

### Lifestyle Changes in Relation to Initiation of Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Medication: A Cohort Study

Maarit J. Korhonen, PhD; Jaana Pentti, BSc; Juha Hartikainen, MD, PhD; Jenni Ilomäki, PhD; Soko Setoguchi, MD, DrPH; Danny Liew, PhD; Mika Kivimäki, FMed Sci; Jussi Vahtera, MD, PhD

**Background**—Lifestyle modification is a key component of cardiovascular disease prevention before and concurrently with pharmacologic interventions. We evaluated whether lifestyle factors change in relation to the initiation of antihypertensive or lipid-lowering medication (statins).

*Methods and Results*—The study population comprised 41 225 participants of the FPS (Finnish Public Sector) study aged  $\geq$ 40 years who were free of cardiovascular disease at baseline and responded to  $\geq$ 2 consecutive surveys administered in 4-year intervals in 2000–2013. Medication use was ascertained through pharmacy-claims data. Using a series of pre–post data sets, we compared changes in body mass index, physical activity, alcohol consumption, and smoking between 8837 initiators and 46 021 noninitiators of antihypertensive medications or statins. In participants who initiated medication use, body mass index increased more (difference in change 0.19; 95% Cl, 0.16–0.22) and physical activity declined (–0.09 metabolic equivalent of task hour/day; 95% Cl, –0.16 to –0.02) compared with noninitiators. The likelihood of becoming obese (odds ratio: 1.82; 95% Cl, 1.63–2.03) and physically inactive (odds ratio: 1.08; 95% Cl, 1.01–1.17) was higher in initiators. However, medication initiation was associated with greater decline in average alcohol consumption (–1.85 g/week; 95% Cl, –3.67 to –0.14) and higher odds of quitting smoking (odds ratio for current smoking in the second survey: 0.74; 95% Cl, 0.64–0.85).

*Conclusions*—These findings suggest that initiation of antihypertensive and statin medication is associated with lifestyle changes, some favorable and others unfavorable. Weight management and physical activity should be encouraged in individuals prescribed these medications. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2020;9:e014168. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.119.014168.)

Key Words: antihypertensive agents • lifestyle • longitudinal • primary prevention • statins

U se of antihypertensive medications and statins for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD) has expanded over the past few decades<sup>1–3</sup> and may increase

From the Department of Public Health (M.J.K., J.V.) and Institute of Biomedicine (M.J.K.), University of Turku, Finland; Centre for Medicine Use and Safety, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences (M.J.K., J.I.) and School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine (D.L.), Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland (J.P., M.K.); Clinicum, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Finland (J.P., M.K.); Heart Center, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland (J.H.); School of Medicine, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland (J.H.); Rutgers School of Public Health and Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ (S.S.); Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, United Kingdom (M.K.); Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland (J.V.).

Accompanying Tables S1 through S13 are available at https://www.a hajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.119.014168

**Correspondence to:** Maarit J. Korhonen, PhD, Institute of Biomedicine, University of Turku, Kiinamyllynkatu 10, 20520 Turku, Finland. E-mail: maarit.korhonen@utu.fi

Received September 29, 2019; accepted December 5, 2019.

© 2020 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. further in response to recent guideline changes.<sup>4–6</sup> However, lifestyle modification remains a key component of CVD prevention before and concurrently with pharmacologic interventions.<sup>4,5,7,8</sup>

Whether and how initiation of preventive medication affects lifestyle remains unclear. Because lifestyle counseling should precede prescription of preventive medication,<sup>8</sup> medication users may have better perception of their CVD risk<sup>9,10</sup> and a healthier lifestyle compared with nonusers.<sup>11,12</sup> The perceived effectiveness of pharmacotherapy may provide an incentive to adhere to a lifestyle that also prevents other diseases.<sup>13,14</sup> The counterargument is that individuals may substitute medication for a healthy lifestyle and continue an unhealthy lifestyle or even engage in it,<sup>14</sup> which may reduce the effectiveness of pharmacotherapy.<sup>15,16</sup>

The diagnosis of CVD or diabetes mellitus has been found to trigger lifestyle changes, including weight loss,<sup>17</sup> increase in physical activity,<sup>18</sup> decrease in alcohol consumption,<sup>18</sup> and smoking cessation.<sup>17,19</sup> Participants of the Danish Inter99 trial with hypertension or hyperlipidemia who initiated medication for these conditions were more likely to engage in favorable dietary changes compared with noninitiators during 5-year follow-up.<sup>20</sup> Statin initiators also increased their

#### **Clinical Perspective**

#### What Is New?

 Few longitudinal studies have examined whether initiation of preventive medication affects lifestyle, either increasing or decreasing the likelihood of unhealthy behaviors.

#### What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Because initiation of antihypertensive or statin therapy appears to be associated with some negative lifestyle changes, expansion of pharmacologic interventions toward populations at low cardiovascular disease risk may not necessarily lead to expected benefits at the population level.
- Effective measures are needed to support the recommended lifestyle change in relation to the initiation of pharmacologic interventions for primary prevention.

physical activity. Recently, however, studies have reported lower physical activity among people who initiate<sup>21</sup> or use statins<sup>14,22</sup> or antihypertensive medications<sup>10</sup> compared with nonusers. According to the US NHANES (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey) data,<sup>23</sup> in the early 2000s statin users had lower caloric and fat intake compared with nonusers. The difference disappeared by the mid-2000s and was reversed by 2010. Most recent findings suggested statin users consumed more calories and fat than nonusers. Simultaneously, the prevalence of obesity increased faster among users.<sup>22,23</sup>

We used repeat observational data to assess the extent to which initiation of antihypertensive or lipid-lowering (statin) therapy predicts changes in lifestyle factors, such as body mass index (BMI), leisure-time physical activity, alcohol consumption, and smoking, in a large cohort of Finnish adults. We sought to determine whether initiation of preventive medication is more likely to complement a healthy lifestyle change or substitute for it. To obtain robust estimates, we analyzed data as a series of pre-post studies.<sup>24,25</sup>

#### Methods

#### **Study Population and Design**

Participants were drawn from the FPS (Finnish Public Sector) study cohort of employees of 10 towns and 6 hospital districts.<sup>25,26</sup> Data were sourced from questionnaire surveys administered to FPS subcohorts in 4-year intervals from 2000 to 2013 (average response rate 70%),<sup>25</sup> employer records, and national health registers. We included participants who responded to  $\geq$ 2 consecutive surveys (waves 1 and 2) and were aged  $\geq$ 40 years and free of CVD at wave 1. Individual-

We constructed a long-form data set using subsets of the original data and organized them into 3 pre-post sets with separate baselines (2000–2002, 2004–2005, or 2008–2009) and corresponding follow-ups (2004–2005, 2008–2009, or 2012–2013, respectively). In all, 41 225 participants belonged to these data sets: 15 880 to 1 data set, 10 143 to 2 data sets, and 15 202 to 3 data sets.

According to Finnish law, written consent is not required for register-based and survey research as long as the participation is voluntary. The FPS study participants were informed about the aims of the study and the record linkage. The ethics committees of Helsinki University Hospital and the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health approved the FPS study protocol.

### Initiator, Prevalent User, and Nonuser Cohorts of Preventive Medication

We used the participants' personal identification numbers (a unique number assigned to each Finnish citizen) to link participants to the electronic records of the Social Insurance Institution (SII) of Finland covering the years 1994–2011. Records of pharmacy claims were used to ascertain medication use. We identified antihypertensive medications with Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) codes C02 (antihypertensives), C03 (diuretics), C07 ( $\beta$ -blockers), C08 (calcium channel blockers), C09 (renin–angiotensin system blockers), and C10BA02 (both for statins).

We defined *initiators* as those who filled  $\geq 1$  prescription for any preventive medication for the first time after their baseline response date but before their wave 2 response date. Participant observations with no prescription fills by wave 2 were defined as *noninitiators*. We focused on comparing initiators of either antihypertensive medications or statins with those who remained noninitiators of both. For secondary comparisons, we included *prevalent users*, defined as those participant observations for which  $\geq 1$  prescription for any preventive medication was filled before or on the baseline response date.

#### **Lifestyle Factors**

Height, weight, physical activity, average weekly alcohol consumption, and smoking status were assessed using standard questionnaires. Based on self-reported height and weight, we calculated BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and defined BMI 25 to <30 as overweight and BMI  $\geq$ 30 as obesity. Physical activity was assessed using the following questions<sup>26</sup>: "How much did you exercise per week on average during the past year?" and

"Estimate whether the level of intensity of the exercise corresponded to walking, vigorous walking, jogging, or running." The number of hours per week spent on activity at each intensity level was multiplied by the average energy expenditure of each activity, expressed in metabolic equivalent of task (MET) hours. We used the sum of MET hours to identify active (>4 h/day), moderately active (2-4 h/day), and inactive participants (<2 h/day).<sup>27</sup> Drinking habits were requested as follows<sup>28</sup>: "Have you ever consumed at least one glass of some alcoholic drink?" and "How much do you consume of the following alcoholic drinks on average (beer per week; wine or other mild drinks per week; spirits per month)?" We combined alcohol consumption into 1 measure of average weekly consumption (grams of pure alcohol). High alcohol consumption equated to consuming >240 g/week in men and >160 g/week in women.<sup>29</sup> In addition, we considered answering "yes" to the following question as an indicator of high consumption: "Have you 'passed out' due to drinking during the past year?" Any other consumption was considered moderate. Smoking status was assessed with the following questions<sup>30</sup>: "Do you smoke or have you previously smoked regularly, that is daily or nearly daily?" and "Do you still smoke regularly?" Those responding "yes" to the second question were categorized as *current* smokers at each survey wave. Those who responded "yes" to the first and "no" to the second questions were categorized as former smokers, and those who responded "no" to both of the questions were categorized as never smokers. To depict clustering of lifestylerelated risks, we calculated the number of lifestyles at the unhealthiest level (obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption, current smoking).<sup>31</sup>

The outcome measures included changes in continuous BMI, physical activity, alcohol consumption, and number of cigarettes smoked per day between the waves and the presence of obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption, current smoking, or their clustering (3 or 4 unhealthy lifestyles) at wave 2.

#### **Covariates**

Covariates were assessed at baseline. Information on sex, age, employment, and occupational status (upper grade nonmanual workers, lower grade nonmanual workers, clerks, or manual workers) came from employer records. Information on marital status and, for those who had left the FPS organizations, employment status came from survey responses. Nationwide hospital discharge and SII special reimbursement registers were used to ascertain history of CVD (acute myocardial infarction, chronic coronary heart disease, chronic heart failure, and stroke) at baseline and between the waves. Information on special reimbursements and prescription fills (A10) were used to ascertain history of diabetes mellitus.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

The results from the data sets were pooled. We modeled each lifestyle factor as a separate outcome with a generalized estimating equation approach accounting for within-person correlation across data sets and applied bootstrapping to obtain stable 95% CIs.

We estimated the associations between medication initiation and continuous outcomes using linear regression. Associations with unhealthy lifestyles at wave 2 were estimated with logistic regression, stratifying by presence of the respective unhealthy lifestyle at baseline. To account for the changes in clinical guidelines and prescribing and the general lifestyle trends, all models included baseline period and time interval between the survey waves, as this interval influences the potential for change in lifestyles. Fully adjusted models included age; sex; marital, occupational, and employment status; diabetes mellitus; and other lifestyle factors measured at baseline. Participant observations that were missing data on any covariate were excluded from the multivariable models.

#### Sensitivity and Subgroup Analyses

First, we excluded participant observations with diabetes mellitus at baseline and those diagnosed with diabetes mellitus or CVD between waves 1 and 2 because our focus was on primary prevention. Second, to identify true medication initiators, we redefined initiation as refilling a prescription for preventive medication at least once within a year since initiation. Third, to confirm the temporal order between medication initiation and lifestyle change, we restricted our cohort to respondents of 3 consecutive surveys and compared the presence of unhealthy lifestyles at wave 3 between initiators and noninitiators who maintained the same lifestyle at waves 1 and 2.<sup>25</sup> Fourth, we repeated the main analyses separately for antihypertensive medications and statins to see whether the results were specific to a therapeutic class. Last, we stratified the analyses by baseline period and sex. We tested statistical significance of the interactions by including user-group-by-period or user-group-by-sex interaction terms in the outcome models, respectively. Analyses were performed using SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute).

