

Citation: Song DY, Kim J-A, Jeong D, Yun J, Kim S-M, Lim K, et al. (2019) Telomere length and its correlation with gene mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia in a Korean population. PLoS ONE 14(7): e0220177. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220177

Editor: Gabriele Saretzki, University of Newcastle, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: November 19, 2018

Accepted: July 10, 2019

Published: July 23, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Song et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: Dong Soon Lee who is the corresponding author of this article received the fund. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (NRF-2017R1A2A1A17069780). URL of NRF is 'http:// www.nrf.re.kr/eng/main'. The funding sources played no role in the design; in the collection, **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Telomere length and its correlation with gene mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia in a Korean population

Da Young Song¹, Jung-Ah Kim^{1,2}, Dajeong Jeong¹, Jiwon Yun¹, Sung-Min Kim³, Kyumin Lim³, Si Nae Park³, Kyongok Im³, Sungbin Choi⁴, Sung-Soo Yoon^{3,5}, Dong Soon Lee^{1,3}*

 Department of Laboratory Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea,
 Department of Laboratory Medicine, Chung-Ang University Hospital, Seoul, Korea,
 Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea,
 University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada,
 Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

* soonlee@snu.ac.kr

Abstract

Telomere length (TL) is a prognostic indicator in Caucasian chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), but its significance in Asian CLL remains unknown. To investigate the prognostic significance of TL and its correlation with cytogenetic aberrations and somatic mutations, we analyzed TL measurements at the cellular level by interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization in patients with CLL in Korea. The present study enrolled 110 patients (41 females and 69 males) diagnosed with CLL according to the World Health Organization criteria (2001-2017). TLs of bone marrow nucleated cells at the single-cell level were measured by quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization (Q-FISH) in 71 patients. The correlations of TL with clinical characteristics, cytogenetic aberrations, genetic mutations, and overall survival were assessed. The median value of mean TL in CLL patients (T/C ratio 7.46 (range 1.19-18.14) was significantly shorter than that in the normal controls (T/C ratio 15.28 (range 8.59-24.93) (p < 0.001). Shorter TLs were associated with complex karyotypes (p = 0.030), del(11q22) (p = 0.023), presence of deletion and/or mutation in ATM and/or TP53 (p = 0.019), and SH2B3 mutation (p = 0.015). A shorter TL was correlated with lower hemoglobin levels and adverse survival (mean TL < 9.35, p = 0.021). When the proportion of cells with extremely short TLs (< 7.61) was greater than 90%, CLL patients showed poor survival (p = 0.002). Complex karyotypes, TP53 mutation, and the number of mutated genes were determined to be significant adverse variables by multivariable Cox analysis (p = 0.011, p = 0.002, and p = 0.0020.002, respectively). TL was attrited in CLL, and attrited telomeres were correlated with adverse survival and other well-known adverse prognostic factors. We infer that TL is an independent adverse prognostic predictor in Korean CLL.

analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Telomeres are end complexes that protect eukaryotic chromosomes, and they are known to be related to aging-related disease and an increased or decreased risk of cancer [1]. Their erosion and fusion have a significant effect on many hematologic malignancies, including chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [2]. Telomere length (TL) has been reported to be a prognostic indicator in CLL [3–5]. Additionally, telomere dysfunction and short telomeres are related to patient survival, treatment requirements, and transformation to Richter syndrome in CLL [6, 7].

CLL is a rare disease in Asian countries, including Korea [8]. CLL shows relatively aggressive clinical behavior in the Korean population [9]. The characteristics of CLL, including cytogenetic and molecular characteristics and CD38 and ZAP-70 expression, are informative for prognostic and/or therapy determination [10]. Only a few reports on genomic changes in Asian CLL patients exist. We previously reported ethnic differences between Caucasian and Korean CLL. Mutation profiles and prognostic genes were different between Korean and Caucasian CLL, while cytogenetic aberrations were found to be similar between the two groups [11]. Therefore, we questioned whether a shortened TL is associated with an adverse prognosis in Korean CLL.

The aim of this study was to clarify the relationship between TL and genomic mutations and to determine the effect of TL on the prognosis of CLL in a Korean population. In addition, this study will compare the results to those of a Caucasian study to determine whether any differences in TL exist.

Materials and methods

Study populations

The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (IRB No. 1307-090-505). The present study included 110 patients (41 females and 69 males) diagnosed with CLL. A series of 97 patients who had undergone bone marrow (BM) examination and were diagnosed with chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL) between September 2001 and July 2017 at Seoul National University Hospital (SNUH) were selected for this study. Five patients who had been diagnosed with CLL/SLL prior to visiting SNUH were included in this group (n = 5). In another group of 13 patients who had undergone lymph node (LN) biopsies and had been diagnosed with CLL/SLL between April 1999 and October 2013 at Asan Medical Center (AMC), three patients had been diagnosed with CLL/SLL prior to visiting AMC. All of the patients in the study were Korean. Normal BM samples were collected from BM donors for staging of malignant lymphoma who were proven to have no evidence of malignant lymphoma by immunohistochemical study, immunoglobulin rearrangement study and TCR rearrangement study. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval for residual samples was acquired (IRB No. 1307-090-505), and consent was obtained from each patient. The diagnosis of CLL/SLL was based on the World Health Organization (WHO, 2008) classification criteria and the 2008 International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia-National Cancer Institute criteria (IWCLL-NCI). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) for IgH/CCND1 translocations was performed to confirm that the disease was not a leukemic phase of mantle cell lymphoma. Clinical staging was performed using the Binet staging system (classes A, B and C). This staging system is based on the hemoglobin (Hb) level and platelet (PLT) count as well as the number of involved enlarged lymphoid tissue areas (i.e., head and neck, axillae, and groin) and organomegaly.

Laboratory data, including age, sex, diagnosis and therapy start dates, complete blood count (CBC), BM morphology, BM CLL cell count percentage sorted by flow cytometry, cytogenetic analysis, and FISH, were obtained for each patient. Mononuclear cells from the initial BM aspirates of patients who underwent BM aspiration and biopsy at the time of diagnosis were fixed in Carnoy's solution and stored at -70 °C for FISH analysis.

Bone marrow examination and leukemia-lymphoma marker study

Hematopathologists reviewed the Wright-stained BM smears and hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections of BM trephine biopsies to determine the percentages and patterns of BM infiltration by lymphocytes. The median lymphoid cell percentage was 66% on aspiration (range, 5–98%). The median cellularity was 60% (range, 15–100%). ZAP-70 (Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA, USA) staining was performed on BM sections from 62 patients. The leukemia-lymphoma marker study was performed. The antibodies used were specific for TdT, CD2, CD3, CD5, CD7, CD10, CD19, CD20, CD22, CD23, FMC7, CD45, CytoCD3, CD56, Kappa, and Lambda (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and were measured using the Navios Cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France).

Lymph node biopsy examination

A pathologist reviewed the formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded LN sections. Immunohistochemistry staining was performed using antibodies specific for CD3, CD5, CD10, CD20, CD23, cyclin D1, BCL-6, and Ki-67 (all from Dako, Glostrup, Denmark).

G-banding and fluorescence in situ hybridization

Cytogenetic studies using the standard G-banding technique on heparinized BM samples were performed as part of the diagnostic work-up. To stimulate B cells, tetradecanoylphorbol acetate (TPA; phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate) was added, and the cells were cultured for 4 days. At least 20 cells in metaphase were analyzed whenever possible. Clonal abnormalities were defined as two or more cells with the same chromosomal gain or structural rearrangement or at least three cells with the same chromosome loss.

