Social support in recently diagnosed diabetic patients: Risk factor for depression?

Journal of Public Health Research 2024, Vol. 13(2), 1–8 © The Author(s) 2024 DOI: 10.1177/22799036241262296 journals.sagepub.com/home/phj



Mayut Delgado-Galeano¹ and Lina-Maria Vera-Cala²

Abstract

Background: social support is important for adaptation in chronic diseases, such as diabetes and depression, because it favors recovery and adherence to treatment. Introducing its evaluation in the follow-up of diabetic patients can reduce complications derived from secondary non-adherence. **Aims**: to establish social support in diabetic patients and its correlation with depressive symptoms. **Methods**: a cross-sectional analytical study nested in a cohort of 173 recently diagnosed diabetic patients (<6 months) in Colombia over 18 years of age, treated in a cardiovascular risk program in 2022. The Chronic Illness Social Support Inventory was used. **Results:** Most of the participants were women (77.5%); single(83.8%), age (mean = 62.6 years (SD 12.3)); glycemia (mean = 146.4 (SD 65.5)), glycosylated hemoglobin (mean = 7.6 (SD 1.7)). Cronbach's α coefficient for the general scale of the social support instrument was 0.9859. The mean social support was 168.5 (SD 37.4), range 38–228. The total social support score was normally distributed (Shapiro Wilk p > 0.05). The correlation between domains was statistically significant. The PHQ9 total score was significantly associated with the domains of Personal Interaction and Guide but did not significantly correlate with the overall social support score. The respondents who were at risk of developing depression were referred for treatment. **Conclusions:** findings suggest that perceived social support may play a significant role in the prevention and treatment of depression in diabetic patients. It is desirable that health professionals consider evaluating and enhancing social support to improve their mental health. More research is needed to gain a comprehensive understanding of this relationship.

Keywords

Social support, correlation of data, depression, diabetes mellitus, chronic disease, treatment adherence and compliance Date received: 13 November 2023; accepted: 29 May 2024

Introduction

According to the World Health Organization, diabetes mellitus and depression are two chronic non-communicable diseases that are significantly linked to the health of people in the world. Diabetes also causes other morbidities, which may be involved in the decompensation of the disease, the appearance of complications and the possibility of increased health expenses due to hospitalizations and the management of complications.^{1,2} Worldwide, the prevalence of diabetes is 8.5%, according to the International Diabetes Federation³, while in Colombia, the incidence is 3.7 per 1000 people; in Santander, a department in the southeast of this country, the adjusted incidence is 8.41 per 1000 people.⁴⁻⁶

Depression, on the other hand, is the mental illness that occupies the fourth place in disease burden and is recognized as the leading global cause of mental disability, involving more than 300 million people globally.⁷

The combination of these two pathologies generates complications in multiple aspects, and the joint presence of depression or depressive symptoms and diabetes is considered a reasonably complex comorbidity.⁸ Although the

Corresponding author:

Mayut Delgado-Galeano, Health Faculty, School of Nursing, Universidad Industrial de Santander Carrera 32 # 29-31, Edificio Eloy Valenzuela, Piso 5, Bucaramanga, 680003, Colombia. Email: mayutdel@uis.edu.co

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

^INursing School, Health Faculty. Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia

²Dean Health Faculty. Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia

two diseases are recognized separately, the fact is that the presence of depression in diabetic patients is underdiagnosed, and many of the complications present may be associated with the comorbidity of depression.

There are several factors possibly related to the presence of depression in diabetic patients. A study carried out in people with type 1 diabetes in Malaga, Spain, demonstrated a prevalence of depression of 27.1%. In this study, it was concluded that both quality of life (p=0.001) and glycemic control measured by glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (p=0.035) were significant variables. Likewise, it was found that the variables of being a woman, unemployed, smoking, having complications from diabetes and not having the perception of support from family, friends or colleagues were also associated with the risk of depression.⁹

In this sense, considering the social support perceived by people with chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs), such as diabetes, as a factor related to the comorbid presence of depression can have a significant impact on the quality of life and well-being of sick people. Social support can facilitate the process of adaptation to chronic illness by allowing patients to interact with other individuals.

