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#### Abstract

Background: Changing environmental factors are likely responsible for the rising prevalence of allergic diseases in children. However, whether environmental exposures induce allergen sensitizations, and which allergen sensitization is related to the development of allergic diseases, is not clear. The study is aimed to investigate the association between environmental exposure, allergen sensitization, and the development of allergic diseases for further preventive intervention.

Methods: We conducted the Taiwan Childhood Environment and Allergic diseases Study (TCEAS) in kindergarten children in Taiwan. Skin prick tests for 6 allergens were performed. Information on the development of allergic diseases and environmental exposure was collected using standardized questionnaires. Multiple logistic regressions were used to estimate the association between environmental factors, allergen sensitization, and the development of allergic diseases. Results: A total of 3192 children were recruited. $485(15.2 \%)$ children had atopic dermatitis (AD), $1126(35.3 \%)$ had allergic rhinitis (AR), and 552 ( $17.3 \%$ ) had asthma. Children with environmental tobacco smoke exposure and fungi on the house wall had a higher risk of asthma, with ORs $(95 \%$ CIs) of 1.25 (1.03-1.52) and 1.22 (1.01-1.47), respectively. The mite sensitization rate was found to be the highest. Mite sensitization was associated with significant increases in the risks of AD, AR, and asthma, with ORs ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cls}$ ) of 2.15 (1.53-3.03), 1.94 (1.46-2.58), and 2.31 (1.63-3.29), respectively. Cockroach sensitization also increased the risk of asthma, with an OR ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) of 2.38 (1.01-5.61). Mite sensitization was associated with carpet in the home and fungi on the house wall, and milk sensitization was associated with breastfeeding duration. Conclusion: Environmental exposures play a role in the development of allergic diseases. Allergen sensitizations were associated with certain environmental exposures. Early environmental interventions are urgently needed to prevent the development of childhood allergic diseases.
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## INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades, the prevalence of allergic diseases in children has rapidly increased in industrialized countries. ${ }^{1,2}$ In Taiwan, the prevalence of asthma may have increased dramatically, ${ }^{3}$ and asthma is the most common chronic illness among children. ${ }^{4}$ Most previous studies of atopic diseases conducted in Taiwan and abroad have investigated allergic asthma, which was found in about $38 \%$ of asthmatic patients. ${ }^{5}$ However, the incidence of atopic dermatitis (AD) in the developed world has also increased over the past several decades. $A D$ is a chronic inflammatory skin disease with a peak onset in infancy, and a large majority of patients presenting skin symptoms in the first few years of life. ${ }^{6,7}$ In addition, allergic rhinitis (AR) is one of the most common chronic diseases in children. ${ }^{8}$ Because population genetic variability does not change with such rapidity, changing environmental factors are likely responsible for the increase in the number of individuals diagnosed with asthma, AD, and AR. ${ }^{9}$ Children with atopic diseases are most likely to have considerable school absences, family stress, and health care expenditures. Nowadays, most young children live in an indoor environment where many allergens exist. Therefore, the identification of indoor environmental factors among genetically susceptible children in Taiwan is urgently required.

Among environmental exposures, allergens are among the most important factors. Common indoor allergens for asthma include host dust mites, cockroaches, animal dander, and mold. ${ }^{10}$ Some studies have evaluated the environmental predictors of the early-life presentation of AD. ${ }^{7}$ For example, sensitization to food allergens (egg, milk, wheat, soy, and peanut) is associated with atopic dermatitis and is related to disease severity. ${ }^{11}$ Furthermore, other reported environmental factors, including cats, dogs, secondary tobacco smoke exposure, and air
pollution, may trigger respiratory allergic diseases. ${ }^{12,13}$

Many studies of allergen sensitization in allergic asthma, $A R$, and $A D$ have been conducted, although allergens and their associations with AD remain controversial. However, a large-scale environmental factors evaluation is lacking. Therefore, this study evaluated the relationships between environmental exposures, allergen sensitization, and allergic diseases in children. We conducted a cross-sectional study to investigate the prevalence of allergic diseases and allergen sensitization in a representative pediatric population in Taipei. We also investigated the associations among allergen sensitization of 6 chosen indoor allergens, environmental exposures, and the development of allergic diseases-asthma, AD, and AR.

