



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

**EDITORIAL**

# The Long-Term Effects of COVID-19 on Dysphagia Evaluation and Treatment



Fear of the viral syndrome *severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2* (SARS-CoV-2) termed COVID-19 (ie, coronavirus disease 2019)<sup>1</sup> is real. Government mandates intended to reduce the rate of transmission, such as *social distancing* (read as *physical distancing*), community *lock-downs*, and public masking, are the only options available for containment.<sup>2-4</sup> This *new normal*, amid the constant threat of COVID-19, has led to an upheaval in rehabilitation care, forcing us to rethink the manner in which we deliver it.

## Aerosol generating procedures + vulnerabilities = opportunities

The virus is with us and will likely remain so, even when the more stringent methods of disease mitigation have been lifted. Rehabilitation professionals work physically close with patients, caregivers too. Health care professionals who make a living assessing and treating the oropharynx, nasopharynx, larynx, and upper and lower airways, the anatomical *epicenters* of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, share the responsibility for constructive clinical engagement. Specific to dysphagia assessment, highly affected geographical regions have limited use of the gold standards—videofluoroscopic swallow study (VFSS) and flexible endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES). Less affected regions have adjusted practice to address safety concerns. Under the current regime, guided by professional societies down to departments of clinicians, VFSS and FEES are considered: (1) aerosol generating procedures<sup>5-7</sup> and (2) *elective* procedures (defined as neither *emergent* nor *urgent* for medical care<sup>7-9</sup>). The irony is that patients with COVID-19, especially those postextubation from mechanical ventilation in intensive care units, may be among those who need these procedures most.<sup>10,11</sup> Moreover, if we take the perspective that all patients with a potentially compromised (ie, vulnerable) airway may be carriers of SARS-CoV-2 (ie, person under investigation<sup>12</sup>), determining a *safe swallow* of foods and liquids may be less relevant than quantifying the degree of airway risk. In this light, VFSS and FEES are both insufficient and unsafe. We are caught in a clinical time warp, assessing patients with little more than clinical examinations. How do we resume evaluations of swallowing and airway protection in this post-COVID-19 world?

We could consider risk stratification of airway vulnerability with noninvasive imaging and noninvasive metrics. Assessments could include such swallowing characteristics as laryngeal structure and dynamics, lingual deformation during swallowing, airway compromise during swallowing, and efficiency of swallowing physiology. Among the methods that address these characteristics

are noninvasive imaging,<sup>13,14</sup> strength or somatosensory testing,<sup>15-19</sup> patient-reported symptoms,<sup>20-24</sup> accelerometry,<sup>25-29</sup> cervical auscultation,<sup>30-33</sup> and swallowing frequency.<sup>34-37</sup> Still largely being developed and what many might consider *not ready for prime time*, none of these methods have been substantively tested in the clinical setting. Characterizing pathology across the spectrum of diseases, distinguishing macroscale from microscale aspiration, and quantitative assessment of airway vulnerability and its risk of pneumonia using tools with translatable and reproducible metrics to clinical outcomes are needed—now more than ever.

We must embrace noninvasive testing of swallowing and airway safety. Combining a detailed medical history, validated patient-reported symptoms inventory, and cranial nerve examination are a good start, but with variable reliability,<sup>38</sup> but this cannot be all there is. We need to work constructively with industry and regulatory bodies to develop and test inventions for routine, value-based care. Health care, especially rehabilitation, is dynamic. This necessitates continued engagement with third-party payors, including state and federal governments, to welcome and respond to these changes. Skepticism and reluctance need to be quelled when innovation and onboarding must be the ever-present themes. “We’ve always done it that way” never was an acceptable ideology.

