



Article Fertilization, but Not Post-Implantation Development, Can Occur in the Absence of Sperm and Oocyte Beta1 Integrin in Mice

Nour El Houda Mimouni ¹, Côme Ialy-Radio ¹, Anne-Lyse Denizot ^{1,2}, Isabelle Lagoutte ¹, Michaela Frolikova ³, Katerina Komrskova ^{3,4}, Sandrine Barbaux ¹ and Ahmed Ziyyat ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Université Paris Cité, CNRS, INSERM, Institut Cochin, F-75014 Paris, France
- ² Service d'Histologie, d'Embryologie, Biologie de la Reproduction, AP-HP, Hôpital Cochin, F-75014 Paris, France
- ³ Laboratory of Reproductive Biology, Institute of Biotechnology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, BIOCEV, Prumyslova 595, 252 50 Vestec, Czech Republic
- ⁴ Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, BIOCEV, Vinicna 7, 128 44 Prague, Czech Republic
- Correspondence: ahmed.ziyyat@u-paris.fr

Abstract: Fertilization is a complex process that requires successive stages and culminates in the adhesion/fusion of gamete membranes. If the question of the involvement of oocyte integrins has been swept away by deletion experiments, that of the involvement of sperm integrins remains to be further characterized. In the present study, we addressed the question of the feasibility of sperm-oocyte adhesion/fusion and early implantation in the absence of sperm β 1 integrin. Males and females with β 1 integrin-depleted sperm and oocytes were mated, and fertilization outcome was monitored by a gestational ultrasound analysis. Results suggest that although the sperm β 1 integrin participates in gamete adhesion/fusion, it is dispensable for fertilization in mice. However, sperm-and/or oocyte-originated integrin β 1 is essential for post-implantation development. Redundancy phenomena could be at the origin of a compensatory expression or alternative dimerization pattern.

Keywords: integrin beta1; Stra8-Cre; sperm; fertilization; ultrasound

1. Introduction

A crucial step in fertilization is the sperm–oocyte contact that allows the two gametes to fuse and create a zygote. Many questions remain open about the molecules that bring the gametes' membranes together. For several years, only a few proteins were considered essential for gamete adhesion/fusion: first, the CD9 tetraspanin [1-3], then the sperm IZUMO1 immunoglobulin [4] and the GPI-AP JUNO, which is the IZUMO1 oocyte receptor [5]. Over the past two years, six sperm factors essential for mammalian fertilization, SPACA6, TMEM95, SOF1, FIMP and DCST1/DCST2, have been identified using the CRISPR /Cas9 approach [6–9] (reviewed in [10]). However, this multiplication of factors does not seem to be sufficient to explain the gamete adhesion/fusion process, first because the mechanisms of action of these different actors are not fully known, particularly with regard to the most recently identified molecules, and because there are certainly other molecules to be discovered. Very recently, MAIA, also known as Fc receptor-like 3, has been demonstrated to supersede JUNO as IZUMO1 receptor during human fertilization [11]. These latest findings reinforce the idea of the existence of large and organized molecular complexes on each of the gamete plasma membranes, encouraging not only the identification of new players but also the reconsideration of some that have been sidelined. Integrins are included in the latter category during the fertilization process. Indeed, these were first considered essential; in particular $\alpha 6\beta 1$ integrin has been described as a receptor for sperm ADAMs [12]. Conditional invalidation experiments with the Itga6 gene [13] and then of



Citation: Mimouni, N.E.H.; Ialy-Radio, C.; Denizot, A.-L.; Lagoutte, I.; Frolikova, M.; Komrskova, K.; Barbaux, S.; Ziyyat, A. Fertilization, but Not Post-Implantation Development, Can Occur in the Absence of Sperm and Oocyte Beta1 Integrin in Mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, *23*, 13812. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms232213812

Academic Editors: Jaime Catalan and Manuel Álvarez-Rodríguez

Received: 12 October 2022 Accepted: 7 November 2022 Published: 9 November 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). the *ltgb1* gene [14] at the oocyte level showed that they were dispensable since the oocytes deleted from one or the other of these genes were fertilizable and female mice carrying one of these deletions were normally fertile.

In order to understand this apparent contradiction, we hypothesized that the presence of the β 1 integrin subunit could be necessary on the membrane of one of the two gametes as occurs in myoblasts or other cells that fuse [15].