According to Cassel and Cobb, social support has a significant impact on the maintenance of health by facilitating adaptive behaviors in stressful situations,¹⁰ thus favoring the process of adjustment to chronic illness, recovery, and treatment adherence, as well as reducing the occurrence of mental conditions such as depression. Therefore, the absence of social support results in low treatment adherence and increases the frequency of complications and healthcare spending.¹¹

In the literature, Castro et al.¹⁰ and Wheaton¹² two models can be found that explain the association between social support and health. The first model, "direct effect," is where social support is considered an antecedent or simultaneous variable and maintains that social support favors health levels, regardless of the individual's stress levels.¹³ The second theoretical model, the "buffer effect," conceptualizes social support as an intervening variable in the stress-illness relationship.^{13,14}

According to this perspective, social support protects individuals from the adverse effects of stressful events and acts as a "mediating" variable in the relationship between stress and illness. This is achieved by allowing individuals to redefine the stressful situation and cope with it through non-stressful strategies,¹⁴ as well as inhibiting psychopathological processes that could be triggered in the absence of social support, 1 preventing individuals from perceiving a situation as stressful, given that the certainty of having sufficient material and emotional resources can prevent said situation from being perceived as stressful, even if other individuals would define it as such.^{10,15}

Social support is considered a multidimensional construct, according to several authors. Hilbert¹⁶ defines social support as "the diversity of natural helping behaviors of which individuals are recipients in social interactions, tangible help, intimate interaction, guidance, feedback, and positive social interaction." On the other hand, Cohen and Wills¹³ have defined social support as the degree to which an individual perceives that they have access to people and resources that can help them in times of need. It is also considered a system of formal and informal relationships from which the person receives instrumental and emotional help to cope with stressful situations.¹⁷ The perception of the social support received significantly corresponds with the responses and adaptation of people to assume the experience of caring more easily for themselves or others, reducing negative perceptions of this.^{18,19} Some authors have identified three significant dimensions of social support: social integration, perceived social support and provided social support.10

It is essential to highlight that according to various studies,^{20–22} the perception of social support can be altered in cases in which people belong to a low socioeconomic stratum, which limits their ability to access health services and receive quick diagnoses. And timely, added to this situation are other derived barriers, such as the difficulty in obtaining medications, mobility and transportation to medical centers, and the lack of clear and precise information about the care and management of the disease.

A study conducted in Australia found that perceived social support was positively associated with quality of life in people with type 2 diabetes.²³ Another study on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease found that perceived social support was related to better treatment adherence.²⁴

The evidence in the literature has concluded that studies are required that allow us to know the relationship between social support and the presence of depression in diabetic patients to contribute to the generation of public policy that favors the care and quality of life of people who suffer from this condition. The study's objective was to establish social support in diabetic patients recently diagnosed and its correlation with depressive symptoms.

Methods

Quantitative, analytical, cross-sectional, correlation-type approach study nested in a cohort of 173 recently diagnosed diabetic patients (<6 months) in the metropolitan area of Bucaramanga, Colombia.

The inclusion criteria were patients over 18 who attended the outpatient service of an institution providing first-level health services between July 2021 and May 2022, with a diagnosis of diabetes of no older than 6 months. Patients with a history of a depressive episode or antidepressant use expressed by self-report at the time of recruitment were excluded.

The Spanish version of the Social Support Inventory in Chronic Illnesses^{16,25} was used to evaluate social support. This instrument measures the perceived satisfaction with

each support behavior; it has high validity and reliability indices of the Spanish version, Cronbach's α of 0.95.²⁵ The instrument has 38 items and five subscales: personal interaction, guidance, feedback, tangible assistance, and positive social interaction. The guidance domain corresponds to all the help that the person receives through education and information; personal interaction is represented in emotional support, which refers to the favorable coping of the different situations of the chronic illness, while the feedback domain represents the feelings about who provides support, agreeing with said people and also those who support their thoughts and actions. All items are evaluated with a six-point Likert scale, from "dissatisfied" to "very satisfied." The total score ranges from a possible range between 38 and 228.

