Genetic Interactions of Arabidopsis thaliana Damaged DNA Binding Protein 1B (DDB1B) With DDB1A, DET1, and COP1

Ashwin L. Ganpudi¹ and Dana F. Schroeder²

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3T 2N2

ABSTRACT Damaged DNA Binding protein 1 (DDB1)-CULLIN4 E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes have been implicated in diverse biological processes in a range of organisms. Arabidopsis thaliana encodes two homologs of DDB1, DDB1A, and DDB1B. In this study we use a viable partial loss of function allele of DDB1B, ddb1b-2, to examine genetic interactions with DDB1A, DET1 and COP1. Although the ddb1b-2 ddb1a double mutant is lethal, ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ and ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+ heterozygotes exhibit few developmental phenotypes but do exhibit decreased tolerance of ultraviolet light. In addition, germination in ddb1a and ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ was found to be sensitive to salt and mannitol, and both DDB1 single mutants as well as the heterozygotes exhibited heat sensitivity. DE-ETIOLATED1 (DET1) and CONSTITUTIVE PHO-TOMORPHOGENIC1 (COP1) are negative regulators of light development which interact with DDB1-CUL4 complexes. Although ddb1a strongly enhances det1 phenotypes in both dark- and light-grown seedlings, ddb1b-2 weakly enhanced the det1 short hypocotyl phenotype in the dark, as well as enhancing anthocyanin levels and suppressing the det1 low chlorophyll phenotype in light-grown seedlings. In adults, ddb1a suppresses det1 early flowering and enhances the det1 dwarf phenotype. A similar trend was observed in ddb1b-2 det1 double mutants, although the effects were smaller in magnitude. In cop1 mutants, ddb1b-2 enhanced the cop1-4 short hypocotyl phenotype in dark and light, enhanced anthocyanin levels in cop1-1 in the light, but had no effect in adults. Thus the requirement for DDB1B varies in the course of development, from COP1-specific effects in hypocotyls to DET1-specific in adults.

Light, an essential environmental cue, has profound effects on all stages of plant growth and development. Under dark conditions, seedlings follow a skotomorphogenic (or etiolated) growth pattern (elongated hypocotyls and closed unexpanded cotyledons protected by an apical hook). In contrast, upon perceiving light, seedlings switch to a photomorphogenic (or de-etiolated) growth pattern (short hypocotyls and open expanded cotyledons with active chloroplast differentiation). This transition from etiolation to de-etiolation is controlled by the *COP/DET/FUS* genes. All of the pleiotropic *Arabidopsis thaliana cop/det/fus* mutants display a de-etiolated (*det*) or constitutively photomorphogenic (*cop*) phenotype in the absence of light, with increased anthocyanin accumulation, partial chloroplast development and differential expression of hundreds of light-regulated genes (Chen and Chory 2011; Lau and Deng 2012).

The COP/DET/FUS genes have been cloned and shown to be involved in protein degradation. Six of the COP/DET/FUS family genes encode components of the COP9 signalosome. The COP9 signalosome exhibits high homology to the 19S lid subcomplex of the 26S proteosome and regulates CULLIN-based E3 ubiquitin ligases via deconjugating/conjugating RUB / NEDD8 (Wei *et al.* 2008). COP1 is a RING-finger protein with a zinc finger motif at the N terminus, followed by a coiled-coil domain and seven WD40 repeats at the C terminus. Cellular localization of COP1 is light-regulated. Several positive regulators of photomorphogenesis, such as HY5, HYH, LAF1, and HFR1, as well as the photoreceptor Phytochrome A are targeted for degradation via interaction with the COP1 WD40 domain (Yi and

KEYWORDS DDB1B DDB1A DET1 COP1 light

Copyright © 2013 Ganpudi, Schroeder

doi: 10.1534/g3.112.005249

Manuscript received December 4, 2012; accepted for publication January 8, 2013 This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supporting information is available online at http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/ suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.112.005249/-/DC1

¹Present address: Dupont Knowledge Centre, Hyderabad- 500078, Andhra Pradesh, India.

²Corresponding author: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, R3T 2N2. E-mail: schroed3@cc.umanitoba.ca

Deng 2005). DET1, a 62-kDa nuclear localized protein, associates with nonacetylated core histones (Benvenuto *et al.* 2002), has been implicated as a transcriptional repressor (Lau *et al.* 2011), and exhibits biochemical and genetic interactions with DDB1A. Arabidopsis encodes two homologs of DDB1—DDB1A and DDB1B (Schroeder *et al.* 2002). DET1 and DDB1A interact with COP10 to form the CDD complex, which in turn interacts with CULLIN4 (Yanagawa *et al.* 2004; Bernhardt *et al.* 2006; Chen *et al.* 2006). Interestingly, COP1 also interacts biochemically with DDB1A and DDB1B as well as CULLIN4 (Chen *et al.* 2010).

DDB1 and DDB2 are core components of the ultraviolet (UV)damaged DNA-binding protein complex (DDB) initially identified in human cells. The primary UV-induced DNA lesions include cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers and 6-4 pyrimidine-pyrimidone photoproducts (Kunz et al. 2006). To counteract this damage, plants employ specific mechanisms: photoreactivation, catalyzed by the blue lightdependent photolyase class of enzymes, and the light-independent nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway. The dark repair pathway, NER, has specific repair subpathways for transcriptionally active (transcription coupled repair [TC-NER]) or silent (global genomic repair [GG-NER]) DNA regions. Both TC-NER and GG-NER exhibit different damage recognition strategies followed by a common repair pathway. In human GG-NER, lesion recognition is mediated by the CUL4-DDB1-DDB2 complex followed by XPC-HR23B-CEN2 recruitment. In human TC-NER, CUL4-DDB1-CSA recognizes the stalled RNA POL II bound to CSB. Thus both subpathways of NER are regulated by a CUL4-DDB1 complex interacting with specific recognition substrates: DDB2 (in GG-NER) and CSA (Cockayne Syndrome A; in TC-NER). Following recognition, both mechanisms employ a common repair pathway (Ganpudi and Schroeder 2011).

