-): negative control, primers against mouse or human sequence, no template.

32 or a combination of both (Cav1.3_{8a/8b-435}, Cav1.3_{8a/8b-11-435}, Cav1.3_{8a/8b-32-435}, Cav1.3_{8a/8b-11-32-435}) to test if they affect voltage-dependent channel gating as hypothesized. Introduction of exon 11 or 32 or both into Cav1.3_{8b-435} significantly and reproducibly shifted V_{0.5,act} by -4 mV to more negative voltages (Figure 3a, for statistics, see Table 1). The voltagedependence of inactivation (V_{0.5,inact}) was also shifted to negative voltages by -4 to -6 mV. For exon 8acontaining channels these effects were smaller but also statistically significant for Cav1.3_{8a-11-43S} (V_{0.5,act}) and Cav1.3_{8a-32-43S} (V_{0.5,inact}; Figure 3b, Table 1). The fact that negative voltage shifts of both activation and inactivation gating were obtained in independent experiments with both exon 8 variants supports the robustness of our finding. Splicing caused no change of the inactivation time course during 5-s depolarizations to V_{max}. (not shown). Despite



Figure 2. Cav1.3 exon 8a and 8b expression in mouse brain preparations.

A. Expression levels of Cav1.3 exon 8a and 8b in whole brain samples of 3 weeks (n = 3) or 12 weeks (n = 3) old male C57BL6/N mice using quantitative real-time PCR.

B. Expression levels of Cav1.3 exon 8a and 8b in indicated brain regions of 12–14 weeks old C57BL6/N mice using quantitative realtime PCR (5 or 20 ng RNA equivalent). n, number of biological replicates. Data were normalized to *B2m* and *Tfrc*. Statistics: one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test for whole-brain samples of different age and student's t-test for brain regions; ***p < 0.001.

smaller effects with exon 8a, our experiments were not designed to detect differences between exon 8a- and 8b-containing constructs.

Disease mutations also affect the voltagedependence of activation and inactivation gating and previous experiments have employed channel variants lacking exons 8b, 11, and 32 [18-20,30]. We therefore also addressed the question whether their inclusion modifies mutational effects or whether the effects of these splice variants could be additive. For this purpose, we chose the previously reported mutation S652L (Figure 3c) which strongly shifts the voltage-dependence of gating to more negative potentials [20]. We tested the mutation in exon 11-containing construct Cav1.3_{8b-11-43S} as the reference, because exon 11 shifted activation parameters in both, exon 8a- and 8b-containing chan-Compared mutant nels. to Cav1.3_{8b-11-43S}, S652L_{8b-11-43S} caused a - 12.1 mV shift of V_{0.5,act} and a - 19.0 mV shift of $V_{0.5,inact}$, (Figure 3c, Table 2) very similar in magnitude as shown recently [20] for S652L_S ($V_{0.5act}$: -13.3 mV; $V_{0.5,inact}$: -16.6 mV) (for statistics see Table 2).

Effects of CACNA1D variant R498L in alternative exon 11

Since we found a regulatory role of cassette exon 11 on the voltage-dependent gating of Cav1.3 we further investigated if the *CACNA1D* variant R498L, residing in exon 11, also affects channel function. This variant has recently been identified by whole-exome sequencing in a patient with epilepsy [24] (see Supplemental Method section for details). However, genetic information from the patient's parents was not available and hence its pathogenicity remained unclear. Demonstration of typical gating changes as observed for other



Figure 3. Effects of Cav1.3 splicing outside the C-terminus on channel gating.

