www.nature.com/nutd

# ORIGINAL ARTICLE Link of dietary patterns with metabolic syndrome: analysis of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

M Mazidi<sup>1,2</sup>, S Pennathur<sup>3</sup> and F Afshinnia<sup>3</sup>

**BACKGROUND:** Population-based interventions aimed at halting the increasing prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MetS) require thorough understanding of dietary interplays. Objective is to identify the independent dietary nutrients associated with MetS and its components using dietary pattern identification and the single-nutrient approaches in The United States.

**METHODS:** This is a cross-sectional observation. Participants are selected from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) with available dietary intake, biochemical and anthropometrical data from 2001 to 2012. Exposure is diet obtained from 24-h dietary recall. Main outcome measure is MetS and its components.

**RESULTS:** Overall, 23 157 eligible individuals including 6561 with MetS were included in the final analysis. Using principle component analysis, we identified three food patterns that explained 50.8% of the variance of the dietary nutrient consumption. The highest quartile of the factor score representative of saturated/monounsaturated fatty acids or the first dietary pattern was associated with 1.27-fold (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.10–1.46, P = 0.001) higher odds of association with MetS when compared with the first quartile. The second pattern representative of vitamins and trace elements had an odds ratio of 0.79 (95% CI: 0.70–0.89, P < 0.001) for association with MetS, and the third pattern representative of polyunsaturated fatty acids did not have any association with MetS. The nutrient-by-nutrient approach showed that mild alcohol intake and lower consumption of total saturated fatty acids and sodium were associated with lower risk of MetS.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Application of multiple complementary analytic approaches reveals more comprehensive dietary determinants of MetS and its components as potential intervening targets.

Nutrition & Diabetes (2017) 7, e255; doi:10.1038/nutd.2017.11; published online 20 March 2017

# INTRODUCTION

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a major public health issue worldwide with increased risk of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.<sup>1,2</sup> Its prevalence is increasing rapidly, likely due to changes in lifestyle factors, socioeconomic status and dietary habits.<sup>3,4</sup> There is eminent need for population-based interventions to halt the rapidly increasing prevalence of MetS. For such interventions to be effective, a thorough understanding of the dietary interplays of MetS and its components is crucial. Several studies have tried to shed light on the dietary determinant of MetS.<sup>5-12</sup> Some of their limitations include relatively small sample size of the studies,<sup>5,7,8,10</sup> lack of diversity of the populations,<sup>12</sup> limitation in generalizability of the findings<sup>12</sup> and single-nutrient approach,<sup>6–11</sup> whereas diet by definition is complex. As a result of more recognition of limitations inherent to the single-nutrient approach, dietary pattern analysis has emerged as an attractive alternative approach for examining the effect of overall diet reflecting the real-world eating behaviors of the population.<sup>13</sup> In dietary pattern analysis, application of data reduction techniques such as principle component analysis (PCA) allows identification of groups of nutrients by creation of secondary variables representative of nutrients that often times are consumed together. Such quantitative secondary variables representative of distinct dietary patterns can be used in downstream analysis to explore the links between the specific

dietary patterns and MetS. However, this later approach is also limited by its failure to detect the predictive power of significant single nutrients, which may not group together along with the other complex-dietary patterns. To our knowledge, there is no study that has applied both approaches as complementary tools to illuminate a comprehensive view of dietary interplays of MetS and its components. The aims of this study are as follows: (a) to identify the dietary patterns in the United States general adult population using the NHANES data set; (b) to compare risk of MetS and its components by guartiles of the secondary variables representative of the dietary patterns; and (c) to estimate the risk of MetS and its components using a nutrient-by-nutrient approach. We hypothesize that risk predictive models derived from analysis of dietary patterns are different from those obtained from the nutrient-by-nutrient approach, and therefore the application of both approaches may provide a more comprehensive view on dietary determinants of Mets.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study population

This is a cross-sectional study using the publically available National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data set. NHANES is a nationally representative sample of the United States and an ongoing survey with details available elsewhere.<sup>14–17</sup> For the data collection and physical examination of the NHANES, informed consent was obtained from

E-mail: fafshin@med.umich.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Key State Laboratory of Molecular Developmental Biology, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, International College, University of Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, China and <sup>3</sup>Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. Correspondence: Dr F Afshinnia, Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, 3914 Taubman Center, 1500 E. Medical Center Dr., SPC 5364, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

Received 26 November 2016; revised 21 January 2017; accepted 26 January 2017

2

all adult participants, and the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board approved the protocol. As the data are publically available, the study was exempt from obtaining additional local institutional review board approval. We applied six 2-year NHANES survey cycles from 2001 to 2012. Inclusion criteria were age of 18 years and older, in participants with available demographic, laboratory and nutrients derived from day 1 dietary recall questionnaire (Supplementary Data). Participants with unavailable laboratory data or those who did not respond to dietary recall questionnaire were excluded. The details of dietary interviews have been presented elsewhere.<sup>18</sup> In brief, the interviews were in person and conducted by trained qualified personnel in the mobile examination centers with the application of computerized Dietary Recall Interview and Data Processing Systems aimed at computing total nutrient intakes from the consumed foods and dietary supplements. Rationale for using dietary recall from day 1 and not day 2 was higher likelihood of accuracy of recall on more recent events. Overall, we identified 23 157 eligible participants aged 18 years or older for the downstream analyses.

# Metabolic syndrome

We used the National Cholesterol Education Program's Adult Treatment Panel III report to define MetS,<sup>19</sup> if at least three of the following five criteria were satisfied: (1) waist circumference  $\geq 102$  cm in men or  $\geq 88$  cm in women; (2) triglycerides  $\geq 150$  mg dl<sup>-1</sup>; (3) high-density lipid (HDL) cholesterol < 40 mg dl<sup>-1</sup> in men or < 50 mg dl<sup>-1</sup> in women; (4) systolic blood pressure  $\geq 130$  or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 85$  mm Hg; (5) fasting blood glucose  $\geq 100$  mg dl<sup>-1</sup>.

# Statistical analysis

We conducted the analyses according to the guidelines recommended by the Centers for Disease Control for analysis of complex NHANES data set accounting for the masked variance and using the proposed weighting methodology.<sup>20</sup> We applied two complementary analytic approaches. In the first approach, we used principle component (PC) factor analysis with Varimax orthogonal transformation to generate PCs representative of dietary patterns based on the highest correlation coefficients between the nutrients constructing each PC.<sup>21</sup> All the necessary prerequisites of PC analysis including linearity, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of 0.88, and the significant Bartlett's test of sphericity (P < 0.001) were met. We used an Eigen value of >4 to obtain maximum number of interpretable dietary patterns that covered over 50% of the total variance of dietary intake. We then used regression method to calculate the factor scores of each nutrient pattern for each study participants.<sup>22</sup> Then, we categorized the nutrient patterns into subgroups based on quartiles of their corresponding factor scores. We applied age-, sex- and race-adjusted analysis of covariance to compare the nutrient intake across quartiles of dietary patterns (Table 2). To identify dietary predictors of Mets and its components, we subsequently applied logistic regression analysis and estimated the age-, sexand race-adjusted odds of Mets (and its components) for each quartile of dietary patterns compared with the fourth quartile as reference category. We performed 1000 simulation per sample using bootstrapping method and noted no alteration in the results, supporting the stability of the PCs. In the second approach, we compared age-, gender- and race-adjusted mean of each nutrient by categories of MetS and its components using analysis of covariance. We ranked the list of nutrient by their nominal significance (P < 0.05). Then, we used logistic regression analysis with backward deletion of non-significant nutrients to identify the nutrients independently associated with MetS and its components. Given the 63 examined nutrients, the Bonferroni-adjusted P-value used for multiple comparisons in logistic regression models was calculated to be 0.00079 (0.05/63), which was used as the cutoff for inclusion of a nutrient in the logistic regression model. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

