Research Paper

Breeding and characterization of the world's first practical rice variety with resistance to brown spot (*Bipolaris oryzae*) bred using marker-assisted selection

Kengo Matsumoto^{†1)}, Yuya Ota^{†1)}, Tomohiro Yamakawa¹⁾, Teppei Ohno^{1,2)}, Satomi Seta¹⁾, Yuto Honda¹⁾, Ritsuko Mizobuchi³⁾ and Hiroyuki Sato^{*3,4)}

¹⁾ Mie Prefecture Agricultural Research Institute, 530 Ureshinokawakita, Matsusaka, Mie 515-2316, Japan

²⁾ Mie Prefecture Kuwana Agricultural Extension Center, 5-71 Chuo, Kuwana, Mie 511-8567, Japan

³⁾ Institute of Crop Science, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), 2-1-2 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan

⁴⁾ Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 1-2-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8950, Japan

Brown spot (BS) caused by *Bipolaris oryzae* is a serious disease of rice and decreases grain yield. Breeding for BS resistance is an economical solution but has not hitherto been achieved. To develop a practical BS-resistant variety, we introduced a chromosomal segment including a quantitative trait locus (QTL) for BS resistance, *qBSfR11*, derived from the BS-resistant local resource 'Tadukan', into the genetic background of the high-yielding but susceptible 'Mienoyume'. Resistance is controlled by a single recessive gene in a 1.3-Mbp region. We named this gene *bsr1* (*brown spot resistance 1*). The near-isogenic line *bsr1*-NIL had a greater yield with larger grain width than Mienoyume but similar other agronomic traits in fields where BS was more severe, and it showed resistance to multiple isolates of BS fungus. It showed stable resistance to BS and had excellent agricultural traits in the presence of BS. We developed the *bsr1*-NIL with resistance to BS and applied it for variety registration to Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries in Japan as 'Mienoyume BSL'. This is the first report for the BS resistant rice variety bred using marker-assisted selection.

Key Words: Oryza sativa L., disease resistance, brown spot, *qBSfR11*, *bsr1*, near-isogenic line, breeding variety.

Introduction

Brown spot (BS) is a fungal disease that is caused by *Bipolaris oryzae* and infects various parts of rice plants. The incidence of grain yield losses by BS and the use of countermeasures to BS (e.g., silicon fertilization) in the USA and India have been reported (Barnwal *et al.* 2013, Datnoff *et al.* 1991). The rate of yield reduction is up to 20% (Chakrabarti 2001, Kamal and Mia 2009, Ou 1985). It is highly possible that BS will become a more serious disease under global warming because its optimal temperature range for growth of the pathogen is relatively high (Mizobuchi *et al.* 2016).

In Japan in 2019, BS infected 159,482 ha, the thirdlargest area after sheath blight (581,367 ha) and rice blast (451,197 ha) (JPPA 2021). During 1975–2019, the area

Communicated by Toshio Yamamoto

peaked in 1984 (384,836 ha) and has since decreased, but it is gradually increasing again (JPPA 2020). In Niigata prefecture, a decrease in the application of fungicides because of expansion of the use of rice-blast-resistant lines (Ishizaki *et al.* 2005) is presumed to be a reason for the spread of BS (Yamaguchi *et al.* 2007). As many rice-blast-resistant varieties have now been developed, we need to pay more attention to BS.

Some local genetic resources such as 'Tadukan' (Ohata and Kubo 1974, Yoshii and Matsumoto 1951), 'CH45' (Misra 1985), 'Dawn' (Eruotor 1986), and 'Tetep' (Eruotor 1986, Ohata and Kubo 1974, Yoshii and Matsumoto 1951) are resistant to BS, and some quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for resistance have been detected (Mizobuchi *et al.* 2016). We detected a major BS resistance QTL, *qBSfR11*, on chromosome (Chr.) 11 by field resistance tests with recombinant inbred lines derived from crosses between the resistant 'Tadukan' and the susceptible 'Hinohikari' (Sato *et al.* 2015). The Tadukan allele at the QTL also conferred BS resistance in the 'Koshihikari' background (Sato *et al.* 2015). Two other QTLs were detected near *qBSfR11*: *BSq11.2v*, derived from IR62266 (Katara *et al.* 2010), and

Received March 16, 2021. Accepted June 23, 2021.

First Published Online in J-STAGE on September 3, 2021.

^{*}Corresponding author (e-mail: bsato@affrc.go.jp)

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work

qBSR11-kc, from 'CH45' (Matsumoto *et al.* 2017b). However, very few QTLs or genes for resistance to BS have been reported, and there are no reports of the development of BS-resistant varieties by using resistance QTLs.

The aim of this study was to develop a practical variety with resistance to BS. In Mie prefecture, the high-yielding *japonica* variety 'Mienoyume' is grown on about 800 ha of paddy fields. It has resistance to rice blast owing to the presence of the resistance gene *Pita-2*, but not to BS (Yamakawa *et al.* 2002). In our previous study, Mienoyume was one of the varieties most susceptible to BS of about 140 accessions including NIAS core collections of Japanese rice landraces and world rice (Matsumoto *et al.* 2017a). Because Mienoyume has high yield and good grain appearance, we developed near-isogenic lines (NILs) of it with BS resistance. We bred 'Mienoyume BSL', which is the world's first practical variety with resistance to BS bred using marker-assisted selection (MAS). We discuss the stability of resistance to multiple BS strains.

