

# 

**Citation:** Peixoto L, Allen GR, Ridenbaugh RD, Quarrell SR, Withers TM, Sharanowski BJ (2018) When taxonomy and biological control researchers unite: Species delimitation of *Eadya* parasitoids (Braconidae) and consequences for classical biological control of invasive paropsine pests of *Eucalyptus*. PLoS ONE 13(8): e0201276. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276

Editor: Feng Zhang, Nanjing Agricultural University, CHINA

Received: September 7, 2017

Accepted: July 12, 2018

Published: August 16, 2018

**Copyright:** © 2018 Peixoto et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Genetic data is submitted to Genbank (under accessions KX989891-KX990220, KY031346-KY031518, MH107809-MH107817 and MH237732-MH237825). Data sets for all gene alignments were deposited in Figshare (https://figshare.com/): 10. 6084/m9.figshare.6149219. All other data is available in Supporting Information. RESEARCH ARTICLE

When taxonomy and biological control researchers unite: Species delimitation of *Eadya* parasitoids (Braconidae) and consequences for classical biological control of invasive paropsine pests of *Eucalyptus* 

# Leanne Peixoto<sup>1,2</sup>, Geoff R. Allen<sup>3</sup>, Ryan D. Ridenbaugh<sup>1</sup>, Stephen R. Quarrell<sup>3</sup>, Toni M. Withers<sup>4</sup>, Barbara J. Sharanowski<sup>1</sup>\*

1 University of Central Florida, Department of Biology, Orlando, FL, United States of Amrica, 2 Aarhus University, Department of Agroecology, Aarhus, Denmark, 3 Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, Australia, 4 Scion, Rotorua, New Zealand

\* barb.sharanowski@ucf.edu

# Abstract

The invasive eucalyptus tortoise beetle, Paropsis charybdis, defoliates plantations of Eucalyptus nitens in New Zealand. Recent efforts to identify host specific biological control agents (parasitoids) from Tasmania, Australia, have focused on the larval parasitoid wasp, Eadya paropsidis (Braconidae), first described in 1978. In Tasmania, Eadya has been reared from Paropsisterna agricola (genus abbreviated Pst.), a smaller paropsine that feeds as a larva on juvenile rather than adult foliage of Eucalyptus nitens. To determine which of the many paropsine beetle hosts native to Tasmania are utilized by E. paropsidis, and to rule out the presence of cryptic species, a molecular phylogenetic approach was combined with host data from rearing experiments from multiple locations across six years. Sampling included 188 wasps and 94 beetles for molecular data alone. Two mitochondrial genes (COI and Cytb) and one nuclear gene (28S) were analyzed to assess the species limits in the parasitoid wasps. The mitochondrial genes were congruent in delimiting four separate phylogenetic species, all supported by morphological examinations of Eadya specimens collected throughout Tasmania. Eadya paropsidis was true to the type description, and was almost exclusively associated with P. tasmanica. A new cryptic species similar to E. paropsidis, Eadya sp. 3, was readily reared from Pst. agricola and P. charybdis from all sites and all years. Eadya sp. 3 represents the best candidate for biological control of P. charybdis and was determined as the species undergoing host range testing in New Zealand for its potential as a biological control agent. Another new species, Eadya sp. 1, was morphologically distinctive and attacked multiple hosts. The most common host was Pst. variicollis, but was also reared from Pst. nobilitata and Pst. selmani. Eadya sp. 1 may have potential for control against Pst. variicollis, a new incursion in New Zealand, and possibly Pst. selmani in Ireland. Our molecular data suggests that Pst. variicollis is in need of taxonomic revision and the geographic source of the beetle in New Zealand may not be Tasmania. Eadya sp. 2 was rarely collected and attacked P. aegrota elliotti and P. charybdis. Most species of Eadya



Funding: Co-funding of this project (to T. Withers) was provided by the New Zealand MPI Sustainable Farming Fund, NZ Farm Forestry Association, Southwood Export Ltd, Oji Fibre Solutions, the Forest Owners Association, and Scion MBIE core funding. Co-funding of this project (to B. Sharanowski) was provided by National Science Engineering and Research Council (NSERC), Canada Discovery Grant (http://www.nserc-crsng. gc.ca/Professors-Professeurs/Grants-Subs/ DGIGP-PSIGP eng.asp) and start-up funds from the Department of Biology, College of Sciences, University of Central Florida. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: Co-funding of this project (to T. Withers) was provided by the New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries Sustainable Farming Fund, NZ Farm Forestry Association, Southwood Exports Ltd, Oji Fibre Solutions NZ Ltd, the Forest Owners Association, and Ministry for **Business Innovation and Employment Strategic** Science Investment Funding to Scion. Co-funding of this project (to B. Sharanowski) was provided by National Science Engineering and Research Council (NSERC), Canada Discovery Grant (http://www. nserc-crsng.gc.ca/Professors-Professeurs/Grants-Subs/DGIGP-PSIGP\_eng.asp) and start-up funds from the Department of Biology, College of Sciences, University of Central Florida. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, interpretation of results, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. This funding does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

present in Tasmania are not host specific to one beetle species alone, but demonstrate some host plasticity across the genera *Paropsisterna* and *Paropsis*. This study is an excellent example of collaborative phylogenetic and biological control research prior to the release of prospective biological control agents, and has important implications for the *Eucalyptus* industry worldwide.

# Introduction

Classical biological control of insects, involving the importation of a specialist parasitoid or predatory organism (agents) from the area of origin to control a pest (target), has proven to be an effective alternative to insecticide use for the control of numerous invasive species [1-3]. Classical biological control is now only considered when environmental safety concerns can be empirically evaluated. Success of classical biological control programs depends on ensuring: (1) an agent is sufficiently host specific to the pest to avoid significant non-target impacts; (2) a phenological match between the target and agent to facilitate efficient control and also prevent non-target impacts; and (3) the agent can survive and reproduce in the novel environment [4, 2, 5–7].

Cryptic species present another challenge for successful biological control [8–11]. Cryptic species complexes are typically comprised of a set of related species that are morphologically indistinguishable or difficult to diagnose based on morphology alone. As the majority of taxonomic works have been based on only morphological characters, cryptic species complexes typically include a set of undescribed species [12]. Molecular taxonomy has revealed numerous cryptic species complexes, particularly within insects [13–18]. If a prospective biological control agent is a part of a cryptic species complex, multiple species may end up being released causing non-target effects, or if the wrong species is released, control of the target pest may not occur [11, 7, 19]. Thus, it is critical to test for the presence of cryptic species by sampling specimens from a wide range of localities from the agent's origin.

Eucalyptus plantations are an important wood and pulp fiber resource for the forestry industry in many countries in the world; however, the survival and expansion of these plantations in New Zealand and elsewhere are under threat due to the presence of insect defoliators, including several species of chrysomelid beetles across several continents [20-22]. Of invasive pests in New Zealand, the most serious is the Eucalyptus Tortoise Beetle, Paropsis charybdis Stål 1860 (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Chrysomelinae: Paropsini) [23, 24, 21]. P. charybdis has two generations per year in New Zealand, with the first generation adults emerging in August and September after overwintering within the leaf litter and under bark (spring generation) [23, 24] (Fig 1). There are four larval instars feeding on both expanding and adult leaves. Pupation of the spring generation occurs from November to December, and after approximately one month the second generation of beetles emerge (summer generation) [23, 24]. The summer generation appears the most damaging. Beetles are present until autumn, and thus a third generation has been proposed but not yet proven [25]. Although native to Australia, P. charybdis is now found virtually throughout New Zealand following its initial invasion to a localized region in 1916 [24]. The extensive damage caused by P. charybdis is likely the result of high female fecundity, wide host range, and a lack of natural enemies attacking the spring generation [26, 27].

Since the 1930s, classical biological control of *P. charybdis* in New Zealand has been attempted repeatedly through the importation and release of larval parasitoids (Tachinidae),



**Fig 1. Generalized life cycle and phenological synchrony of** *Eadya* **in relation to the larval stages of its paropsine beetle hosts in Tasmania.** Although there are two generations of paropsine beetles in Tasmania, *Eadya* are univoltine, attacking only the first (spring) generation (dark gray). *Eadya* are larval parasitoids, typically attacking 2<sup>nd</sup> instar larvae and emerging from the prepupal stage. Despite a second generation of beetle hosts (light gray) available, *Eadya* undergo a ten month obligate pupal diapause period.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.g001

PLOS ONE

egg parasitoids (Pteromalidae), and ladybird beetle predators (Coccinellidae) from Australia [28]. The majority of these agents failed to establish in New Zealand upon release [28]. The

most successful biological control agent thus far was the pteromalid egg parasitoid, *Enoggera nassaui* (Girault, 1926) [28, 21]. This parasitoid was easily reared, had high rates of parasitism within the laboratory, and became established in a number of release locations that initially led to a substantial decline of *P. charybdis* populations. However, parasitism of the first generation of *P. charybdis* by *E. nassaui* was consistently low [26]. This was likely due to a phenological mismatch between parasitoid and host as the egg parasitoid was active too late in the spring (approximately 30 days following the appearance of *P. charybdis* eggs) [29].To rectify the phenological mismatch, wasps from a cooler region of Australia were introduced [26, 27]. Unfortunately, this agent has since been affected by an invasive obligate hyperparasitoid, *Baeoanusia albifuncile* Girault, (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), which reduced the success of the biological control program [30].

To date, biological control agents alone have not been able to control *P. charybdis* consistently. Insecticides are the only alternative for control [31, 32]. Alpha-cypermethrin, a broad-spectrum, synthetic pyrethroid can be used to control *P. charybdis* via aerial spraying. However, alpha-cypermethrin negatively impacts non-target fauna and thus, the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), under which numerous *Eucalyptus* plantations in New Zealand are managed, has restricted the use of these chemicals [33, 32]. Research is now aimed at introducing a successful biological agent that is effective in the cooler climates of New Zealand and active during the first generation of *P. charybdis* [27, 34].

A new potential candidate is the solitary larval parasitoid, Eadya paropsidis Huddleston and Short 1978 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Euphorinae) [35, 36]. This wasp is univoltine and attacks the first generation of paropsine beetles feeding on *Eucalyptus* in Australia (Fig 1). Eadya paropsidis was described along with E. falcata, as the only two known species in the newly erected, Australian endemic genus [37]. The two species are widely separated geographically, with E. paropsidis known from the Australian Capital Territory, New South Wales, Victoria and Tasmania, and E. falcata known from Western Australia [37-39]. The biology of E. falcata is unknown, but *E. paropsidis* has been reared in the field from *Paropsis atomaria* Olivier 1807 (synonym P. reticulata) on mainland Australia [37, 39] and from Paropsisterna bimaculata (Olivier 1807) [38], Paropsisterna agricola (Chapuis 1987) [35], and P. charybdis in Tasmania (Allen, unpublished data). Although Eadya has been moved to Helconinae based on its placement in a one gene dataset [40], its biology and morphology and biology are consistent with its original placement in Euphorinae [41-43], including: attacking exposed chrysomelid beetles; forewing vein 2cu-a absent; forewing vein 3RS curved creating a small marginal cell; and metasomal tergum 1 petiolate [43]. In addition to these characters, species of Eadya can be identified by the presence of an inter-antennal carina and a closed second submarginal cell [43]. Rearing *Eadya* from field collections from a number of locations in Tasmania revealed two color morphs of the silk used to spin the wasp cocoon (Allen, unpublished data), suggesting the possibility of cryptic species of *Eadya*. However, due to a ten month obligate pupal diapause when much laboratory mortality happens, this species is frequently difficult to rear to an adult for morphological identification [36]. Hence using molecular phylogenetic approaches combined with host data from field collected paropsine beetle larvae, we set out to determine if E. paropsidis in Tasmania is: (1) one species or a group of cryptic species; (2) host-specific to P. charybdis and closely related Paropsini; and if so, (3) potentially suitable as an agent for biological control of *P. charybdis* in New Zealand. Wasps were collected from numerous localities across Tasmania over multiple years and reared to determine accurate associations with their paropsine beetle hosts. We utilized three molecular markers and morphology and present one of the most comprehensive datasets to investigate possible cryptic species and host specificity of a prospective classical biological control agent.

