

Hedgehog signaling patterns the oralaboral axis of the mandibular arch

Jingyue Xu¹, Han Liu¹, Yu Lan^{1,2,3,4}, Mike Adam¹, David E Clouthier⁵, Steven Potter^{1,3}, Rulang Jiang^{1,2,3,4}*

¹Division of Developmental Biology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, United States; ²Division of Plastic Surgery, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, United States; ³Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, United States; ⁴Shriners Hospitals for Children – Cincinnati, Cincinnati, United States; ⁵Department of Craniofacial Biology, School of Dental Medicine, Anschutz Medical Campus, University of Colorado, Aurora, United States

Abstract Development of vertebrate jaws involves patterning neural crest-derived mesenchyme cells into distinct subpopulations along the proximal-distal and oral-aboral axes. Although the molecular mechanisms patterning the proximal-distal axis have been well studied, little is known regarding the mechanisms patterning the oral-aboral axis. Using unbiased single-cell RNA-seq analysis followed by in situ analysis of gene expression profiles, we show that Shh and Bmp4 signaling pathways are activated in a complementary pattern along the oral-aboral axis in mouse embryonic mandibular arch. Tissue-specific inactivation of hedgehog signaling in neural crest-derived mandibular mesenchyme led to expansion of BMP signaling activity to throughout the oral-aboral axis of the distal mandibular arch and subsequently duplication of dentary bone in the oral side of the mandible at the expense of tongue formation. Further studies indicate that hedgehog signaling acts through the Foxf1/2 transcription factors to specify the oral fate and pattern the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular mesenchyme.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.001

Introduction

Formation of the jaws conferred a huge evolutionary advantage to the early vertebrates such that more than 99% of living vertebrates today have biting jaws as a primary feeding apparatus (Brazeau and Friedman, 2015; Janvier, 1996; Miyashita, 2016). Central in the formation and evolution of the vertebrate jaws is the embryonic first pharyngeal arch, the rostral-most pharyngeal arch recognized in the embryo (Cerny et al., 2004; Kuratani, 2004; Kuratani, 2012; Miyashita, 2016). Whereas all of the pharyngeal arches form by cranial neural crest cells that populate between the embryonic foregut endoderm and ventral surface ectoderm, and although neural crest cells populating each of the other pharyngeal arches are specified by a combination of colinearly expressed Hox genes, the neural crest cells populating the first arch are Hox-negative and their fates are primarily regulated by signaling molecules expressed in the arch epithelium (Minoux and Rijli, 2010; Miyashita, 2016; Trainor and Krumlauf, 2001). As has been demonstrated by cell lineage studies in both axolotl and chick embryos, neural crest cells in the dorsal portion of the first pharyngeal arch contribute to trabecular bones of the neural cranium whereas neural crest cells in the ventral portion of the first arch, referred to as the mandibular arch, give rise to skeletal elements of both the upper and lower jaws (Cerny et al., 2004; Kuratani et al., 2013). In addition to giving rise to the skeletal structures of the jaws, which form in the aboral regions of the maxillary and mandibular prominences (Cobourne and Sharpe, 2003), the neural crest-derived ectomesenchyme cells interact with the oral

*For correspondence: rulang.jiang@cchmc.org

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 21

Received: 21 July 2018 Accepted: 11 January 2019 Published: 14 January 2019

Reviewing editor: Shahragim Tajbakhsh, Institut Pasteur, France

© Copyright Xu et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

Developmental Biology

epithelium to form teeth as well as to organize tongue formation at the oral side of the mandible (*Cobourne and Sharpe, 2003; Lumsden, 1988; Mina and Kollar, 1987; Parada and Chai, 2015*). Whereas previous studies have uncovered detailed molecular mechanisms patterning the rostral-caudal and proximal-distal axes of the jaw skeleton (*Medeiros and Crump, 2012; Minoux and Rijli, 2010*), the molecular mechanism patterning the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular arch mesenchyme is not well understood.

Upon arriving in the mandibular arch, the neural crest cells encounter a wealth of epithelial signals, including Fgf8 and Bmp4 expressed by the facial ectoderm as well as Shh expressed by the pharyngeal endoderm (Brito et al., 2006; Haworth et al., 2004; Haworth et al., 2007; Jeong et al., 2004; Shigetani et al., 2000; Tucker et al., 1998; Tucker et al., 1999). Both Fgf8 and Shh signaling are crucial for the survival and proliferation of the neural crest cells colonizing the mandibular arch (Brito et al., 2006; Creuzet et al., 2004; Jeong et al., 2004; Trumpp et al., 1999). Fqf8 and Bmp4 act to pattern the proximal-distal axis of the mandibular arch by activating expression of distinct homeodomain-containing transcription factors in the neural crest-derived mandibular mesenchyme, including Barx1 expression in the proximal region and Msx1 and Msx2 in the distal region (Barlow et al., 1999; Ferguson et al., 2000; Tucker et al., 1998). In addition, it has been shown that restricted expression of the Lim-homeobox domain genes Lhx6 and Lhx8 (previously called Lhx7) in the rostral region of the mandibular mesenchyme depends on Fqf8 signaling from the mandibular ectoderm (Cobourne and Sharpe, 2003; Grigoriou et al., 1998; Tucker et al., 1999). Since Lhx8 mRNA expression was found restricted in the rostral region of the mandibular arch mesenchyme on frontal sections, the authors interpreted the rostral side of the mandibular arch as the oral side and suggested that Fgf8 signaling might be important in patterning the oral-aboral axis of the mandible (Cobourne and Sharpe, 2003; Grigoriou et al., 1998; Tucker et al., 1999). However, tissue-specific inactivation of Fgf8 in the early mandibular arch epithelium in the mouse embryos caused complete loss of proximal mandibular structures (Trumpp et al., 1999), which proved that Fgf8 signaling is essential for proximal mandibular development but whether Fgf8 signaling is required for patterning the oral-aboral axis remains unresolved.

Recent development of the single cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) technology allows simultaneous profiling of the transcriptomes of thousands of individual cells from an organ or tissue in a single experiment and is revolutionizing many areas of biology and disease research (Klein et al., 2015; Macosko et al., 2015; Park et al., 2018; Rosenberg et al., 2018; Tirosh et al., 2016; Venteicher et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2017). In addition to identifying novel cells as well as uncovering new marker genes for previously defined cell types within both healthy and diseased tissues (Klein et al., 2015; Park et al., 2018; Rosenberg et al., 2018; Tirosh et al., 2016; Venteicher et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2017), scRNA-seq has enabled effective generation of high resolution molecular atlases of the gene expression programs that drive tissue and organ morphogenesis (Adam et al., 2017; Park et al., 2018; Rosenberg et al., 2018). In this study, we performed scRNA-seq analysis of E10.5 mouse mandibular arch. Unbiased clustering analysis of more than 10,000 cells from the E10.5 mandibular arch confirms the compartmentalized expression of major signaling molecules, including Bmp4, Fqf8, Shh, Wnt6, in the mandibular epithelium and spatiallyrestricted target gene expression in the mandibular arch mesenchyme. Whereas previous reports of whole mount in situ hybridization data showed restricted expression of several Bmp and Shh target genes in the distal mandibular mesenchyme at this developmental stage (Jeong et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005), our scRNA-seq data revealed that these two pathways are activated in complementary subdomains in the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme. Subsequent in situ hybridization analysis validated these findings and revealed that expression of Shh and Bmp4 target genes are patterned along the oral-aboral axis. Furthermore, we show that tissue-specific inactivation of Smo, which encodes an obligatory and cell-autonomous transducer of hedgehog signaling (Briscoe and Vincent, 2013; Jeong et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2001), in the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme led to duplication of the dentary bone in the oral side of the mandibular mesenchyme at the expense of tongue formation. In the absence of Smo function, BMP signaling is activated throughout the oralaboral axis of the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme. Moreover, we show that tissue-specific inactivation of Foxf1 and Foxf2 in the cranial neural crest cells also led to agenesis of the oral tongue structure and ectopic bone formation in the oral side of the mandible. These results uncover a previously unknown molecular mechanism involving antagonistic actions of hedgehog and BMP signaling in patterning the oral-aboral axis of the mandible.

Results

Single-cell RNA-seq analysis of the E10.5 mouse mandibular arch

To gain better understanding of the gene expression programs driving mandibular morphogenesis, we performed scRNA-seq analysis of E10.5 mouse mandibular arch using the 10x Genomics's Chromium Single Cell system (see Materials and methods). After filtering at both cell and gene levels using highly stringent criteria (see Materials and methods), we obtained high quality transcriptome profiles of 10,586 cells, which expressed 17,074 unique genes, with the median number of detected genes and transcripts at 2624 and 8824, respectively. The unsupervised clustering of the scRNA-seq data generated the cell groupings shown in a two-dimensional t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (tSNE) plot in Figure 1A. All major cell types were identified and validated by the expression of known cell type selective markers. The transcriptome signature gene list for the cell clusters are shown in Supplementary file 1 and the gene expression profiles summarized in a heatmap in Figure 1-figure supplement 1. As expected, the majority of the cells were of neural crest origin, accounting for over 9000 of the 10,586 cells and are distributed in two subgroups, NC1 and NC2, representing cells in the proximal (expressing Barx1) and distal (expressing Hand2) regions of the E10.5 mandibular arch, respectively. About 8% of the cells were epithelial cells, which are marked by expression of Epcam (830 cells, including both endoderm and ectoderm cells). The endothelial cells (234 cells, marked by Pecam1 expression) and other mesoderm-derived cells (249 cells) were also grouped according to their cell type identity. The NC3 cluster, consisting of 484 cells, is distinguished from the other clusters primarily by overall lower levels of transcripts and dramatically lower number of genes detected per cell (Figure 1-figure supplement 2 and Figure 1-figure supplement 3, and Supplementary file 2). In addition, the NC3 cluster cells showed very low levels or absence of several ubiquitously expressed, nuclear localized long noncoding RNAs, including Malat1, Xist, Meg3, and Kcng1ot1 (Supplementary file 2, Figure 1—figure supplement 4). These data suggest that the NC3 cluster resulted, most likely, from incomplete lysis of a subset of single cells.

We performed iterative clustering analysis of the neural crest cell populations from NC1 and NC2 clusters shown in *Figure 1A*, which further grouped the neural crest-derived mandibular mesenchyme cells into six subgroups. Over/under clustering was verified via gene expression heatmaps. A 3D tSNE projection of these neural crest cell subgroups is shown in *Figure 1—video 1* and a 2D tSNE projection is shown in *Figure 1B*. A list of highly differentially expressed marker genes of each of the six clusters is provided in *Supplementary file 3*. Subgroups 0 and 1 share high levels of expression of *Hand2*, a marker of the distal domain of the mandibular arch mesenchyme at this developmental stage, whereas Subgroup 2 lacks *Hand2* expression and instead expresses the proximal domain marker genes *Emx2* and *Pou3f3* at high levels (*Figure 1C*). Subgroups 3 and 4 appear to distribute along the rostral-caudal axis, with Subgroup 3 expressing high levels of the caudal domain marker gene *Gsc* and with Subgroup 4 expressing high levels of the rostral domain marker genes *Lhx8* and *Lhx6* (*Figure 1B,C*). Subgroup 5 cells are more scattered than the other subgroups in the tSNE plot but are uniquely marked by high levels of expression of *Notch2* (*Figure 1B,C*, *Supplementary file 3*).