The PHO-9 questionnaire evaluates depression symptomatology. It is made up of the nine symptoms of criterion A of Major Depressive Episode (MDE) of the DSM-IV, arranged in the form of an adjectival-type scale evaluating the presence of the symptom in the last 2 weeks (" nothing at all," "several days," "more than half of the days," and "almost every day"), each of the questions is scored from 0 to 3, ranges of a minimum score of 0 and a maximum 27, and can be self- or hetero-administered.²⁶⁻²⁸ Interpretation can be made algorithmically to make a probable diagnosis of a Major Depression Episode or as a continuous measure of scores and cut-off points of 5, 10, 15, and 20, representing levels of depressive symptoms as mild, moderate, moderately severe, and severe.²⁹ This instrument has been validated in multiple studies, 29,30 reporting Cronbach's α coefficients of 0.894.

Results

Sociodemographic and diabetes characteristics in patients

The sociodemographic characteristics can be seen in Table 1. It is highlighted that 77.5% of the participants were women, 83.8% were single, the average age was 62.6 years (SD 12.3), and 46% of the people stratum two jurisdictions, 44.4% were homemakers. The average weight was 68.8 kg (SD 14.2), blood glucose 146.4 (SD 65.5), and glycosylated hemoglobin 7.6 (SD 1.7).

Perceived social support

The social support instrument presented perfect internal consistency. Cronbach's α coefficient for the general scale of the social support instrument was 0.9859, Bartlett test Chi2=9193.65; p < 0.05 and a KMO=0.97. In each participant, 38 questions were evaluated, of which ten (10) items measured the personal interaction dimension, fourteen (14) guide/information/instruction, five (5) feedback, five (5) social interaction and four (4) tangible help.³¹ Moreover, according to the Shapiro-Wilk test, the total social support score presented a normal distribution (p > 0.05). The data analysis demonstrated that the 173 caregivers obtained a mean in social support 168.5, with a standard deviation (SD) of 37.4, a minimum score of 38 and a maximum of 228. The correlation between domains was statistically significant.

Likewise, as shown in Table 2, the domain with the highest patient score was guidance, followed by personal interaction and feedback.

On the other hand, the lowest domains were tangible help, which represents material help in physical tasks and tasks that involve the performance of their different roles, and social interaction, which is the support with which it is intended to stimulate the recovery of relationships with others who can help to respond to their needs.

Regarding sex, it was determined that there was no statistically significant difference between men and women. However, women scored higher in all domains and the total social support score (Table 3).

On the other hand, it was established that all social support domains are significantly correlated with each other. Furthermore, the very strongly correlated domain was mainly guidance with feedback. Similarly, the domains of guide with personal and social interaction and feedback with social interaction and tangible help showed a strong correlation (Correlation Coefficient 0.70–0.89) (Table 4).

To evaluate the presence of positive symptoms of depression, the PHQ-9 questionnaire was used, and the total score obtained by each participant was grouped into a dichotomous variable according to the cut-off point established in the literature and considering the morbidity of the participants. The score selected for the dichotomous variable was >5 (Table 5). The PHQ9 total score was significantly associated with the domains of Personal Interaction and Guide but did not significantly correlate with the total social support score.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to establish social support in diabetic patients recently diagnosed and its correlation with depressive symptoms. The findings allow us to see a correlation and that perceived social support is an essential factor that must be considered in the management and care of patients at the beginning of their diabetes diagnosis.

The relationship between perceived social support and the presence of depression in diabetic patients is a topic of great interest both in current scientific literature and for public health, given the high prevalence and persistent increase of diabetes in the world. Several studies have found evidence that perceived social support can significantly correlate with the onset and maintenance of depression in these patients.