At first, we used synaptonemal complex protein 1 (*Sycp1*)-*Cre* and integrin subunit beta1 (*Itgb1*) *floxed* gene mice mating to generate sperm conditional knockout (KO) mouse, but this mouse did not show good excision efficiency at the point where males reproduced normally, with either wild-type (WT) or *Itgb1* KO oocyte (*Zp3-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{*flox/flox*}) females, although in vitro, sperm showed a low fertilization rate compared with controls. *Zp3-Cre*, where the Cre is expressed under the control of the promoter of the *Zp3* gene encoding one of the three proteins of the zona pellucida (ZP) in mice, is highly effective as described [14], and accordingly, we never found integrin β 1 protein on conditional KO oocytes. On the contrary, integrin β 1 protein on the sperm of this *Sycp1-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{*flox/flox*} model, that is a knockdown (KD), could still be detected with immunofluorescence and western blot [16]. We have identified two elements that could explain the presence of residual integrin β 1 protein on KD sperm: first, the low efficiency of the Cre recombinase expressed under the control of the *Sycp1* promoter, especially when combined with the distance between the two Lox sites (~28 kb) in the first used *Itgb1 floxed* mice. Therefore, the question of whether the role of integrin β 1 is essential or not in fertilization remains unanswered.

Here, we used a *Stra8-Cre* mouse [17] and a *Itgb1 floxed* gene mouse with a smaller distance (~0.6 kb) between the two LoxP sites [18]. Even though conditional KO (cKO) males continued to reproduce with a small decrease in the limit of significance when mated with WT females, there were no births when cKO males were mated with *Itgb1* KO females. On ultrasonography, we observed the presence of embryos and their periimplantation lethality phenotype, which was previously described for total *Itgb1* gene KO [19,20]. Our findings indicate that integrin β 1 is not essential for fertilization at the point of sperm–oocyte adhesion/fusion. However, there is no doubt about integrin β 1's participation during this process, as in its absence, in vitro fertilization rates were low, and sperm accumulated in the perivitelline space (PVS). In order to explain the present results, we propose that integrin β 1could be resubstituted by another β integrin subunit, resulting in either another known integrin heterodimer or a novel dimerization. Nevertheless, these putative integrin heterodimers lacking β 1 seem not functional during postimplantation embryo development, confirming that β 1 integrin is essential during postimplantation.

2. Results

2.1. Efficiency of the Cre-Mediated Deletion of the Itgb1 Gene in Sperm and Oocytes2.1.1. Diagram of the Mating Used to Generate Conditional Knockouts on Sperm and Oocyte

Figure 1 illustrates a schematic representation of the *Itgb1 floxed* and deleted alleles (Figure 1a,b) [18] and the crossing scheme used in order to obtain the females (conditional oocyte knock-out or coKO) or the males (conditional sperm KO or csKO) in which the oocytes or the sperm are respectively invalidated for the *Itgb1* gene (Figure 1c). Three gels showing the presence of *Cre* gene under the control of the *Stra8* or *Zp3* promoters (Figure 1d left and right respectively) and the presence of the LoxP sites on one or two alleles of *Itgb1* gene (Figure 1d middle) are presented in Figure 1d. Floxed *Itgb1* gene mice were used as controls, and *Itgb1 floxed* mice expressing Cre represented the mice of interest to be tested.



Figure 1. (**a**,**b**) Schematic representation of the *Itgb1 floxed* allele and excised allele respectively. (**c**) Mating using *Itgb1 flox/flox* with *Stra8-Cre*^{+/+} females or *Zp3-Cre*^{+/+} males to obtain double heterozygous *Stra8-Cre*^{+/-} *Itgb1 flox/-* or *Zp3-Cre*^{+/-} *Itgb1 flox/-* that we mated with *Itgb1 flox/flox* males or females respectively. Thanks to these crosses, the males of interest (*Stra8-Cre*^{+/-} *Itgb1 flox/flox*, csKO) and their controls (*Cre*^{-/-} *Itgb1 flox/flox*) (left) and the females of interest (*Zp3-Cre*^{+/-} *Itgb1 flox/flox*, coKO) and their controls (*Zp3-Cre*^{-/-} *Itgb1 flox/flox*) (right) were obtained. (**d**) The three gels show the presence of *Cre* whether it is under the control of the *Stra8* or *Zp3* promoters and the presence of the LoxP sites on one or two alleles of *Itgb1* gene.

2.1.2. Efficiency of the Cre-Mediated Deletion of the Itgb1 Gene in Oocytes and Sperm

As evaluated by immunofluorescence using a rat anti-mouse $\beta 1$ integrin monoclonal antibody (MB1.2), all ovulated oocytes from conditional KO mice (*Zp3-Cre*^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}) that expressed Cre recombinase, contrary to control (CTRL, (*Zp3-Cre*^{-/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox})) oocytes that did not express Cre recombinase, showed no staining as previously documented (Figure 2a).



