microbial biotechnology

Open Access

Evaluation of industrial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains as the chassis cell for second-generation bioethanol production

Hongxing Li,¹ Meiling Wu,¹ Lili Xu,¹ Jin Hou,¹ Ting Guo,^{1,2} Xiaoming Bao¹ and Yu Shen^{1*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China. ²Guangzhou Sugarcane Industry Research Institute, Guangzhou 510316, China.

Summary

To develop a suitable Saccharomyces cerevisiae industrial strain as a chassis cell for ethanol production using lignocellulosic materials, 32 wild-type strains were evaluated for their glucose fermenting ability, their tolerance to the stresses they might encounter in lignocellulosic hydrolysate fermentation and their genetic background for pentose metabolism. The strain BSIF, isolated from tropical fruit in Thailand, was selected out of the distinctly different strains studied for its promising characteristics. The maximal specific growth rate of BSIF was as high as 0.65 h⁻¹ in yeast extract peptone dextrose medium, and the ethanol yield was 0.45 g g^{-1} consumed glucose. Furthermore, compared with other strains, this strain exhibited superior tolerance to high temperature, hyperosmotic stress and oxidative stress; better growth performance in lignocellulosic hydrolysate; and better xylose utilization capacity when an initial xylose metabolic pathway was introduced. All of these results indicate that this strain is an excellent chassis strain for lignocellulosic ethanol production.

Introduction

Biofuels (including ethanol, butanol and biodiesel), chemicals and other commodities produced from renewable

Received 6 May, 2014; revised 13 October, 2014; accepted 22 October, 2014. *For correspondence. E-mail shenyu@sdu.edu.cn; Tel. +86 531 8836 5826; Fax +86 531 8836 5826.

Microbial Biotechnology (2015) 8(2), 266–274

doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12245

Funding Information This work was supported by the National Key Basic Research Program (2011CB707405), the National High-Technology Research and Development Program of China (2012AA022106, 2014AA021903), Project of National Energy Administration of China (NY20130402) and the State Key Laboratory of Motor Vehicle Biofuel Technology (No.2013004).

and abundant lignocellulosic feedstocks have become increasingly important because of the depletion of fossil fuel energy sources and growing public concerns about the environment and food security (Zhou *et al.*, 2012; Jönsson *et al.*, 2013). One of the most practical solutions is to produce bioethanol from lignocellulosic feedstocks with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Palmqvist and Hahn-Hägerdal, 2000a). However, this natural ethanol producer faces several new challenges when the substrate is lignocellulose instead of starch. Not only a high glucose metabolism capacity and ethanol yield, but also the capacity to tackle the challenges associated with lignocellulose fermentation are necessary properties for a lignocellulosic ethanol-producing strain.

The lignocellulosic ethanol conversion process generally includes raw material pretreatment, cellulose hydrolysis, sugar fermentation by microorganisms and distillation. Most of the hemicellulose fraction is hydrolysed to monosaccharides in the pretreatment step, and the xvlose obtained during this process is the second most abundant sugar in lignocellulosic materials (Kim et al., 2013). Efficient use of xylose would greatly increase the economic benefits of lignocellulosic bioethanol production (Sun and Cheng. 2002: Hahn-Hägerdal et al., 2007). However, xylose is not naturally fermented by S. cerevisiae. The inability of S. cerevisiae to use xylose is not only due to its lack of relevant enzymes, but also related to the low efficiency of other necessary metabolic pathways, such as the pentose phosphate pathway. In addition, inhibitors that are formed during the pretreatment and hydrolysis processes with the release of sugars are toxic to microorganisms. Therefore, the yeast strain used in lignocellulosic bioethanol production requires not only high ethanol yields from both glucose and xylose, but also robustness in its harsh working environment.

The inhibitors to ethanol production are usually divided into three major groups: weak acids, furan derivatives and phenolic compounds (Palmqvist and Hahn-Hägerdal, 2000b; Almeida *et al.*, 2007; Alriksson *et al.*, 2010). The inhibitory effects of these compounds on *S. cerevisiae* strains are quite different. Undissociated weak acids are liposoluble and can diffuse across the plasma membrane, causing intracellular anion accumulation and inhibiting cell growth. Furan derivatives, such as furfural and HMF (5-hydroxymethylfurfural), have been shown to reduce the

© 2015 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

267 H. Li et al.

Table 1. Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain sources and numbers used in this work.

