<u>KEVIEW F</u>

REVIEW ARTICLE

Prevention of Contrast-induced Nephropathy in Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention



Raymond Pranata^{1,*} and Dendi Puji Wahyudi¹

¹Department of Cardiology and Vascular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: May 02, 2023 Revised: August 21, 2023 Accepted: August 31, 2023

DOI: 10.2174/011573403X260319231016075216



Abstract: Contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) or contrast-induced acute kidney injury has varying definitions, but in general, increased serum creatinine level by ≥ 0.3 mg/dL (26.5 μmol/L) or 1.5x of baseline value or urine output <0.5 mL/kg/h within 1-7 days after contrast media (CM) administration can be considered as CIN. CIN is one of the most common complications and is associated with increased mortality in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Thus, risk stratification for CIN should be made and preventive strategies should be employed in which the intensity of the approach must be tailored to patient's risk profile. In all patients, adequate hydration is required, nephrotoxic medications should be discontinued, and pre-procedural high-intensity statin is recommended. In patients with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 mL/min/1.73 m², IV hydration should be started 12 hours preprocedure up until 12-24 hours after the procedure. Remote ischemic preconditioning may be performed pre-procedurally. Radial first approach for vascular access is recommended. During the procedure, low or iso-osmolar CM should be used and its volume should be limited to eGFR x 3.7. In patients at high risk for CIN, additional contrast-sparing strategies may be applied, such as using a contrast reduction system, 5 Fr catheter with no sideholes, CM dilution, limiting test injection, confirming placement using guidewire, use of stent enhancing imaging technology, using metallic/software roadmap to guide PCI, use of IVUS or dextran-based OCT, and coronary aspiration. A more advanced hydration technique based on central venous pressure, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure, or using furosemide-matched hydration, might be considered.

Keywords: Nephropathy, kidney, injury, prevention, percutaneous coronary intervention, adequate hydration, nephrotoxic medications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) or contrast-induced acute kidney injury has varying definitions (Table 1) [1-4]. Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcome (KDIGO) defined it as serum creatinine level increase by ≥ 0.3 mg/dL (26.5 µmol/L) within 48 h or >1.5-1.9 of the baseline value within 7 days or urine output <0.5 mL/kg/h after contrast media (CM) administration. European Society of Urogenital Radiology defined it as serum creatinine level increase by ≥ 0.5 mg/dL (44.2 mol/L) or >25% of the baseline value 48-72 h after CM administration [4]. In general, increased serum creatinine level by ≥ 0.3 mg/dL (26.5 µmol/L) or 1.5x of baseline value or urine output <0.5 mL/kg/h within 1-7 days after CM administration can be considered as CIN.

CIN is one of the most common complications in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

An NCDR Cath-PCI registry showed the incidence of CIN as 7.1%, and 0.3% of the patients required dialysis [5]. Inhospital mortality was found to be significantly increased in patients with AKI (9.7%) and in those requiring dialysis (34%), compared to those without AKI (0.5%). AKI and dialysis were independent predictors of in-hospital mortality after multivariate adjustment. The incidence of AKI was 5.2% in eGFR >60 mL/min/1.73 m², 8.0% in eGFR 45-60 mL/min/1.73 m², 12.9% in eGFR 30-45 mL/min/1.73 m², and 26.6% in eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m². Another analysis derived from the NCDR Cath-PCI registry showed that patients with CIN had an increased risk of death, myocardial infarction, bleeding, and recurrent renal injury within one year after discharge [6].

2. PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Iodinated contrast may cause direct injury through tubular toxicity property and reperfusion injury *via* free-radical mediated vasoconstriction due to vasospasm [7-9]. CM increases the viscosity of tubular fluid causing obstruction, and it may also induce the loss of polarity in tubular cells leading

©2024 Bentham Science Publishers

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Cardiology and Vascular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia; E-mail: raymond pranata@hotmail.com

Table 1. Definition of contrast-induced nephropathy.

