

Citation: Feng L, Wang R, Lian M, Ma H, He N, Liu H, et al. (2016) Integrated Analysis of Long Noncoding RNA and mRNA Expression Profile in Advanced Laryngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. PLoS ONE 11(12): e0169232. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0169232

Editor: Aamir Ahmad, University of South Alabama Mitchell Cancer Institute, UNITED STATES

Received: September 27, 2016

Accepted: December 13, 2016

Published: December 29, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Feng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The microarray data have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database and are accessible through GEO accession number GSE84957.

Funding: This work was supported by Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission Program (no. Z141107002514003) (http://www. bjkw.gov.cn/n244495/), Beijing Municipal Administration of Hospitals Clinical medicine Development of special funding support (code. XMLX201311) (http://www.bjah.gov.cn/), and **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Integrated Analysis of Long Noncoding RNA and mRNA Expression Profile in Advanced Laryngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Ling Feng^{1,2®}, Ru Wang^{1,2®}, Meng Lian^{1,2}, Hongzhi Ma^{1,2}, Ning He^{1,3}, Honggang Liu⁴, Haizhou Wang^{1,2}, Jugao Fang^{1,2,4}*

1 Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, 2 Key Laboratory of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Ministry of Education, Beijing, China, 3 Department of Head and Neck Surgery, The People's Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, Nanning, Guangxi, China, 4 Beijing Key Laboratory of Head and Neck Molecular Diagnostic Pathology, Beijing, China

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

* fangjg19651110@163.com

Abstract

Long non-coding RNA (IncRNA) plays an important role in tumorigenesis. However, the expression pattern and function of IncRNAs in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) are still unclear. To investigate the aberrantly expressed IncRNAs and mRNAs in advanced LSCC, we screened IncRNA and mRNA expression profiles in 9 pairs of primary Stage IVA LSCC tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues by IncRNA and mRNA integrated microarrays. Gene Ontology and pathway analysis were performed to find out the significant function and pathway of the differentially expressed mRNAs, gene-gene functional interaction network and ceRNA network were constructed to select core mRNAs, and IncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network was built to identify the interactions between IncRNA and mRNA. gRT-PCR was performed to further validate the expressions of selected IncRNAs and mRNAs in advanced LSCC. We found 1459 differentially expressed IncRNAs and 2381 differentially expressed mRNAs, including 846 up-regulated IncRNAs and 613 down-regulated IncRNAs, 1542 up-regulated mRNAs and 839 down-regulated mRNAs. The mRNAs ITGB1, HIF1A, and DDIT4 were selected as core mRNAs, which are mainly involved in biological processes, such as matrix organization, cell cycle, adhesion, and metabolic pathway. LncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network showed LncRNA NR_027340, MIR31HG were positively correlated with ITGB1, HIF1A respectively. LncRNA SOX2-OT was negatively correlated with DDIT4. gRT-PCR further validated the expression of these IncRNAs and mRNAs. The work provides convincing evidence that the identified IncRNAs and mRNAs are potential biomarkers in advanced LSCC for further future studies.



National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81072204, 81272267) (http://www.nsfc.gov.cn/ publish/portal1/). JF received the funding. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) represents 4% of all cancer types worldwide, with 500,000 new cases estimated in 2008. Laryngeal squamous cell cancer (LSCC) accounts for about 30% of HNSCC with more than 150,000 new cases are diagnosed annually. The age-standardized world mortality rate of laryngeal cancer is about 2.3 /100,000 [1]. At present, early laryngeal cancers are mainly treated with laser resection, partial laryngectomy, or radiation therapy, while subtotal or total laryngectomy, followed by radiotherapy, are usually used in advanced laryngeal cancers [2]. In general, the early-stage patients retain vocal and swallowing functions after treatment. However, advanced patients often suffer from permanent tracheostomy and loss of natural voice [3]. Thus, to prevent voice disorders and dysphagia in advanced patients and improve the patient's prognosis and long-term quality of life, it is urgently needed to identify novel biomarkers for early diagnosis and therapy improvement, and investigate the underlying molecular mechanisms in advanced LSCC.

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are defined as RNA transcripts larger than 200 nucleotides (nt) without coding potential, which can interact with DNA, RNA, or protein molecules to significantly regulate gene expression and affect cellular processes [4–5]. It is known that lncRNAs play important roles in initiation and progression of cancer and may serve as potential biomarkers for early diagnosis, prognosis, and potential therapy targets in various cancers [6–11]. However, the lncRNA and mRNA expression profiles in advanced LSCC are unknown. In order to investigate the potential aberrantly expressed lncRNA and mRNA in advanced LSCC, we screened expression profiles in 9 pairs of primary Stage IVA LSCC tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues by lncRNA and mRNA integrated microarrays. Using this data, we conducted in-depth integrated bioinformatics analysis to explore lncRNA and mRNA function and interaction, and performed qRT-PCR to further validate the expression of selected lncRNAs and mRNAs.

Materials and Methods

Experimental reagents and apparatus

Antibiotic solution (104 U penicillin, 10 mg streptomycin, 25 ug amphotericin B), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Sigma Chemicals (St. Louis, MO, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) were obtained from Gibco (Cambrex, MD, USA). Trizol was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). MiScript Reverse Transcription Kit was purchased from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany), and SYBR Green Master Mix Kit was purchased from TaKaRa (Dalian, China).