### RESULTS

#### Description of population

Table 1 shows the distribution of baseline demographics by status of MetS. Overall, 23 157 eligible individuals including 6561 with and 16 596 without MetS were selected from the publically available NHANES data set were included in the final analysis. There was no significant association between gender and MetS. Overall, individuals with MetS were older (P < 0.001).

 Table 1. Distribution of demographics and components of metabolic syndrome by status of metabolic syndrome

Variables	With MetS	Without MetS
Unweighted <i>N</i> Weighted estimates per cycle-year	6561 20 205 996	16 596 54 270 122 43 5 (42 8, 44 2)
Age (mean (95% ci))	32.0 (31.2-33.3)	45.5 (42.0-44.2)
Gender (%)		
Male	49.4	47.9
Female	50.6	52.1
Race (%)*	71 7	(7.2
Non-Hispanic White	/1./	67.3
Mexican American	9.1	8.0
	8.8	12.6
Other Hispanic	5.0	5.1
Others	5.4	7.0
Glucose criterion*	61.6	15.7
Triglyceride criterion*	63.0	9.0
HDL criterion*	63.5	13.6
Blood pressure criterion*	53.0	17.3
Waist criterion*	47.6	5.8
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interview metabolic syndrome. $*P < 0.001$ .	/al; HDL, high-de	nsity lipid; MetS,

The distribution of MetS was also significantly different by race, so that those in the non-Hispanic white race category were more likely, but those in the non-Hispanic black race category were less likely to have had MetS (P < 0.001). Similarly, all five components of MetS were more prevalent in participants with Mets (P < 0.001).

#### Data reduction approach

Using a PCA method, we reduced the dietary variables from 63 variables to three total PCs that altogether explained 50.8% of the variance of the dietary nutrient consumption. Table 2 illustrates the nutrients that contributed to each PC. Accordingly, the first PC was mainly representative of saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids, although consumption of carbohydrates was also associated with this PC; the second PC represented vitamins and trace elements, and the third PC was mainly representative of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). Table 2 additionally showed the age-, gender- and race-adjusted mean of each nutrient by quartiles of the three PCs. Accordingly, for the nutrients that were constituent elements of a PC, there were highly statistically significant increase in trends of the nutrient intake by guartiles of the corresponding PC (P < 0.001). For the nutrients that were not constituent elements of the other PCs, the trends were either weakened or reversed.

We used logistic regression to examine the strength of the association of MetS and its components with PCs representative of dietary patterns in fully adjusted models (Figure 1). There was a graded increase in odds of association with high waist and high triglyceride by quartiles of saturated/monounsaturated fatty acids (the first PC), so that the fourth quartile was associated with a 1.43-fold (95% confidence interval (Cl): 1.27–1.61, P < 0.001) higher odds of association with high waist and 1.26-fold (95% Cl: 1.12–1.43, P < 0.001) higher odds of association with high triglyceride when compared with the first quartile. Similarly, the highest quartile was associated with 1.27-fold (95% Cl: 1.10-1.46, P = 0.001) higher odds of association with MetS when compared with the first quartile. There was no association between the first PC and low HDL, high blood pressure, and high blood sugar criteria. On the other hand, the highest quartile for a PUFA diet was associated with lower odds of having unfavorable HDL, but higher odds of elevated blood sugar and higher waist, so that Q4