Normalized steady-state activation and inactivation curves of Cav1.3_{8b-435} (A) and Cav1.3_{8a-435} (B) splice variants (left panels). All combinations shifted activation and inactivation to more hyperpolarized potentials compared to constructs lacking exons 11 and 32; for parameters and statistics see Table 1. C. Normalized activation and steady-state inactivation curves of Cav1.3_{8b-11-435} vs S652L_{8b-11-435} (left panel); for parameters and statistics see Table 2. The pronounced shift of activation and inactivation voltage dependence induced by disease variant S652L was maintained in exon 11-containing channels. A-C right panels: Representative I_{Ca} traces recorded during test potentials of -20 mV, V_{max} (solid lines) and +40 mV (dashed lines). Current amplitudes were normalized to the cell membrane capacitance (pA/pF). Currents <100 and >1000 pA were prospectively excluded from analysis. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM and originate from >3 independent transfections for each construct.

pathogenic variants (see [23] for review) could therefore provide evidence for a role of this variant for the patient's symptoms. To test this possibility, we employed the long Cav1.3 splice variant because it represents the reference construct previously used to study pathogenic *CACNA1D* variants. This also allowed us to test if introduction of exon 11 into the C-terminally long Cav1.3 $_{\rm L}$ isoform (termed Cav1.3_{8a-42} here for consistent nomenclature) affects gating properties. In contrast to Cav1.3_S, exon 11 did not significantly shift the voltage-dependence of gating of Cav1.3_{8a-11-42} (Figure 4, Tables 3 and 4). R498L (R498L_{8a-11-42}) induced no further change of the voltage-dependence of activation and inactivation gating and of the inactivation time course (Figure 4, Tables 3 and 4).

Table 1. Activation and inactivation parameters of Cav1.3 8b and 8a splice val	riants.
--	---------

Activation				Inactivation				
α1-subunit	V _{0.5} (m\/)	Slope (mV)	V _{rev} (mV)	n	V _{0.5} (mV)	Slope (mV)	Non-inactivating	n
8b 43S	-10.21±	8.51 ±	65.70 ±	25	-35.71 ±	5.52 ±	17.84 ±	22
8b 11 43S	0.62 -13.40±	0.17 7.60 ±	0.88 64.75 ±	29	0.83 -39.94 ±	0.20 4.94 ±	1.98 10.82 ±	21
8b 32 43S	0.63*** -13.58 ±	0.15*** 8.36 ±	0.72 63.21 ±	16	0.97** -41.53 ±	0.19 5.21 ±	0.78** 13.74 ±	12
0h 11 22 420	0.55**	0.15	0.84	10	1.08***	0.25	2.23	17
80 11 32 433	-12.79 ± 0.58*	8.33 ± 0.12	05.23 ± 0.91	19	-39.54 ± 1.00*	4.90 ± 0.16	1.19**	17
8a 43S	-10.99 ± 0.63	8.28 ± 0.16	65.67 ± 0.92	24	-34.79 ± 0.90	4.67 ± 0.19	10.65 ± 0.87	15
8a 11 43S	-13.21 ±	7.6 ±	63.75 ±	19	-37.99 ±	4.43 ±	14.23 ±	10
8a 32 43S	0.63* -13.03 ±	0.14** 7.97 ±	0.91 63.80 ±	16	1.31 -38.82 ±	0.18 5.04 ±	2.43 12.04 ±	13
8a 11 32 435	0.47 -12.65 +	0.13 8 23 +	0.64 64 58 +	17	0.92* -37 15 +	0.19 4 91 +	1.28 15 36 +	13
54 11 52 155	0.76	0.16	0.81	.,	1.36	0.10	2.14	15

Parameters were obtained from fitting normalized activation curves (G/G_{max}) or normalized steady-state inactivation curves ($I/I_{control}$). All values are presented as mean \pm SEM and originate from >3 independent transfections. Statistics: one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 in comparison to 8b 43S or 8a 43S, respectively. n, number of experiments. V_{0.5}, half-maximal activation/ inactivation voltage; V_{rev}, reversal potential.

Table 2. Activation and inactivation parameters of wildtype Cav1.3_{8b-11-435} and S652L_{8b-11-435}.