-	KDIGO [1]	AKIN [2]	ADQI [3]	ESUR[4]
SCr (mg/dL)	≥ 0.3 within 48 hours	\geq 0.3 within 48 hours	-	≥ 0.5 within 48-72 hours
SCr (multiplier)	1.5-1.9x within past 7 days	1.5-2.0x	≥ 1.5x over 1-7 days, sustained for >24 hours	≥ 1.25x within 48-72 hours
eGFR	-	-	Decrease ≥25% over 1-7 days, sustained for >24 hours	-
Urine Output (mg/kg/h)	<0.5 for 6-12 hours	<0.5 for >6 hours	<0.5 for 6-12 hours	-

Abbreviations: SCr: Serum Creatinine; eGFR: estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; KDIGO: Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes; AKIN: Acute Kidney Injury Report; ADQI: Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative; ESUR: European Society of Urogenital Radiology.

to apoptosis and necrosis, and increase sodium delivery to distal tubules, triggering tubuloglomerular feedback and subsequently causing renal vasoconstriction. Disturbances in renal blood flow cause arteriolar vasoconstriction and increased blood osmolality and viscosity. Consequently, this condition induces ischemia and microvascular thrombosis, and impairs renal function [10].

3. RISK FACTORS

The presence of pre-existing renal disease is the main risk factor for CIN, exhibiting a non-linear relationship [5]. Diabetes is also a risk factor for CIN, regardless of the concomitant nephropathy. Elevated pre-procedural glucose levels are associated with the risk of CIN, which might be mediated by endothelial dysfunction, increased activation of prothrombotic factors, vascular inflammation, and reactive oxygen species production [11]. Elderly individuals may have a decline in renal function, characterized by a reduction in GFR, tubular secretion, and the ability to control urine. They may also have difficult vascular access and more complex coronary artery disease, necessitating more complex intervention, thus increasing the need for CM use [12]. The presence of anemia has the potential to contribute to renal ischemia, consequently leading to susceptibility to CIN. A study showed the risk of CIN to be higher in patients with lower baseline hematocrit. A study showed that for every 3% decrease in baseline hematocrit, the risk of CIN increased by 11% in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and 23% in patients without CKD. Multivariate analysis showed that a decrease in hematocrit was independent of the presence of CKD [13]. Congestive heart failure (CHF), reduced left ventricle systolic function, dehydration, hypotension, and intraaortic balloon pump use were associated with increased CIN risk [12]. Patients with CHF show an increased risk for hemodynamic instability, which may lead to renal hypoperfusion. Renal congestion and poor systolic function lead to deterioration of renal function. The utilization of an intraaortic balloon pump may indicate the presence of hemodynamic instability, necessitating further hemodynamic support. Moreover, the utilization of an intra-aortic balloon pump has been associated with atheroembolism and the partial obstruction of renal blood flow [12].

The Mehran Risk Score is widely used to provide risk stratification for CIN and dialysis in patients undergoing PCI [14]. The risk score is based on risk factors, including hypo-

tension, use of intra-aortic balloon pump, CHF, age >75 years, anemia, diabetes, CM volume, serum creatinine, or eGFR. This score has also been validated in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) undergoing primary PCI [15]. The risk score should not be used in patients with end-stage renal disease on dialysis and patients with contrast exposure within 1 week of the index procedure.

4. CONTRAST MEDIA

Nonionic, low-osmolar contrast media (LOCM) should be used for most patients and iso-osmolar contrast (IOCM) agents can be considered in patients with chronic kidney disease, although studies have shown no benefit of iso-osmolar compared to low-osmolar contrast [16]. A meta-analysis of 10 studies demonstrated IOCM vs. LOCM in patients with CKD stage 3 and above undergoing coronary angiography to not be associated with a reduction in CIN [OR=0.72 (CI: 0.50-1.04), p=0.08] [17].

The amount of CM use correlates with the risk of CIN; thus, it is important to restrict CM utilization. SCAI expert consensus statement: 2016 best practices in the cardiac catheterization laboratory and ESC/EACTS 2018 Guideline on Myocardial Revascularization recommend a maximum CM limit of eGFR x 3.7 per procedure [16, 18]. This is based on the ratio of contrast volume to creatinine clearance ratio of 3.7 that has been found to be predictive of CIN (OR of 3.84, 95% CI 2.0 to 7.3; p < 0.001) after adjustment [19].

5. PRE-PROCEDURAL MEDICATIONS

Various pre-procedural medications have been investigated to reduce the risk of CIN. However, most of the studies have shown a high risk of bias and small-study effects upon the pooling of studies. Thus, only statin is currently recommended in the guidelines [20].