#### Results

Of a total of 81 772 participant observations based on the 41 225 FPS participants, 84% were female, with mean age of  $52\pm7.2$  years (Table 1); and 8837 (10.8%) presented as initiators of preventive medication, 26 914 (32.9%) as prevalent users, and 46 021 (56.3%) as noninitiators between waves 1 and 2 (mean interval: 4.0 years; range: 2.0–

#### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the 81 772 Participant Observations

Characteristic	All Observations (n=81 772)	Initiators (n=8837)	Prevalent Users (n=26 914)	Noninitiators (n=46 021)
Baseline year, %				
2000–2002	25.8	27.2	18.3	29.9
2004–2005	33.4	42.0	31.5	32.8
2008–2009	40.8	30.8	50.2	37.4
Age, y, mean (SD)	52.2 (7.24)	52.4 (6.61)	55.1 (7.24)	50.4 (6.78)
40–49	41.7	38.0	25.7	51.7
50–59	43.1	49.0	48.4	38.8
60–78	15.3	13.0	25.9	9.5
Women, %	83.9	83.8	82.6	84.3
Married/cohabiting, %	76.3	76.5	75.6	76.6
Occupational status, %				
Upper grade nonmanual workers	32.1	29.8	30.6	33.3
Lower grade nonmanual workers	26.5	26.1	25.4	27.2
Clerks	7.4	7.2	8.3	6.9
Manual workers	34.1	36.9	35.7	32.6
Employed, %	89.4	92.3	81.0	93.7
Body weight, %				
Normal	49.8	43.0	37.2	58.3
Overweight	35.5	39.4	39.8	32.3
Obese	14.7	17.6	23.0	9.4
Physical activity, %				
Active	40.8	38.1	34.5	45.0
Moderate	33.6	33.5	34.7	33.0
Inactive	25.6	28.4	30.9	22.0
Alcohol consumption, %				
Moderate	71.7	70.9	69.5	73.2
None	14.9	14.3	16.5	14.1
High	13.4	14.8	14.1	12.7
Smoking, %				
Never	68.2	65.1	68.5	68.6
Former	18.3	19.7	19.5	17.4
Current	13.4	15.1	12.0	14.0
No. of unhealthy lifestyles,* %				
0	52.0	47.0	45.4	56.8
1–2	45.2	49.3	50.7	41.3
3-4	2.8	3.6	3.9	2.0
History of antihypertensive medication use, %	29.3	NA	89.1	NA
History of statin use, %	9.6	NA	29.1	NA
Diabetes mellitus, %	2.7	2.0	6.7	0.6

The observations are based on the 41 225 participants of the FPS (Finnish Public Sector) study. Numbers are percentages unless otherwise specified. Of all participant observations included, 79 889 (97.7%) had data available on body mass index, 80 988 (99.0%) on physical activity, 81 337 (99.5%) on alcohol consumption, and 79 675 (97.4%) on smoking at baseline. NA indicates not applicable.

\*Obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption, and current smoking.

5.4 years). Medication initiation took place a median of 1.7 years (interquartile range: 0.9–2.7 years) after baseline and a median of 2.4 years (interquartile range: 1.3–3.3 years) before the second survey wave. Between waves, 318 (3.6%) initiators, 477 (1.8%) prevalent users, and 48 (0.1%) noninitiators were newly diagnosed with CVD.

#### Change in Lifestyle

Average BMI increased among both initiators and noninitiators (Table 2), but in the fully adjusted model, the mean increase in BMI was larger (difference in change: 0.19; 95% Cl, 0.16–0.22) among initiators. Among wave 1 obese participants, the odds of obesity at wave 2 were 1.37 times greater (95% Cl, 1.15–1.65) for initiators compared with noninitiators (Figure). Among wave 1 nonobese participants, the odds of obesity at wave 2 were 1.82 times greater (95% Cl, 1.63–2.03) for initiators versus noninitiators. Furthermore, average MET h/day declined among initiators versus noninitiators (–0.09; 95% Cl, -0.16 to -0.02; Table 2). Initiators had

greater odds of physical inactivity at wave 2 regardless of baseline activity (Figure). Table S1 shows the shifts of participant observations between levels of lifestyle factors within user groups.

Average weekly alcohol consumption declined more among initiators compared with noninitiators (-1.85 g/week; 95% Cl, -3.67 to -0.14; Table 2). When the comparison was restricted to those initiators (n=7014) and noninitiators (n=37 297) who reported any alcohol consumption at both waves, the adjusted difference in change in weekly consumption was -1.67 g (95% Cl, -3.72 to 0.39). No difference in the odds of high alcohol consumption at wave 2 was observed between user groups regardless of baseline drinking (Figure).

The prevalence of current smoking tended to decrease in all subgroups (Table 1, Table S1). However, baseline smokers who initiated medication use were more likely to quit smoking compared with smokers who remained untreated (adjusted odds ratio [OR] for current smoking among initiators versus noninitiators: 0.74; 95% Cl, 0.64–0.85; Figure). Furthermore, initiators who were current smokers at both waves decreased

 Table 2. Changes in BMI, Physical Activity, and Alcohol Consumption Between the Survey Waves in Initiators and Prevalent Users

 of Preventive Medication Versus Noninitiators

	Outcome					
	Mean (SD*)			$\beta$ Value (95% CI) <sup>†</sup>		
Lifestyle Factor/User Group	Baseline	Second Survey	Mean Change (P Value*)	Unadjusted Difference <sup>‡</sup>	Adjusted Difference <sup>§</sup>	
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>		-	-	-		
Initiators (n=8425)	26.3 (4.2)	26.8 (4.5)	0.51 (<0.001)	0.13 (0.08–0.17)	0.19 (0.16–0.22)	
Prevalent users (n=25 348)	27.0 (4.6)	27.3 (4.7)	0.31 (<0.001)	-0.04 (-0.07 to 0.01)	0.08 (0.06–0.10)	
Noninitiators (n=44 091)	24.9 (3.7)	25.2 (3.9)	0.37 (<0.001)	Reference	Reference	
Physical activity (MET h/d)						
Initiators (n=8658)	4.15 (3.77)	3.97 (3.60)	-0.18 (<0.001)	-0.13 (-0.22 to -0.04)	-0.09 (-0.16 to -0.02)	
Prevalent users (n=26 368)	3.78 (3.44)	3.69 (3.38)	-0.09 (<0.001)	-0.12 (-0.17 to -0.06)	-0.08 (-0.13 to -0.04)	
Noninitiators (n=45 312)	4.71 (4.00)	4.70 (4.03)	-0.01 (0.47)	Reference	Reference	
Alcohol consumption, g/wk						
Initiators (n=8721)	69.0 (108)	66.4 (110)	-2.64 (0.011)	-2.57 (-4.75 to -0.38)	-1.85 (-3.67 to -0.14)	
Prevalent users (n=26 546)	66.7 (109)	63.9 (111)	-2.38 (<0.001)	-1.73 (-3.13 to 0.34)	-0.72 (-1.92 to 0.49)	
Noninitiators (n=45 488)	61.1 (93.2)	60.6 (95.6)	-0.44 (0.26)	Reference	Reference	
No. of cigarettes/day						
Initiators (n=876)	13.1 (7.05)	12.5 (6.83)	-0.60 (<0.001)	-0.37 (-0.63 to -0.11)	-0.34 (-0.60 to -0.08)	
Prevalent users (n=2251)	12.3 (6.65)	12.1 (6.71)	-0.23 (0.016)	-0.02 (-0.20 to 0.16)	0.05 (-0.13 to 0.24)	
Noninitiators (n=4532)	11.9 (6.60)	11.7 (6.45)	-0.19 (0.002)	Reference	Reference	

Only participant-observations who had data available on all baseline covariates were included in adjusted models (n=74 291 or 95.4% of those with data on body mass index at both surveys, n=75 613 or 94.1% of those with data on physical activity at both surveys, n=75 781 or 93.8% of those with data on alcohol consumption at both surveys, n=7339 or 95.8% of those reporting number of cigarettes smoked at both surveys). BMI indicates body mass index; MET, metabolic equivalent of task.

\*SDs and P values reported here do not take into account that each individual may have contributed to >1 data set.

<sup>†</sup>Derived from generalized estimating equations.

<sup>‡</sup>Adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves.

<sup>§</sup>Adjusted for baseline year; time interval; age; sex; marital, occupational, and employment status; diabetes mellitus; smoking status; and other lifestyle factors.

Among those who were current smokers at both waves.





smoking more than their noninitiator counterparts (adjusted difference in change in the number of cigarettes per day: -0.34; 95% CI: -0.60 to -0.08; Table 2).

Among those with 0–2 unhealthy lifestyles at baseline, the adjusted OR of a cluster of 3 to 4 unhealthy lifestyles at wave 2 was 1.66 (95% CI, 1.40–1.98) for initiators compared with noninitiators (Figure). The relative difference between user groups was smaller in the subgroup that already had 3 to 4 unhealthy lifestyles at baseline. In this subgroup (n=1231), BMI increased (adjusted difference: 0.32; 95% CI, 0.06–0.59) and MET h/day declined (-0.33; 95% CI, -0.57 to -0.09) more among initiators compared with noninitiators, whereas there was no significant difference in change in average alcohol consumption (0.09 g/week; 95% CI, -17.6 to 17.8) or in the odds of current smoking at wave 2 (adjusted OR: 0.92; 95% CI, 0.69-1.24).

Prevalent users' lifestyle changed in the same direction as initiators' lifestyle, although the average changes in BMI and weekly alcohol consumption were smaller in comparison with noninitiators (Table 2). Prevalent users were more likely to develop obesity, physical inactivity, and high alcohol consumption than were noninitiators (Figure). Within the subgroup with 0 to 2 unhealthy lifestyles at baseline, in particular, prevalent users had twice the odds of having 3 to 4 unhealthy lifestyles at wave 2 compared with noninitiators (adjusted OR: 2.02; 95% Cl, 1.78–2.28).

#### Sensitivity and Subgroup Analyses

Exclusion of participants with diabetes mellitus or new CVD (Tables S2 and S3) or initiators with no refills within the first year since initiation (Tables S4 and S5) did not materially change the main results. The analyses including initiators and noninitiators who maintained the same lifestyle at waves 1 and 2 showed that initiators were more likely to develop obesity and a cluster of unhealthy lifestyles by wave 3 (Table S6). These analyses support the temporal order between medication initiation and unhealthy lifestyle change.

The associations between antihypertensive medication use and lifestyle changes resembled those in the main analyses (Tables S7 and S8). Conversely, the associations for statin initiation were generally weaker. However, statin initiation appeared to be more strongly associated with decline in average weekly alcohol consumption (-2.38 g; 95% Cl, -4.33 to -0.43) and quitting smoking (OR for current smoking: 0.63; 95% Cl, 0.53–0.75). Almost half (43.9%) of initiators and 24.3% of noninitiators of statins were users of antihypertensive medication at wave 1 (Table S9). In addition, 7.6% of initiators of antihypertensive medication and 4.7% of noninitiators had used statins.

The baseline period did not appear to modify the associations between user group and changes in BMI, alcohol consumption, or physical activity or between user group and odds of any of unhealthy behaviors at wave 2 (Tables S10 and S11; P>0.05 for user group-by-period interactions). Sex did not modify the associations between user group and change in BMI or weekly alcohol consumption or between user group and odds of any of unhealthy behaviors at wave 2 (Tables S12 and S13; P>0.05 for user-group-by-sex interactions). The average decline in physical activity was of the same magnitude in both sexes (Table S12). Among women, however, physical activity declined more among initiators than noninitiators (adjusted difference in change: -0.11 MET h/day; 95% CI, -0.19 to -0.04). Among men, no difference was found (adjusted difference in change: 0.01 MET h/day; 95% CI, -0.19 to 0.20; P=0.20 for user group-by-sex interaction). In the subgroup of physically inactive participants at baseline, the odds of physical inactivity associated with medication initiation were increased among women (OR: 1.20; 95% Cl, 1.08-1.32) but not in men (OR: 1.01; 95% Cl, 0.81-1.26; P=0.21 for user-group-by-sex interaction). Among those at least moderately active at baseline, no such sex difference was observed (OR, women: 1.10 [95% CI, 1.00-1.22]; men: 1.09 [95% Cl, 0.91-1.30]).