	Activation				Inactivation			
a1 subunit	V _{0.5}	Slope	V _{rev}	n	V _{0.5}	Slope	Non-inactivating	n
	(mV)	(mV)	(mV)		(mV)	(mV)	(%)	
Cav1.3 _{8b 11 435}	-13.40 ±	7.60 ±	64.75 ±	29	-39.94 ±	4.94 ±	10.82 ±	21
	0.63	0.15	0.72		0.97	0.19	0.78	
S652L _{8b-11-435}	-25.50 ±	7.61 ±	58.26 ±	18	-58.92 ±	4.93 ±	7.57 ±	13
	0.74***	0.14	0.71***		0.77***	0.24	1.37*	

Parameters were obtained from fitting normalized activation curves (G/G_{max}) or normalized steady-state inactivation curves (I/I_{control}). All values are presented as mean \pm SEM and originate from >3 independent transfections. Statistics: student's t-test, *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001. n, number of experiments. V_{0.5}, half-maximal activation/inactivation voltage; V_{rev}, reversal potential.

Discussion

In contrast to Cav1.2 [33], only a small portion of Cav1.3 splice variants has yet been identified and understood in terms of their roles for channel function. C-terminal splice variants have been previously characterized, which differ in their voltagedependence of activation, Ca²⁺-dependent inactivation properties, and dihydropyridine sensitivity [15,16,34], while the effects of splicing upstream of the C-terminus are largely unexplored. Here we provide experimental evidence for a critical role of alternative splicing in exons 11 and 32 for stabilizing negative gating properties of Cav1.3 channels thus shifting its operating range even more toward subthreshold voltages. This effect appears to be stronger in exon 8b-containing short variants. In Cav1.3_s, the effects of exon 11 and 32 are not additive, suggesting that they either act through stabilizing similar conformational changes or dominantly via different mechanisms.

Alternative splicing at a homologous position in exon 32 of Cav1.1 channels, i.e. developmentally regulated insertion of exon 29 right-shifts voltage-dependence of activation by ≈30 mV [35]. This regulation depends on interactions between positive gating charges and a negative countercharge in the voltage-sensing domain of Cav1.135. Neutralization of the corresponding negative countercharge in Cav1.3 lacking exon 32 and exon 11 (Cav1.3_{8a-42}) also shifts $V_{0.5,act}$ to more positive voltages (although only slightly, \approx 5 mV, ³⁵). It therefore needs to be tested if insertion of exon 32 into Cav1.3_S also affects interactions between positive gating charges and negative countercharges within its voltagesensing domain that could explain the observed gating changes described here. In contrast, it is less clear how exon 11 could affect gating. Some clues come from the fact that it is positioned in the I-II-linker between two important regulatory





A. Current-voltage relationships (I_{Ca} ; mean \pm SEM) of Cav1.3_{8a-42} and Cav1.3_{8a-11-42} vs R498L_{8a-11-42} recorded in parallel on the same day. Inset: Representative I_{Ca} traces of Cav1.3_{8a-42}, Cav1.3_{8a-11-42} and R498L_{8a-11-42} upon depolarization to the V_{max}. B. Normalized steady-state activation and inactivation curves of Cav1.3_{8a-42} and Cav1.3_{8a-11-42} vs R498L_{8a-11-42}. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM; for parameters and statistics see Table 3. C. Inactivation kinetics of Cav1.3_{8a-42} and Cav1.3_{8a-42} and Cav1.3_{8a-42} and Cav1.3_{8a-11-42} vs R498L_{8a-11-42} vs R498L_{8a-11-42} vs R498L_{8a-11-42} vs R498L_{8a-11-42}. Data are presented during a 5-s depolarization to V_{max} with Ca²⁺ as a charge carrier showing no difference in inactivation kinetics between Cav1.3_{8a-42} and Cav1.3_{8a-11-42} vs R498L_{8a-11-42}. Inset shows the first 2000 ms. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM; for statistics see Table 4. Data were collected from >3 independent transfections.