# Materials and methods

# Taxon sampling

*Eadya* wasps and larval beetle hosts were collected from multiple field locations ranging from near sea level to sub-alpine (1000 m) in Tasmania, Australia across six years (2011–2016) from November to January (Fig 2). Specimens were collected by hand, sweep net, or malaise trap in the field. Wasps were reared to adulthood (n = 28), collected on the wing (n = 63) or dissected as larvae or pupae (n = 97) from collected paropsine beetle larvae (Table 1). Maps of beetle





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.g002



Wasp Voucher #	Stage	Sex	Host	Location (Tasmania, Australia)	Year	Host Beetle Voucher #	Gene Amplified		Spe Cla	cies ade	Morpho- species	Phylo- species	
							C01	Cytb	28S	COI	Cytb		
BJS196	А	F	P. charybdis	Moina	2012		x	x	x	Α	A	<i>E.</i> sp.1	<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS214	L	?	Pst. nobilitata	Karanja	2013		x	x		Α	Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS215	L	?	Pst. nobilitata	Karanja	2013		x			Α			<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS216	L	?	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS509	x	x	x	Α	Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS217	L	?	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS510	x	x	x	Α	Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS218	L	?	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#2	2013		x	x	х	Α	Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS219	L	?	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#2	2013		x	x	x	Α	Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS220	L	?	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS512	x	x	x	Α	Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS221	L	?	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#2	2013		x	x	х	Α	Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS226	L	?	P. charybdis	Moina	2013		x			Α			<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS377	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014		x	x	x	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS378	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014		x	x	x	Α	Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS379	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014		x	x		Α	Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS380	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014	BJS465	x	x	x	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS381	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014		x	x	х	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS382	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014			x	x		A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS383	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014		x	x		Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS384	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014		x	x	х	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS385	L	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014		x	x	х	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS386	L	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014	BJS471	x	x		Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS387	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014		x	x	х	Α	A		E. sp.1
BJS388	L	?	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS403	L	?	Pst. selmani	Moina	2011		x	x	х	Α	A		E. sp.1
BJS404	L	?	Pst. selmani	Moina	2011		x	x	x	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS405	L	?	Pst. selmani	Moina	2011		x		х	Α			E. sp.1
BJS406	L	?	Pst. selmani	Moina	2011		x	x	x	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS407	L	?	Pst. selmani	Moina	2011		x	x	х	Α	A		E. sp.1
BJS408	L	?	Pst. selmani	Moina	2011		x	x	x	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS409	L	?	P. charybdis	Moina	2011		x	x	x	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS501	A	М	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014		x			Α		<i>E.</i> sp.1	<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS564	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#1	2015	BJS565	x	x	x	Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS566	Р	?	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#1	2015		x	x		Α	A		<i>E.</i> sp.1
BJS199	A	F	P. charybdis	The Lea	2012	BJS200	x		х	В		<i>E.</i> sp.2	<i>E.</i> sp.2
BJS553	L	?	P. aegrota elliotti	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS559	x	x	x	В	n/a		<i>E.</i> sp.2
BJS204	А	F	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2012		x	x		С	С	E. paropsidis	E. paropsidis
BJS205	Α	F	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2012		x	x	x	С	С	E. paropsidis	E. paropsidis
BJS206	Р	?	P. charybdis	Runnymede#1	2012			x	х		С		E. paropsidis
BJS239	А	F	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2012		x	x	х	С	С	E. paropsidis	E. paropsidis
BJS240	L	?	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2013		x	x	x	С	С		E. paropsidis
BJS241	А	F	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2012		x	x	x	С	С	E. paropsidis	E. paropsidis
BJS243	L	?	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2012		x	x	x	С	С		E. paropsidis
BJS389	A	F	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2012		x	x	х		С	E. paropsidis	E. paropsidis
BJS397	L	?	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2013		x	x	x	С	С		E. paropsidis
BJS399	L	?	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2013		x			С			E. paropsidis
BJS554	L	?	P. tasmanica	The Lea	2015		x	x	х	С	С		E. paropsidis

#### Table 1. Data collection table for Eadya from Tasmania, Australia.

Wasp Voucher #	Stage	Sex	Host	Location (Tasmania, Australia)	Year	Host Beetle Voucher #	Gene Amplified		plified Species Clade		Morpho- species	Phylo- species	
							C01	Cytb	28S	COI	Cytb		
BJS562	Р	?	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#1	2015			x			С		E. paropsidis
BJS175	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS177	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS179	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS180	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS182	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS183	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS184	L	?	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2012	BJS503	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS186	A	F	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2012	BJS504	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS188	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x		D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS189	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS191	A	М	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x		D	D	E. sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS192	L	?	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2012		x	x		D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS194	L	?	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2012		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS202	A	F	unknown	Moina	2012		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS203	A	М	unknown	Moina	2012		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E</i> . sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BIS213	L	?	Pst. nobilitata	Karania	2013		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BIS223	L	?	P. charvbdis	Ellendale	2013	BIS515	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BIS224	L	2	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2013	BIS516	x	x		D	D		E sp.3
BIS225	L	2	P charyhdis	Ellendale	2013	BIS517	x	x	x	D	D		E sp 3
BIS227	L	?	Pst agricola	Moina	2013	D)0017	x	x		D	D		E sp.3
BIS228	L	?	Pst_agricola	Moina	2013	BIS520	x	x	x	D	D		E sp.3
BIS229	L	?	Pst_agricola	Moina	2013	BIS521	x	x	x	D	D		E sp 3
BIS230	L	?	Pst_agricola	Moina	2013	BIS522	x	x	x	D	D		E sp.3
BIS231	L	?	Pst_agricola	Moina	2013	D)0022	x	x	x	D	D		E sp.3
BIS232	L	?	Pst_agricola	Moina	2013	BIS524	x		x	D			E sp 3
BIS233	L	?	Pst_agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	0,0021		x			D		E sp.3
BIS234	T	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	BIS526	v	v	v	D	D		E. sp.3
BI\$235	T	?	Pet agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	0,0020	v	v	v	D	D		E sp.3
BI\$236	T	2	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	BIS527	x	x	A V	D	D		E sp.3
BI\$237	T	2	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	BIS528	x	x	A V	D	D		E sp.3
BI\$238	T	2	Det agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	BI\$520	A V	A V	л 	D			E sp.3
BJ5258		: E	unknown	Moina	2013	DJ3529	x	A V	A V			E cp 3	E. sp.3
BJ5245		Г	unknown	Moina	2013		x	A V	A V			E. sp.3	E. sp.3
DJ5240		Г	unknown	Moine	2013		<u>л</u>		<u>л</u>	D	D	E. sp.3	E. sp.3
DJ5247	A	Г	unknown	Moina	2013		X	X	X			<i>E.</i> sp.5	<i>E.</i> sp.5
DJ3248	A	Г	unknown	Moina	2013		X	X	X			<i>E.</i> sp.5	<i>E.</i> sp.5
BJS249	A	F	unknown	Moina	2013		X	x	<u>x</u>	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS251	A	F	unknown	Moina	2013		x		x	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS252	A	F	unknown	Moina	2013		X		X			<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS250	A	F	unknown	Moina	2013		X	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS253	A	F	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	X	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS254	A	F	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS255	A	F	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS256	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS257	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	х	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3