Materials and Methods

Breeding of NILs

Fig. 1 shows the breeding scheme used for the development of the NILs. The donor parent (R307-48-9) was a NIL developed by Sato et al. (2015), in which the major resistance QTL (qBSfR11) on Chr. 11, derived from indica 'Tadukan' (resistant), had been introduced into the genetic background of 'Koshihikari' (susceptible). qBSfR11 was transferred into the Mienoyume background by sequential backcrossing method. During backcrossing or selfing from 2014 to 2015, promising individuals or lines were selected by MAS with simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers (McCouch et al. 2002) based on the target region on Chr. 11. In 2016, six SSR markers at the *qBSfR11* locus were used to verify the size of the substituted segments. In 2017, we evaluated the BS resistance of 52 NILs (19 BC_5F_3 , 33 BC_4F_4), divided into 12 groups based on their generations and genotypes, by field evaluation testing described later (Fig. 2A). The whole genome of six resistant NILs (one in group-9, two in group-10, three in group-11) was surveyed by using 243 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers distributed evenly across the 12 chromosomes (Nagasaki et al. 2010, Supplemental Table 1). A BS-resistant NIL, named bsr1-NIL, was selected as a promising line. In 2018, three more SSR markers (RM27159, RM27163, and RM27244), located downstream of the six SSR markers used in 2016, were used to determine the genotype of bsr1-NIL in the qBSfR11 region and to delimit the chromosomal location of the BS-resistance gene in a group-11 NIL with the shortest Tadukan segment among the BS-resistant lines.

Field trials

Field trials were conducted in the paddy fields at Mie Prefecture Agricultural Research Institute (Mie, Japan) in Matsusaka (34°63'N, 136°48'E) and Iga (34°70'N, 136°13'E).

BS resistance was evaluated in Iga on a scale of 0 (no incidence) to 9 (severe) according to the procedure of Matsumoto *et al.* (2016) by using *B. oryzae* strain Iga-2 (Acc. No. 245177, MAFF Genebank) with two or three replications. In 2016, the inheritance mode of BS resistance was evaluated by using 153 individuals in the BC₄F₂ generation derived from one BC₄F₁ individual that had been confirmed to be heterozygous at the *qBSfR11* locus by using SSR marker RM27073 (McCouch *et al.* 2002). We investigated their RM27073 genotype and BS resistance by field evaluation testing.

Other tests of agronomic traits of bsr1-NIL were conducted in Iga and Matsusaka, with two replications in 2018 and three replications in 2019 and 2020. bsrl-NIL and Mienoyume were transplanted on 14 or 15 May in Matsusaka and on 10 or 11 May in Iga at four seedlings per hill in 120 hills and six rows per replication, with a spacing of $30 \text{ cm} \times$ 15 cm in 2018 and 2019 and 30 cm × 18 cm in 2020. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied at 4.8 g N m⁻² at transplanting and 4.0 g m^{-2} at heading in Matsusaka, and at 5.6 and 3.4 g m^{-2} , respectively, in Iga. Major agronomic traits (days to heading, culm length, panicle length, brown rice yield, panicle number, 1000-grain weight, brown rice protein content, grain appearance, grain shape) were measured each year. Days to heading was calculated as days from transplanting to heading. From the results of these trials, bsrl-NIL was confirmed as a candidate for a practical BS-resistant variety for its high yield.

In 2020, to evaluate the effect of *qBSfR11* on agronomic traits, we grew bsr1-NIL and Mienoyume in a part of the test field where BS was more severe after heading. Seedlings were transplanted on 28 May at four seedlings per hill in 80 hills and four rows per replication (three replications), with a spacing of 30 cm × 15 cm. Slow-release N fertilizer was applied at 7.5 g N m⁻² at transplanting. Spreader plants (Mienoyume inoculated with Iga-2 strain) were planted around the plots but not within them. Plant height, stem number, and leaf greenness (SPAD value) were measured as indicators of crop growth at the panicle formation stage, when BS had not yet occurred. SPAD values were measured with a SPAD-502Plus chlorophyll meter (Konika Minolta, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Yield, yield components (panicle number, spikelet number per panicle, percentage of filled spikelets, and 1000-grain weight), brown rice protein content, and grain appearance were measured at maturity.

Inoculation test using multiple BS strains

In 2020, *bsr1*-NIL, Mienoyume (susceptible), and Tadukan (resistant) were grown in $5.5 \text{ cm} \times 15.0 \text{ cm} \times 9.5 \text{ cm}$ containers filled with sterilized soil (Clean No. 2, Ibiko Corporation, Gifu, Japan) inside a greenhouse of Mie Prefecture Agricultural Research Institute. Five seeds of each were sown in a row, at four rows per container. The isolates of BS fungus used were *B. oryzae* T. AOKI AR0126 (isolated

BS Breeding Science Vol. 71 No. 4



Fig. 1. Breeding scheme for development of Mienoyume NILs.

in Okinawa prefecture; MAFF Genebank Acc. No. 235499) and F-1 (isolated in Ehime prefecture; Acc. No. 305067). Inoculation of fungus and evaluation of disease symptoms followed the methods of Sato *et al.* (2008).