Strain sources	Strain number	Strains evaluated ^a
Obtained from ATCC, CICC, CGMCC	6	CICC31034
Used in starch-based ethanol production	6	NAN-27, 6508
Isolated from commercially available active dry yeast (China, Sweden, America, France, Japan)	5	
Isolated from grape or wine production regions	4	RC212
Isolated from liquor production regions	3	
Isolated from tropical fruit in Thailand	3	BSIF
Isolated from waste materials in sugar cane industry	5	

a. The five strains selected for all evaluations and their corresponding sources.

ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; CGMCC, China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center; CICC, China Center of Industrial Culture Collection.

specific growth rate, the cell mass yield, and the volumetric and specific ethanol productivities. Phenolic compounds destroy the cell membrane integrity, thereby impeding the membrane's function (Palmqvist and Hahn-Hägerdal, 2000b; Almeida *et al.*, 2007). The interaction effects of these inhibitor compounds often lead to more serious inhibition (Palmqvist *et al.*, 1999). In addition, some hydrolysate components that have not been extensively analysed also cause undetermined stresses on the cells (Palmqvist and Hahn-Hägerdal, 2000b). In addition to the osmotic stress caused by the ions and sugars in the hydrolysate, the ethanol product also has negative effects on yeast (Sonderegger *et al.*, 2004; Jönsson *et al.*, 2013).

Metabolic and evolutionary engineering strategies have been extensively performed in constructing and enhancing the xylose fermentation capacity of both laboratory and industrial S. cerevisiae strains (Eliasson et al., 2000; Kuyper et al., 2003; Peng et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012). The fermentation properties and inhibitor resistance depend on the strains' individual genetic backgrounds. The diploid or polyploid industrial S. cerevisiae strains are usually more robust than the haploid strains, and the whole-genome duplication in yeast was proposed to lead to an efficient fermentation system (Piškur et al., 2006). The choice of the chassis strain has a significant effect on the performance of lignocellulosic hydrolysate-based ethanol production (Brandberg et al., 2004), and it is important to possess a self-owned, suitable chassis strain because of intellectual property concerns.

Therefore, in this paper, 32 wild-type *S. cerevisiae* strains were evaluated. Among them, candidate strains with an ethanol yield of more than 0.41 g g⁻¹ consumed glucose were selected in the preliminary screening. Then, the tolerance to inhibitors, the growth performance in hydrolysate and the background xylose utilization capacity of the candidate strains were further compared. In addition, the ploidy of the strains was determined. Based on these evaluations, an *S. cerevisiae* strain was chosen as the chassis cell for constructing a lignocellulosic ethanol-producing strain.

Results and discussion

Collection of S. cerevisiae strains

In this work, 32 wild-type *S. cerevisiae* strains were collected or isolated from several strain preservation institutions, ethanol production companies, commercial active dry yeasts and different habitats. The detailed strain sources and numbers are listed in Table 1. The strains isolated from specific environments were identified by sequence analysis of the 26S rDNA D1/D2 domain.

Fermentation performance of S. cerevisiae strains on glucose

Efficient ethanol production from glucose, a main component in lignocellulosic hydrolysate, is the most important characteristic for the candidate strains for bioethanol production. Therefore, the fermentation performances of the S. cerevisiae strains were first evaluated with glucose as the sole carbon source. The fermentation was performed in shake flasks with an initial cell density of OD₆₀₀ 0.1 (~ 0.02 g l⁻¹ dry cell biomass). Rubber stoppers with syringe needles were used for oxygen-limited cultivations. As expected, these strains exhibited significant differences in the ethanol yield, ranging from 0.33 to 0.43 g g^{-1} consumed glucose after 10 h. Five strains, NAN-27, BSIF, RC212, CICC31034 and 6508 (Table 1), with ethanol yields of more than 0.41 g g⁻¹ consumed glucose, were selected and evaluated in further detail. The results in Fig. 1 and Table 2 show that strains BSIF and RC212 had the best performance in terms of the maximum specific growth rate (μ_{max}) and corresponding glucose volumetric consumption rate and that the ethanol yield of both strains was higher than 0.44 g g⁻¹ consumed glucose.