Image: Second Problem         Image: Second Problem         Image: Second Problem         Second Problem <th>Wasp Voucher #</th> <th>Stage</th> <th>Sex</th> <th>Host</th> <th>Location (Tasmania, Australia)</th> <th>Year</th> <th>Host Beetle Voucher #</th> <th colspan="2">Gene Amplified</th> <th colspan="2">mplified Species Clade</th> <th>cies ade</th> <th>Morpho- species</th> <th>Phylo- species</th>	Wasp Voucher #	Stage	Sex	Host	Location (Tasmania, Australia)	Year	Host Beetle Voucher #	Gene Amplified		mplified Species Clade		cies ade	Morpho- species	Phylo- species
Bip259         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         x         D         E.p.3         E.p.3           Bip260         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         k         D         D         E.p.3         E.p.3           Bip260         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         k         D         D         E.p.3         E.p.3           Bip260         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         k         D         D         E.p.3         E.p.93           Bip266         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         k         D         D         E.p.3         E.p.93           Bip266         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         k         D         D         E.p.3         E.p.93           Bip266         A         M         unknown         Moina         2014         x         x         D         D         D.p.p.3         E.p.93           Bip260								C01	Cytb	28S	COI	Cytb		
Bis299         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         x         D         E. ep.3         E. ep.3           Bis2a0         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         x         D         D         E. ep.3         E. ep.3           Bis2a0         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         k         D         D         E. ep.3         E. ep.3           Bis2a6         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         D         D         E. ep.3         E. ep.3           Bis2a6         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         D         D         E. ep.3         E. ep.3           Bis2a6         A         M         unknown         Moina         2013         x         x         K         D         D         D         E. ep.3         E. ep.3           Bis2a6         A         M         unknown         Moina         2014         x         x         K         D         D         D. E. ep.3         E. ep.3	BJS258	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis260AMunknownMoina2013xxxxDDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis261AMunknownMoina2013xxxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis263AMunknownMoina2013xxxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis264AMunknownMoina2013xxxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis266AMunknownMoina2013xxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis266AMunknownMoina2013xxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis267AMunknownMoina2013xxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis268AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis289AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis290AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis290AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDE.9.3E.9.3Bis291AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDE.9.3E.9.3 <td< td=""><td>BJS259</td><td>A</td><td>Μ</td><td>unknown</td><td>Moina</td><td>2013</td><td></td><td>x</td><td>x</td><td>x</td><td>D</td><td>D</td><td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td><td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td></td<>	BJS259	A	Μ	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis261AMunknownMoina2013xxxxDD	BJS260	A	Μ	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bys262AMunknownMoina2013xxxxvDDLLSp3<LLSp3Bys264AMunknownMoina2013CxxxDDLLLSp3LLSp3LLSp3LLSp3LLSp3LLSp3LLSp3LLSp3LLSp3L<	BJS261	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BisEds     A     M     unknown     Moina     2013     x     x     x     x     b     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       BisZebs     A     M     unknown     Moina     2013     A     x     x     x     D     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       BisZebs     A     M     unknown     Moina     2013     X     X     x     x     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       BisZebs     A     M     unknown     Moina     2013     X     X     x     x     D     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       BisZebs     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     X     X     X     X     D     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       BisZebs     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     X     X     X     X     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Biszebs     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     X     X     X     X     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Biszebs     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     X     X     X     V     D     D	BJS262	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis264     A     M     unknown     Moina     201     x     x     x     x     x     b     D	BJS263	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis266AMunknownMoina2013xxxxvDDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis266AMunknownMoina2013xxxxvDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis268AMunknownMoina2013xxxvDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis269AMunknownMoina2014xxxvDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis289AMunknownMoina2014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis289AMunknownMoina2014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis289AMunknownMoina2014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis291AMunknownMoina2014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis292AMunknownRumymcde12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis294AMunknownRumymcde12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis295AMunknownRumymcde12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3Bis297AMunkno	BJS264	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BjS266AMunknownMoina2013xxxxbDDEE9.3EE9.3BjS268AMunknownMoina2013xxxVDDEE9.3BjS268AMunknownMoina2013xxxVDDEE9.3BjS287AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDEE9.3EE9.3BjS287AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDEE9.3EE9.3BjS290AMunknownMoina2014xxxxDDEE9.3EE9.3BjS291AMunknownMoina2014xxxxDDEE9.3E5.9.3BjS292AMunknownRunnymede112014xxxDDEE9.3E9.3E9.3BBSMunknownRunnymede12014xxxDDEE9.3E9.3BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB </td <td>BJS265</td> <td>A</td> <td>М</td> <td>unknown</td> <td>Moina</td> <td>2013</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>D</td> <td>D</td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td>	BJS265	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis267     A     M     unknown     Moina     2013     x     x     v     x     D     L     E.p.3     E.s.9.3       Bis268     A     M     unknown     Moina     2013     x     V     V     D     D     E.s.9.3       Bis287     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     x     V     V     D     D     E.s.9.3       Bis288     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     x     V     V     D     D     E.s.9.3       Bis289     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     x     V     V     D     D     E.s.9.3       Bis291     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     x     V     V     D     D     E.s.9.3       Bis291     A     F     unknown     Moina     2014     x     V     V     D     D     E.s.9.3       Bis291     A     M     unknown     Runymeder1     2014     x     X     V     D     D     E.s.9.3       Bis295     A     M     unknown     Runymeder1     2014     x     X     V     D     D     E.s.9.3       Bis297	BJS266	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis268     A     M     Inknown     Moina     2013     x	BJS267	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x		x	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis289     A     M     unknown     Moina     2013     v.v.     v.v.     v.v.     v.v.     V.v.     Lep.3     Lep.3       Bis287     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     v.v.     v.v.     V.v.     D     D     Lep.3<	BJS268	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x		x	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis287     A     M     uknown     Moina     2014     x<	BJS269	A	М	unknown	Moina	2013		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis288     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     x	BJS287	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x		D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis289     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     (mot     x    x <th< td=""><td>BJS288</td><td>A</td><td>М</td><td>unknown</td><td>Moina</td><td>2014</td><td></td><td>x</td><td>x</td><td>x</td><td>D</td><td>D</td><td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td><td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td></th<>	BJS288	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
Bis290     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     x     x     x     x     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Bis291     A     M     unknown     Moina     2014     x     x     x     x     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Bis292     A     M     unknown     Runnymede1     2014     x     x     x     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Bis294     A     M     unknown     Runnymede1     2014     x     x     x     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Bis297     A     M     unknown     Runnymede1     2014     x     x     x     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Bis297     A     M     unknown     Runnymede1     2014     x     x     x     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Bis298     A     M     unknown     Runnymede1     2014     x     x     x     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Bis300     A     M     unknown     Runnymede1     2014     x     x     x     D     D     E.sp.3     E.sp.3       Bis301     A     F     unknown	BJS289	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x			D		<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
B)S291AMunknownMoina2014xxxxbD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S292AFunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S294AMunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S295AMunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S296AMunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S296AMunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S297AMunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S298AMunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S300AMunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S301AMunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S302AFunknownRunnymede12014xxxDD $E, p,3$ $E, p,3$ B)S304AFunknownRunnymede12014x <td>BJS290</td> <td>A</td> <td>М</td> <td>unknown</td> <td>Moina</td> <td>2014</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>D</td> <td>D</td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td>	BJS290	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS292AFunknownMoina2014xxxxvDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS293AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxvDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS295AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS296AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxVDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS297AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS298AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS298AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS299AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS301AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS304AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS305AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS305AFunknownRunnymed#12014x<	BJS291	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS293AMunknownRunnymede#12014//xxxxD $B. e, p.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS294AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS296AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS296AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS297AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS298AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS301AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS301AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS302AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS304AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS305AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDD $E. sp$	BJS292	A	F	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x		D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BS294AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxvDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS295AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS296AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS297AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS298AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS299AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS301AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS302AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS303AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS304AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BS305AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$	BJS293	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014			x	x		D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
B[295AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S296AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S297AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S298AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S299AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S300AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S301AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S302AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S304AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S305AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S306AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3B[S307AMunknownRunnymed#12014x </td <td>BJS294</td> <td>A</td> <td>М</td> <td>unknown</td> <td>Runnymede#1</td> <td>2014</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td>D</td> <td>D</td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td> <td>E. sp.3</td>	BJS294	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x		D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
B S296AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S297AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S298AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S299AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S300AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S301AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S302AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S302AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S303AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S305AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S306AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3B S306AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxx <td>BJS295</td> <td>A</td> <td>М</td> <td>unknown</td> <td>Runnymede#1</td> <td>2014</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>D</td> <td>D</td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td> <td>E. sp.3</td>	BJS295	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
B[5297AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E.p.3$ $E.p.3$ B[5298AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5299AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5300AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5301AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5302AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5303AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5304AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5305AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5306AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5306AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDD $E.sp.3$ $E.sp.3$ B[5307AMunknownRunn	BJS296	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BjS298AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS299AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS300AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS301AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS302AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS303AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS304AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS305AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS306AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS307AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. \text{ sp.3}$ $E. \text{ sp.3}$ BjS308AMunknownRunnymed#1 <td< td=""><td>BJS297</td><td>A</td><td>М</td><td>unknown</td><td>Runnymede#1</td><td>2014</td><td></td><td>x</td><td>x</td><td>x</td><td>D</td><td>D</td><td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td><td>E. sp.3</td></td<>	BJS297	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
Bis299AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis300AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis301AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis302AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis303AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis304AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis305AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis306AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis307AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis308AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis309AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ Bis310A	BJS298	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS300AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS301AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS302AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS303AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS304AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS305AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS306AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS307AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS307AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS308AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS310AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. s$	BJS299	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS01AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS02AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS03AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS304AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS305AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS306AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS307AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS308AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS309AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS310AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS310AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$	BJS300	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS302AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS303AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS304AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS305AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS306AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS307AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS308AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS309AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS310AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS310AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS312AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxDDE.sp.3E.sp.3BJS313AFunknownRunnymed#12014 <td>BJS301</td> <td>A</td> <td>М</td> <td>unknown</td> <td>Runnymede#1</td> <td>2014</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>D</td> <td>D</td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td> <td>E. sp.3</td>	BJS301	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS03AFunknownRunnymede#12014 $x$	BJS302	A	F	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS304AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS305AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS306AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS307AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS308AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS309AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS310AMunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS312AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS313AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS314AFunknownRunnymed#12014xxxxDD $E. \text{sp.3}$ $E. \text{sp.3}$ BJS315AFunknownEllendale2014x<	BJS303	A	F	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014			x	x		D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS305AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS306AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS307AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS308AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS309AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS310AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS312AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS314AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS315AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS316AFunknownEllendale2014x <td>BJS304</td> <td>A</td> <td>F</td> <td>unknown</td> <td>Runnymede#1</td> <td>2014</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>x</td> <td>D</td> <td>D</td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td> <td>E. sp.3</td>	BJS304	A	F	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS306AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS307AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS308AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS309AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS310AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS312AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxD $D.$ $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS314AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS315AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS316AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS318A	BJS305	A	F	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS307AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS308AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS309AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS310AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS312AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS314AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS315AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS316AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS317AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS318AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS319AMunknownMoina2014x<	BJS306	A	F	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014			x	x		D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS308AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS309AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS310AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS312AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS314AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS315AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS316AFunknownEllendale2014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS317AFunknownEllendale2014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS318AFunknownEllendale2014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS319AMunknownMoina2014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS319AMu	BJS307	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS309AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS310AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS312AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS314AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS315AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS316AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS317AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS318AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS319AMunknownMoina2014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS320AMunknownMoina2014xxxDD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$	BJS308	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS310AMunknownRunnymede#12014xxxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS312AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS314AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS315AFunknownBlendale2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS316AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS317AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS318AFunknownEllendale2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS319AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS320AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3BJS320AMunknownMoina2014xxxDDE. sp.3E. sp.3	BJS309	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS312AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS313AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS314AFunknownRunnymede#12014xxD $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS315AFunknownEllendale2014xxxD $D$ $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS316AFunknownEllendale2014xxxD $D$ $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS317AFunknownEllendale2014xxxD $D$ $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS318AFunknownEllendale2014xxxD $D$ $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS319AMunknownMoina2014xxxD $D$ $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$ BJS320AMunknownMoina2014xxxD $D$ $E. sp.3$ $E. sp.3$	BJS310	A	М	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS313       A       F       unknown       Runnymede#1       2014       x       x       x       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS314       A       F       unknown       Runnymede#1       2014       x       x       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS314       A       F       unknown       Runnymede#1       2014       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS315       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS316       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS316       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS317       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS318       A       F       unknown       Moina       2014       x       x       x       D <td>BJS312</td> <td>A</td> <td>F</td> <td>unknown</td> <td>Runnymede#1</td> <td>2014</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>D</td> <td></td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td> <td><i>E.</i> sp.3</td>	BJS312	A	F	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x		x	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS314       A       F       unknown       Runnymede#1       2014       x       x       x       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS315       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS315       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS316       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS316       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS317       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS318       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS319       A       M       unknown       Moina       2014       x	BJS313	A	F	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x		x	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS315       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS316       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS316       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS317       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS317       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS318       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS319       A       M       unknown       Moina       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS320       A       M       unknown       Moina       2	BJS314	A	F	unknown	Runnymede#1	2014		x		x	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS316       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS317       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS317       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS318       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS319       A       M       unknown       Moina       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS320       A       M       unknown       Moina       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3	BJS315	A	F	unknown	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS317       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS318       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS318       A       F       unknown       Ellendale       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS319       A       M       unknown       Moina       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3         BJS320       A       M       unknown       Moina       2014       x       x       x       D       D       E. sp.3       E. sp.3	BJS316	A	F	unknown	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS318         A         F         unknown         Ellendale         2014         x         x         x         D         D         E. sp.3         E. sp.3           BJS319         A         M         unknown         Moina         2014         x         x         x         D         D         E. sp.3         E. sp.3           BJS319         A         M         unknown         Moina         2014         x         x         D         D         E. sp.3         E. sp.3           BJS320         A         M         unknown         Moina         2014         x         x         x         D         D         E. sp.3         E. sp.3	BJS317	A	F	unknown	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS319         A         M         unknown         Moina         2014         x         x         x         D         D         E. sp.3         E. sp.3           BJS320         A         M         unknown         Moina         2014         x         x         x         D         D         E. sp.3         E. sp.3	BJS318	A	F	unknown	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS320 A M unknown Moina 2014 x x x D D E. sp.3 E. sp.3	BJS319	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
	BJS320	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3

Wasp Voucher #	Stage	Sex	Host	Location (Tasmania, Australia)	Year	Host Beetle Voucher #	Gene Amplified		Amplified Species Clade		Morpho- species	Phylo- species	
							C01	Cytb	28S	COI	Cytb		
BJS321	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS322	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS323	A	М	unknown	Moina	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS324	A	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS325	A	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS326	A	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS327	A	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS414	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS328	A	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS415	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS329	A	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS416	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS330	A	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS331	A	М	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS418	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS332	A	М	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS333	A	М	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS420	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS334	A	М	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS335	A	М	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014		x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS336	A	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS423	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS337	A	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS424	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS338	A	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS425	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS339	A	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS426	x	x	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	E. sp.3
BJS341	L	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS428	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS342	L	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014			x	x		D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS343	L	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014			x	x		D		E. sp.3
BJS344	L	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS431	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS345	L	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS432	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS346	L	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	· · · · ·	x	x	x	D	D		E. sp.3
BJS347	L	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS434	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS348	L	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS435	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS349	L	?	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS436	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS350	L	?	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS437	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS351	L	?	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS438	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS352	L	?	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	,	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS353	L	?	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014		x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS354	L	?	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS441	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS355	L	?	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS442	x	x		D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BIS359	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	,	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BIS361	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BIS447	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BIS362	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	, -		x	x		D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BIS363	L	3	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BIS449	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BIS364	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	_)~ _ >	x	x	x	D	D		E. sp.3
BIS366	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BIS451	x	x	x	D	D		<i>E</i> , sp.3
BIS367	L	?	Pst_agricola	Moina	2014	BIS452	x	x	x	D	D		E sp 3
BIS368	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BIS453	x	x		D	D		E. sp 3
BIS369	P	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BIS454	x	x	x	D	D		E. sp 3
BIS370	p	?	Pst agricola	Moina	2014	2,0101	v	v	л v	D	D		E sp 3
BIS371	T	?	Pst agricola	Runnymede#1	2015		v	^	v v	D			E sp 3
2,00/1	<b>_</b>	· ·							л	~			2.97.5