Patients and tissue samples

A total number of 39 pairs of LSCC tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues were surgically removed between the years of 2012 to 2014 in Beijing Tongren Hospital, including 9 pairs for microarray analysis and 30 pairs for qRT-PCR validation. This study was conducted in 2014 to 2015. According to Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) and American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), the advanced LSCC patients were determined as stage III and IVA, including lymphatic metastatic patients (S1 Table). All patients were diagnosed clinically and histologically by laryngoscope, CT, MRI and pathology biopsy, and never received radio-therapy and/or chemotherapy before surgery. The Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) status was not checked and the pathology was confirmed by at least two pathologists. The inclusion and exclusion criteria for patients' selection were shown in S2 Table. All the clinical characteristics of the 39 patients with laryngeal cancer were shown in Table 1. One pair of cancer tissue and

Characteristic	Advanced laryngeal cancer patients
Age (mean±SD), years	60±12
Sex (no.)	
Male	37
Female	2
Tumor location	
Supraglottis	12
Glottis	27
Histological type (no.)	
Squamous cell carcinoma	39
Differentiation of cancer tissue	
High differentiation	17
Moderate differentiation	15
Poor differentiation	7
TNM stage	
Stage III	24
Stage IV	15
Smoke	
Smoker	36
Non-smoker	3

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of 39 patients with laryngeal cancer.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169232.t001

adjacent non-neoplastic tissue was obtained from one patient, which was used in microarray analysis or qRT-PCR validation. All tissue samples were stored at -80°C refrigerator within 10 minutes after the resection. Authors had access to information that could identify individual participants during or after data collection. All patients were provided written informed consent before their participation. The study was undertaken in accordance with the Institutional Ethics Committee of Beijing Tongren Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University and the ethical standards of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

RNA preparation

Total RNA was extracted using trizol reagent according to manufacturer's protocol, and then quantified by the NanoDrop ND-2000 (Waltham, MA, USA). The RNA integrity was assessed using Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Santa Clara, CA, USA).

Microarray processing and analysis

Agilent lncRNA Gene Expression 4×180 K Microarray (Design ID: 042818, Agilent Technologies, USA) was used to test the lncRNA and mRNA expression profiling. The sample labeling, microarray hybridization and washing were performed based on the manufacturer's standard protocols. Briefly, total RNA was transcribed to double strand cDNA, then synthesized into cRNA and labeled with Cyanine-3-CTP. The labeled cRNAs were hybridized onto the microarray. After washing, the arrays were scanned by the Agilent Scanner G2505C (Agilent Technologies). Random Variance Model(RVM) t-test was applied to filter the differentially expressed genes between tumor tissues and adjacent non-cancerous tissues according to the pvalue threshold. P value < 0.05 was considered as significant difference [12]. The Hierarchical Clustering was conducted to analyze the differentially expressed lncRNAs and mRNAs. Four types of binary, agglomerative, hierarchical clustering were performed to assemble a set of genes into a tree, where genes were joined by very short branches if they were very similar to each other, and by increasingly longer branches as their similarity decreased. The microarray data have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database and are accessible through GEO accession number GSE84957.

GO and KEGG analysis

Gene Ontology (GO: http://www.geneontology.org) analysis and pathway analysis were used to find out the significant function and pathway of the differentially expressed mRNAs in tumor tissues compared to adjacent non-neoplastic tissues. Two-sided Fisher's exact test and X^2 test were used to classify the GO category, and the False Discovery Rate (FDR) was calculated to correct the P-value [13]. Pathway analysis was conducted according to Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG: http://www.genome.ad.jp/kegg/), Biocarta (http://www.genecarta.com/) and Reactome (http://www.reactome.org/). Two-sided Fisher's exact test and X^2 test were used to select significant pathways, and the threshold of significance was still defined by P-value and FDR [14].

Gene-gene functional interaction network

Gene-gene functional interaction network was constructed based on the data of differentially expressed genes. KEGG database was used to analyze the functional gene interactions and Cytoscape software was used to build the network. In the network, each gene corresponded to a node, the nodes connected by an edge. The degree of a gene was defined as the number of directly linked genes within a network, which can assess the relative significance of a gene in the network. Thus, in a network, the more adjacent genes a gene connects the higher degree it has and the more important it is. Meanwhile the character of a gene was also described by betweenness centrality, which was an indicator of a gene's centrality in a network. It is equal to the number of shortest paths from all vertices to all others that pass through that gene [15]. Thus, degree and betweenness centrality were used as two indicators to identify the most important genes.

CeRNA network

CeRNA network was constructed to discover ceRNA mechanism based on the differentially expressed lncRNAs and mRNAs. RNA transcripts could combine with miRNAs by miRNA response element (MRE), so we could find the competition relationship between RNA transcript in the process of combining MRE by predicting MRE and computing free energy. First, miRNA-mRNA, miRNA-lncRNA target relationships were predicted by target prediction database. Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) between matched lncRNA-mRNA was computed based on their expression data. Then, the PCC between miRNA -mRNA, miRNA-lncRNA was computed. For a given lncRNA-mRNA pair, both mRNA and lncRNA were targeted by a common miRNA and co-expressed negatively with this miRNA. Finally, this miRNA-mRNA was identified as competing triplets [16–17].

LncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network

According to the normalized signal intensity of specific expression in mRNAs and lncRNAs, lncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network was built to identify the correlations between lncRNA and mRNA. For each of mRNA-lncRNA, mRNA-mRNA or lncRNA-lncRNA pairs, Pearson correlation was calculated to choose the significant correlation pairs and the correlation value cutoff was 0.92. The degree was calculated to measure a gene or lncRNA centrality within a network. While considering different networks, core genes were determined by the degree differences between two group samples.

qRT-PCR

qRT-PCR was performed to validate the expression of significantly altered lncRNA and its correlated mRNA in an independent cohort of 30 pairs of LSCC tumor tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) following purification with an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Total RNA then was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using M-MLV reverse transcription (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative PCR analysis and data collection were performed on the ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using the primer pairs listed (Table 2). 18s served as an endogenous control for normalization. For relative quantification, $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ was calculated and used as an indication of gene relative expression.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to do statistical analysis. All data were shown as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments with each experiment in triplicate. The Student t test was used to evaluate the expression differences of lncRNAs and mRNAs between LSCC cancer tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

LncRNA and mRNA expression profile of advanced LSCC

To understand how the lncRNA and mRNA were differentially expressed in tumor and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues, we employed hierarchical clustering analysis. We found 1459 differentially expressed lncRNAs (Fig 1A, S3 Table) and 2381 differentially expressed mRNAs (Fig 1B, S4 Table), including 846 up-regulated lncRNAs and 613 down-regulated lncRNAs, 1542 up-regulated mRNAs and 839 down-regulated mRNAs (fold change \geq 2, p<0.05). The differentially expressed mRNAs and lncRNAs perfectly distinguished tumor tissues (C1-C9) from adjacent non-neoplastic tissues (N1-N9).

mRNA functional annotation and pathway analysis

In order to understand the biological processes, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) and pathway analysis. GO analysis revealed aberrantly expressed mRNAs involved in up-regulated GO function, including matrix organization, mitotic cell cycle, cell adhesion, cell disassembly, and collagen catabolic process (Fig 2A). Down-regulated GO functions included small molecule metabolic process, oxidation-reduction, O-glycan processing, transmembrane transport, and DNA dependent transcription (Fig 2B). Pathway analysis revealed aberrantly expressed

•		
IncRNA or mRNA	Forward primer (5'-3')	Reverse primer (5'-3')
NR_003949	AAGCCAACTGTGTGGCAGAA	ATCCCATGCTAAGGCCCTCT
NR_027340	TGGTGACCTAACATGAGGCT	GTTGGGTGACACCTCACCAT
SOX2-OT	AACACCCTGATCTGGCATGG	ATATGGCTGTTGCCTGGCTT
MIR31HG-001	GAGGAGCGCTTTGTGTGAGA	AGAAGGCCCAGGCTATGTCT
HIF1A	TCAAAGTCGGACAGCCTCAC	ATCCATTGATTGCCCCAGCA
PIK3R1	GTGAAGCTCGTGTGTGGAGT	GAAGACAGGGCTCCACTTCC
ITGB1	TTCCGAACGTGAGGGTCGC	TGTTGAATTTGTGCACCACCC
DDIT4	TTAGCAGTTCTCGCTGACCG	CCAAAGGCTAGGCATGGTGA

Table 2. Primers used for qRT-PCR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169232.t002



Fig 1. Hierarchical clustering of aberrant expressed IncRNAs and mRNAs detected in advanced LSCC. Red color indicates over expression and green color indicates low expression. Every column represents a tissue sample and every row represents an IncRNA/mRNA probe. C represents cancer tissues and N represents adjacent normal tissues. (A) IncRNA hierarchical clustering. (B) mRNA hierarchical clustering.

mRNAs involved in up-regulated pathways, including cell cycle, focal adhesion, ECM-receptor interaction, pathways in cancer, and PI3K-Akt signaling (Fig 2C), whereas down-regulated pathways included metabolic pathways, glycosphingolipid biosynthesis, drug metabolism, chemical carcinogenesis, and xenobiotics metabolism (Fig 2D).

Gene-gene functional interaction network

Gene-gene functional interaction network was performed to identify the most important mRNAs. According to the network (S1 Fig), PIK3R1 was within the highest range of centrality, reflecting its main part in the network. ITGB1 had the highest degree value, indicating it connected the most genes and how important it was. HIF1A had the second highest value of betweenness centrality and the second highest degree value (Table 3, Fig 3). As a result of this analysis, PIK3R1, ITGB1, and HIF1A were determined to have important functions in the gene-gene functional interaction network.

CeRNA network analysis

A ceRNA network was constructed to determine whether the differentially expressed mRNAs and lncRNAs were involved in a ceRNA mechanism. The network analysis showed four mRNAs (DDIT4, EDNRA, NR3C2, SLC4A40), six lncRNAs (ENST00000466034, ENST00000439362, NR_037944, TCONS_12_00029508, TCONS_12_00002165, ENST00000411775) and three miR-NAs (has-miR-30a-5p, has-miR-301a-3p, has-miR-421) involved in the ceRNA network (Fig 4). DDIT4 has been well-studied in cancer compared to other mRNAs and has-miR-30a-5p was with

the highest degree in the ceRNA network. Thus, DDIT4, lncRNA SOX2-OT (also named as ENST00000466034), and has-miR-30a-5p were highlighted here.