Rosuvastatin has been shown to reduce the incidence of CIN. The PRATO-ACS trial demonstrated that patients with non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome (ACS) who were administered 40 mg rosuvastatin at admission, followed by 20 mg daily, had a reduced incidence of CIN compared to those who did not receive any statin treatment (OR 0.38; 95% CI 0.20-0.71, p=0.003) [21]. TRACK-D study involving 2998 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and CKD undergoing coronary or peripheral arterial angiography with or without PCI showed stat rosuvastatin 10 mg daily to re-

duce CIN incidence (2.3% vs. 3.9%, p=0.01) compared to standard-of-care [22]. Additionally, PRATO-ACS and TRACK-D studies showed reduced incidence of worsening heart failure and adverse cardiovascular and renal events, such as death, dialysis, myocardial infarction, stroke, or persistent renal damage. Currently, the 2021 ACC/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Coronary Artery Revascularization suggests pre-treatment with high-intensity statins [20]. ESC/EACTS 2018 Guideline on Myocardial Revascularization recommends rosuvastatin 40/20 mg or atorvastatin 80 mg for the prevention of CIN [18].

The acetylcysteine for contrast-induced nephropathy trial (ACT) showed that in 2308 patients undergoing an intravascular angiographic procedure with at least one risk factor for contrast-induced acute kidney injury, the incidence of CIN was similar in both n-acetylcysteine and control groups (12.7% and 12.7%) [23]. The combined endpoint of death and dialysis was similar in both the groups. PRESERVE trial showed that N-acetylcysteine 1200 mg 1 hour before angiography and 1 hour after angiography in 5177 patients with high risk for renal complications, continued twice daily for four days, showed no benefit of IV sodium bicarbonate over IV sodium chloride or oral N-acetylcysteine over placebo for the prevention of death, need for dialysis, or a persistent decline in kidney function at 90 days or for the prevention of CIN [24]. Currently, the 2021 ACC/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Coronary Artery Revascularization suggests to not administer N-acetyl-L-cysteine to prevent CI-AKI [20].

A meta-analysis of 7 randomized controlled trials showed nicorandil as associated with a reduction in CIN [OR 0.31 (0.20, 0.46), p < 0.001] [25]. A study conducted by Ko et al. revealed a non-significant effect of nicorandil administration; however, it is worth noting that this study was the earliest and the sole investigation that administered intravenous nicorandil. Other studies have shown a statistically significant reduction in CIN. A meta-analysis concluded nicorandil to have moderate certainty of evidence; however, it is important to note that all the studies included in the study were conducted in Asia. Therefore, additional research is required to validate whether these findings can be generalized to other populations. Trimetazidine was shown to significantly reduce the incidence of CIN in a pooled meta-analysis of 7 studies [RR 0.46 (0.34, 0.63), p < 0.001] [26].

Discontinuation of metformin and nephrotoxic drugs has also been recommended, while discontinuation of reninangiotensin-aldosterone inhibitors remains controversial [27, 28].

6. HYDRATION

Hydration dilutes contrast concentration in the glomerular filtrate and reduces oxygen consumption due to less sodium reabsorption. Intravenous hydration should be administered in moderate and high-risk patients based on the Mehran risk score. An intravenous pre-hydration should be given using NaCl 0.9% for 1 mL/kg/h for 12 hours (or 3 mL/kg for 1 hour) and continued post-procedurally using NaCl 0.9% for 1 mL/kg/h for 12-24 hours (0.5 mL/kg/h if LVEF \leq 35% or NYHA >II) [18, 28]. The fluid must be preferably normal saline because no advantages have been shown by other solutions [27].

In a study by Qian *et al.*, central venous pressure (CVP)-guided fluid administration reduced CIN incidence (15.9% $vs.\ 29.5\%$; p=0.006), and CVP-guided fluid administration resulted in higher fluid administration (1,827±497 ml $vs.\ 1,202\pm247$ ml; p<0.001) without increased acute heart failure incidence (3.8% $vs.\ 3.0\%$; p=0.500). A subgroup analysis showed LVEF <40% to provide more benefit (17.5% $vs.\ 33.3\%$; p=0.031), and the patients with CVP level <6 cmH2O received the greatest benefit from the CVP-guided hydration (10.7% $vs.\ 37.5\%$; p=0.045) [29].

POSEIDON randomized controlled trial evaluated fluid administration based on LV-end diastolic pressure (LVEDP), where CIN occurred less frequently (6.7%) in patients subjected to LVEDP-guided hydration compared to the control group (16.3%) (RR 0.41, 95%CI 0.22–0.79; p=0.005). In this study, bolus infusion at 3 mL/kg for 1 h was given before the procedure. LVEDP-guided protocol followed 5 mL/kg/h for LVEDP <13 mmHg, 3 mL/kg/h for LVEDP of 13–18 mm Hg, and 1.5 mL/kg/h for LVEDP >18 mmHg. The control group received 1.5 mL/kg/h fluid administration. The fluid rate was set at the start of the procedure, continued intra-procedure, and for 4 h post-procedure.