## METHODS

## Study population

We conducted a school-based survey for allergic diseases in kindergarten children in 2010. Schools were chosen through stratified systematic sampling in New Taipei City to ensure a degree of geographical and social diversity and provide a reasonably representative estimate. In each school, subjects were selected through cluster sampling. Children were recruited, and their written informed consent documents or those from their guardian were obtained. Parents were invited to complete a structured questionnaire. The exclusion criteria were inability to answer questions in Chinese, prematurity, and congenital and chronic diseases.

## Case definition

Asthma was defined as a positive response to physician-diagnosed asthma together with a positive response to nocturnal cough or exerciseinduced wheezing in the past 12 months by using the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) questionnaire. Cases of AD

| All eligible participants $(\mathrm{n}=3192)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\%$ |

## Children

| Infant gender (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 1725 \\ & 1467 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.1 \\ & 45.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Premature birth ( $<37$ weeks) (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Yes <br> No <br> Missing | $\begin{gathered} 261 \\ 2833 \\ 98 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ 88.8 \\ 3.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Birth body weight (gm) |  |  |
| Mother |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Maternal age at delivery (years) $\text { Mean } \pm \text { SD }$ | $29.32 \pm 4.49$ |  |
| Maternal education (\%) |  |  |
| Junior high school and below Senior high school and above | $\begin{gathered} 185 \\ 3007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ 94.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Maternal Nationality (\%) |  |  |
| Taiwan Foreign countries | $\begin{gathered} 3005 \\ 187 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94.1 \\ 5.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Maternal history of atopy (\%) |  |  |
| Yes No | $\begin{aligned} & 1060 \\ & 2132 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.2 \\ & 66.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Family income per month (NT\$)* (\%) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & <600,000 \\ & >600,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 930 \\ 2262 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.2 \\ & 70.8 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; *NT\$ per year. a. Number of participants does not add up to total $N$ because of missing data
were defined using the questions "Has your child ever had AD diagnosed by a physician?" and" Has your child ever had a recurrent itchy rash for at least 6 consecutive half-month periods over the elbows, knees, face, wrists, neck, periauricular areas, or eyebrow areas?" Cases of AR were defined using the questions "Has your child ever been diagnosed as having AR by a physician?" and "Has your child ever had a problem with sneezing or a runny or blocked nose when your child did not have a cold or the flu?"

## Exposure measurements

The standard ISAAC-Chinese version questionnaire with the addition of questions concerning
environmental allergen exposures were taken home by children and answered by their parents. Some information on basic demographic characteristics, residential environmental factors (such as environmental tobacco smoking, pets and cockroaches in the home, dampness of the house, fungus on the house wall, and carpets in the home), and family history of atopic diseases was also collected using the questionnaire.

## Skin prick test

Skin prick tests (SPTs) for 6 common allergens were performed, including house dust mites (HDMs mix, including Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus [Der p], Dermatophagoides farinae [Der

| Characteristics | No. of <br> Subject | AD <br> Rate <br> $(\%)$ | OR | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | AR <br> Rate <br> $(\%)$ | OR | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | Asthma <br> Rate (\%) | OR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | $\mathbf{9 5 \% \mathrm { Cl }}$

## Environment

Duration of breast feeding (\%)