Figure 2. (a) Oocytes from Zp3- $Cre^{-/-}$ Itgb1 ^{flox/flox} (WT oocytes) females express integrin beta1 at their surface while there is no staining on oocytes from Zp3- $Cre^{+/-}$ Itgb1 ^{flox/flox} (KO oocytes). (b) *Itgb1* PCR on tail and sperm DNA. The same primers used gave 797 bp band on WT DNA, 934 bp in floxed (fx) tail DNA or *Cre*-negative sperm DNA and 274 bp on *Cre*-positive *Itgb1* excised allele sperm DNA.

Concerning the efficacy of the Cre recombinase on sperm, we looked at the status of the genomic DNA of spermatozoa in order to determine if the regions between the LoxP sites were indeed deleted in presence of Cre. When the Cre cohabited at the level of the male germ cells with the LoxP sites (two alleles floxed at the diploid stage), these were excised in the great majority since we could not detect the larger band corresponding to the persistence of the two LoxP sites and the sequence between them (Figure 2b).

2.2. In Vivo Evaluation of the Fertilizing Ability of Sperm from Stra8-Cre^{+/-} Itgb1 ^{flox/flox} Males

While no difference in litter size was observed during mating between the control males and the coKO or floxed females, mating using the csKO males gave normal-size



litters with the control females but no live birth was obtained with the coKO females (Figure 3a).

Figure 3. In vivo analysis of the fertilizing ability of *Stra8-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox} males (M) and *Zp3-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox} females (F). (a) Histogram representing the mean litter size of control (*Zp3-Cre* ^{-/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}) or conditional KO (*Zp3-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}) females when mated with control (*Stra8-Cre* ^{-/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}) or conditional KO (*Stra8-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}) males (numbers in parentheses represent the number of litters in each group). No statistical difference was revealed between the first three groups, whereas the coKO x csKO group gave no pups (*p* < 0.0001). (b) No significant difference in fertilization rate (mean \pm s.e.m.) was obtained following in vivo fertilization (IVF) assay when control females were mated with control or csKO males. (c) Percentage of control ocytes (*Zp3-Cre* ^{-/-} *Itgb1 flox/flox*) or conditional KO (*Stra8-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1 flox/flox*) males (*p* < 0.0001). (d) Each oocyte inseminated by control sperm contained an average of 0.09 sperm; those inseminated with csKO sperm contained an average of 1.16 (*p* < 0.0003). In (**b**-**d**), the numbers in parentheses represent the number of used oocytes in each group. *** *p* < 0.001. **** *p* < 0.0001.

In order to specify the step that explains this sterility, we mated csKO males with control or coKO females and recovered embryos the next day after checking the vaginal plugs. No difference in fertilization rate was noted, with $85.05 \pm 3.46\%$ and $80.58 \pm 3.91\%$, respectively (Figure 3b). Nevertheless, we found the accumulation of spermatozoa in the PVS already described in our previous study [16]. While only 10% of oocytes inseminated with control sperm contained sperm in their PVS, over 34% of those inseminated with csKO sperm contained sperm in their PVS (p < 0.0001) (Figure 3c). In other words, each oocyte inseminated with control sperm contained an average of 0.09 sperm, whereas those inseminated with csKO sperm contained an average of 1.16 (12 times more, p < 0.0003) (Figure 3d).

2.3. Peri-Implantation Lethality of Embryos Resulting from Crosses of Stra8-Cre ^{+/-} Itgb1 ^{flox/flox} Males and Zp3-Cre ^{+/-} Itgb1 ^{flox/flox} Females

Using high-frequency ultrasonography, we followed the implantation and postimplantation development of embryos from control mating (Ctrl) and those from mating of Stra8-Cre ^{+/-} Itgb1 ^{flox/flox} males (csKO) and Zp3-Cre ^{+/-} Itgb1 ^{flox/flox} females (coKO). The control group showed normal implantation and development until birth with a 100% survival rate. In the group of KO mice, while implantation occurred normally, as attested by the presence of embryos at E6.5 (embryonic day), it was systematically followed by lethality at E10.5. Therefore, no births were obtained in this group (Table 1).

Figure 4 shows the in vivo ultrasound microscopic observation at E6.5, E7.5 and E10.5 in both groups. While there were no notable differences between the two groups with a homogeneous appearance of the embryo sac at E6.5, at E7.5 the amniotic fluid appeared as a dark spot in the middle of the amniotic sac (arrow) in control embryos but not in KO embryos. It was at E10.5 that the difference became obvious, with an embryo developing in a central position (arrowhead) surrounded by amniotic fluid (dark) in the case of the

controls, while the amniotic sac was smaller and without any embryo in the case of the KO group. The viability of a developing embryo was dynamically confirmed by the presence of heartbeats in the control group and their absence in the KO group. In keeping with the fact that Itgb1 total deletion in mice results in inner cell mass failure and total peri-implantation lethality [19,20], the lethality of pups in our experiments demonstrated that Itgb1-deleted oocytes had to be fertilized by a sperm containing a deleted Itgb1 allele.

