

The brain structure and function alterations in tension-type headache

A protocol for systematic review and meta analysis

Jun Zhou, MD^a, Shirui Cheng, PhD^a, Han Yang, MD^a, Lei Lan, PhD^a, Yijia Chen, BD^c, Guixing Xu, MD^a, Zihan Yin, MD^a, Zhengjie Li, PhD^{a,d,*}, Mailan Liu, PhD^{b,*}

Abstract

Objective: The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to improve the understanding of the pathophysiology of tension-type headache (TTH), as well as propose avenues for future neuroimaging studies of TTH.

Methods: From the inception dates to May 1, 2020, a systematic literature will search in Medline (Ovid SP), Embase (Ovid SP), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Web of Science, and 4 Chinese databases without limitation on language or publication. Additionally, International Clinical Trials Registry Platform, reference lists, and relevant gray literatures will be searched. After screening of eligible references, included studies will be determined according to included criteria, and then data extraction and a methodological quality assessment with a customized checklist will be conducted. Each process will be independently implemented by 2 reviewers, any disagreement will be resolved by consensus to the third researcher. If the extracted data is feasible, anisotropic effect-size version of signed differential mapping will be conducted to perform the meta-analysis of the structural and functional brain alterations in TTH patients.

Abbreviations: AES-SDM = anisotropic effect-size version of signed differential mapping, HC = healthy controls, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, QoL = quality of life, TTH = tension-type headache.

Keywords: meta-analysis, neuroimaging, protocol, systematic review, tension-type headache

1. Introduction

Tension-type headache (TTH) is the most common primary headache worldwide, characterized by typically bilateral, pressing, or tightening in quality, of mild-to-moderate intensity, and not aggravated by daily activities.^[1,2] According to the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2016, TTH ranks the third in terms of global prevalence (30%–78%),^[2] as well as the sixth in terms of global incidence, both being higher than any other type of headache.^[3] TTH, a major health problem, usually leads to symptoms like temporomandibular joint disorders,^[4,5] fibromyalgia^[6,7] and sleep disturbances,^[8] anxiety, and depression,^[9,10] which brings substantial economic burdens to the public and society.^[1,11,12] However, the

No ethical approval is necessary for systematic review and meta-analysis. Results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed journal and presented at conferences. PROSPERO registration number: CRD42020153320.

JZ, SC, and HY contributed equally to this work and are the co-first authors.

No patients and public will be involved.

This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81973958, No. 81590950, No. 81774400, No. 81603708), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2017M610593, No. 2018T110954, No. 20190037), major program of Sichuan Provincial Education Department (No. 17ZA0157), training program for excellent young innovators of Changsha (No. 201961), open fund project of first-rate discipline of Traditional Chinese Medicine (No. 2018ZYX04), Natural science foundation of Hunan province (No. 2018JJ2303).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

^a The Acupuncture and Tuina College, the 3rd Teaching Hospital, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, ^b College of Acupuncture & Moxibustion and Tuina, Hunan University of Chinese Medicine, Hunan, ^c The School of Basic Medicine of Air Force Medical University, Xi'an, ^d Acupuncture-Brain Research Center, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, China.

* Correspondence: Zhengjie Li, the Acupuncture and Tuina College/the 3rd Teaching Hospital, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, China (e-mail: Izjbenjamin@163.com), Mailan Liu, college of Acupuncture & Moxibustion and Tuina, Hunan University of Chinese Medicine, Hunan, China (e-mail: Mailan_l@163.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Zhou J, Cheng S, Yang H, Lan L, Chen Y, Xu G, Yin Z, Li Z, Liu M. The brain structure and function alterations in tension-type headache: a protocol for systematic review and meta analysis. Medicine 2020;99:24(e20411).

Received: 22 April 2020 / Accepted: 24 April 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000020411

treatment options for TTH are not satisfactory, which may result from the incomplete understanding of TTH pathophysiology.