Common chromosomal abnormalities were investigated using commercial FISH probes. We used the following probes for enumeration of chromosome 12 and for detection of the 13q14.3, 17p13, and 11q22 deletions and the IgH/CCND1 translocation (to exclude mantle cell lymphoma): the LSI D13S319/LSI13q34/CEP12 Multi-Color Probe, LSI TP53 (17p13.1) SpectrumOrange Probe, Vysis IGH/CCND1 XT DF FISH Probe (all from Abbott Molecular/Vysis, Des Plaines, IL, USA), and XL ATM/TP53 Probe (Metasystems, GmbH, Altlussheim, Germany). Interphase FISH was performed on stored patient BM aspirate specimens. Slides were stained with FISH probes and counterstained with 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and fluorescence signals were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany). The cut-off values for the deletion, amplification, or translocation of chromosomal regions were calculated based on the mean values of the normal controls and +3 standard deviations (bone marrow from 20 normal individuals). The cut-off values for patients were 1.50% for trisomy 12, 4.58% for 13q14.3 deletion, 7.39% for 17p13 deletion, and 5.59% for 11q22 deletion.

Quantitative measurement of TL using interphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (Q-FISH)

As described in detail previously [12], quantitative FISH (Q-FISH) was performed using the Cy3-labeled Telomere PNA (peptide nucleic acid) FISH kit (DakoCytomation Denmark A/S,

Glostrup, Denmark) and an FITC-labeled PNA probe for the centromere of chromosome 2 (kindly provided by Dako). One microliter of the chromosome 2 centromere probe was added to 9 µL of the telomere probe. The telomere and centromere Q-FISH hybridizations were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Interphase Q-FISH images were captured using a Zeiss Axioplan 2 imaging microscope (Zeiss, Germany) equipped with ISIS software (MetaSystems). To measure TL, the ISIS-Telomere module (MetaSystems) was used as previously described. The software calculates a telomere/centromere (T/C) fluorescence intensity ratio, which is a measure of the TL, for each individual chromosome arm within each metaphase and interphase nucleus, as previously described. The T/C ratio was multiplied by 100 and used as the TL. At least 100 interphase nuclei were scanned for each patient. Considering the quantitative differences in mutational profiles and telomere lengths between peripheral blood and bone marrow [13–15], we performed TL analysis on normal bone marrow cells, as normal bone marrow cells are appropriate for comparison. We used normal BM samples from 23 healthy individuals for comparison.

DNA extraction and targeted sequencing

DNA extraction was successful in 70 of the samples (28 samples of frozen BM mononuclear cells, 19 BM aspirate smears, and 23 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded BM and LN biopsies). Sample quality was evaluated using the Agilent 2200 TapeStation System (Santa Clara, CA, USA). Only 48 of the 70 samples met the DNA quality control criteria for multigene targeted sequencing. DNA was extracted from frozen BM mononuclear cells using a MagNA Pure LC DNA Isolation Kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) with the MagNA Pure LC 2.0 System (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was extracted from the BM aspirate smear unstained slides using a QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and Tissue Lysis Buffer (Qiagen). DNA was extracted from the FFPE BM biopsy samples using a WaxFree[™] Kit (TrimGen Genetic Diagnostics; Sparks, MD, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. All samples were stored at −20°C.

To gain insight into the genetic lesions that drive CLL, we manually prioritized 87 hematology malignancy-related genes and performed targeted sequencing. Of the 48 samples, two samples were subjected to whole-genome amplification. gDNA shearing to generate the standard library and the hybridization step targeting only exonic regions were performed by Celemics Inc. (Seoul, Korea). The final quality was assessed using the Agilent 2200 TapeStation System (Santa Clara, CA, USA). We sequenced the total target length of 259-kb regions using the paired-end 150-bp rapid-run sequencing mode on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform. We achieved over 10x coverage for greater than 97% of the targeted regions for each sample. The mean sequencing depth for the targeted regions (259 kb) was 231-fold (n = 48). Because a matched control sample was not included in this study, we applied a stringent variant selection pipeline to prioritize the high-confidence set of somatic mutations.

Statistical analysis

Nonparametric analysis of covariance adjusting for age was performed to compare the TL between CLL and normal control groups. Correlation coefficients for the CBC profile, BM lymphocytes, Richter syndrome, ZAP70, cytogenetics and gene mutations, TL, and the percentage of cells with the shortest TL (STL%) were calculated by Kendall's tau-b (Tb) correlation test. TLs between subgroups were compared using the Mann-Whitney test and Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test. Survival was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier (K-M) method, and differences between the survival curves and hazard ratios were analyzed using the Cox proportional hazards model and Harrell's C-index. Factors with *p* values less than 0.2 in the

univariate analysis were entered into the multivariate analysis. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the date of diagnosis until the date of the last follow-up or death. Time-to-First-Treatment (TTT) analysis was calculated from the interval of time between the diagnosis and the date of first CLL treatment [16]. Statistical analyses were performed using R software (http://www.r-project.org), IBM SPSS Statistics Version 23.0. (Armonk, IBM Corp.), SAS 9.2 Version (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.), and STATA 15.0 (StataCorp. 2017. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC). A *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient demographic information

The clinical features of the patients with CLL (n = 110) are summarized in Table 1. Of 110 patients, 69 (62.7%) were male, and 41 (37.3%) were female. The mean age was 61.6 years. The Binet stage distribution was as follows: stage A in 55.5% (61/110), stage B in 23.6% (26/110), and stage C in 20.9% (23/110) of the patients. The mean values of CBC profiles at the time of BM sample collection were 12.3 (\pm 2.2) (g/dL) Hb, 29,700 (\pm 42,300) (x/µL) white blood cells (WBCs), 22,600 (\pm 33,900) (x /µL) absolute lymphocyte count, and 172.3 (\pm 66.9) (x/µL) PLTs. The mean percentage of BM lymphocytes was 59.8% (\pm 26.4). During the study period, six patients (5.5%) transitioned to Richter syndrome; 4 patients progressed to diffuse large B cell lymphoma, 1 patient progressed to prolymphocytic leukemia, and 1 patient progressed to composite lymphoma of peripheral T cell lymphoma (unspecified) and large B cell lymphoma.

The OS associated with clinical characteristics, CBC, BM lymphocyte counts, cytogenetic abnormalities, and genetic mutations was analyzed. Significant differences in survival depending on age group (age > 63 vs. \leq 63, p = 0.037), Hb (\leq 12.1/dL vs. > 12.1, p = 0.008), WBC count (\leq 6,700/µL vs. > 6,700/µL, p = 0.048), PLT count (\leq 94,000/µL vs. > 94,000/µL, p < 0.001), and BM lymphocytes (> 80.8% vs. \leq 80.8%, p < 0.001) were found according to K-M survival analysis. Binet stage C was associated with lower OS than stages A and B (p = 0.018). Patients who progressed to Richter syndrome showed lower survival than the others (p < 0.001). On the other hand, in case of TTT analysis, significant differences in prognosis depending on age group (age > 60 vs. \leq 60, p = 0.0), Hb (\leq 11.6/dL vs. > 11.6, p < 0.001), WBC count (\leq 10,140/µL vs. > 10,140/µL, p = 0.005), PLT count (\leq 104,000/µL vs. > 104,000/µL, p < 0.001), and PB lymphocytes (> 5,840/µL vs. \leq 5,840/µL, p = 0.034) were found according to K-M survival analysis. Binet stage A was associated with higher OS than were stages B and C (p < 0.001). Other CBC profiles were not related to OS and TTT.

Cytogenetic and genetic changes in CLL

In addition to a previous study, patients with available specimens were subjected to cytogenetic studies [11]. A cytogenetic study was performed using G-banding (97/110) and FISH (from 80 to 86 patients according to FISH type). Thirty-two percent (31/97) of the patients had an aberrant karyotype, and 17.5% (17/97) had a complex karyotype representing three or more chromosomal abnormalities. The FISH abnormalities were trisomy 12 in 25.9% (22/85) of patients, 13q14.3 deletion in 41.0% (34/83) of patients, 17p13 deletion in 7.0% (6/86) of patients, and 11q22 deletion in 10.0% (8/80) of patients. Among the patients with abnormal FISH results, 39 patients had 1 abnormality, 14 patients had 2 abnormalities, and 1 patient had 3 abnormalities. Genetic variations from a previous report were used for analysis and are described in the supplemental data.