Table 1. Survival rate after crossbreeding of csKO males and coKO females or control (Ctrl) mice measured by ultrasound microscopy.

Mating	Implanted Embryos at E6.5	Implanted Embryos at E10.5	Birth	Survival Rate
$Ctrl imes \sigma' \sigma' Ctrl$	5	5	5	100%
	5	5	5	
	5	5	5	
	8	8	8	
೪coKO × ೆೆcsKO	9	0	0	0%
	7	0	0	
	5	0	0	
	7	0	0	



Figure 4. In vivo ultrasound microscopic observation of the embryonic development. During the gestation of control (WT, *Zp3-Cre* $^{-/-}$ *Itgb1 flox/flox* females mated with *Stra8-Cre* $^{-/-}$ *Itgb1 flox/flox* males) and KO (*Zp3-Cre* $^{+/-}$ *Itgb1 flox/flox* females mated with *Stra8-Cre* $^{+/-}$ *Itgb1 flox/flox* males) animals, the embryonic

development was followed up by an in vivo ultrasonic method at E6.5, E7.5 and E10.5. No difference was observed at E6.5. At E7.5, less amniotic fluid was visible in the KO group compared to the control group (arrow). At E10.5, the embryo was no longer visible in the KO group compared to the control group (arrowhead). The viability of developing embryo was confirmed by the presence of heartbeats.

2.4. In Vitro Evaluation of the Fertilizing Ability of Stra8-Cre^{+/-} Itgb1^{flox/flox} Sperm

For in vitro fertilization, sperm from *Stra8-Cre* ^{-/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox} males and oocytes from *Zp3-Cre* ^{-/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox} females were used in the control group. In this group, the fertilization rate was 70.07 \pm 2.13% (n = 461) and dropped to 30.65 \pm 2.15% (n = 460) when sperm from *Stra8-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox} were used (p < 0.0001), indicating the participation of sperm integrin β 1 in the fertilization process (Figure 5a). In this latter case, 29.85 \pm 2.28 % of oocytes (n = 402) showed additional sperm in their PVS compared with the 16.76 \pm 1.97 % oocytes (n = 358) in the control group (p < 0.0001), confirming that the deleted sperm had more difficulty binding to and fusing with oocytes than the controls (Figure 5b). The mean number of PVS sperm per oocyte was 0.22 \pm 0.03 in the control group versus 0.52 \pm 0.05 in the group using deleted sperm (p < 0.0001) (Figure 5c).



Figure 5. In vivo analysis of the fertilizing ability of sperm from *Stra8-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox} males when inseminated with oocytes from *Zp3-Cre* ^{-/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox} females. (**a**) A significant difference in the fertilization rate (FR) (mean \pm s.e.m.) was obtained following in vitro fertilization (IVF) in cumulus-intact assay between the control group (70%) and group using *Itgb1* gene-deleted sperm (30%) (p < 0.0001). (**b**) While 16% of the oocytes presented sperm in their PVS in the control group, 29% contained PVS sperm in the group of oocytes inseminated with sperm from *Stra8-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* flox/flox males (p < 0.0001). (**c**) The mean number of sperm per oocyte was 0.22 ± 0.03 in the control group versus 0.52 ± 0.05 in the group using deleted sperm (p < 0.0001). The numbers in parentheses represent the number of used oocytes in each group. **** p < 0.0001.

3. Discussion

To study the function of integrin β 1 during in vivo fertilization, we intended to generate *Itgb1* sperm conditional KO mice. Due to the partial efficiency of the Cre activity under the control of the promoter of the male germ cells specific gene, *Sycp1*, we obtained a *Itgb1* Knock-down mouse line in the first place. Therefore, we could not clearly determine the role of integrin β 1 in fertilization. It was suggested that the inefficiency of the Cre was due to the distance between the two LoxP sites (~28 kb).Therefore, we used a *Stra8-Cre* mouse [17] and another *Itgb1 floxed* gene mouse with a smaller distance (~0.6 kb) between LoxP sites [18]. This developed mouse line was also used in crossing with the *Zp3-Cre* mouse line to obtain oocyte-conditional KO mice. The effectiveness of Cre under *Zp3* promoter control was already shown by us and others [14,16], and we further confirmed it by immunofluorescence. Indeed, oocytes from more than 10 conditional KO mice were tested, and 100% of the oocytes showed no integrin β 1 signal, unlike the controls. For the males, we performed PCR on sperm DNA. Indeed, the male germ line is the only one to have both the floxed β 1 alleles and the Cre expressed under the control of the *Stra8*

promoter. PCR showed only the presence of the excised alleles indicating a very high efficiency of the Cre recombinase.

