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#### Abstract

Paramutations represent directed and meiotically-heritable changes in gene regulation leading to apparent violations of Mendelian inheritance. Although the mechanism and evolutionary importance of paramutation behaviors remain largely unknown, genetic screens in maize (Zea mays) identify five components affecting 24 nucleotide RNA biogenesis as required to maintain repression of a paramutant purple plant1 (p/1) allele. Currently, the RNA polymerase IV largest subunit represents the only component also specifying proper development. Here we identify a chromodomain helicase DNA-binding 3 (CHD3) protein orthologous to Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) PICKLE as another component maintaining both p/1 paramutation and normal somatic development but without affecting overall small RNA biogenesis. In addition, genetic tests show this protein contributes to proper male gametophyte function. The similar mutant phenotypes documented in Arabidopsis and maize implicate some evolutionarily-conserved gene regulation while developmental defects associated with the two paramutation mutants are largely distinct. Our results show that a CHD3 protein responsible for normal plant ontogeny and sperm transmission also helps maintain meiotically-heritable epigenetic regulatory variation for specific alleles. This finding implicates an intersection of RNA polymerase IV function and nucleosome positioning in the paramutation process.


#### Abstract

Author summary Genes are switched "on" and "off" during normal development by regulating DNA accessibility within the chromosomes. How certain gene variants permanently maintain "off" states from one generation to the next remains unclear, but studies in multiple eukaryotes implicate roles for specific types of small RNAs, some of which define cytosine methylation patterns. In corn, these RNAs come from at least two RNA polymerase II-derived complexes sharing a common catalytic subunit (RPD1). Although RPD1 both controls the
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normal developmental switching of many genes and permanently maintains some of these "off" states across generations, how RPD1 function defines heritable DNA accessibility is unknown. We discovered that a protein (CHD3a) belonging to a group known to alter nucleosome positioning is also required to help maintain a heritable "off" state for one particular corn gene variant controlling both plant and flower color. We also found CHD3a necessary for normal plant development and sperm transmission consistent with the idea that proper nucleosome positioning defines evolutionarily-important gene expression patterns. Because both CHD3a and RPD1 maintain the heritable "off" state of a specific gene variant, their functions appear to be mechanistically linked.

## Introduction

Organismal development requires alleles to undergo controlled transitions between silent and expressed states coordinated by transcription factors, non-coding RNAs, and chromatin regulators interacting with allele-specific regulatory sequences. In many eukaryotes, small noncoding RNAs (sRNAs) such as small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) (reviewed in [1]), microRNAs (miRNAs) (reviewed in [2]), and PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) (reviewed in [3])—in complex with argonaute (AGO) proteins-can modulate chromatin structure, RNA stability, or translation to achieve developmental transitions and/or defend against foreign nucleic acids and transposable element (TE) activities (reviewed in [1]). sRNAs are typically processed from DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RNAP) II transcripts, but in multicellular plants, additional RNAP II-related complexes [4,5] having specialized functions in transcriptional regulation [6-9] generate the majority of siRNA precursors.

RNAP II-related RNAPs IV and V collaborate to maintain repressive chromatin states through the action of RNAP IV-derived siRNAs that primarily target TEs and other repetitive sequences for de novo cytosine methylation and subsequent histone modifications via a process termed RNA-directed DNA Methylation (RdDM) [10]. Although Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) plants lacking RNAP IV and/or V develop normally [11], misexpression of specific alleles in the absence of the maize (Zea mays) RNAP IV largest subunit (RPD1) leads to abnormal development $[7,8,12-14]$. Thus, in maize and likely other grasses, RNAP IV has been coopted to define some developmental expression patterns. Unlike the eudicots typified by Arabidopsis, grasses have diversified subtypes for RNAP IV, V, and outside of maize, RNAP VI [15] defined by alternative second largest catalytic subunits [5]. The evolutionary importance of such diversity and regulatory novelty remains completely unknown.

Maize RNAP IV subtypes also establish and/or maintain meiotically heritable expression patterns of certain alleles [13,16-19] for which changes in gene regulation occur in response to trans-homolog interactions by a process known as paramutation [20-23]. Such alleles are well described at boosterl (b1) [24-27] and red1 (r1) [20,28,29]-loci encoding basic helix-loophelix (bHLH) proteins-and at both the pericarp color1 (p1) [30-32] and purple plant1 (pl1) [33] loci encoding R2R3 Myb-type proteins. Expression patterns of these alleles can be directly visualized by pigmentation [34] because the encoded proteins are transcriptional activators of genes encoding flavonoid biosynthetic enzymes. Similar to developmental phase changes [35], certain alleles of these loci can switch from transcriptionally active to repressed states [36-38].

Characteristic of paramutation, the repressed state of a given allele appears dominant to an active one, and typically only repressed states are sexually transmitted from such heterozygotes [22,23]. Hence, active states heritably change in response to being heterozygous with a homologous allele in a repressed state. Mutation screens identify loci that function as mediators of
paramutation (mop) of the B1-Intense (B1-I) allele $[18,39]$ and factors required to maintain repression ( rmr ) of the Pl1-Rhoades allele [40,41]. All five known MOP and RMR proteins are either RNAP IV subunits [13,17-19] or accessory proteins [41-43] required for 24 nucleotide (24nt) RNA biogenesis [6,13,17,18,43-45].

The involvement of small RNA biogenesis components in facilitating and/or maintaining paramutations implicates a model in which regulatory landscapes are transferred between homologous alleles with differing epigenetic states via 24nt RNAs shared in trans [22,23,46]. Curiously, no potential components of an RdDM-type pathway downstream of 24nt RNAs have yet been identified in the $m o p$ and $r m r$ screens. Two RNAP IV catalytic subunits encoded by rmr6 / mop3 / rpd1 [13,19] and rmr7 / mop2 / rpd2a [17,18] are orthologs of Arabidopsis NUCLEAR RNA POLYMERASE D1 (NRPD1) and NRPD2, respectively. Additionally, mop1 encodes a likely RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDR) orthologous to Arabidopsis RDR2 [42], and rmr1 encodes an SNF2-type ATP-dependent helicase similar to Arabidopsis CLASSY 3 and 4 [41]. The novel RMR2 protein is also required for full 24 nt RNA biogenesis [43] but functions of any Arabidopsis orthologs remain uncharacterized. Outside of stochastic defects reported for some mop 1 mutants [39], only loss of RPD1 persistently impacts plant development [12,13], indicating that RNAP IV has a role in developmental gene control independent of 24 nt RNAs and any RdDM-type mechanism.

Paramutation-like behaviors in several non-plant species (reviewed in [23,47]) involve diverse sRNA-dependent mechanisms. In maize, a model that $24 n t$ RNAs facilitate paramutations does not account for the observations that RMR1, RMR2, and RPD2a are not required to establish paramutations at Pl1-Rhoades [17,41,43]. These data indicate that although 24nt RNAs are implicated in maintaining Pll-Rhoades repression, they may not be paramutation instigators, and the role of RNAP IV in facilitating paramutations may be independent of 24nt RNA biogenesis [6,8].

Here we describe a novel $r m r$ locus ( $r m r 12$ ) where loss of function broadly affects plant development in ways mostly distinct from that of $r p d 1$ mutants. Mutations, genetic mapping, and sequence information show $r m r 12$ corresponds to a gene encoding a chromodomain helicase DNA-binding 3 (CHD3) protein most orthologous to Arabidopsis PICKLE (PKL). Genetic experiments show this CHD3 protein operates both somatically and in male gametophytes to ensure proper development and gamete transmission respectively. Small RNA profiling shows that RMR12 is not a component of 24nt RNA biogenesis yet genetic tests show that it specifically maintains Pl1-Rhoades repression and contributes to fidelity of the heritable feature(s) underlying its paramutagenic properties. Hence a likely nucleosome remodeler is responsible for specifying both mitotically- and meiotically-heritable epigenetic information.

