



Editorial

# Statin Intolerance—We Know Everything, We Know Nothing

Maciej Banach <sup>1,2,3,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Preventive Cardiology and Lipidology, Medical University of Lodz (MUL), 93338 Lodz, Poland; maciej.banach@icloud.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Cardiology and Congenital Diseases of Adults, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital Research Institute (PMMHRI), 93338 Lodz, Poland

<sup>3</sup> Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Zielona Gora, 65046 Zielona Gora, Poland

† Editor-in-Chief, Section of Cardiology of the Journal of Clinical Medicine (JCM) and Section Editor of the Anniversary Special Issue of JCM on Cardiology—Statin Intolerance: From Molecular Mechanisms and Risk Factors to Clinical Practice.

Lipid disorders are the most common risk factors of cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1] and, more importantly, the worst monitored and controlled, with only a third of patients being on the low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) target in Europe [2], and only 24% in the Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries [3]. The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has made this situation even worse; therefore, an urgent call for action is required to be effective in primary and secondary preventions to delay or avoid the first event or to prevent recurrent CVD events [4–6].

One of the reasons of this ineffectiveness is therapy non-adherence, and the most important reason for non-adherence is statin-associated side effects, mainly including statin-associated muscle symptoms (SAMS) that is generally called statin intolerance (SI) [7]. In fact, in 2022, considering our knowledge, diagnostics methods and availability of drugs [7], we should not talk about statin intolerance anymore, and it should not also be a subject of the anniversary Special Issue of the *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. Unfortunately, the situation is completely different, and statins are still mostly used in mainly low to moderate doses; even in patients at very high and extremely high cardiovascular risk [6,8], high-intensive statin therapy is only used in about 5% [6], and statin intolerance in everyday clinical practice and in observational cohort studies and registries is still highly overdiagnosed with a prevalence of even 30% and more [9]. In most of SI patients, statins are immediately discontinued without any attempt to assess and exclude risk factors (e.g., drugs, alcoholism, intensive exercise and low vitamin D) or conditions (hypothyroidism, obesity, diabetes, chronic kidney disease and chronic liver disease) that might significantly increase the SI risk [9–11]. A simple question on regular exercise [12], medications taken and/or an optimization of the therapy of coexistent diseases can indeed significantly decrease SAMS's prevalence [13]. We should also always remember about the nocebo effect or more correctly called the drucebo effect (as it is directly linked to statin therapy)—the psychological attitude that adverse effects after statins will certainly appear [14–16]. Based on our data, 50–70% of SI cases [14] and even 90% and more based on the n-of-1 trials [16,17] might be due to the nocebo/drucebo effect. It is worth emphasizing that we already know how to diagnose the nocebo/drucebo effect; the exclusion of SI risk factors, the application of the SAMS Clinical Index score and continuous patient education might be of large help with this [13].

In all those with confirmed statin intolerance (it is only 9.1% or less <7% if diagnosed with the approved definitions [9] and only about 2% for the confirmed complete statin intolerance diagnosis [18]), we are not allowed to discontinue statin therapy (unless creatine kinase (despite it is not a very sensitive biomarker for SI) is >4 ULN and might be associated with the risk of myopathy/myonecrosis or the pain is intolerable for the patients [13]), and we should try to convince the patient to continue statin therapy at lower



**Citation:** Banach, M. Statin

Intolerance—We Know Everything, We Know Nothing. *J. Clin. Med.* **2022**, *11*, 5250. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11175250>

Received: 19 August 2022

Accepted: 24 August 2022

Published: 5 September 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

doses (accompanied by adding ezetimibe, depending on the risk—preferably in the form of polypill); change the statin preparation (preferably to hydrophilic statins or pitavastatin, which based on still limited data has the same risk of SI like placebo); to consider alternate-day statin therapy (even 2–3 times a week; we have data for rosuvastatin and atorvastatin suggesting that such management is still effective in LDL-C reduction); or to consider non-statin drugs, including bempedoic acid, PCSK9 inhibitors, inclisiran or even fibrates and nutraceuticals [6,13,19–23]. The most important aim for patients who often have high baseline levels of LDL-C (even 140–160 mg/dL (3.6–4.1 mmol/L) and higher) and are at high and very high CVD risk is to have them on LDL-C targets, as those patients, based on available data, are at a very high risk of cardiovascular events [18,24].