Furosemide with matched hydration (FMH) using RenalGuard aimed to produce high urine output and fluid balancing, which diluted CM and increased its excretion. This was achieved by 250 ml IV bolus of NaCl 0.9%, followed by IV furosemide bolus (0.5 mg/kg); the infusion was given precisely and adjusted based on the patient's urine output. The device measures the patient's urine output and infuses NaCl 0.9% to balance the fluid status, thus enabling rapid removal of CM while also maintaining fluid balance. The coronary procedure was started once the urine output was >300 mL/h. In the matched hydration compared to standard hydration for contrast-induced nephropathy prevention (MYTHOS) trial, the incidence of CIN was lower in the FMH group at 4.6% vs. 18%, p=0.005 [29]. The incidence of in-hospital complications was also lower in the FMH group at 8% vs. 18%. Renal insufficiency after contrast media administration trial II (REMEDIAL II) showed that FMH reduced CIN compared to the sodium carbonate + Nacetylcysteine group (11% vs. 20.5%; OR, 0.47; 95% CI 0.24-0.92) [30]. This method resulted in high urine flow, speeding up the release of contrast through the tubules, diluting the concentration and contact time of contrast, and also reducing the oxygen demand of the kidney.

7. REMOTE ISCHEMIC PRECONDITIONING

Remote ischemic preconditioning can be performed by inflating blood pressure cuffs at the patient's limb for three or four cycles of 5 minutes of inflation (ischemia) and 5 minutes of deflation (reperfusion). This exerts an ischemia-protecting effect on the renal medulla by reducing the reperfusion injury [7-9]. Ischemia protection has been reported to be achieved through the reperfusion injury salvage kinase (RISK) pathway, hypoxia-inducible factor system, and endogenous antioxidant system, suppressing the induction of renal inflammation and preventing renal tubular cell apoptosis [31]. Neuro-humoral substances, such as opioids, micro-RNA-144, bradykinin, erythropoietin, prostaglandins, nitric oxide, O-linked β -N-acetylglucosamine, and adenosine are

also activated through ischemic preconditioning [31, 32]. A meta-analysis showed the reduced incidence of CIN (OR 0.41 (95% CI 0.29-0.58, p<0.001) in patients undergoing PCI [33]. Time \leq 45 minutes, \leq 60 minutes, and \leq 120 minutes before the procedure, and 4 cycles or 3 cycles protocol have similar effectiveness in terms of CIN reduction. Three cycles are preferable in STEMI and other patients that require immediate intervention.

8. PROCEDURAL STRATEGIES

Procedural strategies to reduce the risk of CIN revolve mainly around contrast-sparing strategies and reducing CM delivery to systemic circulation.

8.1. Radial Access

The AKI-MATRIX trial showed that radial access resulted in less AKI compared to femoral access (15.4% vs. 17.4%, OR 0.87; 95%CI 0.77-0.98; p = 0.0181). The benefit was more pronounced in patients at greater risk for AKI [34]. Radial access is associated with less bleeding risk, thus reducing the risk of hemodynamic instability and renal ischemia. Using radial access also reduces the manipulation of the abdominal aorta.

8.2. Biplane Angiography

The RAMBO trial evaluated biplane *versus* monoplane fluoroscopy in patients undergoing PCI [35]. Biplane angiography was associated with lower contrast use (92 mL vs. 108 mL, p<0.001), but higher radiation exposure at the left arm (4 vs. 2 uSv, p<0.001) and higher dose area product (11,955 vs. 8,349 mGy*cm², p<0.001) without difference in fluoroscopy time (4.4 min vs. 4.3 min, p=0.89). Thus biplane fluoroscopy can be considered in patients with a high risk of CIN, but the default approach should be monoplane fluoroscopy.