	First PC (Sat	urated-Monoun	saturated FA)	FA) Second PC (vitamins and trace ele		ace elements)	e elements) Third PC		PUFA*)
	01	02+03	04	01	02+03	04	01	02+03	04
Carbohvdrate (g) <sup>+</sup>	204.5±1.5	256.9±1.5	341.5±2.5	193.9±1.5	258.2±1.2	350.8±2.3	243.3±1.7	264.9±1.5	294.8±2.2
Total Fat (g)†	48.0±0.5	75.4±0.4	123.1±0.7	74.6±05	79.1±0.4	93.0±0.6	54.5±0.5	76.2±0.4	116.2±0.7
Total SFA* (g)†	12.3±0.1	23.4±0.1	45.8±0.3	26.1±0.2	26.2±0.1	29.2±0.2	21.8±0.2	25.5±0.1	34.2±0.2
Total MUFA (g)†	18.2±0.2	28.0±0.2	43.7±0.3	27.2±0.2	28.8±0.2	33.9±0.2		27.6±0.2	
SFA 4:0 (g)†	0.1±0.0	0.4±0.0	1.2±0.0	0.6±0.0	0.5±0.0	0.6±0.0	0.6±0.0	0.5±0.0	0.5±0.0
SFA 6:0 (g)†	0.1±0.0	0.2±0.0	0.7±0.0	0.3±0.0	0.3±0.0	0.3±0.0	0.4±0.0	0.3±0.0	0.3±0.0
SFA 8:0 (g)†	0.1±0.0	0.2±0.0	0.5±0.0	0.3±0.0	0.2±0.0	0.3±0.0	0.3±0.0	0.2±0.0	0.2±0.0
SFA 10:0 (g)†	0.1±0.0	0.3±0.0	1.0±0.0	0.5±0.0	0.5±0.0	0.5±0.0	0.5±0.0	0.5±0.0	0.4±0.0
SFA 12:0 (g)†	0.2±0.0	0.6±0.0	1.7±0.0	0.9±0.0	0.8±0.0	0.8±0.0	1.0±0.0	0.8±0.0	0.6±0.0
SFA 14:0 (g)†	0.6±0.0	1.7±0.0	4.5±0.0	2.3±0.0	2.2±0.0	2.4±0.0	2.4±0.0	2.2±0.0	2.3±0.0
SFA 16:0 (g)†	7.5 ±0.1	13.0±0.1	23.6±0.1	13.9±0.1	14.2±0.1	16.0±0.1	10.8±0.1	13.7±0.1	19.5±0.1
SFA 18:0 (g)†	3.2 ±0.0	6.1±0.0	11.3±0.1	6.6±0.1	6.6±0.0	7.3±0.0	5.0±0.0	6.4±0.0	9.1±0.1
MFA 16:1 (g)†	0.7±0.0	1.2±0.0	2.0±0.0	1.3±0.0	1.2±0.0	1.3±0.0	0.8±0.0	1.2±0.0	1.8±0.0
MFA 18:1 (g)†	17.0±0.2	26.2±0.2	40.7±0.3	25.2±0.2	26.9±0.2	31.2±0.3	17.0±0.2	25.8±0.2	41.0±0.3
Calcium (mg)†	595.6±7.2	854.2±5.0	1433.3±10.8	655.5±8.9	908.2±6.1	1319.4.0±10.8	970.5±10.0	935.1±6.3	977.4±8.3
Phosphorus (mg)†	1020±7	1277±4	1874±10	1034±7	1323±5	1814±9	1124±7	1318±6.0	1728±9
Dietary Fiber (g)†	15.5±0.2	16.1±0.1	19.5±0.2	9.6±0.1	15.7±0.1	25.8±0.2	14.7±0.2	17.0±0.1	19.4±0.2
Total folate (mcg)†	365.5 ±4.1	404.4±2.9	502.1±3.7	215.9±2.1	373.2±2.4	682.8±4.5	422.8±4.2	407.1±2.3	442.0±3.4
Folate, DFE (mcg)†	472.5±5.9	542.6±4.5	676.1±5.7	276.1±3.1	488.8±3.4	926.4±7.0	598.4±6.5	537.1±3.3	555.7±4.9
Food folate (mcg)†	213.0±3.2	207.2±1.8	253.5±2.2	130.2±1.5	208.4±1.5	335.2±3.2	172.3±2.0	221.7±1.7	279.8±2.4
Folic Acid (mcg)†	152.5±3.4	197.2±2.5	248.5±3.3	85.8±1.7	164.8±1.5	347.6±4.3	250.0±3.6	185.5±1.9	162.2±2.7
Vitamin A (mcg)†	551±15	550±9	813±10	322±7	532±6	1059±20	676±16	602±8	636±11
Thiamin (mg)†	1.3±0.0	$1.6\pm0.0$	2.1±0.0	1.0±0.0	1.5±0.0	2.5±0.0	1.6±0.0	1.6±0.0	1.8±0.0
Riboflavin (mg)†	1.5±0.0	2.0±0.0	2.9±.0	1.4±0.0	1.9±0.0	3.1±0.0	2.1±0.0	2.0±0.0	2.3±0.0
Niacin (mg)†	22.6±0.2	25.1±0.1	29.4±0.2	17.0±0.1	23.6±0.1	36.5±0.2	20.5±0.2	24.3±0.1	32.2±0.2
Vitamin B6 (mg)†	1.9±0.0	2.1±0.0	2.3±0.0	1.2±0.0	1.9±0.0	3.3±0.0	1.9±0.0	2.0±0.0	2.5±0.0
Added vitamin B12 (mcg)	0.9±0.0	1.1±0.0	1.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.5±0.0	2.6±0.1	1.8±0.1	0.8±0.0	0.4±0.0
Vitamin E (mg)†	6.9±0.1	7.3±0.1	9.2±0.1	4.7±0.1	6.9±0.1	11.7±0.1	5.5±0.1	7.3±0.1	10.7±0.1
Magnesium (mg)†	266.0±2.5	286.0±1.4	360.7±2.1	195.9±1.4	285.4±1.3	431.4±2.5	251.2±2.2	294.1±1.5	367.4±2.3
Potassium (mg)†	2321±18	2549.5±12	3290±20	1825±12	2586±11	3750±24	2224±17	2634±16	3302±19
Iron (mg)†	12.8±0.1	15.3±0.1	19.4±0.1	9.2±0.1	14.1±0.1	24.2±0.1	15.2±0.1	15.0±0.1	17.2±0.1
Copper (mg)†	1.2±0.0	1.3±0.0	1.6±0.0	0.9±0.01	1.3±0.0	2.0±0.0	1.1±0.0	1.3±0.0	1.7±0.0
Protein (g)†	68.0±0.5	80.0±0.4	108.7±0.6	67.5±0.5	82.0±0.4	107.0±0.5	60.4±0.4	80.5±0.4	115.8±0.6
Total PUFA (g)†	13.4±0.2	17.5±0.1	23.2±0.2	15.0±0.2	17.3±0.1	21.8±0.2	9.4±0.2	16.5±0.1	28.2±0.3
Cholesterol (mg)†	225.7±3.2	290.1±2.4	424.8±4.1	308.0±3.9	305.5±2.7	327.0±3.7	171.5±2.4	271.5±2.4	497.6±5.0
MFA 20:1 (g)†	0.23±0.0	0.26±0.0	0.35±0.0	0.26±0.0	0.26±0.0	0.31±0.0	0.12±0.0	0.23±0.0	0.49±0.0
PFA 18:2 (g)†	11.6±0.2	15.5±0.1	20.5±0.2	13.1±0.1	15.3±0.1	19.2±0.2	8.3±0.1	14.6±0.1	24.7±0.2
PFA 18:3 (g)†	1.20±0.02	1.52±0.02	2.14±0.02	1.33±0.02	1.55±0.01	1.98±0.02	0.92±0.01	1.51±0.02	2.43±0.03
PFA 20:4 (g)†	0.14±0.00	0.16±0.00	0.19±0.00	0.16±0.00	0.16±0.00	0.17±0.00	0.07±0.00	$0.14{\pm}0.00$	0.28±0.00
PFA 20:5 (g)†	0.10±0.00	0.04±0.00	0.03±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.06±0.00	0.01±0.00	0.03±0.00	0.12±0.00
PFA 22:5 (g)†	0.04±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.03±0.00	0.03±0.00	0.03±00	0.01±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.05±0.00
PFA 22:6 (g)†	0.17±0.00	0.07±0.00	0.06±0.00	0.10±0.00	0.09±0.00	0.11±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.22±0.00
Total choline (mg)†	289.3±2.6	327.0±1.8	423.0±3.2	279.5±2.4	333.2±2.3	426.8±3.3	222.6±2.0		502.5±3.5
Sodium (mg)†	2713±21	3389±21	4666±29	2803±20	3472±22	4494±24	2597±27	3457±21	4715±28
Selenium (mcg)	97.2±0.8	110.0±0.6	143.5±1.0	90.4±0.7	112.8±0.7	147.5±0.8			161.4±1.1

Abbreviations: PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; SFA, saturated fatty acid. "P-value for trend of mean nutrient by quartile of corresponding PC < 0.001. SFA 14:0 (tetradecanoic), SFA 10:0 (decanoic), SFA 4:0 (butanoic), SFA 6:0 (hexanoic), SFA 16:0 (hexadecanoic), SFA 8:0 (octanoic), SFA 18:0 (octadecanoic), total monounsaturated fatty acids, MFA 18:1 (octadecenoic), MFA 16:1 (hexadecenoic), SFA 12:0 (dodecanoic), PFA 20:4 (eicosatetraenoic), MFA 20:1 (eicosenoic), PFA 22:5 (docosapentaenoic), PFA 18:2 (octadecadienoic), PFA 22:6 (docosahexaenoic), PFA 20:5 (eicosapentaenoic), PFA 18:3 (octadecatrienoic), The highlights indicate the significant contribution of the nutrient as constituent element of the corresponding PC.

had an odds ratio of 0.81 (95% CI: 0.72–0.91, P < 0.001) for association with low HDL, but had 1.21-fold (95% CI: 1.05–1.39, P = 0.011) higher odds of association with elevated blood sugar, and 1.19-fold (95% CI: 1.08–1.32, P = 0.001) higher odds of association with high waist when compared with Q1. There was no association between PUFA diet and high blood pressure, high triglycerides and presence of MetS in general. Similarly, the highest quartile of vitamins and trace elements (the second PC) was associated with significantly lower odds of unfavorable HDL, elevated blood pressure, elevated blood sugar, high waist and the presence of MetS in general, so that an odds ratio of association of Q4 with MetS was 0.79 (95% CI: 0.70–0.89, P < 0.001) when compared with Q1. The PC representative of vitamins and trace elements was not associated with high serum triglycerides.