Fig 2. GO and pathway analysis of differentially expressed mRNAs in advanced LSCC. A total of 2381 differentially expressed mRNAs were chosen in GO and pathway analysis. The bar graphs represented the enrichment of these mRNAs. The value of (-LgP) was p value taking the negative logarithm with base 10. The threshold of significance was P value<0.05 and FDR was calculated to correct the P value. (A) Top 20 enriched GO terms among up-regulated mRNAs. (B) Top 20 enriched GO terms among down-regulated mRNAs. (C) Top 20 enriched pathways among up-regulated mRNAs. (D) Top 20 enriched pathways among down-regulated mRNAs.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169232.g002

Genesymbol	Style	Betweenness Centrality	Degree
PIK3R1	down	0.0201666	15
HIF1A	up	0.0161601	17
ITGB1	up	0.0098137	32
PLA2G2F	up	0.0094055	12
GATM	Down	0.0086179	3
CDKN1A	up	0.0082969	7
SHMT1	down	0.0081975	5
CYP2E1	down	0.0076066	14
GART	up	0.0062899	5
ALDH7A1	down	0.0059598	8
ALDH2	down	0.0059598	8
PPAT	up	0.0058419	4
MYC	up	0.0057823	12

Table 3. Core mRNAs selected by gene-gene functional interaction network.

Betweenness centrality \geq 0.005. Betweenness centrality is an indicator of a gene's centrality in a network. It is equal to the number of shortest paths from all vertices to all others that pass through that gene. The degree of a gene was defined as the number of directly linked genes within a network.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169232.t003

LncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network

IncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network was built to identify the correlations between mRNAs and IncRNAs in cancer tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues (S2 and S3 Figs). According to the core mRNAs selected above, we found that IncRNA NR_003949 was positively correlated with PIK3R1, IncRNA NR_027340 was positively correlated with ITGB1, IncRNA MIR31HG (also named as ENST00000304425) was positively correlated with HIF1A, and IncRNA SOX2-OT (also named as ENST00000466034) was negatively correlated with DDIT4 (Table 4, Fig 5).

QRT-PCR validation

To further validate the results of the microarray analysis, the expression of lncRNAs and mRNAs selected above were analyzed by qRT-PCR in an independent cohort of 30 pairs of LSCC cancer tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues. lncRNAs NR_027340, MIR31HG, SOX2-OT and their correlated mRNAs ITGB1, HIF1A, DDIT4 were overexpressed in cancer tissues compared to adjacent non-neoplastic tissues (P<0.05), but there was no significant difference in the expressions of lncRNA NR_003949 and its correlated mRNA PIK3R1 (P > 0.05) (Fig 6). The results of qRT-PCR were consistent with those in microarray with the same trend, except for PIK3R1 (Table 5).

Discussion

Genetic investigations have shown that at least 90% of the human genome is actively transcribed into non-coding RNA (ncRNA), indicating that ncRNAs may play a significant regulatory role in driving cancer [18–19]. The recent implementation of tiling arrays and RNA deep sequencing (RNA-seq) has made it possible to investigate the transcriptomes to an unprecedented degree, and tens of thousands lncRNAs are found to be uniquely expressed in specific cancer types [20–21]. In head and neck cancer, thousands of noncoding intronic RNAs were found to be involved in transcriptional regulation, may play an important role in HNSCC [22]. A lot of aberrant expressed lncRNAs contribute to the hallmarks of cancer by modulating



Fig 3. Gene-gene functional interaction network analysis of differentially expressed mRNAs in advanced LSCC. In the network, a node represents a gene, red color indicates up-regulation and blue color indicates down-regulation, the size of the node's area represents the value of betweenness centrality. The nodes connect by an edge. The indicators a, b, c, p, u, m, inh, ex, dep, ind are abbreviation of activation, binding, compound, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, missing interaction, inhibition, expression, dephosphorylation, indirect effect respectively. (A) PIK3R1 signal network. (B) ITGB1 signal network. (C) HIF1A signal network.

PLOS ONE

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169232.g003



Fig 4. CeRNA network analysis of differentially expressed mRNAs, IncRNAs, and miRNAs in advanced LSCC. In the network, nodes represent mRNAs, nodes with yellow rings represent IncRNAs, squares represent miRNAs, and the size of the node's area represents the value of betweenness centrality. Red color indicates up-regulation, blue color indicates down-regulation, and edges indicate target interactions.

PLOS ONE

gene expression in major biological processes of cancer [23–24]. LncRNA GAS5 suppresses stomach carcinogenesis by regulating p21 expression and then enhancing G1 cell cycle arrest [25]. LncRNA APOC1P1-3 inhibits apoptosis by decreasing α -tubulin acetylation in breast cancer [26]. LncRNA H19 promoted LSCC progression via miR-148a-3p and DNMT1 [27]. Our results demonstrate that 1459 differentially expressed lncRNAs and 2381 differentially expressed mRNAs were identified by microarray in advanced LSCC and may act as novel

mRNA	LncRNA	Pearson correlation
PIK3R1	NR_003949	0.9535264
ITGB1	NR_027340	0.9681052
HIF1A	MIR31HG	0.9315686
DDIT4	SOX2-OT	-0.9386784

|--|

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169232.t004



Fig 5. LncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network analysis of core mRNAs and their correlated lncRNAs in advanced LSCC. In the network, nodes represent mRNAs, nodes with yellow rings represent lncRNAs, and the size of the node's area represents the value of betweenness centrality. Red color indicates up-regulation and blue indicates down-regulation relative to adjacent non-neoplastic tissues. The lines between nodes indicate a correlative relationship within the group, solid line represents positive correlation, and the dotted line represents negative correlation. (A) LncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network of core mRNAs in adjacent non-neoplastic tissues.