Characteristics	n (%) or n / total n (%) or mean ± SD			
Sex				
Male	69 (62.7%)			
Female	41 (37.3%)			
Age	61.6 ± 11.5			
History of treatment				
Yes	29 (26.4%)			
No	81 (73.6%)			
Binet stage				
A	61 (55.5%)			
В	26 (23.6%)			
С	23 (20.9%)			
Complete blood count at bone marrow sample collection				
WBCs (10 ³ /µL)	29.7 ± 42.3			
Absolute lymphocyte count (10 ³ /µL)	22.6 ± 33.9			
Hb (g/dL)	12.3 ± 2.2			
Platelets (10 ³ /µL)	172.3 ± 66.9			
Bone marrow lymphocyte (%)	59.8 ± 26.4			
Cytogenetic results				
Karyotype				
Normal karyotype	66/97 (68.0%)			
Aberrant karyotype	31/97 (32.0%)			
Complex karyotype (>3 abnormalities)	17/97 (17.5%)			
Fluorescence in situ hybridization				
Trisomy 12	22/85 (25.9%)			
del(13q)	34/83 (41.0%)			
del(17p)	6/86 (7.0%)			
del(11q22)	8/80 (10.0%)			
ATM mutation	10/48 (20.8%)			
TP53 mutation	7/48 (14.6%)			
ATM defect (del(11q22) and/or ATM mutation)	13/39 (33.0%)			
TP53 defect (del(17p13) and/or TP53 mutation)	9/40 (22.5%)			
Richter syndrome	6/110 (5.5%)			

Tuble 1. Characteristics of the CLL I attents (II – 110)	Table 1.	Characteristics	of the CLL	Patients	$(n = 110)^{a}$
--	----------	-----------------	------------	----------	-----------------

^a Depending on the scale of the characteristic and its distribution, either the absolute number n (percentage) or the mean (standard deviation SD) is given. If the available number of patients is less than the total number of patients (n = 110), then the absolute number / available number (percentage) is given.

Abbreviations: n, number; SD, standard deviation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220177.t001

Distributions of TL in the CLL group and normal control group

The TLs of BM nucleated cells from CLL patients (n = 71) and normal BM (n = 23) were compared (Fig 1A). The normal control group included healthy persons over 45 years of age, and the mean age was 60.9 years. TL was expressed as the T/C ratio. The average T/C ratio of analyzed cells per patient was expressed as the mean TL of the patient. The median value of mean TL was 7.46 (range 1.19–18.14) in CLL patients and 15.28 (range 8.59–24.93) in normal controls. With age adjustment, the TLs of CLL patients were significantly shorter than those of normal controls (p < 0.001). The TL of CLL patients was significantly shorter than that of



Fig 1. The TL and STL% of CLL patients. (A) The mean telomere lengths (TLs) were compared between CLL patients and bone marrow (BM) from normal controls. The CLL patients' TLs were shorter than those in the normal BM samples with age adjustment (7.46 (range 1.19–18.14) in CLL patients; 15.28 (range 8.59–24.93) in normal BM control samples, median value). (B) The STL% was compared between the CLL patient group and normal BM control group. CLL patients had a higher STL% than the control group (52.95 (\pm 31.33) in the CLL group and 10.20 (\pm 9.65) in the normal control group). *** indicates *p* < 0.001 for comparison.

normal controls (p < 0.001) after age adjustment. The TL of CLL patients was distributed shorter than normal controls regardless of age (S1 Fig).

To observe the proportion of cells with extremely short TLs in CLL, we analyzed the distribution profile of TL by pooling analyzed individual cells of CLL patients and normal control groups. We defined the STL as a TL shorter than 7.61 (T/C ratio), which is the lower 10^{th} percentile T/C value of cells in the normal control group. Therefore, the percentages of cells with the shortest telomeres (STL%) were calculated (the number of cells with STL/total number of analyzed cells in each CLL patient). The mean STL% was 53.0% (± 31.33) (range 0–100) in CLL, indicating that approximately half of the cells in CLL patients were distributed below the 10^{th} percentile of the normal control group (Fig 1B). The TLs of CLL cells were generally found in a lower percentile than the TLs in the normal control group and showed a skewed distribution. Cells with a TL shorter than 7.61, the length of the 10^{th} percentile of a normal TL, accounted for 73.6% of CLL cells (Fig 2).

TL and its correlation with clinical characteristics

We performed a correlation analysis between TL and clinical parameters, including age, CBC profile, and BM lymphocyte count (%) (Fig 3). A low Hb level correlated with a short TL (Tb = 0.188, p = 0.021) and the STL% (Tb = -0.172, p = 0.039). An advanced Binet stage was correlated with shorter TLs (stage A = 8.91, B = 8.21, C = 7.12, mean values) and a higher STL % (stage A = 47.5%, B = 51.4%, C = 65.9%) (Fig 4). However, statistically significant differences among Binet stages (p = 0.156 for TLs, p = 0.162 for the STL%) were not observed. Among





CLL patients who progressed to Richter syndrome, TL was available in only 1 patient, and the mean TL was 4.02, which is markedly shorter than that of Binet stage C (7.12).

Regarding TL and survival, patients with a TL of 9.35 or shorter showed significantly lower OS than those with a TL longer than 9.35 (p = 0.012). Patients with an STL% greater than 90% showed lower OS than those with an STL% of 90% or less (p = 0.002) (Fig 5). In the TTT analysis, patients with a TL of 5.35 or shorter showed significantly lower TTT than did those with a TL longer than 5.35 (p = 0.016). Patients with an STL% greater than 54% had a lower TTT than did those with an STL% of 54% or less (p = 0.043).

TL, cytogenetic changes, and somatic mutations

We compared TL according to cytogenetic abnormalities. The genetic mutation analysis of a previous study is described in the <u>S1 Text</u>. The chromosomal aberrations identified in CLL patients were complex karyotypes, trisomy12, del(13q14.3), del(17p13), and del(11q22). Gene mutations detected by multigene target sequencing included *ATM*, *TP53*, *SF3B1*, *KLHL6*,





LAMB4, BCOR, NOTCH1, EZH2, CSF1R, MYD88, SH2B3, BRD2, FAT4, TGM7, POT1, SF1, SETBP1, ZRSR2, CHD2, EGR2, MED12, RB1, LRP1B, ITPKB, ZMYM3, CDKN2A, DDX3X, STAG2, TCF12, CEBPA, SAMHD1, GATA2, KIT, SCRIB, RUNX1, and PRKD3 (Table 2). The short TL was associated with a complex karyotype (n = 13) (p = 0.030), del(11q22) (n = 8) (p = 0.023), and ATM and/or TP53 defect (n = 21) (p = 0.019). Patients with complex karyotypes showed lower survival and TTT than those without complex karyotypes (p < 0.001, and p = 0.014, respectively). Patients with del(17p13) exhibited a lower TTT than did those without del(17p13) (p = 0.005). Among genetic variations, SH2B3 mutation correlated with a short TL (n = 2, p = 0.015), but the number of patients with a SH2B3 mutation was too small to be significant. Patients with ATM and/or TP53 defect also showed lower survival than those without such defects (p = 0.003). TL, the STL, del(11q22)/del(17p13), ATM/TP53 mutation and clinical characteristics (age, stage, progression to Richter syndrome, CBC profile at prognosis) were analyzed by univariate and multivariate Cox analyses for OS and TTT (Table 3, S1 Table). Statistically independent factors of OS were complex karyotypes, TP53 mutation, and the total number of mutated genes by multivariable Cox analysis. And those of TTT were age, Binet stages B and C, telomere length and the total number of mutated genes.