#### Discussion

In this large cohort study of Finnish adults free of CVD, there are 4 key findings. First, initiators experienced greater increases in BMI and were more likely to become obese than did noninitiators. This attenuates positive treatment effects and supports the substitution hypothesis. Second, the likelihood of becoming physically inactive was higher among initiators, a finding supporting the substitution hypothesis. Third, in support of the complementation hypothesis, smokers who initiated preventive medication were more likely to either quit or decrease smoking compared with untreated smokers. This is likely to amplify the effectiveness of pharmacotherapy. Fourth, although average alcohol consumption decreased more among initiators than noninitiators, there was no difference in the odds of heavy drinking.

This was the first large-scale, prospective, cohort study on the associations between use of preventive medications and lifestyle change. Prior research on the relationships between preventive medication use and lifestyle has typically considered either use of antihypertensive medications<sup>10,32</sup> or statins<sup>9,11,14,21–23,33</sup> but rarely both.<sup>12,20</sup> Most previous studies have been cross-sectional<sup>9,11,14,22,23,33</sup> and provide no information on potential lifestyle changes during the time window around the initiation of medication use. We included both antihypertensive and statin medications and also studied them separately. Using individual-level data with repeated measurements, we were able to determine each individual's lifestyle before and after medication initiation.

Our results on greater declines in smoking and increases in obesity among initiators of preventive medication agree with those from a small Canadian cohort study involving 769 new hypertensive participants of the National Population Survey (1994–2002) who also reported use of antihypertensive medication.<sup>32</sup> Another longitudinal study based on the Framingham Heart Study Offspring Cohort (1983–2001) showed an increase in obesity among statin users but no clear decrease in smoking.<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, statin use was associated with an increase in moderate alcohol consumption and physical activity in men but not in women. In addition, a study including 871 participants of the Inter99 health behavior intervention trial reported an association between increased physical activity and likelihood of initiating statin use over a 5-year period (up to 2006),<sup>20</sup> but no association was observed with initiation of antihypertensive medication. These results are consistent with the observations in our study population, the majority of whom started preventive therapy with antihypertensive medications. Together with the preceding observations and data from repeated crosssectional surveys from the United States<sup>23</sup> and Korea<sup>22</sup> showing faster increases in fat and caloric intake, obesity, and physical inactivity among statin users versus nonusers, our study provides more support for the substitution hypothesis (unfavorable or no lifestyle change) than for the complementation hypothesis (positive lifestyle change).

Statin initiation is associated with increase in muscle complaints,<sup>34</sup> potentially interfering with physical activity. A US study among older men reported that prevalent statin use was associated with modestly lower physical activity.<sup>21</sup> However, a longitudinal analysis showed that physical activity declined faster among initiators than among prevalent users or noninitiators. We observed virtually no differences in change in physical activity between initiators, prevalent users, and nonusers of statins in our cohort, which was younger, predominantly female, and free of CVD.

It is well documented that smoking cessation often results in weight gain, particularly in women, that cannot be prevented by increasing physical activity.<sup>35</sup> In our data, the average increase in BMI among quitters was 1.20 (SD: 2.05) for initiators and 1.09 (SD: 2.07) for noninitiators (data not shown). Although initiation of preventive medication was associated with quitting smoking, the difference in the increase of BMI remained approximately the same for initiators and noninitiators after excluding the quitters (adjusted difference: 0.18; 95% CI, 0.15–0.22).

#### Limitations

A key limitation of our study is the generalizability of the results. Our study population consisted of a relatively homogenous sample of white public sector workers, with only 16% of the participant observations related to men and the mean age being slightly >50 years. Furthermore, during the study period, intensive public health action took place in Finland<sup>36</sup> and may have affected the lifestyles of both medication users and nonusers. For example, smoking in public indoor places and workplaces was prohibited already in the 1990s and in restaurants in 2006. The national development program for the prevention and care of diabetes mellitus was implemented in 2000–2010.<sup>37</sup> This program aimed to increase awareness of diabetes mellitus and its risk factors (including the same lifestyle factors considered in this study) in the whole population through various activities, such as media campaigns and health fairs. Consequently, our results may not be generalizable to countries or settings with different preventive policies.

Furthermore, initiators of preventive medication have presumably received counseling from health professionals before initiation, as the clinical guidelines have recommended lifestyle change lasting for weeks or months as the sole intervention among individuals in primary prevention.<sup>29,38</sup> Unfortunately, we have no information on the contents and timing of this counseling or other forms of health promotion to which the medication users in this study were exposed. Because some participants may have initiated lifestyle change before baseline, we may have underestimated the change related to medication initiation. However, high-risk behaviors were more common among initiators than noninitiators at baseline; therefore, there was more room for improvement.

We did not have information on each participant's diet (eg, fat or fruit and vegetable intake), blood pressure, or cholesterol levels. Our noninitiator population is likely to be a mixture of patients free of hypertension or dyslipidemia and those who may have been diagnosed with these conditions but did not use medications; that is, a small proportion of noninitiators may be individuals among whom lifestyle modification alone was effective. Our definition of medication use was based on dispensation data, and we could not verify whether and how the dispensed medications were consumed. Self-reporting has been found to underestimate obesity,<sup>39</sup> smoking,<sup>40</sup> and alcohol consumption.<sup>41</sup> However, this is unlikely to have changed between waves, and each lifestyle factor has been shown to be associated with increased all-cause mortality and CVD event risk in these data, except for high alcohol consumption.<sup>26</sup>

In sensitivity analyses, we excluded participant observations linked to records indicating myocardial infarction, chronic coronary heart disease, chronic heart failure, or stroke between the survey waves and those indicating diabetes mellitus at any time before the second wave. This did not alter observed associations. However, other conditions such as respiratory events, cancers, or chronic gastrointestinal disease could also affect lifestyle factors and, if distributed unevenly between initiators and noninitiators of preventive medication, may have confounded the associations between medication initiation and lifestyle change. Furthermore, it is possible that the associations between medication initiation and increases in BMI were confounded by menopausal status (among women) or agerelated weight gain. When we analyzed these associations within 10-year age groups, BMI seemed to increase more among initiators than noninitiators in each age group, but the association between medication initiation and change in BMI was stronger among those aged 40 to 49 years (adjusted difference in change in BMI: 0.30; 95% CI, 0.22-0.37) than among the older age groups in the whole study population (adjusted difference in change in BMI, 50-59 years: 0.11 [95% CI, 0.05–0.17]; ≥60 years: 0.13 [95% CI, 0.02–0.24). This was true for women and men (data not shown); therefore,

Our findings support the notion that there is scope to improve management of lifestyle-related risk factors among individuals who have initiated preventive medication. Patients' awareness of their risk factors alone seems not to be effective in improving health behaviors.<sup>42</sup> The most recent primary prevention guidelines in Europe<sup>8</sup> and the United States<sup>43</sup> emphasize cognitive-behavioral strategies (eg, motivational interviewing, shared decision-making), multidisciplinary and team-based approaches, and evaluation of social and other individual-level determinants of health when choosing the optimal strategy for each patient.

#### Perspectives

our conclusions did not change.

Initiation of antihypertensive or statin therapy appears to be associated with lifestyle changes, some positive and others negative. This means that expansion of pharmacologic interventions toward populations at low CVD risk may not necessarily lead to expected benefits at the population level. More effective measures are needed to support the recommended lifestyle change in relation to the initiation of pharmacologic interventions for primary prevention.

#### Sources of Funding

This work was funded by the Academy of Finland (grant no. 138255), NordForsk (the Nordic Research Program on Health and Welfare to Kivimäki), the Academy of Finland (grant no.

311492 to Kivimäki) and Helsinki Institute of Life Science (to Kivimäki), and Hospital District of Southwest Finland (to Korhonen).

#### Disclosures

Setoguchi received research funding from and was a consultant for Pfizer Inc. and Janssen Research & Development, LLC. The remaining authors have no disclosures to report.

#### References

- Gu Q, Burt VL, Dillon CF, Yoon S. Trends in antihypertensive medication use and blood pressure control among United States adults with hypertension: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2001 to 2010. *Circulation*. 2012;126:2105–2114.
- Rikala M, Huupponen R, Helin-Salmivaara A, Korhonen MJ. Channelling of statin use towards low-risk population and patients with diabetes. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2013;113:173–178.
- Gu QP-RR, Burt VL, Kit BK. Prescription cholesterol-lowering medication use in adults aged 40 and over: United States, 2003–2012. NCHS data brief, no 177. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2014.
- 4. Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, Bairey Merz CN, Blum CB, Eckel RH, Goldberg AC, Gordon D, Levy D, Lloyd-Jones DM, McBride P, Schwartz JS, Shero ST, Smith SC Jr, Watson K, Wilson PW, Eddleman KM, Jarrett NM, LaBresh K, Nevo L, Wnek J, Anderson JL, Halperin JL, Albert NM, Bozkurt B, Brindis RG, Curtis LH, DeMets D, Hochman JS, Kovacs RJ, Ohman EM, Pressler SJ, Sellke FW, Shen WK, Smith SC Jr, Tomaselli GF; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2014;129:S1–S45.
- 5. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE Jr, Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, DePalma SM, Gidding S, Jamerson KA, Jones DW, MacLaughlin EJ, Muntner P, Ovbiagele B, Smith SC Jr, Spencer CC, Stafford RS, Taler SJ, Thomas RJ, Williams KA Sr, Williamson JD, Wright JT Jr. 2017 ACC/AHA/ AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2018;138:e426–e483.
- Muntner P, Carey RM, Gidding S, Jones DW, Taler SJ, Wright JT Jr, Whelton PK. Potential U.S. population impact of the 2017 ACC/AHA high blood pressure guideline. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71:109–118.
- 7. Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, de Jesus JM, Houston Miller N, Hubbard VS, Lee IM, Lichtenstein AH, Loria CM, Millen BE, Nonas CA, Sacks FM, Smith SC Jr, Svetkey LP, Wadden TA, Yanovski SZ, Kendall KA, Morgan LC, Trisolini MG, Velasco G, Wnek J, Anderson JL, Halperin JL, Albert NM, Bozkurt B, Brindis RG, Curtis LH, DeMets D, Hochman JS, Kovacs RJ, Ohman EM, Pressler SJ, Sellke FW, Shen WK, Smith SC Jr, Tomaselli GF; American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013 AHA/ ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2014;129:S76–S99.
- Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, Catapano AL, Cooney MT, Corra U, Cosyns B, Deaton C, Graham I, Hall MS, Hobbs FD, Lochen ML, Lollgen H, Marques-Vidal P, Perk J, Prescott E, Redon J, Richter DJ, Sattar N, Smulders Y, Tiberi M, van der Worp HB, van Dis I, Verschuren WM; Authors / Task Force M. 2016 European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: the Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts): developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:2315–2381.
- Lytsy P, Burell G, Westerling R. Cardiovascular risk factor assessments and health behaviours in patients using statins compared to a non-treated population. *Int J Behav Med*. 2012;19:134–142.
- Siven SS, Niiranen TJ, Aromaa A, Koskinen S, Jula AM. Social, lifestyle and demographic inequalities in hypertension care. *Scand J Public Health*. 2015;43:246–253.
- 11. Johal S, Jamsen KM, Bell JS, Mc Namara KP, Magliano DJ, Liew D, Ryan-Atwood TE, Anderson C, Ilomaki J. Do statin users adhere to a healthy diet and

lifestyle? The Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2017;24:621-627.