DDB1 is 127 kDa and composed of three β propeller domains (BPA, BPB, and BPC). BPB interacts with CUL4, and the clam-shaped BPA-BPC pocket mediates interactions with a large number of proteins containing WD40 domains, referred to as DCAF proteins (i.e., DDB1-CUL4-associated factor) or DWD proteins (i.e., DDB1 binding WD40 proteins) (Lee and Zhou 2007; Biedermann and Hellmann 2011). The Arabidopsis genome encodes approximately 230 WD40 proteins but only a fraction of them (approximately 86 proteins) have one or more WDxR motifs within the WD40 domain capable of interacting with DDB1 (Lee et al. 2008). Arabidopsis DDB1A and DDB1B are 91% identical and 97% similar at the amino acid level (Schroeder et al. 2002; Bernhardt et al. 2010). The differences between DDB1A and DDB1B are distributed fairly evenly throughout the proteins, with the exception of a region between amino acids 729-766, which decreases to 59% identity and 78% similarity. This region maps to the loop 3b-3c region of DDB1 BPC, which is somewhat variable, and in fact has an extra loop in animal DDB1s. This region is on the bottom of BPC and is not directly involved in interactions yet mapped (Li et al. 2006). Consistent with this, many proteins, including CUL4, DDB2, DET1, COP1, SPA1-SPA4, DWA1-DWA3, FY, PRL1, TRIP-1, VIP3, MSI3, and MSI4/FVE, have been found to interact with both DDB1A and DDB1B in yeast two-hybrid and/or coimmunoprecipitation experiments (Bernhardt et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2008; Bernhardt et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2010; Dumbliauskas et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2011; Pazhouhandeh et al. 2011).

Duplication of the *DDB1* gene appears to be specific for the Brassicaceae, because clear *DDB1A* and *DDB1B* homologs exist in *Brassica rapa*, *Capsella rubella*, and *Arabidopsis lyrata* in addition to *Arabidopsis thaliana* (www.phytozome.net). Evolution of these genes appears constrained because the Ka/Ks ratio (0.04/0.57) is well below one (Wagner 2002; Singh *et al.* 2010). *DDB1A* and *DDB1B* are expressed throughout Arabidopsis development with *DDB1A* levels on average twofold greater than those of *DDB1B* (Al Khateeb and Schroeder 2007; Bernhardt *et al.* 2010). Null alleles of *DDB1A* do not exhibit obvious developmental phenotypes while null alleles of *DDB1B* appear lethal (Schroeder *et al.* 2002). Up-regulated levels of both *DDB1A* and *DDB1B* mRNA are observed after UV irradiation, and mild-to-severe UV sensitivity was observed in *ddb1a* and *ddb2* mutants and over-expression of *DDB1A* and *DDB2* confers increased UV resistance (Koga *et al.* 2006; Molinier *et al.* 2008; Al Khateeb and Schroeder 2009). In this study we examine the role of *DDB1B* by analyzing the genetic interactions of a partial loss of function *DDB1B* allele with *DDB1A*, *DET1*, and *COP1*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and growth conditions

All lines in this study were in the Col background of Arabidopsis thaliana. det1-1, ddb1a, and det1 ddb1a were as previously described (Chory et al. 1989; Schroeder et al. 2002). Strong and weak alleles of cop1, cop1-1 and cop1-4 respectively, were kindly provided by XW Deng (Yale University). The ddb1b-2 allele (SALK_061944) was obtained from the Arabidopsis Stock Center (Alonso et al. 2003). Various double mutant combinations were generated using standard protocols (Weigel and Glazebrook 2002). ddb1a genotyping was as described in Al Khateeb and Schroeder (2007). For ddb1b-2, the T-DNA insertion was detected using LB2 (TTGGGTGATGGTTCACG TAGTGGGCCATCC) and UV2.21 (CAGAGAAGGAAACCAAGG GAGC) whereas wild-type DDB1B was detected using UV2.21 and DDB1B 3'UTR (AGGGGAAGAGGAGAGAGCTTGGA). Because ddb1a ddb1b-2 is embryonic lethal, these lines were maintained as ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+ and ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ heterozygotes. Seeds were sterilized and plated on Linsmaier and Skoog media (Caisson) supplemented with either 2% sucrose (det1 and cop1 experiments) or 0.6% sucrose (ddb1b-2 ddb1a experiments) and 0.8% Phytoblend (Caisson). After 2 d of stratification at 4°, plates were transferred to a growth chamber (20° with 50% relative humidity). Light was provided by fluorescent bulbs (100 µM photons m⁻² sec⁻¹). Short-day conditions correspond to 10-hr light:14-hr dark relative to long-day conditions, which correspond to 16-hr light:8-hr dark. For adult growth, 14-d-old seedlings were transplanted to Sunshine Mix Number 1 (SunGro, Bellevue, WA).

RNA extraction and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from 7-d-old seedlings using a RNeasy plant minikit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions including a DNase step. Quantity of extracted RNA was measured by spectroscopic analysis based on UV absorbance. cDNA synthesis and amplification was a one step process using an Access RT-PCR kit (Promega). Semiquantitative RT-PCR was performed at 45° for 45 min followed by PCR [5 min 94°, (30 sec 94°, 50 sec 53°, 90 sec 72°) for a gene-specific number of cycles, then 7 min 72°]. Actin was used as the loading control. PCR products were separated on 1% (w/v) agarose ethidium bromide-stained gels and band intensities were analyzed using Image Lab 3.0 (Biorad). The following primers were used: DDB1B 2.21 (P3) CAGAGAAGGAAACCAAGGGAG, 2.27 (P4) CACACAATGAAACTCTTATTAA, 22 cycles; for DDB1A 10XL (P7) TAAAGAAGTTAGTCATATGTGCCCT, 1.4 (P8) GCAACCTCC CATCTTCGACTATAAATACTA, 20 cycles; total DDB1A + DDB1B X.12 (C1) GGAGCTGTTTATTCTCTCAA, 2.20 (C2) TGCACAACT CTTCCACTTGAAC, 22 cycles; CAB2 CAGCAGGTGGGCCATGCTCG, GCCTCTACAACGGAGTGAACCCAA, 21 cycles; and *Actin* CTGGAA CAAGACTTCTGGGC, GGTGATGAAGCACAATCCAAG, 24 cycles.