Previous studies on pathophysiology believed that the origin of headache in TTH patients could be attributed to excessive muscle contraction, ischemia, and inflammation of head and neck muscles. However, the opinion of peripheral origin of headache in TTH was challenged and questioned by some studies.^[13-15] One opinion that peripheral muscle pathology was a cause of TTH still lacking support of strong evidence. Recent decades, researchers found that chronic TTH patients showed decreased tolerance thresholds to mechanical, thermal, and electrical stimuli.^[16,17] It has also been demonstrated that chronic TTH patients had a qualitatively alteration of pain perception and generalized hyperalgesia.^[18,19] Based on those studies, it has been suggested that occurrence of chronic TTH may be due to central sensitization of neurons at spinal dorsal horn, trigeminal nucleus, or supraspinal neurons, and decreased descending inhibition from supraspinal structures induced by continuous nociceptive input from pericranial muscles and myofascial tissues.^[20]

Neuroimaging has led to advances in the realization of TTH pathophysiology in vivo, especially at the level of supraspinal structures. The neuroimaging studies reported that TTH patients had abnormal brain function,^[21,22] altered brain gray matter intensity, and volume,^[23–25] and impaired white matter tracking.^[26,27] However, not all the studies reported entirely consistent findings, which may result from sample size, demographics and clinical characteristics of the patients, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) modalities, as well as image acquisition techniques and analysis. Besides, there was no integrated study summarizing the scattered evidence of individual studies, and the central sensitization and impaired descending inhibition associated with TTH remains unclear. Therefore, it is necessary to carry out a comprehensive and holistic systematic review and metaanalysis to integrate the existing neuroimaging studies to improve the knowledge of the central factors contributing to TTH.

In this study, anisotropic effect-size version of signed differential mapping (AES-SDM) (http://www.sdmproject. com)^[28] will be used to perform the meta-analysis of the structure and function brain alterations in TTH patients in order to answer the following questions.

- 1) Are there concordant functional or structural changes in the foci (increase or decrease) in TTH?
- 2) Are these functional and structural changes concurrent with each other?
- 3) What can be deduced from functional and structural changes in TTH?

We will describe how these studies have helped our realization of the pathophysiology of TTH, discuss their limitations, and propose avenues for future research using MRI to study TTH.

2. Methods and design

2.1. Study registration

This protocol was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols 2015 statement.^[29] The planned review strategy was registered on PROSPERO (www.crd.york.ac.uk) (CRD42020153320).

2.2. Eligibility criteria

In order to provide a thorough, best practice analysis of aberrant activation in patients with TTH, we will apply well-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria below.

2.2.1. Type of study. Randomized controlled trials and observational studies including cohort and case-control capable of extracting original neuroimaging data will be included.

2.2.2. Study design. Neuroimaging studies focused on differences in brain structure and function alterations between TTH patients and healthy controls (HC). If baseline neuroimaging data are reported, longitudinal studies will be considered. Structural MRI and resting-state functional MRI will be included. Any data obtained from the same participants using multimodal neuroimaging techniques will be collected separately in this study.

2.2.3. *Type of participant.* Studies involving adult TTH patients and parallel HC will be considered for inclusion.

2.2.4. Exposure. The diagnosis criteria for Patients with TTH should be based on the diagnostic criteria of the International Classification of Headache Disorders (Headache classification committee of the International Headache Society) version 1 to 3 from 1988 to 2018.^[3,30,31] Patients with migraine or with other types of headaches will be excluded. Some studies enrolling patients without clear discrimination of TTH diagnosis criteria will be considered after comprehensive full-text assessment or contacting with the authors.

2.2.5. Type of comparators. Participation of a parallel healthy control group in the study will be required for inclusion in the current review. HC must have no prior diagnosis of TTH at enrolment, and this must be verified by clinical examination during the study. Studies will be excluded if the healthy control group was lacking.

2.2.6. Outcome measurements. The structure and function alterations of brain in patients with TTH will be set as the primary outcomes. The outcomes related to structural alterations are grey matter density or volume. The outcomes related to functional alterations are as following: brain functional activity (fMRI based on blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal or cerebral blood flow), brain molecular metabolism (positron emission tomography or single-photon emission CT). Clinical variables will be set as the secondary outcomes, such as the headache frequency, headache intensity and duration of headache, symptom-related scales (such as Visual Analogue Scale and Headache Impact Test-6), quality of life (QoL) questionnaire (WHO-QoL Questionnaire-Brief version, the scores of Short Form-36 subscales), and emotional scales (Hamilton Depression Scale, Hamilton Anxiety Scale).