DNA isolation and marker analyses

Total DNA was extracted from the leaves by using the CTAB method (Murray and Thompson 1980). PCR and electrophoresis for SSR analyses followed the method of Sato *et al.* (2015), but with Taq enzyme from GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 55°C annealing



Fig. 2. Graphical genotypes in the *qBSfR11* region on Chr. 11 by SSR analyses in (A) 2016 and (B) 2018. \Box Homozygous for Mienoyume; homozygous for Tadukan. Numbers in parentheses beside SSR markers indicate their physical map positions (kbp) on Chr. 11 in IRGSP v. 1.0. A: 52 NILs in BC₅F₃ or BC₄F₄ generation with their BS disease scores (means ± SD) and heading dates in 2017. **Significant difference from Mienoyume at 1% in (1) BC₅F₃ and (2) BC₄F₄ generations (except in groups with one line) by Dunnett's test. There was no significant difference in heading dates between NILs (both generations) and Mienoyume at 5% by Dunnett's test. B: NIL of group-11.

temperature, and 3.0% gel concentration. All experimental procedures for the SNP analysis followed the method of Sato *et al.* (2015).

Results

Graphical representation of NIL genotypes

Fig. 2A shows the graphical genotypes at the *qBSfR11* region (between RM1219 and RM2191-1) and the phenotypes (BS disease scores and heading dates) of 52 NILs (BC₅F₃ 19 lines, BC₄F₄ 33 lines) that had been confirmed to be homozygous for either the Tadukan allele or the

Mienoyume allele between RM1219 and RM2191-1 by SSR analysis in 2016. The genotype of the donor parent (R307-48-9) was the same as that of Tadukan (*qBSfR11* donor), and its BS disease score was significantly lower than that of Mienoyume. In both the BC₅F₃ generation and the BC₄F₄ generation, the groups with Mienoyume segments from RM27073 to RM2191-1 (groups-1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8) had the same disease scores as Mienoyume. In contrast, the groups with Tadukan segments there (groups-4, 9, 10, 11, and 12) had lower disease scores than Mienoyume. The heading dates of all 52 NILs were the same as that of Mienoyume. SSR analysis downstream of RM2191-1 in

BS Breeding Science Vol. 71 No. 4

2018 showed that a NIL of group-11 had a 1.3-Mbp Tadukan segment from RM27073 to RM27159 (Fig. 2B).

Inheritance mode of BS resistance

Fig. 3 shows the frequency distribution of BS disease scores in 153 BC_4F_2 individuals, based on the genotypes of SSR marker RM27073 at the *qBSfR11* locus. Disease scores of 0 to 4 were considered to indicate resistance and those of 4.5 to 9 to indicate susceptibility. The BC_4F_2 individuals segregated in a 1:3 ratio of resistant: susceptible (**Table 1**), confirming that the resistance to BS is controlled by a single recessive gene at the *qBSfR11* locus. We named this gene *bsr1* (*brown spot resistance 1*).

Genetic backgrounds and agronomic traits of bsr1-NIL

We selected a resistant NIL (*bsr1*-NIL) in the BC₄F₄ generation in 2017. *bsr1*-NIL had the group-9 genotype (**Fig. 2A**). It had R307-48-9 segments on Chr. 11 (3.5 Mbp from aa 11004652 to aa 11007953; **Fig. 4C**). On all other chromosomes except for Chr. 11, it was homozygous for Mienoyume segments. The other five lines tested for the whole-genome survey had R307-48-9 segments on all other chromosomes except for Chr. 11.

In both yield-trial paddy fields where BS was less severe than in the BS resistance test field, there was no significant



Fig. 3. Frequency distribution of BS disease scores in 153 BC_4F_2 individuals derived from one BC_4F_1 individual and based on the genotypes of a SSR marker RM27073. Bars: \Box homozygous for Mienoyume allele (A), \blacksquare homozygous for Tadukan allele (B), and \blacksquare heterozygous (H). Triangles denote disease scores of ∇ Mienoyume (6.7: susceptible) and \blacksquare Tadukan (2.0: resistant).

Table 1. The segregation of $\mathrm{BC}_4\mathrm{F}_2$ individuals as resistant or susceptible to BS

Constation	Number o	f individuals	χ^2 -value	
Generation	Resistant type	Susceptible type	(1:3)	<i>p</i> -value
BC_4F_2	36	117	0.18	0.67

difference in BS disease score between bsr1-NIL and Mienoyume (Table 2). However, some traits were significantly different. In Matsusaka, brown rice yield and grain width of bsr1-NIL were 34 g m⁻² higher and 0.06 mm larger, respectively, than those of Mienoyume. In Iga, grain width of bsr1-NIL was 0.06 mm larger than that of Mienoyume. In a part of the BS resistance test field where BS was more severe, the BS disease score of *bsr1*-NIL was 3.0 lower than that of Mienoyume (Table 3, Fig. 4A, 4B). There were no significant differences in growth characteristics at the panicle formation stage between bsrl-NIL and Mienoyume. On the other hand, brown rice yield and percentage of filled spikelets of bsr1-NIL were respectively 106 g m⁻² and 12.3% higher than those of Mienoyume. The protein content of brown rice of bsr1-NIL was 1.3% lower than that of Mienoyume. In addition, comparing agronomic traits of bsr1-NIL and Mienoyume in BS resistance test field (severe conditions) and yield-trial field (mild conditions), in 'severe conditions', both of them had lower brown rice yield and percentage of filled spikelets and higher protein content of brown rice than in 'mild conditions', and those degree of decrease or increase was smaller in bsrl-NIL than in Mienoyume. 1000-grain weight and grain width were also lower in 'severe conditions', but those degree of decrease was same in bsrl-NIL and Mienovume. This showed that bsr1-NIL had larger 1000grain weight with larger grain width than Mienoyume regardless of the BS severity. The same result was also found in Table 2. Panicle number and spikelet number per panicle were different between two fields, but this result is presumed not to be due to BS because they are the yield components determined before the heading stage when BS began to be severe in this study. These results suggest that BS reduced the ripening of rice and decreased the brown rice yield, and *qBSfR11* introduced into *bsr1*-NIL had the effect of reducing the decrease in brown rice yield by suppressing the decrease in percentage of filled spikelets by BS.

