## **ORIGINAL PAPER**

doi: 10.5455/medarh.2017.71.280-283

MED ARCH. 2017 AUG; 71(4): 280-283 RECEIVED: JUN 15, 2017 | ACCEPTED: AUG 05, 2017

<sup>1</sup>Private Gynecology Ordination ,,Dr Mahira Jahic" Tuzla, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina

<sup>2</sup>University of Tuzla, Faculty of Medicine Tuzla, Tuzla, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina

<sup>3</sup>Cardiovascular Clinic, University Clinical Centar Tuzla, Tuzla, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina

<sup>4</sup>Polyclinic of Laboratory diagnostic, Department of Microbiology, University Clinical Centar Tuzla, Tuzla, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina

<sup>5</sup>Clinic for Gynecology and Obctetrics, University Clinical Centar Tuzla, Tuzla, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Corresponding autor: Prof. Mahira Jahic, MD, PhD. Tuzla, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina. Tel: +387 61100195. E-mail: mahira.j@bih.net.ba

# Difference Between Cryotherapy and Follow Up Low Grade Squamous Lesion of Cervix Uteri

Mahira Jahic<sup>1,2</sup>, Elmir Jahic<sup>1,3</sup>, Mirsada Mulavdic<sup>2,4</sup>, Azra Hadzimehmedovic<sup>2,5</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

Introduction: Cervical cancer can be successfully prevented by effective treatment. Aim: Analyse of success of cryotherapy in LSIL and ASCUS. Materials et methods: In retrospective study between January 2016 to March 2017, 3244 PAP test were analysed. 257 patients who had been diagnosed with LSIL and ASCUS from PAP smear were divided in two groups: women who had HPV positive, colposcopic positive and cytologic finding of LSIL or ASCUS treathed with cryotherapy and women with LSIL, ASCUS and negative colposcopy. X2 test was used for statistical analysis of data. Results: Analysis of 3244 PAP smears showed negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy (NILM) in 90,10% (N-2923), and abnormal in 9,8% (N-321) of women. ASCUS was found in 4,8% (N-156) and ASC-H in 0,2% (N-6), LSIL in 3,1% (N-101), HSIL in 0,64% (N-21). The average age of patients with ASCUS lesion was 41 ± 12 years. After cryotherapy, HSIL had progression in 1,5% (N-1), persistence in 6,3% (N-4) and regression in 91,7% (N-58). Progression occured in 10,5% (N-4) of HSIL, persistence in 52,6% (N-20) and regression in 36,7% (N-14) in 38 women with LSIL lesion after repeated PAP test. Progression occured in 8% (N-10) of LSIL and 4% HSIL (N-5), persistence in 58% (N-72) and regression in 29,8% (N-37) in 124 women with ASCUS lesion after treatment and repeated PAP test. Difference in progression lesions in HSIL between women with cryotherapy (1,5%) and follow-up (10,5%) after LSIL is not significant, but progression to CIN II occured after cryotherapy. CIN III or cervical cancer was not found. Conclusion: Cryotherapy prevents progression of LSIL in HSIL and in cervical cancer. Because of that cryotherapy is successful method in prevention of cervical cancer.

Keywords: cryotherapy, HPV, ASCUS, LSIL.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer can be successfully prevented if timely identification of precancerous lesions is followed by effective treatment (1). Women with low squamous grade (LSIL) have minimal potential for developing cervical malignancy, while those with high grade lesions are at high risk of progression to malignancy (2). In managing women with LSIL, the goal is to prevent possible progression to invasive cancer while avoiding overtreatment of lesions that are likely to regress. Management of LSIL is based upon correlation of the cervical cytology findings, colposcopic impression, cervical biopsy results, and individual patient characteristics, such as age, pregnancy, and the likelihood of compliance with treatment recommendations (3).