$\quad$|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 669 | 11.4 | 1.00 | 29.6 | 1.00 |  | 10.8 | 1.00 |  |  |
| $<6$ months | 1577 | 15.2 | 1.39 | $(1.06-$ | 32.7 | 1.16 | $(0.95-$ | 13.0 | 1.24 | $(0.93-$ |
|  |  |  |  | $1.84)^{\star}$ |  |  | $1.41)$ |  | $1.65)$ |  |
| 6 months | 535 | 17.6 | 1.66 | $(1.20-$ | 27.7 | 0.91 | $(0.71-$ | 14.4 | 1.39 | $(0.99-$ |
| $1.31)^{\star}$ |  |  | $1.17)$ |  |  | $1.97)$ |  |  |  |  |

## Older siblings (\%)



Furry pets at home (\%)

| No Yes | $\begin{gathered} 2500 \\ 550 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.1 \\ & 13.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 1.00 \\ 0.82 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.63- \\ & 1.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.7 \\ & 33.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 0.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.75- \\ & 1.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.8 \\ & 17.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.81- \\ & 1.32) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cockroaches at home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Yes | $\begin{gathered} 557 \\ 2541 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.9 \\ & 15.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.80- \\ & 1.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.5 \\ & 35.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.82- \\ & 1.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.7 \\ & 17.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (0.96- } \\ & 1.59) \end{aligned}$ |
| Carpets at home (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Yes | $\begin{gathered} 2947 \\ 196 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \\ & 17.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.84- \\ & 1.80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.3 \\ & 35.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.75- \\ & 1.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.8 \\ & 21.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (0.98- } \\ & 1.98) \end{aligned}$ |
| Fungi on house walls (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 1873 \\ & 1168 \end{aligned}$ | 14.4 17.6 | 1.00 <br> 1.27 | $\begin{aligned} & (1.04- \\ & 1.55)^{\star} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.8 \\ & 37.6 \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 1.18 | $\begin{aligned} & (1.01- \\ & 1.37)^{\star} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \\ & 18.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.01- \\ & 1.47)^{\star} \end{aligned}$ |

## Environmental tobacco smoke exposure (\%)

| No Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 1282 \\ & 1758 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \\ & 15.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 0.93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.76- \\ & 1.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.4 \\ & 37.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.06- \\ & 1.43)^{\star} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.2 \\ & 18.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1.03- \\ & 1.52)^{\star} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incense burning at home (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1382 | 14.8 | 1.00 |  | 33.9 | 1.00 |  | 16.8 | 1.00 |  |
| Yes | 1612 | 16.5 | 1.14 | $\begin{aligned} & (0.94- \\ & 1.39) \end{aligned}$ | 36.4 | 1.12 | $\begin{aligned} & (0.96- \\ & 1.30) \end{aligned}$ | 17.9 | 1.08 | $\begin{aligned} & (0.90- \\ & 1.31) \end{aligned}$ |

## Residence location

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban | 1009 | 17.1 | 1.00 |  | 47.4 | 1.00 |  | 18.4 | 1.00 | $(0.3-13-$ |
| Country | 38 | 7.9 | 0.41 | $(0.13-$ | 35.5 | 0.61 | $(0.32-$ | 15.6 | 0.82 | $(0.35-$ |
|  |  |  |  | $1.36)$ |  |  | $1.17)$ |  |  | $1.89)$ |


| Characteristics | No. of Subject | AD <br> Rate <br> (\%) | OR | 95\% CI | AR Rate (\%) | OR | 95\% CI | Asthma <br> Rate (\%) | OR | 95\% CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Living near main road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 326 | 14.4 | 1.00 |  | 35.0 | 1.00 |  | 17.8 | 1.00 |  |
| $\geq 1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 2524 | 15.7 | 1.11 | $\begin{aligned} & (0.80- \\ & 1.53) \end{aligned}$ | 37.7 | 0.89 | $\begin{aligned} & (0.70- \\ & 1.13) \end{aligned}$ | 17.0 | 0.95 | $\begin{aligned} & (0.70- \\ & 1.28) \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2. (Continued) Environmental risk factors for allergic diseases ( $N=3192$ ) * $P<0.05$. Number of participants does not add up to total $N$ because of missing data
f], Dermatophagoides microceras [Der m] and Blomia tropicalis [Blo t] allergens), dog dander, cockroaches, egg, milk, and crab allergens (ALKAbelló, Round Rock, TX, USA). With a positive reaction to an allergen, the skin becomes itchy within a few minutes and then becomes red and swollen with a in the center. Histamine ( $0.1 \%$ ) in phosphate buffered saline and normal saline were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Children were advised to not take antihistamines for 72 h before the clinic appointment. The tests results were recorded in 15 min , and the mean wheal diameters were calculated (sum of the longest diameter and the diameter perpendicular to it divided by 2 ). In the presence of a positive control ( $>3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), a mean wheal diameter of at least 3 mm greater than the negative control was taken as positive for an allergen.