Wasp Voucher #	Stage	Sex	Host	Location (Tasmania, Australia)	Year	Host Beetle Voucher #	Gene	Ampl	ified	Spe Cl	ecies ade	Morpho- species	Phylo- species
							C01	Cytb	28S	COI	Cytb		
BJS372	L	?	P. charybdis	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS457	x	х	x	D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS373	L	Ś	Pst. bimaculata	Ellendale	2014				x				<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS374	Α	М	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS459	x	х	x	D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS376	Р	Ś	Pst. bimaculata	Moina	2014	BJS461	x	x		D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS391	A	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2013		x	x		D	D	<i>E.</i> sp.3	<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS393	L	?	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2012	BJS477	x	x		D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS394	L	?	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2012			x			D		<i>E.</i> sp.3
BJS410	L	?	Pst. agricola	Moina	2012		x	x		D	D		<i>E.</i> sp.3

Voucher numbers are referenced in Genbank Accession Numbers. Stage: A = Adult, L = Larva. Sex is only known for adult wasps. For host, *P. = Paropsis, Pst. = Paropsisterna*; *Pst.* variicollis\* refers to the *Pst. variicollis* complex whose taxonomic status across southern Australia is unresolved and hence was undeterminable to an exact phylospecies. If the wasp was reared from a beetle that was extracted for DNA, the beetle voucher number is listed. Successful amplification of genes is listed with an "x" under the appropriate gene. For species clades and phylospecies, refer to the phylogenetic analyses (see results).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.t001

distributions by species are depicted in Supporting Information S1 Fig and the distribution of *Pst. selmani* Reid and de Little, 2013 across Tasmania can be found in Reid and de Little [44]. All Tasmanian collections were made from public land and roadsides not requiring permission with the exceptions of sampling and/or sentinel trials undertaken in plantations at Moina, Ellendale and Frankford, with permission obtained from Forestry Tasmania (Tim Wardlaw). Permission to collect at sites at Runnymede were obtained from Ifarm (Nick Martyn), and from 2016 onward from PF Olsen Australia (Robin Dickson). Comparative samples for beetles were obtained in New Zealand. Collections of *P. charybdis* were made with permission of the land manager at Poronui Station–Mr. Steve Smith, Westervelt Company, Taupo, New Zealand. New Zealand collections of *Pst. variicollis* were made under New Zealand Environmental Protection Authority permission for Scion to collect this species and breed it as a new organism in containment, approval number: NOC100191. Sampling at all locations did not involve endangered or protected species.

Additional *Eadya* specimens were obtained through sentinel larval trials. These trials involved placing laboratory-reared, parasitoid free,  $2^{nd}$  instar paropsine larvae on *E. nitens* branches in the field to assess levels of parasitism by species of *Eadya*. On each *E. nitens* tree, sentinel larvae were placed on foliage of a branch of approximately 1 cm diameter that was tied down firmly to a stake in the ground to prevent contact with other branches, and hence loss of sentinel larvae to neighboring branches. The stake and the branch leading to the main stem were smothered in Tanglefoot<sup>\*\*</sup> (The Scotts Company, Ohio, USA) to reduce predation and larval wandering. Branch foliage was then clipped back to approximately 0.33 m<sup>2</sup>. All insects and spiders that were located on that foliage were carefully removed. When confident that the foliage was free of arthropods, laboratory-reared beetle larvae were released onto each branch. Larvae were left for 72 hours before those remaining were carefully removed from each branch, into separate plastic aerated containers, one for each replicate, and returned to the laboratory for rearing to pupation or wasp emergence within a Contherm<sup>TM</sup> chamber set at 20 ± 1°C and 16:8 L:D cycle. Emerged parasitoids were preserved in ethanol for molecular analysis.

Three different paropsine beetles were reared in the laboratory for the sentinel trials: P. charybdis, Pst. agricola, and Pst. selmani. Paropsis charybdis larvae were obtained from colonies initiated each season from adults collected from Hobart, Tasmania off Eucalyptus ovata and E. viminalis. Pairs were maintained in cages at the University of Tasmania with E. viminalis branches at room temperature. Larvae of Pst. agricola and Pst. selmani were obtained as eggs laid on juvenile foliage of *E. nitens* from Moina (41°32'27"S 146°04'38"E), Northern Tasmania and maintained in the laboratory on cut juvenile leaves of E. nitens. A preliminary sentinel trial was conducted in an E. nitens plantation in Moina in December 2011 to establish appropriate methodology. For each replicate (tree), 25 larvae were placed per branch, with 6 replicates of Pst. agricola and Pst. selmani, and 4 replicates of P. charybdis. The sentinel trials were repeated between the 5<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> of December 2012 using just *P. charybdis* (n = 767) and *Pst*. agricola (n = 394) with higher numbers of larvae per tree (either 50 or 100) at the following sites: Ellendale (42°38'07.24"S 146°45'04.24"E) (4 replicates per species), Moina (3 replicates per species), Runnymede (42°38'08.9"S 147°33'57.9"E) (3 replicates of P. charybdis), Mount Nelson (45°55' 42"S 147° 18'25"E) (4 replicates of *P. charybdis*), and The Lea (45°56'43"S 147° 18'50"E) (2 replicates of *P. charybdis*), with the latter two sites being native vegetation rather than plantation sites.

Wherever possible, since paropsine beetles typically lay eggs in batches, reared Eadya specimens for molecular determination were taken from differing host larval groupings to maximize the chance that each Eadya were from different mothers. Beetle hosts included eight species from two different genera (Paropsis (abbreviated P.) and Paropsisterna (abbreviated as Pst.): Pst. agricola, Pst. bimaculata, Pst. nobilitata (Erichson 1842), Pst. selmani (only recovered from sentinel trials), Pst. variicollis (Chapuis 1877), P. aegrota elliotti Selman, 1983, P. charybdis, and P. tasmanica (Tables 1 and 2). The taxonomic status of Pst. variicollis is not clear, particularly with respect to two other names in use, Pst. obovata (Chapuis, 1877) and Pst. cloelia (Stål, 1860) (Chris Reid, Australian Museum, personal communication). This binomial could be valid or it may be a synonym of *Pst. cloelia*, and thus we refer to this taxon as *Pst. variicollis*\* for the remainder of the paper to prevent further confusion. Further, an urgent revision is needed due to the recent invasion of New Zealand of Pst. variicollis\*. Adult beetle voucher specimens were also sampled to have an accurately identified reference library to compare with DNA extracted from putatively identified beetle larvae (Table 2). This is important for field collected hosts, as larval paropsine beetle identifications can be challenging. Finally, several specimens of *P. charybdis*, *Pst. variicollis*<sup>\*</sup> and one specimen of *Pst. beata* (Newman 1842) collected from New Zealand were also sampled (Table 2). All wasp and beetle voucher specimens are maintained at the University of Central Florida Collection of Arthropods or the Australian National Insect Collection (Tables 1 and 2 and S1 Table).

# **Genetic sampling**

A total of 188 wasps and 94 beetles were extracted for DNA and molecular analysis. Three gene regions were amplified, including two mitochondrial genes (Cytochrome oxidase I [*COI*] and Cytochrome b [*Cytb*]) and one nuclear gene (28S rDNA regions D1-D3 [28S]). *COI* has long been the standard for species delimitation in insects [45, 16, 46, 18, 47] including Braconidae [48, 49, 17, 19]. *Cytb* is generally more conserved but can help provide an independent test to prevent overestimations of species [50]. Additionally, 28S has several variable regions (i.e., regions of ambiguous alignment) [51, 52] that could potentially provide useful characters for species delimitation and thus was selected for amplification.

DNA was extracted and genes amplified from wasps (Table 1) and a subset of their beetle hosts (Table 2). Genomic DNA extraction of the wasps and beetle hosts was done using the

#### Table 2. Data collection table for paropsine beetles.

Beetle Voucher #	Stage	Method (F = Field, S = Sentinel)	Identified as	Location	Year	Wasp Voucher #	Phylospecies
BJS200	L	F	P. charybdis	The Lea	2012	BJS199	P. charybdis
BJS201	L	S	P. charybdis	The Lea	2012	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS270	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS271	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS273	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS274	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS275	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS276	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS277	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS278	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS280	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS281	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS282	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS283	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS284	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS285	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS286	A	F	P. charybdis	Upper Hutt, NZL	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS414	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS327	Pst. agricola
BJS415	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS328	Pst. agricola
BJS416	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS329	Pst. agricola
BJS418	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS331	Pst. agricola
BJS420	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS333	Pst. agricola
BJS423	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS336	Pst. agricola
BJS424	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS337	Pst. agricola
BJS425	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS338	Pst. agricola
BJS426	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS339	Pst. agricola
BJS428	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS341	Pst. agricola
BJS429	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	n/a	Pst. agricola
BJS430	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	n/a	Pst. agricola
BJS431	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS344	Pst. agricola
BJS432	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS345	Pst. agricola
BJS434	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS347	Pst. agricola
BJS435	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS348	Pst. agricola
BJS436	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS349	Pst. agricola
BJS437	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS350	Pst. agricola
BJS438	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS351	Pst. agricola
BJS441	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS354	Pst. agricola
BJS442	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	BJS355	Pst. agricola
BJS443	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2014	n/a	Pst. agricola
BJS447	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS361	Pst. agricola
BJS448	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	n/a	Pst. agricola
BJS449	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS363	Pst. agricola
BJS451	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS366	Pst. agricola
BJS452	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS367	Pst. agricola
BJS453	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS368	Pst. agricola
BJS454	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS369	Pst. agricola
BJS457	L	F	P. charybdis	Runnymede#1	2014	BJS372	P. charybdis