## Directing different resources differently

Outpatient visits have been severely restricted, redirecting resources to address acute care hospitalization demands. This will continue for some time after *the curve* has been flattened. In the months and years that follow, when supplies are restored and personnel resume *business as usual*, we will endeavor to overcome the economic burden of this medical tragedy. Stimulus packages to individuals will not make a dent in the medical bills many thousands of patients face posthospitalization needing rehabilitation. The rehabilitation burden is only at the beginning, severely lagging the onslaught of hospitalizations climbing as high as 31% in the United States.<sup>39-42</sup> Worse, the economics of rehabilitation are far-reaching, impacting many professions and patients, all with no end in sight.<sup>43,44</sup> Strokes, for example, have not stopped since the pandemic began; rather, they have increased.<sup>45,46</sup> Dysphagia is no different,<sup>47</sup> never mind the ongoing threat of airway invasion in the context of weakness from both SARS-CoV-2 and acute care hospitalization. Patients need follow-up, but we must mitigate the challenges of treating patients when physical contact may be harmful for all involved. Creativity and resourcefulness are needed to meet patients’ needs. Enter telehealth.

Various applications, including electronic medical records systems and video conferencing platforms, are being used to deliver health care, many long before the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. Remote methods of assessing or treating dysphagia are nearly 20 years old.<sup>48-50</sup> Such methods may not be standardized or generally implemented in clinical settings due to technological insufficiencies, lack of training, and issues related to billing and reimbursement. Moreover, telehealth may not be a panacea or used for all patient populations.<sup>51-53</sup> Despite these apparent limitations, patients are still able to follow-up with providers and at least receive limited care where they would otherwise be refused care until systems for reentry and clinical pathways are more established.

At the time of printing, specifically in the United States, Medicare temporarily waived requirements in 42 CFR §484.55(a)(2) and §484.55(b)(3), permitting speech-language pathologists to remotely evaluate and treat speech production and fluency, language comprehension, and voice (CPT 92507-08, 92521-24),<sup>54,55</sup> yet clinical swallowing evaluations (CPT 92610) and swallowing treatment (CPT 92526) remain not covered.<sup>54,55</sup> Medicare beneficiaries, 64 million in 2019,<sup>56</sup> have a forced choice: (1) suffer with dysphagia while hoping for *spontaneous recovery* and fear the worst-case scenario of being rehospitalized with pneumonia due to impaired airway safety, or (2) pay out-of-pocket for telehealth services that—currently—will not be reimbursed, further straining personal economics and *still* risk rehospitalization with pneumonia due to impaired airway safety. All of these limitations now can be reconsidered. Wearable technologies allow clinicians to remotely assess minute-to-minute physiological performance (eg, swallowing frequency) or monitor physiochemical components of exhaled air as a metric of aspiration. These technologies for dysphagia are not clinical realities; telehealth is the best we have.

In the end, distinguishing between clinical practice and innovation is a false choice. Clinicians are responsible for meeting the challenge of COVID-19 by identifying new methods wherever they exist. Researchers must strive to find clinical relevance to match their innovations. SARS-CoV-2 has dictated that those who manage dysphagia must evolve. And so, we shall.

## Acknowledgment

We thank Michael A. Cray, PhD, for his assistance with this editorial.

Martin B. Brodsky, PhD, ScM  
*Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation  
 Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine  
 Outcomes After Critical Illness and Surgery Research Group  
 Johns Hopkins University  
 Baltimore, MD*

*Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine  
 Johns Hopkins University  
 Baltimore, MD.*

Richard J. Gilbert, MD  
*Laboratory for Biological Architecture  
 Research Service, Providence VAMC  
 Warren Alpert Medical School  
 Brown University  
 Providence, RI.*

Disclosures: none.