- Kinjo MC-CLE, Korhonen MJ, McGill RL, Setoguchi S. Potential contribution of lifestyle and socioeconomic factors to healthy user bias in antihypertensives and lipid lowering drugs. *Open Heart*. 2017;4:e000417.
- 13. Becker GS. Health as human capital: synthesis and extensions. *Oxf Econ Pap.* 2007;59:379–410.
- Kaestner R, Darden M, Lakdawalla D. Are investments in disease prevention complements? The case of statins and health behaviors. J Health Econ. 2014;36:151–163.
- Zhang Y, Tuomilehto J, Jousilahti P, Wang Y, Antikainen R, Hu G. Lifestyle factors and antihypertensive treatment on the risks of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:906–912.
- Milionis HJ, Rizos E, Mikhailidis DP. Smoking diminishes the beneficial effect of statins: observations from the landmark trials. *Angiology*. 2001;52:575–587.
- Keenan PS. Smoking and weight change after new health diagnoses in older adults. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169:237–242.
- Schneider KL, Andrews C, Hovey KM, Seguin RA, Manini T, Lamonte MJ, Margolis KL, Waring ME, Ning Y, Sims S, Ma Y, Ockene J, Stefanick ML, Pagoto SL. Change in physical activity after a diabetes diagnosis: opportunity for intervention. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2014;46:84–91.
- Gorin AA, Phelan S, Hill JO, Wing RR. Medical triggers are associated with better short- and long-term weight loss outcomes. *Prev Med.* 2004;39:612– 616.
- Hempler NF, Krasnik A, Pisinger C, Jorgensen T. The relationship between changes in health behaviour and initiation of lipid-lowering and antihypertensive medications in individuals at high risk of ischaemic heart disease. *BMC Public Health.* 2012;12:626.
- Lee DS, Markwardt S, Goeres L, Lee CG, Eckstrom E, Williams C, Fu R, Orwoll E, Cawthon PM, Stefanick ML, Mackey D, Bauer DC, Nielson CM. Statins and physical activity in older men: the osteoporotic fractures in men study. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2014;174:1263–1270.
- 22. Oh JY, Chekal L, Kim SW, Lee JY, Lee DC. Comparing the trend of physical activity and caloric intake between lipid-lowering drug users and nonusers among adults with dyslipidemia: Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (2010–2013). *Korean J Fam Med*. 2016;37:105–110.
- Sugiyama T, Tsugawa Y, Tseng CH, Kobayashi Y, Shapiro MF. Different time trends of caloric and fat intake between statin users and nonusers among US adults: gluttony in the time of statins? *JAMA Intern Med.* 2014;174:1038– 1045.
- Danaei G, Rodriguez LA, Cantero OF, Logan R, Hernan MA. Observational data for comparative effectiveness research: an emulation of randomised trials of statins and primary prevention of coronary heart disease. *Stat Methods Med Res.* 2013;22:70–96.
- Lallukka T, Halonen JI, Sivertsen B, Pentti J, Stenholm S, Virtanen M, Salo P, Oksanen T, Elovainio M, Vahtera J, Kivimaki M. Change in organizational justice as a predictor of insomnia symptoms: longitudinal study analysing observational data as a non-randomized pseudo-trial. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2017;46:1277– 1284.
- Halonen JI, Stenholm S, Pentti J, Kawachi I, Subramanian SV, Kivimaki M, Vahtera J. Childhood psychosocial adversity and adult neighborhood disadvantage as predictors of cardiovascular disease: a cohort study. *Circulation*. 2015;132:371–379.
- Kujala UM, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M. Modifiable risk factors as predictors of allcause mortality: the roles of genetics and childhood environment. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2002;156:985–993.
- Kouvonen A, Kivimaki M, Elovainio M, Vaananen A, De Vogli R, Heponiemi T, Linna A, Pentti J, Vahtera J. Low organisational justice and heavy drinking: a prospective cohort study. *Occup Environ Med.* 2008;65:44–50.
- 29. [Update on current care guidelines. Treatment of hypertension]. *Duodecim.* 2004;120:2473–2474.
- Pulakka A, Halonen JI, Kawachi I, Pentti J, Stenholm S, Jokela M, Kaate I, Koskenvuo M, Vahtera J, Kivimaki M. Association between distance from home to tobacco outlet and smoking cessation and relapse. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176:1512–1519.
- 31. Kivimaki M, Lawlor DA, Davey Smith G, Kouvonen A, Virtanen M, Elovainio M, Vahtera J. Socioeconomic position, co-occurrence of behavior-related risk factors, and coronary heart disease: the Finnish Public Sector study. *Am J Public Health*. 2007;97:874–879.
- Neutel Cl, Campbell N; Canadian Hypertension Society. Changes in lifestyle after hypertension diagnosis in Canada. Can J Cardiol. 2008;24:199–204.
- Thomsen RW, Nielsen RB, Norgaard M, Horsdal HT, Sturmer T, Larsen FB, Sorensen HT. Lifestyle profile among statin users. *Epidemiology*. 2013;24:619–620.

- Parker BA, Capizzi JA, Grimaldi AS, Clarkson PM, Cole SM, Keadle J, Chipkin S, Pescatello LS, Simpson K, White CM, Thompson PD. Effect of statins on skeletal muscle function. *Circulation*. 2013;127:96–103.
- Parsons AC, Shraim M, Inglis J, Aveyard P, Hajek P. Interventions for preventing weight gain after smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;1:CD006219.
- Borodulin K, Vartiainen E, Peltonen M, Jousilahti P, Juolevi A, Laatikainen T, Mannisto S, Salomaa V, Sundvall J, Puska P. Forty-year trends in cardiovascular risk factors in Finland. *Eur J Public Health*. 2015;25:539–546.
- Wikstrom K, Lindstrom J, Tuomilehto J, Saaristo TE, Helakorpi S, Korpi-Hyovalti E, Oksa H, Vanhala M, Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi S, Uusitupa M, Peltonen M. National diabetes prevention program (DEHKO): awareness and self-reported lifestyle changes in Finnish middle-aged population. *Public Health*. 2015;129:210–217.
- 38. Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, Graham I, Reiner Z, Verschuren M, Albus C, Benlian P, Boysen G, Cifkova R, Deaton C, Ebrahim S, Fisher M, Germano G, Hobbs R, Hoes A, Karadeniz S, Mezzani A, Prescott E, Ryden L, Scherer M, Syvanne M, Scholte op Reimer WJ, Vrints C, Wood D, Zamorano JL, Zannad F; European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention, Rehabilitation and Guidelines, ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012). The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on

Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). *Eur Heart J.* 2012;33:1635–1701.

- 39. Taylor AW, Dal Grande E, Gill TK, Chittleborough CR, Wilson DH, Adams RJ, Grant JF, Phillips P, Appleton S, Ruffin RE. How valid are self-reported height and weight? A comparison between CATI self-report and clinic measurements using a large cohort study. Aust N Z J Public Health. 2006;30:238–246.
- Fendrich M, Mackesy-Amiti ME, Johnson TP, Hubbell A, Wislar JS. Tobaccoreporting validity in an epidemiological drug-use survey. *Addict Behav.* 2005;30:175–181.
- Ekholm O, Strandberg-Larsen K, Gronbaek M. Influence of the recall period on a beverage-specific weekly drinking measure for alcohol intake. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2011;65:520–525.
- Scheltens T, Beulens JW, Verschuren WM, Boer JM, Hoes AW, Grobbee DE, Bots ML. Awareness of hypertension: will it bring about a healthy lifestyle? J Hum Hypertens. 2010;24:561–567.
- 43. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, Buroker AB, Goldberger ZD, Hahn EJ, Himmelfarb CD, Khera A, Lloyd-Jones D, McEvoy JW, Michos ED, Miedema MD, Munoz D, Smith SC Jr, Virani SS, Williams KA Sr, Yeboah J, Ziaeian B. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: executive summary. *Circulation*. 2019;140:e563–e595.

Table S1. Cross-tabulation of unhealthy lifestyle factors at baseline and during the second survey wave by use of preventive medication.

		Second survey (wave 2)							
	Initiators		Prevalent us	ser	Non-initiators				
Baseline	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
(wave 1)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)			
Obesity									
Yes	1252 (86.2)	200 (13.8)	4935 (86.1)	792 (13.8)	3326 (82.4)	712 (17.6)			
No	503 (7.2)	6470 (92.8)	1365 (7.0)	18 256 (93.0)	1574 (3.9)	38 479 (96.1)			
Total	1755 (20.8)	6670 (79.2)	6300 (24.9)	19 048 (75.2)	4900 (11.1)	39 191 (88.9)			
P value <sup>*</sup>	<.001		<.001		<.001				
Physical in	activity								
Yes	1355 (55.4)	1093 (44.7)	4715(58.2)	3390 (41.8)	4929 (49.6)	5001 (50.4)			
No	1193 (19.2)	5017 (80.8)	3853 (21.1)	14 410 (78.9)	5334 (15.1)	30 048 (84.9)			
Total	2548 (29.4)	6110 (70.6)	8568 (32.5)	17 800 (67.5)	10 263 (22.7)	35 049 (77.4)			
P value <sup>*</sup>	.04		<.001		<.001				
High alcoho	ol consumptio	n							
Yes	769 (59.2)	527 (40.7)	2180 (58.3)	1557 (41.7)	3375 (58.4)	2406 (41.6)			
No	460 (6.20)	6965 (93.8)	1390 (6.09)	21 420 (93.9)	2354 (5.93)	37 355 (94.1)			
Total	1229 (14.1)	7492 (85.9)	3570 (13.5)	22 977 (86.6)	5729 (12.6)	39 761 (87.4)			
P value <sup>*</sup>	.03	. ,	.002	. ,	.45	. ,			
Current sm	oking								
Yes	887 (71.7)	351 (28.4)	2263 (75.7)	725 (24.3)	4575 (77.7)	1317 (22.4)			
No	121 (1.7)	7076 (98.3)	353 (1.54)	22 449 (99.5)	636 (1.67)	37 501 (98.3)			
Total	1008 (12.0)	7427 (88.1)	2616 (10.1)	23 224 (89.9)	5211 (11.8)	38 818 (88.2)			
P value <sup>*</sup>	<.001		<.001	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<.001				
Cluster of 3	3–4 unhealthy	lifestyles							
Yes	145 (45.2)	176 (54.8)	486 (46.6)	556 (53.4)	359 (39.5)	551 (60.6)			
No	186 (2.2)	8288 (97.8)	604 (2.4)	25 126 (97.7)	601 (1.3)	44 387 (98.7)			
Total	331 (3.8)	8464 (96.2)	1090 (4.1)	25 682 (95.9)	960 (2.1)	44 938 (97.9 <sup>́</sup> )			
P value <sup>*</sup>	.53	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.16 ` ´	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.14	( ),			

Total number of participant-observations (% of those with data at baseline) who had data available on body mass index at both surveys 77 864 (97.5%), on physical activity 80 338 (99.2%), on alcohol consumption 80 758 (99.4%), and on smoking 78 304 (98.3%).

<sup>\*</sup> *P* values for test of pre-post change derived from McNemar's test. *P* values reported here do not take into account that each participant may have contributed to more than one dataset.

#### Table S2. Changes in body mass index, physical activity and alcohol consumption from the baseline survey to the second survey wave among users of preventive medication versus non-initiators. Those with cardiovascular disease or diabetes prior to the second survey excluded.