## Results

## Mutations define the rmr12 locus

Because repressed Pll-Rhoades states invariably condition weak pigmentation, mutations disrupting functions required to maintain this repression are easily identified by increased anthocyanin production [40]. Two distinct $r m r$ screens using ethyl methanesulfonate (ems)treated pollen $[40,41]$ identified four mutations that also conferred similar developmental defects. Mutations ems98738 and ems98924 conditioned dark seedling pigmentation [40] while ems063095 and ems143190 were found with strongly pigmented anthers [41]. In all $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ progenies, dark seedling pigmentation exclusively cosegregated with narrow leaves and acute leaf angles (Fig 1). At maturity, all mutants had a dwarf stature, delayed flowering, and upright, narrow, adaxially-curled leaves (Fig 1B) having a wrinkled epidermis (Fig 1C, see S1 Fig). Inflorescences were either absent, barren, or small, with tassels having fewer secondary


Fig 1. Mutant phenotypes. (A) Comparison of ems063095 mutant (left) and non-mutant sibling (right) seedlings. Bar $=2 \mathrm{~cm}$. (B) Comparison of adult ems063095 mutant (left) and flowering non-mutant sibling (right). (C) Abaxial surface of adult ems063095 mutant leaf blade. (D) ems063095 mutant tassel at flowering. Bar $=1 \mathrm{~cm}$. (E) Comparison of grain set on ems 98738 mutant (left) and A619 (right) generated from reciprocal crosses. Bar $=1 \mathrm{~cm}$.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.g001
spikes and florets that rarely extruded anthers (Fig 1D). Grain set was rare with cobs carrying few and heterogeneous sized kernels set in disorganized rows (Fig 1E). In two $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ progenies segregating ems063095, all plants having fully-pigmented anthers diagnostic of the phenotype conferred by Pll-Rhoades in a derepressed state (Pl-Rh) had the same developmental defects including later flowering and reduced height compared to their normal siblings displaying anther color phenotypes conditioned by a repressed Pl1-Rhoades state ( $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ ) (Table 1). In these and all other examples, the function identified by these four mutations specified both proper plant development and apparent Pl1-Rhoades repression.

Table 1. Characters of ems063095 $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ individuals having $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Rh}$ anther types.

|  | Pl' $^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Progeny ID | DTF | Plant height | n | DTF | Plant height |
| 091133 | $67 \pm 0.6$ | $184.0 \pm 1.7$ | 92 | $90 \pm 1.5$ | $102.5 \pm 2.7$ |
| 091061 | $65 \pm 0.5$ | $212.5 \pm 1.7$ | 72 | $92 \pm 2.6$ | $127.8 \pm 6.2$ |
| Total | $66 \pm 0.4$ | $196.5 \pm 1.6$ | 164 | $90 \pm 1.3$ | $110.5 \pm 3.8$ |

Mean $\pm$ s.e.m.; DTF: Days to flowering
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t001

Genetic complementation test results showed all four mutations define a novel rmr locus (see S1 and S2 Tables) hereafter designated rmr12 with ems98738, ems98924, ems063095 and ems 143190 mutations respectively renamed $r m r 12-1,-2,-3$, and -4 . For all four alleles, the mean frequency of mutant types was less than expected from single-locus recessive mutations (Tables 2 and 3, see S1 Methods, S3 Table). For instance, the frequency of rmr12-1 and rmr122 darkly-pigmented $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ seedlings was $0.10\left(\chi^{2}=9.0, p=0.0027\right)$ and $0.13\left(\chi^{2}=8.6, p=0.0033\right)$ respectively (Table 2), and the combined mutant frequency in $36 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ progenies segregating rmr12-1, rmr12-2, or rmr12-3 was 0.18 (Table 3, see S3 Table). These observations indicate the mutations are fully recessive. Comparing the observed and expected mutant frequencies defined by a single-locus ( 0.25 ) versus a two-locus model ( 0.0625 ) generated highly significant $\chi^{2}$ values of 61.02 and 493.08, respectively (Table 3). Although these results support neither model, the most parsimonious interpretations are that either transmission of single-locus recessive mutant alleles is impaired or that the mutant phenotype is incompletely penetrant.

## Biased allele transmission is due to male gametophyte dysfunction

Because heterozygotes for rmr12 mutant alleles bear cobs with near full grain set (see S2 Fig) we inferred that mutant female gametophytes were fully functional, and therefore surmised that mutant male gametophytes were compromised. To address this idea, we reciprocally crossed rmr12-1 / rmr12-1 mutants to or by rmr12-3 / Rmr12 heterozygotes looking for paternal transmission bias. Half the offspring had developmental defects (Fig 1) when rmr12-3 / Rmr12 heterozygotes were used as females ( $0.49, \chi^{2}=0.10, p=0.747$ ), indicating that mutant sporophyte germination and survival are not impaired and that the mutant phenotype is fully

Table 2. $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ mutant seedling segregation.

| Allele | Progeny ID | No. dark seedlings | Total seedlings | Frequency | $\boldsymbol{p}$ value $\left(\boldsymbol{\chi}^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $r m r 12-1$ | 98738 | 10 | 100 | 0.10 |  |
| $r m r 12-2$ | 98924 | 18 | 142 | 0.0027 |  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t002

Table 3. $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ rmr12 mutant frequencies.

| Progeny |  | No. individuals |  | Freq. | Statistics for single locus model(0.25) |  | Statistics for two locus model(0.0625) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Allele | Mutant | Non-mutant |  | $\text { Mutant } \chi^{2}$ | $p$ value | $\text { Mutant } \chi^{2}$ | $p$ value |
| 18 | rmr12-1 | 111 | 642 | 0.15 | 31.70 | $9.3 \mathrm{e}-9$ | 86.86 | 5.9e-21 |
| 2 | rmr12-2 | 25 | 171 | 0.13 | 11.76 | $3.3 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 13.27 | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-4$ |
| 15 | rmr12-3 | 303 | 1292 | 0.19 | 22.99 | 8.5 e 7 | 414.66 | 1.8e-92 |
|  | Total | 439 | 2105 | 0.18 | 61.02 | 2.9e-15 | 493.08 | 1.5e-109 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t003
penetrant (Table 4). In contrast, fewer mutants were observed when $r m r 12-3 / R m r 12$ heterozygotes were used as males $\left(0.39, \chi^{2}=5.28, p=0.021\right)$ (Table 4). Because all four independent rmr12 mutant alleles show similar transmission ratio distortions (Tables 2 and 3, see S2 and S3 Tables), we hypothesized that Rmr12 is important for normal male gametophyte function.

Because we observed genetic linkage of rmr12 to a mutant waxyl (wxl) allele (see S1 Methods and S4 Table), we could test this hypothesis using $9 S$ cell autonomous markers to monitor $r m r 12$ allele transmissions. Because $w x 1$ mutant pollen accumulate amylopectins that stain red with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$-KI [48] rather than amylose which stains blue, we could approximate the frequency of $r m r 12$ alleles segregated from heterozygous plants. Fresh pollen collected from two Rmr12 wx1 / rmr12-3 Wx1 individuals were of two types (1392 blue and 1350 red) whose frequencies did not deviate from the expected $0.50\left(\chi^{2}=0.37, p=0.57\right)$ (Table 5). The frequency of viable pollen as assessed with fluorescein diacetate [49] was also similar between Rmr12 / rmr12-4 and Rmr12 / Rmr12 individuals ( 0.97 in both, $p=0.94$, two-sample $t$-test, see S3A Fig). We then compared in vitro pollen germination frequencies from eight Rmr12wx1/rmr12-3 Wx1 florets. While frequencies varied from 0.3 to 0.6 , the ratio of $W x 1$ to $w x 1$ germinated pollen from each floret did not significantly differ from 1 ( $p=0.64$, one-sample $t$-test; see S3B Fig). These data indicate that the rmr12 mutations transmission biases are not due to meiotic errors, grain filling defects or failed pollen germination.

