

Extent and Factors Associated with Adherence to Antidepressant Treatment During Acute and Continuation Phase Depression Treatment Among Older Adults with Dementia and Major Depressive Disorder

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment

Sandipan Bhattacharjee ¹
Jeannie K Lee ¹
Nina Vadiel ¹
Asad E Patanwala²
Daniel C Malone³
Shannon M Knapp⁴
Wei-Hsuan Lo-Ciganic ⁵
William J Burke⁶

¹Department of Pharmacy Practice and Science, College of Pharmacy, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA; ²Sydney Pharmacy School, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia; ³Department of Pharmacotherapy, Skaggs College of Pharmacy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA; ⁴Statistics Consulting Laboratory, Bio5 Institute, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA; ⁵Department of Pharmaceutical Outcomes & Policy, College of Pharmacy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; ⁶Banner Alzheimer's Institute, Phoenix, AZ, USA

Purpose: Little is known about adherence to antidepressant treatment during acute and continuation phase of depression among older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed major depressive disorders (MDD). This study estimated the extent of and factors associated with adherence to acute and continuation phase antidepressant treatment among older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed MDD.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective cohort study using the Medicare 5% sample claims data (2012–2013) among older adults (age \geq 65 years) with dementia who were newly diagnosed with MDD. Intake period of our study was from 01-May-2012 through 30-April-2013. The dependent variables of this study were acute and continuation phase depression treatment adherence. Factors associated with acute and continuation phase antidepressant treatment adherence were identified using multiple logistic regression analyses.

Results: The final study sample consisted of 6239 [adherent: N=4644 (74.44%)] and 5617 [adherent: N=3584 (63.81%)] older adults with dementia and MDD during the acute and continuation phase treatment, respectively. During the acute phase, only race/ethnicity was significantly associated with adherence to depression treatment, whereas race/ethnicity and baseline antipsychotic use were significantly associated with adherence to depression treatment during the continuation phase.

Conclusion: Approximately, 74% and 64% older adults with dementia and MDD were adherent to acute and continuation phase antidepressant treatment in this nationally representative sample of Medicare beneficiaries, and we identified several modifiable and non-modifiable factors associated with adherence.

Keywords: dementia, depression, antidepressants, adherence, acute phase depression, continuation phase depression

Correspondence: Sandipan Bhattacharjee
Department of Pharmacy Practice and Science, The University of Arizona
College of Pharmacy, Tucson, AZ, USA
Tel +1 520 626-4124
Fax +1 520-626-7355
Email bhattacharjee@pharmacy.arizona.edu

Introduction

It is estimated that the global proportion of individuals over 60 years will approximately double from 12% (2015 figure) to 22% in 2050.¹ With this aging population, dementia (currently 50 million diagnosed cases globally) and depression (7% of general older adults globally) pose major public health issues.² This is particularly relevant in the context of the aging population of United States (US). According to

a recent estimate, the number of older adults (age ≥ 65 years) with dementia is expected to increase approximately two-and-half times from 5.8 million in 2020 to 13.8 million in 2050.³ Co-occurring physical and mental chronic conditions burden is high among older adults with dementia, with depression being one of the most prevalent chronic psychiatric conditions in this population.⁴ It is well documented that presence of depression among older adults with dementia not only decreases the quality of life (QoL), but is also associated with several negative outcomes such as faster cognitive decline, poor adherence to medications, high functional disability, increased rates of institutionalization, and mortality.^{5–8}

Existing studies examining the efficacy/effectiveness of antidepressants to treat depression have shown conflicting findings. Some studies have shown positive effects^{9–15} while some have shown no beneficial effects^{16–18} of antidepressants to treat depression among older adults with dementia and depression. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pragmatic trial examining the clinical effectiveness of sertraline and mirtazapine among individuals with Alzheimer's disease and co-occurring depression failed to demonstrate the clinical effectiveness of sertraline and mirtazapine compared to placebo (all three groups had normal care) in terms of reducing depression.¹⁹ However, trend towards the beneficial effect of antidepressants was noted in a recent meta-analysis.²⁰ Moreover, a population-based retrospective cohort study utilizing the National Health Insurance medical claims data demonstrated the protective effects of antidepressants in all-cause mortality among older adults with dementia and depression.²¹ Thus, there is evidence of potential clinical benefits of antidepressants to treat depression among older adults with dementia and depression.

Currently, there are no depression treatment guidelines for older adults with dementia and MDD. In one of our previous studies,²² we quantified the extent of potentially inappropriate antidepressants among older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed MDD using the National Committee for Quality Assurance's (NCQA's) Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) guidelines for antidepressant medication management (AMM).²³ A list of potentially inappropriate antidepressants for older adults with dementia in our recently published study²² was developed using the Beers criteria and Screening Tool of Older Persons' potentially inappropriate Prescriptions (STOPP) criteria.^{24,25} Given the evidence supporting the potential benefits of depression treatment and the serious lack of

studies examining adherence to depression treatment among older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed MDD, there is a need to examine the status of depression treatment adherence in this vulnerable population. Hence, excluding the potentially inappropriate antidepressants, we sought to estimate the extent of and identify factors associated with adherence to acute and continuation phase antidepressant treatment among older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed MDD using a nationally representative sample of Medicare beneficiaries.

Methods

Study Design

Using Medicare 5% sample claims data (2012–2013), we conducted a retrospective cohort study.

Data Source

We used several data files of the Medicare 5% sample claims data (2012–2013) for the purpose of this study. Data files included in this study were: (i) inpatient; (ii) outpatient; (iii) skilled nursing facility; (iv) carrier; (v) hospice care; (vi) home health agency; (vii) Part D event (PDE); and (viii) durable medical equipment files. Longitudinal follow-up of the Medicare beneficiaries and linking different data files are achieved by a unique de-identified Medicare beneficiary identifier assigned to each beneficiary. Information available in the claims data include: dates of service provided; charge and payment amounts; medication utilization; clinical diagnosis codes; and procedure codes. Demographic (e.g. age, gender, race/ethnicity) and eligibility information are available in the Medicare Beneficiary Summary File (MBSF).

Certain important regional-level factors (such as density of psychiatrists in a zip-code area) that can influence antidepressant adherence are not available in the Medicare dataset. In order to obtain this information, we merged the Area Health Resource File (AHRF) (a publicly available county-specific data) with Medicare dataset using the Social Security Administration codes. We also merged the Medicare dataset with National Plan and Provider Enumeration System (NPPES), also referred to as the National Provider Identifier (NPI) File to obtain provider specialty information from NPIs that appear in the PDE files.

Study Sample

We used the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Chronic Conditions Data Warehouse Condition

Categories algorithm to identify beneficiaries with dementia.²⁶ Older adults (age ≥ 65 years) with dementia comprised our study sample. The Intake Period, based on the HEDIS AMM guideline, was from May 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013.²³ The date of the first observed prescription claim of antidepressant during the Intake Period was defined as the Index Prescription Start Date (IPSD). For inclusion in the final study sample, the Medicare beneficiaries with dementia were required to have continuous Medicare Part A, B and D enrollment and no Health Maintenance Organizations (HMO) enrollment during the 105 days pre-IPSD, on the IPSD. Additionally, for inclusion in the acute and continuation phase cohort, Medicare beneficiaries with dementia were required to have continuous enrollment throughout the acute phase (114 days post-IPSD) and continuation phase (231 days post-IPSD) respectively.

