a Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Effect of Glucose Variability on Pancreatic Cancer Through Regulation of COL6A1

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Cancer Management and Research

Qian Yu¹ Zhong Zhang 10² Haijun Zhang 10²

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Zhongda Hospital, Medical School of Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Oncology, Zhongda Hospital, Medical School of Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Haijun Zhang Department of Oncology, Zhongda Hospital, Medical School of Southeast University, 87 Dingjiaqiao Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210009, People's Republic of China Tel/Fax +86 25 8327 2216

Email zhanghaijunseu@163.com



Background: Pancreatic cancer (PC), a devastating cancer worldwide, remains dismal prognosis due to its clinical elusiveness, especially in relation to diabetes mellitus (DM). The study aims to investigate the effect of glucose variability on COL6A1 in PC cancer cells and the prognostic potential of COL6A1 for PC patient associated with DM.

Methods: After PC cancer cell lines of AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 were treated with hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia, Giemsa staining and Transwell chamber were performed to assay plate clone formation, migration and invasion. Expressions of COL6A1 of PC cancer cell lines under different extracellular glucose levels were detected by qRT-PCR and Western blotting. The level of COL6A1 expression in PC patients with/without DM was further observed with immunohistochemistry. The prognostic impact of COL6A1 on PC patients with DM was assessed by Kaplan–Meier survival curve analysis.

Results: Hyperglycemia promoted proliferation, migration and invasion of PC cancer cells compared with hypoglycemia. Glucose variability could regulate expression of COL6A1 in PC cancer cells, both *Col6a1* mRNA and COL6A1 protein upregulated in cancer cells cultured with hyperglycemic than that with hypoglycemic. The level of COL6A1 expression was higher in PC patients with DM than that without DM. Besides, COL6A1 was significantly associated with the clinical prognosis of PC patients with DM, higher COL6A1 leading to lower overall survival (OS).

Conclusion: Glucose variability had effect on PC cancer cells through regulation of COL6A1. Accordingly, COL6A1 was associated with poorer prognosis in PC patients with DM.

Keywords: pancreatic cancer, diabetes mellitus, COL6A1, prognosis

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer (PC), a devastating cancer around the world, usually exists with the distinguishing features of late detection, distant metastasis, and poor prognosis.¹ The 5-year survival rate is <5% miserably in PC patients at an advanced stage, even in patients at an early stage still only 22%.^{2,3} Prognosis of PC remains so dismal due to its clinically elusive nature, especially its relationship with diabetes mellitus (DM).^{4,5}

DM is associated with PC in more than 80% of the cases,⁶ the relationship of which is bi-directional: DM as a risk factor as well as an early manifestation of PC.^{6,7} In vitro hyperglycemia and peripheral insulin resistance could promote the growth of PC cancer cells.⁸ Patients who were newly diagnosed with DM or hyperglycemia as a high-risk group have been proposed for primary screening for PC.⁹ In addition, PC could affect glucose metabolism, insulin secretion, thus DM

Cancer Management and Research 2021:13 1291-1298

1291

© 2021 Yu et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-mc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial use of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). could develop as an early sign of PC.^{10,11} PC is poorly vascularized, hypoxic and severely hypoglycemic in the tumor microenvironment.^{12,13} As a result, oscillations in extracellular glucose levels are probable to occur, especially in PC patient associated with DM. Unfortunately, to date, the biological effect of glucose variability on PC has not been fully determined. Therefore, further investigation into the molecular mechanism is required and meaningful.

Collagen, type VI, α 1 chain (COL6A1) possess an anchoring function, which plays roles in cell migration, differentiation, and embryonic development.^{14–16} It is significantly low-expressed in tissues such as the gastrointestinal tract, brain, and pancreas.¹⁷ However, the *Col6a1* gene is active in tumor.¹⁸ Compared to the corresponding normal tissues, tumor tissues such as cervical cancer, prostate cancer, lung cancer, and PC, have higher expression of COL6A1.^{18–20} The overexpression of COL6A1 could enhance motility and metastasis of cancer cells.²¹ Although the potential role of COL6A1 has been described in tumors, it is still unclear in PC associated with DM.