Table 3. Activation and inactivation parameters of mutations R498L.

	Activation				Inactivation			
a1 subunit	V _{0.5} (mV)	Slope (mV)	V _{rev} (mV)	n	V _{0.5} (mV)	Slope (mV)	Non-inactivating (%)	n
Cav1.3 _{8a-42}	0.45 ± 0.90	9.51 ± 0.15	66.56 ± 0.86	44	-17.35 ± 0.76	6.02 ± 0.18	19.56 ± 1.25	33
Cav1.3 _{8a-11-42}	-1.31 ± 0.88	9.32 ± 0.20	63.75 ± 0.97	25	-17.63 ± 0.81	6.01 ± 0.30	15.94 ± 1.76	17
R498L _{8a-11-42}	0.04 ± 1.03	9.28 ± 0.11	66.14 ± 1.04	30	-16.48 ± 0.88	6.03 ± 0.37	17.79 ± 1.62	23

Parameters were obtained from fitting normalized activation curves (G/G_{max}) or normalized steady-state inactivation curves ($I/I_{control}$). All values are presented as mean \pm SEM and originate from >3 independent transfections. Statistics: One-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni multiple comparison posttest. n, number of experiments. $V_{0.5r}$ half-maximal activation/inactivation voltage; V_{rev} , reversal potential.

domains, which are both coupled to voltagedependent gating (cf. Figure 1a): the auxiliary β subunit interaction domain is located upstream of exon 11 and a regulatory cluster of positive residues forms an amphiphilic helix interacting with the cytoplasmic leaflet of membrane lipids at the C-terminal end of the I-II-loop [36]. However, in the absence of high-resolution structures of this region [37], it remains unclear if exon 11 splicing acts through these regulatory domains. Previous biophysical characterizations of the role of exons 11 and 32 were not conclusive suggesting no gating changes by both exons when expressed in Xenopus oocytes [21], no changes for exon 11 when expressed in tsA-201 cells [38] (both measured in 5 mM Ba²⁺) or a modest depolarizing shift in $V_{0.5,act}$ of +3 mV (i.e. the opposite effect as reported here, measured in 10 mM Ba²⁺) upon inclusion of exon 32 [22]. Exclusion of the corresponding exon (exon 33) in Cav1.2 a1-subunits resulted in a hyperpolarizing shift in

		8		2
Remaining I _{ca} [%]	7	2	-	2
	r ₅₀₀₀	8.05 ± 0.94	6.47 ± 1.25	6.90 ± 0.84
	r1000	17.72 ± 1.56	15.61 ± 1.80	16.67 ± 1.72
	r ₅₀₀	26.48 ± 1.94	25.87 ± 2.13	27.64 ± 2.46
	r ₂₅₀	39.14 ± 2.35	40.67 ± 2.69	42.17 ± 2.93
	r100	57.47 ± 2.65	61.20 ± 3.12	60.22 ± 3.00
	r ₅₀	69.41 ± 2.54	72.97 ± 3.29	70.66 ± 2.88
	a1 subunit	Cav1.3 _{8a-42}	Cav1.3 _{8a-11-42}	R498L _{8a-11-42}

I

Table 4. Normalized inactivation kinetic parameters of mutation R498L.

r-values represent the fraction of remaining I_{ca} after 50, 100, 250, 500, 1000 and 5000 ms upon a 5 s depolarization to the voltage of maximal inward current (V_{max}). All values are presented as mean ± SEM and originate from >3 independent transfections. Statistics: one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni multiple comparison post-test R498L_{8a-11-42} in comparison to Cav1.3_{8a-42} and Cav1.3_{8a-11-42}; n, number of experiments.

activation of about 5–10 mV [35,39], which has been associated with the development of ventricular arrhythmia and a higher risk for heart failure [39].