2.3. Search strategy

2.3.1. Primary sources. Based on the principle of combining free words with subject words, the following 8 electronic databases from inception to May 2020 will be searched: 4 English electronic databases (Medline (Ovid SP), Embase (Ovid SP), Web of Science, and CENTRAL) as well as 4 Chinese electronic databases (Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Biology Medicine disc, Chinese Scientific Journals Database, and Wan-fang data). The literature search will be constructed around search terms for TTH, neuroimaging and search terms for various

Table 1

Medline searching strategy	CBM searching strategy
#1 exp Tension-type headache /	#1 Tension-type headache [MeSH terms]
#2 (Tension-type headache or tension [*] headache or tonic headache or stress headache [*] or idiopathic headache [*] or psychogenic headache [*]).mp.	#2 Tension-type headache [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
#3 #1 or #2	#3 Tonic headache [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
#4 exp Neuroimaging /	#4 Stress headache [(Title/Abstract/Keywords)]
#5 (Neuroimaging or brain imaging).mp.	#5 Idiopathic headache [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
#6 exp Magnetic resonance imaging /	#6 Psychogenic headache [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
#7 (Magnetic resonance imaging or magnetic resonance * or MRI or fMRI).mp.	#7 #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6
#8 exp Tomography /	#8 Neuroimaging [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
#9 (Tomography or PET or SPECT).mp.	#9 Functional magnetic resonance imaging [Title/Abstract/Keywords
#10 (Regional homogeneity or ReHo).mp.	#10 Magnetic resonance imaging [MeSH terms]
#11 (Amplitude of low frequency fluctuation\$ or ALFF or fractional amplitude of low frequency fluctuation or fALFF).mp.	#11 Magnetic resonance imaging [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
#12 (voxel-based morphometry or VBM).mp.	#12 MRI [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
#13 (white matter or gray matter or voxel wise or resting state).mp.	#13 PET [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
#14 or/4-13	#14 SPECT [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
#15 Final search terms: #3 and #14	#15 ReHo [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
	#16 ALFF [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
	#17 VBM [Title/Abstract/Keywords]
	#18 #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14
	OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17
	#19 Final search terms: #7 AND #18

The CBM searching strategy is an English translation of the Chinese database.

This search strategy was modified to be suitable for other electronic databases.

CBM = China Biology Medicine, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, PET = positron emission tomography.

imaging techniques, and adapted for each database as necessary. Taking MEDLINE (English) and China Biology Medicine (Chinese) as examples, the specific search strategies are shown in Table 1.

2.3.2. Supplementary sources. Additional separate searches will be run plus additional sources International Clinical Trials Registry Platform and the snowballing strategy will be employed to find other possible eligible studies from the reference lists of the articles identified for inclusion. Moreover, the Health Management Information Database, the National Technical Information Service, and OpenSIGLE Database will be searched for relevant grey literatures.

2.4. Data collection

2.4.1. Studies selection. The retrieved literatures will be imported in Endnote software 9.1 to be managed and to remove duplicate. Based on the pre-established inclusion and exclusion criteria, 2 researchers (JZ and HY) will screen through the titles and abstracts independently, then the full text will be screened for the second selection. The cross-checking will be made for the included studies. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram^[32] will be used to report the process of the selection. Each reason for exclusion of any literature will be listed in details (Fig. 1). If there is a disagreement, the third researcher (ZJL) will come forward to resolve.

2.5. Data extraction

Two researchers (ZHY and LL) will extract data into a predesigned form independently, including information of publication (title, country, or region, publishing year, first author, and fund support), methodology details (demographic characteristics of participants, diagnostic criteria, sample size, imaging modalities, data analysis strategies, TTH-related cognitive-behavioral models, and clinical variables), results (the alterations of cerebral regions, the value of clinical characteristics, and the correlations between imaging data and clinical data). Besides, the coordinates, inference/acquisition space, z-statistics space or equivalents will be extracted. Corresponding author will be contacted for any insufficient or missing data. If no accurate data being provided after 8 weeks, the study will also be included and marked with insufficient or missing information. If there is a disagreement, the third researcher (ZJL) will come forward to resolve.

2.6. Dealing with missing data

For insufficient or missing primary data, the related corresponding authors will be contacted for the information. If the missing data cannot be obtained, the studies will only be included for narrative analysis.