TL and ATM and TP53 status

Thirty-three patients were evaluated for del(11q22), ATM mutation, and TL. Eight patients harbored ATM defects, 5 patients had a biallelic defect of ATM (del(11q22) and ATM mutation), 1 patient had del(11q22), and 2 patients had only ATM mutations. Patients with a biallelic ATM defect had a shorter mean TL than the rest of the patients (T/C ratio: 5.25 in the biallelic *ATM* defect group, 9.23 in the rest of the group, p = 0.027). However, survival differences were not observed between patients with biallelic ATM defects and the rest of patients by K-M plot of OS and TTT (p = 0.287 and p = 0.141, respectively). Thirty-two patients were evaluated for del(17p13), TP53 mutation, and TL. Six patients had TP53 defects, 2 patients had biallelic defects, 1 patient had only del(17p13), and 3 patients had only TP53 mutations. Patients with TP53 defects showed shorter TLs than the TP53 normal group (T/C ratio: 5.39 in TP53 defect patients, 9.54 in TP53 normal patients, p = 0.036). K-M plots of OS revealed a survival difference was observed between patients with biallelic TP53 defects and the rest of patients (p = 0.022), while patient with biallelic TP53 defects displayed no difference in TTT compared to those without biallelic defect (p = 0.061). Furthermore, only two patients had a biallelic TP53 defect, and careful interpretation is necessary. No survival difference was found between the normal TP53 group and the TP53 defect group according to the K-M plot of OS and TTT (p = 0.075 and p = 0.372, respectively).

Risk factor equation based on Cox analysis

For significant variables found in the multivariate analysis of OS, we assigned weighted coefficients for each variable and calculated a risk equation for the Korean model. The risk equation model was complex karyotype $(1, 0) \times 2.46 + TP53$ mutation $(1, 0) \times 1.49$ + total number of mutated genes × 0.61. When a complex karyotype existed, we applied 1. We divided CLL patients into low-, intermediate-, and high-risk groups based on the risk equation score: 1.83



Fig 5. Kaplan-Meier survival curves of TL and the STL%. The overall survival rates changed at a TL of 9.35 and an STL% of 90%.

or less, 1.83 to 3.08, and greater than 3.08, respectively. Binet stage C was the significant risk factor in the univariate analysis (p = 0.018); however, the K-M survival graphs overlapped each other (Fig 6A). Stratification of the risk groups by the risk equation model of Korean CLL patients showed clearer discrimination according to the K-M survival curves than that achieved by the Binet stage (p < 0.001). Harrell's C-index of the risk groups assessed by our risk factor equation (0.748 [95% C.I. 0.624–0.872]) was higher than that obtained by assessment according to the Binet stage (0.614 [95% C.I. 0.471-0.758]) (Fig 6B), suggesting that our risk factor equation has superior prognostic ability. With regards to TTT, the risk equation model of TTT was age × $-0.12 + TL \times -0.26 + total$ number of mutated genes × 0.73 + stage B (0.1) × 3.3 + stage C (0.1) × 1.99. When the Binet stage was B or C, we applied 1. Harrell's C-index of the risk groups assessed by our risk factor equation (0.877 [95% C.I. 0.788-0.967]).

Table 2. Comparison of telomere lengths according to cytogenetic abnormality or gene mutation.