Medicare beneficiaries were also required to have negative medication history defined as 105 days of no pharmacy claim for either new or refill prescriptions for an antidepressant medication prior to IPSD. Diagnosis of MDD was identified during the 121-day period from 60 days prior to IPSD, through the IPSD, and 60 days post IPSD. Based on HEDIS recommendation, MDD was defined as primary or secondary inpatient, outpatient or carrier claim of International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes of 296.2 (major depressive disorder, single episode), 296.3 (major depressive disorder, recurrent episode), 309.1 (prolonged depressive reaction), 300.4 (clinically significant depression), or 311 (depression not elsewhere classified).²³ These ICD-9-CM codes have been used in many existing studies to identify clinically significant or major depression.^{27–30} We considered 105 days before IPSD as the baseline period of our study. Exclusion criteria of our study were: (i) presence of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) at any time during the calendar year of IPSD; (ii) diagnosis of end-stage liver disease (ESLD) during baseline (iii) missing race/ethnicity information, or (iv) missing AHRF information. Additional exclusion criteria for acute phase adherence were: switch to HMO, or death or prescription claim for any inappropriate antidepressant during acute phase. For continuation phase adherence we excluded Medicare beneficiaries who switched to HMO, or died during the continuation phase or had any prescription claim of inappropriate antidepressant during continuation phase treatment. We used the MBSF to identify ESRD,

while we used ICD-9-CM codes of 155.0 and 571.0–9 for ESLD identification.³¹

Dependent Variables

Adherence during acute and continuation phase depression treatment was the dependent variable for this study and was calculated based on the days of supply of antidepressants from prescription claims in the PDE file. Index antidepressants included in this study were those that are not deemed to be potentially inappropriate antidepressants according to the Beers and STOPP criteria.^{24,25} This list of potentially inappropriate antidepressants has been used in our previous study.²² Antidepressants that were included in this study based on the HEDIS guidelines are presented in [Appendix 1](#). Antidepressants were identified using the National Drug Codes available from the PDE file.

Adherence During Acute Phase Treatment

According to HEDIS, adults with major depression, including older Medicare beneficiaries having dementia, should have ≥ 85 days of antidepressant use during the first 115 days to be adherent to the acute phase treatment.²³

Adherence During Continuation Phase Treatment

Based on HEDIS criteria, adults with major depression, including older Medicare beneficiaries with dementia, should have ≥ 181 days of antidepressant use during the first 232 days to be adherent to the continuation phase treatment.²³

Independent Variables

We included several variables in this study. Demographic characteristics consisted of age (65–74, and 75 years and older); gender (male/female); and race/ethnicity (white and others). Burden of co-occurring conditions during baseline was estimated using the Elixhauser comorbidity index.³² As we used all the Medicare files (excluding PDE and MBSF) to estimate Elixhauser comorbidity burden, we followed the rule-out algorithm (at least 2 diagnosis of interest that were >30 days apart) when using the Medicare physician or outpatient files to avoid overestimation of co-occurring conditions burden; whereas for all other files, only one diagnosis claim was required.^{32,33} Based on an existing study, we categorized Elixhauser comorbidity score into four non-ordinal groups (0, 1, 2, and ≥ 3) after summing all 31 individual Elixhauser conditions.³³ We identified baseline use of common medications that included: angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs),

anticoagulants, antidiabetics, antipsychotics, anxiolytics, statins, beta-blockers, calcium-channel blockers, diuretics, anti-parkinsonian, and proton pump inhibitors. We identified the baseline diagnosis of Parkinson's disease (PD) using ICD-9-CM code of 332.xx.^{34,35} We also examined the baseline use of psychotherapy using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes from existing studies (psychotherapy CPT codes are provided in [Appendix 2](#)).^{36,37} Other variables that we included in this study were: physician specialty (specialty of the doctor that prescribed the index prescription such as Neurology, Psychiatry, General/Family, Other, and Unknown); census region (Northeast, South, Midwest, West); metropolitan residency status (metropolitan/non-metropolitan); and density of each of neurologists and psychiatrists available within the zip code. We categorized each of these densities into four groups (those with 0 and those with >0 divided into tertiles). We also used public assistance (indicated by Medicare premiums and deductibles that are subsidized by the state to indicate the financial status of the enrollee) as one of the individual-level variables in this study.

Statistical Analysis

We compared the adherent and non-adherent groups for each predictor variable by chi-square tests. To identify predictors of adherence during acute and continuation phase depression treatment, we conducted multiple logistic regression analysis. We used a conservative $\alpha=0.01$ (to decrease Type I error rates) to establish statistical significance for all tests as our study included several variables. We conducted all analyses using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). When we merged the Medicare PDE files with the NPPES file, some of the NPIs did not match and we categorized them as unknown physician specialty. In order to examine the robustness of our base case analysis, we excluded the observations where the physician specialty was unknown and conducted a sensitivity analysis in that sample.

Results

We present the baseline characteristics of our study sample and the comparisons between adherent and non-adherent groups during acute and continuation phase depression treatment in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#), respectively. The final study sample consisted of 6239 [adherent: N=4644 (74.44%)] and 5617 [adherent: N=3584 (63.81%)] older adults with dementia and MDD during acute and continuation phase treatment, respectively. Differences in baseline characteristics between adherent and non-adherent groups during

the acute phase were observed for race/ethnicity and public assistance, whereas during the continuation phase we observed differences in race/ethnicity, region and baseline antipsychotic use. For example, whites were more adherent during both acute (75.2% vs 68.0%, chi-square test: $\chi^2 = 17.16$, $df=1$, $p\text{-value}<0.001$) and continuation (65.0% vs 54.5%, chi-square test: $\chi^2 = 26.74$, $df=1$, $p\text{-value}<0.001$) phase depression treatment compared to other race/ethnic category.

In [Table 3](#), we present the class-based as well as individual antidepressant utilization distributions for adherent and non-adherent groups during acute and continuation phase depression treatment. We observed statistically significant differences with respect to adherence among index antidepressant classes during both acute (chi-square test: $\chi^2 = 20.7$, $df=4$, $p\text{-value}<0.001$) and continuation phase (chi-square test: $\chi^2 = 15.2$, $df=4$, $p\text{-value}=0.004$) depression treatment with the antidepressant category "Other" exhibiting the highest adherence among the classes. While we did not conduct chi-square tests among the individual antidepressants to compare adherent and non-adherent groups, of the Medicare beneficiaries included in the analysis of continuation phase adherence, the most common index antidepressants were sertraline (N=1386) and citalopram (N=1381) whereas it were citalopram (N=1260) and sertraline (N=1245) for continuation phase.

We present the findings from multiple logistic regression analysis during acute and continuation phase depression treatment in [Tables 4](#) and [5](#), respectively. During the acute phase, only race/ethnicity was significantly associated with adherence to depression treatment, with whites having 30% [Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR): 1.30, 99% CI: 1.02–1.66, multiple logistic regression analysis Wald $\chi^2 = 7.99$, $p = 0.005$] higher odds of being adherent compared to non-whites. We observed similar findings in terms of race/ethnicity during continuation phase treatment, with whites having a 49% (AOR: 1.49, 99% CI: 1.18–1.89, multiple logistic regression analysis Wald $\chi^2 = 18.79$, $p<0.001$) higher chance of depression treatment adherence than non-whites. In addition, during continuation phase depression treatment, baseline antipsychotic use was associated with 43% (AOR: 1.43, 99% CI: 1.16–1.76, multiple logistic regression analysis Wald $\chi^2 = 18.80$, $p<0.001$) higher odds of depression treatment adherence among older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed MDD.