## References

1. World Health Organization. Naming the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and the virus that causes it. Available at: [https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/naming-the-coronavirus-disease-\(covid-2019\)-and-the-virus-that-causes-it](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/naming-the-coronavirus-disease-(covid-2019)-and-the-virus-that-causes-it). Accessed May 1, 2020.
2. Kai D, Goldstein G-P, Morgunov A, Nangalia V, Rotkirch A. Universal masking is urgent in the COVID-19 pandemic: SEIR and agent based models, empirical validation, policy recommendations. *arXiv*. 2020, 200413553.
3. Howard J, Huang A, Li Z, et al. Face masks against COVID-19: an evidence review. *Preprints*. 2020, 2020040203.
4. Wilder-Smith A, Freedman DO. Isolation, quarantine, social distancing and community containment: pivotal role for old-style public health measures in the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) outbreak. *J Travel Med* 2020;27. taaa020.
5. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. ASHA guidance to SLPs regarding aerosol generating procedures. Available at: <https://www.asha.org/slp/healthcare/asha-guidance-to-slp-regarding-aerosol-generating-procedures/>. Accessed May 10, 2020.
6. Dysphagia Research Society. COVID-19 information and resources: risk management of AGPs for dysphagia care. Available at: <https://www.dysphagiaresearch.org/page/COVID19AGPs>. Accessed May 8, 2020.
7. Bolton L, Brady G, Coffey M, et al. Speech and language therapist-led endoscopic procedures in the COVID-19 pandemic 2020. Available at: [https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\\_FINAL-\(2\).PDF?1a=en&hash=8101575091FE8F1ABA41B4B472387DAFB023A39D](https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance_FINAL-(2).PDF?1a=en&hash=8101575091FE8F1ABA41B4B472387DAFB023A39D). Accessed May 7, 2020.
8. ENT UK. ENTUK guidelines for changes in ENT during COVID-19 pandemic. Available at: [https://www.entuk.org/entuk-guidelines-changes-ent-during-covid-19-pandemic?utm\\_source=All+ENT+UK+Members+NO+EVENTS+COMMS+12.02.20&utm\\_campaign=4506192d26-EMAIL\\_CAMPAIGN\\_2020\\_03\\_23\\_05\\_26\\_COPY\\_01&utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_term=0\\_6774fc77e2-4506192d26-118694889](https://www.entuk.org/entuk-guidelines-changes-ent-during-covid-19-pandemic?utm_source=All+ENT+UK+Members+NO+EVENTS+COMMS+12.02.20&utm_campaign=4506192d26-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2020_03_23_05_26_COPY_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_6774fc77e2-4506192d26-118694889). Accessed May 10, 2020.
9. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. SLP service delivery considerations in health care during coronavirus/COVID-19. Available at: <https://www.asha.org/SLP/healthcare/SLP-Service-Delivery-Considerations-in-Health-Care-During-Coronavirus/#endoscopic>. Accessed May 10, 2020.
10. Brodsky MB, Levy MJ, Jedlanek E, et al. Laryngeal injury and upper airway symptoms after oral endotracheal intubation with mechanical ventilation during critical care: a systematic review. *Crit Care Med* 2018;46:2010-7.
11. Brodsky MB, Nollet JL, Spronk PE, Gonzalez-Fernandez M. Prevalence, pathophysiology, diagnostic modalities and treatment options for dysphagia in critically ill patients. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2020 Apr 16. [Epub ahead of print].
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Information for health departments on reporting cases of COVID-19. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/php/reporting-pui.html>. Accessed May 1, 2020.
13. Ekprachayakoon I, Miyamoto JJ, Inoue-Arai MS, et al. New application of dynamic magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of deglutitive tongue movement. *Prog Orthod* 2018;19:45.
14. Ohkubo M, Scobbie JM. Tongue shape dynamics in swallowing using sagittal ultrasound. *Dysphagia* 2019;34:112-8.
15. Butler SG, Stuart A, Leng X, et al. The relationship of aspiration status with tongue and handgrip strength in healthy older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2011;66A:452-8.
16. Adams V, Mathisen B, Baines S, Lazarus C, Callister R. A systematic review and meta-analysis of measurements of tongue and hand strength and endurance using the Iowa Oral Performance Instrument (IOPI). *Dysphagia* 2013;28:350-69.