# Nutrient-by-nutrient approach

Using analysis of covariance, we compared the age- gender- and race-adjusted mean of nutrient intakes and ranked them by nominal *P*-value from the most significant difference by categories of MetS (Table 3) and its components (Supplementary Tables 1–5). Accordingly the top 2 nutrients associated with high blood pressure were a higher intake of alcohol but lower dietary fiber consumption; for the high glucose criterion, they were lower levels

of added vitamin B12 and vitamin E; for low HDL criterion, they were lower intake of alcohol and lower magnesium intake; for high triglycerides, they were high carbohydrate and total sugar intake; and for high waist criterion, they were higher intake of hexadecenoic acid (MFA 16:1) and octadecanoic acid (SFA 18:1;  $P \leq 0.0005$ ). Similarly, Table 3 shows that the top 2 nutrients associated with the presence of MetS were lower dietary magnesium and lower dietary fiber intake (P < 0.00001).

We then applied multiple logistic regression models adjusting for age, gender, race (as covariates) and nutrients (as predictors) to identify the nutrients independently associated with each criterion as well as with MetS. To do so, each nutrient was classified into quartiles and odds ratios were calculated by comparing odds of association for each quartile with the fourth quartile as the reference. According to Figure 2 (left panel), intake of alcohol as well as lower intake of protein and sugar was associated with lower risk of the presence of HDL criterion. On the other hand, lower intake of magnesium and vitamin K was associated with higher risk of HDL criterion. For triglyceride criterion, lower carbohydrate and vitamin K consumption were associated with lower and higher risk of the presence of triglyceride criterion, respectively. For glucose criterion, lower selenium and magnesium intake were associated with lower and higher risk of elevated



Figure 1. Comparing adjusted odds ratio of the quartiles of each principle component with the fourth quartile as reference to predict metabolic syndrome (MetS) and its constituents (adjusted for age, sex and race).

glucose, respectively. For waist criterion, intake of alcohol and lower consumption of caffeine, sodium and total saturated fatty acids were associated with lower risk of the presence of waist criterion. On the other hand, lower consumption of fiber was associated with higher risk of the presence of a higher waist criterion. For the blood pressure criterion, alcohol intake and lower consumption of lutein were associated with higher risk of elevated blood pressure. Similarly, Figure 2 (right panel) shows that alcohol intake and lower consumption of total saturated fatty acid and sodium were associated with lower risk of MetS, but consumption of lower magnesium and vitamin K levels was associated with higher risk of MetS.

# DISCUSSION

In this study, we applied two complementary analytic methods including data reduction using PC analysis and a nutrient-bynutrient approach. Using the data reduction approach, we found that the highest quartile of the first PC (representative of saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids) was associated with 1.27-fold higher odds of MetS compared with its lowest guartile. In contrast, the highest quartile of the second PC representative of vitamins and trace elements was associated with significantly lower odds of MetS, and the third PC (PUFA diet) did not have any association with MetS. On the other hand, a nutrient-by-nutrient approach showed that alcohol intake and lower consumption of total saturated fatty acid and sodium were associated with lower odds of MetS, but lower consumption of magnesium and vitamin K was associated with higher odds of MetS, an approach that disclosed significance of vitamin K and alcohol consumption in relation to MetS at population level. Similar analytic approaches carried out on components of MetS separately disclosed significant nutrients independently associated with components of MetS that were not captured by PC approach otherwise, for example, the association of sugar, vitamin K and alcohol with HDL; vitamin k with triglycerides; caffeine and alcohol with waist; and lutein with blood pressure. Specifically, lower intake of vitamin K associated with unfavorable alteration of HDL and triglycerides, and lower lutein intake associated with unfavorable alteration of blood pressure reflect novel findings requiring confirmation in further studies. The rationale for using data reduction approach together with a nutrient-by-nutrient approach is that, although the data reduction approach groups together the nutrients with high correlation coefficients and provides a global view of a large number of similar variables, it may not capture a highly significant and independent predictive nutrient that might not have been grouped together with any other PCs otherwise. As is evident from Table 2, alcohol and caffeine were not incorporated in the final PCs. In spite of that, the nutrient-by-nutrient approach showed that alcohol and caffeine were independent associated of MetS, with alcohol being the most significant associate of Mets among all other nutrients.

Association of dietary and serum SFA and PUFA with MetS was explored in several studies.  $^{\rm 23-26}$  These reports are comparable with our findings of higher risk of MetS in association with SFA but not with PUFA. In this study, we also found a higher level of waist circumference and serum triglycerides at the highest quartile of the first PC. These findings are aligned with work of others who have shown increased post-prandial triglycerides with MUFA diet,27 upregulation of proinflammatory obesity-linked gene expression by SFA diet<sup>25</sup> and SFA-mediated differential expression of ghrelin and peptide YY in favor of increased appetite, altogether suggest that SFA may promote MetS in part by alteration of triglycerides/free fatty acids cycling in favor of increased appetite and obesity. Our findings also suggest that the higher intake of the nutrients loaded in the second PC including dietary fiber, folate, vitamins-A, -Bs, -E, magnesium, iron and copper was associated with lower odds of MetS and its HDL, blood pressure, glucose, and central obesity components. These findings are in agreement with earlier studies reported salutary effects of dietary fiber,<sup>29</sup> vitamin A,<sup>30</sup> vitamin B12,<sup>7,30</sup> niacin,<sup>31</sup> magnesium<sup>32</sup> and copper<sup>9</sup> on the rate of MetS. Possible mechanisms may partially be explained by improvement of insulin resistance and glucose intolerance<sup>33</sup> by folate, vitamin A, -E, -B6, -B12, thiamine, magnesium and copper; improvement of endothelial function<sup>33,34</sup> by magnesium, folate, niacin and vitamin E; decrease in blood pressure<sup>34,35</sup> by niacin, thiamine, riboflavin, vitamin B12, Iron and magnesium; increased HDL and decreased oxidative stress<sup>36,37</sup> by