We show convincingly that exons 11 and 32 shifts in the voltageintroduce negative dependence of activation and inactivation in Cav1.3_S. These changes appear small but it should be kept in mind that even minor gating changes of Ca²⁺-channels can affect neuronal excitability in a relevant manner. This is also evident from Cav2.1 (CACNA1A) gain-of-function mutations associated with familial hemiplegic migraine type-1. Here, only an about 5 mV shift of current activation to more negative potentials in heterozygous Cav2.1^{S218L} knock-in mice [40] was suffisignificantly enhance synaptic cient to transmission at the neuromuscular junction and to lower the threshold for initiation and the propagation velocity of cortical spreading depression, which is a key player in the pathogenesis of migraine [41,42].

Negative shifts in the voltage-dependence of activation have previously been identified as one of the disease-causing mechanism of de novo CACNA1D missense mutations in individuals with a broad neurodevelopmental disease spectrum [18]. Based on our knowledge that C-terminal splicing differentially affects Cav1.3 channel gating, we routinely characterize such CACNA1D mutations in long and in short splice variants, which are both abundantly expressed in the brain [15,34]. Although small differences exist, we found mutation-induced gating pathology both in long and in short C-terminal splice variants [18,20,30]. Here we show that pathogenic gating changes induced by mutation S652L are fully preserved and essentially additive to the negative shift induced by exon 11. In this way, mutation S652L as well as other mutations that shift voltagedependence of activation to more negative potentials may elicit differential effects in various brain regions depending on the exon composition of the channels. In a neuron of a S652L heterozygous individual alternative splicing within and outside the C-terminus could therefore support a > -25 mV difference in $V_{0.5,act}$ and a > -30 mV difference in V_{0.5,inact} between C-terminally long wild-type ($V_{0.5,act} = -0.18 \text{ mV}$, $V_{0.5,inact} = -25.7 \text{ mV}$

[43]) and short S652L-mutated Cav1.3 channels (Table 2).

We did not identify gating changes for *CACNA1D* variant R498L, which is located in exon 11. Although we cannot exclude that this variant affects channel function through a mechanism not detectable by heterologous expression, our data do not allow to classify this variant as a pathogenic one.

Only few studies systematically analyzed alternative exon 11 and exon 32 splicing in Cav1.3 a1-subunits, which both can be included or skipped and are located in the I-II loop and in the extracellular IVS3-4 linker, respectively. For instance, inclusion of cassette exons 11 and 32 has been identified in human and rat brain and in pancreatic tissue [44-47]. In a recent unpublished report, LaCarubba and colleagues systematically also analyzed the expression of exons 11 and 32 in mouse whole brains at different postnatal ages as well as in fetal and adult human whole brains. They could show that both are upregulated during development and are therefore dominant variants in adult mouse and human brains [38]. Here we could confirm the expression of exons 11 and 32 not only in the brain but also in MCCs and in the cochlea. In addition, we provide evidence that exons 11 and 32 can be present within a single transcript in the brain. Our Western blot analysis also provide indirect evidence that exons 11 and 32 are part of native al subunits in mouse brain.

In this study, we also focused on the splicing of exons 8a/8b, 11 and 32. Exons 8a and 8b are mutually exclusive, located in the first domain in segment 6 (IS6), which forms part of the activation gate of the pore and are essential for channel function. Previous reports revealed a higher expression of exon 8b in the sinoatrial node and cochlear hairs cells, which explains why a Cav1.3 loss-of-function mutation in exon 8b results in bradycardia and congenital deafness (SANDD; OMIM #614,896 [10,48]). Here we show a much higher expression of exon 8b in most brain areas. Therefore, a large reduction of functional Cav1.3 channels must also have occurred in the CNS of these SANDD patients. Interestingly, some brain regions express a higher relative amount of exon 8a-containing channels,

including areas with dopamine neurons (SN, VTA) and the cerebellum. However, based on our data it appears that splicing in this position does not cause major effects on channel gating. Whether exon 8 splicing affects single-channel conductance has yet to be determined. At present, the physiological role of exon 8 splicing remains unknown.