2.7. Quality assessment

At present, there is no consensus about the standard for quality assessment of neuroimaging studies. Previous neuroimaging systematic reviews usually set the quality assessment tools based on their own studies.^[33–36] In this study, we will set a suitable checklist which including 11 items (Table 2) to assess the quality of the included studies after referring to the similar lists used in previous meta-analytic studies.^[37,38] We will mark each item as 1 (Yes) or 0 (No or Unclear), and the summation of items generates an overall quality score (0–11 points). The quality of each study will be defined as high (9–11 points), medium (5–8 points), or low (0–4 points). Please check Table 2 for details. Two researchers (JZ and SRC) will assess the quality independently based on the checklist. If there is a disagreement, the third researcher (ZJL) will come forward to resolve.



Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection process.

Table 2

Quality assessment categories.

Category 1: Subjects

Score

- 1. Patients were evaluated prospectively, specific diagnostic criteria were applied, and demographic data were reported
- 2. Healthy comparison subjects were evaluated prospectively; psychiatric and medical illnesses were excluded
- 3. Important variables (e.g., age, sex, illness duration, onset time, medication status, comorbidity, severity of illness) were checked, either by stratification or statistically
- 4. Sample size per group > 10

Category 2: Methods for image acquisition and analysis

- 5. Magnet strength \geq 1.5 T
- 6. For resting-state functional MRI studies, whole brain analysis was automated with no a priori regional selection
- 7. Coordinates reported in a standard space
- 8. Processing of the imaging technique was described clearly enough to be reproduced
- 9. Measurements were described clearly enough to be reproduced

Category 3: results and conclusions

- 10. Statistical parameters were provided for significant and important non-significant differences
- 11. Conclusions were consistent with the results obtained, and the limitations were discussed

TOTAL

*1 point per criterion fully satisfied, 0 point for partially satisfied or otherwise. MRI=magnetic resonance imaging, T=tesla.

2.8. Data analysis

The collected data will be summarized in a table, including publication information, methodologies, and significant study findings. Methodologies and neuroimaging results then will be pooled and described in details. The total and average sample size, mean age of participants, and year of disease duration will be calculated, disease-related scales, QoL scales, and emotional scales will be summarized. A qualitative review will be performed to synthesize the structure and function brain alterations and correlations between these alterations and the clinical characteristics of patients with TTH. For more clear presentation, these findings will be integrated separately according to different neuroimaging modality. Since the AES-SDM has a higher sensitivity, overlap, and a good control of false positives,^[28] it will be launched to quantitatively synthesize the differences in cerebral structure and function between TTH patients and HC. The strength of evidence of this review will be evaluated using the checklist described above.

The brain regions with structure or function alterations will be voxel-wise meta-analyzed using the AES-SDM, which has been widely used in many other studies, such as Alzheimer disease, autism spectrum, schizophrenia, depression and bipolar disorder.^[39-42] The meta-analysis of TTH will be implemented with a standard inverse-variance weighted, random effects model. The main threshold will be set at an uncorrected P=.005, with a cluster extent of 10 voxels and SDM-Z>1, as this threshold was found to be optimally balance sensitivity and specificity and adequately controlled the probability of detecting an effect by chance.^[28,43] Heterogeneity Q statistic maps (Chi² distribution converted to z values) can be used to explore those brain regions with higher heterogeneity. Potential publication bias will be evaluated by using funnel plots and Egger test if the numbers of main findings are no less than 10 (main findings of structure and function separately).

2.8.1. Multimodal analysis of structural and functional **response.** In order to locate the regions of brain structure and function alteration in TTH patients, the structural and functional findings will be cross-validated in a single map by computing the union of the structural and functional *P*-values.^[28,44]

2.8.2. Meta-regression or subgroup analysis. If sufficient studies are included, we will explore the following potential sources of heterogeneity using subgroup analyses (such as the different neuroimaging techniques of fMRI/VBM/ positron emission tomography, the 1.5/3.0 tesla scanner, and the FWHM) or meta-regression (such as the sample size, the demographic characters, the mean frequency, intensity, and duration of headache).

2.8.3. Sensitivity analysis. A systematic whole-brain voxelbased jackknife sensitivity analysis will be conducted to test the replicability of the results, which consists of repeating the main analysis by systematically discarding each study and repeating the analysis, and to determine whether the previously significant brain regions remain significant.