Consistent with the findings of the present study, an inverse association between social support and depression

Variable	Female % (134)	CI 95%	Male % (39)	CI 95%	Total %	CI 95%	þ value
Sociodemographic							
Age [†] (years)	61.76	59.77–63.76	68.59	64.67–72.50	63.30	61.49-65.11	0.0008*
Marital status							0.016**
Single	26.12(35)	9.3 -34.3	28.21 (11)	15.92-44.9	26.59(46)	20.17-33.82	
Married	37.31(50)	29.45-45.9	12.82(5)	5.22-28.19	31.79(55)	24.93–39.28	
Divorced	5.97(8)	2.98-11.5	17.95(7)	8.50-33.98	8.67(15)	4.93-13.89	
Widowed	3.73(5)	1.54–8.74	7.69(3)	2.37-22.22	4.62(8)	2.01-8.9	
Conjugal partner	26.87(36)	19.96–35.1	33.33(13)	19.94–50.08	28.32(49)	21.74–35.66	
Level of education					()		0.154*
None	10.44 (14)	6.24–16.97	7.69(3)	2.37-22.22	9.83(17)	5.8-15.2	
Elementary school incomplete	23.13 (31)	16.69-31.13	46.15(18)	30.72-62.35	28.32(49)	21.74–35.66	
Elementary school Finalized	23.13(31)	16.69-31.13	15.38(6)	6.81–31.11	21.39(37)	15.52-28.25	
High school incomplete	15.67(21)	10.38-22.95	12.82(5)	5.21-28.19	15.03(26)	10.05-21.24	
High school finalized	18.65(25)	12.86-26.26	12.82(5)	5.21-28.19	17.34(30)	12.01-23.82	
Technical	8.95(12)	5.11-15.20	5.12(2)	1.20-19.32	8.09(14)	4.49-13.20	
Socioeconomic status					()		
l (Low-Low)	34.32 (46)	26.90-43.16	48.71(19)	32.99–64.69	37.57(65)	30.33-45.24	
2 (Low)	51.50 (69)	43.30-60.34	43.58(17)	28.49–59.97	49,71 (86)	42.03–57.39	
3 (Medium)	14.17 (19)	8.64–20.56	7.69(3)	2.37–22.22	12,71(22)	7.67–17.95	
Clinical variables					()		
Body mass index (BMI)	29.07	28.11-30.04	26.27	24.76–27.76	28.44678	27.61–29.27	0.005**
Weight (Kg)	68.43	65.31-71.55	70.05	65.77–74.33	69.05145	66.28–71.38	0.58**
Height (mts)	1.53	1.52-1.54	1.64	1.62-1.66	1.55896	1.54–1.57	0.000**
Abdominal circumference	96.83	94.97–98.70	94.33	89.63–99.03	96.26036	96.26	0.2423**
Glycemia (Blood glucose)	141.40	3 .46- 5 .48	164.75	135.95-193.55	146.4042	136.48-156.32	0.058**
AIC measurement	7.49	7.19–7.8	7.85	7.06–8.64	7.573	7.28–7.86	0.32**
Total cholesterol	191.39	183.5-199.2	176.22	159.9-192.5	187.935	180.81-195.05	0.077**
HDL cholesterol	42.49	40.48-44.50	38.02	34.42-41.61	41.48882	39.72-43.24	0.03**
LDL cholesterol	110.51	104.18-116.8	97.98	84.60-111.36	107.7628	102.02-113.49	0.03**

Table I. Sociodemographic Characteristics.

*chi2 test.

**t-Student test.

[†]Mean (IC95%).^{*}Statistical significance p < 0,05.

Tal	ble	2.	Average	total	score	by	social	support	domains.
-----	-----	----	---------	-------	-------	----	--------	---------	----------

Domain	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. deviation
Personal interaction	9	60	43,06	9682
Guide	14	84	63,23	13,833
Feedback	6	36	25,81	6457
Tangible help	4	24	14,66	5835
Social interaction	5	30	21,59	4968

Source: Adapted from Results Delgado-Galeano M.2023.

