

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Infectious Diseases



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

Surging bloodstream infections and antimicrobial resistance during the first wave of COVID-19: a study in a large multihospital institution in the Paris region



Rishma Amarsy^a, David Trystram^b, Emmanuelle Cambau^c, Catherine Monteil^d, Sandra Fournier^d, Juliette Oliary^e, Helga Junot^f, Pierre Sabatier^g, Raphaël Porcher^h, Jérôme Robertⁱ, Vincent Jarlier^{i,*}, on behalf of "la Collégiale de Bactériologie–Virologie–Hygiène de l'Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris"

^a Groupe hospitalo-universitaire APHP Nord-Université de Paris, Site Lariboisière et Fernand Widal, Infection Prevention and Control Team and CIMI-Paris, Inserm U1135, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

^b Groupe hospitalo-universitaire APHP Sorbonne Université, Site Pitié-Salpêtrière, Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène, Paris, France and Direction des

Systèmes d'Information de l'Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France

^c Groupe hospitalo-universitaire APHP Nord -Université de Paris, Site Lariboisière, Laboratoire de Microbiologie-Hygiène and Inserm UMR1137 IAME, Paris, France

^d Central Infection Control Team, Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France

^e Groupe hospitalo-universitaire APHP Nord-Université de Paris, Site Lariboisière, Pharmacie, Paris, France

^f Groupe hospitalo-universitaire APHP Sorbonne Université, Site Pitié-Salpêtrière, Pharmacie, Paris, France

^g Agence Générale des Equipements et Produits de Santé, Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Pharmacie, Paris, France

h Groupe hospitalo-universitaire APHP Centre-Université de Paris, Site Hôtel-Dieu, Centre for Clinical Epidemiology, Paris, France; Université de Paris, CRESS **UMR1153 INSERM INRAE Paris France**

¹ Groupe hospitalo-universitaire APHP Sorbonne Université, Site Pitié-Salpêtrière, Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène and CIMI-Paris, Inserm U1135, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 June 2021 Revised 22 September 2021 Accepted 15 October 2021

Keywords: COVID-19 Blood culture Bloodstream infection incidence Antibiotic consumption Antimicrobial resistance

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study measured the impact of the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic (COVID-19) (March-April 2020) on the incidence of bloodstream infections (BSIs) at Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris (APHP), the largest multisite public healthcare institution in France.

Methods: The number of patient admission blood cultures (BCs) collected, number of positive BCs, and antibiotic resistance and consumption were analysed retrospectively for the first quarter of 2020, and also for the first quarter of 2019 for comparison, in 25 APHP hospitals (ca. 14 000 beds).

Results: Up to a fourth of patients admitted in March-April 2020 in these hospitals had COVID-19. The BSI rate per 100 admissions increased overall by 24% in March 2020 and 115% in April 2020, and separately for the major pathogens (Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, enterococci, Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, yeasts). A sharp increase in the rate of BSIs caused by microorganisms resistant to third-generation cephalosporins (3GC) was also observed in March-April 2020, particularly in K. pneumoniae, enterobacterial species naturally producing inducible AmpC (Enterobacter cloacae...), and P. aeruginosa. A concomitant increase in 3GC consumption occurred.

Conclusions: The COVID-19 pandemic had a strong impact on hospital management and also unfavourable effects on severe infections, antimicrobial resistance, and laboratory work diagnostics.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

E-mail address: Vincent.jarlier@aphp.fr (V. Jarlier).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.10.034

Diseases.

^{*} Corresponding author: Vincent Jarlier, Equipe "Emergence et diffusion de la multirésistance aux antibiotiques", Centre d'Immunologie et des Maladies Infectieuses (CIMI), 91 boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France. Tel: +33 1 40 77 95 56.

[†] Members of "la Collégiale de Bactériologie–Virologie–Hygiène de l'Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris" are given are the end of the article.