Taken together our results encourage further studies of the role of alternative splicing for the regulation of Cav1.3 channels. In particular, based on the available high-resolution structure of the IVS3-S4 linker region molecular modeling should aid in generating a testable hypothesis about how exon 32 can affect channel gating. Moreover, novel Cav1.3 splicevariants identified by long-read sequencing as recently described for Cav1.2 [33] may even further expand the functional diversity of Cav1.3 channels [49,50].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF P27809, CavX/Doc-30-B30, MCBO/W1101). We thank Dr. Nadine J. Ortner for helpful advice and support during this study.

Disclosure statement

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Nadja T. Hofer (b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2812-2945 Alexandra Pinggera (b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7120-6194 Yuliia V. Nikonishyna (b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9435-3610

Petronel Tuluc b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3660-6138 Eva M. Fritz b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2936-2622 Gerald J. Obermair b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0005-8563 Jörg Striessnig b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9406-7120

References

 Striessnig J, Pinggera A, Kaur G, et al. L-type Ca²⁺ channels in heart and brain. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Membr Transp Signal. 2014;3(2):15–38.

- [2] Zamponi GW, Striessnig J, Koschak A, et al. The physiology, pathology, and pharmacology of voltage-gated calcium channels and their future therapeutic potential. Pharmacol Rev. 2015;67:821–870.
- [3] Alexander SP, Striessnig J, Kelly E, et al. THE CONCISE GUIDE TO PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18: voltage-gated ion channels. Br J Pharmacol. 2017;174 (Suppl 1):S160-s94.
- [4] Koschak A, Reimer D, Huber I, et al. α1D (Cav1.3) Subunits Can Form L-type Ca²⁺ Channels Activating at Negative Voltages. J Biol Chem. 2001;276 (25):22100–22106.
- [5] Mangoni ME, Couette B, Bourinet E, et al. Functional role of L-type Ca_v1.3 Ca²⁺ channels in cardiac pacemaker activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100 (9):5543–5548.
- [6] Marcantoni A, Baldelli P, Hernandez-Guijo JM, et al. L-type calcium channels in adrenal chromaffin cells: role in pace-making and secretion. Cell Calcium. 2007; 42 (4-5):397–408.
- [7] Platzer J, Engel J, Schrott-Fischer A, et al. Congenital Deafness and Sinoatrial Node Dysfunction in Mice Lacking Class D L-Type Ca2+ Channels. Cell. 2000;102(1):89–97.
- [8] Brandt A, Striessnig J, Moser T. Ca V 1.3 Channels Are Essential for Development and Presynaptic Activity of Cochlear Inner Hair Cells. J Neurosci. 2003;23 (34):10832–10840.
- [9] Olson PA, et al. G-Protein-Coupled Receptor Modulation of Striatal CaV1.3 L-Type Ca2+ Channels Is Dependent on a Shank-Binding Domain. J Neurosci. 2005;25(5):1050-1062.
- [10] Baig SM, Koschak A, Lieb A, et al. Loss of Cav1.3 (CACNA1D) function in a human channelopathy with bradycardia and congenital deafness. Nat Neurosci. 2011;14(1):77–84..
- [11] Guzman JN, Sanchez-Padilla J, Chan CS, et al. Robust pacemaking in substantia nigra dopaminergic neurons. J Neurosci. 2009;29(35):11011–11019.
- [12] Liss B, Striessnig J. The Potential of L-Type Calcium Channels as a Drug Target for Neuroprotective Therapy in Parkinson's Disease. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2019;59(1):263–289.
- [13] Singh A, Gebhart M, Fritsch R, et al. Modulation of Voltage- and Ca²⁺ Gating of Ca V 1.3 L-type Calcium Channels by Alternative Splicing of a C-terminal Regulatory Domain. J Biol Chem. 2008;283 (30):20733–20744.
- [14] Tan BZ, Jiang F, Tan MY, et al. Functional Characterization of Alternative Splicing in the C Terminus of L-type Cav1.3 Channels. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(49):42725-42735.
- [15] Bock G, Gebhart M, Scharinger A, et al. Functional Properties of a Newly Identified C-terminal Splice Variant of Cav1.3 L-type Ca²⁺++ Channels. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(49):42736-42748.

