Skin cancer risk in patients with *BRCA* mutations

To the Editor: Patients with germline BReast CAncer gene (*BRCA*) mutations have a well-documented risk of cancers, such as breast, ovarian, prostate, and pancreatic.¹ Studies evaluating the association between *BRCA* mutations and skin cancer risk report inconsistent results and are limited by surveillance bias.²

We used Optum's deidentified Clinformatics Data Mart Database (January 2007- June 2022, with approximately 62 million patients). We included patients with current procedural terminology codes for *BRCA* genetic testing. We considered patients to have evidence of *BRCA* mutation if they had at least one *genetic susceptibility to breast or ovarian cance*r International Classification of

Table I. Patient characteristics by BRCA status

Patient characteristic	characteristic Full cohort No evidence of BRCA mutation		on Evidence of BRCA mutation	
Overall	71,092	64,630 (90.9%)	6462 (9.1%)	
Age (mean \pm SD)	49.1 ± 14.7	49.3 ± 14.7	47.0 ± 14.4	
Age category				
<40	20,343 (28.6%)	18,193 (28.1%)	2150 (33.3%)	
40-50	17,918 (25.2%)	16235 (25.1%)	1683 (26.0%)	
50-59	15,560 (21.9%)	14,193 (22.0%)	1367 (21.2%)	
60+	17,271 (24.3%)	16,009 (24.8%)	1262 (19.5%)	
Sex				
Female	64,226 (90.3%)	58,254 (90.1%)	5972 (92.4%)	
Male	6860 (9.6%)	6370 (9.9%)	490 (7.6%)	
Region				
Northeast	7959 (11.2%)	7215 (11.2%)	744 (11.5%)	
Midwest	13,288 (18.7%)	11,797 (18.3%)	1491 (23.1%)	
South	35,601 (50.1%)	32,645 (50.5%)	2956 (45.7%)	
West	14,140 (19.9%)	12,875 (19.9%)	1265 (19.6%)	
Unknown	104 (0.1%)	98 (0.2%)	6 (0.1%)	
History of skin cancer				
No	67,337 (94.7%)	61,157 (94.6%)	6180 (95.6%)	
Yes	3755 (5.3%)	3473 (5.4%)	282 (4.4%)	
History of AK				
No	68,378 (96.2%)	62,142 (96.2%)	6236 (96.5%)	
Yes	2714 (3.8%)	2488 (3.8%)	226 (3.5%)	
Race				
Asian	1993 (2.8%)	1822 (2.8%)	171 (2.6%)	
Black	7870 (11.1%)	7214 (11.2%)	656 (10.2%)	
Hispanic	7180 (10.1%)	6596 (10.2%)	584 (9.0%)	
White	51,166 (72.0%)	46,359 (71.7%)	4807 (74.4%)	
Unknown	2883 (4.1%)	2639 (4.1%)	244 (3.8%)	
Skin cancer outcomes during follow-up*				
All skin cancer	3328 (4.7%)	3020 (4.7%)	308 (4.8%)	
All keratinocyte carcinoma [†]	3094 (4.4%)	2812 (4.4%)	282 (4.4%)	
BCC	1998 (2.8%)	1805 (2.8%)	193 (3.0%)	
SCC	1353 (1.9%)	1240 (1.9%)	113 (1.8%)	
All melanoma	362 (0.5%)	321 (0.5%)	41 (0.6%)	
Melanoma in situ	180 (0.3%)	155 (0.2%)	25 (0.4%)	
Invasive melanoma	225 (0.3%)	200 (0.3%)	25 (0.4%)	

AK, Actinic keratosis; BRCA, BReast CAncer gene.

*Listed are the number of patients who had at least one of each skin cancer type.

[†]All keratinocyte carcinomas include BCC (basal cell carcinoma) and SCC (squamous cell carcinoma).

^{© 2024} Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

Cancer Type	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)		Cancer Type	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	
All skin cancer	1.20 (1.06–1.35)	-8-	All skin cancer	1.30 (1.07–1.58)	
All keratinocyte carcinoma	1.18 (1.05–1.34)		All keratinocyte carcinoma	1.22 (0.99–1.51)	
Basal cell carcinoma	1.22 (1.05–1.42)		Basal cell carcinoma	1.18 (0.91–1.54)	
Squamous cell carcinoma	1.15 (0.94–1.39)		Squamous cell carcinoma	1.24 (0.87–1.78)	
All melanoma	1.44 (1.05–1.99)		All melanoma	1.95 (1.17–3.25)	
Melanoma in situ	1.89 (1.24 – 2.87)	e	Melanoma in situ	2.90 (1.31-6.43)	$-\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!\rightarrow$
Invasive melanoma	1.40 (0.92–2.12)		Invasive melanoma	2.08 (1.17–3.71).	
Α		1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 Hazard Ratios (95% CI)	В		1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 Hazard Ratios (95% Cl)

Fig 1. Hazard ratios by skin cancer type for main (**A**) and secondary (**B**; pre-2015 subanalysis) cohorts. Results of multivariable competing risks regression including adjustment variables: age, gender, race, region, history of skin cancer, and history of actinic keratosis. All keratinocyte carcinomas include BCC (basal cell carcinoma) and SCC (squamous cell carcinoma).