At this point, this *Stra8-Cre* $^{+/-}$ *Itgb1* flox/flox male model appeared to be the right tool for revealing the function of sperm integrin $\beta 1$ during in vivo fertilization. The fact that *Itgb1*conditional KO males continued to reproduce normally when they were mated with WT females indicated either that sperm integrin β 1 was not necessary for in vivo fertilization or that oocyte integrin $\beta 1$ could compensate for sperm-originated integrin $\beta 1$ absence. In order to test the oocyte compensation hypothesis, we mated sperm-conditional KO males with Itgb1-oocyte KO females. Indeed, we did not obtain any births. Here again, two explanations were possible: the observed sterility could have been due to either a defect in fertilization or a defect in development. Using the same mating scheme, we retrieved oocytes from females that exhibited a vaginal plug the day after mating. The fertilization rates were the same in the KO group as in the controls, thus demonstrating that the absence of the integrin β 1 subunit in both sperm and oocytes was not an obstacle to fertilization. The only anomaly that we noted was the presence of spermatozoa in the PVS, with a higher frequency than in the controls. This phenotype indicated that sperm lacking integrin $\beta 1$ could take longer to fuse with the oocyte. The possible delay in triggering the cortical reaction, which normally follows fusion and prevents polyspermy [21], could explain the entry of additional sperm into PVS. These results are in favor of a theory of participation of β 1 integrin in fertilization in terms of optimization. In support of this hypothesis, in vitro fertilization, where conditions are not optimal as in vivo, showed a significant decrease in fertilization rates when control oocytes were inseminated by cKO sperm, in addition to a greater accumulation of cKO spermatozoa in PVS.

The hypothesis that remained to be tested in order to explain sterility was that of embryonic lethality. With ultrasonography, we observed the presence of embryos and their peri-implantation lethality phenotype, which was previously described for total *Itgb1* gene KO [19,20]. These findings indicated two points. First, the Cre under the control of the Stra8 promoter was more efficient than that under the control of the Sycp1 promoter that we had used before [16]. Among the thirty pups generated for ultrasonography experiments, all resorbed; that is, none had inherited an intact (still floxed) *Itgb1* gene. Second, integrin β 1 participates as well, but it is not essential for fertilization to take place, at least not in vivo. A hypothesis that could explain this apparent contradiction is related to a possible redundancy either by the de novo expression of another beta integrin subunit or by a new dimerization in the absence of the β 1 integrin subunit. This hypothesis could also explain why the in vitro fertilization rate was lower when Cre was less effective using the Sycp1-Cre mouse line [16]. We propose that when Cre is strongly efficient (*Stra8-Cre*), there is low or no integrin β 1 mRNA and protein expression, setting up up a functional compensatory integrin heterodimer system in the absence of integrin β 1 subunit. Further investigations are needed to verify this redundancy hypothesis. For example, comparative studies of the transcriptome and/or the proteome of gametes (oocytes and spermatozoa) lacking beta1 and control gametes that express integrin β 1 could make it possible to detect genes or proteins expressed only in the context of the absence of integrin β 1 subunit.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Ethics Statement

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with national guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. Authorizations were obtained from local (C2EA-34, Comité d'éthique en matière d'expérimentation animale Paris Descartes) and governmental ethical review committees via APAFiS Application (Autorisation de projet utilisant des animaux à des fins scientifiques), Authorization APAFIS #14124-2017072510448522 v26, A. Ziyyat (2018–2023).

4.2. Generation of Oocyte and Sperm Itgb1 Conditional Knockout Mice

The floxed *Itgb1* gene mice [18] (JAX stock #004605) were mated with the transgenic mice expressing the Cre recombinase under the control of the *Zp3* promoter [14,22] (JAX stock #003651) or the *Stra8* promoter [17] (JAX stock #017490). Heterozygous mice for *floxed Itgb1* and *Zp3-Cre* or *Stra8-Cre* were mated with *floxed Itgb1* mice to generate mice homozygous for the floxed *Itgb1* gene and expressing Cre. Female mice with both the *Zp3-Cre* transgene and homozygous floxed *Itgb1* gene (*Zp3-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}) were used for in vivo mating. Male mice with the *Stra8-Cre* transgene and homozygous floxed *Itgb1* gene (*Stra8-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}) were used for in vivo mating and IVF assays. For all experiments, controls used were *Stra8-* or *Zp3- Cre* ^{-/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox} males or females respectively.