Variables	Metabolic syndrome criteria		
	Absence	Presence	P-value
Magnesium (mg)	299.81	283.49	2.5E – 08
Dietary fiber (g)	16.979	15.89	6.7E – 07
MFA 16:1 (hexadecenoic; g)	1.1757	1.2562	1.5E – 05
Vitamin C (mg)	94.1773	86.6496	2.6E – 05
Added vitamin B12 (µg)	0.887	0.6913	2.7E – 05
Vitamin E as alpha-tocopherol (mg)	7.609	7.0532	2.8E – 05
Lutein+zeaxanthin (µg)	1534.76	1263.63	5.8E – 05
SFA 18:0 (octadecanoic) (g)	6.2792	6.7221	1.4E – 04
Vitamin B6 (mg)	2.051	1.957	1.4E – 04
Food folate (µg)	222.55	210.21	1.5E – 04
Beta-carotene (µg)	2221.58	1864.08	1.0E – 03
Sodium (mg)	3452.36	3593.83	1.0E - 03
Vitamin K (µg)	108.1101	91.4343	2.0E - 03
Alcohol (g) REA 22:6 (docosobovennois: g)	0.0000	0.2020	2.0E - 03
SEA 16:0 (beyadecapoic: $a$ )	13 5006	1/ 108/	2.0E - 03
Total saturated fatty acids (a)	24 6555	25 0104	4 OF - 03
Cholesterol (mg)	2927	307.05	7.0E – 03
Potassium (mg)	2663.96	2591.77	8.0E - 03
PFA 20:4 (eicosatetraenoic: g)	0.1539	0.1613	9.0E – 03
Riboflavin (vitamin B2; mg)	2.0337	1.9717	1.3E – 02
Copper (mg)	1.3311	1.2708	1.9E-02
PFA 20:5 (eicosapentaenoic; g)	0.0474	0.0399	2.0E – 02
Total monounsaturated fatty acids (g)	28.2386	29.2891	2.3E – 02
Vitamin A, RAE (µg)	595.16	546.57	2.3E – 02
Added alpha-tocopherol (mg)	0.447	0.3095	2.9E – 02
Total fat (g)	77.084	79.7601	3.0E – 02
MFA 18:1 (octadecenoic; g)	26.372	27.3251	3.0E – 02
Alpha-carotene (µg)	430.87	367.29	4.1E – 02
Total folate (µg)	407.46	397.35	4.3E – 02
Niacin (mg)	25.1137	24.6133	4.6E – 02
Zinc (mg)	11252	104.06	6.4E - 02
SEA 14:0 (tetradecanoic g)	1 0002	104.90	7.0E - 02
SFA 14.0 (letradecarioic, g)	2112 55	2.0000	7.2E - 02
Total sugars (g)	113 9629	116 2604	1.5E = 01
Protein (g)	82.2884	83.4037	2.0E – 01
Carbohydrate (g)	260.6908	263.6338	2.1E – 01
Folate, DFE (µg)	537.11	528.51	2.2E – 01
Calcium (mg)	906.55	890.76	2.4E – 01
Retinol (µg)	387.44	371.61	2.5E – 01
Thiamine (vitamin B1; mg)	1.6081	1.5913	3.2E – 01
PFA 18:3 (octadecatrienoic; g)	1.5713	1.5477	3.9E – 01
Vitamin B12 (µg)	5.1096	5.0144	4.8E – 01
PFA 22:5 (docosapentaenoic; g)	0.0247	0.0241	4.8E – 01
MFA 20:1 (eicosenoic; g)	0.2637	0.2677	4.9E – 01
Folic acid (µg)	184.95	187.14	5.3E – 01
Carreine (mg)	135.26	138.05	5.6E - 01
PFA 18:2 (octadecadienoic; g)	13.431	112.070	5.9E - 01
MEA 22:1 (decessonaic: a)	0.0324	0.0300	5.9E - 01
SEA 12:0 (dodecanoic: g)	0.0324	0.0309	6.1E - 01
Iron (mg)	15 1119	15 0318	6.3E - 01
Total polyunsaturated fatty acids (g)	17.5277	17.6427	7.1E - 01
Total choline (mg)	333.7357	335.2332	7.3E – 01
PFA 18:4 (octadecatetraenoic; g)	0.01424	0.01396	7.7E – 01
Theobromine (mg)	32.37	31.88	7.8E – 01
SFA 8:0 (octanoic; g)	0.2229	0.222	8.8E – 01
SFA 10:0 (decanoic; g)	0.4054	0.4068	8.9E – 01
Lycopene (µg)	5056.35	5037.6	9.1E – 01
Phosphorus (mg)	1327.95	1326.29	9.1E – 01
SFA 4:0 (butanoic; g)	0.487	0.4881	9.3E – 01
SFA 6:0 (hexanoic; g)	0.27	0.2696	9.5E – 01

Abbreviations: MFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SFA, saturated fatty acids. The bold *P*-values have passed the Benjamini–Hochberg False Discovery Threshold at P < 0.05.

niacin, vitamin E, magnesium and copper; and decrease in triglycerides<sup>38</sup> by vitamin E, and magnesium, altogether translate to lower likelihood of MetS components and eventually lower likelihood of MetS.

The nutrient-by-nutrient approach revealed additional links with MetS and its components beyond what was observed with data reduction approach. Accordingly, we observed lower odds of MetS in association with alcohol intake, higher intake of vitamin K, but a lower intake of sodium. Possible mechanistic explanations may include vitamin K-mediated alteration of insulin sensitivity;<sup>39</sup> change in renal sodium handling in favor of increased proximal renal tubular reabsorption of sodium, increasing production of alucocorticoids, worsening insulin resistance, increasing blood pressure and finally worsening obesity with higher sodium intake;<sup>8</sup> increase in triglycerides and decrease in HDL<sup>40</sup> with higher intake of carbohydrates; worsening glucose tolerance with higher selenium intake;<sup>41</sup> and beneficial impact of lutein on cardiometabolic health.<sup>42</sup> We also noted a negative correlation between caffeine consumption with central obesity. On the other hand, several meta-analyses report protective effect of caffeine in type 2 diabetes.43,44 The seemingly controversial reports maybe explained by the consumption of obesogenic co-nutrients along with high caffeine consumption in general population, or by variation in rate of caffeine metabolism dictated by CYP1A2 variant leading to differential association of caffeine intake with MetS.<sup>45</sup> Association of MetS with alcohol intake is also complex and depends on the dose and frequency of alcohol intake explaining both the increased<sup>46,47</sup> and decreased<sup>48</sup> rate of MetS reported by different studies. Similarly, the timing of alcohol intake impacts the magnitude and the direction of change in blood pressure.49 The observed lower odds of MetS with alcohol intake in this study is because alcohol intake on average and at the national level is mild and therefore the detrimental effect of heavy alcohol intake on MetS is not captured in this analysis.