Seedling analysis

For hypocotyl length and cotyledon width assays, 7-d-old seedlings grown in either long-day or dark conditions (after an initial 6-hr light treatment) were scanned and analyzed using NIH Image software. For chlorophyll content analysis 7-d-old seedlings were extracted with 80% acetone overnight, A_{645} and A_{663} was determined in a spectrophotometer (model 2100 pro Ultrospec) and chlorophyll content calculated according to the MacKinney method (Mackinney 1941). Anthocyanin content was determined using standard protocol as described in Fankhauser and Casal (2004). Pigment analysis experiments were repeated at least three times with two replicates per line in each experiment.

Adult growth parameters

14-d-old seedlings were transplanted to soil. General growth parameters such as flowering time (number of days until bud emergence and number of rosette and cauline leaves), rosette diameter (at 4 wk) and plant height, apical dominance, and silique length (at approximately 6 wk) were determined.

UV tolerance assays

Shoot assays: Twenty-one-day-old plants were irradiated with 450 J m⁻² UV-C light (254 nm) using a UV lamp (Model XX-15S; UVP, Upland, CA) with a flux rate of 1.6 mW cm⁻². After irradiation, plants were dark incubated for 3 d then transferred to standard growth conditions where percentage sensitivity was assessed by leaf yellowing and necrosis.

Root assays: Seeds were grown on vertically oriented plates for 3 d under the same long-day growth conditions as mentioned previously. For light assays, plates were irradiated with 600 J m⁻² UV-C, rotated 90° and incubated vertically under long-day conditions for 2 d. Fresh root growth (starting from the bending point) was measured using NIH Image. For dark assays, plates were UV-C irradiated with 1500 J m⁻², similarly rotated and incubated under dark conditions for 3 d. New growth was detected by bending assay and measured using NIH Image.

Seedling abiotic stress experiments

For germination assays (horizontally aligned) and for root length assays (vertically aligned) plates supplemented with either 100 mM NaCl or 200 mM Mannitol were used. Germination was scored 3 d after transfer to long-day conditions and root growth was measured 7 d after transfer to long-day conditions.

For heat assays, seedlings plated on equal volumes of growth medium were dark-grown for 4 d, followed by heat treatment (45°) for 4 hr. The hypocotyl length was measured after an additional 4 d of dark growth posttreatment.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were repeated at least three times. Data were compared by Student's *t*-test and probabilities of 0.05 or less considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Interactions between ddb1b and ddb1a

Arabidopsis DDB1A and DDB1B are 91% identical at the amino acid level and are both expressed throughout plant development. *ddb1a*-null

alleles exhibit no obvious developmental defects, suggesting that DDB1B acts redundantly during normal development. However, ddb1b null alleles appear lethal as viable homozygotes cannot be obtained (Schroeder et al. 2002; Al Khateeb and Schroeder 2007). In this study we use a T-DNA allele in the DDB1B gene, ddb1b-2 (SALK_061944), previously described by Bernhardt et al. (2010). This T-DNA insertion results in truncation of the last 112 amino acids of DDB1B, preventing interaction with DDB2, and presumably other WD40 proteins, but not CUL4 (Bernhardt et al. 2010), thus results in a partial loss of function allele (Figure 1A). To examine the role of total DDB1 activity in Arabidopsis growth and development, we combined *ddb1b-2* with a previously described *ddb1a* mutation (Schroeder et al. 2002). This ddb1a allele also retains transcript 5' of the T-DNA insertion at the beginning of the tenth exon (data not shown), but prevents accumulation of the full length DDB1A transcript (Figure 1B). However, the resulting protein would be only 252 amino acids long (23% of 1088 total amino acids); thus, it would be



Figure 1 Expression analysis of Arabidopsis *DDB1* alleles. (A) Relative *DDB1B* levels using T-DNA flanking primers P3 and P4. (B) Relative *DDB1A* levels using primers P7 and P8 3' of T-DNA insertion site. (C) Relative levels of total full-length *DDB1* (both *DDB1A* and *DDB1B*) using common primers C1 and C2. (A-C) Data normalized with Actin. Error bars = \pm SE (n = 4). Note the segregating *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+* and *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* consist of pooled populations (2/3 +/- and 1/3 +/+).

expected to be a stronger allele than that of *ddb1b-2*. Transcript levels of both *DDB1A* and *DDB1B* are unchanged in the *ddb1b-2* and *ddb1a* mutant backgrounds respectively (Figure 1, A and B).

As previously described (Bernhardt *et al.* 2010; Dumbliauskas *et al.* 2011), although single *ddb1a* and *ddb1b-2* mutants exhibit no obvious developmental phenotypes, the *ddb1a ddb1b-2* double mutant is embryo lethal, preventing analysis of traits later in development. Therefore, we used the two single mutants and the two segregating heterozygotes (*ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* and *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+*) to examine the effect of *DDB1* dose on development and abiotic stress responses. The respective heterozygotes exhibit decreased levels of *DDB1B* and *DDB1A* transcripts [note the segregating *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+* and *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* consist of pooled populations (2/3 +/- and 1/3 +/+)] (Figure 1, A and B). Primers in conserved regions of the *DDB1* genes reveal that total full-length *DDB1* transcript level decreases from wild type to *ddb1b-2* to *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+* to *ddb1a* to *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* (Figure 1C), consistent with data that *DDB1A* transcript levels are approximately twice those of *DDB1B* (Al Khateeb and Schroeder 2007).

DDB1 complexes have been implicated in photomorphogenesis and other light-regulated processes, so the phenotypes of *ddb1a*, *ddb1b-2*, and the *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* and *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+* heterozygotes in dark-grown seedlings, light-grown seedlings, and adults were examined. In dark-grown seedlings, no hypocotyl length or apical hook phenotypes were observed (Supporting Information, Figure S1). Similarly, in light-grown conditions, no phenotypes with respect to hypocotyl length, cotyledon width, anthocyanin or chlorophyll content were observed (Figure S2). In adults, no effects on flowering time (days and leaves), height, silique length, or apical dominance were detected, although a slight increase in rosette diameter was observed in the *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+* heterozygotes (Figure S3). Thus, in our hands, a single wild-type copy of either *DDB1A* or *DDB1B* is sufficient for most development.