Genotyping of mice was performed by PCR amplification (GoTaq[®] DNA Polymerase, Promega, Madison, WI, USA) on DNA extracted from tail biopsies (NucleoSpin[®] Tissue, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) using the following primers: 5'- CGGCTCAAAGCA-GAGTGTCAGTC -3' and 5'- CCACAACTTTCCCAGTTAGCTCTC-3' for floxed *Itgb1* gene detection and one or the other of these two pairs of primers: 5'- GCGGTCTG-GCAGTAAAAACTATC -3' and 5'- GTGAAACAGCATTGCTGTCACTT-3' or 5'- AGATGC CAGGACATCAGGAACCTG-3' and 5'-ATCAGCCACACAGAACAACAGAATC-3' for *Cre* gene detection under the control of *Zp3* or *Stra8* promoters. The first primer pair gives an amplification of 160 bp for WT *Itgb1* and 280 bp for floxed *Itgb1* (Figure 1a, green arrows). The second and the third ones give amplimers of 100 bp or 236 bp respectively.

4.3. Immunofluorescence of Mouse Embryos

Zona-intact early embryos from control or conditional KO mice were fixed during 20 min in 4% formaldehyde at room temperature (RT) and washed three times in PBS-1% BSA. For detection of the integrin β1, embryos were incubated with the primary antibody (MB1.2 at 20 µg/mL; Chemicon International, Temecula, CA, USA) for 1 h at RT followed by Alexa Fluor[®] 488 goat anti-rat IgG secondary antibody incubation (10 µg/mL, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Illkirch, France). Embryos were then incubated with 5µg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen H3570) in PBS-1% BSA for 30 min and washed in PBS-1% BSA and mounted in PBS in NuncTM Lab-TekTM Chamber Slide System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France). Control immunofluorescent studies were performed using isotype (IgG2a) (Serotec-Bio-Rad, Marnes-Ia-Coquette, France) as primary antibody or Alexa Fluor[®] 488 goat anti-rat IgG alone. Observations and acquisitions were carried out on an inverted spinning disk microscope using oil-immersion objectives X40. Final images were processed, Z-projected upon request, and merged using ImageJ.

4.4. PCR on Mouse Tail and Sperm DNA

DNA was extracted from sperm pellets and mouse tail biopsies (NucleoSpin[®] Tissue, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). The amplification was performed thanks to primers located upstream of the LoxP5' in intron 2 (5'-TATAACCCGCAGAACAATAGG-3') and downstream of LoxP3' in intron 3 (5'-CCACAACTTTCCCAGTTAGCTCTC-3') and GoTaq[®] DNA Polymerase (Figure 1a, blue arrows). Amplimers of 797, 934 or 274 bp were obtained for the WT, floxed or excised allele respectively.

4.5. In Vivo Mating, Gamete Preparation and in vitro Fertilization

Four groups of mating were used: KO males (i.e., males expressing Cre under the control of the *Stra8* promoter at the heterozygous state) with KO females (i.e., females expressing Cre under the control of the Zp3 promoter at the heterozygous state), KO males with control females, control males with KO females and control males with control females. All mice were homozygous for the *Itgb1* floxed gene. Mice were aged from 8 to 14 weeks and were housed as one male and one female per cage. In each group, litter size was assessed and compared to other groups.

WT and conditional KO female mice (5–8 week-old) were superovulated with 5 IU pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (PMSG) and 5 IU human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG,

Intervet, Beaucouze, France) 48 h apart. Fourteen hours after hCG injection, animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Cumuli oophori were collected by tearing the ampulla wall of the oviduct, placed in Ferticult medium (FertiPro, Belgium) supplemented with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and maintained at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ in air under mineral oil (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). For zona-free in vitro fertilization (IVF) assay, oocytes were freed from the cumulus cells by 3–5 min incubation at 37 °C with hyaluronidase (Sigma) in M2 medium (Sigma). Oocytes were rinsed and kept in Ferticult medium at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere under mineral oil. Zona pellucida (ZP) was then dissolved with acidic Tyrode's (AT) solution (pH 2.5, Sigma) under visual monitoring. The zona-free eggs were rapidly washed in medium and kept at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere for 2 to 3 h to recover their fertilizability.

Mouse spermatozoa were obtained from the cauda epididymis of control or KO conditional male mice (8 to 14 weeks old) and capacitated at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ for 90 min in a 500 μ L drop of Ferticult medium supplemented with 30 mg/mL BSA, under mineral oil.

Cumulus-intact or zona-free oocytes were inseminated for 3 h in a 50 μ L drop of medium with capacitated spermatozoa at a final concentration of 1×10^{6} /^{mL} or 1×10^{5} /^{mL} respectively. Then, they were washed and directly mounted in Vectashield/DAPI for observation under UV light (Zeiss Axioskop 20 microscope). They were considered fertilized when the oocytes showed a fluorescent decondensed sperm head within their cytoplasm.