Whereas it is remarkable that the iterative clustering analysis of the scRNA-seq data grouped the mandibular neural crest mesenchyme cells into subgroups that occupy distinct spaces along the proximal-distal and rostral-caudal axes, most of the marker genes are not exclusively expressed in only one subgroup and there was no sharp boundary between the adjacent subgroups (*Figure 1B, C, Supplementary file 3*). Nevertheless, the cellular distributions in the tSNE plot correlated well with their spatial patterns of gene expression along the proximal-distal and rostral-caudal axes of the mandibular arch in the intact embryo (*Figure 2*). Moreover, although both Subgroups 0 and 1 consist of neural crest cells from the distal region of the mandibular arch mesenchyme marked by high *Hand2* expression, they are distinguished from each other by significant differential expression of multiple genes (*Figure 1C, Supplementary file 3*). Strikingly, several Hedgehog signaling target genes, including *Ptch1, Foxf1*, and *Foxf2*, were among the top marker genes for Subgroup 0 whereas several BMP signaling target genes, including *Msx1*, *Msx2*, and *Bambi* were among the top markers for Subgroup 1. Visualization of the 3D tSNE projection of all six neural crest cell subgroups suggest that Subgroups 0 and 1 are distributed along a distinct axis from Subgroups 2 and 3. Furthermore, gene ontology analyses showed 'skeletal system development', 'ossification', and



Figure 1. Analysis of scRNA-seq data of E10.5 mouse mandibular arch. (A) tSNE plot of all cells with high quality RNA-seq results shows clustering of the major cell types. (B) Unsupervised clustering of the neural crest-derived mandibular mesenchyme cells shows six identifiable subgroups. (C) Heat map showing distribution of cells in the six subgroups shown in B, with selected marker genes exhibiting more than 1.3-fold difference in expression levels across all six subgroups. The cluster numbers are marked at the bottom of Panel C and correspond to the cluster numbers in Panel B. The expression level for each marker gene in the individual cells is reflected by color of the vertical bars, with bright blue being lowest and bright red being highest.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.002

The following video and figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Heatmap showing transcriptome profiles of the major cell types in the E10.5 mouse mandibular arch, with select marker genes exhibiting more than 1.5-fold difference in expression levels across all clusters.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.003

Figure supplement 2. Dot plot showing the number of unique genes whose expression was detected in the single cells in the six different clusters. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.004

Figure supplement 3. Dot plot showing the number of transcripts detected in the single cells in the six different clusters.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.005

Figure supplement 4. Comparison of the levels of transcripts for selected marker genes in tSNE maps of the E10.5 mandibular arch scRNA-seq data. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.006

Figure supplement 5. Distribution of the principal components by JackStraw plot.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.007

Figure supplement 6. Analysis of the top eight principal components identified in the scRNA-seq data.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.008

Figure supplement 7. Developmental trajectories of all of the neural crest cells derived from analysis of the E10.5 mandibular arch scRNA-seq data using Monocle-2.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.009

Figure supplement 8. Distribution of the five cellular states from the developmental trajectory analysis of the neural crest cells in the E10.5 mandibular arch on tSNE plot of the six subgroups derived from iterative clustering.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.010

Figure 1—video 1. A .mov file showing three dimensional tSNE projection of the six subgroups of neural crest derived mandibular arch mesenchyme cells.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.011



Figure 2. Distribution of E10.5 mandibular arch cells expressing select marker genes in the neural crest compartments in tSNE maps. (A–D) Cells expressing *Pou3f3* (A), *Dlx5* (B), *Hand2* (C), and *Hand1* (D) in the neural crest cell population, detected by scRNA-seq, are shown in red color in tSNE plot, with the brightness of the red color corresponding to levels of transcripts detected. (E–H) Rostral views of the mandibular arches of E10.5 mouse embryos showing patterns of expression of *Pou3f3* (E), *Dlx5* (F), *Hand2* (G), and *Hand1* (H) mRNAs detected by whole mount in situ hybridization. Green dots mark the oropharyngeal and aboral sides of the mandibular arches, with the distal caps of the paired mandibular arches meeting at the midline. (I, J) Cells expressing *Lhx8* (I), and *Gsc* (J) in the neural crest cell population, detected by scRNA-seq, are shown in red color in tSNE plot. (K, L) Frontal views of the mandibular arches of E10.5 mouse embryos showing patterns of expression of *Lhx8* (K) and *Gsc* (L) mRNAs detected by whole mount in situ hybridization. mn, mandibular process; mx, maxillary process. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.012

'osteoblastic differentiation' among the enriched biological processes for both Subgroups 0 and 1 (*Supplementary file 4* and 5). These results suggest that the molecular pathways patterning Subgroups 0 and 1 maybe responsible for patterning the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular arch.

In addition to clustering analyses and visualization of the scRNA-seq data in 2D and 3D tSNE projections, we also analyzed significant principal components determined by JackStraw plot but the principal component analysis did not clearly distribute the neural crest cells along the major spatial axes (*Figure 1—figure supplement 5* and *Figure 1—figure supplement 6*). We also analyzed the developmental trajectories of all of the neural crest cells using Monocle-2 (*Qiu et al., 2017*), which distributed the mandibular arch mesenchyme into five different states (*Figure 1—figure supplement 7*). However, GO analysis showed that only States 3 and 4 showed enrichment for cell type differentiation, with State 3 significantly enriched for 'osteoblast differentiation' (*Supplementary file 6*) and State 4 enriched for 'neuron differentiation' (*Supplementary file 7*). State 3 contains only a small subset of the mandibular arch mesenchyme cells that are widely scattered on the tSNE plot (*Figure 1—figure supplement 8*). Altogether, the unsupervised iterative clustering analysis of the scRNA-seq data with 3D tSNE projections provided the highest resolution to distribute the mandibular arch single cells into subgroups along three major spatial axes.

To verify whether the Hedgehog and BMP signaling pathways are activated in a complementary pattern along the oral-aboral axis in the mandibular arch mesenchyme in vivo, we performed in situ hybridization and immunofluorescent staining analysis to directly compare the patterns of gene expression along the oral-aboral axis of the E10.5 mandibular arch. Previous studies of gene expression patterns in the developing craniofacial tissues in mouse or chick embryos often presented the data in frontal views of the embryonic facial prominences, which provide clear visualization of gene expression patterns along the proximal-distal and rostral-caudal axes of the mandibular arch (*Figure 3A–D*) but have rarely directly compared patterns of gene expression along the oral-aboral axis. For examining whole mount in situ hybridization data, we found that removing the frontonasal and maxillary regions and looking directly down at the mandibular arches of E10.5 mouse embryos,



Figure 3. Expression of Shh, Bmp4, Fgf8, and their target genes in the developing mandibular arch. (A-C) Frontal views of E10.5 embryonic mouse heads after whole mount in situ hybridization detecting Fqf8 (A), Msx1 (B) and Foxf1 (C). Green dashed lines mark the rostral and caudal sides of the embryonic mandibular arch. (D) A schematic indication of the proximal-distal and rostral-caudal axis of developing mandibular arch in the frontal view. (E-P) Rostral views of the mandibular arches in E10.5 mouse embryos showing patterns of expression of Shh (E), Ptch1 (F), Foxf1 (G), Foxf2 (H), Bmp4 (I), Msx1 (J), Msx2 (K), Alx4 (L), Fgf8 (M, N), Lhx8 (O), and Lhx6 (P) mRNAs. The red vertical line in Panel E shows approximate position of sagittal sections selected for in situ hybridization detection on sections. The orientation of the oral (o) and aboral (a) axis is also indicated in Panel E. Note the complementary pattern of Foxf1 and Msx1 expression along the oral-aboral axis in G and K that could not be distinguished on the frontal views in B and C. (E'-P') Sagittal sections showing expression of Shh (E'), Ptch1 (F'), Bmp4 (I'), Msx1 (J'), Msx2 (K'), Alx4 (L'), Fgf8 (M', N'), Lhx8 (O'), Lhx6 (P') mRNAs, and Foxf1 (G') and Foxf2 (H') proteins in the distal mandibular arch in E10.5 mouse embryos. Protein immunofluorescence is shown in red color in G' and H', whereas mRNA signals in all other panels are shown in purple/blue color. Arrow points to oropharyngeal endoderm, and arrowhead points to aboral ectoderm. The red and yellow lines in (M) and (N), respectively, indicate the different positions corresponding to the sections in (M') and (N'). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.013

but not the frontal views of the embryonic mandible, provided clear visualization of the patterns of gene expression along the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular arch (*Figure 3A-P*). We further validated the patterns of gene expression along the oral-aboral axis by section in situ hybridization assays. Consistent with previous reports from studies of chick embryos (*Brito et al., 2008*; *Haworth et al., 2007*), we found that *Shh* mRNA expression in the mandibular arch epithelium is restricted to the oropharyngeal side at E10.5 (*Figure 3E and E'*). Corresponding to this restricted *Shh* expression pattern, expression of *Ptch1*, *Foxf1*, and *Foxf2*, known transcriptional target genes of hedgehog signaling (*Hoffmann et al., 2014*; *Jeong et al., 2004*), is restricted to the oropharyngeal side of the mandibular arch cells underneath the *Shh*-expressing epithelium (*Figure 3F-H* and *Figure 3F'-H'*). Whereas *Bmp4* and *Fgf8* mRNAs are expressed in the distal and proximal regions of the oral ectoderm (*Figure 3I,I', M,M', N,N'*), respectively, the down-stream target genes of BMP

signaling, including *Msx1*, *Msx2* and *Alx4*, are expressed in an aboral-to-oropharyngeal gradient pattern complementary to that of Foxf1 and Foxf2 in the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme (*Figure 3J–L*, and *Figure 3J'–L'*, compare with *Figure 3G'–H'*). In contrast, the *Lhx8* and *Lhx6* mRNAs are expressed in a limited region of the rostral mandibular mesenchyme underlying the *Fgf8* expressing epithelium (*Figure 3O–P* and *Figure 3O'–P'*). These results demonstrate clearly that the rostral-caudal axis of the mandibular arch mesenchyme along which the *Fgf8-Lhx6/8* pathway is activated is distinct from the oral-aboral axis along which the Hedgehog and BMP signaling pathways exhibit complementary activity in the mandibular arch mesenchyme. These results also highlight the clarity of visualizing differential gene expression patterns along the oral-aboral axis using sagittal sections and the limitation of frontal views (compare *Figure 3B,C* with *Figure 3G,K*) or frontal sections for analysis of gene expression patterns in the developing facial processes.

Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mouse embryos exhibit defects in oral-aboral patterning of the mandible

To investigate whether hedgehog signaling plays a direct role in patterning the mandibular arch mesenchyme along the oral-aboral axis, we first examined Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mouse embryos in which Smo, the obligatory and cell-autonomous transducer of hedgehog signaling (Briscoe and Vincent, 2013; Jeong et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2001), is inactivated in all cranial neural crest cells (Danielian et al., 1998; Jeong et al., 2004). Similar to the phenotype of Smo^{c/n};Wnt1-Cre mice (Smoⁿ is a Smo-null allele) as previously reported (Jeong et al., 2004), the Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mice die perinatally and exhibit severe truncation of facial structures, including the maxilla and mandible (Figure 4A-B), and agenesis of the oral tongue tissues (Figure 4—figure supplement 1). Examination of the mandibular skeleton in E18.5 mouse embryos showed that the Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mutants had mirror-image duplication of the dentary bone, including duplication of the condylar process, although the mandibular skeleton is distally truncated (Figure 4C-D). A similar partial duplication of the dentary was reported in the Smo^{c/n};Wnt1-Cre mutants (Jeong et al., 2004) but the tissue source of the duplicated dentary bone was not investigated. We analyzed coronal sections of E13.5 Smo^{c/c}; Wnt1-Cre embryos and their littermates and found that the Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre embryos had ectopic ossification in the oral side of the mandibular mesenchyme where the tongue failed to form, as indicated by ectopic expression of Runx2 and alkaline phosphatase in the oral side of the mandibular mesenchyme (Figure 4E-H). These results suggest that hedgehog signaling in the neural crest cells is required for proper specification of the oral side of the mandibular mesenchyme.