3. Conclusion

Although neuroimaging has advantages in helping us to realize the pathophysiology of TTH in vivo, especially at the level of supraspinal structures, not all studies of the brain structure and function alterations in TTH have completely reported consistent findings, nor have summarized related evidence. Therefore, this SR and meta-analysis will be launched, aiming to improve the understanding of the pathophysiology of TTH, as well as propose avenues for future neuroimaging studies of TTH.

Author contributions

JZ, SRC, HY conceived the review protocol and drafted the manuscript. MLL and ZJL revised the study design. JZ, HY, ZHY and LL participated in the design of the search strategy and data extraction data set. SRC, XGX and YJC formed the data synthesis and analysis plan. In practice, LZJ and MLL will monitor each procedure of the review and are responsible for the quality control. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

References

- GBD 2017 disease and injury incidence and prevalence collaborators-Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease Study 2017. Lancet 2018;392:1789–858.
- [2] Ferrante T, Manzoni GC, Russo M, et al. Prevalence of tension-type headache in adult general population: the PACE study and review of the literature. Neurol Sci 2013;34:S137–8.
- [3] International Headache Society, Headache Classification CommitteeThe international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). Cephalalgia 2018;38:1–211.
- [4] Pihut M, Ferendiuk E, Szewczyk M, et al. The efficiency of botulinum toxin type A for the treatment of masseter muscle pain in patients with temporomandibular joint dysfunction and tension-type headache. J Headache Pain 2016;17:29.
- [5] Wagner BA, Moreira Filho PF. Painful temporomandibular disorder, sleep bruxism, anxiety symptoms and subjective sleep quality among military firefighters with frequent episodic tension-type headache. A controlled study. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2018;76:387–92.
- [6] Bendtsen L, Jensen R. Tension-type headache: the most common, but also the most neglected, headache disorder. Curr Opin Neurol 2006; 19:305–9.
- [7] Cho SJ, Sohn JH, Bae JS, et al. Fibromyalgia among patients with chronic migraine and chronic tension-type headache: a multicenter prospective cross-sectional study. Headache 2017;57:1583–92.
- [8] Cho SJ, Song TJ, Chu MK. Sleep and tension-type headache. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2019;19:44.
- [9] Holroyd KA, France JL, Nash JM, et al. Pain state as artifact in the psychological assessment of recurrent headache sufferers. Pain 1993; 53:229–35.
- [10] Wang SJ, Liu HC, Fuh JL, et al. Comorbidity of headaches and depression in the elderly. Pain 1999;82:239–43.
- [11] Raggi A, Leonardi M. Burden and cost of neurological diseases: a European North-South comparison. Acta Neurol Scand 2015;132:16– 22.
- [12] Andlin-Sobocki P, Jönsson B, Wittchen HU, et al. Cost of disorders of the brain in Europe. Eur J Neurol 2005;12(Suppl. 1):1–27.
- [13] Schoenen J, Gerard P, De Pasqua V, et al. EMG activity in pericranial muscles during posturalvariation and mental activity in healthy volunteers and patients with chronic tension type headache. Headache 1991;31:321–4.
- [14] Ashina M, Stallknecht B, Bendtsen L, et al. In vivo evidence of altered skeletal muscle blood flow in chronic tension-type headache. Brain 2002;125:320-6.
- [15] Ashina M, Stallknecht B, Bendtsen L, et al. Tender pointsare not sites of on going inflammation-in vivo evidence in patients with chronic tensiontype headache. Cephalalgia 2003;23:109–16.
- [16] Bendtsen L, Jensen R, Olesen J. Decreased pain detection and tolerance thresholdsin chronic tension-typeheadache. Arch Neurol 1996;53: 373–6.
- [17] Langemark M, Jensen K, Jensen TS, et al. Pressure pain thresholds and thermal nociceptive thresholds in chronic tension-type headache. Pain 1989;38:203–10.