We next evaluated paternal rmr12 allele transmissions via their linkage to colored aleurone1 (c1), a locus required for kernel pigmentation [50,51] located approximately 30 cM from $w x 1$ [52,53]. We crossed Rmr12 c1/rmr12-4 C1 males to recessive $c 1$ testers and recorded both the frequency and distribution of colored kernels on each testcross cob. Because the mean frequency of colored kernels from all cobs (0.43) was significantly less than the expected 0.50 ( $p=6.34 \mathrm{e}-07$, one-sample $t$-test) (Table 6), we concluded that C1 transmission reflects that of rmr12-4. Furthermore, because there was no indication of aborted ovules (see S2B Fig), the transmission bias appeared to occur prior to fertilization. To test whether the bias was possibly due to differential pollen tube growth, we compared C1 transmission in the apical half of the cob to that in the basal half (Table 6) where pollen tubes would be longer. Colored kernel mean frequencies, 0.44 (apical) vs 0.42 (basal), did not differ ( $p=0.22$, two-sample $t$-test) indicating that the allele transmission bias is not due to obvious pollen tube growth competitions.

Table 4. Mutant frequencies in progeny from reciprocal crosses.

| Parents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | Male | ID | Mutants | Non-mutants | Freq. |  |
| $r m r 12-3 / R m r 12$ | $r m r 12-1 / r m r 12-1$ | 142783 | 84 | $\boldsymbol{p}$ value $\left(\boldsymbol{\chi}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 142785 | 66 | 90 | 0.483 |  |
| $r m r 12-1 / r m r 12-1$ | $r m r 12-3 / R m r 12$ | 142763 | 60 | 68 | 0.75 | 0.90 |
|  |  | 142850 | 21 | 86 | 0.410 | 0 |
| $r m r 12-3 / R m r 12$ |  | Totals | 150 | 42 | 0.333 | 0.13 |
| $r m r 12-1 / r m r 12-1$ | $r m r 12-1 / r m r 12-1$ |  | 81 | 158 | 0.487 |  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t004
Table 5. Frequency of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$-KI stained pollen types from $R m r 12 w x 1 / r m r 12-3 W x 1$ individuals.

| Progeny ID | Individual | Blue pollen | Red pollen | Red frequency | $\boldsymbol{p}$ value $\left(\boldsymbol{\chi}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 160372 | $17-62-5$ | 945 | 873 | 0.48 | 0.23 |
| 160372 | $17-62-8$ | 477 | 447 | 0.52 |  |
| Total |  | 1392 | 1350 | 0.51 | 0.5 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t005

Table 6. Cob position of testcrosses kernel phenotypes representing transmission of rmr12-4 linked c1 alleles.

| Progeny ID | Basal half |  |  | Apical half |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C1 | c1 | Freq. | C1 | c1 | Freq. | C1 | c1 | Freq. | $p \text { value }\left(\chi^{2}\right)$ |
| 170107 | 73 | 90 | 0.45 | 68 | 99 | 0.41 | 141 | 189 | 0.42 | 0.06 |
| 170118 | 67 | 73 | 0.48 | 66 | 84 | 0.44 | $133$ | $157$ | $0.46$ | $0.32$ |
| 170122 | 63 | 86 | 0.42 | 56 | 72 | 0.43 | 119 | $158$ | $0.43$ | $0.10$ |
| 170124 | 57 | 86 | 0.40 | 42 | 44 | 0.49 | 99 | 130 | 0.43 | 0.15 |
| 170131 | 56 | 84 | 0.40 | 50 | 54 | 0.48 | 106 | 138 | 0.43 | 0.15 |
| 170134 | 91 | 100 | 0.48 | 63 | 74 | 0.46 | $154$ | 174 | 0.47 | 0.43 |
| 170323 | 100 | 193 | 0.34 | 97 | 121 | 0.44 | $197$ | 314 | 0.39 | 0.0002 |
| 170324 | 59 | 74 | 0.44 | $58$ | 82 | $0.41$ | $117$ | $156$ | 0.43 | $0.09$ |
| 170782 | 29 | 51 | 0.36 | 45 | 64 | 0.44 | 74 | 115 | 0.39 | 0.03 |
| Total | 595 | 837 | 0.42 | 545 | 694 | 0.44 | 1140 | 1531 | 0.43 | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-8$ |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t006

Pollen tube lengths of in vitro germinated pollen from 8 Rmr12 wxl / rmr12-4 Wx1 florets were also no different between the two stained types ( $p=0.27$, two-sample $t$-test; see S3C Fig). From these results, we conclude that $r m r 12$ mutant pollen grains are not compromised in viability, germination, or pollen tube growth but have an unknown and incompletely penetrant male gametophyte defect.

## The rmr12 locus encodes a CHD3 protein

To better understand the link between development, gametophyte function and Pl1-Rhoades repression, we identified the molecular nature of $r m r 12$ using positional information and sequence analysis. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{BC}}^{3}$ 敢 mapping population was developed between the mutagenized A619 and recurrent A632 parental lines and, because $w x 1$ and $c 1$ linkages confirmed a $9 S$ position, rmr12-3 mutants were genotyped with polymorphic $9 S$ molecular markers (Table 7). This analysis narrowed the lesion to a 4 Mb interval having 109 gene models (see Methods and S5 Table), none of which encode obvious RdDM-related proteins. One model, however, encodes a chromatin-related protein, a putative member of the homeodomain-like transcription factor superfamily (Zm00001d045109, chr113) composed of a plant homeodomain zincfinger (PHD), tandem chromodomains, bipartite SNF2-type helicase, and two conserved domains of unknown function (DUF 1086 and 1087) diagnostic of chromodomain helicase DNA-binding 3 (CHD3) proteins [54].

Table 7. Recombination-based mapping of rmr12-3 from $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ mutants.

| Molecular Marker |  |  | No. individuals with specified genotype |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Type | Chr 9 location ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | A619 / A619 | Heterozygotes | A632 / A632 | \% A619 |
| 9_12.38 | CAPS | 12,377,322 | 66 | 2 | 0 | 99\% |
| 9_16.47 | dCAPS | 16,471,870 | 25 | 9 | 1 | 84\% |
| umc1586 | SSR | 25,251,065 | 16 | 16 | 3 | 69\% |
| umc2128 | SSR | 25,743,586 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 67\% |
| 9_50.02 | CAPS | 50,997,919 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 71\% |
| 9_61.09 | dCAPS | 70,090,283 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 69\% |
| 9_87.31 | CAPS | 89,637,894 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 63\% |
| umc1267 | SSR | 105,956,029 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0\% |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ B73 AGPv4 genome
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t007
rmr12-3 mutant cDNA sequence (see S4 and S5 Figs) revealed a transition-type mutation in Zm00001d045109 (Fig 2A) that eliminated a canonical intron splice acceptor site and identified 67 nt of retained intron sequence unique from the 37 transcript isoforms predicted in the B73 AGPv4 transcriptome [55]. All tested rmr12-3 mutants $(n=33)$ were homozygous for this mutation as identified with a dCAPS marker. This retained intron isoform encodes nine additional amino acids and a premature stop codon (Fig 2B; see S5 and S6 Figs). Sequences of tiled