biomarkers for this disease. Among them, lncRNAs MIR31HG, NR_027340, SOX2-OT and their correlated mRNAs HIF1A, ITGB1, DDIT4 were selected with core function by bioinformatics analysis and further validated their expression by qRT-PCR in advanced LSCC.

In this study, mRNAs functional annotation and pathway analysis revealed that the aberrantly expressed genes were involved in biological processes, such as matrix organization, cell cycle, adhesion, and metabolic pathways. Previous studies have already indicated that these are all classical biological processes in cancer. For example, the extracellular matrix, a major component of the local microenvironment, is commonly deregulated and becomes disorganized in cancer [28]. Cell cycle, the process by which cells progress and divide, malfunction will lead to uncontrolled cell proliferation as a result of genetic mutations in cancer cells [29]. Adhesion molecules are well-studied to play a significant role in cancer progression and metastasis. Tumor cell adhesion in the vasculature of specific organs is essential step in the metastatic cascade, and determines the metastatic spread [30]. Reprogramming of metabolic pathway is a key factor in carcinogenesis, which can improve the ability to acquire necessary nutrients from a frequently nutrient-poor environment and utilize these nutrients [31]. In a word, these are all classical cancer processes validated by intensive researches. Our results demonstrated that the most aberrantly expressed mRNAs were enriched in these classical biological processes, indicating that the aberrantly expressed mRNAs selected by our microarrays are not only





differentially expressed in advanced LSCC, but also have important functions in the progression and metastasis process of advanced LSCC.

LncRNAs exert function through modulating mRNA processing and post-transcriptional regulation [32]. In our study, lncRNAs NR_027340, MIR31HG, NR_003949 and their correlated mRNAs ITGB1, HIF1A, PIK3R1 are identified by gene-gene functional interaction network and lncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network. Integrin β 1 (ITGB1) is a type of cell adhesion molecule that mediates mutual adhesion between cells and Extracellular Matrix (ECM). ITGB1 is often abnormally expressed in tumors and is implicated in aberrant proliferation, angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis [33–34]. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1a (HIF1a) is an essential transcription factor for cellular adaptation to hypoxia in cancer [35–36]. Intratumoral hypoxia is a common feature of solid malignancies, including LSCC. Indeed, HIF1a has been well studied in cancer progression and is implicated in the malignancy phenotype of LSCC [37–38]. Phosphoinositide-3-kinase regulatory subunit 1(PIK3R1) binds, stabilizes and

Table 5. Th	e expression	levels of s	selected Inc	RNAs and their	r correlated	mRNAs iı	n microarray	S
-------------	--------------	-------------	--------------	----------------	--------------	----------	--------------	---

LncRNA/mRNA	Fold change(C/N)	P value	expression
NR_003949	2.99	0.000124	up-regulation
NR_027340	2.86	0.000122	up-regulation
MIR31HG	3.47	0.008458	up-regulation
SOX2-OT	2.70	0.032317	up-regulation
PIK3R1	0.43	0.001708	down-regulation
ITGB1	2.45	0.002554	up-regulation
HIF1A	2.61	0.001699	up-regulation
DDIT4	2.28	0.008304	up-regulation

C/N: cancer tissues/adjacent non-neoplastic tissues.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169232.t005

inhibits the PI3K p110 catalytic subunit [39]. Previous studies show that PIK3R1 suppresses tumor cell invasion and migration by reducing PI3K/AKT signaling [40]. In this study, ITGB1, HIF1A, and PIK3R1 were selected as core mRNAs with important function in advanced LSCC. We found that lncRNA NR_027340, MIR31HG, NR_003949 were positively correlated with ITGB1, HIF1A, PIK3R1 respectively, suggesting lncRNA NR_027340, MIR31HG, NR_003949 may target ITGB1, HIF1A, PIK3R1 to modulate their expression in advanced LSCC. qRT-PCR further validated their expressions in an independent cohort of 30 pairs of LSCC tumor tissue and adjacent normal tissue samples. The expressions of lncRNA NR_027340, MIR31HG and their correlated mRNAs ITGB1, HIF1A were statistically different in the two groups, but there is no significant difference in the expressions of lncRNA NR_003949 and its correlated mRNA PIK3R1.

Some long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) play important roles in the regulation of gene expression by acting as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) [41]. CeRNA, a hypothesis about how mRNAs, long noncoding RNAs "talk" to each other using microRNA response elements (MREs) as letters of a new language. This ceRNA activity forms a large-scale regulatory network across the transcriptome, greatly expands the functional genetic information in the human genome and plays important roles in cancer [42]. DDIT4 has been well-studied in cancer compared to other mRNAs in the ceRNA network. DDIT4, lncRNA SOX2-OT, and has-miR-30a-5p were selected, which were supposed to involve in ceRNA mechanism. These findings were validated with qRT-PCR and were consistent with the expression trends in the microarray. However further experiments are needed to validate this hypothesis.