Untreated LSIL has a risk of 13% for diagnosis of High grade squamous lesion (HSIL) at two-year follow-up, which is the same as the risk for ASC HPV-positive or LSIL cytology

results following a negative colposcopy. However, most cases of LSIL will remit spontaneously over time (4). The decision for treatment or observation should be based on the preferences of the patient and the physician. For most women, especially younger women, observation provides the best balance between risk and benefit and should be encouraged. Follow-up of untreated LSIL should include two cytology screening tests six months apart, with colposcopy for an ASC or higher-grade result, or a single HPV test with colposcopy if the test result is positive

Cryotherapy is a method for the treatment of cervical precancerous lesions (6) that is considered the most suitable option to use in low-resource settings with underserved populations because it is low cost, requires no anesthesia or electricity, and has low complications rate (7). If the final diagnosis in a woman is a LSIL, the clinical managment may take one of

© 2017 Mahira Jahic, Elmir Jahic, Mirsada Mulavdic, Azra Hadzimehmedovic

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the following courses; either to (a) immediately treat the lesion or (b) follow the woman cytologicaly or colposcopicaly and then treat if the lesion is persistent or progressive after 18 to 24 months, and if regression occurs (8, 9, 10). After cryotherapy, healing of the cervix is grossly apparent by tree or four weeks, cytological and colposcopic appearances will continue to reflect healing or regenerative effects for approximately three months (11, 12). Local cervical immunity in women with LSIL after cryotherapy is increased, an increase of IgA may partly support the clearance rate of HPV infection (13). If the follow-up visit reveals no evidence of persistent disease, the woman is advised to participate in the screening programme. If persistent disease is found during the followup visit, appropriate investigations and appropriate treatment should be carried out.

#### **2. AIM**

Analyse of success of cryotherapy in women with LSIL and ASCUS.

#### 3. PATIENTS AND METHODS

In retrospective study which was conducted between January 2016 to March 2017, 3244 PAP test were analysed. Total amount of 257 patients who had been diagnosed with LSIL and ASCUS from PAP smear were divided in two groups:

- a) Women who had HPV positive, colposcopic positive and cytologic finding of LSIL or ASCUS treathed with cryotherapy and
- b) Women with LSIL, ASCUS and negative colposcopy.

Cryotherapy was done using compressed  $\rm N_2O$  refrigerant with the aim of creating an ice ball with a depth of freeze denoted by a peripheral margin of 4-5 mm of frost. The hypothermia produced by ice ball, results in ice crystal formation within cervical tissue leading to tissue destruction. To freeze the lesion, the cryoprobe is placed on the cervix, covering the entire lesion but not touching the vaginal wall. The coolant gas is allowed to flow through the channels in the metal tip of the cryoprobe.

Cryotherapy treatment is performed using cryosurgical unit (model MGC-200) and double-freeze technique. The double-freeze technique involves applying the coolant continously for 3-min freez, followed immediately by 5-min thaw, followed by another 3-min freez. Results of study were gained comparing LSIL and ASCUS after cryotherapy and LSIL and ASCUS after observation (follow-up). X² test was used for statistical analysis of data.

#### 4. RESULTS

Analysis of 3244 PAP smears showed negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy (NILM) in 90,10% (N-2923), and abnormal in 9,8% (N-321) of women. ASCUS was found in 4,8% (N-156) and ASC-H in 0,2% (N-6), LSIL in 3,1% (N-101), HSIL in 0,64% (N-21). The average age of patients with ASCUS lesion was 41  $\pm$  12 years (Table 1).

Results
41±12
68±10,2
3244 (100%)
2923 (90,10%)
321 (9,8%)
156 (4,8%)
6 (0,18%)
37 (1,14)
101 (3,11)
21 (0,64)

Table 1. Demographic data of our sample

	Cryotherapy	Observation	Total
LSIL	63	38	101
ASC-US	32	124	156

Table 2. Women follow-up 12 months after cryotherapy and observation

Cryotherapy LSIL	NIML	ASCUS	CIN I	CIN II
63	55	3	4	1
100%	87,3%	4,7%	6,3%	1,5%
Results	87,3%	12,5%		

Table 3. Follow-up results of women with LSIL 12 months after cryotherapy. NIML: negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy

After cryotherapy, HSIL had progression in 1,5% (N-1), persistence in 6,3% (N-4) and regression in 91,7% (N-58) in this period of time (Table 3).

Observation LSIL	NIML	ASCUS	LSIL	HSIL
38	11	3	20	4
100%	28,9%	7,8%	52,6%	10,5%
Results	28,9%	7,8%	52,6%	10,5%

Table 4. Follow-up results of women with LSIL 12 months after

Progression of LSIL to HSIL occured in 10,5% (N-4), persistence in 52,6% (N-20) and regression in 36,7% (N-14) in 38 women after repeated PAP test (Table 4).