Several novel aspects of this study are worth to mention. There is an important need for population-based interventions to halt or slow down the rapidly increasing prevalence of MetS. A crucial aspect of effective interventions is the need for a thorough understanding of the dietary interplays of MetS as intervening targets. Dietary patterns are viewed by many researchers as a tool to study the dietary habits in a population.<sup>13,50–53</sup> However, the limitations of such approach are less well recognized. In this study, we showed that exclusive reliance on the dietary patterns determined by application of PCA can miss the opportunity of detecting significant links of MetS with nutrients that are not included in the dietary patterns. For example, although the associations of carbohydrate and alcohol intake with MetS are well-known established associations, only carbohydrate intake was included in the dietary patterns and not alcohol. Therefore, the studies of dietary habits that are based exclusively on the dietary patterns may carry significant gaps. We also performed similar analysis on each one of the five criteria of MetS. By doing so, we disclosed criterion-specific links with the nutrients that have not necessarily been identical with the associates of MetS, for example, the association of protein and sugar with HDL, carbohydrate with triglycerides, selenium with glucose, caffeine with waist and lutein with blood pressure using the nutrient-bynutrient approach. From practical standpoint, this means that we are illuminating intervening targets specific to each criterion rather than the full blown MetS, which may have the potential for establishing preventive measures at early stages. To that end, although many of the observed associations may sound confirmatory, the real value of these observations is identification of intervening targets in the nationally representative general population. Last but not least, despite the confirmatory nature of many of the associations, the lower intake of vitamin K associated with unfavorable alteration of HDL and triglycerides, and lower lutein intake associated with unfavorable alteration of blood

HDL Protein 65-92 g Protein 46-65 g Protein<46 g Sugar 104-150 g Sugar 69-103 g Sugar<68 g Vitamin K 49-86 mcg Vitamin K 29-48 mcg Vitamin K<28 mcg Magnesium 233-321 mg Magnesium 167-232 mg Magnesium<166 mg		p.value           0.017           0.003           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001           <0.001
Triglycerides		
Vitamin K 49-86 mcg Vitamin K 29-48 mcg Vitamin K<28 mcg Carbohydrate 229-310 g Carbohydrate 166-229 g Carbohydrate<166 g	⊢⊕⊣ ⊢⊕⊣	Image: Heat of the second
Glucose		
Selenium 89-126 mcg Selenium 60-88 mcg Selenium<60 mcg Magnesium 233-321 mg Magnesium 167-232 mg Magnesium<166 mg		H 0.243 0.022 <0.001 ⊢● 1 0.042 ⊢● 1 0.011 ⊢● 1 <0.001
Waist		
Caffeine 29-131 mg Caffeine 2-28 mg Caffeine<1 mg Fiber 14-19.9 g Fiber 10-13.9 g Fiber<10 g	₩ ₩ ₩	<0.001 0.001 0.041 +
Sodium 2810-3956 g Sodium 1935-2809 g Sodium<1934 g TSFA 22-32 g TSFA 14-21 g		<pre>&lt;0.001 &lt;0.001 &lt;0.001 &lt;0.001 0.226 &lt;0.001</pre>
TSFA<14 g		< 0.001
		<0.001
BP Alcohol intake Lutein 536-1042 uL Lutein 256-535 uL Lutein≤255 uL		Image: Heise of the second
Ū	0	NR 1.5

Metabolic Syndrome			p.value
Alcohol intake	⊢●⊣		<0.001
TSFA 22-32 g TSFA 14-21 g TSFA<14 g	⊢⊕ ⊢⊕i		0.113 <0.001 <0.001
Magnesium 233-321 mg		H <b>H</b> H	0.042
Magnesium 167-232 mg	I		0.011
Magnesium<166 mg		⊢●⊣	<0.001
Vitamin K 49-86 mcg		HeH	0.009
Vitamin K 29-48 mcg		HeH	<0.001
Vitamin K<28 mcg		⊢●⊣	< 0.001
Sodium 2810-3956 g	H		< 0.001
Sodium 1935-2809 g	⊢●⊣		<0.001
Sodium<1934 g	<b>⊢</b> ●–1		< 0.001
	r		_
	0.5 1	.0	1.5
	0	R	

**Figure 2.** Comparing age-, sex- and race-adjusted odds ratio of the first to third quartiles of the nutrients with the fourth quartile as reference by metabolic syndrome (right panel) and its components (left panel), using multiple logistic regression analysis in a nutrient-by-nutrient approach. TSFA, total saturated fatty acids. *P*-values pertain to the comparison of each quartile to the fourth quartile except for alcohol intake which is compared with no alcohol intake.

pressure may reflect novel findings requiring confirmation in further studies.

This study has several strengths. To our knowledge, it is the largest study of the association of dietary patterns with MetS. The study is sufficiently powered to test the associations. The selection of the participants was based on random sampling of the general population and therefore the results obtained from nationally representative samples can be extrapolated to the general population. As the data collection was performed on all days of the week throughout the year in NHANES, the potential for selection bias is very low.<sup>54,55</sup> We implemented two complementary rigorous analytic approaches and have provided a comprehensive view on the dietary signature of MetS. To that end, some of the observations are likely novel that may serve as the basis for further future research, for example, the association of vitamin K with HDL and triglyceride components of MetS.

6

This study also has important limitations. As this is a crosssectional observation, it does not allow inferring causality. Our nutrient-by-nutrient analytic approach revealed the correlates of MetS and its components only with the most significant independent nutrients and therefore does not provide the most inclusive list of involved nutrients. The possibility of residual confounders from unmeasured nutrients or other variables is not entirely ruled out. The impact of the other determinants of MetS such educational level, income inequality and physical activity is beyond the scope of this study and requires separate in-depth analyses in future research. Interpretation of our findings should be with caution; several trials have shown increase of glucose and higher incidence of diabetes with pharmacologic use of niacin.<sup>56–58</sup> Lower odds of having high glucose at higher categories of physiologic niacin intake in our study is likely reflection of lower amounts in diet, but alternate dietary nutrients offsetting this negative effect can also be a possibility. Metabolic derangements in association with heavy alcohol intake are also a well-known phenomenon. Therefore, lower odds of MetS with alcohol intake should not simply be interpreted as its preventive effect on MetS, rather the association is the reflection of mild alcohol intake (12.7 g on average in individuals with Mets: Supplementary Table 2) at the national level. The use of 1 day of 24-h dietary recall may not fully capture the long-term dietary behaviors as well as the seasonal variation of the dietary habits. However, these concerns are mitigated by the large sample size and continuous random sampling throughout the year increasing the probability of inclusion of diverse dietary behaviors.

This study has important clinical and public health implications. Comprehensive understanding about the dietary interplays of MetS and its components is a necessary and important step towards public health policy making and raising public awareness. Our study provides a comprehensive snapshot of dietary correlates of MetS at the national level. Further analyses by components of MetS provide additional insight about pathways of progression to MetS amenable to dietary interventions. Although our findings reinforce the importance of balanced diet, the disclosed links between some of the nutrients and metabolic derangements may represent novel metabolic pathways and basis for further and future research.

In conclusion, our findings provide further evidence on the association between high intakes of SFA-enriched diet with higher risk of MetS, whereas a nutrient pattern characterized by high consumption of vitamins was associated with lower odds of MetS. Provision of additional independent links with MetS and its components, beyond what was presented by PCA-derived nutrient patterns suggests that the studies of dietary habits in populations require complementary analytic approaches, and that the studies that exclusively rely on the PCA-derived dietary patterns may not fully represent the dietary habits in general population as well as their links with the clinical phenotypes. Identification of independent associates of MetS and its components proposed intervening targets to halt progression of MetS. Further studies are required to assess efficacy of dietary intervention on proposed targets on incident rate of MetS.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MM was supported by a TWAS studentship of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, during the preparation of this manuscript. FA is supported by the National Institute of Health DK106523-02 grant.