Note. The table shows the perceived social support scores classified by domains. The guidance domain corresponds to all the help that the person receives through education and information; personal interaction is represented in emotional support, which refers to the favorable coping of the different situations of the chronic illness, while the feedback domain represents the feelings about who provides support, agreeing with said people and also those who support their thoughts and actions.

in diabetic patients has been found in the literature, suggesting that those who perceive more excellent social support are less likely to present depressive symptoms.^{32–34}

Diress Alen et al.³⁵ evaluated the relationship between perceived social support and the presence of depressive

symptoms in type 2 diabetic patients. The results of these studies indicated that patients who reported a higher level of perceived social support presented a lower presence of depressive symptoms compared to those who said a lower level of perceived social support. The results of the

Domain	Female	CI 95%	Male	CI 95%	Total	CI 95%	þ value
Personal interaction	45.74	43.95-47.52	44.86	41.06-48.67	45.55	43.95-47.15	0.67
Guide	63.95	61.47–66.43	63.56	58.28-68.85	63.87	61.64–66.09	0.55
Feedback	26.22	25.07-27.36	25.7	23.03-28.36	26.10	25.05-27.15	0.65
Tangible help	14.90	13.84-15.97	14.86	12.67-17.05	14.80	13.95-15.84	0.51
Social interaction	22.05	21.17-22.93	21.43	19.57-23.29	21.92	21.13-22.70	
Total social support	172.88	166.07-179.68	170.43	55.75– 85.	172.35	166.2348 178.47	0.62°

 Table 3. Domains of social support by gender.

Source: Adapted from Results Delgado-Galeano M. 2023.

Note. The table shows the perceived social support scores classified by domains. The guidance domain corresponds to all the help that the person receives through education and information; personal interaction is represented in emotional support, which refers to the favorable coping of the different situations of the chronic illness, while the feedback domain represents the feelings about who provides support, agreeing with said people and also those who support their thoughts and actions. c: p<.05.

Table 4. Correlations between domains.

		Interaction personal	Guide	Feedback	Tangible help	Social interaction
Interaction personal	Pearson correlation	I	0.870**	0.778**	0.475**	0.822**
	Sig. (bilateral)		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	176	176	176	176	176
Guide	Pearson correlation	0.870**	I	0.933**	0.651**	0.881**
	Sig. (bilateral)	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	176	176	176	176	176
Feedback	Pearson correlation	0.778**	0.933**	I	0.802**	0.852**
	Sig. (bilateral)	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
	N	176	176	176	176	176
Tangible help	Pearson correlation	0.475**	0.651**	0.802**	I	0.671**
	Sig. (bilateral)	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
	N	176	176	176	176	176
Social interaction	Pearson correlation	0.822**	0.881**	0.852**	0.671**	Ι
	Sig. (bilateral)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	N	176	176	176	176	176

**The correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-sided).

Table 5. Distribution of the variables according to the presence of depressive symptoms according to the PHQ-9 score.

Variable		Depressive symptoms YES	CI 95%	Depressive symptoms NO	CI 95%	þ value
Social support	Personal interaction	44.04	41.24-46.85	46.76	44.94-48.58	0.04*
	Guide	61.46	57.52-65.40	65.80	63.35-68.25	0.027*
	Feedback	25.22	23.38-27.07	26.81	25.61-28.01	0.06*
	Tangible help	14.77	13.21-16.33	15	3.8 - 6. 8	0.40*
	Social interaction	21.48	20.02-22.93	22.27	21.43-23.10	0.16*
	Total social support	167	156.07–177.92	176	169.89–183.42	0.060*

Source: Adapted from Elaboration Results Delgado-Galeano M. Thesis 2023.

*t-Student test.