- [16] Ortner NJ, Bock G, Dougalis A, et al. Lower Affinity of Isradipine for L-Type Ca²⁺ Channels during Substantia Nigra Dopamine Neuron-Like Activity: implications for Neuroprotection in Parkinson's Disease. J Neurosci. 2017;37(28):6761–6777.
- [17] Scharinger A, Eckrich S, Vandael DH, et al. Cell-typespecific tuning of Cav1.3 Ca²⁺-channels by a C-terminal automodulatory domain. Tbr1. 2015;9:309.
- [18] Pinggera A, Negro G, Tuluc P, et al. Gating defects of disease-causing de novo mutations in Cav1.3 Ca²⁺ channels. Channels (Austin). 2018;12(1):388-402.
- [19] Pinggera A, Striessnig SJ. Ca v 1.3 (CACNA1D) L-type Ca^{2+} channel dysfunction in CNS disorders. J Physiol. 2016;594(20):5839–5849.
- [20] Hofer NT, Tuluc P, Ortner NJ, et al. Biophysical classification of a CACNA1D de novo mutation as a high-risk mutation for a severe neurodevelopmental disorder. Mol Autism. 2020;11:4.
- [21] Xu W, Lipscombe D. Neuronal Cav1.3α 1 L-Type Channels Activate at Relatively Hyperpolarized Membrane Potentials and Are Incompletely Inhibited by Dihydropyridines. J Neurosci. 2001;21(16):5944–5951.
- [22] Liu N, Liu Y, Yang Y, et al. Linker flexibility of IVS3-S4 loops modulates voltage-dependent activation of L-type Ca²⁺ channels. Channel (Austin, Tex). 2017;11(1):34-45.
- [23] Ortner NJ, Striessnig J. De novo CACNA1D Ca²⁺ channelopathies: clinical phenotypes and molecular mechanism. Pflüger's Arch. 2020;472(7):755-773.
- [24] Tumienė B, Maver A, Writzl K, et al. Diagnostic exome sequencing of syndromic epilepsy patients in clinical practice. Clin Genet. 2018;93(5):1057–1062.
- [25] Ortner NJ, Bock G, Vandael DHF, et al. Pyrimidine-2,4,6-triones are a new class of voltage-gated L-type Ca²⁺ channel activators. Nat Commun. 2014;5(1):3897.
- [26] Lieb A, Ortner N, Striessnig J. C-Terminal Modulatory Domain Controls Coupling of Voltage-Sensing to Pore Opening in Cav1.3 L-type Ca²⁺ Channels. Biophys J. 2014;106(7):1467–1475.
- [27] Paxinos G, Franklin KBJ. The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. London: Elsevier Academic Press; 2004.
- [28] Schlick B, Flucher BE, Obermair GJ. Voltage-activated calcium channel expression profiles in mouse brain and cultured hippocampal neurons. Neuroscience. 2010;167(3):786–798.
- [29] Pinggera A, Lieb A, Benedetti B, et al. CACNA1D de novo mutations in autism spectrum disorders activate Cav1.3 L-type calcium channels. Biol Psychiatry. 2015;77(9):816-822.
- [30] Pinggera A, Mackenroth L, Rump A, et al. New gain-of-function mutation shows CACNA1D as recurrently mutated gene in autism spectrum disorders and epilepsy. Hum Mol Genet. 2017;26(15):2923–2932.
- [31] Scholl UI, Goh G, Stolting G, et al. Somatic and germline CACNA1D calcium channel mutations in aldosterone-producing adenomas and primary aldosteronism. Nature Genetics. 2013;45(9):1050–1054.