We compared the patterns of expression of the BMP target genes *Msx1*, *Msx2*, and *Alx4* in the *Smo^{c/c}*;*Wnt1*-*Cre* mutant embryos and their control littermates. We found that expression of *Msx1*, *Msx2*, and *Alx4* mRNAs were all expanded to the oropharyngeal side of the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme in the *Smo^{c/c}*;*Wnt1*-*Cre* mutant embryos by E10.5 (*Figure 4—figure supplement 2*), suggesting that hedgehog signaling might specify oral fate of the mandibular mesenchyme through antagonizing BMP signaling.

Hedgehog signaling in the postmigratory neural crest-derived mandibular mesenchyme is required for oral-aboral patterning of the mandible

It has been reported that the Smo^{c/n};Wnt1-Cre mouse embryos had significantly increased apoptosis of neural-crest derived facial mesenchyme, including mandibular arch mesenchyme at E9.5 to E10.5 (Jeong et al., 2004). One possible explanation of the dentary bone duplication and altered patterns of gene expression we have observed in the Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mutant embryos is that those defects might be secondary to altered neural crest migration and/or cell death caused by lack of hedgehog signaling in the migrating neural crest cells. To investigate further whether hedgehog signaling plays a direct role in oral-aboral patterning of the mandibular mesenchyme, we generated and analyzed mandibular development in Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre mice, in which Cre expressed from the Hand2-Cre transgene is activated in post-migratory neural crest cells in the distal halves of the mandibular and other pharyngeal arches (Ruest et al., 2003). By crossing the Hand2-Cre transgene is mice with the R26R-lacZ Cre reporter mouse line (Soriano, 1999) and analyzing LacZ activity in the R26R-lacZ; Hand2-Cre embryos, we confirmed that Cre expressed from the Hand2-Cre transgene starts to be active after E9.0 and is restricted in the mandibular and other pharyngeal arches (Figure 5—figure



Figure 4. *Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre* embryos display tongue agenesis and ectopic ossification. (**A**, **B**) Lateral view of skeletal preparations of heads of E18.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (**A**) and *Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre* (**B**) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). (**C**, **D**) Comparison of the mandibular skeletons of E18.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (**C**) and *Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre* (**D**) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Arrows in D point to condylar cartilage and the arrowheads point to the duplicated dentary bone. Asterisk in D indicates truncation of the distal mandible in *Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre* embryos. (**E**, **F**) Immunofluorescent detection of Runx2 protein (red) on frontal sections of E12.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (**E**), and *Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre* (**F**) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Sections were counterstained with DAPI. (**G**, **H**) Detection of alkaline phosphatase activity (blue) on frontal sections of E13.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (**G**) and *Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre* (**H**) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Arrowheads in F and H point to the ectopic ossification at the oral side of mandibular mesenchyme. The condensed Meckel's cartilage primordia are outlined using dashed lines in G and H. p, palate; t, tongue. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.014

The following figure supplements are available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre display agenesis of the oral tongue structure. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.015

Figure supplement 2. Mouse embryos with tissue-specific inactivation of Smo signaling in the neural crest lineage exhibit altered pattern of expression of BMP target genes in the mandibular arch. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.016

supplement 1). From E10.5 to E12.5, the *Hand2-Cre* lineage cells contribute to about the distal two thirds of the mandibular mesenchyme, including neural crest-derived mesenchyme in the developing tongue (*Figure 5—figure supplement 1B–D,F–H*), similar to previous findings (*Ruest et al., 2003*; *Ruest et al., 2004*). We then analyzed the effect of tissue-specific inactivation of *Smo* using the Hand2-Cre driver on the gene expression patterns in the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme. As shown in *Figure 5*, whereas expression of *Bmp4* mRNAs was similarly restricted to the distal mandibular ectoderm in the *Smo^{c/c}* control and *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* mutant embryos at E10.5 (*Figure 5A,B*), the pattern of expression of *Msx1*, *Msx2*, and *Alx4*, is each expanded to the oropharyngeal side of the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme in the *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* mutant embryos compared with



Figure 5. *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* embryos exhibit oropharyngeally expanded BMP signaling activity in the developing mandibular arch. (A–H) Whole mount in situ hybridization detection of *Bmp4* (A, B), *Msx1* (C, D), *Msx2* (E, F), and *Alx4* (G, H) mRNAs in the mandibular arches in E10.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (A, C, E, G), and *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* (B, D, F, H) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). (I, J) Immunofluorescent detection of phospho-Smad1/5/9 (pSmad1/5/9, red) on sagittal sections through the distal region of the mandibular arches in E10.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (I) and *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* (J) embryos . Arrow points to oropharyngeal side and arrowhead points to aboral side of the mandibular arch. (K) Quantification of percentage of pSmad1/5/9 positive nuclei in the three domains along the aboral-oral axis of mandibular mesenchyme (domain1, domain2, domain3). Statistical analysis was performed on data from 22 sections of 4 embryos of each genotype by using two-way ANOVA. a, aboral, o, oral. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.017

The following figure supplement is available for figure 5:

Figure supplement 1. Tissue specificity of Cre-mediated activation of lacZ expression in the developing craniofacial complex of *Hand2-Cre;R26R-LacZ* embryos from E9.5 to E12.5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.018

the $Smo^{c/c}$ control embryos (*Figure 5C–H*). Furthermore, we found by immunofluorescent staining that phosphorylated Smad1/5/9 (pSmad1/5/9) positive cells exhibit a gradient distribution, with the highest level in the mandibular mesenchyme immediately underlying the *Bmp4*-expressing mandibular ectoderm and the lowest level at the pharyngeal side of the mandibular arch mesenchyme, in E10.5 control embryos, but the E10.5 $Smo^{c/c}$;*Hand2*-Cre mutant embryos exhibited high levels of pSmad1/5/9 throughout the oral-aboral axis of the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme (*Figure 5I–K*).

We further analyzed the phenotypic effects in the Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre mutant embryos. Skeletal preparations of E18.5 embryos showed that the Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre embryos had a shortened mandible but their skull and upper jaw were mostly unaffected, in comparison with the control littermates (Figure 6A,B). Histological analysis showed that the Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre embryos displayed severe defect in tongue formation although they had normal development of the nasal and upper jaw structures, including normal development of the secondary palate (Figure 6C,D). Similar to that reported in Smo^{c/n};Wnt1-Cre embryos (Jeong et al., 2004), Myf5-expressing tongue muscle precursor cells arriving at the mandibular arch in the E10.5 Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre embryos were significantly reduced compared with the control littermates (Figure 6-figure supplement 1A,B). At E15.5, the Smo^{c/c}; Hand2-Cre mutant embryos displayed a cleft and rudimentary tongue in the pharyngeal region and absence of oral part of the tongue (Figure 6-figure supplement 1C-H). Analysis of expression of Runx2 and alkaline phosphatase on coronal sections showed that the Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre mutant embryos exhibited ectopic ossification in the oral side of the mandibular mesenchyme as early as E12.5 (Figure 6E-H). These results indicate that inactivation of Smo in the neural crest cells either prior to their migration into the mandibular arch, as in Smoc/c;Wnt1-Cre embryos, or after their arrival in the mandibular arch, as in Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre embryos, led to similar disruption of mandibular structures, including disruption of tongue formation and ectopic bone formation from the oral side of the mandibular arch mesenchyme. Together, these results indicate that hedgehog signaling in the neural crest-derived mandibular mesenchyme is crucial for inducing tongue formation and preventing osteogenic differentiation in the oral side of the developing mandible.

Ectopic activation of hedgehog signaling in the mandibular arch mesenchyme inhibits BMP signaling pathway activation

The oropharyngeally expanded activation of pSmad1/5/9 and expression of the BMP targeted genes in the mandibular arch mesenchyme in Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre mutant embryos suggest that hedgehog signaling patterns the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular mesenchyme through antagonizing BMP signaling. To test this hypothesis further, we crossed Hand2-Cre transgenic mice with the R26SmoM2 mice, which carry a Cre-activatable transgene encoding a dominant active form of Smo (Jeong et al., 2004), and analyzed the effects of ectopic activation of Smo-mediated hedgehog signaling in the mandibular mesenchyme in R26SmoM2;Hand2-Cre mouse embryos. As shown in Figure 7, expression of Foxf1, a known direct transcriptional target gene downstream of hedgehog signaling (Hoffmann et al., 2014), is activated throughout the oral-aboral axis of the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme in E10.5 R26SmoM2;Hand2-Cre embryos, in comparison with the restricted expression of Foxf1 at the oropharyngeal side of the mandibular arch mesenchyme in control littermates (Figure 7A,B). Compared with the control littermates, expression of Msx2 and Alx4 in the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme is significantly reduced in the E10.5 R26SmoM2;Hand2-Cre mouse embryos (Figure 7C-F). Furthermore, immunofluorescent staining of sagittal sections of E10.5 embryos showed a significant decrease in the number of pSmad1/5/9 positive cells in the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme of E10.5 R26SmoM2;Hand2-Cre embryos compared with control littermates (Figure 7G-I). These results confirm that hedgehog-Smo signaling regulates BMP signaling pathway activation along the oral-aboral axis in the mandibular arch mesenchyme.

Role of BMP signaling in hedgehog-mediated regulation of neural crest survival in the mandibular arch

Previous studies showed that blocking Smo-mediated hedgehog signaling causes increased apoptosis of the neural crest cells in the developing facial primordia in both chick and mouse embryos (**Ahlgren and Bronner-Fraser, 1999; Hu and Helms, 1999; Jeong et al., 2004**). The truncation of the maxillary and mandibular structures in Smo^{c/n};Wnt1-Cre mutant mice was partly attributed to



Figure 6. *Smo^{c/c}*;*Hand2-Cre* embryos display tongue agenesis and ectopic ossification. (**A**, **B**) Skeletal preparations of heads of E18.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (**A**) and *Smo^{c/c}*;*Hand2-Cre* (**B**) embryos (n = 5 for each genotype). Arrow in B indicates the shortened mandible in the *Smo^{c/c}*;*Hand2-Cre* (**B**) embryos. (**C**, **D**) HE staining of frontal sections of E16.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (**C**) and *Smo^{c/c}*;*Hand2-Cre* (**D**) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Asterisk in D marks absence of tongue in the *Smo^{c/c}*;*Hand2-Cre* (**D**) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Asterisk in D marks absence of tongue in the *Smo^{c/c}*;*Hand2-Cre* (**D**) embryos (**E**, **F**) Immunofluorescent detection of Runx2 (green) and muscle alpha-actin (red) on frontal sections of E12.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (**E**) and *Smo^{c/c}*;*Hand2-Cre* (**F**) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Sections were counterstained with DAPI. (**G**, **H**) Detection of alkaline phosphatase activity (blue) on frontal sections of E12.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (**G**) and *Smo^{c/c}*;*Hand2-Cre* (**H**) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Sections were counterstained with eosin. Arrowheads in F and H indicate the ectopic ossification at the oral side of the developing mandible. The condensed Meckel's cartilage primordia are outlined using dashed lines in E-H. p, palate; t, tongue. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.019