4.6. High Frequency Ultrasonography Implantation and Survival Assessment

Gestations were obtained by crossing oocyte *Itgb1*-conditional KO females (*Zp3-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}) with sperm *Itgb1*-conditional KO males (*Stra8-Cre* ^{+/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}). Females were used to collect phenotypic data from the gestation. Females from the control group (*Zp3- Cre* ^{-/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}) were crossed with control males (*Stra8-Cre* ^{-/-} *Itgb1* ^{flox/flox}). Implantation and survival rates were obtained using high-frequency (40 MHz) ultrasonography (VEVO 2100 with MS-550D probe, Visulasonics, Toronto, Canada). Briefly, a chemical hair remover was used to eliminate abdominal hair. Ultrasonographic contact gel was used to ensure contact between the skin surface and the transducer. Body temperature, electrocardiographic and respiratory profiles were monitored using ultrasound device's integrated heating pad and monitoring device (THM150, Indus Instruments, Webster, TX, USA). The implantation and the survival rates were determined early in the gestation, at E6.5, E7.5 and E10.5. At these stages, the small size of embryos permits a fluent count and resorbed embryos are also visible. During each examination, the number of implanted embryos in each uterine horn as well as their status (alive or dead) were assessed.

4.7. Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as mean \pm sem of at least three independent experiments. For statistical analysis, one-way ANOVA multiple-comparisons test or t-test were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California, CA, USA). Differences were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.K., S.B. and A.Z.; Formal analysis, S.B. and A.Z.; Funding acquisition, K.K. and A.Z.; Methodology, N.E.H.M., C.I.-R., A.-L.D., I.L., M.F. and A.Z.; Project administration, A.Z.; Supervision, K.K.; Validation, N.E.H.M., C.I.-R., A.-L.D., I.L., M.F., S.B. and A.Z.; Visualization, S.B. and A.Z.; Writing—original draft, N.E.H.M., C.I.-R., A.-L.D., I.L., M.F. and A.Z.; Writing—review & editing, K.K., S.B. and A.Z.. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding. This work was supported by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Université Paris Cité. This work was also supported by the "Agence Nationale pour la Recherche" (Grant FUSOGAME N° ANR-21-CE13-003201), Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GA 22-30494S); Institute of Biotechnology of the Czech Academy of Sciences RVO (86652036); and by BIOCEV project (CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0109) from the ERDF.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The animal study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of C2EA-34, Comité d'éthique en matière d'expérimentation animale Paris Descartes and governmental ethical review committees via APAFiS Application (Authorization APAFIS #14124-2017072510448522 v26, A. Ziyyat (2018–2023)).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We thank the staff of the animal facility and C. Marchiol and G. Renault from Plateforme Imageries du Vivant (PIV) of the Cochin Institute (Paris).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Kaji, K.; Oda, S.; Shikano, T.; Ohnuki, T.; Uematsu, Y.; Sakagami, J.; Tada, N.; Miyazaki, S.; Kudo, A. The gamete fusion process is defective in eggs of Cd9-deficient mice. *Nat. Genet.* 2000, 24, 279–282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Le Naour, F.; Rubinstein, E.; Jasmin, C.; Prenant, M.; Boucheix, C. Severely reduced female fertility in CD9-deficient mice. *Science* 2000, 287, 319–321. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Miyado, K.; Yamada, G.; Yamada, S.; Hasuwa, H.; Nakamura, Y.; Ryu, F.; Suzuki, K.; Kosai, K.; Inoue, K.; Ogura, A.; et al. Requirement of CD9 on the egg plasma membrane for fertilization. *Science* 2000, *287*, 321–324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Inoue, N.; Ikawa, M.; Isotani, A.; Okabe, M. The immunoglobulin superfamily protein Izumo is required for sperm to fuse with eggs. *Nature* 2005, 434, 234–238. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Bianchi, E.; Doe, B.; Goulding, D.; Wright, G.J. Juno is the egg Izumo receptor and is essential for mammalian fertilization. *Nature* **2014**, *508*, 483–487. [CrossRef]