^{1201-9712/© 2021} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Since the beginning of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in 2019, the world has faced an unprecedented surge of acute respiratory infections that often require intensive care and have a high case fatality rate (Khalili et al., 2020). While the demographics, clinical characteristics, and overall survival rate (Fried et al., 2020; Guan et al., 2020; Lippi et al., 2020) of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 have already been characterized in extensive reports from several parts of the word, little is known about the bacterial complications contributing to the morbidity or mortality of inpatients.

In general, it has been widely reported that patients receiving intensive care are at higher risk of hospital-acquired infections. This is due to invasive monitoring and support, exposure to multiple antibiotics, and colonization with resistant microorganisms (Maki et al., 2008). Among these nosocomial infections, bloodstream infections (BSIs) and respiratory tract infections are the most common and life-threatening (Prowle et al., 2011). Hence, it was sought to analyse the impact of the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic and the subsequent high demand for intensive care on the epidemiology of BSIs in a large public multihospital institution in the Paris region.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Setting

This research was conducted at Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris (APHP), a multihospital institution covering the Paris region (the 12 million inhabitants of the city of Paris, its suburbs, and the surrounding counties). APHP is the largest hospital institution in France. It provides a total of approximately 20 000 beds and admits around 1.4 million patients per year. Approximately 14 000 (70%) of these beds are distributed among 25 hospitals, including 18 acute care hospitals and seven rehabilitation/long-term care hospitals (see list in the **Supplementary Material**). These hospitals are served by laboratories of bacteriology that all use the same laboratory information system (LIS), allowing standardized data extraction and combined analysis. The research was conducted in these 25 hospitals.

2.2. Study period

The study covers the 4-month period from January to the end of April 2020 and also the same 4-month period in 2019 as the control. The March-April 2020 period corresponds to the rise and development of the first COVID-19 epidemic wave in the Paris region; this is referred to as the 'COVID-19 period'.

2.3. Patient data

The number of patients admitted to the 25 hospitals during the two 4-month periods (January–April), as well as the number of patients present each day in these hospitals in March and April 2020 with virologically proven COVID-19 (based on a positive RT-PCR), were obtained from the central APHP administration.

2.4. Blood sample data processing

Data on blood cultures were extracted from the LIS of the laboratories serving these 25 hospitals and merged in a relational SQLqueryable database. A blood culture (BC) set was defined as the combination of one aerobic and one anaerobic blood culture bottle drawn through the same puncture. A positive BC set was defined as microbial growth in at least one of the two bottles. A clinically significant episode of BSI was defined as a positive BC set growing a recognized pathogen (https://www.ecdc. europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/

healthcare-associated-infections-HAI-ICU-protocol.pdf). Bacteria belonging to the commensal skin microbiota (coagulase-negative staphylococci, corynebacteria, and propionibacteria) growing in BC sets are generally defined as contaminants, except in specific individual patient clinical situations (Beekmann et al., 2005; https: //www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/ Publications/healthcare-associated-infections-HAI-ICU-protocol.

pdf). These BCs were not included in the study since clinical data were not available in the LIS. To remove duplicates, only one BSI episode was counted when several BC sets were positive with the same microorganism for a given patient.

Details of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) were extracted from the LIS, as explained above. All of the involved laboratories participate in the national accreditation process (COFRAC), including internal and external quality assessment programmes, and use the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EU-CAST) European quality standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing (https://eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/).

2.5. Antibiotic consumption

The consumption of third-generation cephalosporins (3GC; including cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, and ceftazidime), a group of antibiotics largely used for treating serious bacterial infections in hospital settings, was recorded for the 4-month periods of January-April 2019 and January-April 2020 by the central APHP pharmacy and converted into the defined daily dose (DDD) using the World Health Organization (WHO) definitions (https://www. whocc.no/ddd/definition_and_general_considera/).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Rate ratios and their confidence intervals were computed using Poisson models, with a linear time trend to account for possible changes in rate over time, independent of the COVID-19 pandemic. To account for multiple analyses, 99% confidence intervals (99% CI) were used.