- [18] Ashina S, Bendtsen L, Ashina M, et al. Generalized hyperalgesia in patients with chronic tension-type headache. Cephalalgia 2006;26: 940–8.
- [19] Bendtsen L, Jensen R, Olesen J. Qualitative lyalter ednociception inchronic myofascial pain. Pain 1996;65:259–64.
- [20] Bendtsen L. Central sensitization in tension-type headache: possible pathophysiological mechanisms. Cephalalgia 2000;20:486–508.
- [21] Wang P, Du HD, Chen N, et al. Regional homogeneity abnormalities in patients with tension-type headache: a resting-state fMRI study. Neurosci Bull 2014;30:949–55.
- [22] Huang X, Zhang D, Chen Y, et al. Altered functional connectivity of the red nucleus and substantia nigra in migraine without aura. J Headache Pain 2019;20:104.
- [23] Schmidt-Wilcke T, Leinisch E, Straube A, et al. Gray matter decrease in patients with chronic tension type headache. Neurology 2005;65: 1483–6.
- [24] Husøy AK, Håberg AK, Rimol LM, et al. Cerebral cortical dimensions in headache sufferers aged 50 to 66 years: a population-based imaging study in the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT-MRI). Pain 2019;160:1634–43.
- [25] Arne M. New insights into headache: an update on functional and structural imaging findings. Nat Rev Neurol 2009;5:199–209.
- [26] De Benedittis G, Lorenzetti A, Sina C, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in migraine and tension-type headache. Headache 1995; 35:264–8.
- [27] Gurkas E, Karalok ZS, Taskin BD, et al. Brain magnetic resonance imaging findings in children with headache. Eur J Paediatr Neurol 2015;19:S92.
- [28] Radua J, Mataix-Cols D, Phillips ML, et al. A new meta-analytic method for neuroimaging studies that combines reported peak coordinates and statistical parametric maps. Eur Psychiatry 2012;27:605–11.
- [29] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;350:g7647.
- [30] Classification and diagnostic criteria for headache disorders, cranial neuralgias and facial painHeadache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. Cephalalgia 1988;8(Suppl. 7):1–96.
- [31] Olesen J. The international classification of headache disorders. 2nd, edition (ICHD-II). Rev Neurol (Paris) 2005;161:689–91.

- [32] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. PRISMA GroupPreferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ 2009;339:b2535.
- [33] Yin T, Li Z, Xiong J, et al. Neuroimaging biomarkers of psychogenic erectile dysfunction: protocol for a systematic review. BMJ Open 2019;9: e030061.
- [34] Haggstrom L, Welschinger R, Caplan GA. Functional neuroimaging offers insights into delirium pathophysiology: a systematic review. Australas J Ageing 2017;36:186–92.
- [35] Lavagnino L, Arnone D, Cao B, et al. Inhibitory control in obesity and binge eating disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of neurocognitive and neuroimaging studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2016;68:714–26.
- [36] Wilson PH, Smits-Engelsman B, Caeyenberghs K, et al. Cognitive and neuroimaging findings in developmental coordination disorder: new insights from a systematic review of recent research. Dev Med Child Neurol 2017;59:1117–29.
- [37] Du M, Liu J, Chen Z, et al. Brain grey matter volume alterations in latelife depression. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2014;39:397–406.
- [38] Shepherd AM, Matheson SL, Laurens KR, et al. Systematic meta-analysis of insula volume in schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 2012;72:775–84.
- [39] Li J, Pan P, Huang R, et al. A meta-analysis of voxel-based morphometry studies of white matter volume alterations in Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2012;36:757–63.
- [40] Radua J, van den Heuvel OA, Surguladze S, et al. Meta-analytical comparison of voxel-based morphometry studies in obsessive-compulsive disorder vs other anxiety disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2010; 67:701–11.
- [41] Gao X, Zhang W, Yao L, et al. Association between structural and functional brain alterations in drug-free patients with schizophrenia: a multimodal meta-analysis. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2018;43:131–42.
- [42] Wise T, Radua J, Via E, et al. Common and distinct patterns of greymatter volume alteration in major depression and bipolar disorder: evidence from voxel-based meta-analysis. Mol Psychiatry 2017;22: 1455–63.
- [43] Müller VI, Cieslik EC, Laird AR, et al. Ten simple rules for neuroimaging meta-analysis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2018;84:151–61.
- [44] Huang X, Tang S, Lyu X, et al. Structural and functional brain alterations in obstructive sleep apnea: a multimodal meta-analysis. Sleep Med 2019;54:195–204.