## Statistical analysis

Multiple logistic regressions were performed to estimate the association between environmental factors, allergen sensitization, and the development of allergic diseases, with adjustments made for potential confounders. The effect of environmental exposures on allergen sensitization was also evaluated. The confounders adjusted for in the model were selected based on the literature, and the standard statistical procedures 10\% change in point estimate. All tests assumed a twosided alternative hypothesis and a 0.05 significance level. All hypothesis tests were two-sided at the significance level of 0.05 and performed with SAS software version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

## RESULTS

A total of 3192 children were recruited. Table 1 provides the demographic characteristics of the
study population. Specifically, 485 (15.2\%) children had AD, 1126 (35.3\%) had AR, and 552 (17.3\%) had asthma. Of all the risk factors collected, children with fungi on house walls had higher risks of AD [OR ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ): 1.27 (1.04-1.55)], AR [OR ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ): 1.18 (1.01-1.37)], and asthma [OR (95\% CI): 1.22 (1.01-1.47)] (Table 2). Children with environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure had higher risks of both AR and asthma, with ORs ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cls}$ ) of 1.23 (1.06-1.43) and 1.23 (1.06-1.52), respectively. Breastfeeding duration is the last risk factor noted. A breastfeeding duration of more than 6 months had a strong association with AD [OR ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ): 1.66 (1.20-4.31)].

Of all the allergens tested, the mite sensitization rate was found to be the highest, with mite sensitization found in $29 \%$ of all participants. The prevalence of other allergen sensitization by SPTs was lower than 4\% for each one. The gender difference for allergen sensitization was not significant, except for mite; mite sensitization was slightly higher in boys (Table 3).

The association between allergen sensitization and allergic diseases was significant for some aeroallergens. Mite sensitization was associated with significant increases in the risks of $A D, A R$, and asthma, with ORs ( $95 \%$ Cls) of 2.15 (1.53-3.03), 1.94 (1.46-2.58), and 2.31 (1.63-3.29), respectively. Cockroach sensitization also increased the risk of asthma, with an OR ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) of 2.38 (1.01-5.61) (Table 4). However, the association of other aeroallergen and food allergen sensitization with allergic diseases failed to reach statistical significance after adjustments were made for confounding variables.

Mite sensitization was associated with carpets at home and fungi on the house wall, with ORs (95\%

| Characteristics | Total | Boys | $\%$ | Girls | $\%$ | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| House dust mite | 3192 |  |  |  |  | 0.007 |
| - | 2266 | 1191 | 69.0 | 1075 | 73.3 |  |
| + | 926 | 535 | 31.0 | 391 | 26.7 |  |
| Cockroach |  |  |  |  |  | 0.549 |
| - | 3092 | 1669 | 96.7 | 1423 | 97.1 |  |
| + | 100 | 57 | 3.3 | 43 | 2.9 |  |
| Dog dander | 3175 | 1717 | 99.5 | 1458 | 99.5 |  |
| - | 17 | 9 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.5 |  |
| + | 3166 | 1712 | 99.2 | 1454 | 99.2 |  |
| Milk | 26 | 14 | 0.8 | 12 | 0.8 |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  | 0.983 |
| + | 3170 | 1712 | 99.2 | 1458 | 99.5 | 0.366 |
| Egg | 22 | 14 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.5 |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| + | 3170 | 1712 | 99.2 | 1458 | 99.5 |  |
| Crab | 22 | 14 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.5 |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| + |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. The prevalence of allergen sensitizations based on skin prick tests