**Mean (Cl 95%).*Statistical significance p<0.05.

meta-analysis conducted by Diress Alen et al.³⁵ showed that perceived social support had a significant mediating effect on the relationship between diabetes and depression, proposing that perceived social support may be a protective factor for depression in diabetic patients. These findings are consistent with the theory of the buffering effect of social support, which states that social support can reduce the adverse effects of stress and improve the adaptive capacity of individuals.¹³

Social support can act as a protective factor against depression in diabetic patients, providing emotional and material resources to cope with the disease and its complications. Furthermore, social support can improve treatment adherence and quality of life of diabetic patients, which in turn can reduce the emotional burden of the disease and prevent the development of depressive symptoms.^{32–35}

However, some studies have found that the relationship between perceived social support and depression in diabetic patients may be mediated by other factors, such as glycemic control and the presence of diabetic complications.^{35,36} That is, although social support can be beneficial for the mental health of diabetic patients, its effect can be modulated by other factors related to the disease.

In this context, although there is solid evidence suggesting that perceived social support may have a protective effect against depression in diabetic patients, more research is required to understand the exact nature of this relationship and to design effective interventions that promote social support and prevent depression in this population and at the same time address other risk factors for depression, such as glycemic control and the presence of diabetic complications. Such interventions may include strategies to strengthen patients' social support networks, such as participation in support groups or intervention by health professionals to improve communication and the doctorpatient relationship^{22,37}

The main limitation of the study was the sample size. However, when calculating the study's power and significance of the study, it seemed that the study's sample size allowed the relationship between social support and depression to be significantly identified. On the other hand, the study was conducted only in a population from a low socioeconomic stratum. Therefore, this variable was not considered for the evaluation. Despite these limitations, our study contributes to the existing knowledge on the association between diabetes and depression. It is recommended to include this socioeconomic variable in future studies to identify the impact of socioeconomic status on the association between social support and depression in diabetic patients at the time of their initial diagnosis.

Conclusions

Social support is essential to promote adherence to treating chronic non-communicable diseases. In the case of diabetes, it is necessary to consider the multidimensionality of this construct.

Social support interventions adapted to the contexts and settings in which users find themselves should be considered initiatives to strengthen the coping strategies of people with non-communicable chronic diseases.

Actions for people newly diagnosed with diabetes can focus on the dimensions of social support: guidance, information, and tangible help. In active coping, self-affirmation, distraction, and the dimension of information search in health professionals.

In conclusion, while the results of this study suggest that perceived social support may be an essential factor in the prevention and treatment of depression in diabetic patients, researchers and practioners alike are advised to exercise prudence when applying these findings to broader contexts.

It is desirable that health professionals consider the evaluation and strengthening of perceived social support as an effective strategy to improve the mental health of patients diagnosed with diabetes mellitus and, in this way, contribute to the minimization of depression as a comorbid condition in these patients. However, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of our research, particularly regarding the relatively small sample utilized in this study. Therefore, any generalizations drawn from these results should be made with caution. Future research that includes larger and more diverse samples would be beneficial to validate and extend these findings. It will be essential to gain a comprehensive understanding of this topic. Furthermore, diabetic patients may require social and care support if a new chronic disease is considered. Also, a comparative group of individuals with depression and diabetes, as well as those without depression, should be examined for future studies.

Acknowledgements

The research group acknowledges the ISABU for its cooperation and its lovely patients for enabling them to participate.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethical Approval

This study had the ethics approval from the Universidad Industrial de Santander Committee (CEINCI-UIS). Number 4110. Act 6 of April 9, 2021; as well as the approval of the Ambulatory health facility (ISABU) June 10, 2021. All participants gave the informed consent before their participation.