To date, very few lncRNAs have been characterized in detail in cancers. The lncRNA MIR31HG is located in 9p21.3 and 2166 bp in length, contributes to cell proliferation and invasion in breast cancer and gastric cancer [43–45]. However, ours is the first study to identify MIR31HG in LSCC. Sox2 overlapping transcript (SOX2-OT) is an lncRNA located on human chromosome 3q26.33. SOX2-OT plays an important role in regulating cell proliferation, and may represent a novel prognostic indicator for lung cancer [46]. Ectopic expression of SOX2OT reduces the proliferation rate and increases anchorage independent growth in breast cancer [47]. SOX2OT has also been identified in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and concordant regulated with SOX2 [48]. Lastly, there are no reported functional studies on lncRNA NR_027340. Further studies are urgently needed to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of these lncRNAs in LSCC.

In conclusion, lncRNAs NR_027340, MIR31HG, SOX2-OT and core mRNAs ITGB1, HIF1A, DDIT4 are identified as potential biomarkers in advanced LSCC by integrated microarray analysis, and further validated by qRT-PCR. The data presented here suggest for the first time that these up-regulated lncRNAs and mRNAs may represent a new development in diagnosis and prognosis of advanced LSCC, and these targets may be used in potential lncRNAmediated therapy as well.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Demographics data of 39 patients with laryngeal cancer. (PDF)

S2 Table. The inclusion and exclusion criteria for patients' selection. (PDF)

S3 Table. Differentially expressed lncRNA list in 9 pairs of primary Stage IVA LSCC tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues. (PDF) S4 Table. Differentially expressed mRNA list in 9 pairs of primary Stage IVA LSCC tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic tissues.

(PDF)

S5 Table. The ceRNA relationship between miRNA, lncRNA, and mRNA in advanced LSCC.

(PDF)

S1 Fig. Gene-gene functional interaction network of all differentially expressed mRNAs in advanced LSCC. In the network, a node represents a gene, red color indicates up-regulation and blue color indicates down-regulation, the size of the node's area represents the value of betweenness centrality. The nodes connect by an edge. The indicators a, b, c, p, u, m, inh, ex, dep, ind are abbreviation of activation, binding, compound, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, missing interaction, inhibition, expression, dephosphorylation, indirect effect respectively. (PDF)

S2 Fig. LncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network in cancer tissues. (PNG)

S3 Fig. LncRNA-mRNA expression correlation network in adjacent non-neoplastic tissues. (PNG)

Acknowledgments

We are sincerely grateful to the CNKINGBIO for the help of doing bioinformatics analysis. We also acknowledge the editorial assistance provided by FEB.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: JF.

Data curation: HL.

Formal analysis: LF RW.

Funding acquisition: JF.

Investigation: LF RW ML.

Project administration: LF RW.

Resources: HM NH.

Supervision: JF.

Writing - original draft: LF RW HW.

References

- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. Int J Cancer. 2010; 127(12):2893–2917. doi: 10.1002/ijc.25516 PMID: 21351269
- Forastiere AA, Weber RS, Trotti A. Organ Preservation for Advanced Larynx Cancer: Issues and Outcomes. J Clin Oncol. 2015; 33(29):3262–8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.61.2978 PMID: 26351339
- 3. Calkovsky V, Hajtman A. Primary prosthetic voice rehabilitation in patients after laryngectomy: applications and pitfalls. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2015; 852:11–6. doi: 10.1007/5584_2014_104 PMID: 25604484
- Ponting CP, Oliver PL, Reik W. Evolution and functions of long noncoding RNAs. Cell. 2009; 136 (4):629–41. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.02.006 PMID: 19239885