Cls) of 1.44 (1.06-1.94) and 1.24 (1.06-1.46), respectively (Table 5). Cockroach sensitization was associated with cockroaches at home, and milk sensitization was associated with breastfeeding duration (Table 6). Nevertheless, no difference was observed in sensitization to animal dander and furry pets at home.

## DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated a fast-growing prevalence of allergic diseases in children in Taiwan. Participants with fungi on houses wall were found to have a higher risk of such diseases. Among the allergens selected, mite sensitization had the highest prevalence and was associated with significant increases in the risks of asthma, AR, and AD. Environmental tobacco smoke exposure,
carpets at home, and breastfeeding duration were also important risk factors.

According to previous studies, childhood AD prevalence in Taiwan considerably increased from $7.2 \%$ in 1998 to $18 \%$ in $2002 .{ }^{14,15}$ In 2008, Ho et al revealed a prevalence of $10.7 \% .^{16}$ Our study found a prevalence of $15.2 \%$ in preschool children, which is compatible with the reported trend. The statistic is close to those reported in similar urbanization regions in Asia: 12\%-13\% in Japan ${ }^{17}$ and more than $11 \%$ in Korea. ${ }^{18}$ The prevalence of AR in industrialized countries has also dramatically increased to $20 \%-40 \%$ in children. ${ }^{19}$ It is consistent with our finding of $35.3 \%$, which is higher than the previous Taiwan survey finding of $27.6 \%$ in $2002 .^{20}$ For asthma, the present study revealed a prevalence of $17 \%$, which is slightly higher than not only previous data from Taipei but also data from other industrialized