3. Results

3.1. Patients

The rise of the COVID-19 pandemic was observed at the beginning of March 2020 in the Paris region. It peaked at the beginning of April and declined until the end of that month (https://www. santepubliquefrance.fr/maladies-et-traumatismes/maladies-etinfections-respiratoires/infection-a-coronavirus/documents/ bulletin-national/covid-19-point-epidemiologique-du-23-avril-

2020). Correspondingly, the number of COVID-19 inpatients present in APHP hospitals increased progressively in March, reached a plateau between April 4 and April 14, and decreased steadily during the second fortnight of that month. During the plateau period, between 4700 and 4800 COVID-19 inpatients were present at APHP, among which between 1000 and 1100 were in intensive care units (ICUs) (Figure 1). During this plateau period, COVID-19 patients represented as much as a quarter of all patients present at APHP. To deal with the massive influx of adult COVID-19 patients, the organization of the APHP hospitals was modified extensively. Some medical units were dedicated entirely to the admission of COVID-19 patients who did not require intensive care. The capacity of ICU beds for adults was multiplied by 2.6 by converting post-surgery recovery rooms, operating theatres, and even some paediatric ICUs. Other beds were closed, particularly



Figure 1. Change in the number of patients with COVID-19 presenting daily during March and April 2020 at Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris (APHP).

Table 1

Blood cultures and bloodstream infections during the 4-month period (January-April) in 2019 and 2020 in the 25 hospitals of Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris (APHP)

	January		February		March		April	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020 ^a	2019	2020 ^a
Number of admitted patients	99 467	97 873	90 417	88 369	93 711	74 633	95 414	48 086
Number of blood culture sets collected per 100 admitted patients	24.7	22.7	25.2	23.4	23.7	36.2	20.9	53.3
Number of positive blood culture sets per 100 admitted patients	3.1	2.9	3	3	2.9	4	2.6	7.5
BSIs per 100 admitted patients	1.08	1.09	1.01	1.01	1.05	1.29	1.01	2.25
Escherichia coli	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.28	0.30	0.25	0.37
Enterococci	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.16	0.11	0.38
Streptococci	0.16	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.26
Staphylococcus aureus	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.28
Klebsiella spp	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.25
Enterobacteriaceae naturally producing inducible AmpC ^b	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.12	0.07	0.22
Non fermentative gram-negative bacilli including Pseudomonas aeruginosa	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.27
Yeasts	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.11
BSIs with 3CG-resistant microorganisms per 100 admitted patients								
ESBL-producing Klebsiella spp	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.09
Cefotaxime-resistant Enterobacteriaceae naturally producing inducible AmpC ^b	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05
Ceftazidime-resistant non-fermentative gram-negative bacilli including	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03
Pseudomonas aeruginosa								
Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03
Enterococci (intrinsic resistance)	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.16	0.11	0.38
Yeasts (intrinsic resistance)	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.11

BSI, bloodstream infection; 3CG, third-generation cephalosporin; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase.

^a COVID-19 period.

^b Enterobacter cloacae, Enterobacter aerogenes, Serratia spp.

in surgery, since non-urgent surgical procedures were largely postponed. In these cases, the corresponding personnel were redeployed to take care of the COVID-19 patients. Consequently, the overall number of patients admitted to the 25 hospitals decreased during the COVID-19 period (Table 1).

3.2. Blood cultures

Data on the 185 132 BC sets taken during the periods of January–April 2019 and January–April 2020 in the 25 hospitals were reviewed. There was a dramatic increase in the number of BCs collected during the COVID-19 period, i.e. 42.9 BCs per 100 admissions during March–April 2020 versus 23.6 BCs per 100 admissions during January–April 2019 (Table 1). This increase was

more precisely assessed by computing the rate ratios of BCs per 100 admissions; the rate ratio was 1.6 in March 2020 and 2.37 in April 2020. This represents an increase of 60% (99% CI 56–63%) in March and 137% (99% CI 131–142%) in April 2020 in comparison to the rates recorded in the periods January–April 2019 and January–February 2020 (Figure 2). A similar increase in the rate ratio of positive BCs per 100 admissions occurred during the COVID-19 period (Figure 2). The proportion of positivity remained stable across all periods.