Cryotherapy ASCUS	NIML	ASCUS
32	30	2
100%	93,7%	6,2%
Results	93,7%	6,2%

Table 5. Follow-up results of women with ASCUS 12 months after cryotherapy

After cryotherapy there was no progression into LSIL and HSIL, persistence occured in 6,2% (N-2) and regression in 93,7% (N-30) in this period of time (Table 5).

Progression occured in 8% (N-10) LSIL and 4% HSIL (N-5), persistence in 58% (N-72) and regression in 29,8% (N-37) in 124 women with ASCUS lesion after treatment and repeated PAP test (Table 6).

Observation ASCUS	NIML	ASCUS	LSIL	HSIL	
124	37	72	10	5	
100%	29,8%	58,0%	8,0%	4,0%	
Results	29,8%	58,0%	8,0%	4,0%	

Table 6. Follow-up results of women with ASCUS 12 months after observation

Cause	ASCUS (N-156)	LSIL (N-101)
HPV		
High risk	80 (51%)	72 (71%)
Absent	76 (48%)	28 (27%)

Table 7. Distribution of patients in relation to HPV infection

Patients with ASC-H lesion 0,2% (N-6) were transferred to biopsy of cervix where PH results showed 33% (N-2) Carcinoma in situ (CIS), 33% (N-2) HSIL and 33% (N-2) LSIL. High risk of HPV was found by 51% (N-80) women with ASCUS and 71% (N-72) women with LSIL.

### 5. DISCUSSION

A systematic review of cryotherapy performed in 2004 showed that cryotherapy is approximately 90% effective for all grades of CIN when assessed 1 year after cryotherapy, based mainly on studies from developed countries (14). Our results present cure rates of 89,3% (N-55) by women who underwent cryotherapy. Autors from Kenya cited cure rates of 81,4%, cure rates of 88% was achieved by nurses in Southern India and 97% overall by physician in Peruvia and Ghanaian (15, 16). After cryotherapy, progresion of LSIL lesions in HSIL occured in 1,5% (N-1), persistence of lesion in 6,3% (N-4). Sasieni found progression rates of CIN in 1,5% of women treated for CIN II or CIN III at age 20-24 who would have developed cervical cancer (17). Some authors wrote that majority of LSIL lesions regress without medical intervention (18). Consequently, over-treatment at early stages should be avoided, especially in young women, and follow-up periods should be encouraged to make HPV clearence and histologic regression successful (19). Our study shows regression of LSIL lesions in 28,9% (N-11) and ASCUS in 29,8% (N-37), but persistence in 52,6% (N-20) with LSIL and 58% (N-72) with ASCUS. Progression lesions in HSIL were found in 10% (N-4) after LSIL and 4% (N-5) after ASCUS of women who were observed. Barken cited that 13% to 16% of untreated LSIL in Denmark would have progressed to cancer (20). In his study Cortes found spontaneus regression of LSIL in 50% and progresion in HSIL in 6% in the 2-year follow-up. Other authors found regresion of LSIL in 57%, persistance in 32% and progresion in HSIL in 11% (21, 22, 23,). Our analysis showed that the regression frequency was significantly lower (p<0,05) in women who had follow-up (28,9%), comparing with the group of women with cryotherapy (87,3%). Cryotherapy prevented progression of LSIL in HSIL and cervical cancer. Difference in progression lesions in HSIL between women with cryotherapy (1,5%) and follow-up (10,5%) after LSIL is not significant (p>0,05), but progression to CIN II occured after cryotherapy. CIN III or cervical cancer was not found.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

Cryotherapy prevents progression of LSIL in HSIL and in cervical cancer because in our study we found that there is a significantly less chance of LSIL progression after cryotherapy. In conclusion cryotherapy is a successful method in prevention of cervical cancer.

- Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no financial or personal relationship(s) that may have inappropriately influenced them in writing this article.
- Authors' contribution: M.J., E.J, M.M, and A.H. were all directly involved in all aspects of research, from study design to reporting. All authors approved the final manuscript.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Adewole IF, Babarinsa IA, Odeniyi GD. Cryotherapy in the management of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in developing countries. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 1998; 60: 69-70. doi: 10.1016/S0020-7292(97)00222-1.
- Holowaty P, Miller A, Rohan T. Natural historyof dysplasia of the uterine cervix. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999; 91: 252-8.
- Jacob M, Broekhuizen FF, Casto W, Sellors J. Experience using cryotherapy for treatment of cervical precancerous lesions in low-resource settings. Int J Gynaecol Opstet. 2005; 89 Suppl 2: SI3-S20.
- 4. Castle PE, Sider M, Jeronimo J, Solomon D, Schiffman M. Risk assestment to guide the prevention of cervical cancer. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007; 197: 351-6.
- Sasieni P, Castanon A, Parkin d. How many cervical cancers are prevented by treatment of screen-detected diseasse in young women? Int J Cancer. 2009; 124: 461-4.
- Gaffikin L, Blumental PD, Emerson M, Limpaphayom K. Safety, acceptability, and feasibility of single-visit approach to cervical cancer prevention in rural Thailand a demonstration projekt. Lancent. 2003; 361; 814-20.
- Jahić M. Infekcije grlića materice i vagine i krioterapija, Identity Tuzla, 2017: 186-204.
- 8. Jahić M, Mulavdić M, Hadžimehmedvić A, Jahić E. Association betweenaerobic vaginitis, bacterial vaginosis and squamous intraepithelial lesionof low grade. Med Arch. 2013: 67(2): 94-6.
- 9. Barken S, Rebolj M, Lynge E, Junge J. Outcomes in cervical screening using various cytology technologies: what's age got to do with it? Eur J Cancer Prev. 2014; 22(4): 367-73.
- 10. Sankaranarayanan R, Gaffikin L, Jakob M, Sellors J, Robles S. A critical assessment of screening methods for cervical neoplasia. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2005; 89 Suppl 2: S4-S12.
- 11. Sankaranarayanan R, Rajkumar R, Esmy P, Fayette J, ShanthakumaryS, Frappart I. Effectiveness, safety and acceptability of see and treat with cryotherapy by nurses in a cervical screening study in India. Br J Cancer. 2007; 96(5): 738-43.
- Ekalaksananana T, Malat P, Pientong C, Kongyingyoes B, Chumworathayi B, Kleebakow P. Local cervical Immunity in Women with Low grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesions and Immune Responses After Abrasion. Asian Pac Cancer Prev. 2014; 15(10): 4197-4201.
- 13. Luciani S, Gonzales M, Munoz S, Jeronimo J, Robles S. Effectiveness of cryotherapy treatment for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2008; 101(2): 172-117.
- 14. Alliance for Cervical Cancer Prevention (ACCP) Effective-

- ness, Safety, and Acceptability of Cryotherapy, a systematic literature review. 2003.
- 15. Lewis K, Sellors J, Dawa A, Tsu V, Kidula N. Report on a cryotherapy service for women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in a district hospital in western Kenya. African Health Sciences. 2011; 11(3): 370-76.
- Mosicicki AB, Hills N, Shiboski S, Powel K, Jay N, Hanson E. Risk for incident human papilloma virus infection and lowgrade squamous intraepithelial lesion development in young females. JAMA. 2001; 285: 2995-3002.
- 17. Sasieni PD, Cuzick J, Lynch-Farmery E. Estimating the efficacy of screening by auditing smear histories of women with and without cervical cancer. The National Co-ordinating Network for Cervical Screening Working Group. Br J Cancer. 1996; 73: 1001-5.
- 18. Santos AL, Derchain SF, Sarian LO, et al. Performance of Pap smear and human papilloma virus testing in the follow-up of

- women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 1 managed conservatively. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2006; 85: 444.
- 19. Ostör AG. Natural history of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: a critical review. Int J Gynecol Pathol. 1993; 12: 186.
- 20. Barken S, Rebolj M, Andersen E, Lynge B. Frequency of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in well-screened population. Int J Cancer. 2012; 130: 2438-44.
- 21. Cortes C, Gonzalez E, Morales J, Poblet E. Genotype as prognostic factor in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade I lesions. J Turk Ger Gynecol Assoc. 2017; 18: 1-8.
- 22. Jahic M, Jahic E. Diagnostic approach to patients with atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance cytological findings on cervix. Med Arch. 2016: 70 (4): 296-8.
- 23. Pretorius RG, Peterson P, Azizi F, Burchette RJ. Subsequent risk and presentation of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) 3 or cancer after a colposcopic diagnosis of CIN 1 or less. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2006; 195: 1260.