Fig 2. rmr12 mutations disrupt a CHD3-encoding gene model. (A) Maize gene model Zm00001d045109 highlighting transition mutations found in cDNA sequences from rmr12-1, rmr12-2, rmr12-3, and rmr12-4 mutants. (B) Predicted protein model highlighting domains diagnostic of CHD3 proteins and missense, nonsense ( X ), and insertion lesions corresponding to the respective transition mutations in (A). (C) Maximum likelihood tree produced from alignment of full-length maize ( Zm ) CHD3 protein sequences with CHD3 proteins from Arabidopsis (At) and grasses including Brachypodium distachyon (Bd), Brachypodium stacei (Bs), Oropetium thomaeum (Ot), rice (Os), Panicum hallii (Ph), Panicum virgatum (Pv), Setaria italica (Si), Setaria viridis (Sv), and Sorghum bicolor (Sb) identifies two clades (I and II). The tree is anchored with Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Sc) CHD1. Branch lengths depict substitutions per site.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.g002
amplicons spanning the longest Zm 00001 d 045109 transcript isoforms in all rmr12-1, rmr12-2, rmr12-3, and rmr12-4 mutant and non-mutant cDNAs supported Zm00001d045109_T004 as a predominant transcript and identified additional unique transition mutations (Fig 2A; see S5 Fig). A G to A missense in rmr12-1 changes glycine 308 to aspartic acid, and independent nonsense mutations were found in rmr12-2 and rmr12-4 (Fig 2B; see S5 and S6 Figs). Because of the rmr12-4-associated mutation, any translated protein would lack both DUF domains that in CHD3 proteins may bind DNA [56]. The G308D—occurring within an invariant GK(T/S) sequence of motif I where the adjacent lysine coordinates either a gamma or beta ATP phosphate in all SNF2-type ATPases [57]-would negatively affect ATP-binding, and any rmr12-2encoded protein would lack all but the PHD and chromodomains (see S6 Fig). These coincident and disruptive lesions found in the defined $9 S$ interval of plants homozygous for each of the four rmr12 mutant alleles strongly indicates that the rmr12 locus consists of a gene (Zm00001d045109) encoding a CHD3 protein, a subgroup of CHD proteins with known roles in transcriptional regulation [58].

A tBLASTn analysis of the B73 AGPv4 genome provided no evidence of a Zm00001d045109 duplicate but did identify closely related gene models (Zm00001d006428 and Zm 00001 d 021541 ) in syntenic $2 L$ and $7 L$ regions. RNA-seq reads from all three chd 3 genes are detected in each of 23 developmental and reproductive tissues including pollen [59] though Zm 00001 d 045109 reads are most abundant (5-10 fold greater) in all datasets. A phylogenetic comparison of plant proteins having the DUF 1087 region, the most exclusive and conserved feature within the CHD3 clade, shows that, similar to eudicots and other grasses [60,61], maize has two distinct CHD3 subfamilies (Fig 2C). Each surveyed species has at least one subfamily I member homologous to the Zm00001d045109-encoded CHD3 that clades most closely with Arabidopsis PKL, whereas the other maize CHD3 proteins belong to the Arabidopsis PICKLE RELATED 1 (PKR1) clade II and appear to have arisen through a maizespecific whole genome duplication [62]. Zm00001d045109 is hereafter referred to as chd3a.

## Rmr12 is required for normal development

In addition to $p k l$ mutants developing pickle-like root structures [63], they have reduced plant height [64], delayed vegetative phase change [65] and flowering [64], and reduced floral structures with aborted ovules [66]. These defects are similar to those displayed in rmr 12 mutants (Fig 1) consistent with $p k l$ and $r m r 12$ providing orthologous functions. In contrast, many $r m r 12$ mutant developmental defects appear distinct from those of $r p d 1$ mutants [12]. These observations motivated a more detailed analysis to better define these similarities and differences. Quantifying days to flowering (DTF) and plant heights of independent $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ progenies segregating rmr12-1, rmr12-2, or rmr12-3 homozygotes (Fig 3A and 3B), we found all mutants flowered significantly later with a mean increase of 23.4 days ( $+/-2.8$ s.e.m., $p=0.0009,5.04 \mathrm{e}-$ 6 , and $9.00 \mathrm{e}-12$, two-sample $t$-test) and were shorter, on average 0.39 times the non-mutant sibling heights ( $+/-0.06$ s.e.m., $p=9.86 \mathrm{e}-6,3.89 \mathrm{e}-6$, and $5.07 \mathrm{e}-22$, two-sample $t$-test). We conclude, therefore, that Rmr12 governs fundamental processes affecting plant growth.

Because the $r p d 1$ mutant dwarf stature is exclusively due to reduced adult-phase internode lengths [12], we compared $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ rmr12-3 mutant and non-mutant sibling internode lengths at flowering. Although average mutant leaf number was greater than that of non-mutant siblings (12.7 versus 11.3 respectively; $p=0.003$, two-sample $t$-test), all internode lengths, including those of the juvenile-phase, were significantly shorter (Fig 3C). Because the rpd1 mutant juvenile to adult phase transition is also delayed [12], we compared the average first leaf displaying adult leaf waxes (see Methods) between $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ rmr12-3 mutants and non-mutant siblings. Similar
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Fig 3. Developmental profiles of $\boldsymbol{r m r} 12$ mutant and non-mutant $F_{2}$ siblings. (A) Days to flowering for individual progenies segregating homozygotes for rmr12-1 (mutant $\mathrm{n}=4$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=53, p=0.0009$ ), rmr12-2 (mutant $\mathrm{n}=6$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=50$, $p=5.04 \mathrm{e}-6$ ), or $r m r 12-3$ (mutant $\mathrm{n}=15$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=98, p=9.00 \mathrm{e}-12$ ). (B) Plant heights at flowering for progenies described in (A), rmr12-1 (mutant $\mathrm{n}=8$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=54, p=9.86 \mathrm{e}-6$ ), rmr12-2 (mutant $\mathrm{n}=9$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=50, p=3.89 \mathrm{e}-6$ ), or rmr12-3 (mutant $\mathrm{n}=15$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=98, p=5.07 \mathrm{e}-22$ ). (C) Mean internode lengths ( $\pm \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{m}$.) for one progeny segregating $r m r 12-3$ homozygotes (mutant $\mathrm{n}=12$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=12$ ). ${ }^{* *} p<0.001$, $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{a} .=$ not available (single value). (D) Mean first leaf ( $\pm \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{e}$. m.) with adult-type leaf waxes for $9 \mathrm{rmr} 12-3$ mutants and 9 non-mutants from a single progeny. ${ }^{*} p=0.01$.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.g003
to $r p d 1$ mutants, the $r m r 12-3$ mutant transition was delayed by 1.1 leaves (leaf 5.7 vs leaf 6.8, $p=0.01$, two-sample $t$-test) (Fig 3D).

Abaxial surfaces of $r p d 1$ mutant leaves can have adaxialized regions and occasionally exhibit ectopic outgrowths [12,13] but are generally indistinct from non-mutant leaves. In contrast, all rmr12 mutant leaves are upright (Fig 1A and 1B) like liguleless mutants [67,68], adaxiallycurled (Fig 1B) like Arabidopsis polycomb-group mutants [69], and have a textured/wrinkled epidermis (Fig 1C; see S1 Fig) similar to crinkly4 mutants [70] and an RNAi knockdown of the maize BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1 ortholog (Zm-bril) [71]. Leaf shape was also distinct. Among two independent progenies, mutant leaf 6 was no longer ( $p=0.088$, two-sample $t$-test), but clearly narrower ( $p=5.07 \mathrm{e}-16$, two-sample $t$-test), than the same leaf from nonmutant siblings (Fig 4A and 4B). Among three additional progenies evaluated, rmr 12 mutants had fewer lateral veins, ( 17.2 versus 20.8 respectively, $p=0.005$, two-sample $t$-test) at the leaf 10 midpoint (Fig 4C).
$r p d 1$ mutant tassels are often feminized and always have a relatively compact architecture with short internodes between more acutely upright-angled secondary spikes [12] but male flowers extrude anthers that shed pollen normally. Although secondary spikes are similarly upright, rmr 12 mutant tassels rarely exhibit feminization, are severely reduced in both secondary spike numbers and primary spike lengths ( 1.8 vs 8 secondary spikes $p=2.07 \mathrm{e}-12$, and 12 cm vs 24 cm primary spike length $p=9.5 \mathrm{e}-16$, two-sample $t$-test for both) (Fig 4D and 4E), and the rarely-extruded anthers often fail to shed pollen. Manually extracted pollen appear