In several systems, DDB1 has been shown to interact with the WD40 proteins DDB2 and CSA during GG-NER and TC-NER respectively to repair UV-damaged DNA (Ganpudi and Schroeder 2011). Here we examine UV sensitivity in ddb1a, ddb1b-2, and the segregating ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ and ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+ heterozygotes to determine the roles of DDB1A and DDB1B in Arabidopsis UV tolerance. Adult plants were exposed to UV-C and leaf damage was scored (Figure 2A). There was no significant difference in percentage dead leaves between the single mutants *ddb1b-2* and *ddb1a* and wild type. Similarly, no differences between *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+* and *ddb1b-2* were observed, but ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ exhibited greater levels of tissue death than ddb1a. In seedlings, as in adult plants, the single mutants and ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+ did not exhibit sensitive phenotypes; however, ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ again exhibited a root UV-sensitive phenotype 2 d after UV irradiation when incubated in long-day conditions (Figure 2B). However, increased UV sensitivity in both ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+ and ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ was observed after treatment with a higher dose of UV and 3-d dark incubation (Figure 2C). Note these experiments used segregating ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+ and ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ populations (2/3 +/and 1/3 + /+) and thus may underestimate the phenotype of the heterozygotes.

The DCAF proteins DWA1, DWA2 and DWA3 have recently been implicated in ABA signaling and NaCl tolerance (Lee *et al.* 2010, 2011); thus, we examined the contributions of *DDB1A* and *DDB1B* to salt and osmotic stress tolerance using germination assays. Although *ddb1b-2* and *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+* exhibited normal germination rates on both 100 mM NaCl and 200 mM Mannitol, *ddb1a* and *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* exhibited reduced germination rates in both these conditions (Figure 3A). Thus, *DDB1A* appears to have a critical role in regulation



Figure 2 UV tolerance in *ddb1b-2* and *ddb1a* mutant backgrounds. (A) Percent dead leaves in shoots of adult plants after irradiation with 450 Jm⁻² UV-C and 3 d dark incubation (n = 12). (B) Relative root length (%) of seedlings exposed to 600 Jm⁻² UV-C then incubated in long-day conditions for 2 d. (C) Relative root length (%) of seedlings exposed to 1500 Jm⁻² UV-C then incubated under dark conditions for 3 d. For (B) and (C), root length is relative to unirradiated controls of the same genotype (n = 25). Error bars indicate SE; and **P* ≤ 0.05 of single mutants relative to Col or *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* and *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+* relative to *ddb1a* and *ddb1b-2* respectively. Note the segregating *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+* and *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* in the above experiments consists of a pooled population (2/3 +/- and 1/3 +/+).

of germination during stress conditions, whereas no significant effect of *DDB1B* mutation could be detected in either the wild type or *ddb1a* background. Although *ddb1a* and *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* exhibited delayed germination, they did not exhibit any root growth phenotypes after 7 d in these conditions (Figure 3B). In fact, root growth in *ddb1b-2* was found be slightly resistant to salt. Finally, we examined the role of *DDB1A* and *DDB1B* in heat sensitivity by analyzing the effect of heat on dark-grown hypocotyl length (Figure 3C). *ddb1b-2* exhibited mild heat sensitivity while *ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+*, *ddb1a* and *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* all exhibited similar strong sensitivity. Thus both *DDB1A* and *DDB1B* contribute to heat tolerance.

Interactions between ddb1b and det1

DET1, a master repressor of photomorphogenesis, interacts both biochemically and genetically with DDB1A (Schroeder *et al.* 2002). Here we examine genetic interactions between *det1* and *ddb1b-2* in dark-grown seedlings, light-grown seedlings and adults.



Figure 3 Abiotic stress response in ddb1b-2 and ddb1a mutant backgrounds. (A) Percent germination on media containing 100 mM NaCl (dark gray bars) or 200 mM Mannitol (light gray bars) 3 d after stratification (n = 2 experimental repeats of 30-50 seeds each). Note 100% germination was observed for all genotypes on control plates after 3 d. (B) Relative root length on vertically aligned plates with 100 mM NaCl (dark gray bars) or 200 mM Mannitol (light gray bars) (n = 10). Root length is relative that of the same genotype on control plates. (C) Relative hypocotyl length (%) of 4-day-old dark-grown seedlings treated with 45°C for 4 hr, then dark-grown at 20° for an additional 4 d. Hypocotyl length is relative to untreated controls of the same genotype (n = 15). For A-C, error bars indicate SE and $*P \le 0.05$ of single mutants relative to Col, or ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ and ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+ relative to ddb1a and ddb1b-2 respectively. Note the ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+ and ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ in the above experiments consists of a pooled segregating population (2/3 + / - and 1/3 + / +).

In dark-grown seedlings, *det1* mutants exhibit a constitutively de-etiolated phenotype with short hypocotyls, open cotyledons, and increased anthocyanin content (Chory *et al.* 1989). As described previously (Schroeder *et al.* 2002), in the dark *ddb1a det1* mutants exhibit decreased hypocotyl length and cotyledon width as well as increased anthocyanin content relative to *det1* single mutants (Figure 4A). However the *ddb1b-2 det1* double mutants did not significantly differ from *det1* with respect to any of these phenotypes. Thus, *ddb1a* has a stronger effect on *det1* phenotypes in the dark than *ddb1b-2*.

In light-grown seedlings, *det1* mutants are small with decreased chlorophyll and increased anthocyanin levels. In the light as in the dark, the *ddb1a det1* mutants exhibit decreased cotyledon width and increased anthocyanin levels relative to *det1* (Figure 5). Although the *ddb1b-2 det1* mutants did not differ from *det1* with respect to hypocotyl



Figure 4 ddb1b-2 det1 dark-grown seedlings. (A) From left: Col, ddb1b-2, ddb1a, det1, ddb1b-2 det1, and ddb1a det1. (B) Hypocotyl length (n = 15). (C) Cotyledon width (n = 15). (D) Anthocyanin content (A₅₃₀- A₆₅₇ / g fresh weight) (n = 2). Error bars indicate 95% Cl; * $P \leq 0.05$ of single mutants relative to Col or of double mutants relative to det1.

length or cotyledon width, they did however exhibit enhanced anthocyanin levels, intermediate between those of *det1* and *ddb1a det1* (Figure 5D). Interestingly, *ddb1b-2 det1* mutants exhibited higher chlorophyll levels than *det1*, thus *ddb1b-2* partially suppresses the *det1* pale phenotype (Figure 5E). This suppression appears to occur at the transcriptional level, since *CAB2* transcript levels are higher in *ddb1b-2 det1* than in *det1* (Figure 5F).