The following figure supplement is available for figure 6:

Figure supplement 1. Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre display agenesis of the oral tongue structure. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.020

increased apoptosis of neural crest cells in the mutant facial primordia at E9.5 to E10.5 (*Jeong et al., 2004*). We found that *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* mutant pups also exhibit significantly shortened mandible compared with control littermates (*Figure 6A,B*). We therefore analyzed cell apoptosis in *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* mutant embryos and their littermates using immunofluorescent detection of active Capase-3. Whereas no increase in apoptosis was detectable in E9.5 *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* embryos, we detected a group of cells with strong Caspase-3 activity specifically in the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme in E10.5 *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* embryos (*Figure 8A,B*). The increased apoptosis in the distal mandibular mesenchyme correlated with the distal truncation of both the dentary



Figure 7. Ectopic activation of Smo signaling inhibits BMP signaling activity in the mandibular arch mesenchyme. (A–F) Rostral views of the mandibular arches following whole mount in situ hybridization detection of *Foxf1* (A, B), *Msx2* (C, D), and *Alx4* (E, F) mRNAs in the E10.5 *R26SmoM2* (A, C, E), and *R26SmoM2;Hand2-Cre* (B, D, F) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Asterisks in A and B mark the aboral side of the mandibular arch. (G, H) Immunofluorescent detection of phospho-Smad1/5/9 (pSmad1/5/9, red) on sagittal sections through the distal regions of E10.5 *R26SmoM2* (G) and *R26SmoM2;Hand2-Cre* (H) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Arrow points to oropharyngeal endoderm, and arrowhead points to mandibular ectoderm. (I) Quantification of the percentage of pSmad1/5/9 positive nuclei in the mandibular arch mesenchyme. Statistical analysis was performed on data from 18 sections of three embryos for each genotype by using Student's t-test. #, p<0.001. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.021

bone on the aboral side and the ectopic bone on the oral side of the mutant mandible (*Figure 8E*, *F*). Since we detected expanded activation of pSmad1/5/9 and Bmp4 target gene expression in the mandibular arch mesenchyme in the E10.5 *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* mutant embryos and since increased BMP signaling has been correlated with increased neural crest apoptosis in mouse embryos lacking both Noggin and Chordin, two endogenous antagonists of BMPs (*Anderson et al., 2006*; *Stottmann et al., 2001*), we investigated whether reducing the *Bmp4* gene dosage could rescue mandibular morphogenesis in the *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* embryos. We found that the amount of active



Figure 8. *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* embryos showed Bmp4-dependent increase in apoptosis of distal mandibular mesenchyme. (A–C) Immunofluorescent detection of active-Caspase3 (red) in E10.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (A), *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* (B), and *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre;Bmp4^{+/-}* (C) embryos. Mandibles are outlined with white dash lines. (D) Quantification of active-Caspase3 positive cell density in the *Smo^{c/c}; Mand2-Cre*;*Bmp4^{+/-}* (C) embryos. Mandibles are outlined with white dash lines. (D) Quantification of active-Caspase3 positive cell density in the *Smo^{c/c}; Mand2-Cre* (584.12 ± 275.20 cells/mm²); *Smo^{c/c}; Hand2-Cre; Bmp4^{+/-}* (280.45 ± 153.23 cells/mm²). Analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test to compare all pairs. #, p<0.001. (E–G) Skeletal preparations showing mandibles of E18.5 *Smo^{c/c}* (E), *Smo^{c/c}; Hand2-Cre* (F), and *Smo^{c/c}; Hand2-Cre; Bmp4^{+/-}* (G) embryos (n = 5 for each genotype). Arrowheads in F and G point to duplicated dentary bone. Asterisks in F and G mark differences in the morphology of the distal mandibular structure in *Smo^{c/c}; Hand2-Cre* (F) and *Smo^{c/c}; Hand2-Cre; Bmp4^{+/-}* (G) embryos. Arrows in G point to the Meckel's cartilage. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.022

caspase-3 positive cells was significantly reduced in the mandibular mesenchyme of E10.5 Smo^{c/c}; Hand2-Cre;Bmp4^{+/-} embryos in comparison with Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre littermates (**Figure 8B–D**). By E18.5, 4 out of 5 Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre;Bmp4^{+/-} mutants showed partial rescue of distal mandible and formation of the mandibular symphysis, in contrast to the lack of distal mandibular skeletal structures in the Smo^{c/c};Hand2Cre mutants (**Figure 8F,G**). However, the Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre;Bmp4^{+/-} pups still showed tongue agenesis and duplication of the dentary bone on the oral side (**Figure 8G**). These results indicate that hedgehog signaling regulates mandibular arch mesenchyme survival and oral-aboral patterning through antagonizing BMP signaling.

Foxf1 and Foxf2 mediate hedgehog signaling function in patterning the oral-aboral axis of the mandible

It has been shown previously that hedgehog signaling regulates expression of several members of the Fox gene family, including Foxf1 and Foxf2, in the developing mouse facial primordia (Jeong et al., 2004). We found that expression of Foxf1 and Foxf2, as well as Ptch1 mRNAs, was dramatically reduced in the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme in E10.5 Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre embryos compared with their control littermates (Figure 9A-F). We recently showed that neural crest-specific inactivation of either Foxf1 or Foxf2 caused cleft palate but did not significantly affect mandible and tongue development (Xu et al., 2016b). We next tested the possibility that Foxf1 and Foxf2 act redundantly to mediate hedgehog signaling function in mandibular development by generating and analyzing Foxf1^{c/c};Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mouse embryos. Remarkably, we found that the Foxf1^{c/c}; Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mouse embryos exhibited absence of the oral tongue and ectopic ossification at the oral side of the mandibular mesenchyme (Figure 9G-L), similar to Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre (Figure 4E-H) and Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre (Figure 6E-H) embryos. In contrast to the severe truncation of distal mandibular skeleton in the Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre (Figure 4B,D) and Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre (Figure 6B, Figure 8F) mutant pups, however, the E18.5 Foxf1^{c/c};Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mutant pups showed mandibular bone with nearly normal symphysis at the distal end and with a thin layer of ectopic bone formed at the oral side (Figure 9K,L). In addition, migration of the Myf5-expressing tongue muscle precursor cells to the E10.5 mandibular arch occurred normally in the Foxf1^{c/c};Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mutant embryos, similar to control littermates (Figure 9-figure supplement 1A,B) and unlike the Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre embryos (Figure 6-figure supplement 1B). Careful examination of muscular structures by immunofluorescent detection using serial sections of the E16.5 embryos showed that



Figure 9. Foxf1 and Fox2 mediate Shh-Smo signaling function in mandible and tongue development. (A–F) Rostral views of the mandibular arches of E10.5 $Smo^{c/c}$ (A, C, E) and $Smo^{c/c}$;Hand2-Cre (B, D, F) embryos following whole mount in situ hybridization detection of *Ptch1* (A, B), *Foxf1* (C, D), and *Foxf2* (E, F) mRNAs (n = 3 for each genotype). Arrow points to the mRNA signals in the oral side of the mandibular arch. (G, H) Immunofluorescent detection of Runx2 (green) on frontal sections of E12.5 $Foxf1^{c/c}$;Foxf2^{c/c} (G) and $Foxf1^{c/c}$;Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre (H) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). Sections were counterstained with DAPI. (I, J) Detection of alkaline phosphatase activity (blue) on frontal sections of E12.5 $Foxf1^{c/c}$;Foxf2^{c/c} (I) and $Foxf1^{c/c}$;Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre (J) embryos (n = 3 for each genotype). (K, L) Skeletal preparations showing mandibles of E18.5 $Foxf1^{c/c}$;Foxf2^{c/c} (K) and $Foxf1^{c/c}$;Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre (L) embryos. Arrowheads in H, J, and L point to ectopic ossification at the oral side of the mandible (n = 3 for each genotype). Asterisks in H and J mark the defective palatal shelves in $Foxf1^{c/c}$; $Foxf2^{c/c}$;Wnt1-Cre embryos. The Meckel's cartilage primordia are indicated by white dashed circles in G-J. p, palate; t, tongue.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.023

The following figure supplement is available for figure 9:

Figure supplement 1. Foxf1^{c/c}; Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre display agenesis of the oral tongue structure. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.024

the Foxf1^{c/c};Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre mutant embryos formed extensive extrinsic tongue muscles but only rudimentary intrinsic tongue muscles in the pharyngeal region (**Figure 9—figure supplement 1C– H**). We further examined pSmad1/5/9 activation in the mandibular arches in E10.5 Foxf1^{c/c};Foxf2^{c/c}; Wnt1-Cre embryos and their littermates and found that the Foxf1^{c/c};Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre embryos displayed expansion of pSmad1/5/9 activation to the oropharygeal side of the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme (**Figure 10**), similar to E10.5 Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre mouse embryos (**Figure 5I–K**). These



Figure 10. Foxf1^{c/c}; Foxf2^{c/c}; Wnt1-Cre embryos exhibit oropharyngeally expanded BMP signaling activity in the developing mandibular arch. (**A**, **B**) Immunofluorescent detection of phospho-Smad1/5/9 (pSmad1/5/9, red) on sagittal sections through the distal region of the mandibular arches in E10.5 *control* (littermates that are Cre negative) (**A**) and Foxf1^{c/c}; Foxf2^{c/c}; Wnt1-Cre (**B**) embryos. Arrow points to oropharyngeal side and arrowhead points to aboral side of the mandibular arch. (**C**) Quantification of the percentage of pSmad1/5/9 positive nuclei in the three domains along aboral-oral axis of mandibular arch mesenchyme (domain1, domain2, domain3). Statistical analysis was performed on data from 28 sections of 5 control embryos and 33 sections of 5 Foxf1^{c/c}; Foxf2^{c/c}; Wnt1-Cre embryos by using two-way ANOVA. a, aboral, o, oral. ***p<0.001. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.025

results indicate that Foxf1 and Foxf2 are important mediators of hedgehog signaling function in the mandibular arch mesenchyme for tongue formation and for preventing ossification of the oral side mandibular arch mesenchyme.