Resistance of bsr1-NIL to other isolates of BS fungus

At the seedling stage, the disease score of *bsr1*-NIL was significantly lower than that of Mienoyume following artificial inoculation of *B. oryzae* T. AOKI AR0126 and F-1 (**Table 4**). Thus, *bsr1*-NIL showed resistance to multiple isolates of BS fungus.

Discussion

Characteristics of BS-resistance QTL, qBSfR11

Mienoyume is highly susceptible to BS and is more susceptible than Koshihikari (Matsumoto *et al.* 2017a). Here, we developed NILs with resistance to BS by using *qBSfR11*, derived from Tadukan, which had been identified as a major QTL responsible for resistance to BS (Sato *et al.* 2015). *qBSfR11* had been previously confirmed to confer BS resistance in the Koshihikari genetic background (Sato



Fig. 4. Phenotype and genotype of *bsr1*-NIL. A: Test plot in the BS test field. B: BS lesions appeared on each plant. C: Graphical genotype. □ Homozygous for Mienoyume; ■ homozygous for R307-48-9. Numbers in parentheses beside markers indicate their physical map positions (kbp) on Chr. 11 in IRGSP v. 1.0. Detailed information of SNP markers used for this mapping is shown in **Supplemental Table 1**.

et al. 2015). Here, it conferred resistance in the Mienoyume genetic background also (**Table 3**, **Fig. 4**). This result strongly indicates that *qBSfR11* is effective at conferring resistance to BS.

The genotype of a resistant NIL in group-11 showed that qBSfR11 was located around the 1.3-Mbp interval RM27073 to RM27159 (Fig. 2B). The candidate genomic region was narrowed from the donor parent R307-48-9. Annotation of the 'Nipponbare' sequence in RAP-DB shows 107 genes predicted within this interval (Sakai *et al.* 2013). It is hard to predict which of the genes might be related to BS resistance because there have been no reports of genes associated with BS resistance and because the morphological and physiological functions of qBSfR11 are not yet known. Thus, further delimitation of the candidate genomic region of qBSfR11 will be necessary to identify the gene corresponding to qBSfR11.

The distribution of BS disease scores in 153 BC_4F_2 individuals suggested that resistance to BS is controlled by a single recessive gene (**Fig. 3**). We named this gene *bsr1*

(brown spot resistance 1). Mwendo et al. (2017) reported that resistance to BS was controlled by one or two dominant genes, whereas Adair (1941) reported the involvement of several recessive genes. These present and previous studies show that there are different genes for BS resistance, with different modes of inheritance. Goel et al. (2006) suggested that pyramiding QTLs for BS resistance would be effective because the resistance in four lines of wild rice *Oryza nivara* showed quantitative inheritance. In future work, *qBSfR11* should be an effective QTL for pyramiding to enhance BS resistance.

Characteristics of bsr1-NIL

By marker-assisted selection of foreground and background and BS resistance, *bsr1*-NIL was selected as a candidate for a practical variety with resistance to BS.

Bipolaris oryzae is genetically diverse in Bangladesh (Kamal and Mia 2009), the Philippines (Burgos *et al.* 2013), India (Archana *et al.* 2014), and Iran (Ahmadpour *et al.* 2018). Inoculation of seedlings of 80 rice varieties with