Beetle Voucher #	Stage	Method (F = Field, S = Sentinel)	Identified as	Location	Year	Wasp Voucher #	Phylospecies
BJS459	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	BJS374	Pst. agricola
BJS460	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2014	n/a	Pst. agricola
BJS461	L	F	Pst. bimaculata	Moina	2014	BJS376	Pst. bimaculata
BJS465	L	F	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014	BJS380	Pst. variicollis*
BJS471	L	F	Pst. variicollis*	The Lea	2014	BJS386	Pst. variicollis*
BJS477	L	F	Pst. agricola	Ellendale	2012	BJS393	Pst. agricola
BJS503	L	S	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2012	BJS184	P. charybdis
BJS504	L	S	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2012	BJS186	P. charybdis
BJS506	L	S	P. charybdis	Runnymede#1	2012	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS509	L	F	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS216	Pst. variicollis*
BJS510	L	F	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS217	Pst. variicollis*
BJS512	L	F	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS220	Pst. variicollis*
BJS515	L	F	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2013	BJS223	P. charybdis
BJS516	L	F	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2013	BJS224	P. charybdis
BJS517	L	F	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2013	BJS225	P. charybdis
BJS518	L	F	P. charybdis	Ellendale	2013	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS520	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2013	BJS228	Pst. agricola
BJS521	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2013	BJS229	Pst. agricola
BJS522	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2013	BJS230	Pst. agricola
BJS524	L	F	Pst. agricola	Moina	2013	BJS232	Pst. agricola
BJS526	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS234	Pst. agricola
BJS527	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS236	Pst. agricola
BJS528	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS237	Pst. agricola
BJS529	L	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS238	Pst. agricola
BJS531	A	F	P. charybdis	Olinda Grove	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS532	A	F	Pst. decolorata	Olinda Grove	2014	n/a	Pst. decolorata
BJS533	A	F	P. tasmanica	Olinda Grove	2014	n/a	P. tasmanica
BJS535	A	F	P. charybdis	Runnymede#1	2014	n/a	P. charybdis
BJS537	A	F	Pst. agricola	Runnymede#1	2014	n/a	Pst. agricola
BJS539	A	F	Pst. bimaculata	Ellendale	2014	n/a	Pst. bimaculata
BJS543	A	F	P. aegrota elliotti	Moina	2014	n/a	P. aegrota elliotti
BJS545	A	F	Pst. variicollis*	Seven Miles Beach	2014	n/a	Pst. variicollis*
BJS547	A	F	Pst. decolorata	Runnymede#1	2014	n/a	Pst. decolorata
BJS557	L	F	P. tasmanica	The Lea	2015	n/a	P. tasmanica
BJS558	L	F	P. aegrota elliotti	Runnymede#2	2013	n/a	Pst. variicollis*
BJS559	L	F	P. aegrota elliotti	Runnymede#2	2013	BJS553	P. aegrota elliotti
BJS561	L	F	P. tasmanica	Runnymede#2	2015	n/a	P. tasmanica
BJS565	L	F	Pst. variicollis*	Runnymede#1	2015	BJS564	Pst. variicollis*
BJS568	A	F	Pst. variicollis*	Hawkes Bay, NZL	2016	n/a	Pst. variicollis*
BJS569	A	F	Pst. variicollis*	Hawkes Bay, NZL	2016	n/a	Pst. variicollis*
BJS570	A	F	Pst. variicollis*	Hawkes Bay, NZL	2016	n/a	Pst. variicollis*
BJS572	A	F	Pst. variicollis*	Hawkes Bay, NZL	2016	n/a	Pst. variicollis*
BJS573	A	F	Pst. variicollis*	Hawkes Bay, NZL	2016	n/a	Pst. variicollis*
BJS574	A	F	Pst. variicollis*	Hawkes Bay, NZL	2016	n/a	Pst. variicollis*
BJS575	A	F	Pst. variicollis*	Hawkes Bay, NZL	2016	n/a	Pst. variicollis*
T12-4654A	A	F	Pst. beata	Upper Hutt, NZL	2012	n/a	Pst. beata



Beetle Voucher #	Stage	Method (F = Field, S = Sentinel)	Identified as	Location	Year	Wasp Voucher #	Phylospecies
T16-01003B	А	F	Pst. variicollis*	Napier, NZL	2016	n/a	Pst. variicollis*

Voucher numbers are referenced in Genbank Accession Numbers. All locations are in Tasmania, except where noted. Stage: A = Adult, L = Larva. If the beetle was host to a parasitoid that was extracted for DNA, the wasp voucher number is listed. The identified species was the original identification before analysis, and phylospecies based on the phylogenetic analysis of *COI*. *P*. = *Paropsis*, *Pst.* = *Paropsisterna*; *Pst. variicollis*\* refers to the *Pst. variicollis* complex whose taxonomic status across southern Australia is unresolved (see text)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.t002

DNEasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). The metasoma was separated from the adult and dissected pupal wasps to increase DNA concentration and ensure a voucher specimen was available postextraction for morphological examination. Larval wasps that had emerged from their host (prior to pupation) were ground with a sterilized pestle prior to extraction. Similarly, the associated beetle larvae from which the wasp emerged was also pulverized prior to extraction to ensure adequate DNA recovery as most beetle hosts were in poor condition after parasitization. DNA was also extracted from beetles collected as adults and vouchers retained and only COI was amplified as a tool to provide barcode confirmations on larval identifications. All PCR reactions were performed using 0.2-1 µg DNA extract, 1 X Standard Taq Buffer (New England Biolabs (NEB), U.S.A.) (10 mm Tris-HCl, 50 mm KCl, 1.5 mm MgCl2), 200 µm dNTP (NEB), 4 mm MgSO, 400 nm of each primer, 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (NEB) and purified water to a final volume of  $25 \,\mu$ L. All primers and associated thermal cycling conditions are listed in <u>S2 Table</u>. Reaction products were cleaned with Agencourt CleanSEQ magnetic beads and sequenced in both directions using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, U.S.A.) and the Applied Biosystems 3730xl DNA Analyzer at the University of Kentucky, Advanced Genetic Technologies Center (UK-AGTC). Contigs were assembled and sequences edited for quality using Geneious v. 8.1.8 [53]. Sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KX989891-KX990220, KY031346-KY031518 and MH107809-MH107817 for Eadya and MH237732-MH237825 for beetles.

#### **Phylogenetic analyses**

Gene alignments were completed for *COI* and *Cytb* by hand using the reading frame as a guide with Bioedit v.7.1.3 [54]. There were no indels present in either gene and thus the alignments were unambiguous. A modified [52] secondary structure model [51] was used to align 28S. Regions of ambiguous alignment (RAAs), and regions of expansion and contraction (RECs) were not excluded from the data set as we assumed most informative characters for this gene would be contained within these regions that can be hyper variable across genera. For each gene, the best fitting model of DNA sequence evolution for nucleotide analyses were determined using jModelTest v.0.1.1 [55] under the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). The model with the lowest calculated BIC score was considered the best-fitting model for each gene. Depending on the gene, either one or two species from other braconid subfamilies were used as outgroups *Afrocampsis* sp. (Acampsohelconinae) and *Eumacrocentrus americanus* (Cresson 1873) (Helconinae) to ensure the ingroup was monophyletic.

For individual and concatenated data sets, Bayesian inference with two independent runs each with four chains and default priors was run in MrBayes v.3.2.6 [56]. An independent molecular model was applied to each partition in the concatenated data set (partitioned by gene) and different parameters of the model were unlinked to allow each partition to have its own set of estimations for parameters. The rate parameter was set to vary across different

partitions to incorporate rate heterogeneity across partitions. Data sets for all gene alignments were deposited in Figshare (https://figshare.com/): 10.6084/m9.figshare.6149219.

All analyses were performed for 5,000,000 generations sampling every 1000<sup>th</sup> generation and the results from the two independent runs were summarized in a majority rule consensus tree after discarding the initial 25% of the trees for burn-in. Stationarity and appropriate mixing of the two independent runs were determined when the average standard deviation of split frequencies approached 0.01, the Potential Scale Reduction Factor (PSRF) for each parameter of the model was close to 1, and the overlay plots of both runs showed the number of generations versus the log probability of the data were heteroscedastic. Average intraclade and interclade genetic distances were calculated using Kimura's two-parameter model [57] using MEGA v.7.0.14 [58].

### Morphological examination

We examined all adult specimens of *Eadya* collected for the purposes of this study (Table 1, adults), plus additional specimens collected in malaise traps, the paratype of *E. paropsidis*, the holotype and paratype of *E. falcata*, and some museum specimens (see additional material examined in S1 Table). We initially sorted specimens into morphotypes and observed four distinct morphospecies. One perfectly matched the description and paratype of E. paropsidis. Based on examination of all material, Eadya sp. 1 and sp. 2 were morphologically distinct with observable differences in several morphological characters from *E. paropsidis* or *E. falcata*. For example, Eadya sp. 1 and 2 do not possess a transverse carinae on the propodeum as in E. paropsidis, and have more impressed notauli than E. falcata. Further, Eadya sp. 1 lack median tubercles on the clypeus. However, the fourth morphospecies was very similar to E. paropsidis but was distinctly smaller in size. Parasitoids can vary in size due to the size of their host and nutritional factors during larval development. However, in their original description of Eadya, Huddleston and Short (37) noted variation across E. paropsidis, particularly a series of eight specimens that were smaller in size and had a less concave occiput. Although they chose not to describe these variants as a new species, we chose to separate the smaller specimens (as *Eadya* sp. 3) to test whether or not it was indeed a distinct species. Although we discuss the molecular results in context with the morphological examinations of morphospecies, descriptions of the new species are fully described in a separate paper as Eadya annleckiae Ridenbaugh 2018 (sp. 1); Eadya spitzer Ridenbaugh 2018 (sp. 2), and Eadya daenerys Ridenbaugh 2018 (sp. 3) [43].

# Results

#### Parasitism rates of paropsine beetles

A total of 2924 field collected paropsine beetle larvae across 10 beetle species, comprising over 135 independent collections (groups of larvae from same egg batch) were reared over six years (Table 3). Four beetle species had substantially higher (>18%) parasitism rates: *P. tasmanica*, *P. variicollis*\*, *P. charybdis* and *Pst. agricola*, whereas no *Eadya* were reared from three beetle species. For the sentinel trials, the number of larvae recovered (n = 616) and the percent parasitized by *Eadya* or unidentified Tachinidae is presented in Table 4 for the preliminary trial at Moina in 2011 and the more substantial trials at five locations in 2012. The lack of parasitism of *Pst. agricola* by *Eadya* at Moina in 2011 was unexpected, but the timing of the trial was very late in the flight season for the species of *Eadya* that parasitizes this host. In the 2012 trials, species of *Eadya* parasitized beetle larvae at four of the five sites (Table 4). At two of the plantation sites in 2012, there were high levels of parasitism by tachinid flies.



Beetle Species	No. Collected	No. Independent Collections	No. Locations	No. Years	Parasitism Rate (%)
Paropsis aegrota elliotti Selman, 1983	89	17	11	3	2.2
Paropsis charybdis Stål, 1860	65	13	9	4	18.0
Paropsis delittlei Selman 1983	92	6	3	2	0
Paropsis tasmanica Baly, 1866	151	16	8	5	21.9
Paropsisterna agricola (Chapuis, 1877)	1279	23*	11	4	27.0
Paropsisterna bimaculata (Olivier, 1807)	281	10*	5	3	0.7
Paropsisterna decolorata(Chapuis, 1877)	83	12	8	1	0
Paropsisterna morio (Fabricius, 1787)	6	1	1	1	0
Paropsisterna nobilitata (Erichson, 1842)	98	11	7	3	2.0
Paropsisterna variicollis* (Chapuis 1877)	780	26	12	4	30.1

Table 3. Summary of field collected beetle larvae collected across various locations and years across Tasmania and the overall parasitism rate by Eadya.

Since paropsine beetles lay eggs in batches, number of independent collections refers to the number of differing larval groupings collected to maximize the chance of finding *Eadya* parasitism.

\* Indicates a minimum as some independent collections were pooled.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.t003

# Species delimitation in Eadya

For COI, a total of 672 characters and 177 taxa were included in the analysis, including one outgroup. Four distinct clades were recovered and well supported (pp = 1.0) (Figs 3A and S2) and assigned as putative species based on a phylogenetic species concept (monophyly with high support) [59, 60] and a distinct barcoding gap (greater interclade distance than intraclade distance) [61]. Of the delineated morphospecies, Eadya sp. 1 corresponded to Clade A, Eadya sp. 2 to Clade B, E. paropsidis to Clade C and Eadya sp. 3 to Clade D (Fig 3A). Clade D had some interclade structure, labeled Clade D1 and D2 (S2 Fig). We consider both of these clades to correspond to Eadya sp. 3 because: (1) there are no amino acid differences between sequences of the members of these two clades; (2) the genetic distance between the two clades was only 1.1%; and (3) the two clades were not well supported. Thus, our four delineated morphospecies correspond perfectly to the four phylogenetic species delineated with COI. The average interclade genetic distances between all putative phylospecies of Eadya (Clades A-D) ranged from 8.7% to 31.2% (Table 5A), well above typical DNA barcoding thresholds (~2–3%) for species delimitation [62, 14], including in Braconidae [49, 19]. There was also very low average intraclade variation, with most clades exhibiting less than 1% genetic distance across all taxa, even though specimens within clades were sampled from different hosts, localities, and across different years (Table 1 and Table 5A).