Figure 5 ddb1b-2 det1 light-grown seedlings. (A) From left: Col, ddb1b-2, ddb1a, det1, ddb1b-2 det1, and ddb1a det1. (B) Hypocotyl length (n = 15). (C) Cotyledon width (n = 15). (D) Anthocyanin content (A₅₃₀- A₆₅₇ / g fresh weight) (n = 2). (E) Chlorophyll content (µg of chlorophyll / mg of fresh weight) (n = 2). Error bars indicate 95%CI; * $P \leq 0.05$ of single mutants relative to Col or of double mutants relative to det1. (F) Semiguantitative RT-PCR analysis of Chlorophyll A/B - Binding Protein 2 (CAB2) At1g29920 expression levels in Col, ddb1b-2, det1 and ddb1b-2 det1

We also compared the effect of *ddb1a* and *ddb1b-2* on *det1* phenotypes in adult plants (Figure 6). *det1* mutants exhibit early flowering (Pepper and Chory 1997). Flower bud emergence in *det1* occurs at approximately 18 d in long-day conditions in contrast to wild-type plants, where bud emergence occurs at approximately 24 d. Like *ddb1a det1* (bud emergence at approximately 22 d), *ddb1b-2 det1* double mutants partially suppress early flowering in *det1*, with bud emergence at approximately 20 d under long-day conditions (Figure 6B). *ddb1b-2* also partially suppressed *det1* early flowering in short-day conditions (Figure S4A). In terms of leaf number at flowering, *ddb1b-2 det1* double mutants flowered at significantly increased leaf number relative to *det1* in long day (Figure 6B); however, no effect was observed in short-day conditions (Figure S4B).

det1 adults are dwarf in stature, with reduced rosette diameter, height, and silique length relative to wild type (Figure 6, D-F). All three of these parameters are further decreased in *ddb1a det1* double mutants (62%, 75%, and 64% smaller, respectively); thus, *ddb1a* strongly enhances the *det1* dwarf phenotype. *ddb1b-2* also enhanced these three *det1* phenotypes, but to a lesser extent than *ddb1a* (27%, 30% and 17% respectively). In addition, *ddb1b-2* enhanced these three *det1* phenotypes in short-day conditions as well (Figure S4, C-E). *det1* also has decreased apical dominance resulting in increased in-florescence number. *ddb1b-2* does not affect this phenotype in either long-day or short-day conditions (Figure 6G and Figure S4F).

Interaction between ddb1b and cop1

Photomorphogenic protein COP1 has also been shown to form a CUL4-DDB1 complex via interactions between DDB1 and the WDXR motif in the COP1 WD40 domain (Chen *et al.* 2010). Here we examine *ddb1b-2 cop1* genetic interactions during development. *ddb1b-2* double mutants were generated with two *cop1* alleles: a strong allele (*cop1-1*, internal deletion potentially altering the conformation of the WD40 domain) and a relatively weak allele (cop1-4, truncated protein lacking the WD40 domain) (McNellis et al. 1994). cop1 mutants, like det1, exhibit a constitutively photomorphogenic phenotype in the dark (Figure 7). In dark-grown seedlings, ddb1b-2 enhanced the short hypocotyl phenotype in cop1-4 but not cop1-1 (Figure 7B). No differences in cotyledon width or anthocyanin content were observed in either ddb1b-2 cop1-4 or ddb1b-2 cop1-1 double mutants relative to their respective single mutants (Figure 7, C and D). In light-grown seedlings (Figure 8), ddb1b-2 decreased both hypocotyl length and cotyledon width in cop1-4 but not cop1-1 (Figure 8, B and C). *ddb1b-2* had no significant effect on chlorophyll content in either cop1 allele (Figure 8D). With respect to anthocyanin content, ddb1b-2 had no effect on cop1-4 but enhanced anthocyanin levels in cop1-1 (Figure 8E). In adults, ddb1b-2 did not significantly alter any cop1 phenotype in either long-day or short-day conditions (Figure 9, Figure S4). Thus, genetic interactions between *ddb1b-2* and *cop1* appear to be developmentally regulated.

Thus ddb1b-2 had no effect on cop1 adult phenotypes but significantly affected det1 adult phenotypes. In contrast, in 7-d-old darkgrown seedlings, ddb1b-2 enhanced the cop1-4 short hypocotyl phenotype but did not significantly affect det1 phenotypes. To examine the effect of seedling age on dark phenotypes, seedlings were grown for 5 or 6 d in the dark and hypocotyl length and cotyledon width measured. After 5 and 6 d, ddb1b-2 now significantly enhanced the det1 short hypocotyl phenotype, as well as that of cop1-4, but not cop1-1 (Figure S5A). Nonetheless the effect of ddb1b-2 on hypocotyl length was consistently stronger in the cop1-4 background, resulting in 30 and 25% reduction in hypocotyl length in 6 and 5 d, respectively, than in the det1 background, where the double mutants were 16 and 13% shorter than the det1 single mutants at 6 and 5 d, respectively. No significant effect of ddb1b-2 on cotyledon width was observed in these conditions (Figure S5B).





DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to identify differences between DDB1A and DDB1B in terms of redundant and distinct functions, and to examine genetic interactions with specific DDB1 interactors. Interestingly, whereas our analysis of *ddb1a* and *ddb1b-2* single mutants and segregating heterozygotes detected no phenotypes except for increased rosette diameter in ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+, using the same viable ddb1b-2 allele (SALK_069144), Bernhardt et al. (2010) identified a variety of developmental phenotypes in these lines. These phenotypes included increased dark hypocotyl length in ddb1a and ddb1a ddb1b-2/+, accelerated flowering in long-day conditions in terms of leaf number in all lines, late flowering in long-day conditions in terms of days in ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+, decreased height in ddb1a ddb1b-2/+, and decreased silique length in both ddb1a ddb1b-2/+ and ddb1b-2 ddb1a/+. Pazhouhandeh et al. (2011) observed no flowering phenotypes in long-day conditions with the *ddb1b* SALK_069144 allele but did detect early flowering in long-day conditions with respect to both days and leaves with *ddb1a*. The differences between studies could be due to differences in the *ddb1a* allele used or experimental conditions.