Based on the abovementioned information, statin intolerance is still a great challenge in the clinical setting, and there are still many unsolved issues concerning the mechanisms, definition, diagnosis and management. Therefore, in this anniversary Special Issue, we would like to invite you to submit your papers on new biomarkers that may help in diagnosing and/or differentiating SI in practical algorithms/scores and/or IT software (with the application of innovative tools such as AI and machine/deep learning) that may help exclude the nocebo/drucebo effect and confirm the diagnosis of statin intolerance and real-world data on the prevalence of SI, its diagnosis and effectiveness of available therapies in different populations. RWD in the context of statin intolerance seems to be critical, because RCTs cannot present the real prevalence of statin intolerance (due to study's design and exclusion of many risk factors/conditions), despite the fact that they may show the risk and the pathomechanism of de novo SAMS in highly selected patients who are optimally monitored and treated, and this is obviously also of great value [25,26]. I am looking forward to high-quality papers, and after acceptance, I would like to discuss the publication of the papers on the journal's webpage during short webinars.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** M.B.: speakers bureau: Amgen, Daichii Sankyo, Herbapol, Kogen, KRKA, Polpharma, Mylan/Viatrix, Novartis, Novo-Nordisk, Sanofi, Teva, Zentiva; consultant to Adamed, Amgen, Daichii Sankyo, Esperion, Freia Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, Polfarmex, Sanofi; grants from Amgen, Mylan/Viatrix, Sanofi and Valeant; CMO at the Nomi Biotech Corporation.

## References

1. Roth, G.A.; Mensah, G.A.; Johnson, C.O.; Addolorato, G.; Ammirati, E.; Baddour, L.M.; Barengo, N.C.; Beaton, A.Z.; Benjamin, E.J.; Benziger, C.P.; et al. Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990–2019: Update from the GBD 2019 Study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2020**, *76*, 2982–3021. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Ray, K.K.; Molemans, B.; Schoonen, W.M.; Giovias, P.; Bray, S.; Kiru, G.; Murphy, J.; Banach, M.; De Servi, S.; Gaita, D.; et al. EU-Wide Cross-Sectional Observational Study of Lipid-Modifying Therapy Use in Secondary and Primary Care: The DA VINCI study. *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* **2021**, *28*, 1279–1289. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Vrablik, M.; Seifert, B.; Parkhomenko, A.; Banach, M.; Jóźwiak, J.J.; Kiss, R.G.; Gaita, D.; Rašlová, K.; Zachlederova, M.; Bray, S.; et al. Lipid-lowering therapy use in primary and secondary care in Central and Eastern Europe: DA VINCI observational study. *Atherosclerosis* **2021**, *334*, 66–75. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Banach, M.; Penson, P.E.; Frasz, Z.; Vrablik, M.; Pella, D.; Reiner, Ž.; Nabavi, S.M.; Sahebkar, A.; Kayikcioglu, M.; Daccord, M. Brief recommendations on the management of adult patients with familial hypercholesterolemia during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2020**, *158*, 104891. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Vahedian-Azimi, A.; Mohammadi, S.M.; Beni, F.H.; Banach, M.; Guest, P.C.; Jamialahmadi, T.; Sahebkar, A. Improved COVID-19 ICU admission and mortality outcomes following treatment with statins: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch. Med. Sci.* **2021**, *17*, 579–595. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Banach, M.; Burchardt, P.; Chlebus, K.; Dobrowolski, P.; Dudek, D.; Dyrbuś, K.; Gašior, M.; Jankowski, P.; Jóźwiak, J.; Kłosiewicz-Latoszek, L.; et al. PoLA/CFPI/PCS/PSLD/PSD/PSH guidelines on diagnosis and therapy of lipid disorders in Poland 2021. *Arch. Med. Sci.* **2021**, *17*, 1447–1547. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Ruscica, M.; Ferri, N.; Banach, M.; Sirtori, C.R.; Corsini, A. Side effects of statins—from pathophysiology and epidemiology to diagnostic and therapeutic implications. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2022**, cvac020. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Dyrbuś, K.; Osadnik, T.; Desperak, P.; Desperak, A.; Gašior, M.; Banach, M. Evaluation of dyslipidaemia and the impact of hypolipidemic therapy on prognosis in high and very high risk patients through the Hyperlipidaemia Therapy in tERTiary Cardiological cEnTer (TERCET) Registry. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2018**, *132*, 204–210. [[CrossRef](#)]