Evaluation of tolerance of S. cerevisiae strains to individual stress factors

Considering the stress conditions that the fermentation strain may encounter in the second-generation fuel ethanol production process, the growth performances of



Fig. 1. Oxygen-limited fermentation on glucose in shake flasks. The cells were cultured at 30°C in shake flasks with initial OD_{600} of 0.1 (~ 0.02 g l⁻¹ dry cell biomass). Oxygen-limited conditions were obtained with a rubber stopper and a syringe needle, and the cells were agitated at 200 r.p.m. The experiments were performed in triplicate. Symbol: *S. cerevisiae* strain NAN-27, **I**; BSIF, **♦**; RC212, **A**; CICC31034, **●**; 6508, **★**.

the five selected strains were tested under aerobic conditions with several stress factors (Fig. 2). The performances of the five *S. cerevisiae* strains were greatly different. Strains BSIF and CICC31034 clearly grew better at a higher temperature, 42°C (Fig. 2A). The hypertonic stress tolerance of BSIF and RC212 was slightly higher than the tolerance of other strains on plates with 1.0 mol⁻¹ KCI (Fig. 2B). Strain CICC31034 was more sensitive to oxidative stress by 30% H₂O₂ than the other four strains (Fig. 2C). The tested strains showed distinct tolerance to

 Table 2. Metabolic characteristics of S. cerevisiae strains on glucose in shake flasks.

Strains	μ_{max} (h ⁻¹)	Ethanol yield (g g ⁻¹ consumed glucose) ^a	Glucose volume consumption rate (g l ⁻¹ h ⁻¹) ^b
NAN27 BSIF	$\begin{array}{c} 0.590 \pm 0.005 \\ 0.652 \pm 0.004 \end{array}$	0.421 ± 0.003 0.451 ± 0.016	1.183 ± 0.106 1.966 ± 0.055
RC212 CICC31034 6508	$\begin{array}{c} 0.632 \pm 0.005 \\ 0.609 \pm 0.006 \\ 0.556 \pm 0.022 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.441 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.423 \pm 0.007 \\ 0.425 \pm 0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.939 \pm 0.021 \\ 1.653 \pm 0.071 \\ 1.238 \pm 0.048 \end{array}$

a. Ethanol yield was calculated at the time of maximal ethanol concentration determined by high-performance liquid chromato-graphy (HPLC).

 ${\bf b}.$ Glucose volume consumption rate was calculated at the fermentation time of 9 h.

the inhibitors in the hydrolysate, but no single strain showed the highest tolerance to all of the different inhibitors. Strain NAN-27 showed more tolerance to acetic acid (Fig. 2E) but less to furfural (Fig. 2D) and vanillin (Fig. 2F), strains RC212 and CICC31034 grew better on vanillin plates (Fig. 2F). All of the tested strains exhibited strong ethanol tolerance, all growing well in 10% ethanol (Fig. 2G). The results suggested the relative robustness of strains; however, under anaerobic fermentation conditions, the specific tolerance of strains to stresses might be lower because of a lower energy supply.

Evaluation of tolerance of S. cerevisiae strains to corn stover hydrolysate

Lignocellulosic hydrolysate is the strains' working medium for second-generation bioethanol production. In this hydrolysate, weak acids, furan derivatives and phenolic compounds are generally recognized as major toxicants, and their mixture usually increases their inhibitory impact (Almeida *et al.*, 2007). Furthermore, there are other toxic substances not readily identified in hydrolysate (Palmqvist and Hahn-Hägerdal, 2000b). The fermentation characteristics of the five industrial strains and the laboratory strain CEN.PK 113-5D were therefore tested in hydrolysed corn stover. The hydrolysate contained 3.7 g l⁻¹ acetic acid,



Fig. 2. Growth of *S. cerevisiae* strains on the plate at stress conditions. (A) Temperatures; (B) osmotic stress with high concentration of KCl; (C) oxidative stress with H_2O_2 ; (D) furfural; (E) acetic acid; (F) vanillin; (G) ethanol. Except for measuring the oxidative stress, 4 µl of each suspension from 10-fold serial dilution with initial OD_{600} of 1.0 was spotted onto the plates. For oxidative stress (C), approximately 100 µl OD_{600} 2.0 cells were mixed with 20 ml agar, and 6 µl 30% H_2O_2 was dropped in the centre of the 0.5 mm diameter sterile filter paper. All of the cell populations were incubated at 30°C for 2 days, but two more temperatures, 37°C and 42°C, were considered for thermotolerance evaluation.

0.39 g $|^{-1}$ furfural and 0.29 g $|^{-1}$ HMF in addition to sugars. The total phenolics and solubilized lignin in the hydrolysate were 15.5 mmol $|^{-1}$ and 4 g $|^{-1}$ respectively (Table 3). The *S. cerevisiae* strains were inoculated into this hydrolysate (the pH was adjusted to 6.0 with 5 mol $|^{-1}$ NaOH) with an initial cell density of OD₆₀₀ 2.5 (~ 0.5 g $|^{-1}$ dry cell biomass). Shake flasks with rubber stoppers, and syringe needles were used for oxygen-limited cultivations. As expected, the growth and fermentation of the auxotrophic haploid laboratory strain CEN.PK 113-5D was strongly inhibited. All five of the wild-type strains exhibited good fermentation characteristics in the hydrolysate, with strains BSIF and NAN-27 showing the best characteristics (Fig. 3). These strains consumed almost all of the glucose

Table 3. Main components in corn stover hydrolysate.