Discussion

Prior to this report, only Fgf8 signaling has been directly implicated in patterning the oral-aboral axis in vertebrates (*Cobourne and Sharpe, 2003*; *Grigoriou et al., 1998*; *Tucker et al., 1999*). *Grigoriou et al. (1998*) first showed by using frontal sections of E10.5 mouse embryos that expression of *Lhx6* and *Lhx8* (named *Lhx7* in the original publication) mRNAs in the developing facial primordia was asymmetrically localized to caudal side of the maxillary processes and rostral side of the mandibular processes and that Fgf8, but not Bmp4 or Shh, was able to induce *Lhx6/8* mRNA expression in E10.5 mouse embryonic mandibular mesenchyme explants in culture (*Grigoriou et al., 1998*). *Tucker et al., 1999* showed that Fgf8 could not only induce *Lhx6/8* expression but also repress expression of *Goosecoid* (*Gsc*), a homeobox gene normally expressed in the caudal side of the mandibular arch mesenchyme in E10.5 mouse embryos, in embryonic mouse mandibular explants (*Tucker et al., 1999*). Further studies showed that Fgf8-mediated repression of *Gsc* expression in the mandibular mesenchyme explants depended on induction of *Lhx6/8*, suggesting that Fgf8, normally expressed in the rostroproximal mandibular arch epithelium, patterns the rostral-caudal axis of

the mandibular mesenchyme by inducing expression of Lhx6/8 in the rostral mandibular mesenchyme and secondarily restricting Gsc expression to the caudal mandibular mesenchyme (Tucker et al., 1999). Whereas these reports and subsequent review articles have equated the rostral-caudal axis of the mandibular arch as the same as the oral-aboral axis (Chai and Maxson, 2006; Cobourne and Sharpe, 2003; Grigoriou et al., 1998; Parada and Chai, 2015; Tucker et al., 1999), both our scRNA-seq data and our in situ hybridization data comparing the expression patterns of Faf8, Lhx6, and Lhx8, with the expression patterns of the hedgehog pathway genes Shh, Ptc1, Foxf1, Foxf2, and the BMP pathway genes Bmp4, Msx1, Msx2, in the developing mouse mandibular arch (Figure 3) clearly demonstrate that the hedgehog and BMP pathway genes are expressed in a complementary pattern along the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular arch that is distinct from the rostral-caudal axis along which the Fgf8-Lhx6/8 gene pathway is activated. Furthermore, our data showing that conditional inactivation of Smo in either the early neural crest lineage or specifically in the post-migratory neural crest-derived distal mandibular arch mesenchyme led to agenesis of the oral tongue structure and duplication of the dentary bone. Although partial duplication of the dentary was reported previously in the Smo^{c/n};Wnt1-Cre mutants, most of the neural crest derived craniofacial bones were missing or severely hypoplastic in the Smo^{c/n};Wnt1-Cre mutants (Jeong et al., 2004) and the tissue source of the partially duplicated dentary was not investigated. We show that the duplicated dentary bone in the Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre and Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre mutants form from ectopic ossification in the oral side of the mandibular arch mesenchyme and the ectopic dentary bone formation was preceded by expansion of BMP signaling activation into the oral region of the mandibular mesenchyme, demonstrating clearly for the first time that hedgehog signaling in the mandibular arch mesenchyme is required for patterning the oral-aboral axis of the mandible.

Hedgehog signaling, particularly Shh signaling, has been shown to regulate a number of tissue patterning events during vertebrate development, including anteroposterior patterning of the limb, dorsoventral patterning of the neural tube, and dorsoventral patterning of somites (Briscoe, 2009; Ericson et al., 1997; Hirsinger et al., 1997; Ingham and McMahon, 2001; McMahon et al., 1998; Riddle et al., 1993; Tickle and Towers, 2017; Watanabe et al., 1998). In several of these developmental processes, Shh signaling regulates tissue patterning through antagonizing BMP signaling. During limb development, Shh signaling controls expression of Gremlin1, which encodes a BMP antagonist that is crucial for maintaining the apical ectodermal ridge and the Shh-Fgf4/8 positive feedback loop for limb outgrowth (Khokha et al., 2003; Zúñiga et al., 1999). In the developing neural tube, cells adopt distinct identities along the dorsoventral axis in response to Shh from the notochord and floor plate as well as to BMPs produced in the overlying ectoderm and roof plate (Ericson et al., 1997; Lee and Jessell, 1999). Whereas Shh signaling is essential for inducing ventral cell fates in the developing neural tube, studies of Noggin mutant mice showed that Noggin-mediated antagonism of BMP signaling is required for Shh-mediated ventralization of the spinal cord (McMahon et al., 1998). Similarly, during somite development, Noggin-mediated antagonism of BMP signaling is required for Shh-mediated induction and dorsoventral patterning of the sclerotomal cells (Hirsinger et al., 1997; McMahon et al., 1998). In this study, we found that expression of several downstream target genes of the hedgehog and BMP pathways are expressed in complementary patterns along the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular arch mesenchyme, which correlated with the complementary patterns of expression of Shh and Bmp4 in the distal mandibular arch epithelium. We found that expression of the BMP target genes, including Msx1, Msx2, and Alx4, expanded to the oropharyngeal side of the mandibular arch mesenchyme in the Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre and Smo^{c/c}; Hand2-Cre embryos. Furthermore, we found that pSmad1/5/9 was activated throughout the oralaboral axis of the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme in $Smo^{c/c}$; Hand2-Cre embryos, indicating that hedgehog signaling antagonizes BMP signaling pathway activation in the oropharyngeal side of the mandibular arch mesenchyme. We found that conditional deletion of Foxf1 and Foxf2, two of several Fox family genes with Smo-dependent expression in the mandibular arch mesenchyme (Jeong et al., 2004), partly recapitulated the mandibular patterning defects in Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre and Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre embryos, including tongue agenesis and ectopic ossification in the oral side of the mandibular mesenchyme. The $Foxf1^{c/c}$; $Foxf2^{c/c}$; Wnt1-Cre embryos also showed orally expanded activation of pSmad1/5/9 in the distal mandibular mesenchyme, indicating that these transcription factors act downstream of Shh signaling to pattern the oral-aboral axis through antagonizing BMP signaling. It has been shown that $Foxf2^{-/-}$ mutant embryos had increased pSmad1/5 and decreased pSmad2/3 in the developing brain tissues (Reyahi et al., 2015). Another report showed decreased

pSmad2/3 in the developing secondary palate in *Foxf2^{-/-}* mouse embryos (*Nik et al., 2016*). However, how Foxf1/2 regulates Smad activity is not known. None of these *Fox* family transcription factors have been shown to mediate Shh signaling function in anteroposterior patterning of the limb or dorsoventral patterning of the neural tube or somite. Thus, whereas antagonistic interactions of Shh and BMP signaling are crucial in patterning multiple tissues, the exact molecular mechanisms mediating hedgehog signaling induced antagonism of BMP signaling are context-dependent and the detailed molecular mechanism mediating hedgehog signaling function in oral-aboral patterning and its antagonism of BMP signaling in the developing mandibular arch requires further investigation.

Previous studies have shown that BMP signaling is crucial for proximal-distal patterning of the mandibular arch (Liu et al., 2005). In particular, Bmp4 acts in a dose-dependent manner to restrict Fgf8 expression to the proximal mandibular arch epithelium (Liu et al., 2005). In addition, BMP signaling is required for activation of expression of Hand1 in the distal cap mesenchyme of the mandibular arch through interacting with the Hand2 transcription factor, whose expression in the distal mandibular mesenchyme is activated by the Dlx5/6 transcription factors in response to endothelin-1 signaling (Charité et al., 2001; Depew et al., 2002; Vincentz et al., 2016). It has been shown that Hand2-mediated feedback down-regulation of Dlx5/6 in the distal mandibular mesenchyme is essential for both BMP-mediated activation of Hand1 expression and for initiation of tongue morphogenesis (Barron et al., 2011; Vincentz et al., 2016). In addition, multiple signaling pathways, including TGF-beta, FGF, and Wnt, are involved in the interactions between the mandibular neural crest mesenchyme and tongue muscle precursor cells to regulate migration, proliferation, and differentiation of the myoblasts to organize into specific tongue muscles (Parada and Chai, 2015). We show that the tongue agenesis in the Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre mutant embryos was preceded by reduced accumulation of Myf5-expressing myoblasts in the mandibular arch at E10.5, suggesting that hedgehog signaling in the neural crest cells is crucial for regulation of myoblast migration into the mandibular arch during tongue initiation. On the other hand, Myf5-expressing tongue precursor cells migrated normally to the mandibular arch in Foxf1^{c/c};Foxf2^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre embryos, but these mutant embryos still had severe disruption of tongue formation, suggesting that the Shh-Fox pathway acts in the mandibular neural crest mesenchyme to regulate multiple steps of tongue morphogenesis. How Shh-Foxf1/ 2 mediated antagonism of BMP signaling along the oral-aboral axis interacts with the BMP-Hand1/2-Dlx5/6 pathways in the distal mandibular mesenchyme to specify the distinct domains for proper tongue and dentary bone formation will require further investigation.

Although this study has not directly addressed which hedgehog ligand is required for patterning the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular arch, all available evidences indicate that Shh is the patterning signal in this process. Of the three mouse genes encoding hedgehog family ligands, only *Shh* has been shown expressed in the developing mouse mandibular arch prior to E10.5 (*Billmyre and Klingensmith, 2015; Jeong et al., 2004*). The tongue agenesis and ectopic ossification at the oral side of the mandibular mesenchyme in the *Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre* and *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* embryos correlate well with the restricted expression of *Shh* in the oropharyngeal epithelium. Furthermore, it has been shown that tissue-specific inactivation and severe hypoplasia of the mandible, which mimic the mandibular defects in the *Smo^{n/c};Wnt1-Cre* and *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* mice (*Billmyre and Klingensmith, 2015; Jeong et al., 2004*). Together, these data indicate that Shh ligands produced in the oropharyngeal epithelium signal through Smo in the mandibular mesenchyme to antagonize BMP signaling and pattern the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular arch.

Previous studies have indicated that Shh signaling plays a crucial role in the survival of neural crest-derived craniofacial mesenchyme (*Ahlgren and Bronner-Fraser, 1999*; *Billmyre and Klingensmith, 2015*; *Jeong et al., 2004*). Mouse embryos with either neural crest-specific deletion of *Smo* or oropharyngeal epithelium-specific deletion of *Shh* caused dramatically increased apoptosis of the mandibular arch mesenchyme cells (*Billmyre and Klingensmith, 2015*; *Jeong et al., 2004*). Billmyre and Klingensmith showed that pharmacological inhibition of p53 reduced apoptosis of the mandibular arch mesenchyme caused by loss of Shh signaling (*Billmyre and Klingensmith, 2015*). However, how loss of function of Shh-Smo signaling triggers p53-mediated apoptosis in the mandibular arch mesenchyme in the *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre* embryos correlated with the increased BMP signaling, and that reduction in *Bmp4* gene dosage significantly reduced apoptosis of the distal mandibular arch mesenchyme in *Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre;Bmp4^{+/-}* embryos. These results indicate that Shh regulates neural crest survival in the mandibular arch at least in part through antagonizing BMP signaling. *Hayano et al., 2015* showed that ectopic activation of BMPR1A signaling in cranial neural crest cells caused a significant increase in p53 protein levels and induced p53-mediated apoptosis (*Hayano et al., 2015*). Taken together, these data indicate that Shh-Smo signaling regulates mandibular mesenchyme survival through antagonizing BMP signaling and preventing BMP-induced p53-mediated apoptosis.