| Skin prick test | Total$\mathbf{N}=3192$ | Atopic dermatitis $\mathrm{N}=485$ |  |  | Allergic rhinitis $\mathrm{N}=1126$ |  |  | Asthma $\mathrm{N}=552$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR } \\ (95 \% \\ \text { CI) } \end{gathered}$ | Adjusted <br> (95\% CI) | n (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR } \\ (95 \% \\ \text { Cl) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Adjusted } \\ & \text { OR } \\ & (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \end{aligned}$ | n (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR } \\ (95 \% \\ \text { CI) } \end{gathered}$ | Adjusted OR (95\% $\mathrm{Cl})$ |
| Aeroallergen Mite (-) | 2266 | $\begin{gathered} 277 \\ (57.1) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{gathered} 669 \\ (59.4) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{gathered} 309 \\ (56.0) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (+) | 926 | $\begin{gathered} 208 \\ (42.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.08 \\ (1.71- \\ 2.54)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.15 \\ & (1.53- \\ & 3.03)^{\star} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 457 \\ (40.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.33 \\ (1.99 \\ 2.72)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.94 \\ & (1.46- \\ & 2.58)^{\star} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 243 \\ (44.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.25 \\ (1.87- \\ 2.72)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.31(1.63- \\ 3.29)^{*} \end{gathered}$ |
| Cockroach (-) | 3092 | $\begin{gathered} 466 \\ (96.1) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 1080 \\ (95.9) \end{array}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{gathered} 522 \\ (94.6) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (+) | 100 | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (3.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.32 \\ (0.79- \\ 2.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.89 \\ (0.30- \\ 2.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ (4.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.59 \\ (1.06- \\ 2.37)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.75 \\ & (0.79- \\ & 3.85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (5.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.11 \\ (1.36- \\ 3.27)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.38(1.01- \\ 5.61)^{*} \end{gathered}$ |
| Animal (-) Dander | 3175 | $\begin{gathered} 481 \\ (99.2) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1119 \\ (99.4) \end{array}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{gathered} 548 \\ (99.3) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (+) | 17 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.72 \\ (0.56- \\ 5.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.39 \\ & (0.15- \\ & 12.65) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.29 \\ (0.49- \\ 3.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.27 \\ (0.21- \\ 7.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.48 \\ (0.48- \\ 4.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.62(0.18- \\ 14.80) \end{gathered}$ |
| Food allergen Milk (-) | 3166 | $\begin{gathered} 479 \\ (98.8) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 1110 \\ (98.6) \end{array}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{gathered} 543 \\ (98.4) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (+) | 26 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.68 \\ (0.67- \\ 4.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.41 \\ & (0.45- \\ & 13.02) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.96 \\ (1.34- \\ 6.55)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.17 \\ (0.25- \\ 5.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.56 \\ (1.13- \\ 5.77)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.06(0.38- \\ 11.07) \end{gathered}$ |
| Egg ( - ) | 3170 | $\begin{gathered} 479 \\ (98.8) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{gathered} 1116 \\ (99.1) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{gathered} 549 \\ (99.5) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (+) | 22 | $\begin{gathered} 6_{1.2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.11 \\ (0.82- \\ 5.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.60 \\ (0.16- \\ 15.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.53 \\ (0.66- \\ 3.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.55 \\ (0.06- \\ 5.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.75 \\ (0.22- \\ 2.56) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Crab (-) | 3170 | $\begin{gathered} 482 \\ (99.4) \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 1116 \\ (99.1) \end{array}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 548 \\ (99.3) \end{array}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (+) | 22 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.88 \\ (0.26- \\ 2.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.98 \\ (0.11- \\ 8.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (45.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.53 \\ (0.66- \\ 3.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.36 \\ (0.04- \\ 3.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \\ & (0.36- \\ & 3.15) \end{aligned}$ | - |

Table 4. Association of aeroallergen and food allergen sensitizations with allergic diseases Abbreviations: $O R$, odd ratio; $C l$, confidence interval; *P $<0.05$.\#Model adjusted for gender, ETS exposure, fungi on house walls, and residence location
countries. ${ }^{21,22}$ The allergic phenotype of asthma was estimated as $42 \%$, which is also slightly higher than the previous literature. It may be attributed to differences in air pollution, humidity, lifestyle, temperature, and housing conditions.

The fast growing prevalence implies that environmental factors play a stronger role than genetics. Among all the risk factors collected in our study, children with ETS exposure and fungi on the house wall had higher risks of asthma and AR (Table 2). Our survey showed an increased risk of
over $20 \%$ in children with ETS exposure, which is consistent with that in a previous meta-analysis. ${ }^{23}$ Another exposure, fungi on house walls, can be interpreted as visible mold and dampness. Our results are in line with those of previous European cohort studies, ${ }^{24,25}$ which demonstrated a higher risk in children exposed to any mold or dampness.

For AD, our study revealed significant odds ratios for breastfeeding duration and fungi on the house wall. Munblit D et al. claimed that conflicting