Table 4.	Data from sentinel trials	, including parasitism rates	of recovered sentinels by	<i>Eadya</i> and Tachini	dae following 72 hours in the field.
----------	---------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------------

Location, Year	Sentinel Beetle Host	% Parasitized by Eadya	% Parasitized by Tachinidae	Total larvae recovered
Moina, 2011	P. charybdis	3.3	0	30
	Pst. agricola	0	2.8	72
	Pst. selmani	11.8	7.9	76
Ellendale, 2012	P. charybdis	5.0	4.1	121
	Pst. agricola	6.0	9.6	83
Moina, 2012	P. charybdis	3.1	29.0	174
	Pst. agricola	12.9	20.9	158
Runnymede, 2012	P. charybdis	6.0	0	50
Mount Nelson, 2012	P. charybdis	6.3	0	94
The Lea, 2012	P. charybdis	0	0	66

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.t004



**Fig 3. Bayesian analysis of individual gene trees with major clades collapsed based on a phylogenetic species concept.** Posterior probabilities are listed near the relevant nodes. Clades and corresponding putative species are labeled. Scale bars refer to number of substitutions for tree branches. A. *Cytochrome oxidase I (COI)* mtDNA. **B.** *Cytochrome B (Cytb)* mtDNA. **C.** *28S* rRNA D1-D3 region.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.g003

For *Cytb*, a total of 429 characters and 173 taxa were included in the analysis, including outgroups. The same four major clades corresponding to the four hypothesized morphospecies were recovered (Fig 3B and S3 Fig) and were well supported ( $pp \ge 0.97$ ). Unfortunately, only one taxon from Clade B was amplified for this gene (BJS553 –dissected larval specimen), but this taxon (*Eadya* sp. 2) was still recovered as sister to *E. paropsidis*. For 28S, a total of 893 characters and 162 taxa were included in the analysis and only two well supported clades (pp = 1) were recovered (Fig 3C and S4 Fig). Clade A (*Eadya* sp. 1) was congruent across all genes but all other taxa from *COI* and *Cytb* were recovered in a single clade. As this large Clade contains *Eadya* sp. 2, *E. paropsidis*, and *Eadya* sp. 3 (Clades B, C, and D, respectively), 28S appears to be too conserved for species delimitation in this group. There were limited substitutions across identified morphospecies within the large clade, even within hypervariable regions (RECs, RAAs, and RSCs) that may vary across closely related species [52]. A concatenated dataset was

A	E. paropsidis	<i>E</i> . sp. 1	<i>E</i> . sp. 2	<i>E</i> . sp. 3					
E. paropsidis	0.0%								
E. sp. 1	31.2%	0.5%							
<i>E</i> . sp. 2	8.7%	30.5%	1.1%						
E. sp. 3	10.0%	31.1%	10.3%	0.2%					
В	P. charybdis	P. tasmanica	Pst. agricola	Pst. beata	Pst. bimaculata	Pst. decolorata	Pst. variicollis* (TAS)	Pst. variicollis* (NZL)	Pst. variicollis* complex
P. aegrota elliotti									
P. charybdis	0.3%								
P. tasmanica	18.8%	0.0%							
Pst. agricola	19.7%	19.0%	0.6%						
Pst. beata	18.7%	17.2%	16.8%	n/a					
Pst. bimaculata	18.3%	20.1%	11.1%	17.8%	0.2%				
Pst. decolorata	18.0%	20.4%	10.9%	18.6%	10.2%	0.5%			
Pst. variicollis* (TAS)	20.2%	20.1%	10.1%	19.0%	9.2%	11.7%	0.4%		
Pst. variicollis* (NZL)	19.2%	17.2%	9.0%	15.9%	9.5%	10.9%	1.6%	0.0%	
Pst. variicollis* complex	19.7%	18.7%	9.5%	17.5%	9.3%	11.3%	n/a	n/a	0.9%

Table 5. Average interspecific and intraspecific genetic distances for COI for: A, Eadya species; B, beetle species.

Intraspecific distances are highlighted in grey. For beetles, *Pst. obovata* and *Pst. variicollis* are listed separately and together, as the validity of these taxa as separate species was not confirmed.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.t005

also analyzed with all three genes. The same major clades recovered across *COI* and *Cytb* were also recovered here albeit some with less support, but again supporting four distinct species of *Eadya*, including *E. paropsidis* (Fig 4). All taxa are clearly identifiable by morphology, although *E. paropsidis* and *Eadya* sp. 3 are very similar morphologically, with *E. paropsidis* having a more concave occiput, an emarginate occipital carina, and being larger in size.

#### Beetle species identification

COI was amplified from beetle remains regardless if the wasp was reared or dissected from the host. Due to degradation of host material, DNA extraction was successful for only 48 parasitized beetles: 35 Pst. agricola, one Pst. bimaculata, one P. aegrota elliotti, seven P. charybdis, and six specimens identified as Pst. variicollis\* (Table 2). All putatively identified larval material was recovered in well supported monophyletic clades with the correct adult reference voucher (Fig 5), indicating that larval identifications were accurate. All Pst. variicollis\* samples were recovered in a strongly supported monophyletic clade. However, there was clade structure with respect to location, such that all Pst. variicollis\* (from Tasmania) were recovered in a well-supported subclade, indicating distinct differences between samples from New Zealand and Tasmania. There was an average 1.6% genetic distance between the Tasmanian and New Zealand Pst. variicollis\* (1.6%, Table 5B). Thus, these samples are either from the same species and the different clades are representative of population level differences between New Zealand and Tasmania samples, or they may represent different, but very closely related species. Regardless, the results highlight the need for a revision of *Pst. variicollis*<sup>\*</sup>, which is particularly important as this species was discovered in New Zealand in 2016, representing another potential serious pest to the forest industry [63]. Samples of P. charybdis from New Zealand were



**Fig 4. Bayesian analysis of the concatenated dataset with** *COI*, *Cytb*, and 28S combined. Posterior probabilities for major clades are listed near the relevant nodes. Trees connect at the arrows. Clades and corresponding putative species are labeled. Taxon names include voucher numbers, stage of wasp, beetle host name from which the wasps were reared, locality collected, and year of collection, as listed in Table 1. Hosts listed as *Pst. variicollis\** indicate this host is part of a complex of unresolved taxonomic status across southern Australia. The boxed inset has major clades collapsed based on a phylogenetic species concept for ease of viewing relevant clades. Scale bars refer to number of substitutions for tree branches.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.g004

recovered with samples from Tasmania, Australia in a well-supported clade, demonstrating no distinct population level differences between beetles from the two different countries. The average genetic distance among all *P. charybdis* was low at 0.3% (Table 5B).

# Discussion

#### Eadya paropsidis is a complex of species

Based on morphological examination, molecular data from three genes, and host-association data, Eadya paropsidis is not a single species, but rather a complex of species. Two of these species are cryptic, with limited morphological characters separating them: *E. paropsidis* and *Eadya* sp. 3. Interestingly, these two taxa were suspected to be different species in the original description of Eadya by Huddleston and Short (37). They state, "there is a series of eight specimens in ANIC [Australian National Insect Collection] which agree well with E. paropsidis except that the occiput is less concave, the propodeum is less abruptly divided and the insect smaller. More material is needed to decide if these specimens are succinctly distinct to be described as a new species or merely variants of E. paropsidis (p. 319)." Our morphological examinations along with molecular analyses confirm that the smaller variant is indeed a new species (Eadya sp. 3), and corresponds to Clade D in COI, Cytb, and the concatenated analysis (Figs 3A, 3B and 5). Although COI and Cytb were congruent, the D2-D3 region of 28S was a poor marker for species delimitation, as only two species were delimited from the 28S phylogeny (Fig 3C). Substitutions in the 28S regions of ambiguity, where high rates of nucleotide variation are typically found [51] were minimal, ranging from no variation to a few single nucleotide polymorphisms. Genetic distances between species of Eadya for COI were high, ranging from 8.7 to 31.2%. In particular, Eadya sp. 1 had numerous genetic (over 30%) and morphological differences when compared to other species. Pupal cocoon color varied within species with Eadya sp. 1 (mostly white), Eadya sp. 3 (mostly brown) and Eadya paropsidis (mostly white) and was not therefore a reliable aid to species identification. Descriptions of all new species and a key to all species of Eadya can be found in Ridenbaugh et al. [43].

# Eadya host plasticity

A list of all known host records for all four *Eadya* species is listed in Table 6. All species of *Eadya* can utilize multiple hosts, although some wasps have stronger associations with specific taxa. Two host records were only from sentinels, *E. paropsidis* from *P. charybdis* and *Eadya* sp. 1 from *Pst. selmani* (Table 6). *Eadya* sp. 2 was rarely found and not reared successfully to adulthood in the laboratory. All species of *Eadya* were reared from the target pest, *P. charybdis*. *Eadya paropsidis* was largely specific to *P. tasmanica* in Tasmania. However, from the original description [37], *E. paropsidis* was reared from *P. atomaria* in mainland Australia, and several subsequent studies list additional hosts for this species [38, 39]. Considering our findings and by examining morphology of specimens, all *Pst. agricola* host records are actually *Eadya* sp. 3 and not *E. paropsidis*. This may also be the case for those records for *Pst. bimaculata*, though there are no specimens from these earlier records to confirm this.

*Eadya* sp. 1 and 3 were recovered from multiple hosts. However, *Eadya* sp. 1 was most commonly associated with *Pst. variicollis*<sup>\*</sup> or *Pst. selmani* and never from *Pst. agricola* or *Pst.* 



PLOS ONE

**Fig 5. Bayesian analysis of the** *COI* **dataset for beetle specimens.** Posterior probabilities for major clades are listed near the relevant nodes. Adult specimens are in bold. Taxon names include voucher numbers, stage of beetle, method of collection, wasp species name, locality collected in Tasmania (NZL added if collected in New Zealand), and year of collection, as listed in <u>Table 2</u>. Clades are labeled with the identified beetle species based on the placement of the adult reference voucher specimens. Scale bar refers to number of substitutions for tree branches.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.g005

bimaculata, while Eadya sp. 3 was never reared from Pst. variicollis\* or Pst. selmani, demonstrating strong species level differences in host usage despite some cross over in host taxa. Eadya sp. 3 almost exclusively used either Pst. agricola or P. charybdis, although Pst. bimaculata and Pst. nobilitata were rare hosts (Fig 5). Although the majority of Eadya sp. 3 collected were from Pst. agricola hosts, this reflects the relative abundance or availability of this host in our chosen field sites. Pst. agricola is far more abundant in the plantation locations sampled, whereas P. charybdis is rare and hard to collect at any location. Reasons for the relative rarity of P. charybdis in Tasmania are unknown, but we cannot rule out that this species suffers under high natural enemy loadings in Tasmania; P. charybdis is known to be a host to three species of phoretic mite in Tasmania [64] in addition to egg and larval parasitoids and ladybird predators. Practical difficulties in sampling P. charybdis also arise due to both adult and larval feeding preferences for flush adult foliage high in the crown (rather than waxy juvenile leaves) of Eucalypts in the subgenus Symphyomyrtus. First instar larvae of P. charybdis tend to scatter and feed singly on outermost branches often high in the crown; whereas, Pst. agricola feed gregariously on the waxy juvenile foliage within easier reach for sampling. Thus, our sampling may have been influenced by the biology of the beetles.