Components		Concentration
Monosaccharides (g I ⁻¹)	Glucose	77.06 ± 0.63
	Xylose	29.32 ± 0.24
	Galactose	3.34 ± 0.05
	Arabinose	5.29 ± 0.02
	Mannose	0.79 ± 0.04
Weak acids (g l ⁻¹)	Formic acid	ND
	Acetic acid	3.72 ± 0.02
	Levulinic acid	ND
Furan aldehydes (g l ⁻¹)	Furfural	0.39 ± 0.01
	5-HMF	0.29 ± 0.01
Total phenolics (mmol I ⁻¹)		15.51 ± 0.86
Solubilized lignin (g l-1)		3.99 ± 0.07

ND, not detected.



Fig. 3. Oxygen-limited fermentation in corn stover hydrolysate. The cells were cultured at 30°C in shake flasks with initial OD₆₀₀ of 2.5 (~ 0.5 g \vdash^1 dry cell biomass), and the pH was adjusted to 6.0 with 5 mol \vdash^1 NaOH. Oxygen-limited conditions were obtained with a rubber stopper and a syringe needle, and the cells were agitated at 200 r.p.m. The experiments were performed in triplicate. Symbol: *S. cerevisiae* strain NAN-27, **I**; BSIF, \blacklozenge ; RC212, \blacktriangle ; CICC31034, \blacklozenge ; 6508, \bigstar ; CEN.PK 113-5D, ×.

but no xylose because of the absence of enzymes converting the xylose to xylulose (Peng *et al.*, 2012).

Evaluation of inherent capacity for xylose utilization

It is generally considered that S. cerevisiae cannot metabolize xylose. However, some strains have weak background xylose utilization (Toivari et al., 2004) or show different xylulose fermentation characteristics (Matsushika et al., 2009). A stronger xylose or xylulose metabolic background is an advantage to constructing a glucose and xylose co-utilizing strain. Our strains could not utilize xylose, as mentioned above, and xylulose is expensive and difficult to prepare. Therefore, for selecting a strain with a better capacity for using xylose, a basic initial xylose metabolic pathway was introduced into the chromosomes of the candidate strains using the plasmid pYMIK-xy127 (Wang et al., 2004), which contains the Scheffersomyces (Pichia) stipitis genes XYL1 (encoding xylose reductase), XYL2 (encoding xylitol dehydrogenase) and the S. cerevisiae gene XKS1 (encoding xylulokinase). Then, their inherent capacity for xylulose utilization was evaluated using YEPX (yeast extract-peptone xylose) medium (10 g l-1 yeast extract, 20 g l⁻¹ peptone and 20 g l⁻¹ xylose). Among the recombinant strains, strain BSIF with the addition of the XYL1-XYL2-XKS1 showed the highest maximum specific growth rate (μ_{max}) (0.060 h⁻¹) on xylose and highest xylose volumetric consumption rate (0.201 g l⁻¹ h⁻¹) (Fig. 4 and Table 4), suggesting a better inherent capacity for xylose utilization. Because no more modifications had been made on the recombinant strains, low ethanol yields were obtained because of the accumulation of xylitol. However, less xylitol was accumulated in BSIF-127 than in the other strains except 6508-127, which used only a small quantity of xylose. This result suggested that the BSIF strain has a more flexible cofactor pool than other strains.

Ploidy confirmation of S. cerevisiae strains

Keeping the characteristics obtained by molecular modification stable is one of the most important issues for industrial use. Moreover, the molecular modifications of wild-type industrial strains are generally performed on their chromosomal DNA. Therefore, it is necessary to determine the ploidy of the industrial strain. The strain ploidy was determined by the G0/G1 peak value on FL2-A versus that of the haploid strain CEN.PK 113-5D, which was set as 200. The results showed that all of the strains we evaluated were diploid or triploid. The five *S. cerevisiae* strains we selected were all diploid as shown by their G0/G1 peak values on FL2-A of nearly 400, which was about twice that of the control haploid strain (Fig. 5).