	Outcome				
Lifestyle	Mean (SD <sup>*</sup> )		Mean	β value (95% CI)	
factor/			change <sub>.</sub>		
User group	Baseline	2 <sup>na</sup> survey	(P value)	Unadjusted	Adjusted difference <sup>∓</sup>
				difference	
Body mass index	c (kg/m2)				
Initiators	26.1 (4.02)	26.6 (4.30)	0.55	0.16 (0.13–0.20)	0.21 (0.18–0.24)
(n=7645)			(<.001)		
Prevalent users	26.6 (4.33)	27.0 (4.52)	0.36	-0.01 (-0.03–0.01)	0.10 (0.08–0.12)
(n=22 380)			(<.001)		
Non-initiators	24.8 (3.66)	25.2 (3.84)	0.38	Reference	Reference
(n=43 616)	<i></i>		(<.001)		
Physical activity	(MET-hours/d	lay)			
Initiators	4.15 (3.72)	4.00 (3.60)	-0.14	-0.10 (-0.18–0.03)	-0.09 (-0.15-[-0.02])
(n=/851)	0.00 (0.40)		(<.001)		
Prevalent users	3.89 (3.48)	3.79 (2.89)	-0.10	-0.12 (-0.17–[-0.08])	-0.09 (-0.14–[-0.04])
(n=23 229)	4 70 (4 04)	4 00 (4 00)	(<.001)	Deferrer	Deferre
Non-Initiators	4.70 (4.01)	4.69 (4.03)	-0.01	Reference	Reference
(n=44 809)	ntion (grome)	wook)	(.54)		
Alconol consum	ption (grains/	<b>NEEK</b> )	2.44	2 25 ( 4 00 [ 0 62])	2 00 ( 2 76 [ 0 22])
(n-7007)	00.2 (107)	05.8 (109)	-2.41	-2.55 (-4.09-[-0.02])	-2.00 (-3.70-[-0.23])
$(\Pi = 7.907)$	65 4 (106)	62 2 (109)	(.02)	-1 67 (-2 83 [-0 52])	0 96 ( 2 09 0 27)
(n-23 386)	05.4 (100)	03.2 (100)	(< 0.01)	-1.07 (-2.00-[-0.02])	-0.00 (-2.00-0.37)
Non-initiators	61 0 (93 0)	60 6 (95 6)	-0.38	Reference	Reference
(n - 44.986)	01.0 (00.0)	00.0 (00.0)	(0.32)		Reference
Number of cigare	ettes /dav <sup>1</sup>		(0.02)		
Initiators	127 (670)	12 2 (6 66)	-0.46	-0 28 (-0 54-[-0 02])	-0 23 (-0 49-0 03)
(n=763)	(00)	(0.00)	(0.003)		
Prevalent users	12.0 (6.61)	11.8 (6.47)	-0.21	0.0002 (-0.18-0.18)	0.09 (-0.09-0.28)
(n=1938)		()	(0.03)	(	
Non-initiators	11.9 (6.57)	11.7 (6.44)	-0.20	Reference	Reference
(n=4468)	, <i>,</i> ,	. ,	(0.002)		

Cl=confidence interval, MET=metabolic equivalent of task, SD=standard deviation.

SDs and *P* values reported here do not take into account that each participant may have contributed to more than one dataset.

<sup>†</sup>adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves.

<sup>‡</sup>adjusted for baseline year, time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational, and employment status, smoking status and other lifestyle factors at baseline. <sup>1</sup> among those who were current smokers at both waves.

# Table S3. Odds ratios for obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption, and current smoking at the second survey wave for users of preventive medication (versus non-initiators) stratified by presence of the respective unhealthy lifestyle at baseline. *Those with cardiovascular disease or diabetes prior to the second survey excluded.*

<i>_p</i>	Initiators	<i>_</i>	Prevalent users		Non- initiators
Baseline	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>	
(wave 1)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	Ref.
Obesity					
Yes	1.47 (1.20–1.80)	1.50 (1.22–1.83)	1.29 (1.14–1.46)	1.35 (1.18–1.55)	1.00
No	1.82 (1.64–2.03)	1.82 (1.63–2.02)	1.69 (1.56–1.83)	1.79 (1.64–1.95)	1.00
Physical inactive	vity				
Yes	1.23 (1.121.37)	1.13 (1.02–1.26)	1.34 (1.26–1.42)	1.20 (1.12–1.29)	1.00
No	1.22 (1.13–1.32)	1.07 (0.99–1.16)	1.42 (1.36–1.49)	1.18 (1.13–1.24)	1.00.
High alcohol co	onsumption				
Yes	1.05 (0.92–1.20)	1.07 (0.93–1.22)	1.03 (0.94–1.13)	1.05 (0.95–1.15)	1.00
No	1.03 (0.92–1.16)	1.08 (0.96–1.21)	1.03 (0.96–1.11)	1.18 (1.09–1.28)	1.00
Current smokir	ng				
Yes	0.77 (0.66–0.89)	0.76 (0.65–0.90)	0.98 (0.87–1.10)	0.97 (0.86–1.09)	1.00
No	0.98 (0.79–1.22)	1.00 (0.80–1.25)	0.93 (0.81–1.08)	1.11 (0.95–1.30)	1.00
Cluster of 3–4	unhealthy lifestyle	S			
Yes	1.27 (0.95–1.70)	1.31 (0.98–1.75)	1.22 (1.00–1.48)	1.32 (1.07–1.62)	1.00
No	1.57 (1.30–1.90)	1.67 (1.38–2.03)	1.64 (1.44–1.87)	1.95 (1.71-2.23)	1.00

CI=confidence interval, OR=odds ratio.

<sup>\*</sup> adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves.

<sup>†</sup> adjusted for baseline year, time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational, and employment status, and other lifestyle factors at baseline (except for the cluster variable).

### Table S4. Changes in body mass index, physical activity, and alcohol consumption from the baseline survey to the second survey wave among initiators with at least 1 refill of preventive medication versus non-initiators.

	Outcome				
Lifestyle	Mean (SD)		Mean	β value (95% CI)	
factor/			change	Unadjusted	Adjusted
User group	Baseline	2 <sup>nd</sup> survey	(P value <sup>*</sup> )	differencec <sup>†</sup>	difference <sup>‡</sup>
Body mass inde	ex (kg/m2)				
Initiators with 1+	refills during the	he first year			
(n=6729)	26.5 (4.21)	27.0 (4.47)	0.53 (<.001)	0.13 (0.10–0.17)	0.20 (0.16–0.24)
Initiators with 2+	refills during the	he first year <sup>§</sup>			
(n=5934)	26.6 (4.23)	27.1 (4.49)	0.53 (<.001)	0.13 (0.09-0.17)	0.20 (0.16-0.24)
Non-initiators	24.9 (3.69)	25.2 (3.86)	0.37 (<.001)	Reference	Reference
(n=44 091)					
Physical activity	y (MET-hours	/day)			
Initiators with 1+	refills during the	he first year			
(n=6923)	4.07 (3.73)	3.89 (3.55)	-0.18 (<.001)	-0.12 (-0.20–[-0.05])	-0.09 (-0.17–[-0.01])
Initiators with 2+	refills during the	he first year <sup>§</sup>			
(n=6106)	4.03 (3.69)	3.85 (3.48)	-0.19 (<.001)	-0.12 (-0.19–[-0.04])	-0.08 (-0.16–[-0.002])
Non-initiators	4.69 (4.01)	4.68 (4.03)	-0.01 (.47)	Reference	Reference
(n=45 312)					
Alcohol consun	nption (grams	/week)			
Initiators with 1+	refills during the	he first year			
(n=6975)	70.9 (113)	68.0 (114)	-2.89 (.01)	-2.42 (-4.14–[-0.70])	-1.93 (-3.74–[-0.12])
Initiators with 2+	refills during the	he first year <sup>§</sup>			
(n=6149)	71.4 (112)	68.2 (116)	-3.17 (.01)	-3.13 (-5.20-[-1.06])	-2.27 (-4.41–[-0.14])
Non-initiators	61.1 (93.2)	60.6 (95.6)	-0.44 (.26)	Reference	Reference
(n=45 488)					

Cl=confidence interval, MET=metabolic equivalent of task, SD=standard deviation.

<sup>\*</sup>SDs and *P* values reported here do not take into account that each participant may have contributed to more than one dataset. <sup>†</sup>adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves. <sup>‡</sup>adjusted for baseline year, time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational, and employment status, smoking status and other lifestyle factors at baseline.

<sup>§</sup>According to the Finnish reimbursement rules, medications can be reimbursed for a maximum of 3 month-period at one transaction. Initial fill and 2 refills with a 3-month supply each would lead to 80+% adherence level during the first year.

Table S5. Odds ratios for obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption, and current smoking at the second survey wave for initiators of preventive medication with at least 1 refill (versus non-initiators) stratified by presence of the respective unhealthy lifestyle at baseline.

	Initiators			
Baseline	1+ refills during th	e first year	2+ refills during the	first year <sup>‡</sup>
(wave 1)	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Obesity				
Yes	1.43 (1.17–1.73)	1.46 (1.20–1.79)	1.39 (1.13–1.70)	1.42 (1.16–1.75)
No	1.93 (1.72–2.16)	1.93 (1.72–2.16)	1.96 (1.72–2.23)	1.95 (1.71–2.22)
Physical inactiv	vity			
Yes	1.30 (1.17–1.43)	1.15 (1.03–1.27)	1.33 (1.20–1.47)	1.17 (1.05–1.30)
No	1.34 (1.23–1.45)	1.10 (1.02–1.20)	1.37 (1.25–1.48)	1.11 (1.02–1.21)
High alcohol co	nsumption			
Yes	1.01 (0.88–1.15)	1.00 (0.86–1.14)	0.99 (0.86–1.14)	0.98 (0.84–1.13)
No	1.07 (0.95–1.21)	1.12 (0.98–1.27)	1.04 (0.93–1.18)	1.09 (0.96–1.23)
Current smokin	g			
Yes	0.73 (0.62–0.85)	0.72 (0.61–0.84)	0.72 (0.61–0.86)	0.71 (0.60–0.86)
No	0.96 (0.77–1.21)	0.98 (0.79–1.23)	1.01 (0.80–1.27)	1.02 (0.81–1.30)
Cluster of 3-4 u	inhealthy lifestyles	6		
Yes	1.42 (1.08–1.86)	1.46 (1.11–1.92)	1.31 (1.00–1.72)	1.34 (1.01–1.78)
No	1.68 (1.41–2.01)	1.75 (1.45–2.10)	1.71 (1.42–-2.06)	1.78 (1.46–2.16)

CI=confidence interval, OR=odds ratio.

<sup>\*</sup> adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves.

<sup>†</sup> adjusted for baseline year, time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational, and employment status, and other lifestyle factors at baseline (except for the cluster variable). <sup>‡</sup>According to the Finnish reimbursement rules, medications can be reimbursed for a maximum of 3

<sup>‡</sup>According to the Finnish reimbursement rules, medications can be reimbursed for a maximum of 3 month-period at one transaction. Initial fill and 2 refills with a 3-month supply each would lead to 80+% adherence level during the first year.

Table S6. Odds ratios for obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption, and current smoking at the third survey wave for initiators of preventive medication (versus non-initiators) stratified by presence/absence of the respective unhealthy lifestyle at baseline and wave 2.

Both at waves 1 and 2	Unadjusted <sup>®</sup> OR (95% CI)	Adjusted <sup>†</sup> OR (95% CI)
Obesity		
Yes (n=2213; 1995 cases )	1.23 (0.90–1.69)	1.22 (0.86–1.75)
No (n=23 986; 963 cases)	1.71 (1.46–2.01)	1.77 (1.50–2.10)
Physical inactivity		
Yes (n=3269, 428 cases)	1.24 (1.03–1.50)	1.07 (0.87–1.30)
No (n=18 880, 2291 cases)	1.25 (1.12–1.40)	1.12 (0.99–1.25)
High alcohol consumption		
Yes (n=2240, 1559 cases)	1.04 (0.83–1.31)	1.04 (0.81–1.33)
No (n=23 735, 1008 cases)	1.04 (0.87–1.23)	1.05 (0.87–1.27)
Current smoking		
Yes (n=2825; 2184 cases)	1.04 (0.82–1.31)	1.02 (0.80-1.31)
No (n=23 734; 186 cases)	0.99 (0.80–1.21)	1.06 (0.70–1.61)
Cluster of 3–4 unhealthy lifestyles		
Yes (n=273, 134 cases)	0.76 (0.44–1.30)	0.66 (0.37–1.19)
No (n=28 334, 317 cases )	1.33 (0.99–1.78)	1.43 (1.07–1.92)

CI=confidence interval, OR=odds ratio.

adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves.

<sup>†</sup>adjusted for baseline year, time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational, and employment status, and other lifestyle factors at baseline (except for the cluster variable).

Total number of participant-observations and number of cases with unhealthy lifestyle at wave 3 in parentheses.

## Table S7. Changes in body mass index, physical activity, and alcohol consumption from the baseline survey to the second survey wave among users of antihypertensive medications and statins versus non-initiators.