In addition to crucial roles in cell survival and growth of the mandibular mesenchyme, Shh has previously been implicated in regulating development of Meckel's cartilage (Billmyre and Klingensmith, 2015; Brito et al., 2008). Tissue-specific deletion of Shh in the oropharyngeal epithelium caused complete lack of Meckel's cartilage formation in the mutant mouse embryos (Billmyre and Klingensmith, 2015). Conversely, ectopic expression of Shh in the mandibular arch ectoderm of early chick embryos resulted in formation of ectopic cartilage branching off from the endogenous Meckel's cartilage (Haworth et al., 2007). Moreover, grafting of Shh-producing quail embryonic fibroblasts in the presumptive proximal mandibular arch in chick embryos induced formation of two supernumerary Meckel's cartilages that develop in a mirror-image to the endogenous one (Brito et al., 2008). However, whereas both Smo^{c/c};Wnt1-Cre and Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre embryos exhibit distal truncation of the mandibular skeleton, Meckel's cartilage formed in these mutant embryos. Jeong et al., 2004 showed that the Smo^{c/n};Wnt1-Cre embryos also developed Meckel's cartilage, albeit distally truncated. Moreover, we found that the Smo^{c/c};Hand2-Cre;Bmp4^{+/-} embryos developed Meckel's cartilage and the cartilage symphysis in the distal region of the mandible. These results indicate that Shh either directly regulate Meckel's cartilage formation through a Smo-independent mechanism or indirectly regulate Meckel's cartilage formation through signaling in another cell type in the mandibular arch. It has been shown that exogenous Fqf8 was able to rescue Meckel's cartilage formation in embryonic mouse mandibular explant cultures treated with the hedgehog antagonist cyclopamine (Melnick et al., 2005). In mouse embryos with oropharyngeal epithelial deletion of Shh, Fqf8 expression in the proximal mandibular arch epithelium was significantly reduced in comparison with control littermates (Billmyre and Klingensmith, 2015). Induction of supernumerary Meckel's cartilage formation in the chick mandibular arch by an extra source of Shh in the presumptive mandibular arch ectoderm was preceded by induction of ectopic expression of Fqf8 and Bmp4 in the caudal side of the mandibular arch ectoderm (Brito et al., 2008; Haworth et al., 2007). Taken together, these data indicate that Shh signaling regulates mandibular growth and patterning through at least two distinct mechanisms: (1) Shh signals to adjacent mandibular arch ectodermal cells to regulate expression of Fgf8 to control mandibular growth and Meckel's cartilage development, and (2) Shh signals directly through Smo in the neural crest-derived mandibular mesenchyme cells to regulate their survival and oral-aboral patterning through antagonizing BMP signaling.

Materials and methods

Mouse strains

The Smo^{c/c}, R26SmoM2, Foxf1^{c/c}, Foxf2^{c/c}, Wnt1-Cre, and Hand2-Cre mice have been described previously (Bolte et al., 2015; Danielian et al., 1998; Jeong et al., 2004; Ren et al., 2014; Ruest et al., 2003). The Hand2-Cre mice were maintained by crossing with C57BL/6J mice. Wnt1-Cre mice were maintained by crossing with CD1 (Charles river) mice. Smo^{c/c}, R26SmoM2, Foxf1^{c/c} and Foxf2^{c/c} mice were maintained by intercrossing homozygotes. Noon of the day a vaginal plug was identified was designated as embryonic day (E) 0.5. Only littermate control embryos were used in each experiment. No masking was used during group allocation, data collection and/or data analysis. No data was excluded in this study. This study was performed in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by the National Institutes of Health. The animal use protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (Permit Number IACUC2016-0095).

Preparation of single cell suspension

A psychrophilic protease protocol for single cell dissociation was modified from previous report (*Adam et al., 2017*). Mandibles from E10.5 wildtype CD1 mouse embryos were rapidly dissected in

ice-cold PBS. Five embryonic mandibles were pooled in a sterile 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube with 350 μ l of freshly made protease solution containing 5 mg/ml of *Bacillus Licheniformis* protease (Sigma P5380) in DPBS, 5 mM CaCl2, and 125 U/ml DNase (Applichem A3778). The sample was incubated in 4°C for a total 8 min, with trituration for 15 s every 2 min using a 1 ml pipet. Single cell dissociation was confirmed by observation of samples under microscope. Following inactivation of the protease with ice-cold DMEM containing 10% FBS, the cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1200 g for 5 min. The cells were resuspended in 1 ml ice-cold DMEM containing 10% FBS, and filtered through a sterile 40 μ M filter (BD Falcon 352340) and pelleted by centrifugation at 1200 g for 5 min. The dissociated cells were washed with ice-cold DMEM containing 10% FBS. Cell number and viability were analyzed using a hemocytometer following trypan blue (Gibco 15250061) staining. The single cell preparation displayed greater than 90% viability in this experiment.

Single-cell RNA-seq: barcoding, cDNA amplification, library construction, and data matrix generation

The single cell suspension was adjusted to 900 cells/µl and approximately 14,000 cells were loaded onto a well on a 10x Chromium Single Cell instrument (10x Genomics). Barcoding, cDNA amplification and library construction were performed using the Chromium Single Cell 3' Reagent Kits v2 exactly according to the manufacturer's instructions. This system uses the 10x GemCode technology that partitions thousands of cells individually into nanoliter-sized Gel Bead-In-Emulsions (GEMs), where cell lysis and cDNA synthesis occur, with all cDNAs generated from an individual cell sharing a common cell specific barcode. Unique Molecular Identifier (UMI) barcodes, specific for each oligonucleotide on a bead, allow compression of data to hybridization events, reducing amplification bias. Post cDNA amplification reaction and cleanup were performed using SPRI select reagent (Beckman Coulter, Cat# B23318). Post cDNA amplification and post library construction quality analyses were performed using the Agilent Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity kit (Agilent 5067–4626). The final single cell 3' library contains standard Illumina paired-end constructs (begin and end with P5 and P7 primer sequences, and 16 bp 10x Barcode, 10 bp UMI, Read one primer sequence, Read two primer sequence, and the 8 bp i7 sample index.

Libraries were sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 and the paired-end 75 bp sequencing flow cell. Sequencing parameters used were: Read 1, 27 cycles; i7 index, eight cycles; Read 2, 147 cycles, according to manufacturer's recommendations, which produced about 300 million reads. The sequencing output raw data were processed using CellRanger 2.0.0 (http://10xgenomics.com) to obtain a gene-cell data matrix.

Single-cell RNA-seq data processing, tSNE visualization, and cell clustering analysis

Once the gene-cell data matrix was generated, poor quality cells were excluded through cell filtering by selecting cells that expressed >1000 unique genes, with each gene expressed in a minimum of three cells. Only one read per cell was needed for a gene to be counted as expressed. Cells containing high percentages of mitochondrial (>10%), histone (>0.002%), or hemoglobin (>0.01%) genes were excluded. The resulting gene expression matrix was normalized to 10,000 molecules per cell and log transformed according to Macosko et al. (Macosko et al., 2015). The top 593 genes with the highest variability among cells were found using Seurat's FindVariableGenes function, x.low.cutoff = 0.0125, x.high.cutoff = 4, y.cutoff = 0.5. These highly variable genes were used for principal components (PCs) analysis using the Seurat package (version 2.0.1, R 3.4.1 library). The influence of the number of unique molecular identifiers was minimized using Seurat's RegressOut function. Clustering was performed with Seurat's t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE) implementation using the first 18 significant PCs determined by JackStraw plot (Macosko et al., 2015). All clustering was unsupervised, without driver genes. Cell groupings were determined by shared nearest neighbor (SNN) using the Louvain algorithm. The tSNE maps visualized the single cells on twodimensional or three-dimensional space based on expression signatures of the variable genes. Marker genes were determined for each cluster using Seurat's FindAllMarkers function using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, only considering genes expressed in a minimum of 25% of cells and fold change threshold of 1.3. Over/under clustering was verified via gene expression heatmaps. Monocle-2 (Qiu et al., 2017) was used for analysis of developmental trajectories of the neural crest cell

population. The raw UMI gene counts were used and modeled on the negative binomial distribution using genes that were expressed in a minimum of 10 cells. The BEAM function was used to determine genes enriched in each trajectory state.

The scRNA-seq data from this study have been deposited into the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI GEO) database (accession number GSE112837).

Histology, immunofluorescent staining, and quantification of apoptotic cells

For histological analysis, embryos were dissected at desired stages from timed pregnant mice, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), dehydrated through an ethanol series, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 7 µm thickness, and stained with alcian blue followed by hematoxylin and eosin.

Detection of alkaline phosphatase was performed on frozen sections. Briefly, the sections were washed with NTMT (0.1 M NaCl, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 9.5, 50 mM MgCl2, 0.1% Tween20) buffer, then stained with NBT/BCIP Substrate Solution at 37°C.

Immunofluorescent staining of paraffin sections was performed following standard protocols. Three embryos of each genotype have been used for the experiment. Antibodies used were: rabbit Anti-Runx2 (Santa Cruz, sc-10758), Goat anti-Foxf1 (R&D Systems, AF4798), and sheep anti-Foxf2 (R&D Systems, AF6988). Anti muscle actin antibody (Clone HUC1-1) (*Sawtell and Lessard, 1989*) was provided by Dr. James Lessard (Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center).

Immunofluorescent staining of pSmad1/5/9 (Cell Signaling, #13820) was performed on frozen sections following standard protocol with modifications. The number of pSmad1/5/9 positive nuclei over the total DAPI positive nuclei was recorded as percentage of pSmad1/5/9 positive cells using Nikon NIS-Elements AR software (Nikon). The mandibular mesenchyme was divided into three domains along the oral-aboral axis, and percentage of the pSmad1/5/9 positive cells was quantified in each domain, and for each genotype. The results were presented as mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test to compare data from all domains. For *SmoM2;Hand2-Cre* mutants and control samples, the percentage of the pSmad1/5/9 positive cells throughout the oral-aboral axis of the distal mandibular mesenchyme on sagittal sections were quantified and statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

The quantification of cleaved Caspase3 signal was perform in $Smo^{c/c}$; $Smo^{c/c}$;Hand2-Cre and $Smo^{c/c}$;Hand2-Cre; $Bmp4^{+/-}$ embryos. Whole mount immunofluorescent staining of E10.5 mandible tissues was performed as previously described using rabbit anti-cleaved Caspase3 (BD Pharmingen, 559565) (**Xu et al., 2016a**). Immunostained samples were scanned by confocal microscopy using a Nikon A1 Inverted Microscope. Four embryos of each genotype, and 10–20 sections from each embryo were used for quantification. In total, 69 sections from $Smo^{c/c}$, 54 sections from $Smo^{c/c}$; *Hand2-Cre*, and 69 sections from $Smo^{c/c}$; *Hand2-Cre*; $Bmp4^{+/-}$ embryos were used for quantification. The results were presented as mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test to compare all pairs. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Detection of $\beta\mbox{-}Galactosidase$ activity, skeletal preparations and in situ hybridization assays

X-Gal staining of whole mount embryos and cryostat sections was performed as previously described (**Hogan, 1994**). Sections were counterstained with eosin. Skeletal preparations were performed as previously described (**Martin et al., 1995**). Whole mount and section in situ hybridization was performed as previously described (**Zhang et al., 1999**). At least three embryos of each genotype were hybridized to each probe and only probes that detected consistent patterns of expression in all samples were considered as valid results.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. James Lessard at Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center for generously providing the anti muscle antibody (Clone HUC1-1). This work was supported by National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research grant DE027046 and by Shriners Hospitals for Children grant #85900 to RJ.

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Institutes of Health	R01 DE027046	Rulang Jiang
Shriners Hospitals for Children	#85900	Rulang Jiang

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Jingyue Xu, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing, Conceived and designed the project, Performed experiments, Performed data analysis; Han Liu, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing-review and editing, Conceived and designed the project, Performed experiments, Performed data analysis; Yu Lan, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Project administration, Writing-review and editing, Performed experiments, Performed data analysis; Mike Adam, Data curation, Software, Formal analysis, Visualization, Methodology, Writing-review and editing, Participated in design of scRNA-seq experiments, Provided protocol for single cell preparation, Performed data analysis; David E Clouthier, Conceptualization, Resources, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing-review and editing, Provided the Hand2-Cre mouse strain, Participated in experimental design and data analysis; Steven Potter, Software, Formal analysis, Supervision, Investigation, Methodology, Writing-review and editing, Participated in design of scRNA-seq experiments, Provided protocol for single cell preparation, Performed data analysis; Rulang Jiang, Conceptualization, Resources, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing-original draft, Project administration, Writing-review and editing, Conceived and designed the project, Performed data analysis

Author ORCIDs

Jingyue Xu b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1765-1884 Rulang Jiang b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7842-4696

Ethics

Animal experimentation: This study was performed in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by the National Institutes of Health. The animal use protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (Permit Number IACUC2016-0095).