In this connection, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of glucose variability on COL6A1 expression in PC cancer cells and the prognostic potential of COL6A1 for PC patients associated with DM.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

One PC cell line of AsPC-1, obtained from the Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, was cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) at 37.0°C, 5% CO₂, supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA). The medium contained the different concentrations of 25 mmol/L and 4 mmol/L glucose to simulate hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia, respectively. Similarly, the other PC cell line of BxPC-3, purchased from the Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, was cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium (Gibco ® 1640; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with the concentrations of 11.1 mmol/L and 4 mmol/L glucose as hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia, respectively.

Plate Clone Formation Assay

AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells treated with the high or low level of glucose were sub-cultured into 60-mm Petri dishes

with 5×10^2 cells per dish for 14 days until the visible clones occurred. Giemsa staining was carried out, and stained cells were observed and photographed. In addition, AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells (2×10^2 cells) treated with the high or low level of glucose were seeded with the 100 mL respective conditioned medium in 96-well plates. After 24, 48, and 72 hours, 10μ L cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) was added to each well. Proliferation rate was determined and calculated by measuring optical density (OD) at 450 nm. Each experiment was repeated three times independently.

Cancer Cell Migration and Invasion Assays

Transwell chamber was performed to assay cancer cell migration and invasion. Briefly, 200 μ L AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells at 2×10⁴/mL treated with the high or low level of glucose were seeded to the upper chamber, then 500 μ L of plain fresh growth medium with 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. After 24 hours, cancer cells in the upper chamber that had not migrated or invaded were removed with a cotton swab completely, then the migrated and invaded cells were fixed with 100% methanol and stained with 10% crystal violet for observation under an inverted optical microscope. Each experiment was repeated three times independently.

Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)

According to the manufacturer's protocol, the RNA extracted from AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells treated with the high or low level of glucose using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA 92008 USA) was subsequently reverse transcribed into cDNA using random hexamers. Amplification of the transcripts involved an initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 5 sec, 60°C for 20 sec, and 72°C for 5 sec in the Light-CyclerTM480 system for qRT-PCR. Meanwhile, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*Gapdh*) was used as a standardized internal control. Each experiment was repeated three times independently. Data were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$ method.²² Detailed sequences of the primers for *Col6a1* and *Gapdh* are listed in Table 1.

Western Blotting

AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cells treated with the high or low level of glucose were lysed, and proteins were isolated,

Table I Primers of Col6a1 and G	Gapdh
---------------------------------	-------

Gene	Primer		
Col6a I	Forward Revers	5'-ACACCGACTGCGCTATCAAG-3' 5'-CGGTCACCACAATCAGGTACTT-3'	
Gapdh	Forward Revers	5'-TGTGGGCATCAATGGATTTGG-3' 5'-ACACCATGTATTCCGGGTCAAT-3	

separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred on to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with a primary anti-polyclonal antibody against COL6A1 and GAPDH (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech Co, Ltd, Nanjing, China). Washed with PBS, the membranes were then incubated with secondary antibodies of horseradish peroxidase-conjugated IgG (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech Co, Ltd, Nanjing, China). The protein bands were detected, and COL6A1 expression levels were determined after normalization using the corresponding GAPDH expression. Each experiment was repeated three times independently.

Patients and Tissue Specimens

Patients with PC confirmed by histopathology from January, 2010 to December, 2019 in Zhongda Hospital, Medical School of Southeast University were selected for this study. The patients were coincided to combine with DM according to diagnostic standard of World Health Organization (WHO). They did not receive any chemotherapy, radiotherapy, molecular targeted therapy, or immunotherapy before surgery. All patients signed informed consent. Patients were followed up on a regular basis by phone or at scheduled office visits. Paraffin-embedded tissue samples were acquired for immunohistochemistry staining. The study was approved by the ethics committee of Zhongda Hospital, Southeast University. The study protocol protected the private information of enrolled patients in accordance with the provisions of the Helsinki Declaration.