Fig 4. Morphometrics of rmr12-3 mutant and non-mutant $F_{2}$ siblings. Mean ( $\pm$ s.e.m.) of leaf 6 length ( $\mathbf{A}$ ) and width (B) of individuals from two progenies at flowering (mutant $\mathrm{n}=38$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=236$ ). (C) Mean number ( $\pm$ s.e.m.) of lateral veins at the midpoint of leaf 10 in 7 -week-old plants from three progenies (mutants $n=6$, non-mutants $n=10$ ). ( $D$ ) Mean tassel branch number ( $\pm$ s.e.m.) of individuals from eight progenies within the A632 background. $\mathrm{BC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ (mutant $\mathrm{n}=17$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=16$ ). $\mathrm{BC}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ (mutant $\mathrm{n}=7$, non-mutant $\mathrm{n}=8$ ). (E) Mean primary tassel branch length ( $\pm$ s.e.m.) of individuals described in (D). (F) Mean number of ear shoots ( $\pm$ s.e.m.) at flowering of individuals described in (D). (A) to (F) solid bars: mutant, open bars: non-mutant. n.s. $=$ not significant ( $p>0.05$ ), ${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.001$.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.g004
visibly normal, however, and the progeny generated from mutant males indicates that at least some of their pollen is fertile (Fig 1E, Table 4; see S2 Table).

Cobs borne on $r p d 1$ mutants are smaller with heterogeneously sized kernels set in disorganized rows diagnostic of ovule abortions [12]. Similar, yet far more extreme, defects are seen in rmr12 mutants with grain set being rare. Grain yields varied on mutant cobs with virtually none set in twelve successive Albany, CA summer nurseries compared with occasional sets on materials grown in Columbus, OH (Fig 1E). Fewer ear shoots were produced per plant (mean 1.1 vs. 2.5 ears $p=4.69 \mathrm{e}-9$, two-sample $t$-test) in the eight $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ progenies examined (Fig 4F).

Overall, these $r m r 12$ mutant phenotypes are mostly distinct from those of $r p d 1$ mutants and instead mirror nearly all the known defects diagnostic of $p k l$ loss-of-function mutants. As potential exceptions, some phenotypes including pickle-like root bulges on seedling roots and effects on light-dependent cotyledon opening [72,73] have not been adequately evaluated. Based on these apparent functional orthologies, molecular mapping data, sequence analyses, and phylogenetic relationships, we conclude that the rmr12 locus encodes the maize PKL ortholog, hereafter referred to as CHD3a.

## CHD3a influences 24nt RNA biogenesis patterns

Because all known MOP and RMR proteins also maintain normal 24nt RNA levels [6,13,17,18,43-45,74], we compared PAGE-separated ethidium bromide-stained sRNAs isolated from sibling rmr12-4 / rmr12-4 and Rmr12 / rmr12-4 eight-day post-imbibition seedlings (see S7 Fig). Although similar comparisons clearly identify both RPD1- and RPD2a-dependencies [17], 24nt RNA levels appear undiminished in rmr12-4 mutants.

To more precisely compare 24nt RNA profiles, we analyzed sRNA libraries from eight-day post imbibition seedlings homozygous for either Rmr12 or rmr12-3 (two non-mutant and three mutant libraries) by mapping the reads to the B73 reference genome AGPv4 [55] using ShortStack [75]. Comparing relative percentages of all mapped 18-30nt reads, we found no significant differences in $24 n$ t read abundances between $r m r 12-3$ mutants and their non-mutant siblings (Fig 5A, $p=0.13$, two-sample $t$-test). When percentages are normalized to the next most abundant size class (22nt), 24nt levels appear identical (Fig 5B). Additionally, both total and normalized 24nt read percentages are nearly identical for uniquely-mapped reads (Fig 5C and 5D) indicating CHD3a is not required for genome-wide 24 nt RNA biogenesis. Of 59,127 sRNA genome clusters called on uniquely-mapped reads, 54,905 were predominantly 24 nt . By DESeq2 analysis (see Methods), 14,656 (27\%) of these 24nt clusters had differential read abundances of $\geq 2$ fold change and padj $(\mathrm{FDR})<0.05$, with 7,848 increased and 6,808 decreased (see S6 Table), indicating that CHD3a influences where $24 n$ nNAs are produced.

Looking for possible Pl1-Rhoades-specific sRNAs, we aligned all 18-30nt reads which either mapped uniquely or did not map to the $B 73$ genome to a 16 kb lambda clone sequence containing the Pl1-Rhoades coding region (GenBank L19494) and upstream sequence using ShortStack. None of the seven clusters called across this sequence (see S7 Table; S8 Fig), had significant differences in normalized read counts (rpm) (see S8 Table). If CHD3a regulates Pl1-Rhoades through specific targeting of 24nt RNA production, this likely occurs 3' of the existing Pl1-Rhoades haplotype sequence where previous recombination mapping identifies a Pl1-Rhoades enhancer and sequences conferring paramutagenic properties [7].


Fig 5. sRNA profiles in rmr12-4 mutants. Size class distributions for all genome-mapped 18-30nt reads in Rmr12 / Rmr12 and rmr12-3 / rmr12-3 eight-day post imbibition seedlings (A) and normalized to 22nt RNA levels (B). Distribution of uniquely-mapping 18-30nt reads (C) and normalized to 22nt RNA levels (D).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.g005

## CHD3a maintains repression of paramutant Pl1-Rhoades

The sRNA results led us to question whether the mutants' increased pigmentation was specifically due to Pl1-Rhoades derepression or to a general increase in anthocyanin production independent of pll function. To test this idea, we measured relative Pll-Rhoades mRNA levels in rmr12-3 mutant and non-mutant siblings by qRT-PCR and found on average 10 fold more pl1 transcripts in rmr12-3 mutants (Fig 6) supporting a role for CHD3a in maintaining either the transcriptional, post-transcriptional, or co-transcriptional repression of the $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ state.

To address whether or not the elevation of Pl1-Rhoades mRNA was by itself sufficient to account for increased pigment, we synthesized mutants homozygous for functional yet recessive pll alleles and evaluated their anther pigment phenotypes. Among the twenty $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ rmr12-1 mutants segregating both pll-B73 and Pl1-Rhoades in a Pl' state, four had lightly-colored or near-colorless anthers typical of pl1-B73 homozygotes, six had no florets, and ten displayed darkly pigmented anthers indistinguishable from that conferred by Pll-Rhoades in a Pl-Rh state (Table 8). Similarly, among the ten $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ rmr12-2 mutants segregating $P l^{\prime}$ and $p l 1-A 632$, three had lightly-colored or near-colorless anthers (Table 8). The presence of anther phenotypes typical of recessive pll expression in $r m r 12$ mutant individuals indicates that CHD3a does not generally enhance pigment production and confirms that increased anther pigmentation in rmr12 mutants occurs from $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ derepression.