Host-plasticity is likely beneficial for reproductive success of the wasp. The ability to utilize multiple hosts increases the likelihood of successful parasitism due to the greater availability of resources across habitats [65]. This in turn decreases energy expenditure associated with host seeking. Although beneficial to the wasp, host-plasticity does have some implications for the suitability of these species as classical biological control agents.

# Implications for biological control of *P. charybdis*, *Pst. variicollis*\*, and other invasive paropsines

Although species of *Eadya* display host plasticity, they appear to be restricted to Paropsine beetles (Chrysomelinae) in two closely related and recently revised [66] genera. These beetles are similar across several biological features, including an overlap of spatial range, similar larval

Eadya paropsidis	Eadya sp. 1	Eadya sp. 2	Eadya sp. 3
-	-	P. aegrota elliotti	-
P. atomaria <sup>1</sup>	-	-	-
P. charybdis <sup>2</sup>	P. charybdis	P. charybdis	P. charybdis
P. tasmanica	-	-	-
-	-	-	Pst. agricola
-	-	-	Pst. bimaculata
-	Pst. nobilitata	-	Pst. nobilitata
-	Pst. selmani <sup>2</sup>	-	-
-	Pst. variicollis*	-	-

Table 6. Known host relationships for the four species of Eadya based on reared beetle records.

<sup>1</sup>Australian mainland only from Huddleston \$ Short, 1978.

<sup>2</sup> From sentinel trial only.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201276.t006

phenology (temporal overlap), and related host plants (externally feeding on foliage of *Eucalyptus* species [67–69, 44]. Additionally, relationships within paropsine beetles are closely linked to host plant usage on eucalypts [70]. Thus, species of *Eadya* are restricted to parasitizing a set of very closely related beetles, both phylogenetically and biologically, despite the ability to successfully parasitize multiple species.

There are no native *Eucalyptus* in New Zealand and all paropsine beetles are invasive pests in that country [34]. Another new paropsine incursion was discovered in 2016, The eucalyptus variegated beetle (*Pst. variicollis*\*), which has further increased interest in species of *Eadya* as potential biological control agents [63]. Although there are no records of *Eadya* on beetles in any other genera, host specificity testing has not yet been completed. However, if *Eadya* is found to be host specific to beetles within these two genera, as expected from our results, then *P. charybdis* makes an excellent candidate for classical biological control. Withers, Allen [34] already selected a list of candidate species to test *Eadya* for potential non-target effects based on rigorous biological and phylogenetic criteria of native beetles and beneficial weed biological control beetles present in New Zealand.

Based on our data, all recent research [34–36, 71] within this system has been conducted on *Eadya* sp. 3, as opposed to *E. paropsidis*. This is a promising as *Eadya* sp. 3 had the most records of parasitism from *P. charybdis*, relative to other species. Although there were more records from *Pst. agricola*, our sampling biases may have influenced part of this result. As *Pst. agricola* is not in New Zealand, there would be no additional resources for *Eadya* sp. 3 to utilize if released in that country, which should promote a successful biological control program. Thus, *Eadya* sp. 3 is the best candidate for importation for control of *P. charybdis*. This species was commonly collected across most localities, particularly on the wing, demonstrating a wide geographic range for this species.

*Eadya* sp. 1 could be a suitable candidate for classical biological control of the newly invaded *Pst. variicollis*\* in New Zealand. As *Eadya* sp. 1 can attack both *P. charybdis* and *Pst. variicollis*\*, which could provide an added benefit in the control of both pest species. However, negative impacts due to host competition on *P. charybdis* would need to be investigated if both *Eadya* sp. 1 and 3 were to be released. Results from this study indicate a careful population/species level study of the *Pst. variicollis* complex is necessary to determine the limits of this species. *Eadya* sp. 1 was also recorded from *Pst. selmani*, a Tasmanian paropsine that invaded Ireland in 2007 [72] and is a significant pest of *Eucalyptus* (plantations and cut foliage trade). Now that the *Eadya* species complex has been delimited, the next stage for any of these biological control programs will need to be thorough host specificity testing of the most appropriate *Eadya* species. In the case of *P. charybdis* biological control, as was the focus of this study, research will investigate *Eadya* sp. 3 against less closely related non-target beetles present in New Zealand [34].

# Conclusions

For a successful biological control program the biological agent must be correctly identified, particularly in the context of potentially cryptic species complexes. This is essential to ensure an adequate assessment of the biological agent of choice as the host range, biological features, behavior, and potential for control may vary between species within these complexes [e.g. 7, 34]. Prior to this study, it was assumed *E. paropsidis* was a single species due to limited taxonomic study on *Eadya*. However, based on our molecular and morphologic data, we now know *E. paropsidis* is not just one species, but a complex of species attacking *Eucalyptus*-feeding paropsine beetles in Tasmania. This research has important implications for the forest industry as species of *Eucalyptus* have been imported to numerous countries around the world for their pulp and fiber, and ornamental and oil producing properties.

*Eadya* sp. 3 (formally called *Eadya daenerys* Ridenbaugh 2018) [43] is the most suitable candidate for release in New Zealand to control the eucalyptus tortoise beetle, *P. charybdis. Eadya* sp. 1 (formally called *Eadya annleckiae* Ridenbaugh 2018) [43] should be examined in future research for potential to control *Pst. variicollis*\* in New Zealand and *Pst. selmani* in Ireland. However, a comprehensive molecular and morphological review of the taxonomic status of the *Pst. variicollis*\* complex is needed. This study represents one of the most comprehensive biological control studies to delimit cryptic species and resolve host relationships through mass rearing, and analysis of morphological and molecular data in relation to hosts of a potential parasitoid biological control agent. It also represents a very successful case of biological control researchers collaborating with taxonomists early in the research pipeline, which is the best way to prevent unintended effects of natural enemy introductions to control pests. Finally, this study also provides the necessary data to create a model system to test theories on biological control and multitrophic community dynamics in invasion biology with respect to paropsine pests, their host *Eucalyptus* plants, and the suite of primary parasitoids that may regulate their populations.

# **Supporting information**

**S1 Fig. Map of collection locations in Tasmania, Australia for the recorded** *Eadya* **host species of paropsine beetles.** Maps were constructed from the authors' own records as well as those of de Little [22], the Atlas of Living Australia (http://www.ala.org.au) and from the Sustainable Timber Tasmania (Forestry Tasmania) insect collection. For a map of *Pst. selmani* distribution see Figure 15 in Reid and de Little [40]. (PDF)

**S2 Fig. Bayesian analysis of** *COI* without clades collapsed. Posterior probabilities are listed near the relevant nodes for major clades. Clades and corresponding putative species are labeled. Taxon names include voucher numbers, stage of wasp, beetle host name from which the wasps were reared, locality collected, and year of collection, as listed in Table 1. Scale bar refers to number of substitutions for tree branches. (PDF)

**S3 Fig. Bayesian analysis of** *Cytb* **without clades collapsed.** Posterior probabilities are listed near the relevant nodes for major clades. Clades and corresponding putative species are labeled. Taxon names include voucher numbers, stage of wasp, beetle host name from which the wasps were reared, locality collected, and year of collection, as listed in <u>Table 1</u>. Scale bar refers to number of substitutions for tree branches. (PDF)

**S4 Fig. Bayesian analysis of 28S without clades collapsed.** Posterior probabilities are listed near the relevant nodes for major clades. Clades and corresponding putative species are labeled. Taxon names include voucher numbers, stage of wasp, beetle host name from which the wasps were reared, locality collected, and year of collection, as listed in Table 1. Scale bar refers to number of substitutions for tree branches. (PDF)

S1 Table. All material examined for this study, including specimens for morphology and type material.

(PDF)

S2 Table. Primer sequences used in this study and references for sequences and cycling conditions. (PDF)

# Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the technical assistance provided in Tasmania by Dean Satchell, Vin Patel, Gemma Bilac, Ray Ali, Allanna Russell, Meng Lim, Rebekah Smart and Andre Garcia. In the Sharanowski lab, we would like to gratefully thank those who provided technical assistance with molecular research (Phil Snarr, Derek Eyer, and Ana Dal Molin at the University of Manitoba (UM) and Alexa Trujillo and Shiala Morales (University of Central Florida (UCF)) and morphological analysis (Erin Barbeau at UCF). We are grateful for pinned specimens previously collected by Anthony Rice and helpful comments on the taxonomy of the beetles from Chris Reid and David De Little. Thanks to landowners, including Forestry Tasmania, iFarm, and PF Olsen for allowing us access to field sites for collecting.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Geoff R. Allen, Toni M. Withers, Barbara J. Sharanowski.

- **Data curation:** Leanne Peixoto, Geoff R. Allen, Ryan D. Ridenbaugh, Stephen R. Quarrell, Toni M. Withers, Barbara J. Sharanowski.
- Formal analysis: Leanne Peixoto, Geoff R. Allen, Ryan D. Ridenbaugh, Toni M. Withers, Barbara J. Sharanowski.

Funding acquisition: Toni M. Withers, Barbara J. Sharanowski.

Investigation: Toni M. Withers, Barbara J. Sharanowski.

Methodology: Leanne Peixoto, Geoff R. Allen, Toni M. Withers, Barbara J. Sharanowski.

Project administration: Barbara J. Sharanowski.

Supervision: Geoff R. Allen, Barbara J. Sharanowski.

- Writing original draft: Leanne Peixoto, Geoff R. Allen, Toni M. Withers, Barbara J. Sharanowski.
- Writing review & editing: Leanne Peixoto, Geoff R. Allen, Ryan D. Ridenbaugh, Stephen R. Quarrell, Toni M. Withers, Barbara J. Sharanowski.

# References

- 1. Croft BA. Arthropod biological control agents and pesticides: John Wiley and Sons Inc.; 1990.
- DeBach P, Rosen D. Biological Control by Natural Enemies. 2nd ed. London: Cambridge University Press; 1991. 440 p.
- **3.** Bale JS, van Lenteren JC, Bigler F. Biological control and sustainable food production. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2008; 363(1492):761–76.
- Waage JK, Hassell MP. Parasitoids as biological control agents—a fundamental approach. Parasitology. 1982; 84(04):241–68. https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118200005366X
- Simberloff D, Stiling P. Risks of species introduced for biological control. Biological Conservation. 1996; 78(1):185–92.
- Van Lenteren J, Bale J, Bigler F, Hokkanen H, Loomans A. Assessing risks of releasing exotic biological control agents of arthropod pests. Annual Review of Entomology. 2006; 51:609–34. https://doi.org/10. 1146/annurev.ento.51.110104.151129 PMID: 16332225
- Hajek AE, Hurley BP, Kenis M, Garnas JR, Bush SJ, Wingfield MJ, et al. Exotic biological control agents: A solution or contribution to arthropod invasions? Biological Invasions. 2016; 18(4):953–69.
- Rosen D, DeBach P. Systematics, morphology and biological control. Entomophaga 1973; 18(3):215– 22.
- 9. Rosen D. The importance of cryptic species and specific identifications as related to biological control.1978; Beltsville, Maryland: Allanheld, Osmun & Co. Inc.