Chronosomal aberration (·) 48 37.06 177.00 50.00 -0.627 0.531 Complex karyotype (·) 58 33.78 777.0 231.0 -1.71 0.030 Complex karyotype (·) 13 24.77 332.0 -1.71 0.037 Trisomy 12 (·) 13 24.77 332.0 420.0 -0.418 0.676 del(13q14.3) (·) 40 37.36 1490.5 52.95 0.837 0.403 del(17p13) (·) 66 36.26 2393.0 82.0 -1.265 0.220 del(11q22) (·) 66 37.34 2255.5 0.403 - 0.037 del(11q22) (·) 66 37.34 2255.5 0.403 - 0.1670 TPS mutation (·) 26 18.42 479.0 - - 0.097 TPS mutation (·) 28 18.04 505.0 41.0 -1.629 0.167	Cytogenetic changes or gene mutation or ZAP70		Number ^a	Mean rank	Sum of ranks	MWU	Z	<i>p</i> value
n 1 23 33.78 77.0 n n Complex kayotype (.) 58 38.52 223.0 23.0 2.171 0.00 Trisomy 12 (.) 53 36.08 1912.0 42.00 0.418 0.676 d(1) 41.7 33.71 573.0 594.5 594.5 0.403 d(1) 41.4 30.726 1490.5 529.5 0.837 0.403 de(1) 42.1 (.) 44 2.00 94.5 0.20 0.20 d(1) 422 (.) 6.66 36.26 2.993.0 82.0 1.265 0.237 d(1) 422 (.) 6.6 36.26 2.993.0 82.0 1.265 0.237 d(1) 42 2.00 92.0 1.455 1.271 83.0 1.255 1.63 0.137 ZPSI mutation (.) 2.8 18.04 505.0 1.456 0.157 ZPSI mutation (.) 3.0 16.70 501.0 1.456	Chromosomal aberration	(-)	48	37.06	1779.0	501.0	-0.627	0.531
Complex karyotype(·)5838.2223.40231.0-2.1710.0301rismy 12(·)5336.081912.04200-0.4180.676(·)1733.71573.0-0.4180.676del(13q)(3.)(·)40037.361995.5-0.8370.030del(17p13)(·)6636.262393.082.0-1.2650.220del(11q22)(·)6637.34235.210.5-2.2710.030del(11q23)(·)6718.93132.5-2.2710.031del(11q23)(·)718.93132.5-2.2710.031del(11q23)(·)718.93132.5-2.2710.031TPS3 mutation(·)2818.04505.041.0-1.4590.1570TPS3 mutation(·)3015.70501.030.0-0.5640.1570TPS3 mutation(·)3117.39539.0-0.561-0.167LAMB4 mutation(·)3117.39539.0-0.561-0.167LAMB4 mutation(·)3117.59530.0-0.170-0.171LAMB4 mutation(·)3117.59539.0-1.207-0.171LAMB4 mutation(·)3117.59539.0-1.207-0.171LAMB4 mutation(·)3117.59539.0-1.207-0.171LAMB4 mutation(·)3117.59539.0-1.207<		(+)	23	33.78	777.0			
Image: matrix formation in the sector of the sec	Complex karyotype	(-)	58	38.52	2234.0	231.0	-2.171	0.030
Trisomy 12 (-) 53 36.08 1912.0 420.0 -0.418 0.676 (+) (+) 33.71 573.0 529.5 529.5 0.403 (-) (-) 30.0 33.15 994.5 529.5 0.403 (-) (-) 66 36.26 239.0 80.0 -2.271 0.023 (-) (-) 66 37.34 2352.5 104.5 -2.271 0.023 (-) (-) 26 18.42 479.00 54.0 -1.629 0.109 7753 mutation (-) 26 18.42 479.00 54.0 -1.629 0.109 7953 mutation (-) 26 18.42 479.00 54.0 -1.629 0.109 5831 mutation (-) 28 18.04 550.0 36.0 -0.614 5831 mutation (-) 30 116.70 583.0 -0.626 0.418 L/MMe mutation (-) 29 16.48 <t< td=""><td></td><td>(+)</td><td>13</td><td>24.77</td><td>322.0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		(+)	13	24.77	322.0			
(+)(+)(+)(-)(Trisomy 12	(-)	53	36.08	1912.0	420.0	-0.418	0.676
del(13q14.3) (-) 40 37.26 1490.5 529.5 -0.837 0.403 del(17p13) (-) 66 56.26 2393.0 82.0 -1.265 0.203 del(11q22) (-) 663 37.34 2352.5 104.5 2.271 0.023 del(11q22) (-) 663 37.34 2352.5 104.5 2.271 0.023 del(11q22) (-) 26 18.42 479.0 54.0 -1.659 0.109 del(11q23) (+) 7 11.71 82.0 41.0 -1.650 0.107 TP53 mutation (-) 28 18.04 505.0 41.0 -1.650 0.614 SF3B1 mutation (-) 30 16.70 501.0 36.0 -0.664 0.614 LAME4 mutation (-) 31 17.39 539.0 19.0 -0.905 0.17 LAME4 mutation (-) 31 17.65 547.0 11.0 -1.07 0.27 <		(+)	17	33.71	573.0			
(+)3033.15994.5(-)(-)del(17p13)(-)6636.262293.082.0-1.2650.220(+)432.0092.0-0.0230.0230.023del(11q22)(-)6337.342352.5104.5-2.2710.023 ATM mutation(-)26184.2479.0054.0-1.6390.109(+)711.7182.00.1097P53 mutation(-)2818.04505.041.0-1.4560.157(+)511.2056.00.019S7B1 mutation(-)3016.70501.036.00.6440.614(+)320.0066.00.139- <i>KHL6</i> mutation(-)3117.39539.019.00.9050.417 <i>LMB4</i> mutation(-)3116.4851.015.0-0.2730.273 <i>EXPL</i> (+)211.0022.00.017-0.2730.200 <i>LMB4</i> mutation(-)3117.65547.011.0-1.5000.200 <i>EXPL</i> (+)22.50050.00.2180.107 <i>EXPL</i> (+)211.002.00.2180.212 <i>LMB4</i> mutation(-)3117.65547.011.0-1.5000.200 <i>EXPL</i> (+)22.505.0	del(13q14.3)	(-)	40	37.26	1490.5	529.5	-0.837	0.403
del(17p13) (.) 66 36.26 2393.0 82.0 -1.265 0.220 del(14p2) (.) 63 37.34 2352.5 104.5 -2.271 0.023 ATM mutation (.) 63 37.34 2352.5 104.5 -2.271 0.023 ATM mutation (.) 26 18.42 479.0 54.0 -1.629 0.109 T753 mutation (.) 28 18.04 505.0 41.0 -1.456 0.157 S73B1 mutation (.) 30 16.70 501.0 36.0 -0.564 0.614 KHL6 mutation (.) 30 16.70 501.0 36.0 -0.564 0.614 LAMB4 mutation (.) 31 16.78 478.0 43.0 -0.827 0.437 LAMB4 mutation (.) 31 16.48 511.0 15.0 -1.207 0.273 EZD2 (.) 31 16.48 511.0 15.0 -1.207 0.273		(+)	30	33.15	994.5			
(+) 4 23.00 92.0 (-) (-) dd(11q2) (.) 63 37.34 2352.5 104.5 -2.71 0.023 ATM mutation (.) 26 18.42 479.0 54.0 -1.629 0.109 (+) 7 11.71 82.0 -1.629 0.109 (+) 7 11.71 82.0 -1.629 0.164 (+) 5 11.20 56.0 -1.650 0.157 (+) 30 16.70 501.0 36.0 -0.564 0.614 (+) 3 20.00 60.0	del(17p13)	(-)	66	36.26	2393.0	82.0	-1.265	0.220
del(11q22)(-)6337.342352.5104.5-2.2710.023AT mutation(-)718.93132.5-2.710.023AT mutation(-)2618.42479.050.0-100-100TP53 mutation(-)2818.04505.041.0-1.4560.157SF3B mutation(-)3016.7056.0-0.05-0.057-0.057SF3B mutation(-)3016.7056.0-0.05-0.057-0.057KLHL6 mutation(-)3016.7050.0-0.057-0.057-0.057KLHL6 mutation(-)3117.39539.0-0.87-0.437LAMB mutation(-)3111.0022.0-0.07-0.07LAMB mutation(-)3111.648511.015.0-1.2070.273LAMB mutation(-)3111.648511.015.0-1.2070.273LAMB mutation(-)3111.648511.015.0-1.2070.273LAMB mutation(-)3111.648511.015.0-1.2070.273LAMB mutation(-)3111.648511.015.0-1.2070.273LAMB mutation(-)3111.648511.015.0-1.2070.273LAMB mutation(-)3111.642550.02.0-1.2070.107LAMB mutation(-)3111.94556.02.0		(+)	4	23.00	92.0			
(+) 7 18.93 132.5 (-) ATM mutation (.) 26 18.42 479.0 54.0 -1.629 0.109 (+) 7 11.71 82.0 -1.629 0.109 TP53 mutation (.) 28 18.04 505.0 41.0 -1.456 0.157 SF3B1 mutation (.) 30 16.70 501.0 36.0 -0.564 0.614 (+) 3 20.00 60.0 -0.564 0.614 (+) 4 20.75 83.0 -0.827 0.439 LAMB4 mutation (.) 31 17.39 539.0 19.0 -0.905 0.417 EBCOR mutation (.) 31 17.65 547.0 11.0 -1.207 0.273 EZH2 mutation (.) 31 17.65 547.0 11.0 -1.207 0.270 FAT4 mutation (.) 31 17.65 547.0 11.0 -1.207 0.270 <td< td=""><td>del(11q22)</td><td>(-)</td><td>63</td><td>37.34</td><td>2352.5</td><td>104.5</td><td>-2.271</td><td>0.023</td></td<>	del(11q22)	(-)	63	37.34	2352.5	104.5	-2.271	0.023
ATM mutation (.) 26 18.42 479.0 54.0 -1.629 0.109 $(+)$ 7 11.71 82.0 -1.629 0.109 $TP53$ mutation (.) 28 18.04 50.0 -1.629 0.107 $SF3B1$ mutation (.) 30 16.70 501.0 36.0 -0.664 0.614 $KLHL6$ mutation (.) 29 16.48 478.0 40.0 -0.664 0.614 $KLHL6$ mutation (.) 29 16.48 478.0 40.0 -0.807 0.417 $LAMB4$ mutation (.) 31 17.39 553.0 19.0 -0.905 0.417 $EZH2$ mutation (.) 31 17.65 547.0 11.0 -1.500 0.200 $SH2B3$ mutation (.) 31 17.65 556.0 2.0 -2.188 0.015 $EH2$ mutation (.) 31 17.94 555.0 2.0 -1.581 0.210 $SH2B3 mutation $		(+)	7	18.93	132.5			
(+) $(+)$ $(+)$ $(-)$ <th< td=""><td>ATM mutation</td><td>(-)</td><td>26</td><td>18.42</td><td>479.0</td><td>54.0</td><td>-1.629</td><td>0.109</td></th<>	ATM mutation	(-)	26	18.42	479.0	54.0	-1.629	0.109
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(+)	7	11.71	82.0			
(+)511.2056.0 $(-)$ SF3BI mutation(.)3016.70501.036.0 -0.564 0.614(.)320.0060.0 $(-)$ -0.564 0.614KLHL6 mutation(.)2916.48478.0 43.0 -0.827 0.439LAMB4 mutation(.)3117.39539.019.0 -0.905 0.417LAMB4 mutation(.)3116.48511.015.0 -1.207 0.273COR mutation(.)3117.65547.011.0 -1.500 0.200EZH2 mutation(.)3117.65547.011.0 -1.500 0.200EZH2 mutation(.)3117.94556.02.0 -2.188 0.015FAT4 mutation(.)3116.42509.013.0 -1.581 0.212FAT4 mutation(.)3117.94556.02.0 -1.581 0.120FAT4 mutation(.)2519.12478.072.0 -1.581 0.120TP53 defect ⁶ (.)2618.65485.048.0 -1.894 0.060TP53 defect ⁶ (.)2021.50430.0080.0 -2.333 0.019(.+)710.8676.0-2.3330.019(.+)710.8676.0-2.3330.019(.+)1513.33200.00-2.3330.019(.+)1413.57190.0-1.925	TP53 mutation	(-)	28	18.04	505.0	41.0	-1.456	0.157
SF3B1 mutation (·) 30 16.70 501.0 36.0 -0.564 0.614 KIHI6 mutation (·) 29 16.48 478.0 43.0 -0.827 0.439 LAMB4 mutation (·) 31 17.39 539.0 -0.905 0.417 LAMB4 mutation (·) 31 17.39 539.0 -0.907 0.417 EXCR mutation (·) 31 16.48 511.0 15.0 -1.207 0.273 ECOR mutation (·) 31 16.48 511.0 15.0 -1.207 0.273 EZH2 mutation (·) 31 17.65 547.0 11.0 -1.500 0.200 FAT4 mutation (·) 31 17.65 547.0 11.0 -1.500 0.200 FAT4 mutation (·) 31 17.65 547.0 11.0 -1.358 0.212 FAT4 mutation (·) 31 17.42 26.00 52.0 -1.358 0.212 FA		(+)	5	11.20	56.0			
(+)320.0060.0KLHL6 mutation(-)2916.48478.043.0-0.8270.439(+)420.7583.0-0.9050.417LAMB4 mutation(-)3117.39539.019.0-0.9050.417(+)211.0022.00.9050.417BCOR mutation(-)3116.48511.015.0-1.2070.273EZH2 mutation(-)3117.65547.011.0-1.5000.200EZH2 mutation(-)3117.94556.02.00.218SH2B3 mutation(-)3116.42509.013.0-1.5880.015FAT4 mutation(-)3116.42509.013.0-1.5810.212 $TM defect^b$ (-)2519.12478.072.0-1.5810.120TP53 defect(-)2618.65485.048.0-1.8940.060(+)710.8676.0(+)710.8676.0(+)1513.33200.000.056ZAP70(-)2020.25405.085.0-1.9250.056	SF3B1 mutation	(-)	30	16.70	501.0	36.0	-0.564	0.614
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(+)	3	20.00	60.0			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline $(+)$ & 4 & 20.75 & $83.0 & $-$$ & $-$ & $	KLHL6 mutation	(-)	29	16.48	478.0	43.0	-0.827	0.439
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(+)	4	20.75	83.0			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	LAMB4 mutation	(-)	31	17.39	539.0	19.0	-0.905	0.417
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(+)	2	11.00	22.0			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	BCOR mutation	(-)	31	16.48	511.0	15.0	-1.207	0.273
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(+)	2	25.00	50.0			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	EZH2 mutation	(-)	31	17.65	547.0	11.0	-1.500	0.200
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(+)	2	7.00	14.0			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	SH2B3 mutation	(-)	31	17.94	556.0	2.0	-2.188	0.015
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(+)	2	2.50	5.0			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	FAT4 mutation	(-)	31	16.42	509.0	13.0	-1.358	0.212
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(+)	2	26.00	52.0			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline & (+) & 9 & 13.00 & 117.0 & & & & \\ \hline TP53 \ defect^c & (-) & 26 & 18.65 & 485.0 & 48.0 & -1.894 & 0.060 & & \\ \hline & (+) & 7 & 10.86 & 76.0 & & & & \\ \hline & (+) & 7 & 10.86 & 76.0 & & & & & \\ \hline & ATM \ and/or \ TP53 \ defect & (-) & 20 & 21.50 & 430.00 & & & & & & & \\ \hline & (+) & 15 & 13.33 & 200.00 & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & ZAP70 & (-) & 20 & 20.25 & 405.0 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & (+) & 14 & 13.57 & 190.0 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} $	ATM defect ^b	(-)	25	19.12	478.0	72.0	-1.581	0.120
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(+)	9	13.00	117.0			
(+) 7 10.86 76.0 ATM and/or TP53 defect (-) 20 21.50 430.00 80.0 -2.333 0.019 (+) 15 13.33 200.00 20.25 405.0 85.0 -1.925 0.056 (+) 14 13.57 190.0 20.25	TP53 defect ^c	(-)	26	18.65	485.0	48.0	-1.894	0.060
ATM and/or TP53 defect (-) 20 21.50 430.00 80.0 -2.333 0.019 (+) 15 13.33 200.00 20.25 405.0 85.0 -1.925 0.056 (+) 14 13.57 190.0 20.056 20.056 20.056 20.056		(+)	7	10.86	76.0	1		
(+) 15 13.33 200.00 ZAP70 (-) 20 20.25 405.0 85.0 -1.925 0.056 (+) 14 13.57 190.0 <t< td=""><td>ATM and/or TP53 defect</td><td>(-)</td><td>20</td><td>21.50</td><td>430.00</td><td>80.0</td><td>-2.333</td><td>0.019</td></t<>	ATM and/or TP53 defect	(-)	20	21.50	430.00	80.0	-2.333	0.019
ZAP70 (-) 20 20.25 405.0 85.0 -1.925 0.056 (+) 14 13.57 190.0 0.056		(+)	15	13.33	200.00	1		
(+) 14 13.57 190.0	ZAP70	(-)	20	20.25	405.0	85.0	-1.925	0.056
		(+)	14	13.57	190.0	1		