| Characteristics | Total $\mathbf{N}=3192$ | Mite sensitization |  | OR (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% |  |
| Day care (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2328 \\ 704 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 671 \\ & 197 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} 28.8 \\ 28.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 0.96(0.80-1.16) \end{gathered}$ |
| Furry pets at home (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2500 \\ 550 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 731 \\ 151 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.2 \\ & 27.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 0.92(0.75-1.13) \end{gathered}$ |
| Cockroaches at home |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 557 \\ 2541 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 165 \\ & 735 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|l} 29.6 \\ 28.9 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 0.97(0.79-1.18) \end{gathered}$ |
| Carpets at home (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2947 \\ 196 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 835 \\ 71 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 28.3 \\ 36.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 1.44(1.06-1.94)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ |
| Fungi on house walls (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1873 \\ & 1168 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 506 \\ & 368 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 27.0 \\ & 31.5 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 1.24(1.06-1.46)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ |
| Environmental tobacco smoke exposure (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1758 \\ & 1282 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 505 \\ 372 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.7 \\ & 29.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 1.01(0.87-1.19) \end{gathered}$ |
| Incense burning at home (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1382 \\ & 1612 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 387 \\ & 485 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 28.0 \\ 30.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 1.11(0.94-1.30) \end{gathered}$ |
| Residence location |  |  |  |  |
| Urban Country | $\begin{gathered} 1009 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 274 \\ 7 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.2 \\ & 18.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.65(0.72-3.79) \\ 1.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Living near main road |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & <1 \mathrm{~km} \\ & \geq 1 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2524 \\ 326 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 735 \\ 98 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|l\|} 29.1 \\ 30.1 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.96 \text { (0.74-1.23) } \\ 1.00 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5. Association of environment exposures with mite sensitizations * $P<0.05$. Number of participants does not add up to total $N$ because of missing data
evidence exists related to breastfeeding being a protector against allergic diseases among children. ${ }^{26}$ Based on the data, it may even be a risk factor for AD; however, we cannot exclude other potential benefits of breastfeeding; the association between breastfeeding and allergic disease development requires further investigation. In addition, there might exist a reverse causation when mothers whose children have $A D$ tend to breastfeed their children.

Notably, in this study, the prevalence of mite sensitization was the highest among all allergens tested (Table 3). In addition to asthma and AR, our study showed that HDMs were crucial triggers of

AD (Table 4). Because the allergy-related process of mites mainly occurs through the airway, it is widely accepted that the process predominantly influences asthma and AR. Whether HDM sensitization plays a role in the development of $A D$ is still controversial. In a meta-analysis, Bremmer et al revealed no evidence of dust mite avoidance for AD prevention. ${ }^{27}$ However, the present study result supports the positive association between mite sensitization and AD. This may be explained by skin barrier destruction, thus rendering children vulnerable to contact with the allergen, or by systemic hypersensitivity to mites through $\operatorname{lgE}$ process. We highly suspect that there is a subgroup of potential children with AD who can

| Environment exposures | Total $\mathbf{N}=3192$ | Cockroach sensitization |  | OR (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% |  |
| Cockroaches at home |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 557 \\ 2541 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 1.96(1.02-3.80)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Animal dander sensitization |  | OR (95\% CI) |
|  |  | N | \% |  |
| Furry pets at home (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $\begin{gathered} 2500 \\ 550 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 1.40(0.46-4.32) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Milk sensitization |  | OR (95\% CI) |
|  |  | N | \% |  |
| Duration of breast feeding (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| No <br> $<6$ months <br> $\geq 6$ months | $\begin{gathered} 669 \\ 1577 \\ 535 \end{gathered}$ | 1 12 10 | 0.1 0.8 1.9 | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 5.12(0.67-39.47) \\ 12.72(1.62-99.71)^{\star} \end{gathered}$ |

Table 6. Association of environment exposures determined using a questionnaire with allergen sensitizations by skin prick tests * $P<0.05$. Number of participants does not add up to total $N$ because of missing data
benefit from mite avoidance; this requires further exploration.

Risk factors for specific allergen sensitization were identified in this study. We found that mite sensitization was associated with carpets in the home and fungi on house walls (Table 5). This result implies that an awareness of indoor humidity and routine carpet management are vital. Although we may not comprehensively remove all possible allergen particles, this would eliminate some important risk factors; measures for this include setting up a dehumidifier, simply removing any carpet-like furniture or other miteavoidance procedures. ${ }^{27,28}$ Notably, only fungi on the house wall had a significant association with allergic diseases, not carpets in the home. Carpet-like furniture might be considered an allergens reservoir, ${ }^{29}$ which may enhance penetration of the causal pathway from mite exposure to mite sensitization and allergic diseases.