Fig. 4. Oxygen-limited fermentation on xylose in shake flasks. The cells were cultured at 30°C in shake flasks with initial OD_{600} of 1.5(~ 0.3 g Γ^1 dry cell biomass). The oxygen-limited conditions were obtained with a rubber stopper and a syringe needle, and the cells were agitated at 200 r.p.m. The experiments were performed in triplicate. The plasmid pYMIK-xy127, containing genes of reductase, xylitol dehydrogenase and xylulokinase (Wang *et al.*, 2004), was integrated into the chromosome. Symbol: *S. cerevisiae* strain NAN-127, **I**; BSIF-127, \blacklozenge ; RC212-127, \blacktriangle ; CICC31034-127, \blacklozenge ; 6508-127, \bigstar .

The performances of the five strains are summarized in Table 5. Strain BSIF was isolated from tropical fruit in Thailand, RC 212 was selected from a wine production region, and NAN-27 (Wang *et al.*, 2004; Zhang *et al.*, 2010) and 6508 are currently being used in firstgeneration bioethanol production in China. Among the five strains, BSIF showed superior properties for most of the evaluated characteristics and was better than the firstgeneration bioethanol strains NAN-27 and 6508.

Second-generation bioethanol production faces more complex challenges than producing ethanol from starch.

 Table 4. Metabolic characteristics of recombinant S. cerevisiae

 strains on xylose in shake flasks.

Strains	μ _{max} (h ⁻¹)	Ethanol yield (g g⁻¹ consumed xylose)ª	Xylose volume consumption rate (g l ⁻¹ h ⁻¹) ^b
NAN-127 BSIF-127 RC212-127 CICC-127	$\begin{array}{c} 0.055 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.060 \pm 0.003 \\ 0.037 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.030 \pm 0.000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.052 \pm 0.004 \\ 0.064 \pm 0.009 \\ 0.063 \pm 0.003 \\ 0.048 \pm 0.008 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.183 \pm 0.023 \\ 0.201 \pm 0.031 \\ 0.151 \pm 0.018 \\ 0.124 \pm 0.026 \end{array}$
6508-127	0.033 ± 0.002	0.051 ± 0.010	0.087 ± 0.038

a. Ethanol yield was calculated at the time of maximal ethanol concentration determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

b. Xylose volume consumption rate was calculated at the fermentation time of 94 h.

To produce lignocellulose bioethanol in a cost-effective manner, the S. cerevisiae strain needs to utilize multiple types of monosaccharides, especially glucose and xylose, under various stresses arising from the inhibitors in the lignocellulosic substrate. However, the regulation mechanism of stress tolerance is very complex and not very well understood. Choosing a strain with naturally suitable properties as a chassis is more convenient than conferring these properties on it by engineering. In the present study, we give an example of a systematic evaluation to select a suitable chassis strain for lignocellulosic ethanol production. Because of its high ethanol yield from sugar, good growth capacity in hydrolysate, better potential xylose utilization capacity and superior tolerance to most of the stress factors, strain BSIF was selected, and more genetic modifications and evolutionary work will be implemented on it to produce cost-effective lignocellulosic bioethanol.

Experimental procedures

The preculture of S. cerevisiae strains

Thirty-two wild-type *S. cerevisiae* strains from different sources were used in the present study (Table 1). A single colony from an agar plate was cultured in YEPD medium (10 g $|^{-1}$ yeast extract, 20 g $|^{-1}$ peptone and 20 g $|^{-1}$ glucose)



Fig. 5. Determination of DNA content by flow cytometry of *S. cerevisiae* strains. Strains were grown to exponential phase then fixed with ethanol, and the DNA was stained with propidium iodide. The x-coordinate FL2-A represents total cell fluorescence, and it is proportional to DNA content. Two Gaussian curves in density plot represent the G0/G1 and G2/M phase of cells respectively. The strain ploidy was then determined by the G0/G1 peak value on FL2-A versus that of the haploid strain, which was set as 200.

overnight at 30°C. The culture was then transferred into 250 ml shake flasks containing 100 ml YEPD medium at an initial OD_{600} of 0.2 and incubated for 12 h at 30°C. Then, the precultured cells were harvested and used as inoculants.

Identification of yeast strains

The genomic DNA of the yeast strains was extracted as described previously (Hong *et al.*, 2007a). The sequences of

Table 5. The summary of growth or fermentation performances and ploidy of the S. cerevisiae strains.^a

Line		NAN-27	BSIF	RC212	CICC31304	6508
1	Oxygen limited fermentation on glucose	+	+++	+++	++	+
2	High temperature	+	+++	+	+++	+
3	Hyper-osmotic stress	+	+++	+++	++	++
4	Oxidative stress	+++	+++	++	+	++
5	High concentration of ethanol	++	++	+++	+++	+++
6	Furfural stress	+	+	++	++	++
7	Acetic acid stress	++	+	++	++	++
8	Vanillin stress	++	++	+++	++	+
9	Growth performance in hydrolysate	+++	+++	+	+++	++
10	Potential xylose transport and downstream metabolism capacity	++	+++	+	+	+
11	Ploidy	Diploid	Diploid	Diploid	Diploid	Diploid

a. The greater the number of '+' symbols, the better the growth or fermentation performance.

the rDNA D1/D2 domain were amplified and sequenced (Hu *et al.*, 2012). The wild-type yeast strains were identified using the sequence analysis of the 26S rDNA D1/D2 domain.