Immunohistochemistry Staining

The paraffin-embedded archival samples were cut into 4-µm-thick sections, and mounted on glass slides. After dewaxed in xylene, rehydrated in grade alcohol, antigen retrieval at 120°C, blocked endogenous peroxidase by 3% hydrogen peroxide, and sealed non-specific binding with

1% bovine serum albumin, the sections were then incubated with the antibody against COL6A1 as primary antibody overnight at 4°C. After washing, the sections were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody in the dark at room temperature for 30 minutes. Then the sections were washed and stained with 3,3'diaminobenzidine (DAB) (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA). Finally, the sections were dehydrated and mounted, and pictures were taken by microscope for positive cell calculation. The intensity of stained cells was classified into four classes: 0, no staining; 1, weak staining; 2, positive staining; and 3, strong staining. The proportion of positively stained tumor cells was evaluated: 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 according to no staining, positive staining in <10%, 10%~50%, 51%~80%, and >80% of tumor cells, respectively. Then, based on the multiply of the intensity and positive cell scores, 0~3 and 4~12 were defined low expression and high expression, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25.0 software. Overall survival (OS) was plotted using the Kaplan–Meier method. Differences in OS were analyzed using the Log rank test. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Effect of Extracellular Glucose Levels on the Clone Formation of PC Cancer Cells To estimate the influence of different extracellular glucose levels on PC cancer cells, AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 were cultured with the high or low level of glucose, respectively. As shown in Figure 1, compared with the low level of glucose, the high level of glucose could obviously promote clone formation in both AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 (Figure 1A), and clone counts further demonstrated the significantly different effect (Figure 1B). The results from CCK-8 assay also demonstrated that the promotion of proliferation by hyperglycemia in both AsPC-1 (Figure 2A) and BxPC-3 (Figure 2B) PC cancer cells.

Effect of Extracellular Glucose Levels on the Migration Ability of PC Cancer Cells In the Transwell assay, migrated cancer cells were counted under an inverted optical microscope. For AsPC-1 PC cancer cells, migrated cells per field view treated with the low and high level of glucose were



Figure I The clone formation of AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cancer cells cultured with the high or low level of glucose after 14 days. (A) Representative images of cell clone; (B) statistical analysis of clone counts. * P< 0.05. Abbreviations: LG, low level of glucose; HG, high level of glucose.

 162 ± 18 and 570 ± 63 , respectively. For BxPC-3 cancer cells, migrated cells per field view treated with the low and high level of glucose were 305 ± 42 and 810 ± 113 , respectively. The significant increase in migrated cancer cells could be seen in both AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 treated with the high level of glucose than that of the low level of glucose (Figure 3).

Regulated Expression of COL6A1 in PC Cancer Cells Under Different Extracellular Glucose Levels

Results of qRT-PCR demonstrated that expression of *Col6a1* gene was upregulated in both AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cancer cells cultured with the high level of glucose than that with the low level of glucose (Figure 4A). Compared with the low level of glucose, the high level of glucose could also cause

significantly increased expression of COL6A1 protein in both AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cancer cells, as determined by Western blotting (Figure 4B).

The Level of COL6A1 Expression in PC Patients with/without DM

To further determine whether there was the difference in PC patients with/without DM, paraffin-embedded tissue samples underwent IHC analysis. The representative IHC of the low and high expression of COL6A1 in PC patients is shown in Figure 5. According to the scoring system, the level of COL6A1 expression between PC without DM and PC with DM were compared. As shown in Table 2, the results indicated that high COL6A1 expression was obviously observed among PC with DM compared with that of PC without DM.



Figure 2 CCK-8 assay of AsPC-1 and BxPC-3 cancer cells cultured with the high or low level of glucose. (A) AsPC-1 cancer cell; (B) BxPC-3 cancer cell. Abbreviations: CCK-8, cell counting kit-8; OD, optical density; LG, low level of glucose; HG, high level of glucose.



Figure 3 Migrated PC cancer cells treated with the low or high level of glucose in the Transwell assay. * P< 0.05. **Abbreviations:** LG, low level of glucose; HG, high level of glucose; PC, pancreatic cancer.



Figure 4 Expressions of Col6a1 gene and COL6A1 protein in PC cancer cells under different extracellular glucose levels. (A) Expressions of Col6a1 gene; (B) Expressions of COL6A1 protein. *P < 0.05.

Abbreviations: RE, relative expression; LG, low level of glucose; HG, high level of glucose; PC, pancreatic cancer.



Figure 5 Representative immunohistochemical images of low and high expression of COL6A1 in PC patient tissues. (A) Low expression of COL6A1 in PC without DM; (B) high expression of COL6A1 PC with DM.

Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cancer; DM, diabetes mellitus.

Patient	High Expression of COL6A1	Low Expression of COL6A1	Total	
PC with	22	38	60	
DM				
PC without	11	55	66	
DM				
Total	33	93	126	
P value	0.01			

 Table 2 The Level of COL6A1 Expression Between PC Patients

 without/with DM

Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cancer; DM, diabetes mellitus.

The Association Between COL6AI Expression and Clinical Outcomes in PC Patients with DM

A total of 126 patients were confirmed for the analysis during the study period, including the group of PC with DM of 60 and the group of PC without DM of 66. The base line clinic characteristics of the two groups are presented in Table 3. There were no significant differences in age, gender and tumor stage. The prognostic impact of COL6A1 on PC patients with DM was assessed by Kaplan–Meier survival curve analysis. As shown in Figure 6, COL6A1 expression was significantly associated with the clinical prognosis of PC patients with DM. Patients with high expression of COL6A1 had significantly lower OS compared to those with low expression (P < 0.05). The median OS in PC patients with DM for the high and low expression of COL6A1 was 13.5 \pm 3.0 months and 23.5 \pm 5.1 months, respectively.

Table 3 The Clinic Characteristics of PC with DM and PC without DM

		PC with DM	PC without DM	P value
Gender				0.619
	Male	46	53	
	Female	14	13	
Age		58.85±7.22	59.73±6.52	0.543
TNM stage				0.61
	I.	6	8	
	П	11	13	
	Ш	43	45	

Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cancer; DM, diabetes mellitus.



Figure 6 Kaplan–Meier curves of overall survival according to COL6A1 expression in PC patients with DM. Abbreviations: PC, pancreatic cancer; DM, diabetes mellitus.

Discussion

In this study, PC cancer cells could be affected by oscillating extracellular glucose level, of which the high level of glucose promoted their clone formation and migration property compared with the low level of glucose. Increased expressions of COL6A1 involved in the effect of high level of glucose on PC cancer cells, which could be the underlying molecular mechanism. From bench to clinical bed, the retrospective analysis from patients also indicated that higher COL6A1 expression was among PC patient with DM compared with that of PC without DM. What is more, COL6A1 acted as poorer prognosis factor for PC patient with DM, which was illustrated by that higher expression of COL6A1 contributed to significantly lower OS in PC patient with DM.

Abnormal glucose metabolism is closely related to PC.²³ Hyperglycemia can increase the activity of glyoxal reductase, and specifically activate polyol pathway such as PKC and NF- λ B, and promote carcinogenesis.²⁴ Energy supply in tumor cells is mainly provided by anaerobic digestion, and hyperglycemia just can enhance cell anaerobic digestion and provide energy source for tumor cell growth.²⁵ The longterm damage of normal pancreatic organelles by hyperglycemia could transform normal cells into tumor cells.²⁶ In this study, in vitro effect of different glucose levels on cancer cells further confirmed hyperglycemia could promote the development of PC. Extracellular matrix (ECM) in the tumor microenvironment is often haphazard and thus promotes the growth and migration of cancer cells.²⁷ Collagen VI consisted of three major polypeptide chains ($\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$ and α 3) is one of the main ECM.²⁸ Among them, the α 1 chain

encoded by Col6a1 participated in multiple signaling pathways that regulate cell apoptosis, proliferation, angiogenesis, fibrosis, and inflammation. Studies showed that the expression of COL6A1 increased in tumors and associated with tumor progression. Voiles et al found a higher COL6A1 expression in lung tumor tissues, which may promote the development of tumor.²⁹ Fujita et al reported that differential expression of COL6A1 among normal glial cells and different grades of glioma.³⁰ Runt-related transcription factor 3 (Runx3) could activate expression of COL6A1 to increase metastasis in PC.²¹ In our study, expression of both Col6a1mRNA and COL6A1 protein increased in PC cancer cells cultured with the high level of glucose than that with the low level of glucose. The results further revealed the potential role of COL6A1 in PC, especially PC with DM.