Abbreviation: MWU, Mann-Whitney U coefficient

^aThe number of patients according to whether they have certain abnormal karyotypes or mutations

^bATM defect: del(11q22) and/or ATM mutation

^cTP53 defect: del(17p13) and/or TP53 mutation

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220177.t002

Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the relevance of TL to genomic changes and OS in a Korean population with CLL. As reported in Caucasians, the average TL was a potential

		Univariable				Multivariable		
Risk factors	Beta	HR	95% CI	p	Beta	HR	95% CI	P
Age	0.03	1.03	0.99-1.07	0.113				
Stage B	0.24	1.27	0.41-3.92	0.681				
Stage C	0.11	2.92	1.20-7.10	0.018				
Progression to Richter syndrome or not	2.02	7.57	2.77-20.65	< 0.001				
Hemoglobin	-0.11	0.90	0.75-1.08	0.258				
Platelet count > 170,500/µL	-0.64	0.53	0.23-1.17	0.117				
Bone marrow lymphocyte count	0.02	1.02	1.00-1.05	0.033				
Complex karyotype	1.60	4.95	1.78-13.77	0.002	2.46	11.68	2.36-57.90	0.011
Telomere length < 9.35 (T/C ratio)	-0.10	0.90	0.80-1.03	0.118				
$STL > 60.9\%^{b}$	0.99	2.68	0.97-7.41	0.058				
Del(11q22) vs. normal	0.65	1.92	0.43-8.54	0.392				
Del(17p13) vs. normal	1.13	3.10	0.89-10.74	0.075				
ATM mutation vs. normal	0.76	2.15	0.69-6.72	0.190				
TP53 mutation vs. normal	1.20	3.31	1.17-9.34	0.024	1.49	4.43	1.40-14.00	0.002
Total mutated gene number	0.40	1.49	1.11-2.00	0.007	0.61	1.84	1.25-2.70	0.002

Table 3. Univariable and multivariable cox analyses of overall survival among CLL patients^a.

^aFactors with p value less than 0.2 in the univariate analysis were entered into the multivariate analysis.

^bSTL%: The percentage of cells with the shortest telomere length. The shortest telomere length was defined as less than 7.61 (T/C ratio), which is the 10th percentile TL value of the normal control group.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220177.t003

predictor of survival in Korean CLL. Distinct from other studies measuring the average TL, we measured TL at the individual cellular level and compared the distribution profiles of TL between the CLL group and a normal control group. The TLs of CLL cells are concentrated in the extremely short TL region, which belongs to the lower 10th percentile region of the TL of the normal control group. Patients with an STL% greater than 90% showed poor survival, suggesting that a higher proportion of cells with extremely attrited telomeres correlates with adverse OS. Meanwhile, with regard to the average TL, we suggest a TL below 9.35 as an adverse biomarker in CLL. Collectively, we presented the values of the average TL (< 9.35 T/C ratio) and STL% (> 90%) as adverse predictors based on Q-FISH. These findings are in line with those of previous studies showing a positive relationship between a short telomere length and risk factors in CLL patients [17,18]. A complex karyotype was an independent adverse prognostic factor by Cox multivariate analysis. Cells with extremely attrited telomeres can undergo chromosomal aberrations such as translocation, deletion, and aneuploidy [19]. In CLL, complex karyotypes are well-known poor prognostic factors in CLL [20]. The present study showed a correlation between complex karyotypes and attrited telomeres, indicating that the occurrence of complex karyotypes results from shortened telomeres. Recent studies have reported that a complex karyotype was associated with advanced disease and poor prognostic markers even in the absence of TP53/ATM FISH deletion [21]. In the present study, we found a strong association between a short TL and complex karyotypes; a short TL and complex karyotypes were also associated with adverse survival. Among the cytogenetic results in CLL, TP53 loss is a well-known high-risk factor in addition to ATM loss. Our risk stratification models showed that complex karyotypes, TP53, and the total number of mutated genes were strong independent predictors.

Thomay et al. measured TL in CLL patients using Q-FISH in a similar manner to our study [22]. To measure TL, they utilized metaphase Q-FISH rather than interphase Q-FISH.