Matsusaka	bsr1-NIL	0.0 ± 0.1	81.3 ± 2.5	8.04	9.06	72.1 ± 5.4	20.8 ± 0.5	639 ± 12	400.6 ± 47.2	23.0 ± 0.8	7.0 ± 0.5	76.1 ± 14.4	5.07 ± 0.04	2.75 ± 0.03	1.85 ± 0.01
	Mienoyume	0.8 ± 1.1	81.3 ± 2.5	8.04	9.06	73.4 ± 6.2	20.8 ± 0.5	605 ± 14	388.4 ± 39.3	22.5 ± 0.8	7.2 ± 0.6	77.8 ± 10.6	5.08 ± 0.18	2.69 ± 0.02	1.89 ± 0.07
	<i>t</i> -test			I	Ι			*						*	
Iga	bsr1-NIL	0.4 ± 0.5	86.3 ± 3.2	8.04	9.11	72.0 ± 7.1	20.5 ± 0.7	670 ± 30	398.5 ± 21.4	23.9 ± 0.3	6.6 ± 0.1	89.7 ± 0.9	5.04 ± 0.02	2.72 ± 0.03	1.85 ± 0.02
	Mienoyume	2.1 ± 1.4	86.3 ± 3.2	8.04	9.11	74.4 ± 6.9	20.6 ± 0.6	635 ± 25	399.3 ± 13.0	23.4 ± 0.1	6.9 ± 0.0	91.5 ± 0.8	5.06 ± 0.08	2.66 ± 0.02	1.90 ± 0.04
	<i>t</i> -test			I	Ι					p = 0.06	*	p = 0.06		*	
Values of er from the mu Protein cont is the ratio of Rice Analyz Rice Analyz Table 3. A.	tch agronomic ethod of Matsu ent of brown ri of perfect grain er (RGQI10B, er (RGQI10B, gronomic traits	trait are s timoto <i>et a</i> , ice is expru- s to filled Satake, H of <i>bsrl</i> -N	thown as me eff. (2016). Yi erseed on a dr grains, evalu liroshima, Jaj JIL and Mien	ans ± SD ield and 1 try-weight tated by a pan). *Sig pan). oyume in	over 3 y(1000-grai basis of grain ric gnificant 1 fields w	ears. BS dis- in weight we filled grains to quality in: at 5% . $p < 0$ at 5% . $p < 1$ ith different	ease score v ere calculat t evaluated t spector (RN .10 is indice . degree of F	vas ranked ed from fil. 500, Kett, ' ated. 3S in 2020	on a scale of led grains scr ared reflectan Tokyo, Japan)	0 (no incide eened throug ice spectrosci b. Length and	ence) to 5 (gh a 1.85-n opy (6500F 1 width of 1	(severe) by ann-mesh sic HON, Nirecc 1000 filled g	visual surve sve, at a mo o, Tokyo, Jaj rains were r rains were r	y at maturit isture conte pan). Grain neasured wi	y, different nt of 15%. appearance th a Satake
				At th	te panicle fo	ormation stage			Yield and yield	components				Grai	1 shape

					At the pa	anicle formatic	n stage			Yield and yie	ld components					Urain s	hape
Test site	Line or	BS disease	Heading	Ripening	tan la	Ctone		Brown rid	ce yield	Douiolo	Spikelet	Percentage		Protein content of	Grain		, ion
(Degree of BS)	variety	score (0-9)	date	date	height (cm)	number (m ⁻²)	SPAD value	Yield (g m ⁻²)	Yield compari- sion (%)	number (m ⁻²)	number per panicle (/panicle)	of filled spikelets (%)	1000-grain weight (g)	brown rice (%)	appearance (%)	length (mm)	width (mm)
BS resistance	bsrl-NIL	4.0 ± 0.0	8.17	9.14	79.9 ± 2.1	565.9±41.1	36.1 ± 2.5	474 ± 42	128.8	352.7± 2.6	87.3 ± 3.2	67.1 ± 6.4	22.7 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.1	91.1 ± 1.4	4.81 ± 0.11	2.67 ± 0.01
test field	Mienoyume	7.0 ± 0.0	8.17	9.14	81.8 ± 1.1	600.7 ± 60.0	36.8 ± 0.8	368 ± 18	100.0	383.6 ± 18.5	82.1 ± 10.2	54.8 ± 3.1	22.3 ± 0.0	9.0 ± 0.6	87.8 ± 1.7	5.06 ± 0.07	2.59 ± 0.01
(Severe)	<i>t</i> -test	***						*				*	p = 0.06	*	p = 0.06	*	* *
EL- 27 L-117 EL- 2X	bsrl-NIL	1.0 ± 0.0	8.12	9.09	I	I	I	703± 6	106.2	390.1 ± 4.9	83.6 ± 2.1	89.0 ± 1.9	24.0 ± 0.0	6.8 ± 0.1	90.8 ± 0.4	5.02 ± 0.02	2.72 ± 0.01
	Mienoyume	3.0 ± 0.0	8.12	60.6	I	Ι	I	662 ± 37	100.0	378.2 ± 15.9	84.4 ± 3.8	88.2 ± 1.6	23.3 ± 0.1	6.8 ± 0.2	92.2 ± 2.1	5.05 ± 0.02	2.65 ± 0.01
(INITIAL)	<i>t</i> -test	***											***				*
Severe/Mild (%)																	
	bsr1-NIL	I	I	I	I	I	I	67	I	90	104	75	95	113	100	96	98
	Mienoyume	I	I	I	I	I	I	56	I	101	76	62	96	132	95	100	98
Values of eac screened throu	h agronom 185-	ic trait are -mm-mesh	shown 1 sieve, 8	as means at a mois	$t \pm SD$ of ture cont	three replic ent of 15%	ations in 2. Brown ri	020. Both vertice vields v	fields w	ere set up pared as t	in Iga. Yie hat of <i>bsrl</i>	ld and 100- -NIL divid	00-grain w led by tha	eight were t of Miene	e calculate ovume. Pe	d from fill rcentage o	ed grains f ripened
spikelets was	calculated	as numbei	r of fille	d spikelet	s divided	by total nu	unber of sp	ikelets. Pr	otein con	tent of bro	wn rice is	expressed	on a dry-w	/eight basi	s of filled	grains eva	luated by

near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy (6500HON, Nireco, Tokyo, Japan). Grain appearance is the ratio of perfect grains to filled grains, evaluated by a rice grain quality inspector (RN500, Kett, Tokyo, Japan). Length and width of 1000 filled grains were measured with a Satake Rice Analyzer (RGQI10B, Satake, Hiroshima, Japan). Significant at *5%, ***10, ... *p* < 0.10 is indicated.