30-40% PC patients have comorbid DM and 80% PC patients have abnormal glucose tolerance.^{6,31} Although COL6A1 highly expressed in PC patients,¹⁶ its role in PC patients with DM remains unclear. In our study, we firstly expanded meaningful discoveries in PC patients with/without DM that high COL6A1 expression was obviously observed among PC with DM compared with PC without DM. In clinical researches, there were a few investigations reporting the prognostic impact of COL6A1 in several human cancers. Hou et al uncovered that COL6A1 was evidently related to the clinical prognosis of patients with cervical cancer.³² Wan et al found that COL6A1 upregulation predicted poor outcomes in clear cell renal cell carcinoma.33 Consistent with studies reporting a negative correlation between COL6A1 and prognostic impact, we further confirmed that patients with high expression of COL6A1 had obviously lower OS compared to those with low expression (P < 0.05) in PC patients with DM. This therefore reminds us of the importance of controlling blood glucose in PC patients with DM.

Conclusions

In conclusion, in vitro, the high level of extracellular glucose could promote proliferation, migration and invasion of PC cancer cells involved in up-regulated expression of COL6A1. In PC patients with DM, COL6A1 expression was higher compared with that of PC without DM. Besides, the clinical outcome of PC patients with DM was related to COL6A1 expression which acted as a poor prognostic biomarker. These findings suggested that COL6A1 could be as a predictive biomarker and therapeutic target, and to control blood glucose should be beneficial for PC patients with DM.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Nanjing Health Science and Technology Development Project (YKK18254).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2017;67(1):7–30. doi:10.3322/caac.21387
- Cancer.Net. Pancreatic Cancer: Statistics. American Society of Clinical Oncology. ASCO; 2018.
- Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, et al. Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. *Int J Cancer*. 2019;144(8):1941–1953. doi:10.1002/ ijc.31937
- Kleeff J, Costello E, Jackson R, et al. The impact of diabetes mellitus on survival following resection and adjuvant chemotherapy for pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2016;115(7):887–894. doi:10.1038/ bjc.2016.277
- Walter U, Kohlert T, Rahbari NN, Weitz J, Welsch T. Impact of preoperative diabetes on long-term survival after curative resection of pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2014;21(4):1082–1089. doi:10.1245/s10434-013-3415-6
- Pannala R, Leirness JB, Bamlet WR, Basu A, Petersen GM, Chari ST. Prevalence and clinical profile of pancreatic cancer–associated diabetes mellitus. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;134(4):981–987. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2008.01.039
- Boursi B, Finkelman B, Giantonio BJ, et al. A clinical prediction model to assess risk for pancreatic cancer among patients with new-onset diabetes. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;152(4):840–850.e3. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.11.046
- Abudawood M. Diabetes and cancer: a comprehensive review. J Res Med Sci. 2019;24(1):94. doi:10.4103/jrms.JRMS_242_19
- Mizuno S, Nakai Y, Isayama H, et al. Diabetes is a useful diagnostic clue to improve the prognosis of pancreatic cancer. *Pancreatology*. 2013;13(3):285–289. doi:10.1016/j.pan.2013.03.013
- Kaleru T, Vankeshwaram VK, Maheshwary A, Mohite D, Khan S. Diabetes mellitus in the middle-aged and elderly population (>45 years) and its association with pancreatic cancer: an updated review. *Cureus*. 2020;12(6):e8884. doi:10.7759/cureus.8884
- Harry K, Kein-Leong Y. Exploring the bi-directional relationship between pancreatic cancer and diabetes mellitus: a retrospective study. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2018;17(2):247–252. doi:10.1007/ s40200-018-0366-2
- Tan Z, Xu J, Zhang B, Shi S, Yu X, Liang C. Hypoxia: a barricade to conquer the pancreatic cancer. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2020;77 (16):3077–3083. doi:10.1007/s00018-019-03444-3
- Onozuka H, Tsuchihara K, Esumi H. Hypoglycemic/hypoxic condition in vitro mimicking the tumor microenvironment markedly reduced the efficacy of anticancer drugs. *Cancer Sci.* 2011;102 (5):975–982. doi:10.1111/j.1349-7006.2011.01880.x
- 14. Uhlen M, Zhang C, Lee S, et al. A pathology atlas of the human cancer transcriptome. *Science*. 2017;357(6352):eaan2507. doi:10.1126/science.aan2507
- Dassah M, Almeida D, Hahn R, Bonaldo P, Worgall S, Hajjar KA. Annexin A2 mediates secretion of collagen VI, pulmonary elasticity and apoptosis of bronchial epithelial cells. *J Cell Sci.* 2014;127 (4):828–844. doi:10.1242/jcs.137802