- [32] Flanagan SE, Vairo F, Johnson MB, et al. A CACNA1D mutation in a patient with persistent hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia, heart defects, and severe hypotonia. Pediatr Diabetes. 2017;18(4):320–323.
- [33] Clark MB, Wrzesinski T, Garcia AB, et al. Long-read sequencing reveals the complex splicing profile of the psychiatric risk gene CACNA1C in human brain. Mol Psychiatry. 2020;25(1):37–47.
- [34] Huang H, Yu D, Soong TW. C-Terminal Alternative Splicing of Ca V 1.3 Channels Distinctively Modulates Their Dihydropyridine Sensitivity. Mol Pharmacol. 2013;84(4):643–653.
- [35] Coste de Bagneaux P, Campiglio M, Benedetti B, et al. Role of putative voltage-sensor countercharge D4 in regulating gating properties of Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 calcium channels. Channels (Austin). 2018;12(1):249–261.
- [36] Kaur G, Pinggera A, Ortner NJ, et al. A Polybasic Plasma Membrane Binding Motif in the I-II Linker Stabilizes Voltage-gated CaV1.2 Calcium Channel Function. J Biol Chem. 2015;290:21086–21100.
- [37] Wu J, Yan Z, Li Z, et al. Structure of the voltage-gated calcium channel Cav1.1 at 3.6 Å resolution. Nature. 2016;537(7619):191–196.
- [38] LaCarubba B, Bunda A, Savage K, et al. Developmental and cell-specific expression of Cacna1d splice variants. bioRxiv. 2019. doi:10.1101/598722.
- [39] Li G, Wang J, Liao P, et al. Exclusion of alternative exon 33 of Cav1.2 calcium channels in heart is proarrhythmogenic. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(21):E4288–E95.
- [40] Van den Maagdenberg AMJM, Pizzorusso T, Kaja S, et al. High cortical spreading depression susceptibility and migraine-associated symptoms in Cav2.1 S218L mice. Ann Neurol. 2010;67(1):85-98.
- [41] Pietrobon D. CaV2.1 channelopathies. Pflugers Arch. 2010;460(2):375–393.
- [42] Pietrobon D, Striessnig J. Neurobiology of migraine. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003;4:386–398.
- [43] Ortner NJ, Pinggera A, Hofer NT, et al. RBP2 stabilizes slow Cav1.3 Ca2+ channel inactivation properties of cochlear inner hair cells. Pflugers Arch. 2020;472:3-25.
- [44] Williams ME, Feldman DH, McCue AF, et al. Structure and functional expression of alpha 1, alpha 2, and beta subunits of a novel human neuronal calcium channel subtype. Neuron. 1992;8(1):71-84.
- [45] Ihara Y, Yamada Y, Fujii Y, et al. Molecular diversity and functional characterization of voltage- dependent calcium channels (CACN4) expressed in pancreatic beta-cells. Mol Endocrinol. 1995;9:121–130.
- [46] Perez-Reyes E, Castellano A, Kim HS, et al. Cloning and expression of a cardiac/brain beta subunit of the L-type calcium channel. J Biol Chem. 1992;267:1792–1797.
- [47] Seino S, Chen L, Seino M, et al. Cloning of the alpha 1 subunit of a voltage-dependent calcium channel expressed in pancreatic beta cells.. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1992;89(2):584–588.

52 🕢 N. T. HOFER ET AL.

- [48] Liaqat K, Schrauwen I, Raza SI, et al. Identification of CACNA1D variants associated with sinoatrial node dysfunction and deafness in additional Pakistani families reveals a clinical significance. Journal of Human –Genetics. 2019;64(2):153–160.
- [49] Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus

recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015;17(5):405–423.

[50] Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, et al. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol. 2002;3(7):research0034.1.