	Outcome				
	Mean (SD <sup>*</sup> )		Mean	β value (95% C)	
Lifestyle factor	(02)		change	Unadiusted	Adjusted
/User group	Baseline	2 <sup>nd</sup> survey	(P value*)	difference <sup>†</sup>	difference <sup>‡</sup>
Antihypertensiv	e medications	6			
Body mass inde	x (kg/m²)				
Initiators	26.5 (4.30)	27.0 (4.54)	0.55	0.17 (0.13–0.21)	0.20 (0.17–0.24)
(n=7091)			(<.001)		
Prevalent users (n= 22,576)	27.1 (4.68)	27.4 (4.83)	0.33 (<.001)	-0.02 (-0.04–0.004)	0.08 (0.06–0.10)
Non-initiators	24.9 (3.70)	25.3 (3.87)	0.36	Reference	Reference
(n=48 197)	- ( /	- ( )	(<.001)		
Physical activity	(MET-hours/	day)	· · ·		
Initiator	4.05 (3.67)	3.85 (3.53)	-0.20	-0.15 (-0.23–[-0.08])	-0.14 (-0.22–[-0.06])
(n=7317)			(<.001)		
Prevalent users	3.76 (3.43)	3.66 (3.36)	-0.10	-0.12 (-0.17–[-0.07])	-0.10 (-0.15–[-0.05])
(n=23 496)			(<.001)		
Non-user	4.64 (3.98)	4.63 (4.00)	01	Reference	Reference
(n=49 525)			(0.52)		
Alcohol consum	ption (grams/	week)	0.40		
Initiators	69.9 (113)	66.7 (110)	-3.13	-2.73 (-4.58–[-0.88])	-2.17 (-4.07–[-0.26])
(n=7.300)	66 1 (100)	62 7 (111)	(.004)	1 55 ( 2 62 [ 0 47])	0.05 ( 2.12, 0.22)
(n-23,666)	00.1 (109)	03.7 (111)	-2.47	-1.55 (-2.65 –[-0.47])	-0.95 (-2.15-0.22)
Non-initiators	61 4 (93 4)	60 9 (97 3)	-0.52	Reference	Reference
(n=49 723)	01.4 (00.4)	00.0 (07.0)	(.16)		
Statins			(		
Body mass inde	x (kg/m²)				
Initiators	27.1 (4.45)	27.5 (4.63)	0.40	-0.01 (-0.05–0.04)	0.09 (0.05–0.14)
(n=5457)			(<.001)		
Prevalent users	27.6 (4.44)	27.6 (4.58)	0.18	-0.18 (-0.22–[-0.14])	0.001 (-0.04–0.04)
(n=7373)			(<.001)		
Non-initiators	25.4 (4.06)	25.8 (4.26)	0.40	Reference	Reference
(n=65 034)	(AAFT ) (		(<.001)		
Physical activity		day)	0.00	0.00 ( 0.40, 0.00)	
Initiators	3.74 (3.47)	3.65 (3.35)	-0.09	-0.02 (-0.10–0.06)	0.04 (-0.04–0.12)
(II=00IU) Provalant usara	2 60 (2 25)	2 56 (2 20)	(.00)		0.06(0.01,0.12)
$r_1 = valetit users$	3.00 (3.23)	3.30 (3.30)	-0.03	-0.03 (-0.03–0.03)	0.00 (-0.01–0.13)
Non-initiatore	4 46 (3 90)	A A1 (3 88)	-0.05	Reference	Reference
(n=67.055)	4.40 (0.30)	4.41 (3.00)	(< 0.03)		
			(1001)		<u> </u>

Continued

	Outcome				
	Mean (SDª)		Mean	β value (95% C)	
Lifestyle factor			change	Unadjusted	Adjusted
/User group	Baseline	2 <sup>nd</sup> survey	(P value <sup>*</sup> )	difference <sup>†</sup>	difference <sup>‡</sup>
Alcohol consum	ption (grams/	week)			
Initiators	69.7 (106)	66.4 (111)	-3.32	-3.14 (-5.0–[-1.24])	-2.38 (-4.33–[-0.43])
(n=5649)			(.01)		
Prevalent users	68.4 (115)	65.3 (112)	-3.13	-1.30 (-3.08–[0.48])	0.59 (-1.35–2.54)
(n=7710)			(.01)		
Non-initiators	62.5 (97.9)	61.5 (99.4)	-0.96	Reference	Reference
(n=67396)		. ,	(.004)		

Cl=confidence interval; MET=metabolic equivalent of task; SD=standard deviation.

\*SDs and *P* values reported here do not take into account that each participant may have contributed to more than one dataset.

<sup>†</sup> adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves.

<sup>‡</sup> adjusted for baseline year, time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational and employment status, diabetes, prevalent use of other therapeutic class, smoking status and other lifestyle factors at baseline.

# Table S8. Odds ratios for obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption, and current smoking at the second survey wave for users of antihypertensive medications and statins (versus non-initiators) stratified by presence of the respective unhealthy lifestyle at baseline.

	Initiators		Prevalent users		Non- initiators
Baseline	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>	Ref.
(wave 1)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95%CI)	OR (95% CI)	
Antihypertensi	ve medication				
Obesity					
Yes	1.27 (1.06–1.52)	1.28 (1.07–1.54)	1.37 (1.22–1.53)	1.38 (1.21–1.57)	1.00
No	1.94 (1.73–2.17)	1.87 (1.67–2.10)	1.86 (1.69–1.99)	1.80 (1.65–1.96)	1.00
Physical inactive	vity				
Yes	1.34 (1.22–1.48)	1.20 (1.09–1.33)	1.43 (1.35–1.52)	1.23 (1.15–1.31)	1.00
No	1.36 (1.26–1.48)	1.14 (1.05–1.24)	1.48 (1.41–1.55)	1.18 (1.13–1.24)	1.00
High alcohol co	onsumption				
Yes	1.00 (0.87–1.15)	0.99 (0.85–1.14)	1.03 (0.94–1.12)	1.06 (0.96–1.17)	1.00
No	1.05 (0.93 – 1.17)	1.04 (0.91–1.18)	1.04 (0.97–1.12)	1.13 (1.04–1.23)	1.00
Current smokir	ng				
Yes	0.76 (0.66–0.88)	0.76 (0.65–0.89)	0.98 (0.88–1.10)	0.96 (0.85–1.07)	1.00
No	0.97 (0.76–1.24 <b>)</b>	0.96 (0.75–1.24 <b>)</b>	0.97 (0.85–1.10 <b>)</b>	1.14 (0.98–1.32)	1.00
Cluster of 3–4 u	unhealthy lifestyles				
Yes	1.26 (0.97–1.62)	1.28 (0.98–1.68)	1.35 (1.12–1.62)	1.39 (1.14–1.70)	1.00
No	1.72 (1.43–2.07)	1.73 (1.43–2.10)	1.73 (1.53–1.96)	1.86 (1.63–2.13)	1.00
Statins					
Obesity					
Yes	1.19 (0.98–1.44)	1.16 (0.96–1.40)	1.13 (0.98–1.32)	1.09 (0.92–1.29)	1.00
No	1.48 (1.30–1.67)	1.38 (1.21–1.57)	1.46 (1.30–1.64)	1.28 (1.13–1.45)	1.00
Physical inactive	vity				
Yes	1.27 (1.15–1.41)	1.10 (0.99–1.22)	1.32 (1.20–1.45)	1.04 (0.94–1.15)	1.00
No	1.34 (1.23–1.45)	1.04 (0.96–1.14)	1.39 (1.30–1.49)	1.02 (0.95–1.11)	1.00
High alcohol co	onsumption				
Yes	0.96 (0.83–1.12)	0.93 (0.80–1.08)	0.98 (0.86–1.12)	0.92 (0.80–1.06)	1.00
No	0.92 (0.81–1.04)	0.95 (0.84–1.09)	0.88 (0.79–1.00)	0.99 (0.87–1.13)	1.00

	Initiators		Prevalent users		Non- initiators
Baseline	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>b</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>	Ref.
(wave 1)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95%CI)	OR (95% CI)	
Current smokin	g				
Yes	0.65 (0.55–0.77)	0.63 (0.53–0.75)	1.08 (0.91–1.28)	1.08 (0.90-1.31)	) 1.00
No	0.92 (0.71–1.19)	1.01 (0.77–1.32)	0.65 (0.52–0.82)	0.87 (0.66-1.11)	) 1.00
Cluster of 3-4 u	inhealthy lifestyles				
Yes	1.04 (0.78–1.37)	1.00 (0.75–1.33)	1.29 (1.00–1.66)	1.13 (0.84–1.50)	) 1.00
No	1.28 (1.05–1.56)	1.13 (0.92–1.39)	1.38 (1.16–1.63)	1.22 (0.99–1.50)	) 1.00

OR=odds ratio; CI=confidence interval.

adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the surveys waves. <sup>†</sup> adjusted for baseline year and time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational, and employment status, prevalent use of other therapeutic class, diabetes, and other lifestyle factors (except for the cluster variable) at baseline.

Characteristics	Antihypertensive medication			Statins		
at baseline	Initiators	Prevalent	Non-	Initiators	Prevalent	Non-
(wave 1)	(n=7472)	users	initiators	(n=5736)	users	initiators
		(n=23 992)	(n=50 308)		(n=7829)	(n=68 207)
Baseline year						
2000/02	1947 (26.1)	4571 (19.1)	14559 (28.9)	1431(25.0)	771(0.9)	18875 (27.7)
2004/05	3129 (41.9)	7552 (31.5)	16592 (33.0)	2431 (42.4)	2258 (28.8)	22584 (33.1)
2008/09	2396 (32.1)	11 869(49.5)	19157 (38.1)	1874 (32.7)	4800 (61.3)	26748 (39.2)
<b>Age</b> , mean	52.3 (6.72)	54.8 (7.22)	50.9 (6.97)	54.8 (6.30)	58.3 (6.76)	51.3 (6.96)
(SD), years						
40–49	2950 (39.5)	6433 (26.8)	24694 (49.1)	1326 (23.1)	958 (12.2)	31793 (46.6)
50–59	3511 (47.0)	11663 (48.6)	20028 (39.8)	3235 (56.4)	3581 (45.7)	28386 (41.6)
60–78	1011 (13.5)	5896 (24.6)	5586 (11.1)	1175 (20.5)	3290 (42.0)	8028 (11.8)
Women	6197 (82.9)	20315 (84.7)	42104 (83.8)	4527 (78.9)	6101 (77.9)	57988 (85.0)
Married	5623 (76.2)	17870 (75.4)	38172 (76.7)	4346 (76.8)	5886 (76.2)	51433 (75.4)
Occupational sta	tus					
Upper-grade non-	2165 (29.3)	7232 (30.5)	16 561(33.2)	1710 (30.2)	2423 (31.4)	21825 (32.3)
manual workers						
Lower-grade non-	1979 (27.8)	6157 (26.0)	13 295(26.7)	1303 (23.0)	1673 (21.7)	18455 (27.3)
manual workers						
Clerks	529 (7.2)	1997 (8.4)	3448 (6.9)	455 (8.0)	612 (7.92)	4907 (7.3)
Manual workers	2720 (36.8)	8325 (35.1)	16535 (33.2)	2203 (38.9)	3016 (39.1)	22361 (33.1)
Employed	6858 (91.9)	19581 (81.8)	46549 (92.6)	5042 (88.0)	5287 (67.8)	62659 (92.0)
Body weight						
Normal	2999 (41.2)	8543 (36.6)	28199 (57.2)	1991 (35.5)	2378 (31.1)	35372 (53.1)
Overweight	2863 (39.3)	9181 (39.4)	16342 (33.2)	2369 (42.2)	3394 (44.4)	22623 (34.0)
Obese	1416 (19.5)	5600 (24.0)	4746 (9.63)	1249 (22.3)	1867 (24.4)	8646 (13.0)
Physical activity		/	,			
Active	2762 (37.3)	8105 (34.2)	22172 (44.5)	1928 (34.0)	2530 (32.7)	28581 (42.3)
Moderate	2502 (33.8)	8222 (34.6)	16514 (33.1)	1918 (33.8)	2658 (34.3)	22662 (33.5)
Inactive	2134 (28.9)	7406 (31.2)	11171 (22.4)	1831 (32.3)	2559 (33.0)	16321 (24.2)
Alcohol consum	otion					
Moderate	5256 (70.9)	16522 (69.4)	36482 (73.0)	3996 (70.2)	5333 (68.7)	48931 (72.2)
None	1042 (14.1)	3925 (16.5)	/140 (14.3)	843 (14.8)	1369 (17.6)	9895 (14.6)
High	1118 (15.1)	3376 (14.2)	6376 (12.8)	852 (15.0)	1065 (13.7)	8953 (13.2)
Smoking	4744 (04 0)	40.047(00.0)			5040 (00 4)	45004 (00.0)
Never	4/11 (64.8)	16 047 (68.6)	33607 (68.6)	3655 (65.7)	5319 (69.4)	45391 (68.3)
Former	1458 (20.1)	4572 (19.5)	8578 (17.5)	1107 (19.9)	1466 (19.1)	12035 (18.1)
Current	1099 (15.1)	2780 (11.9)	6823 (13.9)	798 (14.4)	875 (11.4)	9029 (13.6)
	althy lifestyle	S <sup>~</sup>	00000 (50.0)	0400 (40 5)	0440 (44.0)	00405 (50.0)
0	3414 (45.8)	10707 (44.8)	28299 (56.3)	2489 (43.5)	3446 (44.2)	36485 (53.6)
1-2	3746 (50.3)	12245 (51.2)	20925 (41.7)	2990 (52.2)	4023 (51.6)	29903 (43.9)
J-4	293 (J.9)	909 (4.1)	1013 (2.0)	∠40 (4.3) 2544 (42.0)	321 (4.2)	1703 (2.5)
HISTORY OF	NA	23 992 (100)	NA	2514 (43.8)	4907 (62.7)	16571 (24.3)
History of statin		4007 (20 E)	00EG (4 7)	ΝΑ	7020 (400 0)	ΝΙΔ
nistory of statin	(0.1) 000	4907 (20.5)	2300 (4.7)	INA	1029 (100.0)	INA
use Diabotos	202 (2 7)	1602 (6 7)	128 (0.0)	220 (5 9)	1000 (12 0)	912 (1 2)
Numbers are No.	$\frac{203(2.7)}{(0.1)}$	1002(0.7)	420 (U.9)	JJU (J.8)	1090 (13.9)	013(1.2)
Numbers are No.	(%) unless ot	herwise specif	ied. NA=not a	pplicable; SD=	standard deviat	ion.