We next asked whether Pl1-Rhoades alleles could change from $P l^{\prime}$ to meiotically heritable $P l$ $R h$ states in the absence of CHD3a function. Once Pl1-Rhoades changes from $\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Rh}$ to $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ it is always sexually transmitted in a $P l^{\prime}$ state [23], even in the absence of some proteins required to maintain $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ repression, including RMR2 [43] and RPD2a [17]. $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ can, however, heritably revert to $P l-R h$ at various frequencies when either hemizygous [76,77], heterozygous with specific recessive pll alleles [76,77], or in $r m r 1$ and $r p d 1$ mutants [13,16,40,43]. To test if similar reversions occur in the absence of CHD3a, we crossed $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime} / \mathrm{Pl}^{\prime} r m r 12$ mutants by five distinct $\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Rh}$ testers and then evaluated the progeny anther color phenotypes. If $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ heritably reverts to $\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Rh}$ in


Fig 6. Relative pll mRNA levels in rmr12 mutants. Mean fold pll mRNA expression $\left(2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}\right)( \pm$ s.e.m) by qRT-PCR normalized to gapdh levels in biological triplicate non-mutant and rmr12-3 / rmr12-3 eight-day post imbibition seedlings. * $p<0.05$.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.g006

Table 8. Anther phenotypes of $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ mutants segregating recessive $p l 1$ alleles.

| Progeny | pll allele |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ID | No. mutants with given anther phenotypes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013120 | rmr12-1 | pll-B73 | Nonts | No anthers | Light/colorless | Pl-Rh-like |
| 013115 | rmr12-2 | pll-A632 | 104 | $6^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 4 | 10 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Plants had either no or barren tassels, or insect damaged florets.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ One plant with a dry tassel and one plant with a vestigial tassel.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t008
the absence of CHD3a function, then some or all testcross progeny plants would have darkly pigmented anthers. Three of twelve progenies representing three distinct $\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Rh}$ testers had individuals ( 11 total) with intermediate or Pl -Rh-like anther colors (Table 9). These data, while relatively few in number, indicate that CHD3a contributes to maintaining meiotically-heritable information both specifying Pl1-Rhoades repression and facilitating paramutation in the subsequent generation. It remains to be evaluated whether CHD3a is also required to mediate pll paramutation. This evaluation will require combining $P l-R h$ and $P l^{\prime}$ states in an $r m r 12$ mutant and independently tracking the paramutation-inducing properties of each transmitted allele.

Because not all $r m r$ mutations similarly affect paramutant alleles at other loci [17,43], we tested whether CHD3a also maintained repression of paramutant $b 1$ alleles by synthesizing $r m r 12$ mutants carrying B1-I alleles of either repressed ( $B^{\prime}$ ) or fully expressed ( $B-I$ ) states (see S1 Methods). In both mop1 and $r p d 1$ mutants, the $B^{\prime}$ state is derepressed such that it conditions dark leaf sheath pigmentation indistinguishable from that conferred by $B-I[16,19,39]$. Leaf sheath colors of

Table 9. Testcross rmr12 / rmr12; Pl' / Pl' X Rmr12 / Rmr12; Pl-Rh / Pl-Rh progeny phenotypes.

| Progeny |  |  | No. individuals with given anther color scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Allele | Pl-Rh Tester | 1-4 ( $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ ) | 5-6 (intermediate) | 7 (Pl-Rh) |
| 180506 | rmr12-4 | A619 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 180713 | rmr12-4 | A619 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| $\underline{180787}$ | rmr12-4 | A619 | 6 | 0 | 3 |
| 172611 | rmr12-3 | A632 T | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 172608 | rmr12-3 | B73 T | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $\underline{172613}$ | rmr12-3 / rmr12-4 | B73 T | 56 | 0 | 0 |
| $\underline{172618}$ | rmr12-3 | B73 T | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| 172620 | rmr12-3 | B73 T | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 180788 | rmr12-4 | K55 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 180789 | rmr12-4 | K55 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 180790 | rmr12-4 | K55 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 172609 | rmr12-3 | W23 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 172612 | rmr12-3 | W23 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| $\underline{172614}$ | rmr12-3 | W23 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 172615 | rmr12-3 | W23 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 172619 | rmr12-3 | W23 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 160777 | rmr12-4 | W23 | 0 | $7^{\text {a }}$ | 0 |
| 160778 | rmr12-4 | W23 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  | 188 | 8 | 3 |

T: (Pl1-Rh carried on a T6-9 interchange).
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Individual tassels had anther color scores ranging from 3-5.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t009
the $B^{\prime}$ and $B-I r m r 12$ mutants were, in contrast, dissimilar (Fig 7) indicating that CHD3a is not required to maintain the $B^{\prime}$ state. To test if CHD3a is nonetheless required to mediate $b 1$ paramutation, $B^{\prime} / B-I ; r m r 12-4$ / rmr12-4 individuals were synthesized (see S1 Methods), and testcrossed by recessive $b 1$ testers. All 26 individuals from three testcross progenies displayed a $B^{\prime}$-like phenotype (Table 10) indicating that CHD3a does not mediate bl paramutation. We conclude that CHD3a function acts to maintain locus-specific repression at Pl1-Rhoades.

## Discussion

CHD3a represents the first molecular component maintaining Pl1-Rhoades paramutations seemingly outside of an RdDM-type mechanism. Because CHD3a specifically maintains repression of Pl1-Rhoades but not B1-I, these results reaffirm that paramutation behaviors occurring at distinct loci can be mechanistically distinct [17,43]. Although the RPD1 and MOP1 requirement at multiple loci $[16,39]$ support the involvement of an RdDM-like feedforward loop reinforcing an RNAP II-repressive chromatin state [10], the initiation, and/or


Fig 7. rmr12 mutant B1-I phenotypes. rmr12-4 mutants displaying $B-I(\mathbf{A})$ and $B^{\prime}(\mathbf{B})$ states.

Table 10. Phenotypes of $r m r 12-4 / r m r 12-4 ; B^{\prime} / B-I$ X Rmr12 / Rmr12; b1 / b1 testcross progeny.

| Progeny | No. Individuals having indicated phenotype |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ID | $\boldsymbol{b 1}$ tester | B' $^{\prime}$-like | B-I-like |
| 180787 | A619 | 11 | 0 |
| 180788 | K55 | 8 | 0 |
| 180789 | K55 | 7 | 0 |
| Total |  | 26 | 0 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009243.t010
maintenance of such locus-specific regulatory loops might be differentially sensitive to the actions of other factors affecting allele-specific RNAP II transcriptional control.

Identification of rmr 12 as encoding a potential chromatin remodeling protein implicates the involvement of nucleosome alterations in maintaining paramutant states. In mammals, CHD3 proteins are complexed with HDACs and CpG- binding proteins [78] that facilitate transcriptional repression in hand with PRC2-mediated H3K27 methylation [79]. PKL, which might function as a monomer [80], also promotes H3K27me3 [81-84] and both positively and negatively affects mRNA levels of various H3K27me3-marked genes [81,82,84]. Despite these associations, it is unclear how PKL promotes H3K27me3 or whether it recognizes H3K27me3 in vivo, although the PHD domain from the rice CHD3, CHR729, has H3K27me3 affinity [60]. It is proposed that PKL promotes retention of H3K27me3-marked H2A.Z-containing nucleosomes through maturing prenucleosomes following transcription, an idea supported by the observation that PKL increases the size of DNase-resistant prenucleosome DNA fragments in vitro [84].

Based on the known biology of other CHD3 proteins and complexes [58,85], existing correlations between PKL function and H3K27me3 [81-84], and associations between mammalian CHD3 proteins, Histone Deacetylases (HDACs), and Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) [79], we envision a model in which CHD3a is required to maintain transcriptionally repressive (H3K27me3) nucleosomes that specify the $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ state. Because CHD3 complexes can operate at transcriptional enhancers [85], one specific hypothesis is that CHD3a acts to continually repress RNAP II transcription at the Pl1-Rhoades 3' enhancer-a feature co-mapping with sequences required for facilitating paramutation [7]—as a prerequisite for RNAP IV to compete for such templates $[6,8]$. It will be critical to identify these key regulatory sequences and evaluate their nucleosome, $24 n$ R RNA, and nascent transcription profiles to test this idea.

The $P l^{\prime}$ state is meiotically maintained by RNAP IV-dependent mechanisms [13,16], potentially involving 24 nt RNAs and/or cytosine methylation. Because CHD3a always maintains the $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ state in the soma but reverted $\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Rh}$ states are sometimes transmitted from rmr 12 mutants, it could be that other RNAP IV-dependent mechanisms deliver H3K27me3 marks to key regulatory sequences and maintain these at a certain level or location, but in the absence of CHD3a these H3K27me3 profiles are vulnerable to loss, resulting in occasional transmission of Pl1-Rhoades alleles that have reverted from $P l^{\prime}$ to $P l-R h$. Transmission of derepressed Pl1-Rhoades alleles from rmr12 mutants implies that a RNAP IV-dependent feature maintaining meiotic heritability must be, in part, stabilized by CHD3a function, and that feature must be capable of recruiting additional repression machinery including CHD3a in the next generation.