Table I Baseline Characteristics of Antidepressant Adherent and Non-Adherent Groups During Acute Phase Medicare 5% Sample Claims Data (2012–2013)

		Overall		Adherent		Non-Adherent		χ^2	df	p-value
		N = 6239	%	N = 4644	%	N = 1595	%			
Age Group	65–74 years	985	15.8	711	72.2	274	27.8	3.118	1	0.077
	75+ years	5254	84.2	3933	74.9	1321	25.1			
Gender	Male	1573	25.2	1172	74.5	401	25.5	0.006	1	0.939
	Female	4666	74.8	3472	74.4	1194	25.6			
Race/Ethnicity*	White	5539	88.8	4168	75.2	1371	24.8	17.158	1	<0.001
	Other	700	11.2	476	68.0	224	32.0			
Public Assistance*	Yes	1949	31.2	1392	71.4	557	28.6	13.529	1	<0.001
	No	4290	68.8	3252	75.8	1038	24.2			
Region	Northeast	1280	20.5	969	75.7	311	24.3	8.848	3	0.031
	South	2483	39.8	1815	73.1	668	26.9			
	Midwest	1724	27.6	1317	76.4	407	23.6			
	West	752	12.1	543	72.2	209	27.8			
Metropolitan Status	Yes	4960	79.5	3697	74.5	1263	25.5	0.130	1	0.718
	No	1279	20.5	947	74.0	332	26.0			
Baseline PD	Yes	368	5.9	293	79.6	75	20.4	5.524	1	0.019
	No	5871	94.1	4351	74.1	1520	25.9			
Baseline Psychotherapy	Yes	771	12.4	599	77.7	172	22.3	4.902	1	0.027
	No	5468	87.6	4045	74.0	1423	26.0			
Provider Specialty	General Family	4682	75.0	3493	74.6	1189	25.4	6.514	4	0.164
	Neurology	152	2.4	116	76.3	36	23.7			
	Psychiatry	375	6.0	290	77.3	85	22.7			
	Other	889	14.2	650	73.1	239	26.9			
	Unknown	141	2.3	95	67.4	46	32.6			
Density of Neurologists	0	1218	19.5	916	75.2	302	24.8	9.503	3	0.023
	1	1678	26.9	1248	74.4	430	25.6			
	2	1674	26.8	1204	71.9	470	28.1			
	3	1669	26.8	1276	76.5	393	23.5			
Density of Psychiatrists	0	903	14.5	663	73.4	240	26.6	5.304	3	0.151
	1	1780	28.5	1298	72.9	482	27.1			
	2	1777	28.5	1352	76.1	425	23.9			
	3	1779	28.5	1331	74.8	448	25.2			
ELX Index	0	1033	16.6	772	74.7	261	25.3	2.870	3	0.412
	1	1019	16.3	775	76.1	244	23.9			
	2	985	15.8	717	72.8	268	27.2			
	3	3202	51.3	2380	74.3	822	25.7			

(Continued)

Table I (Continued).

		Overall		Adherent		Non-Adherent		χ^2	df	p-value
		N = 6239	%	N = 4644	%	N = 1595	%			
Baseline Medication Use										
ACE Inhibitors	Yes	1567	25.1	1155	73.7	412	26.3	0.582	1	0.446
	No	4672	74.9	3489	74.7	1183	25.3			
Anticoagulants	Yes	735	11.8	546	74.3	189	25.7	0.010	1	0.921
	No	5504	88.2	4098	74.5	1406	25.5			
Antidiabetic	Yes	1090	17.5	807	74.0	283	26.0	0.110	1	0.740
	No	5149	82.5	3837	74.5	1312	25.5			
Antiparkinsonian	Yes	412	6.6	314	76.2	98	23.8	0.733	1	0.392
	No	5827	93.4	4330	74.3	1497	25.7			
Antipsychotic	Yes	996	16.0	763	76.6	233	23.4	2.937	1	0.087
	No	5243	84.0	3881	74.0	1362	26.0			
Anxiolytic	Yes	1019	16.3	751	73.7	268	26.3	0.346	1	0.556
	No	5220	83.7	3893	74.6	1327	25.4			
ARB	Yes	811	13.0	586	72.3	225	27.7	2.325	1	0.127
	No	5428	87.0	4058	74.8	1370	25.2			
Statin	Yes	2233	35.8	1662	74.4	571	25.6	<0.001	1	0.994
	No	4006	64.2	2982	74.4	1024	25.6			
PPI	Yes	1842	29.5	1347	73.1	495	26.9	2.350	1	0.125
	No	4397	70.5	3297	75.0	1100	25.0			
Beta-Blocker	Yes	2433	39.0	1781	73.2	652	26.8	3.187	1	0.074
	No	3806	61.0	2863	75.2	943	24.8			
CCB	Yes	1468	23.5	1077	73.4	391	26.6	1.155	1	0.283
	No	4771	76.5	3567	74.8	1204	25.2			
Diuretic	Yes	2156	34.6	1573	73.0	583	27.0	3.771	1	0.052
	No	4083	65.4	3071	75.2	1012	24.8			

Notes: Analysis based on 6239 (4644 adherent and 1595 non-adherent) older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed major depression. Results are based on chi-square analysis. In terms of the density of neurologists and psychiatrists, the category 0 represents zero neurologists/psychiatrists in the zip-code and the categories 1, 2, and 3 represent the first, second, and third tertiles, respectively, of non-zero densities. *Represents statistical significance (p-value <0.01).

Abbreviations: df, degrees of freedom; ACE inhibitors, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARBs, angiotensin receptor blockers; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; CCB, calcium channel blockers; ELX, Elixhauser; PD, Parkinson's disease.

We conducted a sensitivity analysis by removing the observations where the physician specialty was unknown ($N_{Acute}=141$ and $N_{Continuation}=129$). Findings from these sensitivity analyses are presented in [Supplemental Tables 1–5](#).

Overall, the findings from sensitivity analyses were similar to our primary analysis except that the density of neurologists and psychiatrists was also significantly associated with antidepressant adherence during acute phase treatment.