Table S9. Baseline characteristics of the 81 772 participant-observationsstratified by use of antihypertensive medications and statins.

\*obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption, and current smoking.

•	Outcome				
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean	β value (95% CI)	
Lifestyle factor	. ,		change	Unadjusted	Adjusted
/User group	Baseline	2 <sup>nd</sup> survey	(P value)	difference	difference <sup>†</sup>
Baseline in 2000	0/02				
Body mass inde	x (kg/m2)				
Initiators	26.2 (4.12)	26.8 (4.37)	0.56	0.17 (0.11–0.25)	0.21 (0.14–0.29)
(n=2318)			(<.001)		
Prevalent users	26.7 (4.42)	27.1 (4.62)	0.44	0.06 (0.004–0.11)	0.12 (0.06–0.18)
(n=4699)	047(050)	05 4 (0 70)	(<.001)	Defenses	Deference
Non-Initiators	24.7 (3.58)	25.1 (3.72)	0.38	Reference	Reference
(II=13 200) Physical activity	(MET-bours)	(veb)	(<.001)		
Initiators	4 09 (3 70)	3 88 (3 44)	-0.21	-0 30 (-0 47_[-0 13])	-0 27 (-0 49_[-0 09])
(n=2352)	4.00 (0.10)	0.00 (0.44)	(01)	0.00 ( 0.47 [ 0.10])	0.27 ( 0.40 [ 0.00])
Prevalent users	3.73 (3.43)	3.72 (3.36)	-0.01	-0.10 (-0.23–0.04)	-0.06 (-0.17–0.08)
(n=4827)	· · · ·	( )	(0.80)		( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Non-initiators	4.58 (4.00)	4.64 (4.03)	0.06	Reference	Reference
(n=13 491)			(.07)		
Alcohol consum	ption (grams	/week)			
Initiators	67.3 (101)	69.3 (108)	2.01	-2.11 (-5.88–1.66)	-1.61 (-5.48–2.26)
(n=2376)	04.0 (400)	(1,1,1)	(0.28)	0.40 ( 0.05, 0.00)	
Prevalent users	64.8 (103)	69.6 (117)	4.77	0.16 (-2.65–2.98)	0.63 (-2.38–3.63)
(II=4003) Non-initiators	60 1 (88 4)	63 8 (08 2)	(.003)	Reference	Reference
(n=13574)	00.1 (00.4)	03.0 (30.2)	(< 001)	Kelelelice	Kelelelice
Baseline in 2004	1/05		(		
Body mass inde	x (kg/m2)				
Initiators	26.3 (4.16)	26.9 (4.46)	0.61	0.16 (0.10–0.23)	0.24 (0.17–0.31)
(n=3541)			(<.001)		
Prevalent users	27.0 (4.54)	27.4 (4.74)	0.40	-0.03 (-0.08–0.02)	0.13 (0.08–0.18)
(n=8048)			(<.001)	- <i>i</i>	<b>.</b> /
Non-initiators	24.8 (3.64)	25.3 (3.84)	0.45	Reference	Reference
(n=14 485) Physical activity		dav	(<.001)		
Initiators	1 16 (3 71)	3 81 (3 93)	-0.35	0.04 (-0.10_0.18)	0.04 (-0.10_0.19)
(n=3623)	4.10 (0.71)	5.01 (5.95)	-0.00 (< 001)	0.04 (-0.10-0.10)	0.04 (-0.10-0.13)
Prevalent users	3.88 (3.43)	3.52 (3.24)	-0.37	0.02 (-0.08–0.13)	0.02 (-0.09–0.14)
(n=8299)		0.01 (0.11)	(<.001)	0.02 ( 0.00 0.10)	
Non-initiators	4.85 (4.00)	4.47 (3.84)	-0.38	Reference	Reference
(n=14 852)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(<.001)		
Alcohol consum	ption (grams	/week)			
Initiators	69.3 (110)	64.9 (107)	-4.40	-3.53 (-6.80–[-0.26])	-2.76 (-6.11–0.59)
(n=3651)			(.01)		
Prevalent users	68.0 (107)	65.3 (112)	-2.61	-1.38 (-3.81–1.04)	0.17 (-2.47–2.78)
(I)=8353) Non-initiators	63 / (08 9)	61 7 (05 2)	(.01) -1.66	Poforonco	Poforonco
(n=14.915)	00.4 (90.0)	01.7 (80.3)	( 02)		
			(.02)		0 11 1

## Table S10. Changes in body mass index, alcohol consumption, and physical activity from baseline survey to the second survey wave among users of preventive medication versus non-initiators, by baseline year.

Continued

	Outcome				
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean	β value (95% CI)	
Lifestyle factor	× ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	change	Unadjusted	Adjusted
/User group	Baseline	2 <sup>nd</sup> survey	(P value)	difference	difference <sup>†</sup>
Baseline in 2008	3/09				
Body mass inde	x (kg/m2)				
Initiators (n=2566)	26.4 (4.31)	26.8 (4.51)	0.37 (<.001)	0.06 (-0.02–0.14)	0.11 (0.04–0.19)
Prevalent users (n=12 601)	27.2 (4.69)	27.4 (4.80)	0.22 (<.001)	-0.08 (-0.13–[-0.04])	0.04 (-0.01–0.09)
Non-initiators (n=16 350)	25.0 (3.82)	25.3 (3.99)	0.30 (<.001)	Reference	Reference
Physical activity	(MET-hours/	day)	· · · ·		
Initiators (n=2683)	4.05 (3.80)	4.16 (4.31)	0.11 (.13)	-0.15 (-0.31–0.002)	-0.13 (-0.29–0.03)
Prevalent users (n=13 242)	3.73 (3.42)	3.78 (3.48)	0.06 (.06)	-0.21 (-0.30–[-0.13])	-0.17 (-0.27–[-0.07])
Non-initiators (n=16 969)	4.64 (3.86)	4.89 (4.16)	0.24 (<.001)	Reference	Reference
Alcohol consum	ption (grams	/week)	· · · ·		
Initiators (n=2694)	69.4 (116)	64.6 (113)	-4.80 (.01)	-2.04 (-5.71–1.64)	-1.48 (-5.24–2.27)
Prevalent users (n=13 309)	65.4 (111)	60.6 (109)	-4.86 (.040)	-2.80 (-4.86–[-0.75])	-2.04 (-4.30–0.21)
Non-initiators (n=16 999)	59.9 (92.0)	57.2 (93.7)	-2.68 <sup>°</sup> (<.001)	Reference	Reference

CI=confidence interval, MET=metabolic equivalent of task, SD=standard deviation. <sup>\*</sup> adjusted for time interval between the survey waves. <sup>†</sup> adjusted for time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational, and employment status, diabetes, smoking status and other lifestyle factors at baseline.

Table S11. Odds ratios for obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption and current smoking at the second survey wave for users of preventive medication (versus non-initiators) stratified by presence of the respective unhealthy lifestyle at baseline, by baseline year.

	Initiators		Prevalent users		Non-		
			*		initiators		
Baseline	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>Ť</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>			
(wave 1)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	Ref.		
Baseline in 20	00/02						
Obesity							
Yes	1.71 (1.20–2.42)	1.62 (1.13–2.31 <b>)</b>	1.74 (1.35–2.24)	1.57 (1.21–2.05)	1.00		
No	1.96 (1.59–2.41)	1.97 (1.59–2.43)	1.99 (1.69–2.34)	2.05 (1.73–2.43)	1.00		
Physical inacti	ivity						
Yes	1.37 (1.15–1.64)	1.24 (1.03–1.50)	1.41 (1.24–1.61)	1.25 (1.09–1.44)	1.00		
No	1.27 (1.11–1.46)	1.06 (0.92–1.23)	1.45 (1.31–1.61)	1.20 (1.07–1.34)	1.00		
High alcohol c	onsumption						
Yes	1.17 (0.91–1.51)	1.12 (0.86–1.46)	1.06 (0.87–1.28)	0.98 (0.79–1.20)	1.00		
No	1.07 (0.89–1.30)	1.13 (0.93–1.37)	1.12 (0.97–1.29)	1.23 (1.06–1.43)	1.00		
Current smoki	ng						
Yes	0.60 (0.46–0.78)	0.59 (0.45–0.78)	0.81 (0.64–1.03)	0.80 (0.62–1.02)	1.00		
No	0.46 (0.28–0.75)	0.49 (0.29–0.82)	0.78 (0.58–1.04)	0.95 (0.69–1.30)	1.00		
Cluster of 3-4	unhealthy lifestyles						
Yes	1.36 (0.84–2.21)	1.41 (0.86–2.31)	1.54 (1.05–1.90)	1.44 (0.96–2.16)	1.00		
No	1.60 (1.16–2.20)	1.67 (1.21–2.32)	1.64 (1.28–2.10)	1.81 (1.40–2.35)	1.00		
Baseline in 20	04/05						
Obesity							
Yes	1.25 (0.94–1.66)	1.33 (1.00–1.77)	1.39 (1.13–2.24)	1.56 (1.25–1.96)	1.00		
No	1.80 (1.53–2.12)	1.78 (1.51–2.10)	1.73 (1.52–1.97)	1.77 (1.55–2.03)	1.00		
Physical inacti	ivity						
Yes	1.13 (0.98–1.31)	1.01 (0.87–1.18)	1.44 (1.29–1.61)	1.20 (1.06–1.35)	1.00		
No	1.37 (1.23–1.53)	1.17 (1.04–1.30)	1.49 (1.38–1.62)	1.19 (1.09–1.30)	1.00		
High alcohol c	onsumption						
Yes	0.88 (0.72–1.06)	0.91 (0.74–1.11)	1.07 (0.92–1.24)	1.15 (0.98–1.36)	1.00		
No	1.03 (0.87–1.21)	1.09 (0.92–1.30)	0.92 (0.81–1.05)	1.10 (0.96–1.26)	1.00		
Current smoki	ng						
Yes	0.78 (0.63–0.96)	0.74 (0.59–0.93)	0.92 (0.78–1.10)	0.90 (0.75–1.09)	1.00		
No	1.13 (0.82–1.58)	1.21 (0.86–1.71)	0.96 (0.74–1.24)	1.18 (0.89–1.56)	1.00		
Cluster of 3-4	unhealthy lifestyles						
Yes	1.24 (0.81–1.89)	1.16 (0.75–1.79)	1.42 (1.03–1.97)	1.29 (0.90–1.83)	1.00		
No	1.45 (1.11–1.94)	1.53 (1.15–2.03)	1.71 (1.39–2.10)	1.88 (1.51–2.34)	1.00		
Baseline in 2008/09							
Obesity							
Yes	1.24 (0.93–1.65)	1.25 (0.93–1.68)	1.22 (1.04–1.44)	1.25 (1.05–1.50)	1.00		
No	1.76 (1.45–2.14)	1.76 (1.44–2.14)	1.76 (1.56–1.98)	1.83 (1.61–2.43)	1.00		
Physical inact	vity						
Yes	1.40 (1.20–1.64)	1.28 (1.09–1.51)	1.46 (1.34–1.60)	1.22 (1.10–1.35)	1.00		
No	1.26 (1.11–1.44)	1.03 (0.90–1.18)	1.52 (1.41–1.63)	1.16 (1.07–1.25)	1.00		
High alcohol consumption							
Yes	1.10 (0.88–1.37)	1.11 (0.89–1.39)	0.97 (0.85–1.09)	0.97 (0.85–1.12)	1.00		
No	0.97 (0.79–1.18)	1.00 (0.81–1.22)	1.07 (0.96–1.19)	1.19 (1.06–1.34)	1.00		