to width ratio Grain length

(mm)

(mm)

Grain width Grain shape

Grain length

appearance Grain (%)

tent of brown Protein conrice (%)

> weight g

number Panicle (m^{-2})

yield

length (cm)

(cm)

Panicle

Culm length

Ripening date

Heading date

Days to heading (days)

BS disease

Line or variety

Test site

score (0-5)

 Table 2.
 Agronomic traits of *bsr1*-NIL and Mienoyume in 3 years (2018–2020)

1000-grain

Brown rice $(\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{m}^{-2})$

480

 Table 4.
 Disease resistance reactions to two BS isolates under artificial inoculation in the greenhouse

Tine and mariates		Disease	score
Line and variety		T. AOKI AR0126	F-1
bsr1-NIL		$2.7\pm0.6~b$	$2.0\pm0.0\;b$
Mienoyume		$4.3\pm0.6\ c$	$5.0\pm0.0\ c$
Tadukan		1.0 ± 0.0 a	1.0 ± 0.0 a
	ANOVA	***	***

Disease scores are shown as means \pm SD. ***Significant at 0.1%. Values followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different at 5% by Tukey–Kramer test.

107 *B. oryzae* isolates collected from various regions in Japan revealed significant differences in pathogenicity; in some cases, the reaction was reversed depending on the combination of variety and isolate (Ohata 1989). Although race grouping of *B. oryzae* has not been reported so far, the existence of races is clear. Therefore, we tested whether *bsr1*-NIL was resistant to different *B. oryzae* strains. It showed resistance to *B. oryzae* T. AOKI AR0126 and F-1 (Table 4), as well as to *B. oryzae* Iga-2. This result suggests that BS-resistant NILs with *qBSfR11* will have resistance in different regions, at least in Japan.

The most important agronomic trait of the recurrent parent Mienoyume is its high yield. In Mie prefecture, the brown rice yield is about 600 g m⁻² higher than that of Japan's most famous variety, Koshihikari (Kobayashi et al. 2018). bsrl-NIL had a higher yield than Mienoyume (Table 2). In addition, the results suggest that there may be useful new genes related to grain width and derived from Tadukan near bsr1; the higher yield of bsr1-NIL is likely to be due to the larger grain size. However, bsr1-NIL had the same grain length and grain length-width ratio as Mienoyume. bsr1-NIL has Mienoyume segments in all chromosomal regions except for the *qBSfR11* region on Chr. 11. This shows that the homozygous Tadukan allele of bsr1-NIL in the qBSfR11 region increased grain width (Supplemental Fig. 1). Near this region, tgwll, associated with grain weight and grain width, was previously reported (Oh et al. 2011), but the tgwll region did not overlap with the *qBSfR11* region in which *bsr1*-NIL had the homozygous Tadukan allele. On the other hand, the grain width of R307-48-9 (the donor parent of bsr1-NIL) was also slightly larger than that of its recurrent parent, Koshihikari. However, the difference is not significant (data not shown). It is quite likely that the expression of the grain width gene may change due to the difference in background genotypes of Koshihikari and Mienoyume. Further analysis of the *qBSfR11* region will be also necessary in terms of grain width. bsr1-NIL may have useful new genes related to grain width derived from Tadukan in the *qBSfR11* region. Thus, Tadukan may be the source of not only BS resistance, but also of the large grain width.

The impact of BS infection to agricultural traits and the effect of qBSfR11 in improving rice yield and quality

In the BS resistance test field, bsr1-NIL had 28.8% higher yield than Mienoyume (Table 3). We inferred that the reason was the higher percentage of filled spikelets and larger 1000-grain weight. There were no significant differences in growth characteristics between bsr1-NIL and Mienoyume at the panicle formation stage, when BS was mild. BS became more severe after heading, and so is likely to affect ripening. In addition, it was presumed that the higher percentage of filled spikelets was the effect of *qBSfR11* of suppressing BS and the larger 1000-grain weight was the effect of new genes related to grain width in the *qBSfR11* region. The protein content of brown rice of bsr1-NIL was significantly lower than that of Mienoyume. Vidhyasekaran and Ramadoss (1973) reported that severe infection reduced both yield (~20% to 40%) and quality (i.e., increased protein content), as here. Dallagnol et al. (2014) reported that BS reduced yield by reducing grain number per panicle, 1000-grain weight, and the percentage of filled grains. Aluko (1975) reported that severe infection reduced grain number per panicle and individual grain weight, resulting in a yield loss of 30% to 43%, compared with only 12% under moderate infection. The BS pathogen attacks the rice plant from seedling to milk stage (Sunder et al. 2014). The degree of yield loss and contributing factors are thought to vary depending on the degree and timing of BS infection. If BS is serious at an earlier stage than here, there is a high possibility that BS will affect not only ripening, but also yield components such as panicle number, and damage will be greater. Because BS resistance QTL, qBS11, which detected in the same region as qBSfR11 (Sato et al. 2015), has resistance to BS at the seedling stage of rice (Sato et al. 2008), bsr1-NIL is expected to have resistance even if BS occurs at an earlier stage than here. On the other hand, bsr1-NIL had a lower yield and a higher protein content of brown rice (lower quality) in BS severe conditions than in mild conditions, although its yield decrease and protein content increase were smaller than those of Mienovume (Table 3). As the resistance type of *bsr1*-NIL with *qBSfR11* is not true resistance but field resistance, pyramiding of QTLs is required for further enhancement of BS resistance.