9. Bytyçi, I.; Penson, P.E.; Mikhailidis, D.P.; Wong, N.D.; Hernandez, A.V.; Sahebkar, A.; Thompson, P.D.; Mazidi, M.; Rysz, J.; Pella, D.; et al. Prevalence of statin intolerance: A meta-analysis. *Eur. Heart J.* **2022**, ehac015. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Banach, M.; Rizzo, M.; Toth, P.P.; Farnier, M.; Davidson, M.H.; Al-Rasadi, K.; Aronow, W.S.; Athyros, V.; Djuric, D.M.; Ezhov, M.V.; et al. Statin intolerance—An attempt at a unified definition. Position paper from an International Lipid Expert Panel. *Arch. Med. Sci.* **2015**, *11*, 1–23. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Michalska-Kasiczak, M.; Sahebkar, A.; Mikhailidis, D.P.; Rysz, J.; Muntner, P.; Toth, P.P.; Jones, S.R.; Rizzo, M.; Hovingh, G.K.; Farnier, M.; et al. Analysis of vitamin D levels in patients with and without statin-associated myalgia—A systematic review and meta-analysis of 7 studies with 2420 patients. *Int. J. Cardiol.* **2015**, *178*, 111–116. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Katsiki, N.; Mikhailidis, D.P.; Bajraktari, G.; Miserez, A.R.; Cicero, A.F.; Bruckert, E.; Serban, M.-C.; Mirrakhimov, E.; Alnouri, F.; Reiner, Ž.; et al. Statin therapy in athletes and patients performing regular intense exercise—Position paper from the International Lipid Expert Panel (ILEP). *Pharmacol. Res.* **2020**, *155*, 104719. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Penson, P.E.; Bruckert, E.; Marais, D.; Reiner, Ž.; Pirro, M.; Sahebkar, A.; Bajraktari, G.; Mirrakhimov, E.; Rizzo, M.; Mikhailidis, D.P.; et al. Step-by-step diagnosis and management of the nocebo/drucebo effect in statin-associated muscle symptoms patients: A position paper from the International Lipid Expert Panel (ILEP). *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* **2022**, *13*, 1596–1622. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Penson, P.E.; Mancini, G.B.J.; Toth, P.P.; Martin, S.S.; Watts, G.F.; Sahebkar, A.; Mikhailidis, D.P.; Banach, M. on behalf of Lipid and Blood Pressure Meta-Analysis Collaboration (LBP/MC) Group & International Lipid Expert Panel (ILEP). Introducing the ‘Drucebo’ effect in statin therapy: A systematic review of studies comparing reported rates of statin-associated muscle symptoms, under blinded and open-label conditions. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* **2018**, *9*, 1023–1033. [[PubMed](#)]
15. Penson, P.E.; Banach, M. Nocebo/drucebo effect in statin-intolerant patients: An attempt at recommendations. *Eur. Heart J.* **2021**, *42*, 4787–4788. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Banach, M.; Penson, P.E. Drucebo effect—The challenge we should all definitely face! *Arch. Med. Sci.* **2021**, *17*, 542–543. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Wood, F.A.; Howard, J.P.; Finegold, J.A.; Nowbar, A.N.; Thompson, D.M.; Arnold, A.D.; Rajkumar, C.A.; Connolly, S.; Cegla, J.; Stride, C.; et al. N-of-1 Trial of a Statin, Placebo, or No Treatment to Assess Side Effects. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *383*, 2182–2184. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Serban, M.C.; Colantonio, L.D.; Manthripragada, A.D.; Monda, K.L.; Bittner, V.A.; Banach, M.; Chen, L.; Huang, L.; Dent, R.; Kent, S.T.; et al. Statin Intolerance and Risk of Coronary Heart Events and All-Cause Mortality Following Myocardial Infarction. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2017**, *69*, 1386–1395. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Awad, K.; Mikhailidis, D.P.; Toth, P.P.; Jones, S.R.; Moriarty, P.; Lip, G.Y.H.; Muntner, P.; Catapano, A.L.; Pencina, M.J.; Rosenson, R.S.; et al. Efficacy and Safety of Alternate-Day Versus Daily Dosing of Statins: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Cardiovasc. Drugs Ther.* **2017**, *31*, 419–431. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Banach, M.; Duell, P.B.; Gotto, A.M., Jr.; Laufs, U.; Leiter, L.A.; Mancini, G.B.J.; Ray, K.K.; Flaim, J.; Ye, Z.; Catapano, A.L. Association of Bempedoic Acid Administration with Atherogenic Lipid Levels in Phase 3 Randomized Clinical Trials of Patients with Hypercholesterolemia. *JAMA Cardiol.* **2020**, *5*, 1124–1135. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Banach, M.; Patti, A.M.; Giglio, R.V.; Cicero, A.F.; Atanasov, A.G.; Bajraktari, G.; Bruckert, E.; Descamps, O.; Djuric, D.M.; Ezhov, M.; et al. The Role of Nutraceuticals in Statin Intolerant Patients. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2018**, *72*, 96–118. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Banach, M.; Katsiki, N.; Latkovskis, G.; Rizzo, M.; Pella, D.; Penson, P.E.; Reiner, Z.; Cicero, A.F. Postmarketing nutravigilance safety profile: A line of dietary food supplements containing red yeast rice for dyslipidemia. *Arch. Med. Sci.* **2021**, *17*, 856–863. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Sahebkar, A.; Kiaie, N.; Gorabi, A.M.; Mannarino, M.R.; Bianconi, V.; Jamialahmadi, T.; Pirro, M.; Banach, M. A comprehensive review on the lipid and pleiotropic effects of pitavastatin. *Prog. Lipid Res.* **2021**, *84*, 101127. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Banach, M.; Penson, P.E.; Vrablik, M.; Bunc, M.; Dyrbus, K.; Fedacko, J.; Gaita, D.; Gierlotka, M.; Jarai, Z.; Magda, S.L.; et al. Optimal use of lipid-lowering therapy after acute coronary syndromes: A Position Paper endorsed by the International Lipid Expert Panel (ILEP). *Pharmacol. Res.* **2021**, *166*, 105499. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Ugovšek, S.; Zupan, J.; Rehberger Likozar, A.; Šebeštjen, M. Influence of lipid-lowering drugs on inflammation: What is yet to be done? *Arch. Med. Sci.* **2021**, *18*, 855–869. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Banach, M.; López-Sendon, J.L.; Aversa, M.; Cariou, B.; Loy, M.; Manvelian, G.; Batsu, I.; Poulouin, Y.; Gaudet, D. Treatment adherence and effect of concurrent statin intensity on the efficacy and safety of alirocumab in a real-life setting: Results from ODYSSEY APPRISE. *Arch. Med. Sci.* **2021**, *18*, 285–292. [[CrossRef](#)]