	Initiators		Prevalent users		Non- initiators
Baseline	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>	
(wave 1)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	Ref.
Current smol	king				
Yes	0.86 (0.65–1.07)	0.86 (0.66–1.12 <b>)</b>	1.03 (0.88–1.22)	1.07 (0.89–1.27)	1.00
No	1.14 (0.96–1.86)	1.35 (1.00–1.82)	0.95 (0.79–1.14)	1.15 (0.77–1.41 <b>)</b>	1.00
Cluster of 3-4	4 unhealthy lifestyles				
Yes	1.15 (0.72–1.82)	1.21 (0.75–1.95)	1.31 (0.99–1.73)	1.37 (1.01–1.85)	1.00
No	1.98 (1.46–2.69)	1.99 (1.46–2.71)	1.89 (1.56–2.29)	2.14 (1.73–2.64)	1.00

Cl=confidence interval, OR=odds ratio. \* adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves. \* adjusted for baseline year, time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational, and employment status, diabetes, and other lifestyle factors at baseline (except for the cluster variable).

	Outcome							
Lifestyle	Mean (SD <sup>*</sup> )		Mean	β value (95% C)				
factor	. ,		change	Unadjusted	Adjusted			
/User group	Baseline	2 <sup>nd</sup> survey	(P value <sup>*</sup> )	difference <sup>†</sup>	difference <sup>‡</sup>			
Women								
Body mass ind	ex (kg/m2)							
Initiators	26.2 (4.29)	26.7 (4.55)	0.57	0.14 (0.11–0.18)	0.20 (0.16–0.23)			
(II=0919) Prevalent users	26 9 (4 68)	27 2 (4 81)	(<.001) 0.34	-0.05 (-0.08_[-0.03])	0 07 (0 04–0 10)			
(n=21,154)	20.0 (4.00)	27.2 (4.01)	(<.001)	0.00 ( 0.00 [ 0.00])	0.07 (0.04 0.10)			
Non-initiators $(p-27,062)$	24.7 (3.74)	25.1 (3.92)	0.40	Reference	Reference			
(II=37 003) Physical activit		e/dav)	(<.001)					
Initiatore	.y (₩∟1-11001 4.04 (3.61)	3 88 (3 10)	-0.17	0 001 (-0 07 0 07)	-0.11 (-0.19 [-0.04])			
(n = 7142)	4.04 (3.01)	3.00 (3.49)	(< 0.1)	0.001(-0.07-0.07)	-0.11 (-0.19–[-0.04])			
Prevalent users	3,75 (3,34)	3.66 (3.30)	-0.09	-0.12 (-0.17–[-0.08])	-0.09 (-0.13–[-0.04])			
(n=22,082)			(.003)					
Non-initiators	4.60 (3.86)	4.60 (3.89)	Ò.003	Reference	Reference			
(n=38 157)			(.87)					
Alcohol consur	nption (gran	ns/week)						
Initiators	52.9 (87.5)	50.6 (82.3)	-2.27	-2.42 (-4.98–[-0.86])	-1.76 (-3.40–[-0.12])			
(n=7200)			(.02)					
Prevalent users (n=22.231)	50.9 (86.5)	48.7 (86.7)	-2.26 (<.001)	-1.52 (-2.54–[-0.50])	-0.95 (-2.08–0.18)			
Non-initiators	49.5 (77.8)	49.2 (80.8)	-0.29	Reference	Reference			
(n=38 327)			(0.44)					
Men Dedu mese ind	ov (ka/m2)							
Body mass ind	ex(kg/mz)	07 0 (0 OE)	0.22		0.45 (0.07, 0.02)			
(n-1506)	20.9 (3.07)	27.3 (3.95)	(-0.32)	0.09 (0.02–0.18)	0.15 (0.07–0.23)			
Prevalent users	27 7 (4 11)	28.0 (4.37)	0.22	0.05 (-0.01–0.11)	0 14 (0 09–0 20)			
(n=4194)	21.17 (1111)	20.0 (1.07)	(<.001)	0.00 ( 0.01 0.11)	0.11 (0.00 0.20)			
Non-initiators	25.7 (3.33)	25.9 (3.45)	0.21	Reference	Reference			
(n=7028)			(<.001)					
Physical activit	y (MET-hour	's/day)		/ - / / - )				
Initiators	4.41 (4.26)	4.25 (4.01)	-0.16	0.001 (-0.19–0.19)	0.01 (-0.19–0.20)			
(n=1516)	2 01 (2 96)	2 90 (2 90)	(.14)					
(n=4286)	3.91 (3.66)	3.00 (3.00)	-0.11	-0.05 (-0.17-0.06)	-0.07 (-0.20-0.07)			
Non-initiators	5 19 (4 72)	5 09 (4 65)	-0.10	Reference	Reference			
(n=7155)	0.10 (11/2)	0.00 (1.00)	(.05)					
Alcohol consur	Alcohol consumption (grams/week)							
Initiators	144 (161)	139 (166)	-5.17	-3.17 (-9.15–2.82)	-2.05 (-8.29–4.19)			
(n=1521)	-		(.16)					
Prevalent users	144 (162)	141 (174)	-3.01	-1.37 (-5.28–2.55)	0.24 (-4.09–4.58)			
(n=4315)			(.18)		- /			
Non-initiators (n=7161)	123 (135)	122 (137)	1.12 (.37)	Reterence	Reference			

### Table S12. Changes in body mass index, physical activity, and alcohol consumption from the baseline survey to the 2nd survey wave among users of preventive medication (versus non-initiators), by sex.

CI=confidence interval; MET=metabolic equivalent of task; SD=standard deviation.

<sup>\*</sup> SDs and *P* values reported here do not take into account that each participant may have contributed to more than one dataset.

<sup>†</sup> adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves. <sup>‡</sup>adjusted for baseline year, time interval, age, sex, marital, occupational, and employment status, diabetes, smoking status and other lifestyle factors at baseline.

#### Table S13. Odds ratios for obesity, physical inactivity, high alcohol consumption and current smoking at the second survey wave for users of preventive medication (versus non-initiators) stratified by presence of the respective unhealthy lifestyle at baseline, by sex.

	Initiators		Prevalent users		Non- initiators		
Baseline	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>†</sup>			
(wave 1)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95%CI)	OR (95% CI)	Reference		
Women							
Obesity							
Yes	1.34 (1.08–1.68)	1.41 (1.15–1.73)	1.30 (1.15–1.47)	1.33 (1.16–1.53)	1.00		
No	1.78 (1.51–2.13)	1.84 (1.62–2.08)	1.79 (1.64–1.95)	1.82 <i>(</i> 1.66–1.99 <i>)</i>	1.00		
Physical ina	ctivity						
Yes	1.32 (1.19–1.46)	1.20 (1.08–1.32)	1.43 (1.33–1.53)	1.21 (1.13–1.30)	1.00		
No	1.33 (1.11–1.55)	1.10 (1.00–1.22)	1.49 (1.41–1.57)	1.17 (1.10–1.24)	1.00		
High alcoho	I consumption						
Yes	1.01 (0.87–1.17)	1.01 (0.86–1.19)	1.05 (0.94–1.17)	1.04 (0.93–1.16 <b>)</b>	1.00		
No	0.97 (0.87–1.09)	1.04 (0.93–1.18)	0.99 (0.92–1.07)	1.17 (1.07–1.27)	1.00		
Current smo	oking						
Yes	0.75 (0.64–0.89)	0.75 (0.63–0.89)	0.93 (0.83–1.06)	0.93 (0.81–1.06)	1.00		
No	0.98 (0.78–1.24)	1.01 (0.79–1.29)	0.93 (0.80–1.08)	1.16 (0.98–1.37)	1.00		
Cluster of 3-	-4 unhealthy lifestyl	es					
Yes	1.15 (0.83–1.61)	1.17 (0.83–1.64)	1.27 (1.02–1.58)	1.24 (0.97–1.60)	1.00		
No	1.65 (1.34–2.03)	1.75 (1.40–2.17)	1.74 (1.51–2.01)	2.01 (1.73–2.33)	1.00		
Men							
Obesity							
Yes	1.21 (0.83–1.76)	1.20 (0.80–1.91)	1.82 (1.67–1.98)	1.70 (1.24–2.33)	1.00		
No	1.78 (1.20–2.64)	1.76 (1.36–2.29)	1.80 (1.49–2.19)	1.78 (1.44–2.20)	1.00		
Physical ina	ctivity						
Yes	1.13 (0.90–1.40)	1.01 (0.81–1.26)	1.49 (1.28–1.74)	1.24 (1.04–1.46)	1.00		
No	1.29 (1.10–1.53)	1.09 (0.91–1.30)	1.53 (1.35–1.74)	1.23 (1.08–1.40)	1.00		
High alcoho	I consumption						
Yes	1.02 (0.81–1.28)	1.03 (0.81–1.31)	0.95 (0.81–1.12)	1.00 (0.84–1.20)	1.00		
No	1.17 (0.95–1.44)	1.13 (0.90–1.42)	1.17 (1.02–1.34)	1.16 (0.98–1.36)	1.00		
Current smo	oking						
Yes	0.70 (0.52–0.94)	0.68 (0.51–0.90)	0.99 <b>(</b> 0.78 <b>–</b> 1.25 <b>)</b>	0.94 (0.72–1.21)	1.00		
No	1.13 (0.75–1.69)	1.15 (0.76–1.74)	0.80 (0.57–1.10)	0.96 (0.69–1.34)	1.00		
Cluster of 3–4 unhealthy lifestyles							
Yes	1.45 (0.91–2.31)	1.57 (0.95–2.60)	1.75 (1.24–2.46)	1.81 (1.23–2.65)	1.00		
No	1.48 (1.07–2.06)	1.58 (1.13–2.21)	1.92 (1.56–2.36)	1.93 (1.52–2.44)	1.00		

OR=odds ratio; CI=confidence interval.

<sup>\*</sup> adjusted for baseline year and time interval between the survey waves.

<sup>†</sup> adjusted for baseline year and time interval, age, marital, occupational, and employment status, diabetes, and other lifestyle factors (except for the cluster variable)