In the present study, we observed significantly higher HDM sensitization in boys than in girls
(Table 3). Our study supports previous findings of a gender difference. Keller et al ${ }^{30}$ suggested that male participants have a higher risk of being allergic in childhood, perhaps due to anatomical differences and immune response profiles, which may cause boys to be more sensitive to allergens.

Furthermore, we demonstrated that only sensitization to particular allergens was associated with the same environmental exposures (Table 6). For example, cockroach sensitization was associated with cockroaches in the home. Because cockroaches may not appear when people are awake, a positive SPT finding may be indicative of a problem. Although no allergic symptom is noted yet, cockroaches at home are still a potential hazard and possibly induce allergic diseases, especially asthma. ${ }^{31}$ Another strong association noted in the study was that between milk sensitization and breastfeeding duration.

To investigate the associations among environmental exposures, allergen sensitization, and allergic disease development, we conducted SPTs as opposed to multiple allergen simultaneous tests
(MASTs) through blood. Although it is noteworthy that a certain population had negative SPT results but had allergic symptoms or diseases, for kindergarten participants, SPT is preferred because it is painless, simple, and well tolerated. Furthermore, it is less expensive and more sensitive, and the results are available onsite within 20 min . We use SPTs as a convenient and noninvasive surrogate to evaluate the effect of whole environmental exposures on children in a population scale (Table 5). Our study showed that the SPT is informative and may serve as a convenient tool for detection of allergen sensitization after environmental exposures. This may help early prevention of the development of allergic diseases.

The present study has some potential limitations that may affect the interpretation of our results. First, we used a questionnaire for exposure evaluation without objective data. However, the validity of the questionnaire for exposure measurement, such as ETS and pet exposure, has been recognized, and it is an acceptable substitute for laboratory results. ${ }^{32,33}$ Moreover, some researchers have suggested that participants tend to underestimate exposures, ${ }^{34}$ which made the results toward the null. Thus, the questionnaire is still a suitable measure in such a large population. Secondly, some environmental risk factors were evaluated in an indirect way. However, it is very difficult to perform direct environmental exposure assessment in such a large-scale, population-based epidemiological study. Thus, SPTs were used as a convenient and noninvasive surrogate for allergen sensitization in a population scale, especially in children. We can still use the indirect evidences to inform a resident in the community through allergen sensitization profile that there might be some specific environmental risk factors for allergic diseases. Recall bias is another limitation, especially for respiratory outcomes. However, the recall of allergic disease status was assessed in a subgroup of the study population, and parental reports and medical records had favorable concordance. Finally, the cross-sectional study failed to demonstrate the causal relationship. Further follow-up is warranted.

Nevertheless, the study has several strengths. We performed the first population-based study demonstrating the relationships between allergic
diseases, environmental risk factors, and allergen sensitization. This largest cross-sectional preschool survey in Taiwan included a considerable number of participants. No significant demographic difference was noted between the study cohort and the Taiwanese population of the same age. Our study also elaborated on comprehensive environmental factors with regards to potential health risks related to the pathway of the atopic process, which included both the skin and airways. These environmental exposures have common risk factors with great generalizability for further comparison with those in different countries. In addition, we administered an allergen sensitization survey through SPTs to identify many essential allergens. We discovered that both mite sensitization and fungi on house walls were significantly associated with all three common allergic diseases. Furthermore, mite sensitization had the highest prevalence. The results support the supposition that the avoidance of mites and house dampness might be beneficial for preventing the development of allergic diseases. Thus, our contribution can provide relevant information for patients in medical systems, for public education in communities and mass media, and for long-term health policy making.

## CONCLUSIONS

Environmental exposures play a role in the development of allergic diseases. Reduction in indoor allergen exposure and environmental risk factors may prevent the development of allergic diseases. Early environmental interventions are urgently needed.
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