# REFERENCES

- 1 Zimmet P, Magliano D, Matsuzawa Y, Alberti G, Shaw J. The metabolic syndrome: a global public health problem and a new definition. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2005; **12**: 295–300.
- 2 Wang J, Ruotsalainen S, Moilanen L, Lepisto P, Laakso M, Kuusisto J. The metabolic syndrome predicts cardiovascular mortality: a 13-year follow-up study in elderly non-diabetic Finns. *Eur Heart J* 2007; 28: 857–864.
- 3 Ford ES. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in US populations. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 2004; **33**: 333–350.
- 4 Walker S, Gurka M, Oliver M, Johns D, DeBoer M. Racial/ethnic discrepancies in the metabolic syndrome begin in childhood and persist after adjustment for environmental factors. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2012; **22**: 141–148.
- 5 Alkerwi A, Donneau AF, Sauvageot N, Lair ML, Albert A, Guillaume M. Dietary, behavioural and socio-economic determinants of the metabolic syndrome among adults in Luxembourg: findings from the ORISCAV-LUX study. *Public Health Nutr* 2012; **15**: 849–859.
- 6 Bain LK, Myint PK, Jennings A, Lentjes MA, Luben RN, Khaw KT *et al.* The relationship between dietary magnesium intake, stroke and its major risk factors, blood pressure and cholesterol, in the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. *Int J Cardiol* 2015; **196**: 108–114.
- 7 Baltaci D, Kutlucan A, Turker Y, Yilmaz A, Karacam S, Deler H et al. Association of vitamin B12 with obesity, overweight, insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome, and body fat composition; primary care-based study. *Med Glas* 2013; **10**: 203–210.
- 8 Baudrand R, Campino C, Carvajal CA, Olivieri O, Guidi G, Faccini G et al. High sodium intake is associated with increased glucocorticoid production, insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. *Clin Endocrinol* 2014; **80**: 677–684.
- 9 Choi MK, Bae YJ. Relationship between dietary magnesium, manganese, and copper and metabolic syndrome risk in Korean adults: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2007-2008). *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2013; **156**: 56–66.
- 10 Dam V, Dalmeijer GW, Vermeer C, Drummen NE, Knapen MH, van der Schouw YT et al. Association between vitamin k and the metabolic syndrome: A 10-year follow-up study in adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015; 100: 2472–2479.
- 11 Ford ES, Ajani UA, McGuire LC, Liu S. Concentrations of serum vitamin D and the metabolic syndrome among US adults. *Diabetes Care* 2005; **28**: 1228–1230.
- 12 McKeown NM, Meigs JB, Liu S, Saltzman E, Wilson PW, Jacques PF. Carbohydrate nutrition, insulin resistance, and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the Framingham Offspring Cohort. *Diabetes Care* 2004; **27**: 538–546.
- 13 Hu FB. Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2002; **13**: 3–9.
- 14 Centers of Disease Control and Prevention. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: Plan and Operations, 1999–2010. Series 1, Number 56, 2013. Available at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr\_01/sr01\_056.pdf (accessed 24 November 2016).
- 15 Centers of Disease Control and Prevention. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: Anthropometry Procedures Manual, 2007. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes\_07\_08/manual\_an.pdf (accessed 24 November 2016).
- 16 Centers of Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and NutritionExamination Survey (NHANES). Questionnaires, datasets, and related documentation. 2016. Available at www.cdc.gov/nchs/ nhanes/nhanes\_questionnaires.htm (accessed 24 November 2016).
- 17 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Laboratory Procedures Manual. 2011. Available at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/ nhanes/nhanes\_11\_12/2011-12\_Laboratory\_Procedures\_Manual.pdf (accessed 24 November 2016).
- 18 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). MEC In-Person Dietary Interviewers Procedures Manual. 2012. Available at https://www.cdc.gov/ nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes\_11\_12/mec\_in\_person\_dietary\_procedures\_manual\_ jan\_2012.pdf (accessed 24 November 2016).
- 19 Grundy SM, Brewer Jr HB, Cleeman JI, Smith Jr SC, Lenfant C. Definition of metabolic syndrome: Report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/ American Heart Association conference on scientific issues related to definition. *Circulation* 2004; **109**: 433–438.
- 20 National Center for Health Statistics. ANALYTIC AND REPORTING GUIDELINES, 2006. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes\_03\_04/nhanes\_ analytic guidelines dec 2005.pdf (accessed 24 November 2016).
- 21 Abdi H, William LJ. Principal component analysis. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat 2010; 2: 433–459.
- 22 Liu RX, Kuang J, Gong Q, Hou XL. Principal component regression analysis with SPSS. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 2003; **71**: 141–147.
- 23 Hosseinpour-Niazi S, Mirmiran P, Fallah-ghohroudi A, Azizi F. Combined effect of unsaturated fatty acids and saturated fatty acids on the metabolic syndrome: Tehran lipid and glucose study. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2015; **33**: 5.