Table 2 Baseline Characteristics of Antidepressant Adherent and Non-Adherent Groups During Continuation Phase Medicare 5% Sample Claims Data (2012–2013)

		Overall		Adherent		Non-Adherent		χ^2	df	p-value
		N=5617	%	N=3584	%	N=2033	%			
Age Group	65–74 years	925	16.5	558	60.3	367	39.7	5.814	1	0.016
	75+ years	4692	83.5	3026	64.5	1666	35.5			
Gender	Male	1383	24.6	878	63.5	505	36.5	0.082	1	0.775
	Female	4234	75.4	2706	63.9	1528	36.1			
Race/Ethnicity*	White	4984	88.7	3239	65.0	1745	35.0	26.740	1	<0.001
	Other	633	11.3	345	54.5	288	45.5			
Public Assistance	Yes	1721	30.6	1056	61.4	665	38.6	6.431	1	0.011
	No	3896	69.4	2528	64.9	1368	35.1			
Region*	Northeast	1171	20.8	789	67.4	382	32.6	11.731	3	0.008
	South	2216	39.5	1381	62.3	835	37.7			
	Midwest	1547	27.5	999	64.6	548	35.4			
	West	683	12.2	415	60.8	268	39.2			
Metropolitan Status	Yes	4469	79.6	2861	64.0	1608	36.0	0.428	1	0.513
	No	1148	20.4	723	63.0	425	37.0			
Baseline PD	Yes	339	6.0	232	68.4	107	31.6	3.349	1	0.067
	No	5278	94.0	3352	63.5	1926	36.5			
Baseline Psychotherapy	Yes	687	12.2	454	66.1	233	33.9	1.759	1	0.185
	No	4930	87.8	3130	63.5	1800	36.5			
Provider Specialty	General Family	4182	74.5	2686	64.2	1496	35.8	5.070	4	0.280
	Neurology	137	2.4	90	65.7	47	34.3			
	Psychiatry	351	6.2	232	66.1	119	33.9			
	Other	818	14.6	496	60.6	322	39.4			
	Unknown	129	2.3	80	62.0	49	38.0			
Density of Neurologists	0	1100	19.6	697	63.4	403	36.6	5.407	3	0.144
	1	1507	26.8	966	64.1	541	35.9			
	2	1503	26.8	929	61.8	574	38.2			
	3	1507	26.8	992	65.8	515	34.2			
Density of Psychiatrists	0	811	14.4	497	61.3	314	38.7	5.735	3	0.125
	1	1586	28.2	996	62.8	590	37.2			
	2	1605	28.6	1056	65.8	549	34.2			
	3	1615	28.8	1035	64.1	580	35.9			
ELX Index	0	962	17.1	584	60.7	378	39.3	4.924	3	0.177
	1	949	16.9	614	64.7	335	35.3			

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued).

		Overall		Adherent		Non-Adherent		χ^2	df	p-value
		N=5617	%	N=3584	%	N=2033	%			
	2	896	16.0	580	64.7	316	35.3			
	3	2810	50.0	1806	64.3	1004	35.7			
Baseline Medication Use										
ACE Inhibitors								0.295	1	0.587
	Yes	1427	25.4	902	63.2	525	36.8			
	No	4190	74.6	2682	64.0	1508	36.0			
Anticoagulants								0.023	1	0.880
	Yes	650	11.6	413	63.5	237	36.5			
	No	4967	88.4	3171	63.8	1796	36.2			
Antidiabetics								0.663	1	0.415
	Yes	975	17.4	611	62.7	364	37.3			
	No	4642	82.6	2973	64.0	1669	36.0			
Antiparkinsonian								0.189	1	0.664
	Yes	376	6.7	236	62.8	140	37.2			
	No	5241	93.3	3348	63.9	1893	36.1			
Antipsychotic*								15.699	1	<0.001
	Yes	887	15.8	618	69.7	269	30.3			
	No	4730	84.2	2966	62.7	1764	37.3			
Anxiolytic								1.989	1	0.158
	Yes	926	16.5	572	61.8	354	38.2			
	No	4691	83.5	3012	64.2	1679	35.8			
ARB								2.880	1	0.090
	Yes	744	13.2	454	61.0	290	39.0			
	No	4873	86.8	3130	64.2	1743	35.8			
Statins								0.954	1	0.329
	Yes	2031	36.2	1279	63.0	752	37.0			
	No	3586	63.8	2305	64.3	1281	35.7			
PPI								2.487	1	0.115
	Yes	1658	29.5	1032	62.2	626	37.8			
	No	3959	70.5	2552	64.5	1407	35.5			
Beta-Blockers								1.981	1	0.159
	Yes	2178	38.8	1365	62.7	813	37.3			
	No	3439	61.2	2219	64.5	1220	35.5			
CCB								2.466	1	0.116
	Yes	1318	23.5	817	62.0	501	38.0			
	No	4299	76.5	2767	64.4	1532	35.6			
Diuretic								1.262	1	0.261
	Yes	1895	33.7	1190	62.8	705	37.2			
	No	3722	66.3	2394	64.3	1328	35.7			

Notes: Analysis based on 5617 (3584 adherent and 2033 non-adherent) older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed major depression. Results are based on chi-square analysis. In terms of the density of neurologists and psychiatrists, the category 0 represents zero neurologists/psychiatrists in the zip-code and the categories 1, 2, and 3 represent the first, second, and third tertiles, respectively, of non-zero densities. *Represents statistical significance (p-value<0.01).

Abbreviations: df, degrees of freedom; ACE inhibitors, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARBs, angiotensin receptor blockers; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; CCB, calcium channel blockers; ELX, Elixhauser; PD, Parkinson's disease.

Table 3 Description of Antidepressant Medication Initiation by Class-Level and Individuals-Level in Acute and Continuation Phase Medicare 5% Sample Claims Data (2012–2013)

Acute Phase								
		Adherent		Non-Adherent		χ^2	df	p-value
		N	%	N	%			
Antidepressant Class*						20.700	4	<0.001
	SSRI	3055	75.6	987	24.4			
	SNRI	512	75.0	171	25.0			
	Tetracyclic	629	71.3	253	28.7			
	Phenylpiperazine	285	67.2	139	32.8			
	Others	163	78.4	45	21.6			
Individual Antidepressant								
	Bupropion	141	78.3	39	21.7			
	Citalopram	1037	75.1	344	24.9			
	Desvenlafaxine	21	72.4	8	27.6			
	Duloxetine	310	74.3	107	25.7			
	Escitalopram	720	76.4	223	23.6			
	Fluoxetine	236	72.6	89	27.4			
	Fluoxetine/olanzapine	1	100.0	-	0.0			
	Fluvoxamine	6	85.7	1	14.3			
	Mirtazapine	629	71.3	253	28.7			
	Nefazodone	1	100.0	-	0.0			
	Selegiline	1	33.3	2	66.7			
	Sertraline	1056	76.2	330	23.8			
	Trazodone	284	67.1	139	32.9			
	Venlafaxine	181	76.4	56	23.6			
	Vilazodone	20	83.3	4	16.7			
Continuation Phase								
		Adherent		Non-Adherent		χ^2	df	p-value
		N	%	N	%			
Antidepressant Class*						15.199	4	0.004
	SSRI	2367	64.6	1297	35.4			
	SNRI	409	65.0	220	35.0			
	Tetracyclic	457	60.4	299	39.6			
	Phenylpiperazine	216	57.4	160	42.6			
	Others	135	70.3	57	29.7			
Individual Antidepressant								
	Bupropion	118	71.1	48	28.9			
	Citalopram	799	63.4	461	36.6			
	Desvenlafaxine	19	73.1	7	26.9			
	Duloxetine	242	63.5	139	36.5			
	Escitalopram	569	66.1	292	33.9			
	Fluoxetine	179	61.3	113	38.7			
	Fluoxetine/olanzapine	1	100.0	-	0.0			
	Fluvoxamine	6	100.0	-	0.0			
	Mirtazapine	457	60.4	299	39.6			
	Nefazodone	1	100.0	-	0.0			

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued).