Fig 6. Survival Analysis of the risk equation model compared to Binet stage. (A) In the Kaplan-Meier (K-M) curve, the Binet stage did not show survival differences, and the survival graphs overlapped each other. (B) The risk groups stratified by the risk factor equation of Korean CLL patients showed better K-M survival curves than those stratified by the Binet stage. The risk equation model was complex karyotype $\times 2.46 + TP53$ mutation $\times 1.49 +$ total mutated gene number $\times 0.61$. The low-, intermediate-, and high-risk groups were divided by a risk equation score of 1.83 or less, 1.83 to 3.08, and greater than 3.08, respectively. Harrell's C-index of risk groups assessed by the risk equation (0.748) was also higher than that of groups stratified by Binet stage (0.624).

Interphase FISH measures TL in a substantial number of cells (200 nucleated cells), while metaphase FISH measures TL in 20 to 30 metaphase cells. Therefore, interphase FISH is considered more representative. However, the results of metaphase FISH can be converted to kb values using software, while interphase FISH results cannot be converted into absolute lengths (kb). Instead, interphase FISH yields TL results expressed as the T/C ratio, which reflects the relative intensity of the telomere signal to the signal intensity of centromere 2. Thomay's study demonstrated that metaphase TL was shorter in patients with CLL and a complex karyotype than that in healthy controls. Similar to Thomay's study, the present study also demonstrated a correlation between complex karyotypes and a shorter TL in CLL. Therefore, a correlation between complex karyotypes and a shorter TL in CLL has been identified in both interphase FISH and metaphase FISH.

Recent progress in therapeutics and the discovery of novel prognostic markers in CLL has led to the introduction of a new prognostic scoring system, the CLL-IPI. The CLL-IPI is an index that was designed to integrate existing clinical staging systems with biological and genetic data to refine and provide more specific prognostic information [23]. The CLL-IPI adopts 5 variables: *TP53* status (deleted or mutated), IGHV status, β_2 -microglobulin, clinical stage Binet B/C or Rai I-IV, and age > 65 years. The CLL-IPI study included cytogenetic abnormalities according to FISH (del(17p), del(11q), trisomy 12, del(13q), and del(6q)), but a complex karyotype or any other abnormal karyotype was not considered. A complex karyotype type could be recognized as a poor prognostic factor in Korean CLL. The present study did not evaluate the prognostic impact of del(6q), which was evaluated in the CLL-IPI study. However, del(6q) was not included in the CLL-IPI scoring system. del(6q) was reported to be an intermediate prognostic marker in the Chinese population [24].

We also observed an association between a short TL and del(11q.22) in CLL patients. ATM and TP53 deficiency were reported to be associated with a short TL and chromosomal instability [20,25,26]. Since ATM and ATR signaling regulate the recruitment of human telomerase to telomeres [27], attrition of telomeres in cells with ATM defects can result in consequent chromosomal instability. The ATM protein is a central orchestrator of the signal transduction pathway in response to DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) and regulates checkpoints of the cell cycle and synchronizes DNA repair with the induction of p53-dependent apoptosis [28]. Similar to our study, Rampazzo et al. reported that CLL patients with the 11q, 17p deletion had significantly higher levels of telomerase and shorter telomeres than those with no chromosomal aberration or only 13q deletion [29]. Strefford et al. reported that TP53 abnormalities and biallelic ATM inactivation were found more frequently in the short TL group than in the long TL group [5]. These studies reported an apparent relationship between telomeres and cytogenetic aberrations. When patients have deletion of the ATM gene and ATM mutation at the same time, we can assume loss of heterozygosity. In the present study, patients with biallelic ATM defects and any kind of TP53 defect, regardless of allelic status, had shorter TLs. Some reports indicate that biallelic defects of ATM or TP53 are associated with poor OS, but the present study did not show such associations [5,30].

Regarding specific genes associated with a short TL, we found an association between a short TL and *SH2B3* mutations. Recently identified molecular markers in CLL include *NOTCH1*, *SF3B1* and *BIRC3* mutations [31,32]. However, *SH2B3* has not yet been reported as a prognostic marker. *SH2B3* (also known as *LNK*) encodes a member of the SH2B3 adaptor family of proteins, which is a key negative regulator of cytokine signaling, plays a critical role in hematopoiesis and lymphoid progenitor, and is expressed at a high level in BM and WBCs [33]]. During B cell lymphopoiesis, SH2B3 linked to the c-Kit receptor acts to inhibit the expansion of an immature population of B cells [34]. We infer that mutated *SH2B3* can eliminate this inhibitory effect, resulting in expansion of an immature B cell population. However, the connection pathway between telomeres and *SH2B3* remains unknown. In solid tumors, genome-wide association studies have identified common susceptibility polymorphisms in colorectal and endometrial cancer near *SH2B3*.

The limitations of our study are as follows. First, because TL was measured by the Q-FISH method and expressed as the T/C ratio, which reflects the relative TL, the TL could not be compared with TLs in previous studies measured in bp using other methods such as terminal restriction fragments or polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The major advantage of interphase Q-FISH is that it can measure the distribution of TL as well as the median or mean TL, while other methods such as southern blot or quantitative PCR can measure only the mean TL. However, quantitative interphase FISH relies on the T/C ratio, which measures the signal of a telomere compared to the centromere signal of chromosome 2 in the same cell. Therefore,

interphase FISH cannot measure absolute TL in kb. Second, we did not perform a multiple comparison test because the present study was exploratory research, enrolling small number of patients. Therefore, results with *p* values close to 0.05 should be carefully interpreted.

In conclusion, the TL of Korean CLL patients was related to Hb, del(11q22), *TP53* defects, *ATM* and/or *TP53* defects, and *SH2B3* mutation. Patients with an *ATM* biallelic defect and any *TP53* defect showed shorter TLs than those with monoallelic mutation or deletion. The average TL was a powerful indicator of survival. The STL% may be a supportive prognostic marker. A complex karyotype, which is related to a short TL, was the poorest prognostic factor. TL analysis in CLL is suggested to predict patient outcomes.

Supporting information

S1 Text. Earlier published data on genetic changes in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. (DOCX)

S1 Table. Univariable and multivariable cox analyses of Time-to-First-Treatment among chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients. (DOCX)

S1 Fig. Distributions of telomere length in chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients and normal controls according to age.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (NRF-2017R1A2A1A17069780). The funding sources played no role in the design of the study, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Dong Soon Lee.

Data curation: Jung-Ah Kim, Sung-Soo Yoon.

Formal analysis: Da Young Song, Jung-Ah Kim, Dajeong Jeong, Jiwon Yun.

Funding acquisition: Dong Soon Lee.

Investigation: Jung-Ah Kim, Sung-Min Kim, Kyumin Lim, Si Nae Park, Kyongok Im, Sungbin Choi.

Project administration: Dong Soon Lee.

Supervision: Dong Soon Lee.

Validation: Da Young Song.

Visualization: Da Young Song.

Writing - original draft: Da Young Song.

Writing - review & editing: Dong Soon Lee.