- Mercer TR, Dinger ME, Mattick JS. Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. Nat Rev Genet. 2009; 10(3):155–9. doi: 10.1038/nrg2521 PMID: 19188922
- Yuan JH, Yang F, Wang F, Ma JZ, Guo YJ, Tao QF, et al. A long noncoding RNA activated by TGF-β promotes the invasion-metastasis cascade in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Cell. 2014; 25(5):666– 81. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2014.03.010 PMID: 24768205
- Gupta RA, Shah N, Wang KC, Kim J, Horlings HM, Wong DJ, et al. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. Nature. 2010; 464(7291):1071–6. doi: 10. 1038/nature08975 PMID: 20393566
- Wu Y, Wang YQ, Weng WW, Zhang QY, Yang XQ, Gan HL, et al. A serum-circulating long noncoding RNA signature can discriminate between patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma and healthy controls. Oncogenesis. 2016; 5:e192. doi: 10.1038/oncsis.2015.48 PMID: 26878386
- Rupaimoole R, Lee J, Haemmerle M, Ling H, Previs RA, Pradeep S, et al. Long Noncoding RNA Ceruloplasmin Promotes Cancer Growth by Altering Glycolysis. Cell Rep. 2015; 13(11):2395–402. doi: 10. 1016/j.celrep.2015.11.047 PMID: 26686630
- Parasramka MA, Maji S, Matsuda A, Yan IK, Patel T. Long non-coding RNAs as novel targets for therapy in hepatocellular carcinoma. Pharmacol Ther. 2016; 161:67–78. doi: <u>10.1016/j.pharmthera.2016</u>. 03.004 PMID: 27013343
- Martínez-Fernández M, Rubio C, Segovia C, López-Calderón FF, Dueñas M, Paramio JM. EZH2 in Bladder Cancer, a Promising Therapeutic Target. Int J Mol Sci. 2015; 16(11):27107–32. doi: 10.3390/ ijms161126000 PMID: 26580594
- Clarke R, Ressom HW, Wang A, Xuan J, Liu MC, Gehan EA, et al. The properties of high-dimensional data spaces: implications for exploring gene and protein expression data. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008; 8 (1):37–49. doi: 10.1038/nrc2294 PMID: 18097463
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat Genet. 2000; 25(1):25–9. doi: 10.1038/75556 PMID: 10802651
- Draghici S, Khatri P, Tarca AL, Amin K, Done A, Voichita C, et al. A systems biology approach for pathway level analysis. Genome Res. 2007; 17(10):1537–45. doi: 10.1101/gr.6202607 PMID: 17785539
- Zhang JD, Wiemann S. KEGGgraph: a graph approach to KEGG PATHWAY in R and bioconductor. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25(11):1470–1. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp167 PMID: 19307239
- Hansen TB, Jensen TI, Clausen BH, Bramsen JB, Finsen B, Damgaard CK, et al. Natural RNA circles function as efficient microRNA sponges. Nature. 2013; 495(7441):384–8. doi: <u>10.1038/nature11993</u> PMID: 23446346
- Wee LM, Flores-Jasso CF, Salomon WE, Zamore PD. Argonaute divides its RNA guide into domains with distinct functions and RNA-binding properties. Cell. 2012; 151(5):1055–67. doi: <u>10.1016/j.cell.</u> 2012.10.036 PMID: 23178124
- Ling H, Vincent K, Pichler M, Fodde R, Berindan-Neagoe I, Slack FJ, et al. Junk DNA and the long noncoding RNA twist in cancer genetics. Oncogene. 2015; 34(39):5003–11. doi: 10.1038/onc.2014.456 PMID: 25619839
- 19. Qi P, Du X. The long non-coding RNAs, a new cancer diagnostic and therapeutic gold mine. Mod Pathol. 2013; 26(2):155–65. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2012.160 PMID: 22996375
- Iyer MK, Niknafs YS, Malik R, Singhal U, Sahu A, Hosono Y, et al. The landscape of long noncoding RNAs in the human transcriptome. Nat Genet. 2015; 47(3):199–208. doi: <u>10.1038/ng.3192</u> PMID: 25599403
- 21. Mattick JS. The genetic signatures of noncoding RNAs. PLoS Genet. 2009; 5(4):e1000459. doi: 10. 1371/journal.pgen.1000459 PMID: 19390609
- Reis EM, Ojopi EP, Alberto FL, Rahal P, Tsukumo F, Mancini UM, et al. Large-scale transcriptome analyses reveal new genetic marker candidates of head, neck, and thyroid cancer. Cancer Res. 2005; 65 (5):1693–9. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-3506 PMID: 15753364
- Guttman M, Amit I, Garber M, French C, Lin MF, Feldser D, et al. Chromatin signature reveals over a thousand highly conserved large non-coding RNAs in mammals. Nature. 2009; 458(7235):223–7. doi: 10.1038/nature07672 PMID: 19182780
- 24. Huarte M. The emerging role of IncRNAs in cancer. Nat Med. 2015; 21(11):1253–61. doi: 10.1038/nm. 3981 PMID: 26540387
- Liu Y, Zhao J, Zhang W, Gan J, Hu C, Huang G, et al. IncRNA GAS5 enhances G1 cell cycle arrest via binding to YBX1 to regulate p21 expression in stomach cancer. Sci Rep. 2015; 5:10159. doi: 10.1038/ srep10159 PMID: 25959498