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.037 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.038

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Supplementary file 1. List of marker genes with more than 1.5-fold enrichment in the individual clusters. Column A lists gene name. Column B lists p value of differential expression. Column C lists average fold change over all other clusters. Column D list the percentage of cells in the

corresponding cluster expressing the marker gene. Column E list the percentage of cells in all other clusters combined expressing the marker gene. Column F list the Bonferroni corrected p value. Column G lists the cluster name. NC1 – NC2, neural crest derived mesenchyme cells; Epi, epithelial cells; Endo, endothelial cells; HM, head mesoderm cells.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.026

• Supplementary file 2. List of marker genes exhibiting differential expression (at least 1.3-fold) between cells in the NC3 cluster and cells in the NC1 and NC2 clusters. Column A list gene name. Column B list p value of differential expression. Column C lists average fold change of expression of the marker gene in NC1/2 cells over NC3 cells. Positive value in Column C indicates higher levels of expression in NC1/2 than in NC3. Column D lists percentage of cells in NC1/2 clusters expressing the gene. Column E list percentage of cells in NC3 cluster expressing the gene. Column F list percentage of cells in NC3 cluster expression pattern is shown in *Figure 1—figure supplement 4* are highlighted in yellow.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.027

• Supplementary file 3. List of marker genes exhibiting more than 1.3-fold enrichment in expression levels in a specific neural crest subgroup over all other five subgroups. Genes that are shown in *Figure 1B* are highlighted in yellow color. Column A lists gene name. Column B lists p value of differential expression. Column C lists average fold change over all other subgroups. Column D list the percentage of cells in the corresponding subgroup expressing the marker gene. Column E list the percentage of cells in all other subgroups combined expressing the marker gene. Column F list the Bonferroni corrected p value of differential expression. Column G lists the subgroup number corresponding to *Figure 1B*.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.028

• Supplementary file 4. Top 50 hits from gene ontology (GO) analyses of marker genes of Subgroup 0 of the neural crest cells shown in *Figure 1B*.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.029

• Supplementary file 5. Top 100 hits from gene ontology (GO) analyses of marker genes of Subgroup 1 of neural crest cells shown in *Figure 1B*. GO analysis was performed using Toppgene (https://top-pgene.cchmc.org/enrichment.jsp).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.030

 Supplementary file 6. Top 50 hits from gene ontology (GO) analyses of marker genes of State three from developmental trajectory analysis shown in *Figure 1—figure supplement 7*.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.031

• Supplementary file 7. Top 20 hits from gene ontology (GO) analyses of marker genes of State four from developmental trajectory analysis shown in *Figure 1—figure supplement 7*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.032

• Transparent reporting form

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40315.033

Data availability

The single-cell RNA-seq data from this study have been deposited into the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI GEO) database (accession number GSE112837). All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files.

The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Xu J	2018	Hedgehog signaling patterns the oral-aboral axis of the mandibular arch	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE112837	NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, GSE112837

References

- Adam M, Potter AS, Potter SS. 2017. Psychrophilic proteases dramatically reduce single-cell RNA-seq artifacts: a molecular atlas of kidney development. *Development* **144**:3625–3632. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev. 151142, PMID: 28851704
- Ahlgren SC, Bronner-Fraser M. 1999. Inhibition of sonic hedgehog signaling in vivo results in craniofacial neural crest cell death. Current Biology 9:1304–1314. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(00)80052-4, PMID: 10574760
- Anderson RM, Stottmann RW, Choi M, Klingensmith J. 2006. Endogenous bone morphogenetic protein antagonists regulate mammalian neural crest generation and survival. *Developmental Dynamics* 235:2507– 2520. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20891, PMID: 16894609
- Barlow AJ, Bogardi JP, Ladher R, Francis-West PH. 1999. Expression of chick Barx-1 and its differential regulation by FGF-8 and BMP signaling in the maxillary primordia. *Developmental Dynamics* **214**:291–302. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199904)214:4<291::AID-AJA2>3.0.CO;2-E, PMID: 10213385
- Barron F, Woods C, Kuhn K, Bishop J, Howard MJ, Clouthier DE. 2011. Downregulation of Dlx5 and Dlx6 expression by Hand2 is essential for initiation of tongue morphogenesis. *Development* 138:2249–2259. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.056929, PMID: 21558373
- Billmyre KK, Klingensmith J. 2015. Sonic hedgehog from pharyngeal arch 1 epithelium is necessary for early mandibular arch cell survival and later cartilage condensation differentiation. *Developmental Dynamics* 244: 564–576. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.24256, PMID: 25626636
- Bolte C, Ren X, Tomley T, Ustiyan V, Pradhan A, Hoggatt A, Kalin TV, Herring BP, Kalinichenko VV. 2015. Forkhead box F2 regulation of platelet-derived growth factor and myocardin/serum response factor signaling is essential for intestinal development. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **290**:7563–7575. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1074/jbc.M114.609487, PMID: 25631042
- Brazeau MD, Friedman M. 2015. The origin and early phylogenetic history of jawed vertebrates. Nature 520: 490–497. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14438, PMID: 25903631
- Briscoe J. 2009. Making a grade: sonic hedgehog signalling and the control of neural cell fate. The EMBO Journal **28**:457–465. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2009.12, PMID: 19197245
- Briscoe J, Vincent JP. 2013. Hedgehog threads to spread. Nature Cell Biology 15:1265–1267. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1038/ncb2878, PMID: 24189517
- Brito JM, Teillet MA, Le Douarin NM. 2006. An early role for sonic hedgehog from foregut endoderm in jaw development: ensuring neural crest cell survival. *PNAS* **103**:11607–11612. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 0604751103, PMID: 16868080
- Brito JM, Teillet MA, Le Douarin NM. 2008. Induction of mirror-image supernumerary jaws in chicken mandibular mesenchyme by sonic Hedgehog-producing cells. *Development* 135:2311–2319. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/ dev.019125, PMID: 18539924
- Cerny R, Lwigale P, Ericsson R, Meulemans D, Epperlein HH, Bronner-Fraser M. 2004. Developmental origins and evolution of jaws: new interpretation of "maxillary" and "mandibular". *Developmental Biology* **276**:225–236. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.08.046, PMID: 15531376
- Chai Y, Maxson RE. 2006. Recent advances in craniofacial morphogenesis. *Developmental Dynamics* 235:2353–2375. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20833, PMID: 16680722
- Charité J, McFadden DG, Merlo G, Levi G, Clouthier DE, Yanagisawa M, Richardson JA, Olson EN. 2001. Role of Dlx6 in regulation of an endothelin-1-dependent, dHAND branchial arch enhancer. *Genes & Development* 15: 3039–3049. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.931701, PMID: 11711438
- Cobourne MT, Sharpe PT. 2003. Tooth and jaw: molecular mechanisms of patterning in the first branchial arch. Archives of Oral Biology 48:1–14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9969(02)00208-X, PMID: 12615136
- Creuzet S, Schuler B, Couly G, Le Douarin NM. 2004. Reciprocal relationships between Fgf8 and neural crest cells in facial and forebrain development. *PNAS* **101**:4843–4847. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 0400869101, PMID: 15041748
- Danielian PS, Muccino D, Rowitch DH, Michael SK, McMahon AP. 1998. Modification of gene activity in mouse embryos in utero by a tamoxifen-inducible form of cre recombinase. *Current Biology* 8:1323–1326. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(07)00562-3, PMID: 9843687
- Depew MJ, Lufkin T, Rubenstein JL. 2002. Specification of jaw subdivisions by dlx genes. *Science* **298**:381–385. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1075703, PMID: 12193642
- Ericson J, Briscoe J, Rashbass P, van Heyningen V, Jessell TM. 1997. Graded sonic hedgehog signaling and the specification of cell fate in the ventral neural tube. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology 62: 451–466. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1997.062.01.053, PMID: 9598380
- Ferguson CA, Tucker AS, Sharpe PT. 2000. Temporospatial cell interactions regulating mandibular and maxillary arch patterning. *Development* **127**:403–412. PMID: 10603356
- **Grigoriou M**, Tucker AS, Sharpe PT, Pachnis V. 1998. Expression and regulation of Lhx6 and Lhx7, a novel subfamily of LIM homeodomain encoding genes, suggests a role in mammalian head development. *Development* **125**:2063–2074. PMID: 9570771
- Haworth KE, Healy C, Morgan P, Sharpe PT. 2004. Regionalisation of early head ectoderm is regulated by endoderm and prepatterns the orofacial epithelium. *Development* **131**:4797–4806. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.01337, PMID: 15342462