Although the roles of RPD1 and CHD3a in development are largely distinct, some shared mutant phenotypes, including juvenile to adult phase delays and dysregulation of Pll-Rhoades, suggest certain alleles are co-dependent on CHD3a and RNAP IV actions. The large-scale changes in 24nt RNA distributions observed in rmr12-3 mutants indicate that CHD3a has a role in specifying where RNAP IV is recruited or functions. A similar relationship may also exist in Arabidopsis as PKL was found in a genetic screen as required to repress a luciferase (LUC) transgene driven by the RD29A promoter in a cytosine demethylase mutant (rosl)
background [86], a screen that also identified several RdDM components [87]. Loss of $p k l$ also resulted in genome-wide changes in both 24 nt RNA and 5 -methylcytosine ( 5 mC ) profiles but approximately half of all differentially methylated regions were hypermethylated [86] indicating that RdDM still occurs but in different locations. As the $p R D 29 A-L U C$ silencing behaviors appear to share paramutation-like features [88], it is possible that RNAP IV and CHD3a corepression is diagnostic of some paramutant alleles.

Although two C. elegans CHD3s, CHD-3 and LET-418, are required for gamete viability [89], CHD3's roles in gamete transmission were previously unknown. CHD3a is one of only a few known proteins which when disrupted lead to male transmission ratio distortions. We found no reports in Arabidopsis that PKL-deficient gametophytes are similarly affected so it is possible that grasses have co-opted CHD3a for controlling pollen-specific genes. Our C1 transmission and in vitro germination results are inconsistent with problems in CHD3a-deficient pollen tube germination or growth and thus imply impairment of either stigma recognition and/or penetration, chemotaxis, or sperm cell delivery in $r m r 12$ mutant gametophytes. Future pollen RNA-seq comparisons may identify the critical CHD3a targets and reveal the nature of this gametophyte dysfunction.

Maize represents a new model for understanding the role(s) of CHD3 proteins and their potential complexes. Its large physical size and abundance of staged monoecious reproductive tissues should be especially useful for understanding their functions in plant development. Investigating how CHD3a coordinates developmental phase changes as well as the phenotypic variation specified by RNAP IV and meiotically-heritable paramutations should help identify regulatory sequences of morphological significance. These sequences could be selected from existing germplasms or engineered to potentially breed adaptive or desirable traits. Identifying the genomic features that recruit CHD3a is an obvious next step in further defining the paramutation mechanism (s) and its relationship to the orderly changes in allele states occurring during development [35].

## Materials and methods

## Genetic materials and stock syntheses

Genetic nomenclature follows guidelines established for Zea mays and has been previously described [16]. All stocks contain functional alleles for all factors required for anthocyanin production in the anthers unless otherwise indicated. Hand pollinations were used for all stock syntheses. The rmr 12 mutants were mostly used as the female parent because of their reticent anther phenotypes. The two reference alleles (ems98738 and ems98924) and two additional alleles (ems063095 and ems143190) were isolated from ems-treated pollen as previously described in [40] and [41], respectively. See S1 Methods for descriptions of specific stock syntheses. Additional pedigree information is available on request.

## Phenotyping

All quantitative phenotyping was assessed on materials grown in Columbus, OH summer nurseries with the exception of the rmr12-1 and rmr12-2 height and flowering time measurements which occurred in Albany, CA summer nurseries. Transition leaves marking the juvenile to adult phase change were visually assessed. The first leaf with adult characteristics typically has dull edges (conferred by juvenile phase-specific cuticular waxes) and a glossy Vshaped section in the center. Because the transition leaf was difficult to identify in rmr12-3 mutant plants, we used toluidine blue O staining [90] to distinguish juvenile and adult waxes. Visual assignment of Pll-Rhoades expression utilized a previously described anther color score [33] where scores $1-4$ represent $\mathrm{Pl}^{\prime}$ states, 5-6 represent intermediate types, and 7 represents the fully expressed $\mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{Rh}$ reference state.

## Pollen function

Pollen viability was assayed by fluorescein diacetate (FDA) stain as previously described [91]. Viable pollen was quantified from images taken under blue light five minutes after fresh pollen was mixed with FDA solution. In vitro pollen germination was carried out by plating fresh pollen on solid 1X pollen germination media [92] containing $10 \%$ sucrose, $0.0005 \%$ boric acid, 10 mM calcium chloride, 0.05 mM potassium phosphate, $6 \%$ polyethylene glycol 4000 , and $0.3 \%$ noble agar. After germinating for 40 minutes at room temperature, pollen was stained with iodine potassium-iodide solution ( $0.1 \%$ iodine, $1 \%$ potassium iodine), imaged, and germination frequencies and pollen tube lengths of $w x 1$ (red-brown) and $W x 1$ (blue) types were quantified using the image analysis software, Fiji [93]. Because iodine potassium-iodide staining can cause pollen tubes to burst, $W x 1$ pollen germination frequencies are reported relative to the germination frequency of $w x 1$ types for each sample rather than as raw frequencies.

## Statistics

The individual values used to generate means and graphs are available in the minimal data set (see S1 File). In cases where observed categorical variables were compared to expected frequencies, $p$ values are based on chi-squared tests, and the chi-square values are given. Significance for comparing quantitative variables was based on two-sample $t$-tests assuming unequal variance (see S2 File).

## Recombination mapping and candidate gene analysis

A set of rmr12-3 $\mathrm{BC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ mutants was interrogated with molecular markers (see S 9 Table for primer sequences and diagnostic enzymes) distinguishing parental A619 and A632 polymorphisms including simple satellite repeats (SSR) from the University of Missouri-Columbia (UMC) collection, newly designed cleaved amplified polymorphic sequences (CAPS), and derived CAPS (dCAPS), and the frequency of A619 alleles was recorded (Table 7). Results of individual mutants tested with each marker indicated single recombination events between rmr12-3 and both 9_12.38 and 9_16.47 in opposite directions indicating the rmr12 locus was between these markers. A dCAPS marker identified the rmr12-3 mutation, and rmr12-4 was genotyped with a CAPS marker (see S9 Table).

The composite sequences from PCR amplicons of cDNA from each rmr12 allele (see S5 Fig) and translated proteins were aligned to Zm00001d045109_T004 and P004, respectively, using the Geneious alignment tool ([94]; version 6.1.8) with mRNA, CDS, and protein domains predicted by simple modular architecture research tool (SMART) [95] (see S5 and S6 Figs). GenBank accessions for $r m r 12-A 619$, rmr12-1, rmr12-2, rmr12-3, and rmr12-4 complete coding sequences are MK875675-MK875679.

