Peter Korsten¹, Cornelia S. Seitz², Carsten O. Sahlmann³ and Jens Schmidt⁴

¹Department of Nephrology and Rheumatology, ²Department of Dermatology, Allergology, and Venereology, ³Department of Nuclear Medicine and ⁴Department of Neurology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

Accepted 25 June 2019

Correspondence to: Peter Korsten, Department of Nephrology and Rheumatology, University Medical Centre Göttingen, Robert-Koch-Str. 40, D-37075 Göttingen, Germany.

E-mail: peter.korsten@med.uni-goettingen.de

References

- Oldroyd A, Sergeant JC, New P *et al*. The temporal relationship between cancer and adult onset anti-transcriptional intermediary factor 1 antibody-positive dermatomyositis. Rheumatology 2019;58:650–5.
- 2 Selva-O'Callaghan A, Martinez-Gómez X, Trallero-Araguás E, Pinal-Fernández I. The diagnostic work-up of cancer-associated myositis. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2018;30:630–6.
- 3 Leatham H, Schadt C, Chisolm S *et al*. Evidence supports blind screening for internal malignancy in dermatomyositis: data from 2 large US dermatology cohorts. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e9639.
- 4 Maliha PG, Hudson M, Abikhzer G, Singerman J, Probst S. 18F-FDG PET/CT versus conventional investigations for cancer screening in autoimmune inflammatory myopathy in the era of novel myopathy classifications. Nucl Med Commun 2019:40:377-82.
- 5 Kramer BS, Croswell JM. Cancer screening: the clash of science and intuition. Annu Rev Med 2009;60:125-37.

Rheumatology 2019;58:2073-2074 doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kez329 Advance Access publication 3 August 2019

Comment on: The temporal relationship between cancer and adult onset anti-transcriptional intermediary factor 1 antibody-positive dermatomyositis: Reply

SIR, We read with great interest the letter by Korsten *et al.* [1] in response to our article on cancer risk in anti-transcriptional intermediary factor 1 antibody (anti-TIF1-Ab)-positive DM [2].

Korsten *et al.* [1] highlighted the clinical relevance of our findings, in particular the need for focussed cancer screening in anti-TIF1-Ab-positive patients, tailored to a patient's disease duration (highest incidence within 2.5 years after DM onset), age (no cancers observed in those <39 years of age) and gender (high incidence of ovarian and breast cancer). Korsten *et al.* also quite rightly pinpoint the important question of how cancer screening should be carried out; in particular, what modalities should be employed and how frequently this should occur. Further, they raise the important question of

whether such screening may even impact upon overall prognosis at all.

The case that Korsten *et al.* describe clearly illustrates the importance of vigilance of cancer-related symptoms and repeated cancer screening within the 3 years after DM onset. Unfortunately, the body of empirical evidence on the utility of screening is limited, with results of relatively small observational studies forming the basis for recommendations.

Selva-O'Callaghan et al. [3] compiled the relevant evidence in a 2018 review. A clinically useful flowchart with recommendations for the frequency of cancer screening was developed and reported, guided primarily by a patient's autoantibody status; of note, annual screening up to 5 years after DM onset was advised for anti-TIF1-Ab-positive patients. The Epidemiological Useful Clinical-Laboratory-Imaging Development Screening (EUCLIDES) approach was also described, providing overall guidance on focussed cancer screening in all forms of idiopathic inflammatory myopathy (IIM). The use of PET/CT was advocated, along with whole-body MRI, as a means to identify occult malignancy and delineate the entire burden of myositis.

Trials of cancer screening approaches in large IIM cohorts may not be feasible, due in part to the low incidence of the disease. However, data from large international IIM registries, along with careful statistical analysis, could potentially provide answers to specific questions, such as the optimum time of cancer screening, impact upon overall survival and utility of specific investigation modalities. Further, the utility of novel technologies in IIM-related cancer should be investigated, such as 'liquid biopsy', which allows the detection of circulating tumour cells [4]. This may provide a means to detect subclinical cancer at a stage early enough to confer improved survival. Evidence-based guidelines with expert recommendations are certainly required. Such guidance is currently in development via the International Myositis Assessment and Clinical Studies Group (IMACS).

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the UK Adult Onset Myositis Immunogenetic Collaboration (UKMYONET) and the patients enrolled in the study.

Funding: This study was jointly supported by Versus Arthritis (formerly Arthritis Research UK; 18474 and 21993), Myositis UK, the European Science Foundation for EuMyoNet support, Association Francaise Contre Les Myopathies and the Medical Research Council (MR/ N003322/1). UKMYONET is supported by the Manchester Academic Health Science Centre. This research was funded by the National Institute for Health Research Manchester Biomedical Research Centre. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health.

Disclosure statement: The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Rheumatology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Alexander Oldroyd (**b**^{1,2}, Jamie C. Sergeant (**b**^{3,4}, R. Paul New⁵, Neil J. McHugh (**b**^{6,7}, Zoe Betteridge⁶, Janine A. Lamb⁸, William E. Ollier⁹, Robert G. Cooper^{5,10} and Hector Chinoy (**b**^{1,2,11})

¹Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, University of Manchester, ²NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, Central Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, ³Centre for Biostatistics, ⁴Centre for Epidemiology Versus Arthritis, University of Manchester, Manchester, ⁵MRC/ARUK Centre for Integrated Research into Musculoskeletal Ageing, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, ⁶Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Bath, ⁷Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Royal United Hospitals Foundation Trust, Bath, ⁸Division of Population Health, Health Services Research and Primary Care, ⁹Centre for Integrated Genomic Medical Research, University of Manchester, Manchester, ¹⁰Department of Rheumatology, Aintree University Hospital,

Liverpool and ¹¹Department of Rheumatology, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK Accepted 25 June 2019 Correspondence to: Alexander Oldroyd, Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, School of Biological Sciences, University of Manchester, Stopford Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT, UK. E-mail: Alexander.oldroyd@manchester.ac.uk

References

- Korsten P, Seitz C, Sahlmann C, Schmidt J. Comment on: The temporal relationship between cancer and adult onset anti-transcriptional intermediary factor 1 antibody-positive dermatomyositis. Rheumatology 2019;58:2071–73.
- 2 Oldroyd A, Sergeant JC, New P et al. The temporal relationship between cancer and adult onset anti-transcriptional intermediary factor 1 antibody-positive dermatomyositis. Rheumatology 2019;58:650-5.
- 3 Selva-O'Callaghan A, Martinez-Gómez X, Trallero-Araguás E, Pinal-Fernández I. The diagnostic work-up of cancerassociated myositis. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2018;30:630-6.
- 4 Perakis S, Speicher MR. Emerging concepts in liquid biopsies. BMC Med 2017;15:75.