First practical BS resistant variety bred using MAS

We submitted *bsr1*-NIL for variety registration with the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries in Japan as 'Mienoyume BSL' (where $BSL = \underline{B}rown \underline{S}pot$ resistance <u>L</u>ine). This is the world's first practical BS resistant variety bred using MAS.

Author Contribution Statement

KM designed the research and wrote the manuscript; KM and YO mainly performed the experiments and analyzed data; TY, TO, YH performed phenotypic examinations of NILs; SS selected individuals with MAS for foreground; RM and HS selected individuals and lines with MAS for foreground and background, and oversaw and improved manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Y. Nakayama and field managers of Mie Prefecture Agricultural Research Institute, M. Kosaka, M. Kato, H. Najime, Y. Miyamoto, Y. Nakabayashi, N. Moriguchi and S. Okumura for their support during our study. This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan (Project for "Development of mitigation and adaptation techniques to global warming in the sectors of agriculture, forestry, and fisheries", Rice #2006; Project for "Climate Change", Rice BGW-1201).

Literature Cited

- Adair, C.R. (1941) Inheritance in rice of reaction to *Helminthosporium oryzae* and *Cercospora oryzae*. Technical Bulletin. United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., No. 772: 1–18.
- Ahmadpour, A., C. Castell-Miller, M. Javan-Nikkhah, M.R. Naghavi, F.P. Dehkaei, Y. Leng, K.D. Puri and S. Zhong (2018) Population structure, genetic diversity, and sexual state of the rice brown spot pathogen *Bipolaris oryzae* from three Asian countries. Plant Pathol. 67: 181–192.
- Aluko, M.O. (1975) Crop losses caused by the brown leaf spot disease of rice in Nigeria. Plant Disease Reporter 59: 609–613.
- Archana, B., K.R. Kini and H.S. Prakash (2014) Genetic diversity and population structure among isolates of the brown spot fungus, *Bipolaris oryzae*, as revealed by inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSR). Afr. J. Biotechnol. 13: 238–244.
- Barnwal, M.K., A. Kotasthane, N. Magculia, P.K. Mukherjee, S. Savary, A.K. Sharma, H.B. Singh, U.S. Singh, A.H. Sparks, M. Variar *et al.* (2013) A review on crop losses, epidemiology and disease management of rice brown spot to identify research priorities and knowledge gaps. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 136: 443–457.
- Burgos, M.R.G., M.L.B. Katimbang, M.A.G. Dela Paz, G.A. Beligan, P.H. Goodwin, I.P. Ona, R.P. Mauleon, E.Y. Ardales and C.M. Vera Cruz (2013) Genotypic variability and aggressiveness of *Bipolaris oryzae* in the Philippines. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 137: 415– 429.
- Chakrabarti, N.K. (2001) Epidemiology and disease management of brown spot of rice in India. *In*: Major Fungal Diseases of Rice Recent Advances, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Printed in the Netherlands, pp. 293–306.
- Dallagnol, L.J., F.A. Rodrigues, M.V.B. Mielli and J.F. Ma (2014) Rice grain resistance to brown spot and yield are increased by silicon. Trop. Plant Pathol. 39: 56–63.
- Datnoff, L.E., R.N. Raid, G.H. Snyder and D.B. Jones (1991) Effect of calcium silicate on blast and brown spot intensities and yields of rice. Plant Dis. 75: 729–732.
- Eruotor, P.G. (1986) Varietal reaction of rice to isolates of *Cochliobolus miyabeanus*. Indian Phytopathol. 39: 62–64.
- Goel, R.K., R. Bala and K. Singh (2006) Genetic characterization of resistance to brown leaf spot caused by *Drechslera oryzae* in some

Matsumoto, Ota, Yamakawa, Ohno, Seta, Honda, Mizobuchi and Sato

wild rice (*Oryza sativa*) lines. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 76: 705–707.