- Haworth KE, Wilson JM, Grevellec A, Cobourne MT, Healy C, Helms JA, Sharpe PT, Tucker AS. 2007. Sonic hedgehog in the pharyngeal endoderm controls arch pattern via regulation of Fgf8 in head ectoderm. *Developmental Biology* **303**:244–258. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.11.009, PMID: 17187772
- Hayano S, Komatsu Y, Pan H, Mishina Y. 2015. Augmented BMP signaling in the neural crest inhibits nasal cartilage morphogenesis by inducing p53-mediated apoptosis. *Development* **142**:1357–1367. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1242/dev.118802, PMID: 25742798
- Hirsinger E, Duprez D, Jouve C, Malapert P, Cooke J, Pourquié O. 1997. Noggin acts downstream of wnt and sonic hedgehog to antagonize BMP4 in avian somite patterning. *Development* **124**:4605–4614. PMID: 9409677
- Hoffmann AD, Yang XH, Burnicka-Turek O, Bosman JD, Ren X, Steimle JD, Vokes SA, McMahon AP, Kalinichenko VV, Moskowitz IP. 2014. Foxf genes integrate tbx5 and hedgehog pathways in the second heart field for cardiac septation. *PLoS Genetics* **10**:e1004604. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004604, PMID: 25356765
- Hogan B. 1994. Manipulating the Mouse Embryo: A Laboratory Manual. 2nd edn. Plainview NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Hu D, Helms JA. 1999. The role of sonic hedgehog in normal and abnormal craniofacial morphogenesis. Development 126:4873–4884. PMID: 10518503
- Ingham PW, McMahon AP. 2001. Hedgehog signaling in animal development: paradigms and principles. *Genes* & Development **15**:3059–3087. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.938601, PMID: 11731473
- Janvier P. 1996. Early Vertebrates. Oxford New York: Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press. Jeong J, Mao J, Tenzen T, Kottmann AH, McMahon AP. 2004. Hedgehog signaling in the neural crest cells
- regulates the patterning and growth of facial primordia. *Genes & Development* **18**:937–951. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1101/gad.1190304, PMID: 15107405
- Khokha MK, Hsu D, Brunet LJ, Dionne MS, Harland RM. 2003. Gremlin is the BMP antagonist required for maintenance of shh and fgf signals during limb patterning. *Nature Genetics* 34:303–307. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ng1178, PMID: 12808456
- Klein AM, Mazutis L, Akartuna I, Tallapragada N, Veres A, Li V, Peshkin L, Weitz DA, Kirschner MW. 2015. Droplet barcoding for single-cell transcriptomics applied to embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **161**:1187–1201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.044, PMID: 26000487
- Kuratani S. 2004. Evolution of the vertebrate jaw: comparative embryology and molecular developmental biology reveal the factors behind evolutionary novelty. *Journal of Anatomy* 205:335–347. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.0021-8782.2004.00345.x, PMID: 15575882
- Kuratani S. 2012. Evolution of the vertebrate jaw from developmental perspectives. *Evolution & Development* **14**:76–92. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2011.00523.x, PMID: 23016976
- Kuratani S, Adachi N, Wada N, Oisi Y, Sugahara F. 2013. Developmental and evolutionary significance of the mandibular arch and prechordal/premandibular cranium in vertebrates: revising the heterotopy scenario of gnathostome jaw evolution. *Journal of Anatomy* 222:41–55. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7580.2012. 01505.x, PMID: 22500853
- Lee KJ, Jessell TM. 1999. The specification of dorsal cell fates in the vertebrate central nervous system. Annual Review of Neuroscience 22:261–294. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.22.1.261, PMID: 10202540
- Liu W, Selever J, Murali D, Sun X, Brugger SM, Ma L, Schwartz RJ, Maxson R, Furuta Y, Martin JF. 2005. Threshold-specific requirements for Bmp4 in mandibular development. *Developmental Biology* **283**:282–293. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.04.019, PMID: 15936012
- Lumsden AG. 1988. Spatial organization of the epithelium and the role of neural crest cells in the initiation of the mammalian tooth germ. Development 103 Suppl:155–169. PMID: 3250849
- Macosko EZ, Basu A, Satija R, Nemesh J, Shekhar K, Goldman M, Tirosh I, Bialas AR, Kamitaki N, Martersteck EM, Trombetta JJ, Weitz DA, Sanes JR, Shalek AK, Regev A, McCarroll SA. 2015. Highly parallel Genome-wide expression profiling of individual cells using nanoliter droplets. *Cell* 161:1202–1214. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2015.05.002, PMID: 26000488
- Martin JF, Bradley A, Olson EN. 1995. The paired-like homeo box gene MHox is required for early events of skeletogenesis in multiple lineages. *Genes & Development* **9**:1237–1249. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.9. 10.1237, PMID: 7758948
- McMahon JA, Takada S, Zimmerman LB, Fan CM, Harland RM, McMahon AP. 1998. Noggin-mediated antagonism of BMP signaling is required for growth and patterning of the neural tube and somite. *Genes & Development* **12**:1438–1452. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.12.10.1438, PMID: 9585504
- Medeiros DM, Crump JG. 2012. New perspectives on pharyngeal dorsoventral patterning in development and evolution of the vertebrate jaw. *Developmental Biology* **371**:121–135. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio. 2012.08.026, PMID: 22960284
- Melnick M, Witcher D, Bringas P, Carlsson P, Jaskoll T. 2005. Meckel's cartilage differentiation is dependent on hedgehog signaling. *Cells Tissues Organs* **179**:146–157. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1159/000085950, PMID: 16046 861
- Mina M, Kollar EJ. 1987. The induction of odontogenesis in non-dental mesenchyme combined with early murine mandibular arch epithelium. Archives of Oral Biology **32**:123–127. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9969(87) 90055-0, PMID: 3478009
- Minoux M, Rijli FM. 2010. Molecular mechanisms of cranial neural crest cell migration and patterning in craniofacial development. Development 137:2605–2621. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.040048, PMID: 20663816

- Miyashita T. 2016. Fishing for jaws in early vertebrate evolution: a new hypothesis of mandibular confinement. Biological Reviews 91:611–657. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12187, PMID: 25899041
- Nik AM, Johansson JA, Ghiami M, Reyahi A, Carlsson P. 2016. Foxf2 is required for secondary palate development and tgfβ signaling in palatal shelf mesenchyme. *Developmental Biology* **415**:14–23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.05.013, PMID: 27180663
- Parada C, Chai Y. 2015. Mandible and tongue development. Current Topics in Developmental Biology 115:31– 58. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2015.07.023, PMID: 26589920
- Park J, Shrestha R, Qiu C, Kondo A, Huang S, Werth M, Li M, Barasch J, Suszták K. 2018. Single-cell transcriptomics of the mouse kidney reveals potential cellular targets of kidney disease. *Science* 360:758–763. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar2131, PMID: 29622724
- Qiu X, Mao Q, Tang Y, Wang L, Chawla R, Pliner HA, Trapnell C. 2017. Reversed graph embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. *Nature Methods* 14:979–982. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4402, PMID: 28825705
- Ren X, Ustiyan V, Pradhan A, Cai Y, Havrilak JA, Bolte CS, Shannon JM, Kalin TV, Kalinichenko VV. 2014. FOXF1 transcription factor is required for formation of embryonic vasculature by regulating VEGF signaling in endothelial cells. *Circulation Research* **115**:709–720. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.304382, PMID: 25091710
- Reyahi A, Nik AM, Ghiami M, Gritli-Linde A, Pontén F, Johansson BR, Carlsson P. 2015. Foxf2 is required for brain pericyte differentiation and development and maintenance of the Blood-Brain barrier. *Developmental Cell* 34:19–32. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.05.008, PMID: 26120030
- Riddle RD, Johnson RL, Laufer E, Tabin C. 1993. Sonic hedgehog mediates the polarizing activity of the ZPA. Cell 75:1401–1416. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90626-2, PMID: 8269518
- Rosenberg AB, Roco CM, Muscat RA, Kuchina A, Sample P, Yao Z, Graybuck LT, Peeler DJ, Mukherjee S, Chen W, Pun SH, Sellers DL, Tasic B, Seelig G. 2018. Single-cell profiling of the developing mouse brain and spinal cord with split-pool barcoding. *Science* 360:176–182. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam8999, PMID: 2 9545511
- Ruest LB, Dager M, Yanagisawa H, Charité J, Hammer RE, Olson EN, Yanagisawa M, Clouthier DE. 2003. dHAND-Cre transgenic mice reveal specific potential functions of dHAND during craniofacial development. Developmental Biology 257:263–277. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-1606(03)00068-X, PMID: 12729557
- Ruest L-B, Xiang X, Lim KC, Levi G, Clouthier DE. 2004. Endothelin-A receptor-dependent and -independent signaling pathways in establishing mandibular identity. *Development* **131**:4413–4423. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.01291
- Sawtell NM, Lessard JL. 1989. Cellular distribution of smooth muscle actins during mammalian embryogenesis: expression of the alpha-vascular but not the gamma-enteric isoform in differentiating striated myocytes. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **109**:2929–2937. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.109.6.2929, PMID: 2687290
- Shigetani Y, Nobusada Y, Kuratani S. 2000. Ectodermally derived FGF8 defines the maxillomandibular region in the early chick embryo: epithelial-mesenchymal interactions in the specification of the craniofacial ectomesenchyme. Developmental Biology 228:73–85. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2000.9932, PMID: 11087627
- Soriano P. 1999. Generalized lacZ expression with the ROSA26 cre reporter strain. *Nature Genetics* **21**:70–71. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/5007, PMID: 9916792
- Stottmann RW, Anderson RM, Klingensmith J. 2001. The BMP antagonists chordin and noggin have essential but redundant roles in mouse mandibular outgrowth. Developmental Biology 240:457–473. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1006/dbio.2001.0479, PMID: 11784076
- Tickle C, Towers M. 2017. Sonic hedgehog signaling in limb development. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 5:14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2017.00014, PMID: 28293554
- Tirosh I, Venteicher AS, Hebert C, Escalante LE, Patel AP, Yizhak K, Fisher JM, Rodman C, Mount C, Filbin MG, Neftel C, Desai N, Nyman J, Izar B, Luo CC, Francis JM, Patel AA, Onozato ML, Riggi N, Livak KJ, et al. 2016. Single-cell RNA-seq supports a developmental hierarchy in human oligodendroglioma. *Nature* 539:309–313. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20123, PMID: 27806376
- Trainor PA, Krumlauf R. 2001. Hox genes, neural crest cells and branchial arch patterning. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **13**:698–705. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-0674(00)00273-8, PMID: 11698185
- Trumpp A, Depew MJ, Rubenstein JL, Bishop JM, Martin GR. 1999. Cre-mediated gene inactivation demonstrates that FGF8 is required for cell survival and patterning of the first branchial arch. Genes & Development 13:3136–3148. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.13.23.3136, PMID: 10601039
- Tucker AS, Matthews KL, Sharpe PT. 1998. Transformation of tooth type induced by inhibition of BMP signaling. Science 282:1136–1138. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5391.1136, PMID: 9804553
- Tucker AS, Yamada G, Grigoriou M, Pachnis V, Sharpe PT. 1999. Fgf-8 determines rostral-caudal polarity in the first branchial arch. Development 126:51–61. PMID: 9834185
- Venteicher AS, Tirosh I, Hebert C, Yizhak K, Neftel C, Filbin MG, Hovestadt V, Escalante LE, Shaw ML, Rodman C, Gillespie SM, Dionne D, Luo CC, Ravichandran H, Mylvaganam R, Mount C, Onozato ML, Nahed BV, Wakimoto H, Curry WT, et al. 2017. Decoupling genetics, lineages, and microenvironment in IDH-mutant gliomas by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **355**:eaai8478. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aai8478, PMID: 2 8360267
- Vincentz JW, Casasnovas JJ, Barnes RM, Que J, Clouthier DE, Wang J, Firulli AB. 2016. Exclusion of Dlx5/6 expression from the distal-most mandibular arches enables BMP-mediated specification of the distal cap. PNAS 113:7563–7568. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603930113, PMID: 27335460

- Watanabe Y, Duprez D, Monsoro-Burq AH, Vincent C, Le Douarin NM. 1998. Two domains in vertebral development: antagonistic regulation by SHH and BMP4 proteins. *Development* 125:2631–2639. PMID: 9636078
- Xu J, Liu H, Chai OH, Lan Y, Jiang R. 2016a. Osr1 interacts synergistically with Wt1 to regulate kidney organogenesis. *Plos One* **11**:e0159597. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159597, PMID: 27442016
- Xu J, Liu H, Lan Y, Aronow BJ, Kalinichenko VV, Jiang R. 2016b. A Shh-Foxf-Fgf18-Shh molecular circuit regulating palate development. *PLOS Genetics* **12**:e1005769. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen. 1005769, PMID: 26745863
- Zhang Y, Zhao X, Hu Y, St Amand T, Zhang M, Ramamurthy R, Qiu M, Chen Y. 1999. Msx1 is required for the induction of patched by sonic hedgehog in the mammalian tooth germ. *Developmental Dynamics* **215**:45–53. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199905)215:1<45::AID-DVDY5>3.0.CO;2-5, PMID: 10340755
- Zhang XM, Ramalho-Santos M, McMahon AP. 2001. Smoothened mutants reveal redundant roles for Shh and Ihh signaling including regulation of L/R symmetry by the mouse node. *Cell* **106**:781–792. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0092-8674(01)00385-3, PMID: 11517919
- Zheng C, Zheng L, Yoo JK, Guo H, Zhang Y, Guo X, Kang B, Hu R, Huang JY, Zhang Q, Liu Z, Dong M, Hu X, Ouyang W, Peng J, Zhang Z. 2017. Landscape of infiltrating T cells in liver cancer revealed by Single-Cell sequencing. *Cell* **169**:1342–1356. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.035, PMID: 28622514
- Zúñiga A, Haramis AP, McMahon AP, Zeller R. 1999. Signal relay by BMP antagonism controls the SHH/FGF4 feedback loop in vertebrate limb buds. *Nature* **401**:598–602. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/44157, PMID: 10524628