3.3. Microbial pathogens isolated from bloodstream infections

When considering only clinically significant microorganisms recovered from positive BCs, the rate ratio of BSIs per 100 admissions



Figure 2. Rate ratios of blood cultures collected (BC), positive blood cultures (BC+), and bloodstream infections (BSI) per 100 admissions in March and April 2020 compared to expected values; 99% confidence intervals are provided.

increased by 24% (99% CI 11–38%) in March 2020 and 115% (99% CI 94–139%) in April 2020 in comparison with the rates in January–April 2019 and January–February 2020 (Figure 2).

When computed according to bacterial species, the increase in the rate of BSIs per 100 admissions in April 2020 ranged between 46% (*Escherichia coli* and anaerobes) and 254% (enterococci) (Table 1).

The rates of clinically significant BSIs with an organism resistant to 3GC per 100 admissions increased during the COVID-19 period, particularly in April 2020. That month saw an increase in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) (3.3-fold), cefotaxime-resistant isolates of enterobacteria naturally producing inducible AmpC (*Enterobacter cloacae, Klebsiella aerogenes, Serratia* spp, etc.) (2.7-fold, likely through cephalosporinase overproduction), and ceftazidime-resistant strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (2.4-fold), as well as of other non-fermenting gramnegative bacilli (1.5-fold) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (1.6-fold) (Table 1). This increase also concerned organisms that are naturally resistant to 3GC, e.g., enterococci (3.5-fold) and yeasts (3.3-fold).

3.4. Consumption of third-generation cephalosporins (3GC)

A sharp increase (DDD per 100 admissions) in the consumption of 3GC, mostly of cefotaxime, occurred in the 25 hospitals during the COVID-19 period. In March the increase was 131% and in April it was 148%, as compared with baseline consumption during the periods January–April 2019 and January–February 2020 (Figure 3).

4. Discussion

This study showed a surge in blood culturing, BSIs, antibiotic resistance, and antibiotic use during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic (March-April 2020) in 25 hospitals in the Paris region, the region with the highest number of COVID-19 cases in France at that time (https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/ maladies-et-traumatismes/maladies-et-infections-respiratoires/ infection-a-coronavirus/documents/bulletin-national/ covid-19-point-epidemiologique-du-23-avril-2020). It appears that this is the first study to show the global impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on such a broad scale (25 hospitals in a region of 12 million inhabitants).

During the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic, the rate ratios of blood culture collection per 100 admissions increased in the 25 hospitals by 60% in March 2020 and 137% in April 2020. The same trend was observed when using rates of 1000 patientdays as an indicator (data not shown). A sharp increase in blood culturing during the COVID-19 pandemic was also reported in January through March 2020 in five hospitals in New York City, overwhelming local laboratory capacity and leading to a decrease in the duration of bottle incubation in order to free space in the automated systems (Sepulveda et al., 2020). In contrast, no variation in BCs was observed during the first wave of COVID-19 in five London hospitals (Denny et al., 2021).

Concomitantly to the increase in clinical samples, there was an increase in the number of infections. Indeed, the rate ratio of BSIs per 100 admissions increased by 24% in March 2020 and 115% in April 2020. Such an increase was also observed during a separate analysis of the major pathogens (E. coli, K. pneumoniae, enterococci, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, yeasts, etc.). These findings are in line with the results of several single-centres studies in China, Italy, and Switzerland, which found that COVID-19 ICU patients are at a high risk of developing BSIs (Cataldo et al., 2020; Søgaard et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2020). Conversely, a study conducted in six tertiary care hospitals in Sweden and the London study did not find a high proportion of positive BCs with clinically relevant organisms during the COVID-19 epidemics, but the incidences of BSI were not reported (Denny et al., 2021; Søgaard et al., 2021). In fact, an increase in BSIs associated with an influx of COVID-19 patients is not surprising, since serious bacterial and fungal infections, including BSIs, frequently occur during the hospital stays of severely ill patients (Bassetti et al., 2016; https://www.health.org.uk/ news-and-comment/blogs/covid-19-five-dimensions-of-impact). In the 25 hospitals included in the present study, the number of ICU beds used to treat severely ill COVID-19 patients increased by 260%. This accounts for up to a quarter of the COVID-19 inpatients present in APHP hospitals, clearly indicating the severity of their status. The more severe the condition of the patient, the more