8.3. DyeVert System

The DyeVertTM contrast reduction system (Osprey Medical Inc., Minnetonka, MN, USA) and the next-generation DyeVert™ Plus Contrast Reduction System (Plus System, OsPrey Medical) provide pathway resistance to the fluid using a dedicated diversion valve. The diversion valve self-adjusts to the manual injection pressure to divert CM into the reservoir chamber within the module. This excess CM volume transferred to the reservoir is thought to contribute to reflux to the aortic root, rather than to opacification of coronary arteries. This diverted volume of CM does not enter the patient. The DyeVertTM contrast reduction system (Osprey Medical Inc., Minnetonka, MN, USA) leads to a 41.0% reduction in CM volume (36.9±10.9 mL *versus* 62.5±12.7mL, *p*<0.001) with non-inferior image quality (p=0.03) [36]. A multicenter study on patients with eGFR 20-60 mL/min/1.73 m² showed that the use of DyeVertTM Plus Contrast Reduction System (Plus System, OsPrey Medical) resulted in CM volume savings of $40.1 \pm 8.8\%$ (95%CI 38.4 - 41.8; p < 0.0001) per procedure [37]. Image quality was maintained in all but one case in which the system was turned off for one injection.

8.4. Angiography and PCI Techniques

In order to enhance the contrast use efficiency, the utilization of 5-Fr catheters without side-holes for CM injection can be employed [38]. Additionally, diluting CM with 50%

NaCl 0.9% reduced the total CM administered without compromising image quality [39]. To achieve a further decrease in contrast media (CM) administration, it is recommended to restrict the volume of CM each injection to 2 mL. The operator can also reduce test injections, and instead of injecting CM, the operator may confirm guiding catheter engagement by a guidewire, and if the operator encounters difficulties during side branch wiring, intravascular ultrasound in liveview mode can be used to guide wiring [38]. Displaying videos of previous coronary angiography side-by-side with the current fluoroscopy can reduce the need for acquiring new images. Stent enhancement techniques (e.g., StentBoost, Philips and ClearStent, Siemens) can provide clear visualization of the stent; thus, these can be useful post-PCI to reduce cine angiography. To avoid continuous injection of CM during wiring and coronary intervention, the operator can use additional guidewires to create a metallic roadmap of target vessels and their branches; alternatively, software, such as Dynamic 3D Roadmaps and Philips, can be used to guide PCI [38].

8.5. IVUS and Dextran-based OCT

The MOZART study evaluated intravascular ultrasound (IVUS)-guided PCI to minimize contrast use. Non-contrast fluoroscopy and cine may be used to visualize the stent limits and borders, identify the IVUS probe position inside the vessel, and register balloon expansion. Baseline IVUS determines whether lesion preparation is required or direct stenting can be performed. Pre-dilation is evaluated using IVUS rather than angiography and stent sizing is based on IVUS. Manual IVUS imaging is conducted to precisely identify the proximal and distal reference spots. IVUS is used to determine whether subsequent dilatation or stenting is needed. The median CM volume in the angiography group in the study has been found to be 64.5 ml and 20.0 ml in the IVUS-guided group (p < 0.001) [39]. Thus, this technique is feasible and should be considered in patients at high risk for CIN.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) can provide a high-resolution image and distinguish between lipid-rich plaques, fibrous plaques, calcium, and thrombus in a lesion. Like IVUS, OCT can be used to guide PCI and minimize angiographic acquisition. However, OCT requires red blood cells' displacement, and usually, CM is used for this purpose. Low-molecular-weight dextran has been proposed to replace CM, and a study showed that in 3418 cross-sections (1,709 with contrast and 1,709 with dextran), clear image segments of cross-sections were similar in contrast and dextran groups (97.0% vs. 96.7%, p=0.45). With similar mean lumen areas (correlation coefficient of 0.984) [40], there was no complication related to OCT imaging in both the contrast and dextran groups. Thus low-molecular weight dextran may be used as a CM alternative for OCT imaging in patients at high risk for CIN.

8.6. Coronary Sinus Aspiration

Coronary sinus aspiration is performed by cannulating the coronary sinus *via* the subclavian or femoral vein, and then aspiration is performed through the transseptal sheath or balloon occlusion catheter (determined based on coronary sinus caliber compared to the sheath) simultaneously during each coronary injection, in which fluoroscopy is continued