Stress tolerance assays

The growth performance of selected *S. cerevisiae* strains under the typical stresses of furfural, acetic acid, vanillin and ethanol, as well as hyper-osmotic stress (Chen, 1989; Liu *et al.*, 2006), oxidative stress (Stephen *et al.*, 1995; Liu *et al.*, 2006) and high temperature (Hong *et al.*, 2007b; Abdel-Banat *et al.*, 2010) were characterized through a spot dilution growth assay. Cells were harvested from an overnight culture in YEPD liquid medium and washed twice with sterile water. The density of re-suspended cells was normalized to an OD₆₀₀ of 1.0. A 10-fold serial dilution of this suspension (10⁰, 10⁻¹, 10⁻² and 10⁻³) was prepared, and 4 µl of each dilute suspension was spotted onto the appropriate solid medium (Liu *et al.*, 2009).

To determine the oxidative stress resistance of each strain, *S. cerevisiae* cells were washed with 100 μ l sterile water and re-suspended. The density was adjusted to OD₆₀₀ 2.0, and the cells were mixed with 20 ml YEPD agar (cooled to approximately 50°C) and immediately poured into a plate. Then, a sterile filter paper (0.5 mm diameter) with 6 μ l 30% H₂O₂ was placed in the centre of each plate. The oxidative stress resistance of each strain was demonstrated by the diameter of the zone of growth inhibition (mm) after cultivation for 2 days at 30°C. A smaller inhibition zone was interpreted as a higher resistance to oxidative stress (Liu *et al.*, 2006).

The construction of xylose-fermenting recombinant strains

An integrated plasmid, pYMIK-xy127 (Wang *et al.*, 2004), containing the *Scheffersomyces* (*Pichia*) *stipitis* genes *XYL1* (encoding xylose reductase) and *XYL2* (encoding xylitol dehydrogenase) and the *S. cerevisiae* gene *XKS1* (encoding xylulokinase) as described previously was linearized with *Hpa*l and then transformed into the *S. cerevisiae* strains using the lithium acetate method. Colonies of recombinant cells were selected on YEPD plates containing 0.4 g l⁻¹ of the antibiotic G418 (Wang *et al.*, 2004).

Batch fermentation

All of the batch fermentations were performed in 50 ml of medium in 100 ml flasks with rubber stoppers (with a syringe needle to release CO₂ during fermentation) to maintain the oxygen-limited conditions, and the flasks were shaken at 200 r.p.m. To determine the fermentation performance using glucose, the cells were cultured in YEPD medium with an initial cell density of OD₆₀₀ 0.1 (~ 0.02 g l⁻¹ dry cell biomass). To evaluate tolerance to hydrolysate, the cells were cultured in hydrolysate with 5 g l⁻¹ ammonium sulfate added, and the initial cell density was adjusted to OD₆₀₀ 2.5 (~ 0.5 g l⁻¹ dry cell biomass). To evaluate the potential xylose utilization capacity, the cells were cultured in YEPX medium (10 g l⁻¹

yeast extract, 20 g I⁻¹ peptone and 20 g I⁻¹ xylose) with an initial OD₆₀₀ 1.5 (~ 0.3 g I⁻¹ dry cell biomass).

Analytical methods

Biomass was measured by a turbidity determination at 600 nm. For the analysis of metabolites, culture samples taken at different time points were first centrifuged at 13 000 r.p.m. for 15 min, and then the supernatants were filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane. The concentrations of glucose, xylose, xylitol, glycerol, acetate and ethanol were determined using HPLC (Shimadzu, Japan) with a BIO-RAD Aminex HPX-87H ion exclusion column (300 × 7.8 mm) and a refractive index detector (RID-10A). The mobile phase was 5 mmol I⁻¹ H₂SO₄ with a flow rate of 0.6 ml min⁻¹. The temperature of the column oven was 45°C (Zhang et al., 2010). To analyse the monosaccharides in the hydrolysate, a BIO-RAD Aminex HPX-87P ion exclusion column $(300 \times 7.8 \text{ mm})$ was used at 80°C with a mobile phase of water at a flow rate of 0.6 ml min⁻¹ (Wang et al., 2013). The weak acids, furfural and HMF in the hydrolysate were measured using the HPX-87H column as mentioned above. Total phenolics were determined using the Folin phenol method, and vanillin was used to prepare the standard curve (Singleton et al., 1999). Solubilized lignin was determined by measuring the absorbance at 320 nm (Tan et al., 2013).