Figure 3. Monthly consumption of third-generation cephalosporins, expressed as the defined daily dose (DDD) per 100 admissions, during the first 4 months of the years 2019 and 2020 in the 25 hospitals of Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris (APHP).

often invasive procedures are carried out, therefore leading to more infections and more diagnostic tests. It should be noted that at least two in three of the BSIs diagnosed in March and April 2020 in this study could be considered as hospital-acquired based on the time interval between patient admission and BCs.

Moreover, this study found an increase not only in the rate of BSIs, but also in the rate of BSIs caused by microorganisms resistant to 3GC, as compared to a reference period. Several authors have warned of the possible negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on AMR (Cantón et al., 2020; Monnet and Harbarth, 2020). Taking resistance to 3GC as an indicator of antibiotic resistance is justified for several reasons. Indeed, 3GC are a major class of injectable antibiotics used widely for severely ill patients in a hospital setting and recommended in the Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines for the management of critically ill adults with COVID-19 (Alhazzani et al., 2020). These drugs are among the main indicators of use both at the European level as well as worldwide to assess antibiotic consumption and resistance (https://apps.who. int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/279656/9789241515061-eng.pdf; https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/

surveillance-antimicrobial-resistance-Europe-2018.pdf).

The observed increase concerned mainly gram-negative species resistant via ESBL production and cephalosporinase overproduction (Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Pseudomonas), species known to cause hospital-acquired infections. We confirm on a large scale the findings of other reports in smaller settings showing an increase in multiresistant gram-negative species during the COVID-19 epidemic (Arcari et al., 2021; Belvisi et al., 2021). In addition, we also report an increase in the rate of BSIs caused by S. aureus with acquired resistance to methicillin and cephalosporins (MRSA), as well as enterococci and yeasts, two types of microorganism characterized by their natural resistance to 3GC.

Finally, concomitantly to the rise in BSI and resistance, a sharp increase in 3GC consumption was observed. Similar increases in broad-spectrum beta-lactams, and particularly 3GC, have been reported during the COVID-19 pandemic in different countries, but always in a single facility, which may not be representative (Abelenda-Alonso et al., 2020; Bork et al., 2020;

Giacomelli et al., 2021; Nestler et al., 2020). The intensive use of 3GC is known to exert a selective pressure on enterobacteria with acquired resistance to these antibiotics, either through ESBL production or cephalosporinase overproduction (Mizrahi et al., 2020; Padmini et al., 2017), as well as on naturally resistant organisms such as yeasts (Arendrup, 2010) and enterococci (García-Solache and Rice, 2019). This dual impact is not surprising since a strong correlation between the rates of natural and acquired resistance in BSIs has been established in recent research in European countries (Jarlier et al., 2019).

Despite the strengths of this study, which covers a large set of regional hospitals, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the scale of the study, which dealt with the approximately 120 000 patients admitted in March and April 2020, made an analysis at the individual level (invasive procedures, antibiotic prescriptions...) impossible. The research therefore captured the overall impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, without patient stratification, on four indicators in the 25 hospitals. More specifically, stratification of BCs and BSIs by COVID-19 status was not performed because of the lack of a unified comprehensive clinical and microbiological database. Second, the study focused on BSIs because the bacteriological results of BCs are relatively simple to interpret. Other major types of infection, such as lower respiratory tract infections, would have been of interest in the context of COVID-19 pulmonary involvement. However, these infections are difficult to assess based simply on bacteriological results without an analysis of clinical data, which are not available in LIS (see above). Similarly, the lack of individual data on indwelling catheters or other invasive procedures made the identification of 'true' BSIs due to skin commensal species (coagulase-negative staphylococci, etc.) impossible. Third, we did not evaluate other factors such as nursing staff ratios or staff expertise, which could have affected BSI rates in a more complex context (Amarsy et al., 2021; Robert et al., 2000).