In UV tolerance assays, we did not detect any sensitivity in *ddb1b-2* single mutants. Similar results were obtained using the same allele in UV-B and UV-C tolerance assays by Bernhardt *et al.* (2010) and

Castells *et al.* (2011), respectively. In adult UV tolerance assays, only *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+* exhibited UV sensitivity. Similarly, in seedlings treated with UV followed by light incubation, sensitivity was also observed only in *ddb1a ddb1b-2/+*. Thus in these two assays only the lines with the lowest total *DDB1* transcript levels (Figure 1C) exhibited sensitivity.

In light conditions, both photolyase enzymes and NER contribute to repair of UV-damaged DNA. In dark conditions, however, plants are dependent on NER for repair. In our experiments, with dark incubation post irradiation both $ddb1a \ ddb1b-2/+$ and $ddb1b-2 \ ddb1a/+$ are sensitive to UV treatment. These experiments also used a stronger UV dose than the light assay, 1500 Jm⁻² compared with 600 Jm⁻². Thus, when the demand for NER is amplified by increasing the amount of UV damage and by removing the contribution of photolyases, neither a single wild-type copy of DDB1B nor DDB1A is sufficient for wild-type levels of UV tolerance. However, two wild-type alleles of either gene are sufficient.

Recently, Lee *et al.* (2010, 2011) characterized WD40 proteins involved in ABA signaling (DWA1, DWA2, and DWA3). The single and double *dwa* mutants are sensitive to ABA and NaCl, as are *CUL4* co-suppression lines. Although DWA1, 2, and 3 all interact with both DDB1A and DDB1B *in vitro*, we find that germination in *ddb1a* is



Figure 7 ddb1b-2 cop1 dark-grown seedlings. (A) From left: Col, ddb1b-2, cop1-4, ddb1b-2 cop1-4, cop1-1, and ddb1b-2 cop1-1. (B) Hypocotyl length (n = 15). (C) Cotyledon width (n = 15). (D) Anthocyanin content (A₅₃₀- A₆₅₇ / g fresh weight) (n = 2). Error bars indicate 95% Cl; * $P \leq 0.05$ of single mutants relative to Col or of ddb1b-2 cop1-4 and ddb1b-2 cop1-1 relative to cop1-4 and cop1-1, respectively.

more sensitive to 100 mM NaCl and 200 mM Mannitol than germination in *ddb1b-2* or wild type. Interestingly, the sensitivity of *ddb1a* in germination had no effect on root growth, suggesting that *DDB1A* and *DDB1B* act redundantly to regulate this phenotype.

Heat sensitivity was observed in *ddb1b-2*, *ddb1a*, *ddb1b-2 ddb1a*/+, and *ddb1a ddb1b-2*/+, with plants lacking one or both copies of DDB1A the most sensitive. Other recent studies in our lab also implicate DDB1A in heat response (V. Ly, A. Hatherell, E. Kim, and D.



Figure 8 ddb1b-2 cop1 light-grown seedlings. (A) From left: Col, ddb1b-2, cop1-4, ddb1b-2 cop1-4, cop1-1, and ddb1b-2 cop1-1. (B) Hypocotyl length (n = 15). (C) Cotyledon width (n = 15). (D) Anthocyanin content (A₅₃₀- A₆₅₇ / g fresh weight) (n = 2). (E) Chlorophyll content (μ g of chlorophyll / mg of fresh weight) (n = 2). Error bars indicate 95% CI; * $P \leq 0.05$ of single mutants relative to Col or of ddb1b-2 cop1-4 and ddb1b-2 cop1-1 relative to cop1-4 and cop1-1, respectively.



Figure 9 ddb1b-2 cop1 adult phenotypes. (A) From left: Col, ddb1b-2, cop1-4, ddb1b-2 cop1-4, cop1-1, and ddb1b-2 cop1-1. (B) Flowering time (in days). (C) Flowering time (in leaves). (D) Rosette diameter. (E) Plant height. (F) Silique length. (G) Number of stems. For B-G, error bars indicate 95% CI (n = 12); * $P \le 0.05$ of single mutants relative to Col or of ddb1b-2 cop1-4 and ddb1b-2 cop1-1 relative to cop1-4 and cop1-1, respectively.

Schroeder, unpublished data). Consistent with a role for *DDB1A* in heat tolerance, AtGenExpress data (Kilian *et al.* 2007) indicates that heat elevates *DDB1A* transcript levels, but not *DDB1B* levels. In contrast, neither mannitol nor salt treatment strongly induce *DDB1A* levels, but *DDB1B* levels decrease (Figure S6).

DET1 interacts biochemically with CUL4-DDB1 and exhibits genetic interactions with both CUL4 and DDB1A (Schroeder et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2006). Although ddb1a enhances all det1 phenotypes in dark-grown seedlings, ddb1b-2 only weakly enhances the short hypocotyl phenotype. In light-grown seedlings, ddb1b-2 enhanced the det1 high anthocyanin phenotype and suppressed the det1 low chlorophyll phenotype. This suppression appears to occur at the transcriptional level since CAB2 transcript levels are also increased in the ddb1b-2 det1 double mutant. The fact that the ddb1b-2 det1 double mutant suppresses the det1 chlorophyll phenotype suggests the two proteins are not acting together in this instance, and that this suppression may be indirect, for example via another complex. In previous studies, ddb2 was also found to suppress the det1 low chlorophyll phenotype (Al Khateeb and Schroeder 2007). DET1 has recently been shown to act as a transcriptional repressor (Lau et al. 2011), but this does not explain the underexpression of CAB2 in det1 in light, thus DET1 regulation of CAB2 in the light may be indirect. It

is not clear whether DDB1 is involved in regulation of transcription by DET1 (Lau *et al.* 2011). *CAB2* promoter analysis has shown that a HY5-binding element is required for DET1 light-regulation of *CAB2*, and *hy5* mutants suppress the *det1* pale phenotype (Maxwell *et al.* 2003).

In adult plants, *ddb1a* enhances the *det1* small phenotype, resulting in decreased rosette diameter, height and silique length, and partially suppresses early flowering in *det1* in terms of days. For all these phenotypes *ddb1b-2* has a similar effect on *det1* as *ddb1a*, but to a lesser extent. Given that our *ddb1a* allele is potentially stronger than the *ddb1b-2* partial loss of function allele, and that *DDB1A* is expressed at greater levels than *DDB1B* throughout development (Al Khateeb and Schroeder 2007; Bernhardt *et al.* 2010), these results are consistent with both DDB1A and DDB1B contributing to DET1 regulation of adult growth.