Continuation Phase		Adherent		Non-Adherent		χ^2	df	p-value
		N	%	N	%			
	Selegiline	-	0.0	2	100.0			
	Sertraline	814	65.4	431	34.6			
	Trazodone	215	57.3	160	42.7			
	Venlafaxine	148	66.7	74	33.3			
	Vilazodone	16	69.6	7	30.4			

Notes: Analysis based on 6239 (4644 adherent and 1595 non-adherent) and 5617 (3584 adherent and 2033 non-adherent) older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed major depression during acute and continuation phase depression treatment. Chi-square analysis was conducted only on the overall antidepressant classes between adherent and Non-adherent groups during acute and continuation phase depression treatment. *Represents statistical significance (p-value<0.01).

Abbreviations: SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SNRI, serotonin–norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study examining the adherence to antidepressants during both acute and continuation phases of depression treatment among older adults with dementia and MDD in a nationally representative sample of Medicare beneficiaries. This study uniquely contributes to the existing knowledge by informing us about the current state of antidepressant adherence as well as identifying the modifiable and non-modifiable factors associated with antidepressant adherence in this vulnerable population in the US. It is critical to identify the factors associated with antidepressant adherence in order to develop appropriate interventions to improve adherence that can lead to better health outcomes (e.g. antidepressant use showed protective effect against all-cause mortality in a similar population in Taiwan²¹).

Though our study categorized race/ethnicity into only 2 groups – White (Non-Hispanic Whites) and Other (non-White race and Hispanics), minority older adults with dementia were at higher risk of non-adherence with depression treatment compared with white older adults. This data provides additional evidence about health disparities among older people with dementia and depression, supplementing our previous research that showed minority older patients received significantly less depression treatment (antidepressants alone or antidepressant + psychotherapy) compared with white older patients.³⁸ Such treatment disparity has been a consistent finding across several studies.^{39–43} In fact, the research that has found evidence of treatment disparities of minority patients with depression has accumulated over more than 20 years.⁴⁴ Examples of reasons for depression treatment disparities

include access issues (affordability, transportation), health literacy, cultural factors, patient beliefs about disease and medications, and patient–provider relationship.⁴⁴ Furthermore, a recent study by our research team that evaluated the appropriateness of antidepressant selection for the older population having dementia and depression found almost equivalent rates of inappropriate antidepressant initiation between White subjects (7.6%) and their non-White counterparts (7.5%).²² Although race/ethnicity did not differ between inappropriate and appropriate antidepressants initiated by the study sample in our previous study,²² we know that not as many minority older patients are initiated on an antidepressant; thus, the similar rate of inappropriate prescribing was an unfortunate finding.

At the same time, there is a lack of research examining depression treatment adherence among older adults with dementia. A wide range of adherence (20–80%) has been reported for depression medications, while poorer adherence rates, along with lower social support, greater limitations with daily living activities and higher baseline depression scores, have been associated with higher 12-month depression scores among older adults.⁴⁵ In the current study, non-Whites exhibited a higher risk of depression medication non-adherence than Whites among older adults with dementia and MDD. Because multiple factors contribute to depression medication non-adherence,⁴⁶ there likely are several reasons for racial/ethnic differences in adherence, particularly among older patients with dementia. A study showed that African Americans and Latino patients accepted treatment for depression less often than White patients.⁴⁷ Another study found that African Americans reported greater concerns about depression treatment.⁴⁸ In the same study,

Table 4 Predictors of Adherence During Acute Phase Depression Treatment

	AOR	99% CI		Wald χ^2	p-value
		Lower CL	Upper CL		
Age Group 75+ years vs 65–74 years	1.14	0.93	1.40	2.61	0.106
Gender Female vs Male	1.03	0.86	1.23	0.21	0.649
Race/Ethnicity* White vs Others	1.30	1.02	1.66	7.99	0.005
Public Assistance Yes vs No	0.86	0.73	1.02	5.03	0.025
Region Northeast vs South West vs South Midwest vs South	1.03 1.00 1.13	0.83 0.78 0.93	1.29 1.29 1.37	2.93	0.403
Metropolitan Status Yes vs No	1.02	0.80	1.29	0.04	0.845
Baseline Parkinson's Disease Yes vs No	1.39	0.92	2.10	4.16	0.041
Baseline Psychotherapy Yes vs No	1.22	0.95	1.56	4.31	0.038
Provider Specialty Neurology vs General/Family Psychiatry vs General/Family Other vs General/Family Unknown vs General/Family	1.05 1.10 0.92 0.71	0.63 0.78 0.74 0.44	1.75 1.54 1.14 1.14	5.20	0.268
Density of Neurologists 1 vs 0 2 vs 0 3 vs 0	0.81 0.69 0.83	0.60 0.50 0.58	1.11 0.96 1.18	10.54	0.015
Density of Psychiatrists 1 vs 0 2 vs 0 3 vs 0	1.14 1.44 1.30	0.83 1.01 0.89	1.56 2.05 1.90	10.19	0.017
ELX Index 1 vs 0 2 vs 0 ≥ 3 vs 0	1.09 0.92 1.01	0.83 0.71 0.80	1.42 1.20 1.26	2.60	0.458
ACE Inhibitors Yes vs No	0.97	0.81	1.17	0.15	0.695
Anticoagulant Yes vs No	1.01	0.80	1.29	0.02	0.898
Antidiabetic Yes vs No	1.08	0.87	1.33	0.80	0.371

(Continued)

Table 4 (Continued).

	AOR	99% CI		Wald χ^2	p-value
		Lower CL	Upper CL		
Antiparkinsonian Yes vs No	0.94	0.65	1.37	0.17	0.679
Antipsychotic Yes vs No	1.18	0.95	1.46	3.76	0.053
Anxiolytic Yes vs No	0.97	0.79	1.19	0.12	0.728
ARB Yes vs No	0.94	0.74	1.19	0.49	0.482
Statin Yes vs No	1.07	0.90	1.26	0.96	0.327
PPI Yes vs No	0.94	0.80	1.12	0.79	0.375
Beta-blocker Yes vs No	0.92	0.78	1.08	1.79	0.181
CCB Yes vs No	0.98	0.82	1.18	0.07	0.795
Diuretic Yes vs No	0.92	0.78	1.09	1.49	0.222

Notes: Analysis based on 6239 (4644 adherent and 1595 non-adherent) older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed major depression. Results are based on multiple logistic regression analysis. In terms of the density of neurologists and psychiatrists, the category 0 represents zero neurologists/psychiatrists in the zip-code and the categories 1, 2, and 3 represent the first, second, and third tertiles, respectively, of non-zero densities. Global Wald chi-square was significant, $\chi^2_{(35)} = 76.208$, $p < 0.001$. *Represents statistical significance (p -value < 0.01).

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence intervals; ACE inhibitors, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARBs, angiotensin receptor blockers; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; CCB, calcium channel blockers; ELX, Elixhauser.