Ploidy determination of S. cerevisiae strains

The ploidy of the *S. cerevisiae* strains was determined by fluorescently labelling the cell nuclei and then analysing the fluorescence of each cell in the population by flow cytometry. The haploid strain CEN.PK 113-5D was used as a reference strain. Samples of *S. cerevisiae* cells (2×10^6 cells ml⁻¹) were fixed in 70% (v/v) ethanol (-20°C) and kept at -20°C for 2 h. Then, the cells were washed twice with PBS (137 mmol l⁻¹ NaCl, 2.7 mmol l⁻¹ KCl, 10 mmol l⁻¹ phosphate buffer, pH = 7.4) and re-suspended in 1 ml PBS containing 1 mg ml⁻¹ RNAase A (Sigma). After 2 h of incubation at 37°C, cells were washed with PBS and re-suspended in 200 µl of PBS containing 50 µg ml⁻¹ propidium iodide. Data were collected on a linear scale. Under these conditions, the fluorescence is proportional to the DNA content (Santos *et al.*, 2013).

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

- Abdel-Banat, B., Hoshida, H., Ano, A., Nonklang, S., and Akada, R. (2010) High-temperature fermentation: how can processes for ethanol production at high temperatures become superior to the traditional process using mesophilic yeast? *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 85: 861–867.
- Almeida, J.R.M., Modig, T., Petersson, A., Hahn-Hägerdal, B., Lidén, G., and Gorwa-Grauslund, M.F. (2007) Increased tolerance and conversion of inhibitors in lignocellulosic hydrolysates by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 82: 340–349.

- Alriksson, B., Horváth, I.S., and Jönsson, L.J. (2010) Overexpression of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* transcription factor and multidrug resistance genes conveys enhanced resistance to lignocellulose-derived fermentation inhibitors. *Process Biochem* **45**: 264–271.
- Brandberg, T., FranzéN, C.J., and Gustafsson, L. (2004) The fermentation performance of nine strains of *Sac-charomyces cerevisiae* in batch and fed-batch cultures in dilute-acid wood hydrolysate. *J Biosci Bioeng* **98**: 122–125.
- Chen, C.S. (1989) Water activity concentration models for solutions of sugars, salts and acids. J Food Sci 54: 1318– 1321.
- Eliasson, A., Christensson, C., Wahlbom, C.F., and Hahn-Hägerdal, B. (2000) Anaerobic xylose fermentation by recombinant *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* carrying *XYL1*, *XYL2*, and *XKS1* in mineral medium chemostat cultures. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **66:** 3381–3386.
- Hahn-Hägerdal, B., Karhumaa, K., Fonseca, C., Spencer-Martins, I., and Gorwa-Grauslund, M. (2007) Towards industrial pentose-fermenting yeast strains. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 74: 937–953.
- Hong, J., Tamaki, H., and Kumagai, H. (2007a) Cloning and functional expression of thermostable β-glucosidase gene from *Thermoascus aurantiacus*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **73**: 1331–1339.
- Hong, J., Wang, Y., Kumagai, H., and Tamaki, H. (2007b) Construction of thermotolerant yeast expressing thermostable cellulase genes. *J Biotechnol* **130**: 114–123.
- Hu, N., Yuan, B., Sun, J., Wang, S.-A., and Li, F.-L. (2012) Thermotolerant *Kluyveromyces marxianus* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains representing potentials for bioethanol production from Jerusalem artichoke by consolidated bioprocessing. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **95**: 1359–1368.
- Jönsson, L., Alriksson, B., and Nilvebrant, N.-O. (2013) Bioconversion of lignocellulose: inhibitors and detoxification. *Biotechnol Biofuels* **6**: 16.
- Kim, S.R., Park, Y.-C., Jin, Y.-S., and Seo, J.-H. (2013) Strain engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for enhanced xylose metabolism. *Biotechnol Adv* **31:** 851–861.
- Kuyper, M., Harhangi, H.R., Stave, A.K., Winkler, A.A., Jetten, M.S.M., de Laat, W.T.A.M., *et al.* (2003) High-level functional expression of a fungal xylose isomerase: the key to efficient ethanolic fermentation of xylose by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*? *FEMS Yeast Res* **4**: 69–78.
- Liu, X., Yang, H., Zhang, X., Liu, L., Lei, M., Zhang, Z., and Bao, X. (2009) Bdf1p deletion affects mitochondrial function and causes apoptotic cell death under salt stress. *FEMS Yeast Res* **9**: 240–246.
- Liu, X., Zhang, X., and Bao, X. (2006) Study on the stress resistance of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* industrial strains. *China Brewing* **1:** 8–11.
- Matsushika, A., Inoue, H., Watanabe, S., Kodaki, T., Makino, K., and Sawayama, S. (2009) Efficient bioethanol production by a recombinant flocculent *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain with a genome-integrated NADP⁺dependent xylitol dehydrogenase gene. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 3818–3822.
- Palmqvist, E., and Hahn-Hägerdal, B. (2000a) Fermentation of lignocellulosic hydrolysates. I: inhibition and detoxification. *Bioresour Technol* 74: 17–24.