Only a few effects of *ddb1b-2* on *cop1* phenotypes were observed. *ddb1b-2* enhanced the short hypocotyl phenotype in both dark and light-grown *cop1-4*. Because *ddb1b-2* had smaller and no effects on *det1* dark and light-grown hypocotyl length, respectively, DDB1B appears to be more critical for COP1 function than for DET1 function with respect to regulation of hypocotyl length. In light-grown seedlings, *ddb1b-2* enhanced anthocyanin levels in *cop1-1* and *det1*, suggesting that DDB1B has a common role in regulation of light anthocyanin levels. In adults, ddb1b-2 had no effect on any phenotypes in either cop1 allele. In contrast, ddb1b-2 modified the majority of *det1* adult phenotypes, indicating that in adults DDB1B is more critical for DET1 function than for COP1 function. Thus, the requirement for DDB1B seems to vary in the course of development, from COP1-specific interactions in hypocotyls to DET1-specific in adults. Whether this specificity is due to differential levels, cellular localization, or biochemical interactions of DDB1B vs. DDB1A is unknown. In vitro COP1 interacts with both GST-DDB1B and GST-DDB1A, and FLAG-DDB1B coimmunoprecipitates both DET1 and COP1 from light and dark-grown seedlings (Chen et al. 2010). In onion cells, GFP fusions of both DDB1A and DDB1B are localized in both the nucleus and cytoplasm, though a larger proportion of DDB1B is cytoplasmic (Zhang et al. 2008). COP1 is predominantly nuclear in the dark and cytoplasmic in the light, whereas DET1 is exclusively nuclear (Lau and Deng 2012). In addition, the COP1-4 and COP1-1 forms of the COP1 protein exhibit defects in nuclear localization (Stacey et al. 1999, 2000; Nakagawa and Komeda 2004). Thus perhaps cytoplasmic colocalization is the basis of *ddb1b cop1* interaction in seedlings, but requires further analysis. The cop1 alleles used in this study, cop1-4 (truncated protein predicted to lack the WD40 domain) and *cop1-1* (internal deletion potentially altering the conformation of the WD40 domain) (McNellis et al. 1994), would be predicted to be compromised in their ability to interact with DDB1 proteins as well as other proteins such as photoreceptors and transcription factors that interact with the COP1 WD40 domain (Yi and Deng 2005). Thus, any *ddb1b-2 cop1* genetic interactions observed may be indirect. Thus in this study we have examined the relative contributions of

DDB1B and DDB1A to stress response, as well as DET1 and COP1 function, and find that there appears to be developmental regulation of DDB1 interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Tim Liao for technical assistance and Jeff Marcus for helpful discussions. This work was supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

LITERATURE CITED

- Al Khateeb, W. M., and D. F. Schroeder, 2007 DDB2, DDB1A and DET1 exhibit complex genetic interactions during Arabidopsis development. Genetics 176: 231–242.
- Al Khateeb, W. M., and D. F. Schroeder, 2009 Overexpression of Arabidopsis damaged DNA binding protein 1A (DDB1A) enhances UV tolerance. Plant Mol. Biol. 70: 371–383.
- Alonso, J. M., A. N. Stepanova, T. J. Leisse, C. J. Kim, H. Chen et al., 2003 Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 301: 653–657.
- Benvenuto, G., F. Formiggini, P. Laflamme, M. Malakhov, and C. Bowler, 2002 The photomorphogenesis regulator DET1 binds the amino-terminal tail of histone H2B in a nucleosome context. Curr. Biol. 12: 1529–1534.
- Bernhardt, A., E. Lechner, P. Hano, V. Schade, M. Dieterle *et al.*, 2006 CUL4 associates with DDB1 and DET1 and its downregulation affects diverse aspects of development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. 47: 591–603.
- Bernhardt, A., S. Mooney, and H. Hellmann, 2010 Arabidopsis DDB1a and DDB1b are critical for embryo development. Planta 232: 555–566.
- Biedermann, S., and H. Hellmann, 2011 WD40 and CUL4-based E3 ligases: lubricating all aspects of life. Trends Plant Sci. 16: 38–46.
- Castells, E., J. Molinier, G. Benvenuto, C. Bourbousse, G. Zabulon *et al.*, 2011 The conserved factor DE-ETIOLATED 1 cooperates with CUL4– DDB1DDB2 to maintain genome integrity upon UV stress. EMBO J. 30: 1162–1172.

