CORRESPONDENCE



Big data papers and COVID-19: a "false friend" for academic surgeons

A. Picciariello¹ • D. F. Altomare¹

Received: 16 October 2020 / Accepted: 24 November 2020 / Published online: 15 February 2021 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

Dear Sir,

An academic career is an educational challenge for surgeons. Research takes time and academic surgeons have to balance their time between the operating theatre and science. The h-index, the overall number of citations and the number of peer-reviewed papers are quite objective parameters used to evaluate the scientific profile of a surgeon pursuing an academic career.

Nowadays, the widespread diffusion of multicenter studies with big-data analysis is an extremely important tool for obtaining rapid and reliable answers to several clinical questions by collecting a huge amount of data in a relative short period of time.

Nevertheless, this type of study can give rise to some questionable practices and recent events connected with the COVID-19 pandemic have further exacerbated this problem due to the urgency of presenting data from several countries through multicenter collaboration [1, 2], often skipping external reviewing in the editorial process [3].

In almost all of these studies, the numerous contributors are asked to simply fill in a questionnaire or database including the data of very few patients for their name to be included among the authors or collaborators. Nevertheless, all these studies are accepted and published by journals with an excellent reputation.

Despite the fact that this form of collaboration does not fulfill the basic requirements for authorship (conception, analysis, writing and revision of a manuscript), all contributors benefit from the high number of citations, increasing their h-index. Even when collaborators are not listed as authors, their names appear on PubMed and Scopus, quickly increasing their visibility [4].

A. Picciariello arcangelopicciariello@gmail.com

For example, the incredible number of collaborative studies published over a few months on the topic "*COVID19 and Surgery*" have produced a huge hike of citations, suddenly improving the CV of many researchers whereas the production of a "traditional" original paper, normally requires years of hard work, including designing, managing patients, data recording, analysis and waiting for the editorial process.

The rules governing the progression of academic careers need to be extensively revised in the light of these considerations, restricting the benefit of a scientific publication to the true authors.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent No informed consent is required.

References

- 1. COVIDSurg Collaborative (2020) Global guidance for surgical care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Br J Surg 107(9):1097–1103
- COVIDSurg Collaborative (2020) Elective surgery cancellations due to the COVID-19 pandemic: global predictive modelling to inform surgical recovery plans. Br J Surg 107(11):1440–1449
- Bauchner H, Fontanarosa PB, Golub RM (2020) Editorial evaluation and peer review during a pandemic: how journals maintain standards. JAMA 324(5):453–454
- Altomare DF (2019) Defining authorship in the era of big data collection and its consequences on the academic career. Int J Colorectal Dis 34:939–940

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

¹ Department of Emergency and Organ Transplantation, University Aldo Moro of Bari, Bari, Italy