Upheaval in hospital organization has been reported in many countries where the health system has been overwhelmed by the spread of COVID-19 (Grasselli et al., 2020: https://www.health.org.uk/news-and-comment/blogs/ covid-19-five-dimensions-of-impact). Health care systems can

rapidly become compromised in the case of an explosive outbreak of an emerging infection (Crawford et al., 2016; Elston et al., 2017; Nuzzo et al., 2019) or in the case of conflicts and natural disasters (López Tagle and Santana Nazarit, 2011; Roberts et al., 2003).

The collateral effects of the COVID-19 crisis on BSIs, AMR, and antibiotic consumption have not been reported simultaneously and at the scale of a large region. Comprehensive studies in more focused populations will be needed to assess the respective contributions of risk factors to BSIs and increases in antibiotic resistance during the pandemic. A combination of responsible use of antimicrobials and adequate hygiene measures should be used in order to minimize the risk of unfavourable outcomes following another sudden crisis of a similar magnitude.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Christophe Leroy, in charge of the SI-VIC data centre at APHP, for providing information on the COVID-19 patients presenting daily at APHP hospitals.

Declarations

Funding: None.

Ethical approval: This study did not require any specific ethical approval, as it used data that were extracted anonymously according to current French regulations. All patients admitted to APHP hospitals are informed about the potential use of their data for research purposes.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

†Members of the Collégiale de Bactériologie-Virologie-Hygiène: Guillaume Arlet, Laurence Armand Lefevre, Alexandra Aubry, Laurent Belec, Béatrice Bercot, Stéphane Bonacorsi, Vincent Calvez, Emmanuelle Cambau, Etienne Carbonnelle, Stéphane Chevaliez, Jean-Winoc Decousser, Constance Delaugerre, Diane Descamps, Florence Doucet-Populaire, Jean-Louis Gaillard, Antoine Garbarg-Chenon, Elyanne Gault, Jean-Louis Herrmann, Vincent Jarlier, Jérôme Le Goff, Jean-Christophe Lucet, Jean-Luc Mainardi, Anne-Geneviève Marcellin, Laurence Morand-Joubert, Xavier Nassif, Jean-Michel Pawlotsky, Jérôme Robert, Anne-Marie Roque Afonso, Martin Rottman, Christine Rouzioux, Flore Rozenberg, François Simon, Nicolas Veziris, David Skurnik, Jean-Ralph Zahar, Guilene Barnaud, Typhaine Billard Pomares, Gaëlle Cuzon, Dominique Decré, Alexandra Doloy, Jean-Luc Donay, Laurence Drieux-Rouzet, Isabelle Durand, Agnès Ferroni, Vincent Fihman, Nicolas Fortineau, Camille Gomart, Nathalie Grall, Christelle Guillet Caruba, Françoise Jaureguy, Valérie Lalande, Luce Landraud, Véronique Leflon, Patricia Mariani, Liliana Mihaila, Didier Moissenet, Latifa Noussair, Isabelle Podglajen, Isabelle Poilane, Hélène Poupet, Emilie Rondinaud, Valérie Sivadon Tardy, David Trystram, Charlotte Verdet, Emmanuelle Vigier, Sophie Vimont Billarant.

References

- Abelenda-Alonso G, Padullés A, Rombauts A, Gudiol C, Pujol M, Alvarez-Pouso C, et al. Antibiotic prescription during the COVID-19 pandemic: A biphasic pattern. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2020;41:1371–2. doi:10.1017/ice.2020.381.
- Alhazzani W, Møller MH, Arabi YM, Loeb M, Gong MN, Fan E, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: guidelines on the management of critically ill adults with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Intensive Care Med 2020;46:854– 87. doi:10.1007/s00134-020-06022-5.
- Amarsy R, Jacquier H, Munier AL, Merimèche M, Berçot B, Megarbane Bruno. Outbreak of NDM-1-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in the intensive care unit during the COVID-19 pandemic: Another nightmare. American J of Inf. Con. 2021. doi:10.1016/j.medmal.2020.05.004.
- Arcari G, Raponi G, Sacco F, Bibbolino G, Di Lella FM, Alessandri F, et al. Klebsiella pneumoniae infections in COVID-19 patients: a 2-month retrospective analysis in an Italian hospital. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2021;57. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106245.