- Chen, H., Y. Shen, X. Tang, L. Yu, J. Wang *et al.*, 2006 Arabidopsis CULLIN4 forms an E3 ubiquitin ligase with RBX1 and the CDD complex in mediating light control of development. Plant Cell 18: 1991–2004.
- Chen, H., X. Huang, G. Gusmaroli, W. Terzaghi, O. S. Lau *et al.*, 2010 Arabidopsis CULLIN4-damaged DNA binding protein 1 interacts with CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1-SUPRESSOR OF PHYA complexes to regulate photomorphogenesis and flowering time. Plant Cell 22: 108–123.
- Chen, M., and J. Chory, 2011 Phytochrome signaling mechanisms and the control of plant development. Trends Cell Biol. 21: 664–671.
- Chory, J., C. Peto, R. Feinbaum, L. Pratt, and F. Ausubel, 1989 Arabidopsis thaliana mutant that develops as a light-grown plant in the absence of light. Cell 58: 991–999.
- Dumbliauskas, E., E. Lechner, M. Jaciubek, A. Berr, M. Pazhouhandeh et al., 2011 The Arabidopsis CUL4–DDB1 complex interacts with MSI1 and is required to maintain MEDEA parental imprinting. EMBO J. 30: 731– 743.
- Fankhauser, C., and J. J. Casal, 2004 Phenotypic characterization of a photomorphogenic mutant. Plant J. 39: 747–760.
- Ganpudi, A. L., and D. F. Schroeder, 2011 UV damaged DNA repair and tolerance in plants, pp. 73–96 in *Selected Topics in DNA Repair*, edited by C. C. Chen, Intech, Croatia.
- Kilian, J., D. Whitehead, J. Horak, D. Wanke, S. Weinl *et al.*, 2007 The AtGenExpress global stress expression data set: protocols, evaluation and model data analysis of UV-B light, drought and cold stress responses. Plant J. 50: 347–363.
- Koga, A., T. Ishibashi, S. Kimura, Y. Uchiyama, and K. Sakaguchi, 2006 Characterization of T-DNA insertion mutants and RNAi silenced plants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* UV damaged DNA binding protein 2 (At UV-DDB2). Plant Mol. Biol. 61: 227–240.
- Kunz, B. A., M. D. Cahill, G. P. Mohr, J. M. Osmond, and J. E. Vonarx, 2006 Plant responses to UV radiation and links to pathogen resistance. Int. Rev. Cytol. 255: 1–40.
- Lau, O. S., and X. W. Deng, 2012 The photomorphogenic repressors COP1 and DET1: 20 years later. Trends Plant Sci. 17: 584–593.
- Lau, O. S., X. Huang, J. B. Charron, J. H. Lee, G. Li *et al.*, 2011 Interaction of Arabidopsis DET1 with CCA1 and LHY in mediating transcriptional repression in the plant circadian clock. Mol. Cell 43: 703–712.
- Lee, J., and P. Zhou, 2007 DCAFs, the missing link of the CUL4–DDB1 ubiquitin ligase. Mol. Cell 26: 775–780.
- Lee, J. H., W. Terzaghi, G. Gusmaroli, J. B. Charron, H. J. Yoon *et al.*, 2008 Characterization of Arabidopsis and rice DWD proteins and their roles as substrate receptors for CUL4-RING E3 ligases. Plant Cell 20: 152–167.
- Lee, J. H., H. Y. Yoon, W. Terzaghi, C. Martinez, M. Dai *et al.*, 2010 DWA1 and DWA2, two Arabidopsis DWD protein components of CUL4-based E3 ligases, act together as negative regulators in ABA signal transduction. Plant Cell 22: 1716–1732.
- Lee, J. H., W. Terzaghi, and X. W. Deng, 2011 DWA3, an Arabidopsis DWD protein, acts as a negative regulator in ABA signal transduction. Plant Sci. 180: 352–357.
- Li, T., X. Chen, K. C. Garbutt, P. Zhou, and N. Zheng, 2006 Structure of DDB1 in complex with a paramyxovirus V protein: viral hijack of a propeller cluster in ubiquitin ligase. Cell 124: 105–117.
- Mackinney, G., 1941 Absorption of light by chlorophyll solutions. J. Biol. Chem. 140: 315–322.
- Maxwell, B. B., C. R. Andersson, D. S. Poole, S. A. Kay, and J. Chory, 2003 HY5, circadian clock-associated 1, and a cis element, DET1 dark response element, mediate DET1 regulation of *Chlorophyll a/b-Binding Protein 2* expression. Plant Physiol. 133: 1565–1577.
- McNellis, T. W., A. G. von Arnim, T. Araki, Y. Komeda, S. Misera *et al.*, 1994 Genetic and molecular analysis of an allelic series of *cop1* mutants suggests functional roles for the multiple protein domains. Plant Cell 6: 487–500.
- Molinier, J., E. Lechner, E. Dumbliauskas, and P. Genschik, 2008 Regulation and role of Arabidopsis CUL4–DDB1A-DDB2 in maintaining genome integrity upon UV stress. PLoS Genet. 4(6): e1000093.

- Nakagawa, M., and Y. Komeda, 2004 Flowering of Arabidopsis *cop1* mutants in darkness. Plant Cell Physiol. 45: 398–406.
- Pazhouhandeh, M., J. Molinier, A. Berr, and P. Genschik, 2011 MSI4/FVE interacts with CUL4–DDB1 and a PRC2-like complex to control epigenetic regulation of flowering time in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 3430–3435.
- Pepper, A. E., and J. Chory, 1997 Extragenic suppressors of the Arabidopsis *det1* mutant identify elements of flowering-time and light-response regulatory pathways. Genetics 145: 1125–1137.
- Schroeder, D. F., M. Gahrtz, B. B. Maxwell, R. K. Cook, J. M. Kan et al., 2002 De-etiolated 1 (DET1) and damaged DNA binding protein 1 (DDB1) interact to regulate Arabidopsis photomorphogenesis. Curr. Biol. 12: 1462–1472.
- Singh, S. K., S. Roy, S. R. Choudhury, and D. N. Sengupta, 2010 DNA repair and recombination in higher plants: insights from comparative genomics of Arabidopsis and rice. BMC Genomics 11: 443.
- Stacey, M. G., S. N. Hicks, and A. G. von Arnim, 1999 Discrete domains mediate the light-responsive nuclear and cytoplasmic localization of Arabidopsis COP1. Plant Cell 11: 349–364.
- Stacey, M. G., O. R. Kopp, T. H. Kim, and A. G. von Arnim, 2000 Modular domain structure of Arabidopsis COP1. Reconstitution of activity by

fragment complementation and mutational analysis of a nuclear localization signal in planta. Plant Physiol. 124: 979–990.

- Wagner, A., 2002 Selection and gene duplication: a view from the genome. Genome Biol. 3: reviews1012.
- Wei, N., G. Serino, and X. W. Deng, 2008 The COP9 signalosome: more than a protease. Trends Biochem. Sci. 33: 592–600.
- Weigel, D., and J. Glazebrook, 2002 *Arabidopsis: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Yanagawa, Y., J. A. Sullivan, S. Komatsu, G. Gusmaroli, G. Suzuki *et al.*, 2004 Arabidopsis COP10 forms a complex with DDB1 and DET1 invivo and enhances the activity of ubiquitin conjugating enzymes. Genes Dev. 18: 2172–2181.
- Yi, C., and X. W. Deng, 2005 COP1 from plant photomorphogenesis to mammalian tumorigenesis. Trends Cell Biol. 15: 618–625.
- Zhang, Y., S. Feng, F. Chen, S. Chen, J. Wang et al., 2008 Arabidopsis DDB1-CUL4 ASSOCIATED FACTOR1 forms a nuclear E3 ubiquitin ligase with DDB1 and CUL4 that is involved in multiple plant developmental processes. Plant Cell 20: 1437–1455.

Communicating editor: M. Estelle