ORCID iD

Mayut Delgado-Galeano D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2602-402X

References

- World Health Organization. Diabetes, https://www.who.int/ es/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/diabetes (2023, accessed 08 November 2023).
- 2. Ponce González JM, et al. *Influencia del Apoyo social* en el control de las personas con diabetes, Index de Enfermería. https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?script=sci_art text&pid=S1132-12962009000400002 (2009, accessed 13 November 2023).
- International Diabetes Federation. Guía de incidencia política

 diabetes Atlas, https://diabetesatlas.org/upload/resources/ material/20191219_091956_2019_IDF_Advocacy_Guide_ ES.pdf (2019, accessed 08 November 2023).
- Observatorio de Diabetes de Colombia Organización. Observatorio de diabetes de Colombia " organización para la excelencia de la salud, OES. https://oes.org.co/portfolio/ observatorio-de-diabetes-de-colombia-odc/ (2019, accessed 08 November 2023).
- 5. Fondo Colombiano de Enfermedades de Alto Costo, Cuenta de Alto Costo (CAC). Situación de la enfermedad renal crónica LA HIPERTENSIÓN arterial y diabetes mellitus en Colombia 2019 cuenta de Alto Costo, Cuenta de Alto Costo Fondo Colombiano de Cuentas de Alto Costo, organismo técnico no gubernamental del Sistema General de Seguridad Social en Salud de Colombia creado mediante el Decreto 2699 de 2007, https://cuentadealtocosto.org/download/situacion-de-la-enfermedad-renal-cronica-la-hipertension-arterial-y-diabetes-mellitus-en-colombia-2019/ (2020, accessed 08 November 2023).
- 6. Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social de Colombia. Tres de Cada 100 colombianos tienen diabetes //, Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social.https://www.minsalud.gov.co/Paginas/Tresde-cada-100-colombianos-tienen-diabetes.aspx; https://www. minsalud.gov.co/Paginas/Tres-de-cada-100-colombianostienen-diabetes.aspx#:~:text=%E2%80%8BEsta%20enfermedad%20se%20considera,desarrollar%20complicaciones%20 por%20covid%2D19.&text=Audio%20de%20Nubia%20 Bautista%2C%20subdirectora,19%20de%20julio%20de%20 2020 (2020, accessed 13 November 2023).
- World Health Organization. Depressive disorder (depression). World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression (2023, accessed 08 November 2023).
- Chen S, et al. Association of depression with pre-diabetes, undiagnosed diabetes, and previously diagnosed diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Endocrine* 2016; 53(1): 35–46. DOI: 10.1007/s12020-016-0869-x
- Carreira M, Anarte MT, Ruiz De Adana MS, et al. [Depression in type 1 diabetes mellitus and associated factors]. -med Clin 2010; 135(4): 151–155.
- Castro R, Campero L and Hernández B. [Research on social support in health: current status and new challenges]. *Rev Saude Publica* 1997; 31(4): 425–435.
- 11. Perez Peñaranda A. El Cuidador Primario de familiares con dependencia: Calidad de Vida ..., https://laalamedilla.

org/Investigacion. https://laalamedilla.org/Investigacion/ CD%20Tesis/Tesis%20Anibal/tesina%20cuidadores06.pdf (2006, accessed 08 November 2023).