- Arendrup MC. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis. Curr Opin Crit Care 2010;16:445–52. doi:10.1097/MCC.0b013e32833e84d2.
- Bassetti M, Righi E, Carnelutti A. Bloodstream infections in the Intensive Care Unit. Virulence 2016;7:267–79. doi:10.1080/21505594.2015.1134072.
- Beekmann SE, Diekema DJ, Doern GV. Determining the Clinical Significance of Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci Isolated From Blood Cultures. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2005;26:559–66. doi:10.1086/502584.
- Belvisi V, Del Borgo C, Vita S, Redaelli P, Dolce P, Pacella D, et al. Impact of SARS CoV-2 pandemic on carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae prevention and control programme: convergent or divergent action? J Hosp Infect 2021;109:29–31. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2020.11.030.
- Bork JT, Leekha S, Claeys K, Seung H, Tripoli M, Amoroso A, et al. Change in hospital antibiotic use and acquisition of multidrug-resistant gram-negative organisms after the onset of coronavirus disease 2019. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2020:1–3. doi:10.1017/ice.2020.1360.
- Cantón R, Gijón D, Ruiz-Garbajosa P. Antimicrobial resistance in ICUs: an update in the light of the COVID-19 pandemic. Curr Opin Crit Care 2020;26:433–41. doi:10.1097/MCC.00000000000755.
- Cataldo MA, Tetaj N, Selleri M, Marchioni L, Capone A, Caraffa E, et al. Incidence of bacterial and fungal bloodstream infections in COVID-19 patients in intensive care: An alarming "collateral effect. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2020;23:290–1. doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2020.10.004.
- Crawford R, Rutz DC, Evans DP. 'Between Combat boots and Birkenstocks'– Lessons from HIV/AIDS, SARS, H1N1 and Ebola. Public Health 2016;141:186–91. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2016.09.018.
- Denny S, Rawson TM, Hart P, Satta G, Abdulaal A, Hughes S, et al. Bacteraemia variation during the COVID-19 pandemic; a multi-centre UK secondary care ecological analysis. BMC Infect Dis 2021;21:556. doi:10.1186/s12879-021-06159-8.
- Elston JWT, Cartwright C, Ndumbi P, Wright J. The health impact of the 2014–15 Ebola outbreak. Public Health 2017;143:60–70. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2016.10.020.
- Fried MW, Crawford JM, Mospan AR, Watkins SE, Munoz Hernandez B, Zink RC, et al. Patient Characteristics and Outcomes of 11,721 Patients with COVID19 Hospitalized Across the United States. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am 2020. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1268.
- García-Solache M, Rice LB. The Enterococcus: a Model of Adaptability to Its Environment. Clin Microbiol Rev 2019;32. doi:10.1128/CMR.00058-18.
- Giacomelli A, Ridolfo AL, Oreni L, Vimercati S, Albrecht M, Cattaneo D, et al. Consumption of antibiotics at an Italian university hospital during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic: Were all antibiotic prescriptions appropriate? Pharmacol Res 2021;164. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105403.
- Grasselli G, Pesenti A, Cecconi M. Critical Care Utilization for the COVID-19 Outbreak in Lombardy, Italy: Early Experience and Forecast During an Emergency Response. JAMA 2020;323:1545. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.4031.
- Guan W-J, Ni Z-Y, Hu Y, Liang W-H, Ou C-Q, He J-X, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 2020;382:1708–20. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2002032.
- Jarlier V, Diaz Högberg L, Heuer OE, Campos J, Eckmanns T, Giske CG, et al. Strong correlation between the rates of intrinsically antibioticresistant species and the rates of acquired resistance in Gram-negative species causing bacteraemia, EU/EEA, 2016. Eurosurveillance 2019;24. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.33.1800538.
- Khalili M, Karamouzian M, Nasiri N, Javadi S, Mirzazadeh A, Sharifi H. Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiol Infect 2020;148:e130. doi:10.1017/S0950268820001430.
- Lippi G, Mattiuzzi C, Sanchis-Gomar F, Henry BM. Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients dying from COVID-19 in Italy vs China. J Med Virol 2020. doi:10.1002/jmv.25860.
- López Tagle E, Santana Nazarit P. [The 2010 earthquake in Chile: the response of the health system and international cooperation]. Rev Panam Salud Publica Pan Am J Public Health 2011;30:160–6.
- Maki DG, Crnich CJ, Safdar N. Nosocomial Infection in the Intensive Care Unit. Crit. Care Med., Elsevier 2008:1003–69. doi:10.1016/B978-032304841-5.50053-4.
- Mizrahi A, Delerue T, Morel H, Le Monnier A, Carbonnelle E, Pilmis B, et al. Infections caused by naturally AmpC-producing Enterobacteriaceae: Can we use third-generation cephalosporins? A narrative review. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020;55. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2019.10.015.
- Monnet DL, Harbarth S. Will coronavirus disease (COVID-19) have an impact on antimicrobial resistance? Eurosurveillance 2020;25. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.45.2001886.
- Nestler MJ, Godbout E, Lee K, Kim J, Noda AJ, Taylor P, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on pneumonia-focused antibiotic use at an academic medical center. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2020:1–3. doi:10.1017/ice.2020.362.
- Nuzzo JB, Meyer D, Snyder M, Ravi SJ, Lapascu A, Souleles J, et al. What makes health systems resilient against infectious disease outbreaks and natural hazards? Results from a scoping review. BMC Public Health 2019;19. doi:10.1186/s12889-019-7707-z.
- Padmini N, Ajilda AAK, Sivakumar N, Selvakumar G. Extended spectrum β lactamase producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae : critical tools for antibiotic resistance pattern. J Basic Microbiol 2017;57:460–70. doi:10.1002/jobm.201700008.
- Prowle JR, Echeverri JE, Ligabo EV, Sherry N, Taori GC, Crozier TM, et al. Acquired bloodstream infection in the intensive care unit: incidence and attributable mortality. Crit Care Lond Engl 2011;15:R100. doi:10.1186/cc10114.
- Robert J, Fridkin SK, Blumberg HM, Anderson B, White N, Ray SM, et al. The Influence of the Composition of the Nursing Staff on Primary Bloodstream In-