## sRNA analysis

Low molecular weight RNA isolated from pooled eight-day post imbibition seedlings using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and purified by chloroform and 5:1 acid phenol:chloroform extractions was enriched from total RNA by precipitating the majority of the high molecular weight RNAs by centrifugation ( $13,200 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 10 minutes at 4C) in $11.5 \%$ PEG-8000, $38 \%$ formamide, 58 mM NaCl . Low molecular weight RNAs were separated on a polyacrylamide gel ( $15 \%$ acrylamide/bis-acrylamide (19,1), 8 M urea, 22.5 mM Tris, 22.5 mM boric acid, 0.5 mM EDTA) and stained with ethidium bromide.
sRNA libraries were made from total RNA isolated from individual eight-day post imbibition $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ sibling seedlings homozygous for either Rmr12 or rmr12-3 using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen)
according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were prepared using the gel-free size selection method of the BIOO NEXTFLEX Small RNA-Seq Kit v3 (Perkin Elmer). A pool of three mutant and non-mutant single indexed libraries was sequenced (150bp paired-end) on a single HiSeq 4000 lane by Novogene Co. Ltd. One of the non-mutant replicates produced few reads (less than 20,000 ) and was excluded from further analysis. The BBTools (https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools/) function $b b d u k$ was used to trim adapter sequences, remove low-quality reads, and retain $18-30 \mathrm{nt}$ reads with options ( $\mathrm{ktrim}=\mathrm{r} \mathrm{k}=18$ mink=11 hdist=1 $\mathrm{tp}=4 \mathrm{ftl}=4 \mathrm{~min}$ len $=18$ maxlength $=30$ ). The combined five libraries produced 182 million high-quality sRNA read pairs (see S10 Table). Mate 1 representing sense reads from each library was aligned to the B73 reference genome AGPv4 [55] not allowing mismatches or multiply-mapping reads, and clusters were called using ShortStack [75] with options (--mismatches 0 --mmap n --nohp --pad 75 --mincov 91). Because the ShortStack default settings for these datasets would allow a cluster to have as few as 3.4 reads per library, we set a non-default minimum coverage of 91 reads across all libraries (representing 0.5 rpm ) to avoid differential cluster calls on regions with questionable biological significance. Counts from clusters defined as primarily 24 nt by ShortStack were compared using DESeq2 [96], and those with $\log _{2}$ fold change $\geq 1$ and padj (FDR) $<0.05$ were considered significant. These data are available through GEO (GSE158990).

To investigate sRNA clusters near Pl1-Rhoades, sRNA reads were first aligned to the B73 reference genome AGPv4 [55] using Bowtie (v0.12.8; options: -v 0 --best -m 1 -S) [97]. Multiplymapping reads were excluded to ensure mapped sRNAs derived only from Pl1-Rhoades proximal sequences. Reads remaining unmapped or that mapped uniquely were subsequently aligned and clustered to the recently updated Pl1-Rhoades sequence from GenBank L19494 using ShortStack with the above parameters. The original L19494 sequence, representing the coding region and limited flanking sequences of a Pl1-Rhoades-containing lambda clone [98] was extended to include 11.6 kb additional 5 ' sequence with no gaps by sequencing lambda subclones and supplementing with a short genomic PCR amplicon as described [99,100]. Read counts for all seven clusters were converted to rpm 18-30nt clean reads, and differential expression was tested with 2 -sample $t$-tests (see S8 Table).

## qRT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated from the first and second leaf sheaths below the lowest leaf blade of fourteen-day post imbibition Rmr12 and rmr12-3 homozygous $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ siblings using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen) as specified by the manufacturer. Tissues from two seedlings were pooled per sample. Isolated RNA was treated with DNase I (Roche), and 500ng of RNA was reverse transcribed using Protoscript II (NEB) and oligo(dT) primers. The resulting cDNA was treated with RNase A/T1, and one twenty-fifth of the RT reaction was included in technical triplicate $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PCR reactions with SensiMix SYBR No ROX (Bioline). Data were generated using an Eppendorf Mastercycler EP Gradient S thermocycler, and cycle threshold (Ct) values were calculated using the noiseband option in Eppendorf Mastercycler EP Realplex V2.2 software. pl1 transcripts were amplified with primers recognizing exon 1 (see S9 Table) and normalized to gapdh levels amplified using previously published primers [101] (see S9 Table).

## Phylogenetic analysis

The SMART-predicted DUF1087 amino acid sequence from Zm00001d045109_P008 was used as the BLASTp query for A. thaliana and the grasses included in Phytozome v12.1 (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html) [102] except that maize sequences were replaced with those from B73 AGPv4 [55] obtained from Gramene (http://ensembl.gramene. org/Zea_mays/Info/Index). For each protein match from B73 AGPv4, the predicted isoform
encoding the longest amino acid sequence was included for analysis. Alternative isoforms were removed from Phytozome v12 matches, and Oropetium_20150105_13389 was also removed because it lacked all other CHD domains. The full-length amino acid sequences from all protein matches, and S. cerevisiae CHD1 from Uniprot, were aligned using the MUSCLE alignment tool in Geneious ([94]; version 6.1.8) (see S3 File), and a maximum likelihood tree was created with Phyml [103] using the JTT amino acid substitution model and NNI+SPR tree topology search operation with 1000 bootstrap iterations (see S4 File). The resulting tree was oriented to display S. cerevisiae CHD1 (a founding member of the CHD clade of SNF2-ATPases) as the root using Geneious ([94]; version 6.1.8).

## Supporting information

S1 Fig. Mutant plant phenotypes. Additional mutant leaf blade phenotypes in ems063095 (A) and ems143190 (B) mutants.
(TIFF)
S2 Fig. Cob phenotypes. (A) Cob from self-pollination of an Rmr12 c1/rmr12-4 C1 individual. (B) c1 / c1 X Rmr12 c1 / rmr12-4 C1 test cross cob holds progeny 170323.
(TIF)
S3 Fig. Pollen phenotypes. (A) Frequency of viable pollen (stained with fluorescein diacetate) from four florets each from Rmr12 / Rmr12 and Rmr12 / rmr12-4 individuals. (B) Ratio of $W x 1$ to $w x 1$ pollen germination frequencies from eight florets from a $\mathrm{Rmr} 12 \mathrm{wxl} / \mathrm{rmr} 12$ $4 W x 1$ individual. (C) $w x 1$ and $W x 1$ pollen tube lengths ( mm ) from eight florets from a Rmr12 wx1 / rmr12-4 Wx1 individual. Boxplot whiskers encompass the range of data not including outliers (grey dots) which fall more than 1.5 X (interquartile range) above or below the box.
(TIF)
S4 Fig. Intron retention in rmr12-3 cDNAs. (A) Schematic representation of exons 22 and 23 in Zm00001d045109_T004 with the placement of primers (arrows) used to amplify B73 gDNA and cDNAs from Rmr12 / Rmr12 and rmr12-3 / rmr12-3 individuals (B). Hatched box represents intronic sequence retained in rmr12-3 mutants.
(TIF)
S5 Fig. Alignments of Zm00001d045109 cDNA sequences. Partial mutant mRNA compiled from Sanger sequenced Rmr12-A619 and mutant cDNA amplicons aligned to a predicted reference transcript, Zm00001d045109_T004. Red = mRNA, yellow $=$ coding sequence. (DOCX)

S6 Fig. Alignments of Zm00001d045109 protein sequences. rmr12 allele translations aligned to the Zm00001d045109_P004 reference protein sequence, with domains predicted by Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (SMART).
(DOCX)
S7 Fig. Bulk sRNA profiles in rmr12-4 mutants. Ethidium bromide stained PAGE fractionated sRNAs from pooled Rmr12 / rmr12-4 or rmr12-4 / rmr12-4 eight-day post-imbibition seedlings. Sizes in nucleotides (nt) are shown.
(TIF)
S8 Fig. sRNA alignments to the Pl1-Rhoades region. (A) Uniquely-mapping sRNA reads from each library aligned to a lambda clone sequence containing the Pll-Rhoades coding region. Peak heights are scaled to library depth. (B) Clusters called by ShortStack with the
relative position of the Pll-Rhoades coding region (C).
(TIF)
S1 Table. Genetic complementation tests based on anther pigments.
(DOCX)
S2 Table. Genetic complementation tests based on developmental defects.
(DOCX)
S3 Table. $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ rmr 12 mutant frequencies.
(DOCX)
S4 Table. rmr12-1 and wx1 cosegregation in progenies from self-pollinated Rmr12 / rmr121; Wxl / wxl plants.
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S5 Table. Gene models within the rmr12 mapping interval. (XLSX)

S6 Table. rmr12-3 differentially represented sRNA clusters.
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(XLSX)
S8 Table. Pl1-Rhoades proximal sRNA cluster representation in rmr12 mutants.
(DOCX)
S9 Table. Primers used in this study.
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S10 Table. Library statistics.
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S1 Methods.
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