- 24 Paniagua JA, Perez-Martinez P, Gjelstad IM, Tierney AC, Delgado-Lista J, Defoort C *et al.* A low-fat high-carbohydrate diet supplemented with long-chain n-3 PUFA reduces the risk of the metabolic syndrome. *Atherosclerosis* 2011; **218**: 443–450.
- 25 van Dijk SJ, Feskens EJ, Bos MB, Hoelen DW, Heijligenberg R, Bromhaar MG et al. A saturated fatty acid-rich diet induces an obesity-linked proinflammatory gene expression profile in adipose tissue of subjects at risk of metabolic syndrome. Am J Clin Nutr 2009; 90: 1656–1664.
- 26 Yu Y, Cai Z, Zheng J, Chen J, Zhang X, Huang XF *et al.* Serum levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids are low in Chinese men with metabolic syndrome, whereas serum levels of saturated fatty acids, zinc, and magnesium are high. *Nutr Res* 2012; **32**: 71–77.
- 27 Roche HM, Zampelas A, Jackson KG, Williams CM, Gibney MJ. The effect of test meal monounsaturated fatty acid: saturated fatty acid ratio on postprandial lipid metabolism. Br J Nutr 1998; 79: 419–424.
- 28 Stevenson JL, Clevenger HC, Cooper JA. Hunger and satiety responses to high-fat meals of varying fatty acid composition in women with obesity. *Obesity* 2015; 23: 1980–1986.
- 29 Galisteo M, Duarte J, Zarzuelo A. Effects of dietary fibers on disturbances clustered in the metabolic syndrome. J Nutr Biochem 2008; **19**: 71–84.
- 30 Park S, Ham JO, Lee BK. Effects of total vitamin A, vitamin C, and fruit intake on risk for metabolic syndrome in Korean women and men. *Nutrition* 2015; **31**: 111–118.
- 31 Shin E, Park NY, Jang Y, Oh H, Jeong J, Lim Y *et al.* The association of lipoprotein lipase Pvull polymorphism and niacin intake in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome: a KMSRI-Seoul study. *Genes Nutr* 2012; **7**: 331–341.
- 32 Sarrafzadegan N, Khosravi-Boroujeni H, Lotfizadeh M, Pourmogaddas A, Salehi-Abargouei A. Magnesium status and the metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrition* 2016; **32**: 409–417.
- 33 Setola E, Monti LD, Galluccio E, Palloshi A, Fragasso G, Paroni R et al. Insulin resistance and endothelial function are improved after folate and vitamin B12 therapy in patients with metabolic syndrome: relationship between homocysteine levels and hyperinsulinemia. Eur J Endocrinol 2004; 151: 483–489.
- 34 Ahmadi A, Gharipour M, Arabzadeh G, Moin P, Hashemipour M, Kelishadi R. The effects of vitamin E and omega-3 PUFAs on endothelial function among adolescents with metabolic syndrome. *Biomed Res Int* 2014; **2014**: 906019.
- 35 Tamai Y, Wada K, Tsuji M, Nakamura K, Sahashi Y, Watanabe K *et al.* Dietary intake of vitamin B12 and folic acid is associated with lower blood pressure in Japanese preschool children. *Am J Hyperten* 2011; 24: 1215–1221.
- 36 Costacou T, Levy AP, Miller RG, Snell-Bergeon J, Asleh R, Farbstein D et al. Effect of vitamin E supplementation on HDL function by haptoglobin genotype in type 1 diabetes: results from the HapE randomized crossover pilot trial. Acta Diabetol 2016; 53: 243–250.
- 37 Rifici VA, Khachadurian AK. Effects of dietary vitamin C and E supplementation on the copper mediated oxidation of HDL and on HDL mediated cholesterol efflux. *Atherosclerosis* 1996; **127**: 19–26.
- 38 Karasu C, Ozansoy G, Bozkurt O, Erdogan D, Omeroglu S. Antioxidant and triglyceride-lowering effects of vitamin E associated with the prevention of abnormalities in the reactivity and morphology of aorta from streptozotocindiabetic rats. Antioxidants in Diabetes-Induced Complications (ADIC) Study Group. *Metabolism* 1997; 46: 872–879.
- 39 Manna P, Kalita J. Beneficial role of vitamin K supplementation on insulin sensitivity, glucose metabolism, and the reduced risk of type 2 diabetes: a review. *Nutrition* 2016; **32**: 732–739.
- 40 Parks EJ. Effect of dietary carbohydrate on triglyceride metabolism in humans. *J Nutr* 2001; **131**: 27725–27745.
- 41 Pounis G, Costanzo S, Persichillo M, de Curtis A, Sieri S, Vinceti M *et al.* Mushroom and dietary selenium intakes in relation to fasting glucose levels in a free-living Italian adult population: the Moli-sani Project. *Diabetes Metab* 2014; **40**: 34–42.
- 42 Leermakers ET, Darweesh SK, Baena CP, Moreira EM, Melo van Lent D, Tielemans MJ et al. The effects of lutein on cardiometabolic health across the life course: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr 2016; **103**: 481–494.
- 43 Jiang X, Zhang D, Jiang W. Coffee and caffeine intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Eur J Nutr* 2014; 53: 25–38.

- 44 van Dam RM, Hu FB. Coffee consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2005; **294**: 97–104.
- 45 Platt DE, Ghassibe-Sabbagh M, Salameh P, Salloum AK, Haber M, Mouzaya F *et al.* Caffeine Impact on Metabolic Syndrome Components Is Modulated by a CYP1A2 Variant. *Ann Nutr Metab* 2016; **68**: 1–11.
- 46 Vancampfort D, Hallgren M, Mugisha J, De Hert M, Probst M, Monsieur D et al. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in alcohol use disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Alcohol Alcohol 2016; 51: 515–521.
- 47 Bermudez V, Martinez MS, Chavez-Castillo M, Olivar LC, Morillo J, Mejias JC et al. Relationship between alcohol consumption and components of the metabolic syndrome in adult population from Maracaibo City, Venezuela. Adv Prev Med 2015; 2015: 352547.
- 48 Vidot DC, Stoutenberg M, Gellman M, Arheart KL, Teng Y, Daviglus ML et al. Alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome among hispanics/latinos: the hispanic community health Study/Study of Latinos. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord* 2016; 14: 354–362.
- 49 McFadden CB, Brensinger CM, Berlin JA, Townsend RR. Systematic review of the effect of daily alcohol intake on blood pressure. Am J Hypertens 2005; 18: 276–286.
- 50 Beer-Borst S, Hercberg S, Morabia A, Bernstein MS, Galan P, Galasso R et al. Dietary patterns in six european populations: results from EURALIM, a collaborative European data harmonization and information campaign. Eur J Clin Nutr 2000; 54: 253–262.
- 51 Fonseca MJ, Gaio R, Lopes C, Santos AC. Association between dietary patterns and metabolic syndrome in a sample of Portuguese adults. *Nutr J* 2012; **11**: 64.
- 52 He Y, Li Y, Lai J, Wang D, Zhang J, Fu P *et al.* Dietary patterns as compared with physical activity in relation to metabolic syndrome among Chinese adults. *Nutrition Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2013; **23**: 920–928.
- 53 Xu SH, Qiao N, Huang JJ, Sun CM, Cui Y, Tian SS *et al*. Gender differences in dietary patterns and their association with the prevalence of metabolic syndrome among Chinese: a cross-sectional study. *Nutrients* 2016; **8**: 180.
- 54 Tooze JA, Midthune D, Dodd KW, Freedman LS, Krebs-Smith SM, Subar AF et al. A new statistical method for estimating the usual intake of episodically consumed foods with application to their distribution. J Am Diet Assoc 2006; 106: 1575–1587.
- 55 Guenther PM, Ding EL, Rimm EB. Alcoholic beverage consumption by adults compared to dietary guidelines: results of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2009-2010. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2013; **113**: 546–550.
- 56 Ding Y, Li Y, Wen A. Effect of niacin on lipids and glucose in patients with type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of randomized, controlled clinical trials. *Clin Nutr* 2015; 34: 838–844.
- 57 Phan BA, Munoz L, Shadzi P, Isquith D, Triller M, Brown BG et al. Effects of niacin on glucose levels, coronary stenosis progression, and clinical events in subjects with normal baseline glucose levels (< 100 mg/dl): a combined analysis of the Familial Atherosclerosis Treatment Study (FATS), HDL-Atherosclerosis Treatment Study (HATS), Armed Forces Regression Study (AFREGS), and Carotid Plaque Composition by MRI during lipid-lowering (CPC) study. Am J Cardiol 2013; 111: 352–355.
- 58 Sazonov V, Maccubbin D, Sisk CM, Canner PL. Effects of niacin on the incidence of new onset diabetes and cardiovascular events in patients with normoglycaemia and impaired fasting glucose. Int J Clin Pract 2013; 67: 297–302.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on the Nutrition & Diabetes website (http://www.nature.com/nutd)

8