fection Rates in a Surgical Intensive Care Unit. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol

- fection Rates in a Surgical Intensive Care Unit. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2000;21:12–17. doi:10.1086/501690. Roberts M, Fox M, Hamilton-Davies C, Dowson S. The Experience Of The Intensive Care Unit In A British Army Field Hospital During The 2003 Gulf Conflict. J R Army Med Corps 2003;149:284–90. doi:10.1136/jramc-149-04-08. Sepulveda J, Westblade LF, Whittier S, Satlin MJ, Greendyke WG, Aaron JG, et al. Bacteremia and Blood Culture Utilization during COVID-19 Surge in New York Citru I Clin Microbiol 2000;59. doi:10.1128/UCM.00825-20
- City. J Clin Microbiol 2020;58. doi:10.1128/JCM.00875-20.
- Søgaard KK, Baettig V, Osthoff M, Marsch S, Leuzinger K, Schweitzer M, et al. Sogardi KK, Baetug V, Ostnoli M, Marsch S, Eduzinger K, Schweitzer M, et al. Community-acquired and hospital-acquired respiratory tract infection and bloodstream infection in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 pneumonia. J In-tensive Care 2021;9:10. doi:10.1186/s40560-021-00526-y.
 Zhang H, Zhang Y, Wu J, Li Y, Zhou X, Li X, et al. Risks and features of secondary infections in source and ceitical ill COVID 10 patients. Experg Microbiol. Infections of the second se
- infections in severe and critical ill COVID-19 patients. Emerg Microbes Infect 2020;9:1958–64. doi:10.1080/22221751.2020.1812437.