- Owusu-Ansah KG, Song G, Chen R, et al. COL6A1 promotes metastasis and predicts poor prognosis in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Int J Oncol.* 2019;55(2):391–404. doi:10.3892/ijo.2019.4825
- Pontén F, Jirström K, Uhlen M. The Human Protein Atlas—a tool for pathology. J Pathol. 2008;216(4):387–393. doi:10.1002/path.2440
- Hou T, Tong C, Kazobinka G, et al. Expression of COL6A1 predicts prognosis in cervical cancer patients. *Am J Transl Res.* 2016;8 (6):2838–2844.
- Zhu Y-P, Wan F-N, Shen Y-J, Wang H-K, Zhang G-M, Ye D-W. Reactive stroma component COL6A1 is upregulated in castration-resistant prostate cancer and promotes tumor growth. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(16):14488–14496. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.3697
- Chiu K-H, Chang Y-H, Wu Y-S, Lee S-H, Liao P-C. Quantitative secretome analysis reveals that COL6A1 is a metastasis-associated protein using stacking gel-aided purification combined with iTRAQ labeling. *J Proteome Res.* 2011;10(3):1110–1125. doi:10.1021/pr1008724
- Jian Z, Cheng T, Zhang Z, et al. Glycemic variability promotes both local invasion and metastatic colonization by pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;6 (4):429–449. doi:10.1016/j.jcmgh.2018.07.003
- 22. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2-ΔΔCT Method. *Methods*. 2001;25(4):402-408. doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Principe DR, Rana A. Updated risk factors to inform early pancreatic cancer screening and identify high risk patients. *Cancer Lett.* 2020;485:56–65. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2020.04.022
- 24. Aleksandrovski YA. Molecular mechanisms of the cross-impact of pathological processes in combined diabetes and cancer. Research and clinical aspects. *Biochemistry (Mosc)*. 2002;67(12):1329–1346. doi:10.1023/a:1021801808405

- Schwartz L, Supuran CT, Alfarouk KO. The Warburg effect and the hallmarks of cancer. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem.* 2017;17 (2):164–170. doi:10.2174/18715206166666161031143301
- Schwartz SS, Grant SFA, Herman ME. Intersections and clinical translations of diabetes mellitus with cancer promotion, progression and prognosis. *Postgrad Med.* 2019;131(8):597–606. doi:10.1080/ 00325481.2019.1657358
- Hessmann E, Buchholz SM, Demir IE, et al. Microenvironmental determinants of pancreatic cancer. *Physiol Rev.* 2020;100 (4):1707–1751. doi:10.1152/physrev.00042.2019
- Sato T, Takano R, Tokunaka K, et al. Type VI collagen α1 chain polypeptide in non-triple helical form is an alternative gene product of COL6A1. J Biochem. 2018;164(2):173–181. doi:10.1093/jb/ mvy040
- Voiles L, Lewis DE, Han L, et al. Overexpression of type VI collagen in neoplastic lung tissues. *Oncol Rep.* 2014;32(5):1897–1904. doi:10.3892/or.2014.3438
- 30. Fujita A, Sato JR, Festa F, et al. Identification of COL6A1 as a differentially expressed gene in human astrocytomas. *Genet Mol Res.* 2008;7(2):371–378. doi:10.4238/vol7-2gmr432
- Magruder JT, Elahi D, Andersen DK. Diabetes and pancreatic cancer: chicken or egg? *Pancreas*. 2011;40(3):339–351. doi:10.1097/ MPA.0b013e318209e05d
- Hou T, Tong C, Kazobinka G, et al. Expression of COL6A1 predicts prognosis in cervical cancer patients. *Am J Transl Res.* 2019;8 (6):2838–2844.
- 33. Wan F, Wang H, Shen Y, et al. Upregulation of COL6A1 is predictive of poor prognosis in clear cell renal cell carcinoma patients. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(29):27378–27387. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.4860

Cancer Management and Research

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal

Dovepress