- Wheaton B. Models for the stress-buffering functions of coping resources. J Health Soc Behav 1985; 26(4): 352–364.
- Cohen S and Wills TA. Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychol Bull* 1985; 98(2): 310–357.
- Lazarus RS. Coping with the stress of illness. WHO Reg Publ Eur Ser 1992; 44: 11–31.
- Lazarus R. Estrés y Emoción. Manejo e implicaciones en nuestra salud. Bilbao, España: Editorial Desclée De Brouwer, S.A, 2000. pp.63–70.
- Hilbert GA, Strickland O and Waltz CF. Social support in chronic illness. In: *Measurement of nursing outcomes: Measuring client self-care and coping skills*. New York, NY: Springer Publishing, 1990, pp.79–96.
- Carrey NJ. Gerald Caplan, then and now: public mental health applications to the current psychological challenges of the pandemic. *Can Med Assoc J* 2021; 193(42): E1635–E1635.
- Buck HG, Harkness K, Wion R, et al. Caregivers' contributions to heart failure self-care: a systematic review. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2015; 14(1): 79–89.
- Xie H, Cheng C, Tao Y, et al. Quality of life in Chinese family caregivers for elderly people with chronic diseases. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2016; 14(1): 99.
- Vadivelan K, Sekar P, Sruthi SS, et al. Burden of caregivers of children with cerebral palsy: an intersectional analysis of gender, poverty, stigma, and public policy. *BMC Public Health* 2020; 20(1): 645.
- Stewart M, Evans J, Letourneau N, et al. Low-Income children, adolescents, and caregivers facing respiratory problems: support needs and preferences. *J Pediatr Nurs* 2016; 31(3): 319–329.
- Chaparro Diaz L, Carreño Moreno SP, Carrillo Gonzalez GM, et al. Efecto de una intervención de soporte social en cuidadores de personas con Enfermedad Crónica. Av Meded Enferm 2020; 38(1): 18–27.
- Pouwer F, Snoek FJ, van der Ploeg HM, et al. The well-being questionnaire: evidence for a three-factor structure with 12 items (W-BQ12). *Psychol Med* 2000; 30(2): 455–462.
- Tiemensma J, Gaab E, Voorhaar M, et al. Illness perceptions and coping determine quality of life in COPD patients. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2016; 11: 2001–2007.
- 25. Barrera Ortiz L, Campos de Aldana MS, Carreño SP, et al. Validez de constructo y confiabilidad en colombia del instrumento soporte social en la enfermedad Crónica. *Cult Inst Cuid* 2013; 10(2): 08–19.
- 26. Seo JG and Sung-Pa P. Validation of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) and PHQ-2 in patients with migraine. *J Headache Pain* 2015; 16: 65.
- Cassiani-Miranda CA, Cuadros-Cruz AK, Torres-Pinzón H, et al. Validez del Cuestionario de salud del paciente-9 (PHQ-9) para cribado de depresión en adultos usuarios de Atención Primaria en Bucaramanga. *Colomb Psiquiatr* 2021; 50(1): 11–21.
- Kocalevent RD, Hinz A and Brähler E. Standardization of the depression screener patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9) in the general population. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2013; 35(5): 551–555.

- Pettersson A, Bengtsson Boström K, et al. Which instruments to support diagnosis of depression have sufficient accuracy? A systematic review. *Nord J Psychiatry* 2015; 69: 497–508.
- Zhang YL, Liang W, Chen ZM, et al. Validity and reliability of Patient Health Questionnaire-9 and Patient Health Questionnaire-2 to screen for depression among college students in China. *Asia Pac Psychiatry* 2013; 5(4): 268–275.
- Barrera Ortiz L, Campos MS, Gallardo Solarte K, et al. Soporte Social percibido por las personas con enfermedad crónica y sus cuidadores familiares en cinco Macro Regiones Geográficas de Colombia. Universidad Salud 2016; 18(1): 102–112.
- Lin EH, Katon W, Von Korff M, et al. Relationship of depression and diabetes self-care, medication adherence, and preventive care. *Diabetes Care* 2004; 27(9): 2154–2160.
- 33. Frøisland DH, Årsand E and Skårderud F. Improving diabetes care for young people with type 1 diabetes through visual

learning on mobile phones: mixed-methods study. J Med Internet Res 2012; 14(4): e111.

- 34. Obo H, Kugbey N and Atefoe E. Social support, depression, anxiety, and quality of life among persons living with type 2 diabetes: a path analysis. *S Afr J Psychol* 2021; 51(4): 575–586.
- 35. Diress Alen G, Endalifer ML, Addisu A, et al. Association between social supports and depression among patients with diabetes mellitus in Ethiopia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *BMJ Open* 2022; 12(5): e061801.
- McCurley JL, Gutierrez AP, Bravin JI, et al. Association of Social Adversity with comorbid diabetes and depression symptoms in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos sociocultural ancillary study: a syndemic framework. *Ann Behav Med* 2019; 53(11): 975–987.
- Vega Angarita OM and González Escobar DS. Apoyo social: elemento clave en el afrontamiento de la enfermedad crónica. *Enferm Glob* 2009; 16.