White women had significantly higher antidepressant adherence compared to African-American women, and the belief about the importance of antidepressants and fewer concerns regarding antidepressants were associated with higher adherence. This study suggested that interventions targeting improved patient-provider communication, and culturally sensitive education and motivational enhancement may improve depression medication adherence.⁴⁸

Above mentioned gaps and disparities exist in the presence of no clear clinical guideline on treating depression among older adults with dementia. Therefore, a treatment guideline tailored to older patients with dementia and MDD is critically needed. Such clinical guidelines should emphasize appropriate antidepressant prescribing for older adults with dementia and emphasize treating minority patients as well as the importance of adherence. One perspective recommended research into help-seeking

behaviors by individuals that may uncover cultural and contextual factors that influence treatment decisions.⁴⁴ This seems to be a valuable research direction since beliefs about health and medications, social support, and environmental barriers can determine depression treatment and adherence as described in the above sections. To reduce racial and ethnic disparities in antidepressant adherence among older people with dementia and MDD, an innovative and effective intervention is warranted that addresses barriers specific to minority populations, including cultural beliefs. In addition, though our study subjects were community-dwelling, because there are patients with dementia of varying degrees in the communities across the US, it is important to involve care partners in interventions to enhance adherence.

A surprising finding from the present study is that older adults with dementia and MDD who used antipsychotics had higher antidepressant adherence compared with those

Table 5 Predictors of Adherence During Continuation Phase Depression Treatment

	AOR	99% CI		Wald χ^2	p-value
		Lower CL	Upper CL		
Age Group 75+ years vs 65–74 years	1.16	0.95	1.42	3.85	0.050
Gender Female vs Male	1.05	0.89	1.25	0.61	0.435
Race/Ethnicity* White vs Others	1.49	1.18	1.89	18.79	<0.001
Public Assistance Yes vs No	0.93	0.79	1.10	1.10	0.294
Region Northeast vs South West vs South Midwest vs South	1.16 0.99 1.07	0.94 0.77 0.89	1.43 1.26 1.28	3.97	0.265
Metropolitan Status Yes vs No	0.99	0.78	1.24	0.02	0.886
Baseline Parkinson's Disease Yes vs No	1.36	0.93	1.99	4.28	0.039
Baseline Psychotherapy Yes vs No	1.03	0.82	1.30	0.11	0.738
Provider Specialty Neurology vs General/Family Psychiatry vs General/Family Other vs General/Family Unknown vs General/Family	1.09 1.01 0.84 0.90	0.67 0.74 0.69 0.56	1.76 1.39 1.04 1.46	5.22	0.266
Density of Neurologists 1 vs 0 2 vs 0 3 vs 0	0.87 0.79 0.90	0.65 0.58 0.64	1.17 1.09 1.25	4.64	0.201
Density of Psychiatrists 1 vs 0 2 vs 0 3 vs 0	1.19 1.40 1.26	0.88 1.00 0.87	1.62 1.97 1.82	7.74	0.052
ELX Index 1 vs 0 2 vs 0 ≥ 3 vs 0	1.22 1.23 1.23	0.95 0.95 1.00	1.56 1.58 1.52	7.21	0.066
ACE Inhibitors Yes vs No	1.00	0.83	1.19	0.00	0.945
Anticoagulant Yes vs No	0.97	0.77	1.23	0.09	0.768
Antidiabetic Yes vs No	1.02	0.83	1.24	0.04	0.840

(Continued)

Table 5 (Continued).

	AOR	99% CI		Wald χ^2	p-value
		Lower CL	Upper CL		
Antiparkinsonian Yes vs No	0.79	0.56	1.12	3.02	0.082
Antipsychotic* Yes vs No	1.43	1.16	1.76	18.80	<0.001
Anxiolytic Yes vs No	0.91	0.75	1.10	1.67	0.196
ARB Yes vs No	0.94	0.75	1.18	0.47	0.494
Statin Yes vs No	1.00	0.85	1.17	0.00	0.981
PPI Yes vs No	0.94	0.80	1.11	0.96	0.327
Beta-Blocker Yes vs No	0.93	0.80	1.09	1.26	0.262
CCB Yes vs No	0.95	0.80	1.13	0.57	0.450
Diuretic Yes vs No	0.97	0.82	1.14	0.28	0.596

Notes: Analysis based on 5617 (3584 adherent and 2033 non-adherent) older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed major depression. Results are based on multiple logistic regression analysis. In terms of the density of neurologists and psychiatrists, the category 0 represents zero neurologists/psychiatrists in the zip-code and the categories 1, 2, and 3 represent the first, second, and third tertiles, respectively, of non-zero densities. Global Wald chi-square was significant, $\chi^2_{(35)} = 91.543$, $p < 0.001$. *Represents statistical significance (p -value<0.01).

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence intervals; ACE inhibitors, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARBs, angiotensin receptor blockers; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; CCB, calcium channel blockers; ELX, Elixhauser.

who did not use antipsychotics. The difficulty of interpreting this result is that claims for antipsychotics in the data cannot be linked to a particular diagnosis, and antipsychotics may be used as an adjunctive treatment of depression or for behavioral symptoms related to dementia, in addition to psychiatric illnesses such as schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Also, we do not have severity information related to depression or dementia in Medicare claims data. Thus, we can only guess what might be the reason for the difference. A presumption is that if study subjects' depression was better controlled due to the use of adjunct antipsychotics, their improved condition may have led to better medication adherence of antidepressants. Similarly, if the study patients' dementia-related behavioral symptoms, such as hyperactivity or agitation, were improved due to their antipsychotic use, medication adherence may have improved as a consequence. Therefore, future studies should further explore the relationship between

antipsychotic use and antidepressant adherence among older adults with dementia and MDD to confirm our findings. Moreover, factors driving the relationship may be investigated and included in building an optimal adherence intervention for older adults with dementia and depression.

A higher percentage of older adults with dementia and newly diagnosed MDD were adherent to depression treatment during the acute phase compared to the continuation phase, which is unsurprising because the acute phase is a subset of the continuation phase. This finding is reflective of previous studies demonstrating many patients do not continue treatment for the recommended length of time in the continuation phase of treatment.⁴⁹⁻⁵³ For example, a study by Akincigil et al found that 51% of privately insured patients diagnosed with depression were adherent to depression treatment during the acute phase, but this dropped to 42% being adherent in the continuation phase.⁴⁹ The goal of acute-phase treatment is to relieve

symptoms of depression, while the continuation phase is meant to prevent relapse.⁵⁴ Since symptoms tend to resolve during the acute treatment phase, it makes sense that some patients choose to discontinue treatment during the continuation phase. This can occur if patients no longer understand the need to continue treatment when they are feeling better. In fact, lack of patient education regarding the duration of therapy has been found to be one of the most important causes of early treatment discontinuation.⁵⁵ Patients may also wish to be on fewer medications and see the resolution in their depressive symptoms as an opportunity to reduce the number of medications they are taking.

In our study, Medicare beneficiaries who initiated on antidepressants in the “Others” category were more likely to be adherent compared to Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs), Serotonin-Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors (SNRIs), tetracyclic and phenylpiperazine antidepressants. This may be due to differences in the side-effect profiles of the different antidepressant classes. For example, bupropion is often prescribed for patients who are more distressed by having low energy, which is a common symptom in both dementia and depression.⁵⁶ This is an example of how medication side-effects can be used to help target specific symptoms that are common in this patient population. SSRIs and SNRIs tend to have milder side-effects,⁵⁷ meaning it may be more difficult for patients to notice acute changes when starting these medication classes. As antidepressants often take weeks to become efficacious,⁵⁷ it can be discouraging for patients/caregivers to not notice any changes during the first few weeks of treatment, which may consequently lead to early treatment discontinuation. However, medications like bupropion may lead to certain symptoms improving more quickly⁵⁸ (e.g. fatigue), resulting in patients/caregivers feeling more hopeful.