- 6. Barbaux, S.; Ialy-Radio, C.; Chalbi, M.; Dybal, E.; Homps-Legrand, M.; Do Cruzeiro, M.; Vaiman, D.; Wolf, J.P.; Ziyyat, A. Sperm SPACA6 protein is required for mammalian Sperm-Egg Adhesion/Fusion. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 5335. [CrossRef]
- Fujihara, Y.; Lu, Y.; Noda, T.; Oji, A.; Larasati, T.; Kojima-Kita, K.; Yu, Z.; Matzuk, R.M.; Matzuk, M.M.; Ikawa, M. Spermatozoa lacking Fertilization Influencing Membrane Protein (FIMP) fail to fuse with oocytes in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2020, 117, 9393–9400. [CrossRef]
- Lamas-Toranzo, I.; Hamze, J.G.; Bianchi, E.; Fernandez-Fuertes, B.; Perez-Cerezales, S.; Laguna-Barraza, R.; Fernandez-Gonzalez, R.; Lonergan, P.; Gutierrez-Adan, A.; Wright, G.J.; et al. TMEM95 is a sperm membrane protein essential for mammalian fertilization. *elife* 2020, 9, e53913. [CrossRef]
- 9. Noda, T.; Lu, Y.; Fujihara, Y.; Oura, S.; Koyano, T.; Kobayashi, S.; Matzuk, M.M.; Ikawa, M. Sperm proteins SOF1, TMEM95, and SPACA6 are required for sperm-oocyte fusion in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2020**, *117*, 11493–11502. [CrossRef]
- Siu, K.K.; Serrão, V.H.B.; Ziyyat, A.; Lee, J.E. The cell biology of fertilization: Gamete attachment and fusion. J. Cell Biol. 2021, 220, 2146. [CrossRef]
- Vondrakova, J.; Frolikova, M.; Ded, L.; Cerny, J.; Postlerova, P.; Palenikova, V.; Simonik, O.; Nahacka, Z.; Basus, K.; Valaskova, E.; et al. MAIA, Fc receptor-like 3, supersedes JUNO as IZUMO1 receptor during human fertilization. *Sci. Adv.* 2022, *8*, eabn0047. [CrossRef]
- Almeida, E.A.; Huovila, A.P.; Sutherland, A.E.; Stephens, L.E.; Calarco, P.G.; Shaw, L.M.; Mercurio, A.M.; Sonnenberg, A.; Primakoff, P.; Myles, D.G.; et al. Mouse egg integrin alpha 6 beta 1 functions as a sperm receptor. *Cell* 1995, *81*, 1095–1104. [CrossRef]
- 13. Miller, B.J.; Georges-Labouesse, E.; Primakoff, P.; Myles, D.G. Normal fertilization occurs with eggs lacking the integrin alpha6beta1 and is CD9-dependent. *J. Cell Biol.* **2000**, *149*, 1289–1296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. He, Z.Y.; Brakebusch, C.; Fassler, R.; Kreidberg, J.A.; Primakoff, P.; Myles, D.G. None of the integrins known to be present on the mouse egg or to be ADAM receptors are essential for sperm-egg binding and fusion. *Dev. Biol.* 2003, 254, 226–237. [CrossRef]
- 15. Schwander, M.; Leu, M.; Stumm, M.; Dorchies, O.M.; Ruegg, U.T.; Schittny, J.; Muller, U. Beta1 integrins regulate myoblast fusion and sarcomere assembly. *Dev. Cell* **2003**, *4*, 673–685. [CrossRef]
- 16. Barraud-Lange, V.; Ialy-Radio, C.; Chalas, C.; Holtzmann, I.; Wolf, J.P.; Barbaux, S.; Ziyyat, A. Partial Sperm beta1 Integrin Subunit Deletion Proves its Involvement in Mouse Gamete Adhesion/Fusion. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 8494. [CrossRef]
- 17. Sadate-Ngatchou, P.I.; Payne, C.J.; Dearth, A.T.; Braun, R.E. Cre recombinase activity specific to postnatal, premeiotic male germ cells in transgenic mice. *Genesis* **2008**, *46*, 738–742. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Raghavan, S.; Bauer, C.; Mundschau, G.; Li, Q.; Fuchs, E. Conditional ablation of beta1 integrin in skin. Severe defects in epidermal proliferation, basement membrane formation, and hair follicle invagination. *J. Cell Biol.* **2000**, *150*, 1149–1160. [CrossRef]
- 19. Stephens, L.E.; Sutherland, A.E.; Klimanskaya, I.V.; Andrieux, A.; Meneses, J.; Pedersen, R.A.; Damsky, C.H. Deletion of beta 1 integrins in mice results in inner cell mass failure and peri-implantation lethality. *Genes Dev.* **1995**, *9*, 1883–1895. [CrossRef]
- 20. Fassler, R.; Meyer, M. Consequences of lack of beta 1 integrin gene expression in mice. Genes Dev. 1995, 9, 1896–1908. [CrossRef]

- 21. Burkart, A.D.; Xiong, B.; Baibakov, B.; Jimenez-Movilla, M.; Dean, J. Ovastacin, a cortical granule protease, cleaves ZP2 in the zona pellucida to prevent polyspermy. *J. Cell Biol.* **2012**, *197*, 37–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. de Vries, W.N.; Binns, L.T.; Fancher, K.S.; Dean, J.; Moore, R.; Kemler, R.; Knowles, B.B. Expression of Cre recombinase in mouse oocytes: A means to study maternal effect genes. *Genesis* 2000, *26*, 110–112. [CrossRef]