- Rosen D. The role of taxonomy in effective biological control programs. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. 1986; 15(2):121–9.
- 11. Schauff M, LaSalle J, editors. The relevance of systematics to biological control: protecting the investment in research. Pest Management-Future Challenges Proceedings of the Sixth Australasian Applied Entomological Research Conference; 1998; Brisbane.
- Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Ng PK, Meier R, Winker K, et al. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2007; 22(3):148–55.
- Campbell BC, Steffen-Campbell JD, Werren JH. Phylogeny of the Nasonia species complex (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) inferred from an internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) and 28S rDNA sequences. Insect Mol Biol. 1993; 2:225–37. PMID: 9087560
- Hebert PD, Penton EH, Burns JM, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W. Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astraptes fulgerator*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2004; 101(41):14812–7.
- Smith MA, Woodley NE, Janzen D, Hallwachs W, Hebert PDN. DNA barcodes reveal cryptic host specificity within the presumed polyphagous members of a genus of parasitoid flies (Diptera: Tachinidae). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2006; 103:3657–62.
- Janzen DH, Hallwachs W, Blandin P, Burns JM, Cadiou J-M, Chacon I, et al. Integration of DNA barcoding into an ongoing inventory of complex tropical biodiversity. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2009; 9:1–26.
- Quicke DLJ, Smith AM, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W, Fernandez-Triana J, Laurenne NM, et al. Utility of the DNA barcoding gene fragment for parasitic wasp phylogeny (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonoidea): data release and new measure of taxonomic congruence. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2012; 12:676–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03143.x PMID: 22487608
- Namin HH, Iranpour M, Sharanowski BJ. Phylogenetics and molecular identification of the Ochlerotatus communis complex (Diptera: Culicidae) using DNA barcoding and polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism. The Canadian Entomologist. 2014; 146(1):26–35.
- Zhang MY, Ridenbaugh RD, Sharanowski BJ. Integrative taxonomy improves understanding of native beneficial fauna: revision of the Nearctic *Peristenus pallipes* complex (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and implications for release of exotic biocontrol agents. Systematic Entomology. 2017; 42(3):596–608.
- **20.** de Little DW. Taxonomic and ecological studies of the Tasmanian *Eucalyptus*-defoliating paropsids. Ph. D. Thesis: University of Tasmania; 1979.
- 21. Kay M. Success with biological control of the eucalyptus tortoise beetle, *Paropsis charybdis*. What's New in Forest Research No 184. Rotorua: New Zealand Forest Research Institute; 1990. p. 4.
- Paine TD, Steinbauer MJ, Lawson SA. Native and exotic pests of *Eucalyptus*: a worldwide perspective. Annual Review of Entomology. 2011; 56:181–201. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-120709-144817 PMID: 20809803</u>
- 23. Styles JH. Notes on the biology of *Paropsis charybdis* Stal (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). New Zealand Entomologist. 1970; 4(3):103–11.
- White TCR. The establishment, spread and host range of *Paropsis charybdis* Stål (Chrysomelidae) in New Zealand. Pacific insects. 1973; 15(1):59–66.
- 25. Murphy B. Biological control of *Paropsis charybdis* Stål (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) and the paropsine threat to *Eucalyptus* in New Zealand. Ph.D. Thesis: University of Cantebury; 2006.
- Murphy BD, Kay MK. Paropsis charybdis defoliation of Eucalyptus stands in New Zealand's central North Island. New Zealand Plant Protection. 2000; 53:334–8.
- Murray TJ, Withers TM, Mansfield S, Bain J. Distribution and current status of natural enemies of *Paropsis charybdis* in New Zealand. New Zealand Plant Protection. 2008; 61:185–90.
- Bain J, Kay MK. Paropsis charybdis Stål, Eucalyptus Tortoise Beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). In: Cameron PJ, Hill RL, Bain J, Thomas WP, editors. A Review of Biological Control of Invertebrate Pests and Weeds in New Zealand 1874–1987. Wallingford, Oxon, U.K: CAB International Publishers; 1989. p. 281–7.
- Mansfield S, Murray TJ, Withers TM. Will the accidental introduction of *Neopolycystus insectifurax* improve biological control of the eucalyptus tortoise beetle, *Paropsis charybdis*, in New Zealand? Biological Control. 2011; 56(1):30–5.
- Murray T, Mansfield S. Reproductive characteristics of invasive hyperparasitoid *Baeoanusia albifunicle* have implications for the biological control of eucalypt pest *Paropsis charybdis*. Biological Control. 2015; 91:82–7.
- **31.** Elek J, Wardlaw T. Options for managing chrysomelid leaf beetles in Australian eucalypt plantations: reducing the chemical footprint. Agricultural and Forest Entomology. 2013; 15(4):351–65.

- Withers TM, Watson MC, Watt MS, Nelson TL, Harper LA, Hurst MRH. Laboratory bioassays of new synthetic and microbial insecticides to control Eucalyptus tortoise beetle *Paropsis charybdis*. New Zealand Plant Protection. 2013; 66:138–47.
- Forest Stewardship Council. FSC Pesticide Policy: Guidance on Implementation. www.fsc.org [Accessed April 8, 2014] Bonn, Germany: Forest Stewardship Council (FSC); 2007.
- Withers TM, Allen GR, Reid CAM. Selecting potential non-target species for host range testing of Eadya paropsidis. New Zealand Plant Protection. 2015; 68:179–86.
- **35.** Rice AD. The parasitoid guild of larvae of *Chrysophtharta agricola* Chapuis (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in Tasmania, with notes on biology and a description of a new genus and species of tachinid fly. Australian Journal of Entomology. 2005; 44(4):400–8.
- Rice AD, Allen GR. Temperature and developmental interactions in a multitrophic parasitoid guild. Australian Journal of Entomology. 2009; 48(4):282–6.
- Huddleston T, Short JRT. A new genus of Euphorinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from Australia with a description of the final instar larva of one species. Journal of the Australian Entomological Society. 1978; 17:317–21.
- de Little DW. Field parasitization of larval populations of the 'Eucalyptus'-defoliating leaf beetle, *Chrysophtharta bimaculata* (Olivier) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). General and Applied Entomology: The Journal of the Entomological Society of New South Wales. 1982; 14:3–6.
- 39. Tanton MT, Epila JSO. Parasitization of Larvae of *Paropsis atomaria* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in the Australian Capital Territory. Aust J Zool. 1984; 32(2):251–9.
- Belshaw R, Quicke DLJ. Robustness of ancestral state estimates: evolution of life history strategy in Ichneumonoid parasitoids. Syst Biol. 2002; 51(3):450–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/</u> 10635150290069896 PMID: 12079644
- Shaw SR. A phylogenetic study of the subfamilies Meteorinae and Euphorinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Entomography. 1985; 3:277–370.
- Shaw SR. Subfamily Euphorinae. In: Wharton RA, Marsh PM, Sharkey MJ, editors. Manual of the New World Genera of the Family Braconidae. Washington, D.C.: The International Society of Hymenopterists; 1997. p. 235–54.
- **43.** Ridenbaugh RD, Barbeau E, Sharanowski BJ. Description of four new species of *Eadya* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), parasitoids of the Eucalyptus Tortoise Beetle (*Paropsis charybdis*) and other Eucalyptus defoliating leaf beetles. Journal of Hymenopteran Research. 2018; 64:141–75.
- Reid CA, de Little DW. A new species of *Paropsisterna* Motschulsky, 1860, a significant pest of plantation eucalypts in Tasmania and Ireland (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Chrysomelinae). Zootaxa. 2013; 3681(4):395–404.
- Smith MA, Fisher BL, Hebert PDN. DNA barcoding for effective biodiversity assessment of a hyperdiverse arthropod group: the ants of Madagascar. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2005; 360(1462):1825–34.
- Grossi AA, Sharanowski BJ, Galloway TD. Anatoecus species (Phthiraptera: Philopteridae) from Anseriformes in North America and taxonomic. The Canadian Entomologist. 2014; 146:598–608.
- Chen R, Jiang L-Y, Chen J, Qiao G-X. DNA barcoding reveals a mysterious high species diversity of conifer-feeding aphids in the mountains of southwest China. Scientific Reports. 2016; 6(20123).
- **48.** Fernández-Triana J. Eight new species and an annotated checklist of Microgastrinae (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) from Canada and Alaska. Zookeys. 2010; 63:1–53.
- Boring CAB, Sharanowski BJ, Sharkey MJ. Maxfischeriinae: a new braconid subfamily (Hymenoptera) with highly specialized egg morphology. Systematic Entomology. 2011; 36:529–48.
- Song H, Buhay JE, Whiting MF, Crandall KA. Many species in one: DNA barcoding overestimates the number of species when nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes are coamplified. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2008; 105(36):13486.
- Gillespie JJ, Munro JB, Heraty JM, Yoder MJ, Owen AK, Carmichael AE. A Secondary structural model of the 28S rRNA expansion segments D2 and D3 for chalcidoid wasps (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2005; 22(7):1593–608. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi152 PMID: 15843598
- Sharanowski BJ, Dowling APG, Sharkey MJ. Molecular phylogenetics of Braconidae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonoidea) based on multiple nuclear genes, and its implications for classification. Systematic Entomology. 2011; 36:549–72.
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, et al. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2012; 28(12):1647–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199 PMID: 22543367

- 54. Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series. 1999; 41:95–8.
- Posada D. jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2008; 25 (7):1253–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn083 PMID: 18397919
- 56. Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, et al. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology. 2012; 61(3):539–42. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029 PMID: 22357727
- Kimura M. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution. 1980; 16:111–20. PMID: 7463489
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2016:msw054.
- Cracraft J. Species concepts and speciation analysis. In: Johnston RF, editor. Current Ornithology. 1. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1983. p. 159–87.
- 60. Baum D. Phylogenetic species concepts. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 1992; 7(1):1-2.
- Meyer CP, Paulay G. DNA barcoding: error rates based on comprehensive sampling. PLoS Biology. 2005; 3:e422. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030422 PMID: 16336051
- Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, deWaard JR. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences. 2003; 270(1512):313–21. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2218 PMID: 12614582
- 63. The New Zealand Forest Owners Association. Eucalyptus variegated beetle (*Paropsisterna variicollis*). HRSS Fact Sheet. https://www.nzfoa.org.nz/resources/file-libraries-resources/forest-health/pinenet/ 589-eucalyptus-variegated-beetle-paropsisterna-variicollis/file 2016.
- Seeman OD, Nahrung HF. Two new species of *Chrysomelobia* Regenfuss, 1968 (Acariformes: Podapolipidae) from *Paropsis charybdis* Stål (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Systematic Parasitology. 2013; 86(3):257–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-013-9447-2 PMID: 24163026
- Shea K, Chesson P. Community ecology theory as a framework for biological invasions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2002; 17(4):170–6.
- Reid CA. A taxonomic revision of the Australian Chrysomelinae, with a key to the genera (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Zootaxa. 2006; 1292:1–119.
- Howlett B, Clarke A, Madden J. The influence of leaf age on the oviposition preference of *Chrysophtharta bimaculata* (Olivier) and the establishment of neonates. Agricultural and Forest Entomology. 2001; 3(2):121–7.
- Nahrung HF, Allen GR, Patel VS. Day-degree development and phenology modelling of the immature stages of *Chrysophtharta agricola* (Chapuis) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), a pest of eucalypt plantations. Australian Journal of Entomology. 2004; 43(2):177–83.
- Nahrung HF, Schutze MK, Clarke AR, Duffy MP, Dunlop EA, Lawson SA. Thermal requirements, field mortality and population phenology modelling of *Paropsis atomaria* Olivier, an emergent pest in subtropical hardwood plantations. Forest Ecology and Management. 2008; 255(8):3515–23.
- Jurado-Rivera JA, Vogler AP, Reid CA, Petitpierre E, Gómez-Zurita J. DNA barcoding insect-host plant associations. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences. 2009; 276 (1657):639–48.
- 71. Withers TM, Allen GR, Patel VS, Satchell D, Manley G. Investigating the potential of *Eadya paropsidis* (Braconidae) from Tasmania as a biocontrol agent for *Paropsis charybdis* in New Zealand. New Zealand Plant Protection. 2012; 65:292.
- 72. Fanning PD, Baars JR. Biology of the Eucalyptus leaf beetle *Paropsisterna selmani* (Chrysomelidae: Paropsini): a new pest of *Eucalyptus* species (Myrtaceae) in Ireland. Agricultural and Forest Entomology. 2014; 16(1):45–53.