- Ishizaki, K., T. Hoshi, S. Abe, Y. Sasaki, K. Kobayashi, H. Kasaneyama, T. Matsui and S. Azuma (2005) Breeding of blast resistant isogenic lines in rice variety 'Koshihikari' and evaluation of their characters. Breed. Sci. 55: 371–377.
- Japan Plant Protection Association (2020) JPP-NET. http://web1.jppn. ne.jp/member/Accessed 22 Nov 2020.
- Japan Plant Protection Association (2021) Epidemic and controlling areas in 2019. *In*: Japan Plant Protection Association (ed.) Catalogue of agricultural chemicals, Tokyo, pp. 596–599.
- Kamal, M.M. and M.A.T. Mia (2009) Diversity and pathogenicity of the rice brown spot pathogen, *Bipolaris oryzae* (Breda de Haan) Shoem. in Bangladesh assessed by genetic fingerprint analysis. Bangladesh J. Bot. 38: 119–125.
- Katara, J.L., H. Sonah, R.K. Deshmukh, R. Chaurasia and A.S. Kotasthane (2010) Molecular analysis of QTLs associated with resistance to brown spot in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian J. Genet. 70: 17–21.
- Kobayashi, A., K. Hori, T. Yamamoto and M. Yano (2018) Koshihikari: a premium short-grain rice cultivar—its expansion and breeding in Japan. Rice (N Y) 11: 15.
- Matsumoto, K., H. Sato, C. Ota, S. Seta, T. Yamakawa, H. Suzuki and Y. Nakayama (2016) A new method for evaluating field resistance to brown spot in rice. Breed. Res. 18: 103–111 (in Japanese with English summary).
- Matsumoto, K., S. Seta, C. Ota, T. Ohno, Y. Ota, Y. Nakayama, T. Yamakawa and H. Sato (2017a) Search for genetic resources resistant to brown spot in NIAS core collections of Japanese rice landraces and world rice. Breed. Res. 19: 155–163 (in Japanese with English summary).
- Matsumoto, K., Y. Ota, S. Seta, Y. Nakayama, T. Ohno, R. Mizobuchi and H. Sato (2017b) Identification of QTLs for rice brown spot resistance in backcross inbred lines derived from a cross between Koshihikari and CH45. Breed. Sci. 67: 540–543.
- McCouch, S.R., L. Teytelman, Y. Xu, K.B. Lobos, K. Clare, M. Walton, B. Fu, R. Maghirang, Z. Li, Y. Xing *et al.* (2002) Development and mapping of 2240 new SSR markers for rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). DNA Res. 9: 199–207.
- Misra, A.K. (1985) Effect of intercepting populations of resistant cultivars on reducing brown spot disease build up in a susceptible rice cultivar. Indian Phytopathol. 38: 66–69.
- Mizobuchi, R., S. Fukuoka, S. Tsushima, M. Yano and H. Sato (2016) QTLs for resistance to major rice diseases exacerbated by global warming: brown spot, bacterial seedling rot, and bacterial grain rot. Rice (N Y) 9: 23.
- Murray, M.G. and W.F. Thompson (1980) Rapid isolation of highmolecular-weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 8: 4321–4325.
- Mwendo, M.M., M.O. Ssemakula, S.E. Mwale, J. Lamo, P. Gibson and R. Edema (2017) Inheritance of resistance to brown spot disease in upland rice in Uganda. J. Plant Breed. Crop Sci. 9: 37–44.
- Nagasaki, H., K. Ebana, T. Shibaya, J. Yonemaru and M. Yano (2010) Core single-nucleotide polymorphisms—a tool for genetic analysis of the Japanese rice population. Breed. Sci. 60: 648–655.
- Oh, J.M., S. Balkunde, P. Yang, D.B. Yoon and S.N. Ahn (2011) Fine mapping of grain weight QTL, tgw11 using near isogenic lines from a cross between *Oryza sativa* and *O. grandiglumis*. Genes Genomics 33: 259–265.
- Ohata, K. and C. Kubo (1974) Studies on the mechanism of disease resistance of rice varieties to *Cochliobolus miyabeanus*. Bull. Shikoku Agric. Exp. Stn. 28: 17–57 (in Japanese with English



summary).

- Ohata, K. (1989) Brown spot disease. *In*: Rice Diseases. Zenkoku Nouson Kyouiku Kyoukai, Printed in Japan, pp. 357–374 (in Japanese).
- Ou, S.H. (1985) Rice diseases. 2nd edn. Commonwealth Micological Institute, Kew, UK, pp. 1–380.
- Sakai, H., S.S. Lee, T. Tanaka, H. Numa, J. Kim, Y. Kawahara, H. Wakimoto, C.C. Yang, M. Iwamoto, T. Abe *et al.* (2013) Rice Annotation Project Database (RAP-DB): an integrative and interactive database for rice genomics. Plant Cell Physiol. 54: e6.
- Sato, H., I. Ando, H. Hirabayashi, Y. Takeuchi, S. Arase, J. Kihara, H. Kato, T. Imbe and H. Nemoto (2008) QTL analysis of brown spot resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Breed. Sci. 58: 93–96.
- Sato, H., K. Matsumoto, C. Ota, T. Yamakawa, J. Kihara and R. Mizobuchi (2015) Confirming a major QTL and finding additional loci responsible for field resistance to brown spot (*Bipolaris* oryzae) in rice. Breed. Sci. 65: 170–175.

Sunder, S., R. Singh and R. Agarwal (2014) Brown spot of rice: an

overview. Indian Phytopathol. 67: 201-215.

- Vidhyasekaran, P. and N. Ramadoss (1973) Quantitative and qualitative losses in paddy due to Helminthosporium epidemic. Indian Phytopathol. 16: 479–484.
- Yamaguchi, Y., K. Nakano and R. Saito (2007) An outbreak of rice brown spot at Niigata prefecture Kaetsu district in 2005 and 2006. The Association for Plant Protection of Hokuriku 59: 4 (in Japanese).
- Yamakawa, T., T. Murakami, K. Miyamoto, N. Tachibana, F. Hashidume, N. Tatematsu and H. Hattori (2002) A newly developed paddy rice variety 'Mieoyume' with medium maturation and high grain quality. Bull. Mie Agric. Res. 29: 15–23 (in Japanese with English summary).
- Yoshii, H. and M. Matsumoto (1951) Studies on the resistance to Helminthosporiose of the rice varieties introduced to Japan (1). Bull. Matsuyama Agric. College 6: 25–60 (in Japanese with English summary).