- Palmqvist, E., and Hahn-Hägerdal, B. (2000b) Fermentation of lignocellulosic hydrolysates. II: inhibitors and mechanisms of inhibition. *Bioresour Technol* **74:** 25–33.
- Palmqvist, E., Grage, H., Meinander, N.Q., and Hahn-Hägerdal, B. (1999) Main and interaction effects of acetic acid, furfural, and p-hydroxybenzoic acid on growth and ethanol productivity of yeasts. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **63**: 46–55.
- Peng, B., Shen, Y., Li, X., Chen, X., Hou, J., and Bao, X. (2012) Improvement of xylose fermentation in respiratorydeficient xylose-fermenting *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Metab Eng* **14**: 9–18.
- Piškur, J., Rozpędowska, E., Polakova, S., Merico, A., and Compagno, C. (2006) How did *Saccharomyces* evolve to become a good brewer? *Trends Genet* **22**: 183–186.
- Santos, A., Alonso, A., Belda, I., and Marquina, D. (2013) Cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, two alternative mechanisms for PMKT2 killer activity. *Fungal Genet Biol* **50**: 44–54.
- Singleton, V.L., Orthofer, R., and Lamuela-Raventós, R.M. (1999) Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of folin-ciocalteu reagent. In *Methods in Enzymology* 299 (Oxidants and Antioxidants, Part A). Lester, P. (ed). San Diego, CA: Academic Press, pp. 152–178.
- Sonderegger, M., Jeppsson, M., Larsson, C., Gorwa-Grauslund, M.-F., Boles, E., Olsson, L., *et al.* (2004) Fermentation performance of engineered and evolved xylose-fermenting *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 87: 90–98.
- Stephen, D.W.S., Rivers, S.L., and Jamieson, D.J. (1995) The role of the *YAP1* and *YAP2* genes in the regulation of the adaptive oxidative stress responses of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Microbiol* **16:** 415–423.
- Sun, Y., and Cheng, J. (2002) Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials for ethanol production: a review. *Bioresour Technol* 83: 1–11.
- Tan, L., Yu, Y., Li, X., Zhao, J., Qu, Y., Choo, Y.M., and Loh, S.K. (2013) Pretreatment of empty fruit bunch from oil palm for fuel ethanol production and proposed biorefinery process. *Bioresour Technol* **135**: 275–282.
- Toivari, M., Salusjarvi, L., Ruohonen, L., and Penttila, M. (2004) Endogenous xylose pathway in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 3681–3686.
- Wang, C., Shen, Y., Zhang, Y., Suo, F., Hou, J., and Bao, X. (2013) Improvement of L-arabinose fermentation by modifying the metabolic pathway and transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biomed Res Int* **2013**: 461204.
- Wang, Y., Shi, W.L., Liu, X.Y., Shen, Y., Bao, X.M., Bai, F.W., and Qu, Y.B. (2004) Establishment of a xylose metabolic pathway in an industrial strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biotechnol Lett* **26**: 885–890.
- Zhang, X., Shen, Y., Shi, W., and Bao, X. (2010) Ethanolic cofermentation with glucose and xylose by the recombinant industrial strain *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* NAN-127 and the effect of furfural on xylitol production. *Bioresour Technol* **101:** 7104–7110.
- Zhou, H., Cheng, J.-S., Wang, B.L., Fink, G.R., and Stephanopoulos, G. (2012) Xylose isomerase overexpression along with engineering of the pentose phosphate pathway and evolutionary engineering enable rapid xylose utilization and ethanol production by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Metab Eng* **14**: 611–622.