References

- Blackburn EH, Epel ES, Lin J. Human telomere biology: a contributory and interactive factor in aging, disease risks, and protection. Science. 2015; 350(6265): 1193–1198. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. aab3389 PMID: 26785477.
- Lin TT, Letsolo BT, Jones RE, Rowson J, Pratt G, Hewamana S, et al. Telomere dysfunction and fusion during the progression of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: evidence for a telomere crisis. Blood. 2010; 116(11): 1899–1907. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-02-272104 PMID: 20538793.
- Adam R, Diez-Gonzalez L, Ocana A, Seruga B, Amir E, Templeton AJ. Prognostic role of telomere length in malignancies: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. Exp Mol Pathol. 2017; 102(3): 455–474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2017.05.010 PMID: 28506770.
- Sellmann L, de Beer D, Bartels M, Opalka B, Nuckel H, Duhrsen U, et al. Telomeres and prognosis in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Int J Hematol. 2011; 93(1): 74–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12185-010-0750-2 PMID: 21203871</u>.
- Strefford JC, Kadalayil L, Forster J, Rose-Zerilli MJ, Parker A, Lin TT, et al. Telomere length predicts progression and overall survival in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: data from the UK LRF CLL4 trial. Leukemia. 2015; 29(12): 2411–2414. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2015.217 PMID: 26256637.
- Augereau A, T'Kint de Roodenbeke C, Simonet T, Bauwens S, Horard B, Callanan M, et al. Telomeric damage in early stage of chronic lymphocytic leukemia correlates with shelterin dysregulation. Blood. 2011; 118(5): 1316–1322. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-07-295774 PMID: 21355086.
- Pepper C, Baird D, Fegan C. Telomere analysis to predict chronic lymphocytic leukemia outcome: a STELA test to change clinical practice? Expert Rev Hematol. 2014; 7(6): 701–703. <u>https://doi.org/10.1586/17474086.2014.969705 PMID: 25308469</u>.
- Jeon YW, Cho SG. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a clinical review including Korean cohorts. Korean J Intern Med. 2016; 31(3): 433–443. https://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.2015.074 PMID: 27044858.
- Jang MA, Yoo EH, Kim K, Kim WS, Jung CW, Kim SH, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia in Korean patients: frequent atypical immunophenotype and relatively aggressive clinical behavior. Int J Hematol. 2013; 97(3): 403–408. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12185-013-1286-z PMID: 23400412.
- Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma (version 5.2018). <u>https://www.nccn.org/</u> professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cll.pdf.
- Kim JA, Hwang B, Park SN, Huh S, Im K, Choi S, et al. Genomic profile of chronic lymphocytic leukemia in Korea identified by targeted sequencing. PLoS One. 2016; 11(12): e0167641. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0167641</u> PMID: 27959900.
- Kim JA, Im KO, Park SN, Kwon JS, Kim SY, Oh K, et al. Cytogenetic heterogeneity and their serial dynamic changes during acquisition of cytogenetic aberrations in cultured mesenchymal stem cells. Mutat Res. 2015; 777: 60–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2015.04.003 PMID: 25974687.
- Hwang SM, Im K, Chang YH, Park HS, Kim J-A, Kim SM, et al. Are clonal cells circulating in the peripheral blood of myelodysplastic syndrome?: Quantitative comparison between bone marrow and peripheral blood by targeted gene sequencing and fluorescence in situ hybridization. Leukemia research. 2018; 71:92–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres.2018.07.007 PMID: 30041080.
- 14. Coleman JF, Theil KS, Tubbs RR, Cook JR. Diagnostic yield of bone marrow and peripheral blood FISH panel testing in clinically suspected myelodysplastic syndromes and/or acute myeloid leukemia: a prospective analysis of 433 cases. American journal of clinical pathology. 2011; 135(6): 915–920. https://doi.org/10.1309/AJCPW10YBRMWSWYE PMID: 21571964.
- Engelhardt M, Mackenzie K, Drullinsky P, Silver RT, Moore MA. Telomerase activity and telomere length in acute and chronic leukemia, pre-and post-ex vivo culture. Cancer research. 2000; 60(3): 610– 617. PMID: 10676644
- Wierda WG, O'Brien S, Wang X, Faderl S, Ferrajoli A, Do KA, et al. Multivariable model for time to first treatment in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29(31): 4088–4095. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.33.9002</u> PMID: 21969505.
- Bechter OE, Eisterer W, Pall G, Hilbe W, Kuhr T, Thaler J. Telomere length and telomerase activity predict survival in patients with B cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cancer Res. 1998; 58(21): 4918– 4922. PMID: 9810000.
- Vasko T, Kaifie A, Stope MB, Kraus T, Ziegler P. Telomeres and telomerase in hematopoietic dysfunction: prognostic implications and pharmacological interventions. Int J Mol Sci. 2017; 18(11). <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18112267 PMID: 29143804</u>.
- 19. McClintock B. The behavior in successive nuclear divisions of a chromosome broken at meiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1939; 25(8): 405. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.25.8.405 PMID: 16577924.

- Veronese L, Tournilhac O, Callanan M, Prie N, Kwiatkowski F, Combes P, et al. Telomeres and chromosomal instability in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Leukemia. 2013; 27(2): 490–493. https://doi.org/10. 1038/leu.2012.194 PMID: 22878603.
- Puiggros A, Collado R, Calasanz MJ, Ortega M, Ruiz-Xiville N, Rivas-Delgado A, et al. Patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia and complex karyotype show an adverse outcome even in absence of TP53/ATM FISH deletions. Oncotarget. 2017; 8(33): 54297–54303. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/</u> oncotarget.17350 PMID: 28903342.
- 22. Thomay K, Fedder C, Hofmann W, Kreipe H, Stadler M, Titgemeyer J, et al. Telomere shortening, TP53 mutations and deletions in chronic lymphocytic leukemia result in increased chromosomal instability and breakpoint clustering in heterochromatic regions. Ann Hematol. 2017; 96(9):1493–1500. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00277-017-3055-1 PMID: 28691153.
- The International CLL-IPI working group. An international prognostic index for patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL-IPI): a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet Oncol. 2016; 17(6): 779–790. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30029-8 PMID: 27185642.
- Wang DM, Miao KR, Fan L, Qiu HR, Fang C, Zhu DX, et al. Intermediate prognosis of 6q deletion in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Leuk Lymphoma. 2011; 52(2): 230–237. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 10428194.2010.542599 PMID: 21281237.
- Roos G, Krober A, Grabowski P, Kienle D, Buhler A, Dohner H, et al. Short telomeres are associated with genetic complexity, high-risk genomic aberrations, and short survival in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood. 2008; 111(4): 2246–2252. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-05-092759 PMID: 18045969.
- 26. Britt-Compton B, Lin TT, Ahmed G, Weston V, Jones RE, Fegan C, et al. Extreme telomere erosion in ATM-mutated and 11q-deleted CLL patients is independent of disease stage. Leukemia. 2012; 26(4): 826–830. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2011.281 PMID: 21986843.
- Tong AS, Stern JL, Sfeir A, Kartawinata M, de Lange T, Zhu XD, et al. ATM and ATR signaling regulate the recruitment of human telomerase to telomeres. Cell Rep. 2015; 13(8): 1633–1646. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.10.041</u> PMID: 26586433.
- Lobrich M, Jeggo PA. Harmonising the response to DSBs: a new string in the ATM bow. DNA Repair (Amst). 2005; 4(7): 749–759. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2004.12.008 PMID: 15978533.
- Rampazzo E, Bonaldi L, Trentin L, Visco C, Keppel S, Giunco S, et al. Telomere length and telomerase levels delineate subgroups of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia with different biological characteristics and clinical outcomes. Haematologica. 2012; 97(1): 56–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2011.</u> 049874 PMID: 21933855.
- Malcikova J, Smardova J, Rocnova L, Tichy B, Kuglik P, Vranova V, et al. Monoallelic and biallelic inactivation of *TP53* gene in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: selection, impact on survival, and response to DNA damage. Blood. 2009; 114(26): 5307–5314. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2009-07-234708 PMID: 19850740.
- Nadeu F, Delgado J, Royo C, Baumann T, Stankovic T, Pinyol M, et al. Clinical impact of clonal and subclonal *TP53*, *SF3B1*, *BIRC3*, *NOTCH1* and *ATM* mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood. 2016; 127(17): 2122–2130. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-07-659144 PMID: 26837699.
- Rasi S, Khiabanian H, Ciardullo C, Terzi-di-Bergamo L, Monti S, Spina V, et al. Clinical impact of small subclones harboring NOTCH1, SF3B1 or BIRC3 mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Haematologica. 2016; 101(4): e135–e138. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2015.136051 PMID: 26819056.
- Perez-Garcia A, Ambesi-Impiombato A, Hadler M, Rigo I, LeDuc CA, Kelly K, et al. Genetic loss of SH2B3 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2013; 122(14): 2425–2432. https://doi.org/10.1182/ blood-2013-05-500850 PMID: 23908464.
- Rudd CE. Lnk adaptor: novel negative regulator of B cell lymphopoiesis. Sci STKE. 2001; 2001(85): pe1. https://doi.org/10.1126/stke.2001.85.pe1 PMID: 11752654.