Table 2. Strategies to prevent contrast-induced nephropathy

Pre-Procedural	Intra-Procedure	Post-Procedural	
NaCl 0.9% for 1 mL/kg/h for 12 hours before procedure (0.5 mL/kg/h if LVEF ≤35% or NYHA >II)	Displaying videos of previous coronary angi- ography to reduce the need for acquiring new images	NaCl 0.9% for 1 mL/kg/h for 12-24 hours after procedure (0.5 mL/kg/h if LVEF ≤35% or NYHA >II)	
Discontinue metformin and nephrotoxic drugs	Biplane angiography	Continue high-intensity statin	
High-intensity statin	Low or iso-osmolar contrast, Dilute contrast by 50%		
Remote ischemic pre-conditioning	Radial Access		
	Use 5-Fr catheters with no side-holes		
	Hydration using RenalGuard [™] , LVEDP, or CVP guided		
	Limit contrast use < eGFR x 3.7		
	Limiting CM volume per injection (2 mL/injection)		
_	Aspirate contrast from guiding catheter before introducing equipment	-	
	Reduce test injection, use guidewire or IVUS instead		
	Use DyeVert TM Contrast Reduction system		
	Use stent enhancement technology		
	Use metallic/software roadmap to map vessels		
	IVUS or dextran-based OCT guided PCI		
	Coronary Sinus Aspiration		

Abbreviations: CM: contrast media; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CVP: central venous pressure; LVEDP: left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; IVUS: intravascular ultrasound; OCT: optical coherence tomography; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; NYHA: New York Heart Association

until appearance of contrast in CS and the clearance by aspiration. In a study, the mean fraction of aspirated contrast has been found to be $39.35\pm10.47\%$ in the coronary sinus aspiration group. The incidence of CIN was reduced in the coronary sinus aspiration group (5.55% vs.36%, p=0.028) and there was no significant difference between the post-procedural Hb in both groups (p=0.06). Coronary sinus cannulation time was 19.27 ± 3.54 minutes and time to clearance of contrast was 11.3 ± 0.73 seconds. The technique is cumbersome, but it may be considered in patients at very high risk of developing CIN. The fluoroscopy time is also prolonged due to the waiting time until the contrast is fully cleared.

CONCLUSION

Risk stratification for CIN should be performed in patients who are planned for coronary angiography or PCI. Following risk stratification, preventive measures should be implemented (Table 2), particularly in individuals deemed to be at high risk for developing CIN for whom a more intensive approach is warranted. In all patients, adequate hydration is required, nephrotoxic medications should be discontinued, and pre-procedural high-intensity statin is recommended. In patients with eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m², IV hydration should be started 12 hours before the procedure up until 12-24 hours after the procedure. Remote ischemic pre-

conditioning may be performed pre-procedurally. Radial first approach for vascular access is recommended. Intraprocedure, low or iso-osmolar CM should be used, and its volume should be limited to eGFR x 3.7. In patients at high risk for CIN, additional contrast-sparing strategies may be applied, such as DyeVertTM contrast reduction system, 5 Fr catheter with no sideholes, CM dilution, limiting test injection, confirming placement using guidewire, use of stent enhancing imaging technology, using metallic/software roadmap to guide PCI, use of IVUS or dextran-based OCT, and coronary aspiration. A more advanced hydration technique based on CVP, LVEDP, or using RenalGuardTM, might be considered. Although most studies on CIN prevention have not investigated long-term MACE, it is expected that by preventing CIN and dialysis, MACE can also be reduced. Moreover, several measures listed may have cardioprotective mechanisms, for example, statin. Thus, exhaustive steps should be taken to prevent CIN.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CIN = Contrast-induced Nephropathy