17. Hathaway B, Baumann B, Byers S, Wasserman-Wincko T, Badhwar V, Johnson J. Handgrip strength and dysphagia assessment following cardiac surgery. *Laryngoscope* 2015;125:2330-2.
18. Park HS, Koo JH, Song SH. Association of post-extubation dysphagia with tongue weakness and somatosensory disturbance in non-neurologic critically ill patients. *Ann Rehabil Med* 2017;41:961-8.
19. Checklin M, Pizzari T. Impaired tongue function as an indicator of laryngeal aspiration in adults with acquired oropharyngeal dysphagia: a systematic review. *Dysphagia* 2018;33:778-88.
20. Husaini H, Krisciunas GP, Langmore S, et al. A survey of variables used by speech-language pathologists to assess function and predict functional recovery in oral cancer patients. *Dysphagia* 2014;29:376-86.
21. Hathaway B, Vaezlik A, Egloff AM, Smith L, Wasserman-Wincko T, Johnson JT. Frailty measurements and dysphagia in the outpatient setting. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2014;123:629-35.
22. Patel DA, Sharda R, Hovis KL, et al. Patient-reported outcome measures in dysphagia: a systematic review of instrument development and validation. *Dis Esophagus* 2017;30:1-23.
23. Molfenter SM, Brates D, Herzberg E, Noorani M, Lazarus C. The swallowing profile of healthy aging adults: comparing noninvasive swallow tests to videofluoroscopic measures of safety and efficiency. *J Speech Lang Hear Res* 2018;61:1603-12.
24. Garand KL, Strange C, Paoletti L, Hopkins-Rossabi T, Martin-Harris B. Oropharyngeal swallow physiology and swallowing-related quality of life in underweight patients with concomitant advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2018;13:2663-71.
25. Zoratto DCB, Chau T, Steele CM. Hyolaryngeal excursion as the physiological source of swallowing accelerometry signals. *Physiol Meas* 2010;31:843-55.
26. Lee J, Steele CM, Chau T. Classification of healthy and abnormal swallows based on accelerometry and nasal airflow signals. *Artif Intell Med* 2011;52:17-25.
27. Sejdic E, Steele CM, Chau T. Classification of penetration-aspiration versus healthy swallows using dual-axis swallowing accelerometry signals in dysphagic subjects. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2013;60:1859-66.
28. Steele CM, Sejdic E, Chau T. Noninvasive detection of thin-liquid aspiration using dual-axis swallowing accelerometry. *Dysphagia* 2013;28:105-12.
29. Steele CM, Mukherjee R, Kortelainen JM, et al. Development of a non-invasive device for swallow screening in patients at risk of oropharyngeal dysphagia: results from a prospective exploratory study. *Dysphagia* 2019;34:698-707.
30. Kurosu A, Coyle JL, Dudik J, Sejdic E. Detection of swallow kinematic events from acoustic high resolution cervical auscultation signals in patients with stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2019;100:501-8.
31. Dudik JM, Kurosu A, Coyle JL, Sejdic E. Dysphagia and its effects on swallowing sounds and vibrations in adults. *Biomed Eng Online* 2018;17:69.
32. Takahashi K, Groher ME, Michi K. Symmetry and reproducibility of swallowing sounds. *Dysphagia* 1994;9:168-73.
33. Frakking TT, Chang AB, O'Grady KF, David M, Walker-Smith K, Weir KA. The use of cervical auscultation to predict oropharyngeal aspiration in children: a randomized controlled trial. *Dysphagia* 2016;31:738-48.
34. Afkari S. Measuring frequency of spontaneous swallowing. *Australas Phys Eng Sci Med* 2007;30:313-7.
35. Crary MA, Carnaby GD, Sia I, Khanna A, Waters MF. Spontaneous swallowing frequency has potential to identify dysphagia in acute stroke. *Stroke* 2013;44:3452-7.
36. Crary MA, Carnaby GD, Sia I. Spontaneous swallow frequency compared with clinical screening in the identification of dysphagia in acute stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2014;23:2047-53.