Diseases (ICD) code (Z15.01, Z15.02, V84.01, V84.02) 3 months prior or up to 3 years after BRCA test date. As some ICD codes may identify patients with non-BRCA mutations on multigene panels, we performed a secondary analysis limited to patients who received BRCA testing prior to 2015, when multigene panels were less common.³ Skin cancer outcomes were identified using ICD codes plus same-day treatment current procedural terminology codes for keratinocyte carcinoma and melanoma.⁴ Patients with solid organ transplant, HIV, or active breast, ovarian, pancreatic, or prostate cancer in the 6 months prior BRCA test date were excluded. We evaluated patient demographics and performed adjusted competing risks regression (competing risk of death) for risk of skin cancer comparing patients with evidence of BRCA mutation to those without.

Hazard Ratios by Skin Cancer Type - Main Analysis

There were 71,092 patients that met inclusion criteria. The mean age at *BRCA* testing was 49.1 (SD: 14.7) with a mean follow-up of 3.2 (SD: 2.1) years, and 9.1% (n = 6462) had a *BRCA* mutation (Table I). *BRCA* mutation was associated with a hazard ratio (HR) of 1.19 (95% CI: 1.06 – 1.34) for skin cancer overall, 1.42 (95% CI: 1.02-1.97) for melanoma, and 1.18 (95% CI: 1.04-1.33) for keratinocyte carcinoma in adjusted competing risks regression. Fig 1 shows results for skin cancer outcome subgroups (statistically significantly increased HRs for BCC and melanoma *in situ*) and for secondary analysis (statistically significantly increased HRs for all skin cancer and for melanoma, including *in situ* and invasive).

BRCA mutation was associated with an approximately 20% increased risk of skin cancer and approximately 40% increased risk of melanoma. Prior work on *BRCA* and skin cancer has been

limited by surveillance bias.² This is important as many skin cancers are nonfatal and may be asymptomatic, and patients with higher health care utilization have higher risks of skin cancer.⁵ Although we are not able to fully distinguish between surveillance bias and real biologic effect, we attempted to minimize surveillance bias by only including patients who received *BRCA* testing.

Hazard Ratios by Skin Cancer Type - Pre-2015 Subanalysis

Using claims data limits the distinguishability between *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, and identifying *BRCA* mutations in claims data is not yet validated. Although patients were tested for *BRCA*, we cannot confirm that the ICD codes reflect a positive *BRCA* test result, as this ICD code can represent other mutations. However, when we limited our cohort to prior to 2015, we found congruent results.

This study supports a positive association between *BRCA* mutations and skin cancer, which may represent a biologic effect rather than a surveillance bias effect.

- Lucy J. Navsaria, MBBCh, BAO,^a Allen M. Haas, MS,^b Jessica L. Corredor, MS,^c Banu K. Arun, MD,^{c,d} Sharon H. Giordano, MD,^{a,d} Kevin T. Nead, MD, MPbil,^{b,e} and Mackenzie R. Webner, MD, MPbil^{4,f}
- From the Department of Health Services Research, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas^a; Department of Epidemiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas^b; Clinical Cancer Genetics Program, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas^c; Department of Breast Medical Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas^d; Department of Radiation

Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston^e; and Department of Dermatology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas:^f

- Drs Nead and Webner contributed equally to the manuscript as co-senior authors.
- Funding sources: This research was supported by the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas RR190078 (Dr Wehner), as well as, in part, by Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA016672. Dr Wehner is supported by NIH NCI K08 CA263298. Drs Nead and Wehner are Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas Scholars in Cancer Research. The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Patient consent: Not applicable.

- IRB approval status: Not applicable.
- *Key words: breast; epidemiology; genetics; melanoma; public health; screening; skin cancer.*

Correspondence to: Mackenzie R. Wehner, MD, MPhil, Assistant Professor, Department of Health Services Research, Department of Dermatology, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1400 Pressler St Unit 1444, Houston, TX 77030

E-mail: mwehner@mdanderson.org

X handle: @LucyNavsaria

Conflicts of interest

None disclosed.

REFERENCES

- Eide MJ, Krajenta R, Johnson D, et al. Identification of patients with nonmelanoma skin cancer using health maintenance organization claims data. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2010;171(1):123-128.
- Desmond A, Kurian AW, Gabree M, et al. Clinical actionability of multigene panel testing for hereditary breast and ovarian cancer risk assessment. JAMA Oncol. 2015;1(7):943-951.
- **3.** Levy-Lahad E, Friedman E. Cancer risks among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *Br J Cancer*. 2007;96(1):11-15.
- Gumaste PV, Penn LA, Cymerman RM, Kirchhoff T, Polsky D, McLellan B. Skin cancer risk in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. Br J Dermatol. 2015;172(6):1498-1506.
- 5. Welch HG, Mazer BL, Adamson AS. The rapid rise in cutaneous melanoma diagnoses. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(1):72-79.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdin.2024.07.024