CM = Contrast Media

PCI = Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

CKD = Chronic Kidney Disease

CHF = Congestive Heart Failure

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- Mehta RL, Kellum JA, Shah SV, et al. Acute Kidney Injury Net-[1] work: Report of an initiative to improve outcomes in acute kidney iniury. Crit Care 2007: 11(2): R31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/cc5713 PMID: 17331245
- Levey AS, Eckardt KU, Tsukamoto Y, et al. Definition and classi-[2] fication of chronic kidney disease: A position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney Int 2005; 67(6): 2089-100. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1755.2005.00365.x PMID: 15882252
- Bellomo R, Ronco C, Kellum JA, Mehta RL, Palevsky P. Acute [3] renal failure - definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy and information technology needs: The Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. Crit Care 2004; 8(4): R204-12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/cc2872 PMID: 15312219
- van der Molen AJ, Reimer P, Dekkers IA, et al. Post-contrast acute [4] kidney injury - Part 1: Definition, clinical features, incidence, role of contrast medium and risk factors. Eur Radiol 2018; 28(7): 2845http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00330-017-5246-5 PMID: 29426991
- Tsai TT, Patel UD, Chang TI, et al. Contemporary incidence, pre-[5] dictors, and outcomes of acute kidney injury in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions: Insights from the NCDR Cath-PCI registry. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2014; 7(1): 1-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2013.06.016 PMID: 24456715
- [6] Valle JA, McCoy LA, Maddox TM, et al. Longitudinal risk of adverse events in patients with acute kidney injury after percutaneous coronary intervention. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2017; 10(4): e004439.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.116.004439 PMID: 28404621
- [7] Menting TP, Sterenborg TB, de Waal Y, et al. Remote ischemic preconditioning to reduce contrast-induced nephropathy: A randomized controlled trial. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2015; 50(4):
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2015.04.002 PMID: 26015372
- [8] Sendeski MM. Pathophysiology of renal tissue damage by iodinated contrast media. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2011; 38(5): 292-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1681.2011.05503.x 21348890
- Persson PB, Hansell P, Liss P. Pathophysiology of contrast medi-[9] um-induced nephropathy. Kidney Int 2005; 68(1): 14-22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1755.2005.00377.x 15954892
- [10] Mehran R, Dangas GD, Weisbord SD. Contrast-associated acute kidney injury. N Engl J Med 2019; 380(22): 2146-55. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1805256 PMID: 31141635

- Yin W, Yi Y, Guan X, et al. Preprocedural prediction model for [11] contrast-induced nephropathy patients. J Am Heart Assoc 2017; http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.004498 PMID: 28159819
- Mehran R, Nikolsky E. Contrast-induced nephropathy: Definition, [12] epidemiology, and patients at risk. Kidney Int 2006; 69(100): S11
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5000368 PMID: 16612394
- Nikolsky E, Mehran R, Lasic Z, et al. Low hematocrit predicts [13] contrast-induced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary interventions. Kidney Int 2005; 67(2): 706-13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1755.2005.67131.x
- [14] Mehran R, Aymong ED, Nikolsky E, et al. A simple risk score for prediction of contrast-induced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004; 44(7): 1393-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2004.06.068 PMID: 15464318
- Sgura FA, Bertelli L, Monopoli D, et al. Mehran contrast-induced [15] nephropathy risk score predicts short- and long-term clinical outcomes in patients with ST-elevation-myocardial infarction. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2010; 3(5): 491-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.110.955310 PMID: 20923986
- Naidu SS, Aronow HD, Box LC, et al. SCAI expert consensus [16] statement: 2016 best practices in the cardiac catheterization laboratory: (Endorsed by the cardiological society of India, and sociedad Latino Americana de Cardiologia intervencionista; Affirmation of value by the Canadian Associatio. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2016; 88(3): 407-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ccd.26551 PMID: 27137680
- [17] Pandya B, Chalhoub JM, Parikh V, et al. Contrast media use in patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing coronary angiography: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. Int J Cardiol 2017; 228: 137-44. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.11.170 PMID: 27863354
- Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS [18] Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. Eur Heart J 2019; 40(2): 87-165. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy394 PMID: 30165437
- [19] Laskev WK, Jenkins C, Selzer F, et al. Volume-to-creatinine clearance ratio: A pharmacokinetically based risk factor for prediction of early creatinine increase after percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007; 50(7): 584-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2007.03.058 PMID: 17692741
- [20] Lawton JS, Tamis-Holland JE, Bangalore S. ACC/AHA/SCAI Guideline for coronary artery revascularization: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American heart association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. Circulation 2022: 145(3) http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001038
- Leoncini M, Toso A, Maioli M, Tropeano F, Villani S, Bellandi F. [21] Early high-dose rosuvastatin for contrast-induced nephropathy prevention in acute coronary syndrome: Results from the PRATO-ACS Study (protective effect of rosuvastatin and antiplatelet therapy on contrast-induced acute kidney injury and myocardial damage in patients with acute coronary syndrome). J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63(1): 71-9.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.04.105 PMID: 24076283 Han Y, Zhu G, Han L, et al. Short-term rosuvastatin therapy for [22] prevention of contrast-induced acute kidney injury in patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63(1): 62-70.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.09.017 PMID: 24076297 [23] Berwanger O. Acetylcysteine for prevention of renal outcomes in patients undergoing coronary and peripheral vascular angiography: Main results from the randomized Acetylcysteine for Contrastinduced nephropathy Trial (ACT). Circulation 2011; 124(11): 1250-9.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.038943 PMID: 21859972
- Weisbord SD, Gallagher M, Jneid H, et al. Outcomes after Angiography with Sodium Bicarbonate and Acetylcysteine. N Engl J Med 2018; 378(7): 603-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1710933 PMID: 29130810