Findings from our main analysis were similar to the sensitivity analysis except that both the density of neurologist and density of psychiatrist showed a significant negative and positive association with antidepressant adherence during the acute phase of depression treatment. While it is challenging to explain these findings based on our data, we can speculate that early and close access to psychiatrists during the acute phase of depression treatment may help in improving antidepressant adherence among older adults with dementia and depression. Future research should investigate the effect of the density of specialists on antidepressant adherence in more detail.

Some of the strengths of the current study are the possibility of generalizability of our study findings due to the use of a large nationally representative sample of Medicare beneficiaries, absence of recall bias and utilization of a strong depression treatment framework. A few limitations such as possibility of coding error (as with any secondary database), use of prescription claims to measure adherence and lack of severity measure of dementia and depression in claims data. Moreover, the independent variables examined for being the predictors of adherence to antidepressants in our study were limited to the availability of these variables in the datasets; there could be other factors (such as caregiver effect) that influence the antidepressant adherence in our study sample. Furthermore, it should be noted that antidepressants can be used to treat other conditions such as sedation, agitation, sleep and appetite stimulation but the exact reason for antidepressant use cannot be determined from the Medicare claims data. And finally, given the range of years of data (2012–2013) used in this study, it may not represent the current status of depression treatment among Medicare beneficiaries with dementia and MDD.

Conclusion

Approximately 74% and 64% of older adults with dementia and MDD were adherent to acute and continuation phase antidepressant treatment in this nationally representative sample of Medicare beneficiaries. Race/ethnicity was significantly associated with adherence during both acute and continuation phases.

Data Sharing Statement

The data used in this study (Medicare 5% sample claims data) can be procured from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) via a Data Use Agreement (DUA). While we will not be able to share the data used in this study based on our DUA, but we will be happy to share our DUA files with researchers upon reasonable request so that they can obtain the Medicare 5% sample claims data from CMS.

Ethical Approval

The Human Subjects Protection Program Institutional Review Board (IRB) of The University of Arizona approved this study and determined that human subjects review is not required for this study.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ms. Zufan Yegezu for her help with the formatting of this manuscript. The abstract of this paper was presented at the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research 24th Annual International Meeting (May 18–22, 2019; New Orleans, LA, USA) as a poster presentation with interim findings. The poster's abstract was published in "Poster Abstracts" in *Value in Health* journal (May 2019, Volume 22, Supplement 2, Page S287): <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2019.04.1365>.

Funding

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R03MH114503. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Disclosure

Dr Sandipan Bhattacharjee reports grants from National Institute of Mental Health, during the conduct of the study. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- World Health Organization (WHO). Ageing and health. 2018. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health>. Accessed March 15, 2020.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Mental health of older adults. 2017. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-of-older-adults>. Accessed March 15, 2020.
- Alzheimer's Association. Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. 2020. Available from: <https://www.alz.org/media/Documents/alzheimers-facts-and-figures.pdf>. Accessed April 10, 2020.
- Steinberg M, Shao H, Zandi P, et al. Point and 5-year period prevalence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia: the cache county study. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2008;23(2):170–177. doi:10.1002/gps.1858
- Appleby BS, Roy P, Valenti A, Lee HB. Diagnosis and treatment of depression in Alzheimer's disease: impact on mood and cognition. *Pain Management*. 2007;49(3):139–149.
- Netuveli G, Blane D. Quality of life in older ages. *Br Med Bull*. 2008;85:113–126. doi:10.1093/bmb/ldn003
- Kales HC, Chen P, Blow FC, Welsh DE, Mellow AM. Rates of clinical depression diagnosis, functional impairment, and nursing home placement in coexisting dementia and depression. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2005;13(6):441–449. doi:10.1097/00019442-200506000-00002
- Buettner LL, Fitzsimmons S, Dudley WN. Impact of underlying depression on treatment of neuropsychiatric symptoms in older adults with dementia. *Res Gerontol Nurs*. 2010;3(3):221–232. doi:10.3928/19404921-20100601-02
- Buhr GT, White HK. Difficult behaviors in long-term care patients with dementia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2006;7(3):180–192. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2005.12.003
- Herrmann N, Lanctot KL. Pharmacologic management of neuropsychiatric symptoms of alzheimer disease. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2007;52(10):630–646. doi:10.1177/070674370705201004
- Sink KM, Holden KF, Yaffe K. Pharmacological treatment of neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia: a review of the evidence. *JAMA*. 2005;293(5):596–608. doi:10.1001/jama.293.5.596
- Lyketsos CG, DelCampo L, Steinberg M, et al. Treating depression in Alzheimer disease: efficacy and safety of sertraline therapy, and the benefits of depression reduction: the DIADS. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003;60(7):737–746. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.60.7.737
- Caballero J, Hitchcock M, Beversdorf D, Scharre D, Nahata M. Long-term effects of antidepressants on cognition in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2006;31(6):593–598. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2710.2006.00778.x
- Starkstein SE, Mizrahi R. Depression in Alzheimer's disease. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2006;6(6):887–895. doi:10.1586/14737175.6.6.887
- Cooper JP. Bupirone for anxiety and agitation in dementia. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*. 2003;28(6):469.
- Rosenberg PB, Martin BK, Frangakis C, et al. Sertraline for the treatment of depression in Alzheimer disease. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2010;18(2):136–145. doi:10.1097/JGP.0b013e3181c796eb
- Weintraub D, Rosenberg PB, Drye LT, et al. Sertraline for the treatment of depression in Alzheimer disease: week-24 outcomes. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2010;18(4):332–340. doi:10.1097/JGP.0b013e3181cc0333
- Dudas R, Malouf R, McCleery J, Dening T. Antidepressants for treating depression in dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018;8:CD003944.
- Banerjee S, Hellier J, Romeo R, et al. Study of the use of antidepressants for depression in dementia: the HTA-SADD trial—a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of sertraline and mirtazapine. *Health Technol Assess*. 2013;17(7):1–166. doi:10.3310/hta17070
- Orgeta V, Tabet N, Nilforooshan R, Howard R. Efficacy of antidepressants for depression in Alzheimer's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2017;58(3):725–733. doi:10.3233/JAD-161247
- Su JA, Chang CC, Wang HM, Chen KJ, Yang YH, Lin CY. Antidepressant treatment and mortality risk in patients with dementia and depression: a nationwide population cohort study in Taiwan. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis*. 2019;10:2040622319853719. doi:10.1177/2040622319853719
- Bhattacharjee S, Lee JK, Patanwala AE, et al. Extent and predictors of potentially inappropriate antidepressant use among older adults with dementia and major depressive disorder. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2019;27(8):794–805. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2019.02.002
- Assurance NCFQ. *HEDIS Technical Specifications*. Vol. 2. Washington, DC: National Committee for Quality Assurance; 2016:160–163.
- By the American Geriatrics Society Beers Criteria Update Expert P. American Geriatrics Society 2015 updated beers criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2015;63(11):2227–2246. doi:10.1111/jgs.13702
- O'Mahony D, O'Sullivan D, Byrne S, O'Connor MN, Ryan C, Gallagher P. STOPP/START criteria for potentially inappropriate prescribing in older people: version 2. *Age Ageing*. 2015;44(2):213–218. doi:10.1093/ageing/afu145
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Chronic conditions data warehouse. Available from: <https://www.cwdata.org/web/guest/condition-categories>. 2016. Accessed March 10, 2020.
- Wei W, Sambamoorthi U, Olfson M, Walkup JT, Crystal S. Use of psychotherapy for depression in older adults. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2005;162(4):711–717. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.162.4.711