37. Carnaby G, Sia I, Crary M. Associations between spontaneous swallowing frequency at admission, dysphagia, and stroke-related outcomes in acute care. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2019;100:1283-8.
38. McCullough GH, Wertz RT, Rosenbek JC, Mills RH, Ross KB, Ashford JR. Inter- and intrajudge reliability of a clinical examination of swallowing in adults. *Dysphagia* 2000;15:58-67.
39. Koh GC-H, Hoeng H. How should the rehabilitation community prepare for 2019-nCoV? *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2020;101:1068-71.
40. Grabowski DC, Joynt Maddox KE. Postacute care preparedness for COVID-19: thinking ahead. *JAMA* 2020 Mar 25. [Epub ahead of print].
41. Kiekens C, Boldrini P, Andreoli A, et al. Rehabilitation and respiratory management in the acute and early post-acute phase. "Instant paper from the field" on rehabilitation answers to the Covid-19 emergency. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2020 Apr 15. [Epub ahead of print].
42. Johns Hopkins University and Medicine. Coronavirus Resource Center. Available at: <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>. Accessed May 1, 2020.
43. Lo J, Chan L, Flynn S. A systematic review of the incidence, prevalence, costs, and activity/work limitations of amputation, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, back pain, multiple sclerosis, spinal cord injury, stroke, and traumatic brain injury in the United States: a 2019 update. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2020 Apr 24. [Epub ahead of print].
44. Lord RK, Mayhew CR, Korupolu R, et al. ICU early physical rehabilitation programs: financial modeling of cost savings. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:717-24.
45. Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, et al. High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 2020:1-10.
46. Markus HS, Brainin M. COVID-19 and stroke—a global World Stroke Organization perspective. *Int J Stroke* 2020;15:361-4.
47. Patel DA, Krishnaswami S, Steger E, et al. Economic and survival burden of dysphagia among inpatients in the United States. *Dis Esophagus* 2018;31:1-7.
48. Perlman AL, Witthawaskul W. Real-time remote telefluoroscopic assessment of patients with dysphagia. *Dysphagia* 2002;17:162-7.
49. Malandraki GA, McCullough G, He X, McWeeny E, Perlmana AL. Teledynamic evaluation of oropharyngeal swallowing. *J Speech Lang Hear Res* 2011;54:1497-505.
50. Ciucci M, Jones CA, Malandraki GA, Hutcheson KA. Dysphagia practice in 2035: beyond fluorography, thickener, and electrical stimulation. *Semin Speech Lang* 2016;37:201-18.
51. Nordio S, Innocenti T, Agostini M, Meneghello F, Battel I. The efficacy of telerehabilitation in dysphagic patients: a systematic review. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital* 2018;38:79-85.
52. Weidner K, Lowman J. Telepractice for adult speech-language pathology services: a systematic review. *Perspect ASHA Spec Interest Groups* 2020;5:326-38.
53. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Telepractice services and coronavirus/COVID-19. Available at: <https://www.asha.org/Practice/Telepractice-Services-and-Coronavirus/>. Accessed May 10, 2020.
54. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. List of telehealth services. Available at: <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-General-Information/Telehealth/Telehealth-Codes>. Accessed May 10, 2020.
55. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Providing telehealth services under Medicare during the COVID-19 pandemic. Available at: <https://www.asha.org/Practice/reimbursement/medicare/Providing-Telehealth-Services-Under-Medicare-During-the-COVID-19-Pandemic/#SLP>. Accessed May 10, 2020.
56. Kaiser Family Foundation. Medicare advantage. Available at: <https://www.kff.org/medicare/fact-sheet/medicare-advantage/>. Accessed May 1, 2020.