- 26. Liao XH, Wang JG, Li LY, Zhou DM, Ren KH, Jin YT, et al. Long intergenic non-coding RNA APOC1P1-3 inhibits apoptosis by decreasing α-tubulin acetylation in breast cancer. Cell Death Dis. 2016; 7:e2236. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2016.142 PMID: 27228351
- Wu T, Qu L, He G, Tian L, Li L, Zhou H, et al. Regulation of laryngeal squamous cell cancer progression by the IncRNA H19/miR-148a-3p/DNMT1 axis. Oncotarget. 2016; 7(10):11553–66. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.7270 PMID: 26872375
- Lu P, Weaver VM, Werb Z. The extracellular matrix: a dynamic niche in cancer progression. J Cell Biol. 2012; 196(4):395–406. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201102147 PMID: 22351925
- 29. Kar S. Unraveling Cell-Cycle Dynamics in Cancer. Cell Syst. 2016; 2(1):8–10. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2016. 01.007 PMID: 27136683
- **30.** Bendas G, Borsig L. Cancer cell adhesion and metastasis: selectins, integrins, and the inhibitory potential of heparins. Int J Cell Biol. 2012; 2012:676731. doi: 10.1155/2012/676731 PMID: 22505933
- Pavlova NN, Thompson CB. The Emerging Hallmarks of Cancer Metabolism. Cell Metab. 2016; 23 (1):27–47. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2015.12.006 PMID: 26771115
- Geisler S, Coller J. RNA in unexpected places: long non-coding RNA functions in diverse cellular contexts. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013; 14(11):699–712. doi: 10.1038/nrm3679 PMID: 24105322
- He B, Xiao YF, Tang B, Wu YY, Hu CJ, Xie R, et al. hTERT mediates gastric cancer metastasis partially through the indirect targeting of ITGB1 by microRNA-29a. Sci Rep. 2016; 6:21955. doi: <u>10.1038</u>/ srep21955 PMID: 26903137
- 34. Zhang L, Zou W. Inhibition of integrin β1 decreases the malignancy of ovarian cancer cells and potentiates anticancer therapy via the FAK/STAT1 signaling pathway. Mol Med Rep. 2015; 12(6):7869–76. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2015.4443 PMID: 26497667
- Semenza GL. Oxygen sensing, hypoxia-inducible factors, and disease pathophysiology. Annu Rev Pathol. 2014; 9:47–71. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pathol-012513-104720 PMID: 23937437
- **36.** EI-Naggar AM, Veinotte CJ, Cheng H, Grunewald TG, Negri GL, Somasekharan SP, et al. Translational Activation of HIF1α by YB-1 Promotes Sarcoma Metastasis. Cancer Cell. 2015; 27(5):682–97. doi: <u>10.</u> 1016/j.ccell.2015.04.003 PMID: 25965573
- Li DW, Zhou L, Jin B, Xie J, Dong P. Expression and significance of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α and survivin in laryngeal carcinoma tissue and cells. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2013; 148(1):75–81. doi: <u>10.</u> 1177/0194599812464759 PMID: 23087365
- 38. Starska K, Forma E, Jóźwiak P, Bryś M, Lewy-Trenda I, Brzezińska-Błaszczyk E, et al. Gene and protein expression of glucose transporter 1 and glucose transporter 3 in human laryngeal cancer-the relationship with regulatory hypoxia-inducible factor-1α expression, tumor invasiveness, and patient prognosis. Tumour Biol. 2015 Apr; 36(4):2309–21. doi: 10.1007/s13277-014-2838-4 PMID: 25412955
- Cheung LW, Mills GB. Targeting therapeutic liabilities engendered by PIK3R1 mutations for cancer treatment. Pharmacogenomics. 2016; 17(3):297–307. doi: 10.2217/pgs.15.174 PMID: 26807692
- 40. Yan LX, Liu YH, Xiang JW, Wu QN, Xu LB, Luo XL, et al. PIK3R1 targeting by miR-21 suppresses tumor cell migration and invasion by reducing PI3K/AKT signaling and reversing EMT, and predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. Int J Oncol. 2016; 48(2):471–84. doi: <u>10.3892/ijo.2015.3287</u> PMID: <u>26676464</u>
- 41. Xia T, Liao Q, Jiang X, Shao Y, Xiao B, Xi Y, et al. Long noncoding RNA associated-competing endogenous RNAs in gastric cancer. Sci Rep. 2014; 4:6088. doi: 10.1038/srep06088 PMID: 25124853
- 42. Salmena L, Poliseno L, Tay Y, Kats L, Pandolfi PP. A ceRNA hypothesis: the Rosetta Stone of a hidden RNA language? Cell. 2011 Aug 5; 146(3):353–8. Epub 2011 Jul 28. doi: <u>10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.014</u> PMID: <u>21802130</u>
- Augoff K, McCue B, Plow EF, Sossey-Alaoui K. miR-31 and its host gene IncRNA LOC554202 are regulated by promoter hypermethylation in triple-negative breast cancer. Mol Cancer. 2012; 11:5. doi: <u>10.</u> 1186/1476-4598-11-5 PMID: 22289355
- **44.** Shi Y, Lu J, Zhou J, Tan X, He Y, Ding J, et al. Long non-coding RNA Loc554202 regulates proliferation and migration in breast cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2014; 446(2):448–53. doi: <u>10</u>. 1016/j.bbrc.2014.02.144 PMID: 24631686
- 45. Nie FQ, Ma S, Xie M, Liu YW, De W, Liu XH. Decreased long noncoding RNA MIR31HG is correlated with poor prognosis and contributes to cell proliferation in gastric cancer. Tumour Biol. 2016; 37 (6):7693–701. doi: 10.1007/s13277-015-4644-z PMID: 26692098
- Hou Z, Zhao W, Zhou J, Shen L, Zhan P, Xu C, et al. A long noncoding RNA Sox2ot regulates lung cancer cell proliferation and is a prognostic indicator of poor survival. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2014; 53:380– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2014.06.004 PMID: 24927902

- Askarian-Amiri ME, Seyfoddin V, Smart CE, Wang J, Kim JE, Hansji H, et al. Emerging role of long noncoding RNA SOX2OT in SOX2 regulation in breast cancer. PLoS One. 2014; 9(7):e102140. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1371/journal.pone.0102140</u> PMID: 25006803
- **48.** Shahryari A, Rafiee MR, Fouani Y, Oliae NA, Samaei NM, Shafiee M, et al. Two novel splice variants of SOX2OT, SOX2OT-S1, and SOX2OT-S2 are coupregulated with SOX2 and OCT4 in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Stem Cells. 2014; 32(1):126–34. doi: 10.1002/stem.1542 PMID: 24105929