28. Crystal S, Sambamoorthi U, Walkup JT, Akincigil A. Diagnosis and treatment of depression in the elderly medicare population: predictors, disparities, and trends. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2003;51(12):1718–1728. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51555.x
29. Sambamoorthi U, Olfson M, Walkup JT, Crystal S. Diffusion of new generation antidepressant treatment among elderly diagnosed with depression. *Med Care.* 2003;41(1):180–194. doi:10.1097/00005650-200301000-00019
30. Sambamoorthi U, Shen C, Findley P, Frayne S, Banerjee R. Depression treatment patterns among women veterans with cardiovascular conditions or diabetes. *World Psychiatry.* 2010;9(3):177–182. doi:10.1002/j.2051-5545.2010.tb00306.x
31. Perez A, Anzuldua M, McCormick J, Fisher-Hoch S. High frequency of chronic end-stage liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma in a Hispanic population. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2004;19(3):289–295. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1746.2003.03277.x
32. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care.* 2005;43(11):1130–1139. doi:10.1097/01.mlr.0000182534.19832.83
33. Zhu CW, Cosentino S, Ornstein KA, Gu Y, Andrews H, Stern Y. Interactive effects of dementia severity and comorbidities on medicare expenditures. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2017;57(1):305–315. doi:10.3233/JAD-161077
34. Bhattacharjee S, Metzger A, Tworek C, Wei W, Pan X, Sambamoorthi U. Parkinson's disease and home healthcare use and expenditures among elderly medicare beneficiaries. *Parkinsons Dis.* 2015;2015:606810.
35. Noyes K, Liu H, Holloway R, Dick AW. Accuracy of medicare claims data in identifying parkinsonism cases: comparison with the medicare current beneficiary survey. *Mov Disord.* 2007;22(4):509–514. doi:10.1002/mds.21299
36. Bhattacharya R, Shen C, Wachholtz AB, Dwibedi N, Sambamoorthi U. Depression treatment decreases healthcare expenditures among working age patients with comorbid conditions and type 2 diabetes mellitus along with newly-diagnosed depression. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2016;16:247. doi:10.1186/s12888-016-0964-9
37. Harpaz-Rotem I, Libby D, Rosenheck RA. Psychotherapy use in a privately insured population of patients diagnosed with a mental disorder. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2012;47(11):1837–1844. doi:10.1007/s00127-012-0486-9
38. Bhattacharjee S, Oh YM, Reiman EM, Burke WJ. Prevalence, patterns, and predictors of depression treatment among community-dwelling elderly individuals with dementia in the United States. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2017;25(7):803–813. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2017.03.003
39. Akincigil A, Olfson M, Siegel M, Zurlo KA, Walkup JT, Crystal S. Racial and ethnic disparities in depression care in community-dwelling elderly in the United States. *Am J Public Health.* 2012;102(2):319–328. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2011.300349
40. Alegria M, Chatterji P, Wells K, et al. Disparity in depression treatment among racial and ethnic minority populations in the United States. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2008;59(11):1264–1272. doi:10.1176/ps.2008.59.11.1264
41. Miranda J, Cooper LA. Disparities in care for depression among primary care patients. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2004;19(2):120–126. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1497.2004.30272.x
42. Health UDOHaHSM. *Culture, Race, and Ethnicity a Supplement to Mental Health: A Report of the Surgeon General.* Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Mental Health Services; 2001:2001.
43. Gonzalez HM, Vega WA, Williams DR, Tarraf W, West BT, Neighbors HW. Depression care in the United States: too little for too few. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2010;67(1):37–46. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.168
44. Cardemil EV, Nelson T, Keefe K. Racial and ethnic disparities in depression treatment. *Curr Opin Psychol.* 2015;4:37–42. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.01.021
45. Bosworth HB, Voils CI, Potter GG, Steffens DC. The effects of antidepressant medication adherence as well as psychosocial and clinical factors on depression outcome among older adults. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2008;23(2):129–134. doi:10.1002/gps.1852
46. Osterberg L, Blaschke T. Adherence to medication. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;353(5):487–497. doi:10.1056/NEJMr050100
47. Cooper LA, Gonzales JJ, Gallo JJ, et al. The acceptability of treatment for depression among African-American, hispanic, and white primary care patients. *Med Care.* 2003;41(4):479–489. doi:10.1097/01.MLR.0000053228.58042.E4
48. Burnett-Zeigler I, Kim HM, Chiang C, et al. The association between race and gender, treatment attitudes, and antidepressant treatment adherence. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2014;29(2):169–177. doi:10.1002/gps.3984
49. Akincigil A, Bowblis JR, Levin C, Walkup JT, Jan S, Crystal S. Adherence to antidepressant treatment among privately insured patients diagnosed with depression. *Med Care.* 2007;45(4):363–369. doi:10.1097/01.mlr.0000254574.23418.f6
50. Gaspar FW, Zaidel CS, Dewa CS. Rates and determinants of use of pharmacotherapy and psychotherapy by patients with major depressive disorder. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2019;70(4):262–270. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201800275
51. Katon W, von Korff M, Lin E, Bush T, Ormel J. Adequacy and duration of antidepressant treatment in primary care. *Med Care.* 1992;30(1):67–76. doi:10.1097/00005650-199201000-00007
52. Croghan TW, Melfi CA, Dobrez DG, Kniesner TJ. Effect of mental health specialty care on antidepressant length of therapy. *Med Care.* 1999;37(4Suppl Lilly):AS20–AS23. doi:10.1097/00005650-199904001-00004
53. Pampallona S, Bollini P, Tibaldi G, Kupelnick B, Munizza C. Patient adherence in the treatment of depression. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2002;180:104–109. doi:10.1192/bjp.180.2.104
54. Work Group on Major Depressive Disorder. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with major depressive disorder: third edition. 2010. Available from: https://psychiatryonline.org/pb/assets/raw/sitewide/practice_guidelines/guidelines/mdd.pdf. Accessed March 14, 2020.
55. Bull SA, Hu XH, Hunkeler EM, et al. Discontinuation of use and switching of antidepressants: influence of patient-physician communication. *JAMA.* 2002;288(11):1403–1409. doi:10.1001/jama.288.11.1403
56. Foley KF, DeSanty KP, Kast RE. Bupropion: pharmacology and therapeutic applications. *Expert Rev Neurother.* 2006;6(9):1249–1265. doi:10.1586/14737175.6.9.1249
57. Santarsieri D, Schwartz TL. Antidepressant efficacy and side-effect burden: a quick guide for clinicians. *Drugs Context.* 2015;4:212290. doi:10.7573/dic.212290
58. Quitkin FM, Taylor BP, Kremer C. Does mirtazapine have a more rapid onset than SSRIs? *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2001;62(5):358–361. doi:10.4088/JCP.v62n0509

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment is an international, peer-reviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical or pre-clinical studies on a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, the 'PsycINFO' database and CAS, and

is the official journal of The International Neuropsychiatric Association (INA). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/neuropsychiatric-disease-and-treatment-journal>