- [25] Pranata R, Vania R, Alkatiri AA, Firman D, Lukito AA. Nicorandil reduces the incidence of contrast-induced nephropathy in patients undergoing coronary angiography/intervention – systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials including GRADE qualification. Cardiovasc Revasc Med 2020; 21(9): 1121-7.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carrev.2020.01.010 PMID: 31959562
- [26] Martha JW, Pranata R, Wibowo A, Irvan I, Afrianti R, Akbar MR. The effect of trimetazidine on contrast-induced nephropathy in patients undergoing coronary angiography and/or percutaneous coronary intervention A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2021; 25(7): 3045-53. http://dx.doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202104_25558 PMID: 33877668
- [27] McCullough PA, Choi JP, Feghali GA, et al. Contrast-Induced Acute Kidney Injury. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016; 68(13): 1465-73. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.099 PMID: 27659469
- [28] Rear R, Bell RM, Hausenloy DJ. Contrast-induced nephropathy following angiography and cardiac interventions. Heart 2016; 102(8): 638-48. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2014-306962 PMID: 26857214
- [29] Qian G, Fu Z, Guo J, Cao F, Chen Y. Prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy by central venous pressure—guided fluid administration in chronic kidney disease and congestive heart failure patients. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2016; 9(1): 89-96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2015.09.026 PMID: 26685074
- [30] Briguori C, Visconti G, Focaccio A, et al. Renal insufficiency after contrast media administration trial II (REMEDIAL II): Renal guard system in high-risk patients for contrast-induced acute kidney injury. Circulation 2011; 124(11): 1260-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.030759 PMID: 21844075
- [31] Dugbartey GJ, Redington AN. Prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy by limb ischemic preconditioning: Underlying mechanisms and clinical effects. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2018; 314(3): F319-28. http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.00130.2017 PMID: 28566501
- [32] Hu J, Wang Y, Zhao S, et al. Remote ischemic preconditioning ameliorates acute kidney injury due to contrast exposure in rats through augmented O-GlcNAcylation. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2018; 2018: 1-15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2018/4895913 PMID: 30186544

- [33] Pranata R, Tondas AE, Vania R, Toruan MPL, Lukito AA, Siswanto BB. Remote ischemic preconditioning reduces the incidence of contrast-induced nephropathy in patients undergoing coronary angiography/intervention: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2020; 96(6): 1200-12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ccd.28709 PMID: 31912996
- [34] Andò G, Cortese B, Russo F, et al. Acute kidney injury after radial or femoral access for invasive acute coronary syndrome management. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017; 69(21): 2592-603. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2017.02.070 PMID: 28528767
- [35] Leistner DM, Schlender LS, Steiner J, et al. A randomised comparison of monoplane versus biplane fluoroscopy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: The RAMBO trial. Euro-Intervention 2020; 16(8): 672-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.4244/EIJ-D-20-00217 PMID: 32392169
- [36] Desch S, Fuernau G, Pöss J, et al. Impact of a novel contrast reduction system on contrast savings in coronary angiography The DyeVert randomised controlled trial. Int J Cardiol 2018; 257: 50-3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.12.107 PMID: 29373136
- [37] Gurm HS, Mavromatis K, Bertolet B, *et al.* Minimizing radiographic contrast administration during coronary angiography using a novel contrast reduction system: A multicenter observational study of the DyeVert™ plus contrast reduction system. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2019; 93(7): 1228-35. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ccd.27935 PMID: 30393942
- [38] Almendarez M, Gurm HS, Mariani J Jr, et al. Procedural strategies to reduce the incidence of contrast-induced acute kidney injury during percutaneous coronary intervention. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2019; 12(19): 1877-88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2019.04.055 PMID: 31521648
- [39] Mariani J Jr, Guedes C, Soares P, et al. Intravascular ultrasound guidance to minimize the use of iodine contrast in percutaneous coronary intervention: The MOZART (Minimizing cOntrast utili-Zation With IVUS Guidance in coRonary angioplasTy) randomized controlled trial. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2014; 7(11): 1287-93.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2014.05.024 PMID: 25326742

 [40] Frick K, Michael TT, Alomar M, et al. Low molecular weight dextran provides similar optical coherence tomography coronary imaging compared to radiographic contrast media. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2014; 84(5): 727-31.